

Cyclic codes over a non-chain ring $R_{e,q}$ and their application to LCD codes

Habibul Islam

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Patna, India

Edgar Martínez-Moro

Institute of Mathematics, University of Valladolid, Spain

Om Prakash*

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Patna, India

Abstract

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of order q , a prime power integer such that $q = et + 1$ where $t \geq 1, e \geq 2$ are integers. In this paper, we study cyclic codes of length n over a non-chain ring $R_{e,q} = \mathbb{F}_q[u]/\langle u^e - 1 \rangle$. We define a Gray map φ and obtain many maximum-distance-separable (MDS) and optimal \mathbb{F}_q -linear codes from the Gray images of cyclic codes. Under certain conditions we determine linear complementary dual (LCD) codes of length n when $\gcd(n, q) \neq 1$ and $\gcd(n, q) = 1$, respectively. It is proved that a cyclic code \mathcal{C} of length n is an LCD code if and only if its Gray image $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is an LCD code of length $4n$ over \mathbb{F}_q . Among others, we present the conditions for existence of free and non-free LCD codes. Moreover, we obtain many optimal LCD codes as the Gray images of non-free LCD codes over $R_{e,q}$.

Keywords: Cyclic code, LCD code, Optimal code, MDS code, self-dual code, Gray map.

2010 MSC: 94B15, 94B05, 94B60.

1. Introduction

Cyclic codes are one of the most important classes of linear codes and they possess rich algebraic properties. A cyclic code of length n over a ring (or field) R is defined as an R -submodule (resp. subspace) of R^n closed under the cyclic shift. Over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q they can be easily classified via ideals of the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ but usually their structure is non-trivial for the case of finite rings. The algebraic structure such as generator polynomials, generator matrices are useful to obtain their parameters like rank or minimum distances. In the field case the standard notation $[n, k, d]$ is used to represent a linear code of length n , dimension k and distance d . There are many well-known theoretical relations among these parameters,

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `habibul.pma17@iitp.ac.in` (Habibul Islam), `edgar.martinez@uva.es` (Edgar Martínez-Moro), `om@iitp.ac.in` (Om Prakash)

and some of them are Singleton bound, Plotkin bound, Gilbert-Varshamov bound, Hamming bound and Griesmer bound, etc. A code attaining any of these bounds is called maximum-distance-separable (MDS) for that bound. In 1997, Kanwar and Lopez-Permouth [23] determined the structure of cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_{p^m} while Pless et al. [31] obtained self-dual cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Later, in 1999, Bonnecaze and Udaya [6] studied cyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2, u^2 = 0$. Also, Blackford [5] classified all cyclic codes of length $2n$ (n is odd integer) over \mathbb{Z}_4 . After that, Abualrub et al. [2] discussed these codes of length 2^e over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Also, in a more general setup, cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings are extensively studied in [10]. Later, many papers contributed significant tools and results to obtain the structural properties of these codes over finite rings, see [1, 3, 15, 20, 21, 22, 37].

Linear complementary dual (shortly LCD) codes were introduced by Massey [29], in 1992. An LCD code is defined as a linear code having a trivial intersection with its dual code. These codes have gained serious attention due to their recent successful application in cryptography [8]. In 2016, Carlet and Guilley [8] shown that LCD codes with possibly large minimum distances prevent the resistance against side-channel attacks in a cryptosystem. In addition, these codes have a much simpler nearest-codeword decoding algorithm than linear codes, and are widely used in communications systems, data storage, and consumer electronics. In 1994, Yang and Massey [35] completely classified cyclic LCD codes over finite fields. Later, Sendrier [32] shown that LCD codes attain the Gilbert-Varshamov bound. In 2009 Esmaeili and Yari [13] studied quasi-cyclic LCD codes. Later, Li et al. [24] obtained many optimal and good LCD codes over finite fields. Also, Dougherty et al. [11] discussed LCD codes along with the linear programming bounds. Further, Galvez et al. [14] determined many bounds on LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_2 .

Recently, LCD codes over finite chain rings are extensively studied in [12, 25, 26]. They have determined necessary and sufficient conditions of a linear code to be an LCD code and show the existence of asymptotically good LCD codes over finite chain rings. Therefore, it is still open to investigate the structure of LCD codes over finite commutative non-chain rings. Very recently, these codes have been explored over a non-chain ring $\mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q$ by Yadav et al. [34]. Here, we consider a class of finite commutative non-chain rings with unity $R_{e,q} = \mathbb{F}_q[u]/\langle u^e - 1 \rangle$ where $q = et + 1$ and $e \geq 2, t \geq 1$ are integers. Then $R_{e,q}$ is a semi-local Frobenius ring of order q^e . The main concern of this paper is to obtain the structure of cyclic and LCD codes of length n over $R_{e,q}$ and to construct optimal linear (or LCD) codes from them. With the help of a new Gray map φ , we derive many optimal and MDS codes from cyclic codes over $R_{e,q}$. Further, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions of cyclic codes to LCD codes. Finally, many optimal LCD codes are presented to validate our obtained results.

2. Preliminary

Let p be an odd prime and $q = p^m$ such that $q = et + 1$ where $t, m \geq 1, e \geq 2$ are integers. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a field of order q and denote $R_{e,q} = \mathbb{F}_q[u]/\langle u^e - 1 \rangle$. Then it is easy to check that $R_{e,q}$ is a finite commutative semi-local, Frobenius and non-chain ring with unity and characteristic p . Also $R_{e,q}$ can be written as $R_{e,q} = \mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + u^2\mathbb{F}_q + \cdots + u^{e-1}\mathbb{F}_q$, where $u^e = 1$, thus an arbitrary element $r \in R_{e,q}$ can be written as $r = a_0 + ua_1 + u^2a_2 + \cdots + u^{e-1}a_{e-1}$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $0 \leq i \leq e-1$. Recall that a nonempty subset $\mathcal{C} \subseteq R_{e,q}^n$ is said to be a *linear code* of length n if it is an $R_{e,q}$ -submodule of $R_{e,q}^n$ and elements in \mathcal{C} are called codewords. Also, the rank of a code \mathcal{C} is the minimum number of generators for \mathcal{C} and the free rank is the rank of \mathcal{C} when it is a free module over $R_{e,q}$. A typical linear code of length n and rank k is denoted by $[n, k]$. The inner product between two vectors $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in R_{e,q}^n$ is defined as $x \cdot y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i y_i$. The orthogonal of a linear code \mathcal{C} is denoted by \mathcal{C}^\perp and defined by $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{x \in R_{e,q}^n \mid x \cdot y = 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Note that the orthogonal code \mathcal{C}^\perp is also a linear code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. The linear code \mathcal{C} is said to be *self-orthogonal* if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp$ and *self-dual* if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$.

