Oscillations in the EMRI gravitational wave phase correction as a probe of reflective boundary of the central black hole
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We discuss the energy loss due to gravitational radiation of binaries composed of exotic objects whose horizon boundary conditions are replaced with reflective ones. Our focus is on the extreme mass-ratio inspirals, in which the central heavier black hole is replaced with an exotic compact object. We show, in this case, a modulation of the energy loss rate depending on the evolving orbital frequency occurs and leads to two different types of modifications to the gravitational wave phase evolution; the oscillating part directly corresponding to the modulation in the energy flux, and the non-oscillating part coming from the quadratic order in the modulation. This modification can be sufficiently large to detect with future space-borne detectors.

Introduction: The nature of black hole event horizon is a focus of new frontiers of physics. The stringy paradigm of black holes predicts a little exotic nature of black hole event horizon, which may appear as a modified boundary condition for perturbations around a black hole spacetime \cite{1,2}.

If black holes in coalescing binary systems were replaced with a little fat exotic objects like boson stars or gravastars \cite{3,5}, the effect may appear in the binary inspiral waveform through the tidal deformability or the spin-induced quadrupole moment \cite{6,10}. In this respect, constraints from the application to the LIGO-Virgo data have been already obtained \cite{11,13}. In future, space gravitational wave antenna missions such as LISA (Laser Interferometer Space Antenna) \cite{14} will open a new window to search for exotic compact objects (ECOs). There are various proposals aiming at discriminating ECOs from ordinary black holes \cite{15,18}.

If the modification from the classical prediction of general relativity (GR) appeared only in the vicinity of the place where the event horizon existed in the black hole case, the signal of modification would appear in a different way. One possible signature is the presence of echoes caused by the the waves reflected by the modified boundary condition \cite{19,20}. Some analyses of echoes in gravitational waves just after binary coalescence suggest the presence of reflecting boundary for low frequency gravitational waves near the horizon \cite{21,23}. There have been many follow-up analyses about echo signal \cite{24,29} and most of them are negative to the presence of signal. In particular, the echo waveform should have an imprint of the angular momentum barrier around the black hole if the modification is restricted to the boundary conditions near the horizon \cite{30,31}. In our previous analysis \cite{28}, we did not find any significant signal when we adopt such a physically motivated waveform as the template. However, it is also true that there seems some statistically meaningful signature which cannot be interpreted as random noises, if we follow the analysis method adopted in the original claim.

The process of binary coalescence is highly dynamical, and therefore the modification from the prediction of classical GR might be a little more complicated than described by the modification to the boundary condition near the horizon. Here in this paper we argue that the best place to test the hypothesis of reflective boundary conditions near the horizon should be extreme mass-ratio inspirals (EMRIs), which are one of the targets of LISA and other future space gravitational wave detectors \cite{35–39}.

During the observation period, we can observe many cycles of gravitational wave oscillations. Thus, we can determine the phase evolution of EMRIs very precisely. The leading order of the phase evolution is determined by the secular variation of the orbital parameters, under the adiabatic approximation. The modification to the boundary condition near the horizon is expected to modify the gravitational waves emitted by the EMRI system and therefore the phase evolution. Previously, there are several works to see the distinction of ECOs from BHs in the EMRI waveform in the contexts of tidal heating \cite{15,40,41}, tidal deformability \cite{42} and area quantization \cite{43}. We show that the effect appears in a rather distinctive way in the case of reflective boundary conditions, i.e., the energy loss rate from the EMRI system suffers from a modulation caused by the interference among the waves directly emitted to the infinity and the reflected ones. The energy loss rate is increased or decreased depending on the orbital frequency. The magnitude of this effect on the binary phase evolution is expected to be sufficiently large to detect. While the energy loss rate averaged over a sizable range of frequency turns out to be identical to the one in GR. Nevertheless, the cumulative effect on the EMRI phase evolution that appears non-linearly is also detectable. Throughout this letter we adopt the units with $G = c = 1$.

