On accretion disks formed in MHD simulations of black-hole–neutron star mergers with accurate microphysics
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ABSTRACT
Remnant accretion disks formed in compact object mergers are an important ingredient in the understanding of electromagnetic afterglows of multi-messenger gravitational-wave events. Due to magnetically and neutrino driven winds, a significant fraction of the disk mass will eventually become unbound and undergo r-process nucleosynthesis. While this process has been studied in some detail, previous studies have typically used approximate initial conditions for the accretion disks, or started from purely hydrodynamical simulations. In this work, we analyse the properties of accretion disks formed from near equal-mass black hole-neutron star mergers simulated in general-relativistic magnetohydrodynamics in dynamical space-times with an accurate microphysical description. The post-merger systems were evolved until 120 ms for different finite-temperature equations of state and black-hole spins. We present a detailed analysis of the fluid properties and of the magnetic-field topology. In particular, we provide analytic fits of the magnetic-field strength and specific entropy as a function of the rest-mass density, which can be used for the construction of equilibrium disk models. Finally, we evolve one of the systems for a total of 350 ms after merger and study the prospect for eventual jet launching. While our simulations do not reach this stage, we find clear evidence of continued funnel magnetization and clearing, a prerequisite for any jet-launching mechanism.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Recently, LIGO has announced early results of the third observing run, indicating the potential detection of several black hole (BH) – neutron star (NS) systems. Two of them, S200105ae and S200115j, have recently been studied by optical follow-up observations (Anand et al. 2021), albeit no kilonova afterglow has so far been detected. Since the kilonova of these systems would be mainly driven by secular disk mass ejecta, a potential non-detection can set tight constraints on the allowed range of parameters. In particular, retaining a sufficient disk mass after the merger of a small mass-ratio system also requires high BH spin (Foucart et al. 2018). Therefore, a non-detection in conjunction with the inferred binary parameters from the inspiral allows to probe the BH spin (Anand et al. 2021; Raaijmakers et al. 2021), and for low-mass BHs also the equation of state (EOS) (Fragione & Loeb 2021). Several works have also further investigated the prospects for constraining the EOS with BH-NS gravitational-wave events (e.g., Pannarale et al. (2011a); Maselli et al. (2013); Lackey et al. (2014)). While an initial estimate of the amount of mass ejection can easily be done, a more accurate calculation requires more precise knowledge of the amount of unbound disk mass, its nuclear composition, velocity and temperature distributions. In turn, this necessitates a careful investigation of the initial accretion disks from which these mass outflows originate.

The merger and early post-merger of BH-NS systems have been explored in great detail, placing an emphasis on the disk formation, mass ejection and gravitational-wave emission (Shibata & Uryū 2006; Shibata & Uryū 2007; Shibata & Taniguchi 2008; Liu et al. 2008a; Ettienne et al. 2009; Kyutoku et al. 2010; Pannarale et al. 2011b; Foucart et al. 2011; Kyutoku et al. 2011; Foucart et al. 2012; Foucart 2012; Foucart et al. 2013b; Kyutoku et al. 2015). Whereas those simulations have focussed on quasi-circular binaries most relevant for gravitational-wave detections, some simulations have also investigated the merger of eccentric encounters (East et al. 2015). More recent studies have also included finite-
temperature equations of state and neutrino transport (Foucart et al. 2013a, 2014, 2015, 2017; Kyutoku et al. 2018), allowing them to investigate the nuclear composition of the mass ejecta. Based on such numerical simulations, it has also been possible to accurately investigate the nuclear composition of the mass ejecta. Based on temperature equations of state and neutrino transport (Foucart et al. 2006; Papenfort et al. 2021). The initial models are valid solutions of the constraint sector of the Einstein equations constructed using the conformally flat XCTS formalism. The excision boundary on the lapse and a tangential shift condition to control the quasi-local spin of the BH (Caudill et al. 2006). The interior of the BH is initially regularized by extrapolating the solution using an eighth-order Lagrangian polynomial along the radial direction (Ettene et al. 2007; Ettene et al. 2009). The NS is described by either of two EOSs, TNTYST (Togashi et al. 2017) or BBHAF (Banik et al. 2014) in line with multi-messenger constraints on the NS maximum mass (Margalit & Metzger 2017; Rezzolla et al. 2018; Ruiz et al. 2018a; Shibata et al. 2019; Nathaniel et al. 2021) and radius (Annala et al. 2018; Most et al. 2018; De et al. 2018; Abbott et al. 2018; Raithel et al. 2018). The initial NSs are endowed with an internal dipole field via the vector potential $A_\alpha = \varpi^2 A_{\alpha \text{max}} (p - 0.04 p_{\text{max}}) / 2$, commonly used in these types of simulations (Liu et al. 2008b; Giacomazzo et al. 2011; Ettene et al. 2012b; Kiuchi et al. 2015), where $p_{\text{max}}$ refers to the maximum pressure in the NS. The coefficient, $A_0$, is chosen such that the maximum field strength in the center of the star corresponds to $\approx 10^{14}$ G. A summary of the initial conditions is given in Table 1.

In general, it is both interesting and important to understand the behaviour of a variety of disks in terms of disk masses, compositions and magnetic-field topologies, in order to get a good coverage of the large parameter space. Since we are interested in studying near equal-mass systems consistent also with very massive NS binaries, we focus on systems along the stability line (in terms of spins $\chi$) of the most massive NSs (Most et al. 2020b), as presented in Most et al. (2020a). This assumption correlates the BH mass $M_{\text{BH}}$ with the BH spin $\chi_{\text{BH}}$, leading to a parametrization only dependent on the maximum mass $M_{\text{TTOV}}$ of a nonrotating NS (Most et al. 2020b). More details on this construction can be found in Most et al. (2020b).

### 2 METHODS

In this section we provide a short overview of numerical methods and the initial conditions used in this study.