Since $e \mid (q-1)$, the polynomial $u^e - 1$ uniquely splits into linear factors over the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[u]$. Suppose

$$u^e - 1 = \prod_{i=1}^e (u - \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{F}_q[u].$$

Let $G_i = u - \alpha_i$ and $\widehat{G}_i = \frac{(u^e - 1)}{G_i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Since $\gcd(G_i, \widehat{G}_i) = 1$, there exist $z_i, h_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[u]$ such that $z_i G_i + h_i \widehat{G}_i = 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Let $\mu_i = h_i \widehat{G}_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Lemma 1. *Assuming the same notations discussed above and mod $(u^e - 1)$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i = 1$, and*

$$\mu_i \mu_j = \begin{cases} \mu_i, & \text{if } i = j \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_e\}$ is a set of orthogonal idempotent elements in $R_{e,q}$.

Proof. For $i \neq j$, we have $(u - \alpha_i) \mid h_j \widehat{G}_j$ and $(u - \alpha_j) \mid h_i \widehat{G}_i$. Thus, $\mu_i \mu_j = h_i \widehat{G}_i h_j \widehat{G}_j = 0 \pmod{u^e - 1}$. To prove $\mu_i^2 = \mu_i$, it is enough to show $(u - \alpha_s) \mid (\mu_i^2 - \mu_i)$ for all $s = 1, 2, \dots, e$. For $i \neq s$, it follows from the construction. If $i = s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i^2 - \mu_i &= \mu_i(\mu_i - 1) \\ &= h_i \widehat{G}_i (h_i \widehat{G}_i - 1) \\ &= z_i G_i (z_i G_i - 1), \text{ (since } z_i G_i + h_i \widehat{G}_i = 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(u - \alpha_i) \mid (\mu_i^2 - \mu_i)$, and hence $\mu_i^2 = \mu_i$. Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i &= \prod_{i=1}^e (1 - \mu_i) \quad (\text{since } \mu_i^2 = \mu_i, \mu_i \mu_j = 0, i \neq j) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^e (1 - h_i \widehat{G}_i) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^e z_i G_i \\
&= 0 \pmod{u^e - 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i = 1 \pmod{u^e - 1}$. □

Taking into account Lemma 1 and the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have the decomposition

$$R_{e,q} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i R_{e,q} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Therefore, any element $r \in R_{e,q}$ can be uniquely expressed as $r = \sum_{i=1}^e s_i \mu_i$, for $s_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, 1 \leq i \leq e$. Then the units of $R_{e,q}$ can be classified by the result that r is a unit in $R_{e,q}$ if and only if s_i is a unit in \mathbb{F}_q , for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Let $GL_e(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the set of all $e \times e$ invertible matrices over \mathbb{F}_q . Let $M \in GL_e(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $MM^T = \gamma I_e$, where M^T is the transpose matrix of M , I_e is the identity matrix of order e and $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Since q is odd, so for the existence of such matrix M , we restrict $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that γ^e is a square in \mathbb{F}_q^* (see [[17], Definition 7.6.4 and Proposition 7.6.6]). Now, we define a map $\varphi : R_{e,q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^e$ by

$$\varphi(r) = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_e)M = \mathbf{r}M, \tag{1}$$

where $r = \sum_{i=1}^e s_i \mu_i \in R_{e,q}$, for $s_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, 1 \leq i \leq e$. Here, we use \mathbf{r} for the vector (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_e) . The map φ can be extended to $R_{e,q}^n$ as follows:

$$\varphi : R_{e,q}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{en}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) &= [(s_{0,1}, s_{0,2}, \dots, s_{0,e})M, (s_{1,1}, s_{1,2}, \dots, s_{1,e})M, \dots, (s_{n-1,1}, s_{n-1,2}, \dots, s_{n-1,e})M] \\
&= (\mathbf{r}_0 M, \mathbf{r}_1 M, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{n-1} M),
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $r_i = \sum_{j=1}^e s_{i,j} \mu_j$, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

The Hamming weight $w_H(c)$ is the number of non-zero components of the codeword $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ and the distance between two codewords is given by $d_H(c', c'') = w_H(c' - c'')$. The Hamming distance of \mathcal{C} is defined as $d_H(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{d_H(c', c'') \mid c' \neq c'', \text{ for all } c', c'' \in \mathcal{C}\}$. The Gray weight of any element $r \in R_{e,q}$ is $w_G(r) = w_H(\varphi(r))$ and the Gray weight for $\bar{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) \in R_{e,q}^n$ is $w_G(\bar{r}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_G(r_i)$. The Gray distance between any two codewords c', c'' is defined as $d_G(c', c'') = w_G(c' - c'')$ and the Gray distance of \mathcal{C} is $d_G(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{d_G(c', c'') \mid c' \neq c'', c', c'' \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Now, we present an example for $e = 3, q = 7$ to show the procedure of finding orthogonal idempotent elements and the corresponding Gray map.