Energy loss rate with modified boundary: In GR Teukolsky radial function that satisfies

\begin{equation}
\Delta^{-s} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \Delta^{s+1} \frac{dR}{dr} \right) + V(r) R = 0,
\end{equation}

with an appropriate potential $V(r)$ \cite{44}, takes the follow-
ing asymptotic forms of solutions,

\[ R = \begin{cases} 
  r^{-1-s}e^{\pm i\omega r^*}, & \text{for } r^* \to +\infty, \\
  \Delta^{(s \pm 1)/2}e^{\pm ik r^*}, & \text{for } r^* \to -\infty,
\end{cases} \tag{2} \]

where \( k = \omega - m\Omega_H \), \( r^* = \int dr(r^2 + a^2)/\Delta \), \( \Omega_H = am/2Mr_+ \), and \( a \) are the mass and the Kerr parameter of the black hole, and \( r_+ \) is the horizon radius in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. We can define the reflection and transmission coefficients \( R \) and \( T \) for the incident in-going wave from infinity as

\[ R_{in} = \begin{cases} 
  r^{-1-e^{-i\omega r^*}} + \Re r^{-1-2e^{i\omega r^*}}, & \text{for } r^* \to +\infty, \\
  \Re^{-s}e^{-ikr^*}, & \text{for } r^* \to -\infty.
\end{cases} \tag{3} \]

Similarly, for the wave coming from the past horizon, we have

\[ R_{up} = \begin{cases} 
  \Re r^{-1-2e^{i\omega r^*}}, & \text{for } r^* \to +\infty, \\
  e^{i\omega r^*} + \Re \Delta^{-s}e^{-ikr^*}, & \text{for } r^* \to -\infty.
\end{cases} \tag{4} \]

The Wronskian relation tells that

\[ W(R_1, R_2) := \Delta^{s+1} \left( R_1 \frac{dR_2}{dr} - R_2 \frac{dR_1}{dr} \right) \tag{5} \]

is constant, if both \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are solutions of Eq. [1], which implies the relation

\[ \tilde{T} = \frac{2Mr_+k - is(r_+ - M)}{\omega} T. \tag{6} \]

We consider a modified boundary condition such that implies

\[ \frac{\alpha^+}{\alpha^-} = R_b \frac{\epsilon_-}{\epsilon_+}, \tag{7} \]

where \( \alpha^\pm \) are the coefficients in the asymptotic form of the radial function at \( r^* \to -\infty \),

\[ R \to \alpha^+ e^{ikr^*} + \alpha^- e^{-ikr^*}, \tag{8} \]

and \( \epsilon^\pm \) are the coefficients that translate the bare amplitude of the radial function \( R(r) \) into the energy fluxes into/out of the horizon. The explicit expressions for \( \epsilon^\pm \) are given by [14]

\[ \epsilon^2 - \frac{256(2Mr_+)^3(k^2 + 4\epsilon^2)(k^2 + 16\epsilon^2)k^3}{|C_{SC}|^2}, \tag{9} \]

\[ \epsilon^2 = \frac{\omega^3}{k(2Mr_+)^3(k^2 + 4\epsilon^2)} \tag{10} \]

with

\[ \epsilon = \frac{\sqrt{M^2 - a^2}}{4Mr_+}, \tag{11} \]

\[ |C_{SC}|^2 = \left( \frac{(\alpha + 2)^2 + 4\alpha\omega m - 4\alpha^2\omega^2}{|\alpha^2 + 36\alpha\omega m - 36\alpha^2\omega^2|} + 144\omega^2(M^2 - a^2) + 48\omega(2\alpha + 3)(2\omega - m) \right). \tag{12} \]

where \( \lambda \) is the separation constant between the radial and angular equations. \( R_b \) is a complex number whose squared absolute value \( |R_b|^2 \) gives the reflection rate at the boundary. \( \epsilon^2 \) is negative when \( \omega \) and \( k \) have the opposite signature, which is the condition for the super-radiance, i.e., \( 0 < \omega < m\Omega_H \) for a positive \( m \). We denote the Green’s function for the original GR problem as

\[ G(r, r') = \frac{1}{W(R_{in}, R_{up})} \left\{ R_{in}(r)R_{up}(r') \theta(r' - r) + R_{up}(r)R_{in}(r') \theta(r - r') \right\}. \tag{13} \]