We model the initial BH-NS systems as having irrotational NSs and spinning BHs on quasi-circular orbits (Grandclément 2006; Papenfort et al. 2021). The initial models are valid solutions of the constraint sector of the Einstein equations constructed using the conformally flat XCTS formalism. The excision boundary on the BH include a Neumann boundary condition on the lapse and a tangential shift condition to control the quasi-local spin of the BH (Caudill et al. 2006). The interior of the BH is initially regularized by extrapolating the solution using an eighth-order Lagrangian polynomial along the radial direction (Ettene et al. 2007; Ettene et al. 2009). The NS is described by either of two EOSs, TNTYST (Togashi et al. 2017) or BBHAF (Banik et al. 2014) in line with multi-messenger constraints on the NS maximum mass (Margalit & Metzger 2017; Rezzolla et al. 2018; Ruiz et al. 2018a; Shibata et al. 2019; Nathaniel et al. 2021) and radius (Annala et al. 2018; Most et al. 2018; De et al. 2018; Abbott et al. 2018; Raithel et al. 2018). The initial NSs are endowed with an internal dipole field via the vector potential $A_\alpha = \varpi^2 A_{\alpha \text{max}} (p - 0.04 p_{\text{max}}) / 2$, commonly used in these types of simulations (Liu et al. 2008b; Giacomazzo et al. 2011; Ettene et al. 2012b; Kiuchi et al. 2015), where $p_{\text{max}}$ refers to the maximum pressure in the NS. The coefficient, $A_0$, is chosen such that the maximum field strength in the center of the star corresponds to $\approx 10^{14}$ G. A summary of the initial conditions is given in Table 1.

In general, it is both interesting and important to understand the behaviour of a variety of disks in terms of disk masses, compositions and magnetic-field topologies, in order to get a good coverage of the large parameter space. Since we are interested in studying near equal-mass systems consistent also with very massive NS binaries, we focus on systems along the stability line (in terms of spins $\chi$) of the most massive NSs (Most et al. 2020b), as presented in Most et al. (2020a). This assumption correlates the BH mass $M_{\text{BH}}$ with the BH spin $\chi_{\text{BH}}$, leading to a parametrization only dependent on the maximum mass $M_{\text{TTOV}}$ of a nonrotating NS (Most et al. 2020b). More details on this construction can be found in Most et al. (2020b).

#### 2.1 Numerical methods

In order to model the dynamical evolution of the BH-NS system, we solve the general-relativistic ideal magnetohydrodynamics (GRMHD) equations together with the Einstein field equations (EFE),

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = -Q^\nu, \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi \left( T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} T^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} \right) + 2\nabla_\mu Z_\nu + \kappa_1 \left[ 2 n_\mu Z_\nu - n_\nu Z_\mu g_{\mu\nu} \right], \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

Table 1. Summary of the properties of the initial binaries. The columns list: the gravitational component masses in isolation, $m_{\text{BH}}$ and $m_{\text{NS}}$, the baryon mass $m_b$ of the secondary, the mass ratio $q = m_{\text{NS}} / m_{\text{BH}}$, the dimensionless spin $\chi_{\text{BH}}$ of the BH (primary), the effective spin $\tilde{\chi} := \chi_1/(1 + q)$ of the binary and the EOS describing the nuclear matter. All binaries have a total mass $M_{\text{ADM}} = 3.6 M_\odot$ and are at an initial separation of 45 km. The NS (secondary) is always nonrotating, $\chi_{\text{NS}} = 0$. We also state the final, post-merger BH spins $\chi_{\text{fin}}^{\text{BH}}$ and disk masses $M_{\text{fin}}^{\text{BH}}$ first reported in Most et al. (2020a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m_{\text{BH}} [M_\odot]$</th>
<th>$m_{\text{NS}} [M_\odot]$</th>
<th>$m_b [M_\odot]$</th>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$\chi_{\text{BH}}$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\chi}$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{fin}}^{\text{BH}} [M_\odot]$</th>
<th>$\chi_{\text{fin}}^{\text{BH}}$</th>
<th>EOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNT.chit.0.00</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT.chit.0.15</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT.chit.0.35</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHBLP.BH.chit.0.00</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHBLP.BH.chit.0.15</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHBLP.BH.chit.0.35</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Model TNT.chit.0.35. Shown are the co-moving magnetic energy density \( b^2 \), the rest-mass density \( \rho \), the electron fraction \( Y_e \), and the local fluid temperature \( T \). The different rows correspond to meridional (Top) and equatorial (Bottom) views of the accretion disk around the BH. The columns correspond to different times after merger, starting from the early formation of the disk after the star has been tidally disrupted (left column). The centre and right columns then refer to two times where the disk grows due to fall-back accretion of the bound tidal arm, and the onset of a steady accretion flow.

\[
g_{\mu\nu} \text{ is the four-dimensional Lorentzian spacetime metric, } R_{\mu\nu} \text{ the corresponding Ricci tensor and } T_{\mu\nu} \text{ the energy momentum tensor describing the NS matter and the magnetic fields.}
\]

The source term \( Q^\mu \) represents the energy and momentum loss due to weak interactions. Using the unit normal vector \( n_\mu \) of the 3+1 slicing of the spacetime (Gourgoulhon 2012) and the Z-vector \( Z_\mu \) within the Z4 system (Bona et al. 2003), the EFE are written as a system that allows for the propagation of numerical constraint violations of the Einstein system (Gundlach et al. 2005). We solve the EFE using the Z4c formulation (Hilditch 2013; Berti et al. 2010), which is a conformal variant of the Z4 system (Bona et al. 2003) (see also Alic et al. 2012). Different from Weyhausen et al. (2012), we find that simulations of BH-NS binaries employing the excision formalism on the initial data require additional damping, \( \kappa_\parallel = 0.07 \), whereas larger damping leads to instabilities of the spacetime evolution. In addition, we find it beneficial to remove the advection part in the shift condition, which is then given by (Alcubierre et al. 2003; Etienne et al. 2008),

\[
\partial_t \beta^i = B^i,
\]

\[
\partial_t B^i = \frac{3}{4} \Gamma^i - \eta B^i,
\]

with damping parameter \( \eta = 1.4 \).