Example 1. Let $e = 3, t = 2, q = 3 \cdot 2 + 1 = 7$ and $R_{3,7} = \mathbb{F}_7[u]/\langle u^3 - 1 \rangle$. Then in $\mathbb{F}_7[u]$, we have

$$u^3 - 1 = (u + 3)(u + 5)(u + 6).$$

Let $G_1 = u + 3, G_2 = u + 5, G_3 = u + 6$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{G}_1 &= \frac{u^3 - 1}{G_1} = (u + 5)(u + 6), \\ \hat{G}_2 &= \frac{u^3 - 1}{G_2} = (u + 3)(u + 6), \\ \hat{G}_3 &= \frac{u^3 - 1}{G_3} = (u + 3)(u + 5).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}h_1 &= 6, z_1 = (u + 3), \mu_1 = 6(u + 5)(u + 6), \\ h_2 &= 3, z_2 = 4(u + 4), \mu_2 = 3(u + 3)(u + 6), \\ h_3 &= 5, z_3 = 2(u + 2), \mu_3 = 5(u + 3)(u + 5).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\}$ is the set of orthogonal idempotent elements in $R_{3,7} = \mathbb{F}_7[u]/\langle u^3 - 1 \rangle$, and hence by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have $R_{3,7} = \mu_1 R_{3,7} \oplus \mu_2 R_{3,7} \oplus \mu_3 R_{3,7} \cong \mu_1 \mathbb{F}_7 \oplus \mu_2 \mathbb{F}_7 \oplus \mu_3 \mathbb{F}_7$. Again, any element $r \in R_{3,7}$ can be written as

$$r = a_0 + ua_1 + u^2a_2 = (a_0 + 4a_1 + 2a_2)\mu_1 + (a_0 + 2a_1 + 4a_2)\mu_2 + (a_0 + a_1 + a_2)\mu_3.$$

Thus, the map $\varphi : R_{3,7} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_7^3$ is given by

$$\varphi(r = a_0 + ua_1 + u^2a_2) = (a_0 + 4a_1 + 2a_2, a_0 + 2a_1 + 4a_2, a_0 + a_1 + a_2)M,$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_7$, for $0 \leq i \leq 2$ and $M \in GL_3(\mathbb{F}_7)$ with $MM^T = \gamma I_3$, $\gamma^3 \in \mathbb{F}_7^*$ is a square.

In Table 1, we give some examples of orthogonal idempotent set for different values of e and q . Also, we calculate the canonical components of $r \in R_{e,q}$ such that $r = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i s_i$, which are useful in the subsequent examples.

Now, we remind some well-known techniques from [15] as follows: Let A_i be a linear code for $1 \leq i \leq e$, we denote $\bigoplus_{i=1}^e A_i = \{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_e \mid a_i \in A_i \forall i\}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. For $1 \leq i \leq e$, define $\mathcal{C}_i := \{s_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \exists s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_e \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^e s_i \mu_i \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Then \mathcal{C}_i is a linear code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Also, the code \mathcal{C} can be uniquely expressed as $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ and

$$|\mathcal{C}| = \prod_{i=1}^e |\mathcal{C}_i|. \text{ Moreover, a generator matrix for the code } \varphi(\mathcal{C}) \text{ can be obtained as } M = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(\mu_1 M_1) \\ \varphi(\mu_2 M_2) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi(\mu_e M_e) \end{pmatrix},$$

where M_i is a generator matrix of \mathcal{C}_i , for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Lemma 2. *The map φ defined in equation (2) is linear and isometric from $(R_{e,q}^n, \text{Gray distance})$ to $(\mathbb{F}_q^{en}, \text{Hamming distance})$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of the Gray weight. \square

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code of length n over $R_{e,q}$, $|\mathcal{C}| = q^{ek}$ and Gray distance d_G .*

1. *Then $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is an $[en, k, d_H]$ linear code over \mathbb{F}_q where $d_H = d_G$.*
2. *$\varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = (\varphi(\mathcal{C}))^\perp$.*
3. *$\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is a self-orthogonal (Euclidean) linear code of length en over \mathbb{F}_q if \mathcal{C} is a self-orthogonal (Euclidean) linear code over $R_{e,q}$.*
4. *$\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is a self-dual code if and only if \mathcal{C} is a self-dual code.*

Proof. 1. Since φ is a linear map, $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is a linear code of length en over \mathbb{F}_q . Also, φ being isometric, $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is an $[en, k, d_H]$ linear code over \mathbb{F}_q with $d_H = d_G$.

2. Let $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $d = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$, where $c_j = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i t_j^i$, $d_j = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i m_j^i$, $t_j^i, m_j^i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for all i, j . Now, $c \cdot d = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j d_j = 0$ gives, $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (t_j^1 m_j^1 + t_j^2 m_j^2 + \dots + t_j^e m_j^e) = 0$. Again,

$$\varphi(c) = [(t_0^1, t_0^2, \dots, t_0^e)M, \dots, (t_{n-1}^1, t_{n-1}^2, \dots, t_{n-1}^e)M] = (\alpha_0 M, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} M)$$

and

$$\varphi(d) = [(m_0^1, m_0^2, \dots, m_0^e)M, \dots, (m_{n-1}^1, m_{n-1}^2, \dots, m_{n-1}^e)M] = (\beta_0 M, \dots, \beta_{n-1} M),$$

where $\alpha_j = (t_j^1, t_j^2, \dots, t_j^e)$, $\beta_j = (m_j^1, m_j^2, \dots, m_j^e)$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $MM^T = \gamma I_e$. Now,

$$\varphi(c) \cdot \varphi(d) = \varphi(c)\varphi(d)^T = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j M M^T \beta_j^T = \gamma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j \beta_j^T = \gamma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (t_j^1 m_j^1 + t_j^2 m_j^2 + \dots + t_j^e m_j^e) = 0.$$

Since $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $d \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ are arbitrary, so $\varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \subseteq (\varphi(\mathcal{C}))^\perp$. On the other side, as φ is a bijective linear map, so $|\varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp)| = |(\varphi(\mathcal{C}))^\perp|$. Therefore, $\varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = (\varphi(\mathcal{C}))^\perp$.

3. Let \mathcal{C} be self-orthogonal, that is, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Now, $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = (\varphi(\mathcal{C}))^\perp$. Hence $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is a self-orthogonal linear code of length en over \mathbb{F}_q .
4. Let \mathcal{C} be self-dual, that is $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Then $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) = \varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = (\varphi(\mathcal{C}))^\perp$. Hence $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is a self-dual linear code over \mathbb{F}_q . Conversely, let $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ be a self-dual code. Then $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) = (\varphi(\mathcal{C}))^\perp = \varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$. Since φ is bijection, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Hence \mathcal{C} is a self-dual code of length n over $R_{e,q}$.

□

Now, to obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of self-dual codes we calculate dual codes in the next result, analogous to Theorem 5 of [36].