The Green’s function for the modified boundary condition would be obtained by simply replacing \( R_{in} \) with \( \tilde{R}_{in} := R_{in} + \beta R_{up} = \beta e^{i\omega r^*} + (T + \beta \tilde{T}) \Delta^{-s}e^{-ikr^*}, \tag{14} \]

where \( \beta \) is determined as such that \( \tilde{R}_{in} \) is proportional to the asymptotic form given in Eq. [5]. More explicitly, \( \beta \) is given by

\[ \beta = \mathcal{T} R_b \frac{\epsilon_-}{\epsilon_+} \left( 1 - \Re R_b \frac{\epsilon_-}{\epsilon_+} \right)^{-1}, \tag{15} \]

in terms of \( R_b \).

Under the ordinary outgoing boundary conditions in GR, we would have

\[ R = \begin{cases} 
  Z_\infty \tilde{T} r^{-1-2e^{i\omega r^*}}, & \text{for } r^* \to +\infty, \\
  Z_H \mathcal{T} \Delta^{-s}e^{-ikr^*}, & \text{for } r^* \to -\infty,
\end{cases} \tag{16} \]

and the coefficients \( Z_\infty \) and \( Z_H \) are given by

\[ Z_{\infty|H} = \frac{1}{W(R_{in}, R_{up})} \int S(r)R_{in|up}(r)dr, \tag{17} \]

where \( S(r) \) is the appropriate source function [15]. The energy fluxes to the infinity and to the horizon are, respectively, given by

\[ F(\infty) = \frac{|\tilde{T}|^2 Z_\infty^2}{4\pi \omega^2}, \quad F(H) = \frac{e^2 |\mathcal{T}|^2 |Z_H|^2}{4\pi \omega^2}. \tag{18} \]

For the modified boundary conditions, we have

\[ F_{\infty|H} \cdot (\text{mod}) = \frac{|\tilde{T}|^2 (Z_\infty + \beta Z_H)^2}{4\pi \omega^2}, \]

\[ F_{\infty|H} \cdot (\text{mod}) = \frac{(\epsilon^2 |T + \beta \tilde{T}|^2 - \epsilon^2 |\beta|^2) |Z_H|^2}{4\pi \omega^2}. \tag{19} \]

Then, the difference of the total energy flux from the GR case is computed as

\[ \delta F^{(tot)} := \left( F_{\infty|H} \cdot (\text{mod}) - \left( F(\infty) + F(H) \right) \right) = \delta F^{(\infty)} + \delta F^{(H)} \tag{20} \]
where
\[ \delta F^{\infty H} = \frac{|4Mr_+k - 2is(r_+ - M)|}{\omega} \times \sqrt{|F(\infty)F(H)|} \left| r \frac{Z_\infty Z_H \beta}{Z_\infty Z_H e_-} \right| , \]
\[ \delta F^{HH} = -2F(H)\Re \left( \frac{\hat{R} \beta}{T} \right) . \]
(21)

Here, we used the relation
\[ c_s^2 - c_s^2 |\hat{R}|^2 = |\hat{T}|^2 , \]
which follows from the energy flux conservation. Respective terms in \( \delta F^{(\text{tot})} \) oscillate depending on the phases in \( \Re \), and their amplitudes of oscillation \( \delta F^{\infty H} \) and \( \delta F^{HH} \) are simplified as
\[ \frac{\delta F^{\infty H}}{\sqrt{|F(\infty)F(H)|}} = \frac{|2Mr_+k - 2is(r_+ - M)|^2 |\beta|^2}{\omega^2 c_s^2} \]
\[ = \frac{1 - |\hat{R}|^2}{|1 - \Re Rb|^2 |\Re b|^2} , \]
\[ \frac{\delta F^{HH}}{|F(H)|} = \frac{|\Re Rb|^2}{|\hat{T}|^2} = \frac{|\hat{T}|^2}{|1 - \Re Rb|^2} , \]
where we have defined
\[ \hat{R} := \frac{c_s}{c_s} \hat{R} . \]
(23)