The ideal-GRMHD equations (Duez et al. 2005; Shibata & Sekiguchi 2005; Giacomazzo & Rezzolla 2007) are supplemented by an evolution equation for the magnetic vector potential in the ideal-MHD limit (Del Zanna et al. 2003; Etienne et al. 2010). We additionally impose the Lorenz gauge for the vector potential (Etienne et al. 2012a). Neutrino losses are incorporated using a simplified leakage prescription (Ruffert et al. 1996; Rosswog & Liebendörfer 2003; Galeazzi et al. 2013), that is appropriate for the low temperatures reached in the tidal disruption of a NS (Deaton et al. 2013; Kyutoku et al. 2018).

These equations are solved using the Frankfurt-IllinoisGRMHD code (FIL) (Most et al. 2019b,a). Although FIL is derived from the IllinoisGRMHD code (Etienne et al. 2015), it makes use of a fully fourth-order conservative finite-difference algorithm to discretize the hydrodynamical and electromagnetic flux terms (Del Zanna et al. 2007). Furthermore, it provides routines to use tabulated finite-temperature EOSs and can evolve the electron fraction \( Y_e \). In addition, FIL solves the Z4c system using fourth-order accurate upwinded finite-differences (Zlochower et al. 2005). Details on the implementation and accuracy of the code can be found in Most et al. (2019b).

FIL is built on top of the Einstein Toolkit (Löffler et al. 2012; Babiuc-Hamilton et al. 2019). As such, FIL uses a fixed-mesh box-in-box refinement provided by Carpet (Schnetter et al. 2004). Specifically, we use nine nested Cartesian boxes each at doubling resolution. The outer domain extends to \( \approx 5000 \text{ km} \) in each direction and the initial compact objects are covered by the finest domains with a size of \( 17.7 \text{ km} \) and a resolution of \( \approx 215 \text{ m} \). Additionally, we impose reflection symmetry along the vertical \( z \)–direction.

\[\text{MNRAS} \text{ 000}, 000–000 (2021)\]
3 RESULTS

In this work, we study the merger and post-merger evolution of near equal-mass BH–NS binaries. Before turning to the properties of the accretion disks formed in such mergers, we first provide a very brief overview of their formation. We do this by considering the fiducial system TNTchit0.35. In order to illustrate the disk formation process, we begin by summarizing the dynamical formation of the disk in Fig. 1, which reports the co-moving magnetic energy density $b^2$, the rest-mass density $\rho$, the electron fraction $Y_e$ and the local fluid temperature $T$. The different rows correspond to meridional (top panels) and equatorial (bottom panels) views of the accretion disk around the BH, while the different columns correspond to different times after the merger. The general dynamics of this process have been studied extensively in purely hydrodynamical simulations (Etienne et al. 2009; Kyutoku et al. 2011; Foucart et al. 2012). In order for a massive disk to form during and after merger, tidal disruption has to occur outside of the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) of the BH (Pannarale et al. 2011b; Shibata & Taniguchi 2011). Starting from the left panel, we can see that shortly after tidal disruption, an initial accretion disk begins to form around the BH. Originating from the cold NS matter, the initial disk is very neutron rich ($Y_e < 0.05$), but already reaches temperatures $T \lesssim 10$ MeV. The disk quickly grows in mass and size due to fall-back accretion from the tidal arm (middle column), begins to circularize and a steady accretion flow develops over time. As expected, this happens on the dynamical timescales of the disks, which are proportional to the disk mass $M_{\text{disk}}$, so that the lightest disks circularize first. Initially, the pure neutron matter is far out of beta-equilibrium under these conditions and will rapidly re-equilibrate via beta decay of neutrons, leading to an increasing protonization especially of the low-density parts of the disk. At the same time, the magnetic-field strength is increasing throughout the disk, exceeding $10^{14}$ G locally. More details on the magnetic-field evolution will be given in Sec. 3.3. Finally, after more than 50 ms past merger, the disk has settled into an initial quasi-equilibrium, consisting of a very neutron-rich disk, probing rest-mass densities $\lesssim 10^{11}$ g cm$^{-3}$. A disk formed by this process will then set the initial conditions for the long-term evolution in terms of the accretion flow and mass ejection (Fernández et al. 2015, 2017).

3.1 Disk properties

One of the most important observables of such a gravitational-wave event would be the associated optical counterparts, in particular the kilonova afterglow (see Metzger 2017, for a review). In the case of a BH–NS merger with a massive remnant accretion disk, this will be caused primarily by secular (essentially magnetically and neutrino driven) disk mass ejection (Fernández & Metzger 2013; Fernández et al. 2015; Siegel & Metzger 2017). In addition, dynamical mass ejection will also lead to an early red kilonova component (Kyutoku et al. 2013, 2015; Foucart et al. 2013b; Kawaguchi et al. 2016). Previous simulations of realistic (e.g., Fernández et al. (2017); Nouri et al. (2018)) or idealised (e.g., Siegel & Metzger (2017); Fernández et al. (2019)) remnant disks have shown that a large fraction of the disk material will become unbound. Therefore, it is important...
3.1.1 General observations

In this section we will focus on the composition of the disk formed after the merger and will highlight part of its evolution. As discussed in the previous section using Fig. 1, the disk is formed by the tidal disruption of the NS at merger. The neutron-rich debris then forms an accretion disk, which will become quasi-stationary once the fall-back accretion of matter from the bound part of the tidal tail has ceased. Generically, we find that this happens after roughly 50 – 70 ms.