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ be a linear code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then*

1. $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i^\perp$, and
2. \mathcal{C} is self-dual (Euclidean) if and only if \mathcal{C}_i is self-dual (Euclidean), for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Proof. 1. Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Also, let

$$\mathcal{S}_i := \{s_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \exists s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_e \text{ such that } \sum_{j=1}^e s_j \mu_j \in \mathcal{C}^\perp\}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq e.$$

Thus, \mathcal{C}^\perp can be uniquely written as $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{S}_i$. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1^\perp$. On the other side, let $r \in \mathcal{C}_1^\perp$. Then $r \cdot a_1 = 0$ for all $a_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Let $z = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i a_i \in \mathcal{C}$. Now, $\mu_1 r \cdot z = \mu_1 a_1 \cdot r = 0$, which implies $\mu_1 r \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$. From the unique expression of \mathcal{C}^\perp , we have $r \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_1^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1$. Hence, $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{C}_1^\perp$. Similarly, $\mathcal{C}_i^\perp = \mathcal{S}_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq e$. Consequently, $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i^\perp$.

2. Further, \mathcal{C} is self-dual if and only if $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i^\perp = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_i^\perp = \mathcal{C}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

□

3. Cyclic codes over $R_{e,q}$

In this section, we determine the structure of cyclic codes of length n over $R_{e,q}$ and use these results to obtain LCD codes in the subsequent section. Note that in [27] the authors considered this type of codes as codes over an affine algebras with a finite commutative chain coefficient ring where the affine algebra is $R_{e,q}$. However, we will construct them from scratch based on the results before so we get an explicit description of the duality and LCD condition based on Theorem 2 above.

Definition 3.1. A linear code \mathcal{C} of length n over $R_{e,q}$ is said to be a cyclic code if for any $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $\sigma(c) := (c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Here, σ is called the cyclic shift operator.

We identify each codeword $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ by a polynomial $c(x) \in R_{e,q}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ under the correspondence $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \mapsto c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} \pmod{x^n - 1}$. Under this identification, we have the following result.

Theorem 3. Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then \mathcal{C} is a cyclic code if and only if \mathcal{C} is an ideal of the ring $R_{e,q}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Let $a(x) \in R_{e,q}[x]$ and $c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $xc(x) = c_0x + c_1x^2 + \dots + c_{n-2}x^{n-1} + c_{n-1}x^n = c_{n-1} + c_0x + c_1x^2 + \dots + c_{n-2}x^{n-1} \pmod{x^n - 1} = \sigma(c) \in \mathcal{C}$. In similar manner, for any positive integer $i \geq 2$, we have $x^i c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$. Since \mathcal{C} is an $R_{e,q}$ -submodule, $a(x)c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence, \mathcal{C} is an ideal of $R_{e,q}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$.

Conversely, let \mathcal{C} be an ideal of $R_{e,q}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$. Let $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Now, $\sigma(c) = c_{n-1} + c_0x + c_1x^2 + \dots + c_{n-2}x^{n-1} = xc(x) \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence \mathcal{C} is a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. \square

Theorem 4. [19] Let \mathcal{C} be a cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . Then there exists a unique polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x) \rangle$ and $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle h^*(x) \rangle$ where $x^n - 1 = g(x)h(x)$ and $h^*(x)$ is the reciprocal polynomial of $h(x)$.

Theorem 5. A linear code $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ of length n over $R_{e,q}$ is cyclic if and only if \mathcal{C}_i is a cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q , for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Let $a^i = (a_0^i, a_1^i, \dots, a_{n-1}^i) \in \mathcal{C}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Take $r_j = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i a_j^i$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Then $r = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ and hence $\sigma(r) = (r_{n-1}, r_0, \dots, r_{n-2}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Again $\sigma(r) = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i \sigma(a^i) \in \mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$. Therefore, $\sigma(a^i) \in \mathcal{C}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Hence \mathcal{C}_i is a cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Conversely, let \mathcal{C}_i be a cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Let $r = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ where $r_j = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i a_j^i$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Then $a^i = (a_0^i, a_1^i, \dots, a_{n-1}^i) \in \mathcal{C}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Now, $\sigma(r) = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i \sigma(a^i) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C}$. Hence \mathcal{C} is a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. \square

By the help of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 we prepare Theorem 6 to obtain the generator polynomial for cyclic codes.

Theorem 6. Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then there exists a unique polynomial $g(x) \in R_{e,q}[x]$ such that $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x) \rangle$ and $x^n - 1 = g(x)h(x)$ where $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i g_i(x)$ and $x^n - 1 = g_i(x)h_i(x)$, for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then by Theorem 5, \mathcal{C}_i is a cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q for $1 \leq i \leq e$. By Theorem 4, we have $\mathcal{C}_i = \langle g_i(x) \rangle$ where $x^n - 1 = g_i(x)h_i(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Let $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i g_i(x)$. Then $\langle g(x) \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. On the other hand, $\mu_i g_i(x) = \mu_i g(x) \in \langle g(x) \rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Thus $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \langle g(x) \rangle$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x) \rangle$. Since $g_i(x)$ is unique for $1 \leq i \leq e$, then $g(x)$ is unique.

Further, we have $g(x) \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i h_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i g_i(x) h_i(x) = x^n - 1 \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i = x^n - 1$. Hence $x^n - 1 = g(x)h(x)$ where $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i h_i(x)$. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Every cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$ is principally generated, that is, $R_{e,q}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ is a principal ideal ring.*

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$ and $\mathcal{C}_i = \langle g_i(x) \rangle$ such that $x^n - 1 = g_i(x)h_i(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Then*

1. $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i^\perp$ is a cyclic code over $R_{e,q}$,
2. $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i h_i^*(x) \rangle$, where $h_i^*(x)$ is the reciprocal polynomial of $h_i(x)$, i.e., $h_i^*(x) = x^{\deg(h_i(x))} h_i(1/x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$,
3. $|\mathcal{C}^\perp| = q^{\sum_{i=1}^e \deg(g_i(x))}$.

Proof. 1. Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then by Theorem 5, \mathcal{C}_i is a cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Then \mathcal{C}_i^\perp is a cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q for $1 \leq i \leq e$. By Theorem 5, $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i^\perp$ is a cyclic code over $R_{e,q}$.

2. Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then by Theorem 4, $\mathcal{C}_i^\perp = \langle h_i^*(x) \rangle$ where $x^n - 1 = g_i(x)h_i(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Therefore, similar to the proof of Theorem 6, we have $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i h_i^*(x) \rangle$, where $h_i^*(x)$ is the reciprocal polynomial of $h_i(x)$.

3. Since $|\mathcal{C}_i^\perp| = q^{\deg(g_i(x))}$, so $|\mathcal{C}^\perp| = \prod_{i=1}^e |\mathcal{C}_i^\perp| = q^{\sum_{i=1}^e \deg(g_i(x))}$. \square

Now, we present some examples of cyclic codes over $R_{e,q}$ and their \mathbb{F}_q -images which include MDS and optimal linear codes. The calculation involved in these examples is carried out by the Magma computation system [7].

Example 2. *Let $e = 2, q = 5, n = 10$ and $R_{2,5} = \mathbb{F}_5[u]/\langle u^2 - 1 \rangle$. Then $\mu_1 = \frac{1+u}{2}$ and $\mu_2 = \frac{1-u}{2}$. Let*

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}_5).$$

Then M satisfies $MM^T = 3I_2$ and the Gray map $\varphi : R_{2,5} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_5^2$ is defined by

$$\varphi(a_0 + ua_1) = (a_0 + a_1, a_0 - a_1) \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again

$$x^{10} - 1 = (x + 1)^5(x + 4)^5 \in \mathbb{F}_5[x].$$

Let $\mathcal{C} = \langle \mu_1 g_1(x) + \mu_2 g_2(x) \rangle$ be a cyclic code of length 10 over $R_{2,5}$ where $g_1(x) = x + 4$ and $g_2(x) = (x + 1)(x + 4)^3 = x^4 + 3x^3 + 2x + 4$. Therefore, $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is a $[20, 15, 4]$ linear code over \mathbb{F}_5 and as per the database [16], it is an optimal linear code.

Example 3. Let $e = 2, q = 11, n = 5$ and $R_{2,11} = \mathbb{F}_{11}[u]/\langle u^2 - 1 \rangle$. Now, in $\mathbb{F}_{11}[x]$, we have

$$x^5 - 1 = (x + 2)(x + 6)(x + 7)(x + 8)(x + 10).$$

Let $g_1(x) = (x + 8)(x + 10) = x^2 + 7x + 3$ and $g_2(x) = (x + 6)(x + 7) = x^2 + 2x + 9$. Then $\mathcal{C} = \langle \mu_1 g_1(x) + \mu_2 g_2(x) \rangle$ is a cyclic code of length 5 over $R_{2,11}$. Again, let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}_{11}),$$

satisfying $MM^T = 8I_2$. Therefore, the Gray image $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ has parameters $[10, 6, 5]$, which is an MDS code satisfying $n - k + 1 - d = 0$.

Example 4. Let $\mathcal{C} = \langle \mu_1 g_1(x) + \mu_2 g_2(x) \rangle$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{2,q} = \mathbb{F}_q[u]/\langle u^2 - 1 \rangle$, where $g_i(x) \mid x^n - 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q),$$

satisfying $MM^T = 8I_2$. Now, in Table 2 and Table 3, the generator polynomials $g_i(x)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are presented in the third and fourth column, respectively and the Gray images $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ are included in the fifth column. As per the database [16], the codes obtained in the fifth column of Table 2 are optimal and the best-known linear codes (BKLC). On the other hand, the codes obtained in the fifth column of Table 3 attaining the singleton bound $n - k + 1 - d = 0$ are MDS.

4. LCD codes over $R_{e,q}$

In the previous section we characterized cyclic codes of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Here, using their structure we construct LCD codes of length n (Corollary 4.1 and 4.2). Moreover, we discuss about the existence of free and non-free LCD codes. Recall that a linear code \mathcal{C} of length n is said to be a *linear complementary dual* (shortly, LCD) code if $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp = \{0\}$.

Definition 4.1. A cyclic code \mathcal{C} is said to be a reversible cyclic code if for any $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$, its reverse translated codeword $(c_{n-1}, c_{n-2}, \dots, c_1, c_0)$ also is in \mathcal{C} .

Recall that a cyclic code of length n over a field \mathbb{F}_q is a reversible cyclic code if it is generated by a self-reciprocal factor of $x^n - 1$. Also, LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_q are characterized by Yang and Massey [35]. Using these results here we determine the structure of LCD codes over $R_{e,q}$. Now, we recall the following results.

Lemma 3. [35] Let $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x) \rangle$ be a cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q .

1. Let $\gcd(n, q) \neq 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is an LCD code if and only if $g(x)$ is self-reciprocal and all monic irreducible factors of $g(x)$ have the same multiplicity in $g(x)$ and $x^n - 1$.
2. Let $\gcd(n, q) = 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is an LCD code if and only if it is a reversible code.

Theorem 7. Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then \mathcal{C} is an LCD code if and only if \mathcal{C}_i is an LCD cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp = \{0\}$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_i^\perp = \{0\}$. Hence the result follows. \square

Corollary 4.1. Let $\gcd(n, q) \neq 1$. Also, with the notations of Theorem 6, let $\mathcal{C} = \langle \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i g_i(x) \rangle$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$, where $g_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ and $g_i(x) \mid (x^n - 1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Then \mathcal{C} is an LCD code if and only if $g_i(x)$ is self-reciprocal and each monic irreducible factor of $g_i(x)$ has the same multiplicity in $g_i(x)$ and in $(x^n - 1)$, for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Proof. It follows from part 1 of Lemma 3 and Theorem 7. \square

Theorem 8. Let $\gcd(n, q) = 1$ and $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then \mathcal{C} is an LCD code if and only if \mathcal{C}_i is a reversible code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Proof. It follows from the combination of part 2 of Lemma 3 and Theorem 7. \square

Corollary 4.2. Let $\gcd(n, q) = 1$ and $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^e \mu_i \mathcal{C}_i$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$ where $\mathcal{C}_i = \langle g_i(x) \rangle$ is a cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Then \mathcal{C} is an LCD code if and only if $g_i(x)$ is self-reciprocal polynomial in \mathbb{F}_q , for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Lemma 4. Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then $\varphi(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp) = \varphi(\mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{C})^\perp$, where φ is the Gray map defined in equation (2).