\(|\hat{R}|^2 \) represents the physical reflection rate by the angular momentum potential barrier. At first glance, in Eq. (20), \( \delta F^{\infty H} \) seems dominant since \( F(\infty) \gg F(H) \), i.e., \( F(H) \) is 2.5 higher order in the post-Newtonian expansion. (Strictly speaking, logarithmic correction is also associated.) However, for modes with small \( M\omega \), typical for the inspiral phase, \(|\hat{R}| \) should be close to unity. Thus, \( \delta F^{\infty H} \) is suppressed. In fact, \( \Re Rb \) will vary depending on the frequency and typically the period of one cycle in \( \omega \), \( \Delta \omega \), would be approximately a constant roughly estimated by \( 2\Delta \omega |r_+^n| \approx 2\pi \), where \( r_+^n \) is the hypothetical boundary in tortoise coordinate. Hence, it is expected that the phase \( \Re Rb \) will vary by much more than \( 2\pi \) during the inspiral, if we assume the boundary is placed at the Planck distance as in the models of echo signal \((|r_+^n/M| = O(500))\).

An interesting observation is that the rapid frequency dependence in the expressions (21) comes only from the phase of \( \beta \). If we neglect the other frequency dependence, \( \delta F^{\infty H} \) and \( \delta F^{HH} \) averaged over a certain frequency range take the form of
\[ \Re \int d\omega \frac{c_1 e^{ir_\omega^H} - c_2 e^{ir_\omega^L}}{1 - c_2 e^{ir_\omega^L}} . \]
(25)

with some constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \). This form of integral over one period of the cycle \( \Delta \omega \) exactly vanishes.

Here, we should worry about the presence of super-radiant instability in the ergo-region [40][48]. If \( |R_b| \) is close to unity, the Kerr black hole mimicker will become unstable. When we increase \( |R_b| \), a super-radiant instability mode appears when
\[ R_b = \hat{R}^{-1} , \]
(26)
is satisfied for some real value of \( \omega \). We should recall that \( |\hat{R}| > 1 \) for modes with \( \omega < m\Omega_H \). Therefore, the condition (26) can hold even when \( |R_b| < 1 \). The difference in the total energy flux \( \delta F \) diverges there, as we can see from the vanishing of the denominators in Eqs. (23). Therefore, the analysis presented here is valid only when \( R_b \) is arranged to evade the ergo-region instability, as a natural requirement.

Interestingly, even if we consider the case of perfect reflection with \( |R_b| = 1 \), the instability can be evaded if the phase of \( R_b \) is appropriately arranged not to satisfy the condition (26) for any amplitude \( |R_b| \leq 1 \) and for any value of \( \omega \). However, as long as we consider some physically motivated boundary at the Planck distance from the horizon, \( R_b \) should contain an overall factor like \( e^{2im\omega r_+^n} \), corresponding to the round-trip distance to the boundary. Therefore, absence of super-radiant modes will not be compatible with the perfect reflection.

**Results:** In this following, to evaluate the impact of the modified boundary conditions to the evolution of an EMRI, we compute the energy fluxes of the gravitational radiation from the EMRI system. To evaluate both the unmodified and modified fluxes in Eqs. (18) and (19), in this work, we calculate the two homogeneous solutions satisfying Eqs. (3) and (4) by using the Sasaki-Nakamura formalism [49]. We also need to calculate the asymptotic amplitudes, Eq. (17), including the source term of the inhomogeneous Teukolsky equation. The explicit formulas of the amplitudes for general orbits are given in the literature, e.g., Ref. [45]. Since the modified boundary condition depends on the unknown physics, we set the reflection rate on the boundary as \( R_b = |R_b|e^{-2ikr_+^n} \) with \( |R_b| = (0.50,0.90) \), by hand. The phase factor simply reflects the distance to the boundary, and no additional phase shift depending on the frequency is assumed.