Having outlined the general stages of the disk formation process, we now present the resulting disks for three different effective spins \( \tilde{\chi} \) (\( \tilde{\chi} = 0.35 \), \( \tilde{\chi} = 0.15 \), \( \tilde{\chi} = 0.00 \)) in Fig. 3. Different from the collision of two NSs, where the compression at merger can produce very high temperatures \( \gg 10 \text{ MeV} \) (Perego et al. 2019; Endrizzi et al. 2020), tidal disruption is not able to significantly heat up the baryonic matter in the simulation domain. In fact, when comparing the different evolutions of the hottest fluid elements in the simulation domain (top panels of Fig. 3), we find that, at most, temperatures of 15 MeV are reached in the case of \( \tilde{\chi} = 0.00 \) only reaches disk masses of \( M_{\text{disk}} = 0.01 M_\odot \) (see Tab. 1). The continued emission of neutrinos leads to a rapid cooling of the disk, which is shown in Fig. 3. Different from the collision of two NSs, where the compression at merger can produce very high temperatures \( \gg 10 \text{ MeV} \) (Perego et al. 2019; Endrizzi et al. 2020), tidal disruption is not able to significantly heat up the baryonic matter in the simulation domain. In fact, when comparing the different evolutions of the hottest fluid elements in the simulation domain (top panels of Fig. 3), we find that, at most, temperatures of 15 MeV are reached in the case of \( \tilde{\chi} = 0.00 \) only reaches disk masses of \( M_{\text{disk}} = 0.01 M_\odot \) (see Tab. 1). At the same time, the zero-spin system \( \text{TNT.chit.0.00} \) only reaches disk masses of \( M_{\text{disk}} = 0.01 M_\odot \). Interestingly, the intermediate case with effective spin \( \tilde{\chi} = 0.15 \) features the highest rest-mass densities of all cases. The magnetic-field strength is highest in the high-spin case, and lowest in the case of zero BH spin. A more detailed discussion of the magnetic-field evolution will be given in Sec. 3.3. The temperature, \( T \), also increases monotonically with spin, albeit in all cases the disks are rather cold \( T \lesssim 10 \text{ MeV} \), and cooling over time.

The continued emission of neutrinos leads to a rapid cooling of the disk, which is shown in Fig. 3. Different from the collision of two NSs, where the compression at merger can produce very high temperatures \( \gg 10 \text{ MeV} \) (Perego et al. 2019; Endrizzi et al. 2020), tidal disruption is not able to significantly heat up the baryonic matter in the simulation domain. In fact, when comparing the different evolutions of the hottest fluid elements in the simulation domain (top panels of Fig. 3), we find that, at most, temperatures of 15 MeV are reached in the case of \( \text{TNT.chit.0.35} \) and about 10 MeV in the lower spin cases. Neutrino emission then leads to a rapid cool-down of the disk to below 10 MeV on a timescale of about \( \sim 50 \text{ ms} \). The magnitude of neutrino luminosity from the disk is also less for the low-spin models (bottom panels of Fig. 3), consistent with the disks being colder. In all cases the initial neutrino luminosity is at least \( 10^{50} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \).

We can further see in Fig. 2 that the “funnel” region is mildly polluted with neutron-rich matter, except in the case of low-spin \( \text{TNTYST} \) models, which feature lower densities at higher electron mass ratios, tidal disruption can be enhanced in our set of models (Most et al. 2020a). Indeed, we find that spin enhances the disk mass as expected from previous studies (Foucart et al. 2018), creating the most extended and massive disk for the high-spin system (left panel), with a mass \( M_{\text{disk}}^{\text{high}} = 0.17 M_\odot \) (see Tab. 1). At the same time, the zero-spin system \( \text{TNT.chit.0.00} \) only reaches disk masses of \( M_{\text{disk}} = 0.01 M_\odot \). Interestingly, the intermediate case with effective spin \( \tilde{\chi} = 0.15 \) features the highest rest-mass densities of all cases. The magnetic-field strength is highest in the high-spin case, and lowest in the case of zero BH spin. A more detailed discussion of the magnetic-field evolution will be given in Sec. 3.3. The temperature, \( T \), also increases monotonically with spin, albeit in all cases the disks are rather cold \( T \lesssim 10 \text{ MeV} \), and cooling over time.
fraction. This likely indicates that this matter is closer to beta-equilibrium which corresponds to more symmetric matter at those densities.

3.1.2 Nuclear composition and weak-interactions

When looking at the nuclear composition of the disks, in terms of the electron fraction \( Y_e \), we can see that the disks become more neutron rich with increasing effective spin. Furthermore, the disks begin to rapidly protonize in the outer layers, since these are transparent to neutrino emission. This can easily be understood when considering that the disks, initially formed from almost pure neutron matter in the tidal disruption process, have a composition that is far from beta-equilibrium under the post-merger conditions. Hence, beta-decay will lead to an increase in the proton–neutron ratio in the disk, that is accompanied by an emission of neutrinos. Quantitatively, this leads to an increase in the electron fraction which easily reaches \( Y_e \approx 0.2–0.3 \). While this is most pronounced for the medium and zero spin cases (right and middle columns in Fig. 2), we expect that on larger time and lengthscales the disk in the highest-spin case (left column) will exhibit a similar behaviour.