Proof. Let $a \in \varphi(\mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$. Then there exist $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $c' \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ such that $\varphi(c) = \varphi(c') = a$. Now, φ being injective, we have $c = c' \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Therefore, $a = \varphi(c) \in \varphi(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp)$. Hence, $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \subseteq \varphi(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp)$.

On the other side, let $a \in \varphi(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp)$. Then there exists $c \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp$ such that $\varphi(c) = a$. Again, $c \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp$ implies that $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Therefore, $\varphi(c) \in \varphi(\mathcal{C})$ and $\varphi(c) \in \varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$. Hence, $a = \varphi(c) \in \varphi(\mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$. This shows that $\varphi(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp) \subseteq \varphi(\mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$. Hence, combining both sides we have $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = \varphi(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp)$. Also, by Theorem 1, $\varphi(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = \varphi(\mathcal{C})^\perp$. Thus, $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{C})^\perp = \varphi(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp)$. \square

Theorem 9. *Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then \mathcal{C} is an LCD code if and only if its Gray image $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is an LCD code of length en over \mathbb{F}_q .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be an LCD code of length n over $R_{e,q}$. Then $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp = \{0\}$. Now, by Lemma 4, $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{C})^\perp = \varphi(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp) = \varphi(\{0\}) = \{0\}$. This implies that $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is an LCD code of length en over \mathbb{F}_q .

Conversely, let $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ be an LCD code of length en over \mathbb{F}_q . Then $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{C})^\perp = \{0\}$. Also, by Lemma 4, we have $\varphi(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp) = \varphi(\mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi(\mathcal{C})^\perp = \{0\}$. Since φ is injective, we have $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp = \{0\}$. Hence, \mathcal{C} is an LCD code of length n over \mathcal{R} . \square

4.1. Existence of non-free LCD codes over $R_{e,q}$

In [4], the authors proved that there does not exist any non-free LCD codes over finite commutative local Frobenius ring, or in other words, every LCD code over commutative local Frobenius ring is free. Also, the authors gave the conditions in case of a non-local ring for a code to be LCD. Here we show that both free and non-free LCD cyclic codes exist over the commutative semi-local Frobenius ring $R_{e,q}$ in terms of the degree of the polynomials defining them. To do so we use the following result.

Lemma 5. *The element $\sum_{i \in T} \mu_i$ is a zero divisor in $R_{e,q}$, for any non-trivial subset T of $\{1, 2, \dots, e\}$.*

Proof. Since $\mu_i(1 - \mu_i) = 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq e$, then $\sum_{i \in T} \mu_i \prod_{i \in T} (1 - \mu_i) = 0$, for any non-trivial subset T of $\{1, 2, \dots, e\}$. Also, as $\mu_i \mu_j = 0$, for $i \neq j$, then $\prod_{i \in T} (1 - \mu_i) = 1 - (\sum_{i \in T} \mu_i) \neq 0$. Hence the result. \square

As we discussed in the previous section a cyclic code of length n is given by $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x) \rangle$ where $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i g_i(x)$. Since $g_i(x)$ is a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, $g(x)$ is monic if the degrees of all polynomials $g_i(x)$, for $1 \leq i \leq e$ are same. Also, if the degrees of all polynomials $g_i(x)$ are not same, then by Lemma 5, $g(x)$ is a polynomial having a zero divisor leading coefficient. Therefore, $g(x)$ is a non-monic polynomial. Now, for the case when $g(x)$ is monic, by using the division algorithm on $R_{e,q}[x]$ and a similar argument of Theorem 4 in [33], we conclude that \mathcal{C} is free. On the other hand, when $g(x)$ is non-monic, if possible, let us assume that \mathcal{C} is free. Then by similar argument of Theorem 5 in [33], we have a monic polynomial $g'(x) \in R_{e,q}[x]$ such that $\mathcal{C} = \langle g'(x) \rangle$ and $g'(x) \mid x^n - 1$. Since $g(x)$ is non-monic, this contradicts the fact that $g(x)$ is the unique polynomial in $R_{e,q}[x]$ such that $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x) \rangle$ and $g(x) \mid x^n - 1$. Therefore, under above discussion, we have the following results.

Theorem 10. Let $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x) \rangle$ be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{e,q}$ where $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i g_i(x)$.

1. \mathcal{C} is free if the degrees of $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_e(x)$ are same.
2. \mathcal{C} is non-free if the degrees of $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_e(x)$ are not same.

Unlike commutative local Frobenius ring, both free and non-free LCD codes exist over $R_{e,q}$ and can be constructed by following Corollary 4.1, Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 10. Now, we discuss some examples of non-free LCD codes over $R_{e,q}$ which present many optimal LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_q as the Gray images of these codes.

Example 5. Let $e = 2, q = 2 \cdot 2 + 1 = 5, n = 6$ and $R_{2,5} = \mathbb{F}_5[u]/\langle u^2 - 1 \rangle$. Let \mathcal{C} be a cyclic code of length 6 over $R_{2,5}$. Now,

$$x^6 - 1 = (x + 1)(x + 4)(x^2 + x + 1)(x^2 + 4x + 1) \in \mathbb{F}_5[x].$$

Let $g_1(x) = x + 4$ and $g_2(x) = (x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1) = x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 1$. Since $g_1(x), g_2(x)$ are self-reciprocal polynomials, by Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 10, we have $\mathcal{C} = \langle \mu_1 g_1(x) + \mu_2 g_2(x) \rangle$ is a non-free LCD code of length 6 over $R_{2,5}$. Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}_5),$$

satisfying $MM^T = 2I_2$. Then by Theorem 9, the Gray image $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is an LCD code with parameters $[12, 8, 4]$ and it is an optimal code according to [16].