As a simple example, we compute the energy fluxes to the infinity and the horizon for circular and equatorial orbits, through Eq. (18). In Fig. 1, we show the fluxes of \((l,m) = (2,2)\) mode for the two different values of \( |R_b| \) with \( a = \pm 0.7M \), \( r_+^n = -500M \). (Plus sign of \( a \) means that the orbit is co-rotating.) Here, we note that, since the gravitational wave frequency \( \omega \) is less than the BH horizon angular frequency \( \Omega_H \), the horizon flux is negative (super-radiant). In the same plots, we also show the correction terms to the modified flux. We can see that, as mentioned before, \( \delta F^{\infty H} \) (green dash-dot lines in the plots) is suppressed compared to \( \delta F^{HH} \). We can also find several peaks for all cases, which correspond to the resonance induced by the confinement of gravita-
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The number of cycles with the unmodified and modified distribution of the inspiral faster, while a negative one does it slower. We can confirm that the peaks are placed with an equal separation in \( \omega \), and the signature of peaks is opposite between the co-rotating and counter-rotating cases.

To evaluate the influence of the modification on the gravitational waveform clearly, we consider the number of cycles of gravitational waves from a quasi-circular, equatorial EMRI, defined by

\[
N = \int_{r(t)}^{r_{\text{ISCO}}} \frac{\Omega_\phi}{\pi} \frac{dE}{dr} dr,
\]

where \( \Omega_\phi \), \( E \) and \( r_{\text{ISCO}} \) are the orbital angular velocity, the specific energy of the particle and the radius of the inner-most stable circular orbit (ISCO), from which the cycle is accumulated. From the balance argument of the energy, the energy loss of the particle, \( dE/dt \) can be estimated by the flux of the gravitational wave emitted by the EMRI system, \( F \), as \( dE/dt = -F \). For the purpose of estimating the order of magnitude of the effect, here we take into account only the leading order contribution of \( F \) due to \((l,m) = (2, \pm 2)\) mode. We denote the number of cycles with the unmodified and modified fluxes as \( N(t) \) and \( N_{\text{mod}}(t) \), respectively. In the upper panels in Fig. 3, we show the correction to the number of cycles, \( \Delta N := N_{\text{mod}} - N \), for five years before reaching the ISCO. Here, we consider two cases of EMRIs: one with the component masses, \( (\mu, M) = (1.4, 1.4 \times 10^6) M_\odot \) and \( a = 0.7 \) (left), and the other with \( (1.4, 1.4 \times 10^6) M_\odot \) (right, bottom).

The plots in Fig. 2 show the total modification to the number of cycles, \( \Delta N = N_{\text{mod}} - N \) (top, right), and \( (0.7M, 0.9) \) (bottom, left), \( (0.7M, 0.5) \) (bottom, left), \( (0.7M, 0.9) \) (top, left), \( (0.7M, 0.5) \) (bottom, right).

The interval between two successive peaks is roughly estimated by \( \Delta \omega \sim \pi/|r_m^*| \sim 0.0063/M \) (see also Fig. 2).

The plots in Fig. 2 show the total modification to the flux, \( \delta F \), for \( a = \pm 0.7M \) and \( |R_b| = 0.90 \) cases. To specify the direction of the modification in the semi-logarithmic scale, we plot the positive contribution with red solid curves, and the negative one with blue dashed curves. A positive modification of the flux makes the evolution of the inspiral faster, while a negative one does it slower. We can confirm that the peaks are placed with an equal separation in \( \omega \), and the signature of peaks is opposite between the co-rotating and counter-rotating cases.
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**FIG. 1.** Energy flux and correction to energy flux due to the modification of the inner boundary condition. Here we consider the \((l,m) = (2,2)\) mode for circular equatorial orbits, and set \(|a| = 0.7M\), \( v_r^* = 500M\), \( x_b = |R_b|e^{-2ikr^*_b} \). We show the results for four cases, \((a, |R_b|) = (0.7M,0.9)\) (top, left), \((0.7M,0.5)\) (bottom, left), \((-0.7M,0.9)\) (top, right), and \((-0.7M,0.5)\) (bottom, right).
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**FIG. 2.** Total correction to energy flux due to the modification of the inner boundary condition, for equatorial and circular orbits with \( a = 0.7M \) (upper panel) and \( a = -0.7M \) (lower). We fix \((l,m) = (2,2), v_r^* = 500M R_b = 0.9e^{-2ikr^*_b} \). The horizontal axis is the gravitational wave frequency \( \omega \), and vertical axis is the flux divided by the mass ratio \( \mu/M \).
FIG. 3. Time dependence of the cycle of gravitational wave emitted by a quasi-circular, equatorial EMRI with the component masses, (μ, M) = (1.4, 1.4 × 10^6)M⊙ for a = 0.7 (left) and (μ, M) = (1.4, 1.4 × 10^6)M⊙ for a = −0.7 (right). The upper panels show the corrections to the number of cycles during five years for the orbiting object to reach the ISCO radius, induced by the modification of the inner boundary condition. The lower panels show the oscillating parts of the corrections extracted from ∆N by subtracting the smoothly changing part. Here we set r_0 = −500M, R_b = 0.9e^{-2ikr_0}. During the last five years before reaching the ISCO, the gravitational wave frequency sweeps from 3.63 mHz to 6.64 mHz for the left panel, and from 6.53 mHz to 20.5 mHz for the right panel.