This effect has been closely investigated by De & Siegel (2020), who found that starting with constant specific angular momentum and specific entropy disk equilibria, low-mass disks protonize more quickly and that weak interactions switch-off in these disks. Different from these idealized accretion disks, we find that the protonization is not highest in the center around the BH but largely affects a ring of low-density material. In Fig. 4 the spatial distribution of the specific entropy \( s \) and the lepton chemical potential \( \mu_l \) is reported, for which the latter tends to zero in beta-equilibrium\(^1\). Indeed, this proton-rich ring quickly beta-equilibrates (blue regions), as \( \mu_l/k_B T \ll 1 \). Interestingly, we find that in the case of the BHBA\( \Phi \) models (bottom row) an inner ring

---

\(^1\) We recall that for the conserved quantum numbers charge \( Q \), baryon number \( B \), and lepton number \( l \) (e.g., a neutron is a baryon \( (B = 1, l = 0) \)
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Figure 6. Properties of the accretion disks formed in BH – NS merger simulations using the TNTYST EOS for different effective spins \( \tilde{\chi} \) at the same time as in Fig. 2 (\( t - t_{\text{mer}} \approx 100 \) ms). Shown are the temperature \( T \), specific entropy per baryon \( s \), electron fraction \( Y_e \) and specific angular momentum \( j := h\mu_\phi \) sampled based on their local rest-mass density \( \rho \).

of matter close to beta-equilibrium develops, whereas the outer ring

with zero electric charge \( (Q = 0) \), the chemical potential will split as

\[
\mu = B\mu_B + Q\mu_Q + l\mu_L,
\]

where \( \mu_{B,Q,L} \) are the associated chemical potentials. Thus, for beta-equilibrium,

\[
\mu_P + \mu_e - \mu_n = \mu_i \rightarrow 0,
\]

is less equilibrated than in the TNTYST cases. This inner ring is seemingly absent for models with the TNTYST EOS (top row). Moreover, we find that our realistic remnant accretion disks feature density-dependent variations of the specific entropy, \( s < 10 \).

using the neutron chemical potential, \( \mu_n = \mu_B \), the proton chemical potential, \( \mu_P = \mu_B + \mu_Q \), and the electron chemical potential \( \mu_e = \mu_i - \mu_Q \).
To complete our discussion on weak interactions in the disk, we briefly point out the presence of disk self-regulation (Chen & Beloborodov 2007; Siegel & Metzger 2017), following the discussion in Siegel & Metzger (2018). While Fig. 4 would imply that large parts of the disk are out of beta-equilibrium and would have to protonize, self-regulation at the inner edge of the disk, will lead to neutronization that maintains \( Y_e \simeq 0.1 \). This is because in this neutrino transparent regime copious e^+\-pair production will effectively modify the beta-equilibrium condition (Beloborodov 2003). The balance between turbulent heating (induced by the magnetorotational instability, see Sec. 3.3) and neutrino cooling will then establish this reservoir of neutron-rich matter in the inner edge of the disk.

Although this study primarily focusses on obtaining initial conditions for disks after tidal disruption, our long-term simulation (TNT.chit.0.35), was run long enough to exhibit this behaviour. Indeed, as shown in the top panels of Fig. 5, the disk is initially (i.e., at \( t - t_{\text{merg}} = 100 \text{ ms} \)) very neutron rich with \( Y_e < 0.15 \), since it was formed from the neutron-rich-rich of the tidal disrupted NS. However, at the final time of our simulation (i.e., at \( t - t_{\text{merg}} = 350 \text{ ms} \)), large parts of the disk have already begun to protonize, reaching \( Y_e \simeq 0.2 \) at larger distances \( r > 80 \text{ km} \) from the BH, where densities are larger and the cooling less effective. We can also see this by looking at the temperature profiles in the bottom panels of Fig. 5. More specifically, at later times while the main part of the disk cools only slowly, close to the BH and at distances \( r > 40 \text{ km} \), where the densities are smaller and the cooling is more effective, most of the disk matter is even more neutron rich than initially, i.e., having \( Y_e < 0.1 \). This is consistent with the onset of self-regulation and broadly agrees with the findings presented in Siegel & Metzger (2018).

### 3.2 Bulk hydrodynamic properties

We now focus on the hydrodynamic properties of the accretion disk. When constructed as equilibrium configurations in axisymmetry (Fishbone & Moncrief 1976), accretion disks are usually described in terms of the specific angular momenta \( j \), specific entropies \( s \), disk masses \( M_{\text{disk}} \), and their electron fractions \( Y_e \). Typically, those are assumed to be constants in most previous studies (e.g. Siegel & Metzger (2017); Fernández et al. (2019)). Having a set of fully consistent GRMHD simulations of accretion disks formed by tidal disruption in the merger of BH–NS systems, does in fact allow us to compute realistic distributions of the above fluid quantities as functions of the rest-mass density \( \rho \). These are shown in Fig. 6 for simulations using the TNTYST EOS. Starting from the top, we first show the temperature distributions that, in all of the three cases, exhibit a flat plateau around \( T \simeq 2 \text{ MeV} \), which changes to a fall-off for rest-mass densities \( \rho < 10^8 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \). The entropy profiles (second row from the top) are almost linear in all cases, starting from specific entropies \( s < 1 \) at the highest densities and extending outwards until \( s \approx 7 - 8 \) for the lowest densities in the disks, \( \rho \simeq 10^7 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \). Interestingly, low-mass disks, i.e., obtained for \( \chi = [0.00, 0.15] \), seem to feature a small plateau around \( \rho \simeq 10^7 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), corresponding roughly to the transition to the nuclear statistical equilibrium EOS used at low densities. Overall, it is possible to provide an effective fit for the specific entropy in terms of the function

\[
s = \sum_{k=0}^{5} c_k \left( \log_{10} \left( \rho / (\text{g cm}^{-3}) \right) \right)^k.
\]  

where the numerical values for the coefficients \( c_k \) are provided in Tab. 2.