Example 6. Let $e = 3, q = 3 \cdot 2 + 1 = 7, n = 3$ and $R_{3,7} = \mathbb{F}_7[u]/\langle u^3 - 1 \rangle$. Now,

$$x^3 - 1 = (x + 3)(x + 5)(x + 6) \in \mathbb{F}_7[x].$$

Let $g_1(x) = x + 6, g_2(x) = 1$ and $g_3(x) = (x + 2)(x + 3) = x^2 + x + 1$. Then $\mathcal{C} = \langle \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i g_i(x) \rangle$ is a cyclic code of length 3 over $R_{3,7}$. Since $\gcd(4, 7) = 1$ and $g_i(x)$ is self-reciprocal polynomial for $i = 1, 2$, by Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 10, we say that \mathcal{C} is a non-free LCD code. Again, let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_3(\mathbb{F}_7),$$

satisfying $MM^T = 2I_3$. Then by Theorem 9, the Gray image $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is an LCD code with parameters $[9, 6, 3]$, which is an optimal code by [16].

Example 7. Let $\mathcal{C} = \langle \mu_1 g_1(x) + \mu_2 g_2(x) \rangle$ be a non-free LCD code of length n over $R_{2,q}$ where $\gcd(n, q) = 1$

and $g_1(x), g_2(x)$ are self-reciprocal factors of $x^n - 1$. Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q),$$

satisfying $MM^T = 2I_2$. Again, by Theorem 9, $\varphi(C)$ is an LCD code. In Table 4, we present generator polynomials $g_1(x), g_2(x)$ in the 3rd and 4th column, respectively. Also, their \mathbb{F}_q -images are included in the 5th column which presents many optimal codes as per [16].

Remark 1. 1. In order to present Tables 2, 3 and 4 briefly, we write the vector consisting coefficients of the polynomial $g_i(x)$ in decreasing order. For example, we write the vector $(1, 3, 0, 2, 4)$ to represent the polynomial $x^4 + 3x^3 + 2x + 4$.

2. As we can see in Tables 2 and 4, the codes of parameters $[16, 11, 4], [20, 15, 4], [24, 19, 4], [32, 27, 4], [12, 8, 3], [12, 7, 4], [16, 12, 3], [26, 22, 3], [50, 45, 4], 46, 21, 4]$ satisfy $n - k + 1 - d = 2$ while the remaining codes satisfy $n - k + 1 - d = 1$. Therefore, although these codes are not MDS (with respect to the singleton bound) they are very close to be MDS, having good parameters.

5. Conclusion

Here, we have obtained many MDS and optimal codes from the Gray images of both cyclic and LCD codes over $R_{e,q}$. To compute the parameters of codes, we have used the Magma computation system [7]. Apart from the obtained parameters, one can further find more optimal codes using the derived results and the Gray map φ . The article justifies the fact that LCD codes over non-chain rings are a useful tool to obtain optimal LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_q . We hope our work would motivate researchers to study LCD codes over other non-chain rings to explore more good LCD codes in the future.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the University Grants Commission (UGC), Govt. of India for financial supports under Ref. No. 20/12/2015(ii)EU-V dated 31/08/2016 and Indian Institute of Technology Patna for providing research facilities. E. Martínez-Moro is partially funded by Spanish State Research Agency (AEI) under Grant PGC2018-096446-B-C21.

Also, authors would like to thank the anonymous referee(s) and the Editor for their valuable comments to improve the presentation of the manuscript.

Table 1: Some decomposition μ_i and their canonical components s_i

e	q	r	μ_i	s_i
2	q	$a_0 + ua_1$	$\mu_1 = \frac{1+u}{2}, \mu_2 = \frac{1-u}{2}$	$s_1 = a_0 + a_1, s_2 = a_0 - a_1$
3	7	$a_0 + ua_1 + u^2a_2$	$\mu_1 = 6(u+5)(u+6)$ $\mu_2 = 3(u+3)(u+6)$ $\mu_3 = 5(u+3)(u+5)$	$s_1 = a_0 + 4a_1 + 2a_2$ $s_2 = a_0 + 2a_1 + 4a_2$ $s_3 = a_0 + a_1 + a_2$
3	13	$a_0 + ua_1 + u^2a_2$	$\mu_1 = 3(u+10)(u+12)$ $\mu_2 = (u+4)(u+12)$ $\mu_3 = 9(u+4)(u+10)$	$s_1 = a_0 + 9a_1 + 3a_2$ $s_2 = a_0 + 3a_1 + 9a_2$ $s_3 = a_0 + a_1 + a_2$
4	5	$a_0 + ua_1 + u^2a_2 + u^3a_3$	$\mu_1 = (u+2)(u+3)(u+4)$ $\mu_2 = 2(u+1)(u+3)(u+4)$ $\mu_3 = 3(u+1)(u+2)(u+4)$ $\mu_4 = 4(u+1)(u+2)(u+3)$	$s_1 = a_0 + 4a_1 + a_2 + 4a_3$ $s_2 = a_0 + 3a_1 + 4a_2 + 2a_3$ $s_3 = a_0 + 2a_1 + 4a_2 + 3a_3$ $s_4 = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3$
4	13	$a_0 + ua_1 + u^2a_2 + u^3a_3$	$\mu_1 = 3(u+5)(u+8)(u+12)$ $\mu_2 = 2(u+1)(u+8)(u+12)$ $\mu_3 = 11(u+1)(u+5)(u+12)$ $\mu_4 = 10(u+1)(u+5)(u+8)$	$s_1 = a_0 + 12a_1 + a_2 + 12a_3$ $s_2 = a_0 + 8a_1 + 12a_2 + 5a_3$ $s_3 = a_0 + 5a_1 + 12a_2 + 8a_3$ $s_4 = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3$
4	17	$a_0 + ua_1 + u^2a_2 + u^3a_3$	$\mu_1 = 4(u+4)(u+13)(u+16)$ $\mu_2 = 16(u+1)(u+13)(u+16)$ $\mu_3 = (u+1)(u+4)(u+16)$ $\mu_4 = 13(u+1)(u+4)(u+13)$	$s_1 = a_0 + 16a_1 + a_2 + 16a_3,$ $s_2 = a_0 + 13a_1 + 16a_2 + 4a_3$ $s_3 = a_0 + 4a_1 + 16a_2 + 13a_3$ $s_4 = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3$