and a = −0.7 (right). We assume the reflectivity on the boundary as R_b = 0.9e^{-2ikr_0}.

∆N is divided into two parts: one is the oscillating part and the other is the smoothly changing part. In the lower panel in Fig. 3, we show the plots to estimate the oscillating part of ∆N by subtracting its smoothly changing part. The smoothly changing part is obtained by fitting ∆N in the plotted interval (five years) by a third order polynomial function in the form of a_1(t - t_c) + a_2(t - t_c)^2 + a_3(t - t_c)^3, where t_c is the time to pass the ISCO radius. In many previous studies, basically something like the latter part was discussed. However, in the present model the energy flux averaged over frequency does not deviate from the case of GR. In fact, ∆N at a large |t - t_c| (outside of these plots) can be explained by the shift of t_c and constant phase. However, as shown in Fig. 3, definitely there exists non-oscillating part of the phase shift. This shift arises because the average is not taken over the frequency but over the time. The frequency ranges corresponding to the peaks in ∆N is swept slowly (quickly) compared with the other parts for the co-rotating (counter-rotating) case. This effect appears at the second order of ∆F, and ∆N due to this effect is roughly estimated by \(\int (\delta F/F)^2 dt\). The dependence of the frequency average of \((\delta F/F)^2\), \((\delta F/F)^2\), on R_b is proportional to \(|\mathcal{R}R_b|^2/(1 - |\mathcal{R}R_b|^2)\), which explains the ratio between the magnitudes of ∆N for the two cases with |R_b| = 0.5 and 0.9. In the plotted range, the change of the frequency is so tiny that ∆N looks proportional to t - t_c, which would be consistent with the above estimate. In the post-Newtonian regime, the effect should behave as 5th post Newtonian correction, reflecting the scaling of \(\langle (\delta F/F)^2\rangle\). The magnitude of this correction to the phase is larger compared with the oscillating part, but it is not clear if we can say that this signal is really uniquely caused by the reflective boundary.

For the oscillating part, we can estimate the amplitude of oscillation of ∆N, ∆N, and the oscillation period T, using the same approximation adopted in Eq. (25), as

\[
\Delta N \approx T \frac{F^{HH} |\mathcal{R}R_b|}{8r_b^* F}, \quad T \approx \frac{1}{r_b^*} \left(\frac{df}{dt}\right)^{-1}.
\] (28)

Here, we assume \(\delta F = \delta F^{HH}\). For a fixed observation period, T should be at most comparable to the observation period, in order to detect the oscillations. Once T is fixed, ∆N is maximized by choosing the orbit close to the inner-most stable orbit and reducing the mass of the central black hole. From these considerations, we chose the mass parameters in Fig. 3. These oscillations of the phase shift, which are periodic in terms of the gravitational wave frequency, should be very unique for the reflective near-horizon boundary, and it will be a smoking gun.

We heard a work by M. van de Meent, E. Maggio and P. Pani, which independently obtained very similar results, after we almost finished writing this letter.
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