Focussing on the electron fraction \( Y_e \), we can continue our earlier discussion around Fig. 4, where a ring-like structure in the disk approaching beta-equilibrium is observable. Looking at \( Y_e (\rho) \) (third row from the top in Fig. 6), we can see that the bulk of the matter in the disk follows a tight relation with the rest-mass density. The innermost, densest parts of the disk, i.e., \( \rho > 10^{10} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), are at very low electron fractions, \( Y_e < 0.05 \), thus implying that most of the disk is made of almost pure neutron matter. Moving outwards to lower densities and approaching the beta-equilibrated ring (for \( \chi = 0.00, 0.15 \)), the electron fraction peaks at \( Y_e = 0.25 \) for densities around \( \rho = 10^8 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \). Instead of continuing to increase as would be predicted in beta-equilibrium, the electron fraction decreases again to below \( Y_e < 0.1 \). For the highest-spin case, the situation is slightly different. We can see that a small fraction of the disk material exhibits a similar (beta-equilibrated) \( Y_e \)-peak as in the other cases, but most of the disk remains at electron fractions \( Y_e < 0.1 \), indicating that the entire disk remains neutron rich. This is consistent with the weak-interaction “ignition threshold” proposed by De & Siegel (2020).

Concerning the specific angular momentum that governs the structure of the disk (bottom row), we find that depending on the effective spin \( \chi \) and, hence, on the disk mass, the distributions look very different. Although there are different definitions of the relativistic angular momentum \( j \) in use in the literature (Kozlovski et al. 1978), we have found only minor differences between them when extracted from our simulations. For simplicity, we therefore choose to adopt the one used for massive disks \( j := h u_\phi \), where \( h \) is the specific enthalpy and \( u_\phi \) the covariant azimuthal-component of the fluid four-velocity (Kiuchi et al. 2011). For the highest disk mass and spin (left panel), we find for large parts of the disk, \( \rho > 10^8 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), that the specific angular momentum is far from being constant, as customarily assumed in simplified models of equilibrium tori. Rather, the specific angular momentum varies in the range \( 10 < h u_\phi / M_\odot < 20 \), and decreases further out at low densities \( \rho < 10^7 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \) as required by dynamical stability. Only for lower spins and disk masses, can the specific angular momentum be considered closer to a constant, although also in this case it ranges from \( 10 < h u_\phi / M_\odot < 15 \).

In comparison, Fig. A1 in Appendix A, shows the same distributions but for the mergers using the BBH\&F EOS. While the temperature, entropy and electron fraction distributions look overall very similar, the angular momentum distributions are different. For high and medium spin (left and middle panel, bottom row), the results do look comparable, but the zero-spin case features a split of the specific angular momentum in two semi-constant branches.

### 3.3 Magnetic-field evolution

While the disk is cooling, the dynamics of the plasma and magnetic instabilities lead to an increase in the magnetic field strength, as shown in Fig. 7, which displays the evolution of the toroidal and poloidal magnetic energy. Note that after the merger, the disruption of the NS leads to a shearing of the magnetic-field lines in its interior, which causes a sudden amplification of the magnetic field (Rezzolla et al. 2011; Etienné et al. 2012b). Because of the geometry of the disruption event, the amplification will mainly affect the

---

2 Recall that at low-densities matter will be approximately symmetric and, therefore, \( Y_e \simeq 0.5 \).
be seen by the sudden drop in poloidal energy directly after merger a smaller amplification and are mostly accreted by the BH, as can
expectation, we choose to not repeat this calculation at higher
large parts of the disk and that the MRI is likely not active in this
case confirms that the MRI wavelength is not fully resolved in
disk, case
stabilities, such as the MRI, even at lower resolutions in the outer
parts of the disk. The use of such schemes can, however, not allevi-
ate the need for resolving the length scales associated with physical
processes and instabilities. Indeed, we find that the lowest mass
disk, case TNT.chit.0.00, leads to a disk of roughly half the
size of the other cases, pointing to the need for higher resolutions
than we currently employ. The absence of poloidal field growth for
this case confirms that the MRI wavelength is not fully resolved in
large parts of the disk and that the MRI is likely not active in this
simulation. Given the low mass of the disk and the low observa-
tional prospects, we choose to not repeat this calculation at higher
resolution.

Comparing the evolution of the magnetic energy for different
BH spins, we find that the high-spin cases feature larger magnetic
energies, with the models with the TNTYST EOS (solid curves),
having up to an order of magnitude higher electromagnetic ener-
gies than the BHBΛΦ models (dashed curves). This already points
to a mild EOS dependence on the subsequent disk evolution. More-
over, we can already anticipate that the magnetic-field geometry is
largely toroidal by comparing the left and right panels in Fig. 7.
Since the initial structure of the field geometry might critically af-
fect the timescales of the late-time evolution of the disk (Christie
et al. 2019), we next present a detailed analysis of the magnetic-
field topology.

### 3.4 Magnetic-field topology

We can further investigate the structure and properties of the mag-
netic field by looking at density distributions of the poloidal \( B_P \) and
toroidal \( B_T \) magnetic-field components, as shown in Fig. 8 for the
simulations using the TNTYST EOS. Starting from the irrotational
case, \( \tilde{\chi} = 0.00 \) (left column), we can see that, overall, the bulk
of the poloidal and toroidal fields follows a power-law dependence
with the rest-mass density

\[
\log_{10} \left[ \frac{B_P}{B_T} / G \right] = n_{P,T} \log_{10} \left[ \rho / (g \text{ cm}^{-3}) \right] + \text{const.},
\]

where the values of the two coefficients \( n_{P,T} \) are reported in Tab. 2.

Note that the toroidal magnetic field peaks at \( 10^{14} \) \( G \). The
poloidal to toroidal ratio is \( \tilde{B}_P/\tilde{B}_T \approx 0.1 \), and increases locally
to \( \tilde{B}_P/\tilde{B}_T \lessapprox 10 \) for low rest-mass densities \( \rho < 10^8 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \).
This is mainly due to the appearance of the MRI, which causes a
sustained replenishing of the poloidal field (Sadowski et al. 2015).

---

**Table 2.** Numerical coefficients for the fits of the specific entropy \( s \) and magnetic-field strengths \( B_{P,T} \). See Eqs. (5) and (6) for details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( c_0 )</th>
<th>( c_1 )</th>
<th>( c_2 )</th>
<th>( c_3 )</th>
<th>( c_4 )</th>
<th>( c_5 )</th>
<th>( n_{P} )</th>
<th>( n_{T} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNT.chit.0.00</td>
<td>-2.87 × 10^2</td>
<td>1.81 × 10^2</td>
<td>-4.32 × 10^1</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>-2.85 × 10^-1</td>
<td>6.41 × 10^-3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT.chit.0.15</td>
<td>1.32 × 10^1</td>
<td>-5.15 × 10^-1</td>
<td>-1.92 × 10^-2</td>
<td>1.19 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT.chit.0.35</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>-6.09 × 10^-1</td>
<td>2.56 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHB.chit.0.00</td>
<td>-2.21 × 10^2</td>
<td>1.44 × 10^2</td>
<td>-3.53 × 10^1</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>-2.42 × 10^-1</td>
<td>5.53 × 10^-3</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHB.chit.0.15</td>
<td>2.21 × 10^1</td>
<td>-3.16</td>
<td>6.72 × 10^-2</td>
<td>3.55 × 10^-3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHB.chit.0.35</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>-4.15 × 10^-1</td>
<td>2.03 × 10^-2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 7.** Evolution of the magnetic energy, \( E_{EM} \), after the merger at time \( t_{\text{mer}} \). Shown are the total poloidal (left) and toroidal component (right). The colors refer to the different models in terms of their effective spins, \( \tilde{\chi} \). Solid lines represent simulations with the TNTYST and dashed lines with the BHBΛΦ EOSs.
Conversely, this also confirms our initial conclusion that the MRI is likely not resolved in the least massive and, hence, smallest of the disks. While this clearly indicates that any subsequent evolution of this disk at current resolution is not feasible, it also allows us to draw an important conclusion about the correct initial conditions for the magnetic field. Namely, that the profiles seen in the third column of Fig. 8 should be indicative for realistic initial magnetic-field topologies in disks formed directly in BH-NS mergers. Since the subsequent magnetic-field evolution is very modest, these distributions represent the initial magnetic-field configuration in the disk as soon is it equilibrates after merger. More importantly, these distributions are rather different from those normally employed in simulations starting from axisymmetric tori in equilibrium, which often even ignore the presence of a toroidal component.

This qualitative behaviour described before is the same for all disks. However, the larger poloidal-toroidal ratio is indicative of the fact that the MRI is active in increasingly larger parts – and at higher densities – of the disks. For the high-spin case, $\tilde{\chi} = 0.35$, we can clearly see that the MRI is active throughout the disk and that both poloidal and toroidal field grow beyond the simple power-law behaviour outlined before. Looking at the corresponding Fig. A2 in Appendix A, it is possible to deduce that this behaviour holds also for a different EOS, and that the overall magnitude of the magnetic field remains insensitive to the initial choice of EOS for the inspiraling NS. Nonetheless, the slope coefficients $n_{P, T}$ are slightly larger when compared to the simulations with the TNTYST EOS. Although this change is rather minor, it does hint that the slightly
Accretion disks formed in BH–NS mergers

3.5 Prospects of jet launching

Having discussed the composition structure of the disk and the magnetic field topology present in the accretion disks formed in (low-mass) BH–NS mergers, we now turn to the prospect of launching a jet from these systems. While this process has been thoroughly studied for accretion disks found in supermassive BH accretion (Abramowicz & Fragile 2013; Porth et al. 2019; Davis & Tchekhovskoy 2020), only a few attempts have been made in the context of NS mergers, both in ideal (Rezzolla et al. 2011; Paschalidis et al. 2015; Kiuchi et al. 2015; Kawamura et al. 2016; Ruiz et al. 2018b) and resistive MHD (Dionysopoulou et al. 2015; Qian et al. 2018). Unless an external field was initially seeded by means of a force-free like magnetosphere (Paschalidis et al. 2015; Ruiz et al. 2020), most simulations have only observed the formation of a helical magnetic-field structure in the funnel region (Kawamura et al. 2016), however, not an actual (relativistic) outflow. In most cases, strong baryon pollution from the disk created large ram pressures preventing the funnel from clearing and acquiring a magnetically dominated, force-free state (Kiuchi et al. 2014, 2015). Since most of these simulations were run with low resolutions and for short timescales \( \lesssim 100 \) ms, it currently remains an open problem to understand under what conditions the magnetization of the funnel would grow over longer timescales. Recent simulations of accretion disks with toroidal magnetic fields indeed indicated that the timescale for magnetic-field growth is significantly longer than for initial poloidal geometries typically used to study jet launching (Liska et al. 2018; Christie et al. 2019). While these studies benefit from having higher resolutions close to the BH horizon, due to the use of better suited spherical coordinate systems, the use of a fully fourth-order numerical scheme allows us to accurately capture magnetic instabilities in the disk with fewer grid points than needed for second-order codes (Most et al. 2019b). Therefore, we evolve one of the systems TNYST.chi.035 until \( \sim 350 \) ms in order to gauge its prospect for jet launching. Since the BH retains a net linear momentum after merger, we continue to solve the Einstein equations alongside those of GRMHD.

In Fig. 9 we report the evolution of the funnel region in terms of plasma parameter \( \beta^{-1} := b^2 / (2p) \) – where \( b^2 \) is the comoving magnetic energy density and \( p \) the fluid pressure – and the vertical flow velocity \( u^z / u^\theta \). Note that while the funnel starts out being only weakly magnetized (left panel), the magnetization grows steadily over time with increasingly larger portions exhibiting \( \beta^{-1} > 1 \) (middle and right panels) in the polar regions above the BH (funnel). The projected magnetic-field lines indicate a twistor shape field geometry as observed previously by Kawamura et al. (2016). At the same time, the funnel regions begins to evacuate on essentially the same timescale. A steadily increasing inflow, indicated by the dark violet regions in Fig. 9, strongly hints to an eventual clearing of the funnel, although large patches are still strongly polluted by disk inflows (lower half of the panels). In order to better quantify the growing magnetization of the funnel, we introduce a characteristic scale-height \( \langle z \rangle_{\beta=1} \), which corresponds to the average \( z \)-coordinate with the plasma parameter \( \beta = 1 \). This parameter may be interpreted as a proxy for how the magnetiza-
tion of the funnel varies in the vertical direction. We caution that a fully force-free funnel would require \( \beta \ll 1 \), although such values will in practice not be present until shortly before jet launching. We show the corresponding evolution of the scale-height in Fig. 10. Although there are inherent fluctuations over time, on average we find that the magnetization scale-height is constantly growing with a power law \( \langle z \rangle_{z=1} \propto t^\alpha \), with \( \alpha = 1.78 \). At the end of our simulation, this scale-height extends to about 140 km. If this growth was sustained at the same rate, the scale-height would double around \( \approx 500 \text{ ms} \). Since the rest-mass densities decrease at larger distances from the BH, it might be possible for this growth to accelerate at sufficient distance from the BH, due to the faster decrease in pressure in those regions.

In order to better understand this behaviour, we have performed a detailed analysis of the magnetic-field topology in the funnel and the disk. To be more precise, we have computed mean magnetic-field energy densities \( \langle B^2 \rangle \) for the toroidal and poloidal components in the funnel and in the disk. We define the funnel region simply in terms of density and angular cut-offs. More specifically, we define the funnel to be within \( 30^\circ \) from the polar axis and contain densities of at most \( 10^9 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \). The resulting evolution is shown in Fig. 11. We can see that initially the magnetic-field strength is not growing in the funnel region. Only after \( \approx 200 \text{ ms} \), when the funnel has begun to clear we do see a rapid growth in magnetic energy following a power law with exponent \( \alpha \approx 7.73 \), which continues for around \( 50 \text{ ms} \). Afterwards the growth does not stop, but continues at a lower rate. Surprisingly, it turns out that the growth is comparable to the growth of the magnetization scale-height, having an exponent \( \alpha \approx 1.78 \), consistent with the scaling reported in Fig. 10. At the same time the magnetic energy density in the disk is decreasing, likely because of accretion regions with strong magnetic fields in the accretion disks, which are closest to the ISCO, see Fig. 2.

Finally, we also consider the electromagnetic energy outflowing from the system. This is quantified in terms of the Poynting flux

\[
L_{\text{EM}} = \oint T^i_{\text{EM}} ds^i,
\]

extracted on a spherical surface at around 500 km from the BH. Here \( T^i_{\text{EM}} \) is the electromagnetic part of the stress-energy tensor (Bambi et al. 2003). The resulting luminosity is shown in Fig. 12. Starting at \( 10^{49} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) at merger, the Poynting flux steadily increases and saturates at \( 10^{49} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) after about 300 ms.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the results of a series of simulations in full general relativity leading to the formation of accretion disks in the aftermath of the merger of BH-NS systems in the near equal-mass regime parametrized by the maximum mass of a nonrotating NS. Including strong magnetic fields, weak interactions and realistic EOS, the disks have been evolved for \( \approx 100 \text{ ms} \) after merger until a quasi-stationary disk equilibrium has been established. We have then provided a detailed comparison of the disk properties for three different disk masses and BH spins. In particular, we have found that the disks, while remaining very cold, \( T \lesssim 2 \text{ MeV} \), have specific-entropy distributions that follow power-laws in terms of the rest-mass density, \( s \propto \rho^{-\alpha} \). Similarly, we were able to confirm that light disks more quickly beta-equilibrate, while more massive disks remain neutron rich (De & Siegel 2020). Importantly, massive disks have specific angular-momentum distributions that are
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Figure 12. Electromagnetic luminosity $L_{\text{EM}}$ in terms of the Poynting flux for the long-term evolution of model TNTYST.chi.035. The time is measured relative to the time of merger $t_{\text{mer}}$.

Although disk formation for these systems is largely governed by the spin of the BH (Foucart 2012; Foucart et al. 2018), it remains to be confirmed if the magnetic-field topology and entropy profiles of the disk found here continue to hold also for these systems. Since the primary formation mechanism, i.e., tidal disruption, operates similarly in all cases, we conjecture that most likely our results should be transferable and therefore also hold qualitatively.

Finally, we have investigated the prospects of jet launching from these disks. Although we have not observed the launching of a jet, we did find strong evidence for continued funnel clearing. We have identified the characteristic funnel magnetization and clearing time scales. In particular we found that the magnetization scale-height of the funnel and the magnetic energy associated with it follow a power law, with the dominant component being $\propto t^2$. Interestingly, by the end of our simulation a region extending 140 km above the BH started to reach a strong magnetization as indicated by the plasma beta parameter approaching unity. Finally, we found that a continuous Poynting luminosity of $10^{49}$ erg s$^{-1}$ is driven at late times.

Future work will be required to further investigate whether jet launching is possible in these systems. Crucially, higher resolutions and longer simulation times $> 1$ s will be needed. In that context it is of particular relevance to point out that the parameter ranges found for realistic accretion disks in terms of composition, disk mass, specific entropy and magnetic-field topology can be used to initialize such simulations, thereby removing the need to perform full numerical relativity simulations to accurately study the long-term evolution of this problem.
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APPENDIX A: BHBΛΦ MODELS

In this appendix, we show the disk properties for models using the BHBΛΦ EOS. In particular, Fig. A1 shows the hydrodynamical properties, whereas Fig. A2 shows the topology of the magnetic field present in the disk. These figures should be contrasted with the equivalent representations in Figs. 6 and 8, which refer instead to the TNTYST EOS (see Secs. 3.2 and 3.3 for a discussion of those results).
Figure A1. Same as Fig. 6 but for simulations using the $\Phi\Lambda\Phi$. 
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Figure A2. Same as Fig. 8 but using the BHBΛΦ EOS.