Table 2: Optimal linear as the Gray images of cyclic codes over $R_{2,q} = \mathbb{F}_q[u]/\langle u^2 - 1 \rangle$

q	n	$g_1(x)$	$g_2(x)$	$[n, k, d]$	Remark
5	4	(1, 4)	(1, 2, 2)	[8, 5, 3]	Optimal
5	4	(1, 4, 3)	(1, 2, 2)	[8, 4, 4]	Optimal
5	4	(1, 3, 4, 2)	(1, 4, 1, 4)	[8, 2, 6]	Optimal
5	5	(1, 3, 1)	(1, 4)	[10, 7, 3]	Optimal
5	5	(1, 4)	(1, 2, 3, 4)	[10, 6, 4]	Optimal
5	8	(1, 3, 2, 1)	(1, 4, 3)	[16, 11, 4]	Optimal
5	10	(1, 4)	(1, 3, 0, 2, 4)	[20, 15, 4]	Optimal
7	6	(1, 5, 6)	(1, 2)	[12, 9, 3]	Optimal
7	7	(1, 5, 1)	(1, 6)	[14, 11, 3]	Optimal
7	7	(1, 4, 3, 6)	(1, 6)	[14, 10, 4]	Optimal
7	8	(1, 2, 5, 6)	(1, 6)	[16, 12, 4]	Optimal
7	12	(1, 6)	(1, 5, 0, 5, 6)	[24, 19, 4]	BKLC
7	14	(1, 5, 0, 2, 6)	(1, 6)	[28, 24, 4]	BKLC
7	14	(1, 6)	(1, 3, 5, 4, 1)	[32, 27, 4]	Optimal
3	3	(1, 1, 1)	(1, 2)	[6, 3, 3]	Optimal
3	6	(1, 2, 2, 1)	(1, 2)	[12, 8, 3]	Optimal
3	6	(1, 1, 0, 2, 2)	(1, 2)	[12, 7, 4]	Optimal
3	8	(1, 2)	(1, 1, 0, 1, 2)	[16, 11, 4]	Optimal
3	8	(1, 2)	(1, 2, 0, 2)	[16, 12, 3]	Optimal
3	13	(1, 0, 2, 2)	(1, 2)	[26, 22, 3]	Optimal
9	8	(1, w , w^5 , 2)	(1, w^6)	[16, 12, 4]	Optimal
9	10	(1, w^2 , w^6 , 2)	(1, 2)	[20, 16, 4]	Optimal

Table 3: linear MDS codes as the Gray images of cyclic codes over $R_{2,q} = \mathbb{F}_q[u]/\langle u^2 - 1 \rangle$

q	n	$g_1(x)$	$g_2(x)$	$[n, k, d]$	Remark
7	3	(1, 3)	(1, 5)	[6, 4, 3]	MDS
7	3	(1, 3)	(1, 4, 2)	[6, 3, 4]	MDS
7	3	(1, 1, 1)	(1, 4, 2)	[6, 2, 5]	MDS
11	5	(1, 6)	(1, 8)	[10, 8, 3]	MDS
11	5	(1, 7, 3)	(1, 2, 9)	[10, 6, 5]	MDS
19	9	(1, 3)	(1, 8)	[18, 6, 3]	MDS
23	11	(1, 5)	(1, 7)	[22, 20, 3]	MDS

Table 4: Optimal LCD codes as the Gray images of non-free LCD codes over $R_{2,q} = \mathbb{F}_q[u]/\langle u^2 - 1 \rangle$

q	n	$g_1(x)$	$g_2(x)$	$[n, k, d]$	Remark
5	3	(1, 1, 1)	(1, 4)	[6, 3, 4]	MDS
5	6	(1, 4)	(1, 2, 2, 1)	[12, 8, 4]	Optimal
5	12	(1, 1, 2, 1, 1)	(1, 4)	[24, 19, 4]	Optimal
5	24	(1, 2, 4, 4, 2, 1)	(1, 4)	[48, 42, 4]	Optimal
7	3	(1, 6)	(1, 1, 1)	[6, 3, 4]	MDS
7	4	(1, 5, 2, 6)	(1, 1)	[12, 8, 4]	Optimal
7	8	(1, 4, 4, 1)	(1, 1)	[16, 12, 4]	Optimal
7	25	(1, 6)	(1, 2, 4, 2, 1)	[50, 45, 4]	Optimal
5	13	(1, 1, 4, 1, 1)	(1, 4)	[26, 21, 4]	Optimal
3	4	(1, 2, 1, 2)	(1, 2)	[8, 4, 4]	Optimal

References

- [1] T. Abualrub, I. Siap, Cyclic codes over the rings $\mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2 + u^2\mathbb{Z}_2$, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 42 (2007), 273-287.
- [2] T. Abualrub, A. Ghayeb, R. Oehmke, A mass formula and rank of \mathbb{Z}_4 cyclic codes of Length 2^e , IEEE Trans Inf Theory 50(12) (2004), 3306-3312.
- [3] A. Bayram, I. Siap, Cyclic and constacyclic codes over a non-chain ring, J. Algebra Comb. Discret. Struct. Appl. 1(1) (2014), 1-13.
- [4] S. Bhowmick, A. Fotue-Tabue, E. Martínez-Moro, R. Bandi, S. Bagchi, Do non-free LCD codes over finite commutative Frobenius rings exist?, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 88 (2020), 825–840.
- [5] T. Blackford, Cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 of oddly even length, Appl. Discr. Math. 128(1) (2003), 27-46.
- [6] A. Bonnecaze, P. Udaya, Cyclic codes and self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 45(4) (1999), 1250-1255.
- [7] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, Handbook of Magma Functions, Univ. of Sydney (1995).
- [8] C. Carlet, S. Guilley, Complementary dual codes for counter-measures to side-channel attacks, Adv. Math. Commun. 10(1) (2016), 131-150.
- [9] A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, Modular and p -adic cyclic codes, Des Codes Cryptogr 6 (1995), 21-35.
- [10] H. Q. Dinh, S. Lopez-Permouth, Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 50(8) (2004), 1728-1744.
- [11] S. T. Dougherty, J. L. Kim, B. Ozkaya, L. Sok, P. Solé, The combinatorics of LCD codes: Linear Programming bound and orthogonal matrices, Int. J. Inf. Coding Theory 4(2-3) (2017), 116-128.
- [12] Y. Durgun, On LCD codes over finite chain rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 57(1) (2020), 37-50 .
- [13] M. Esmaeili, S. Yari, On complementary-dual quasi-cyclic codes, Finite Fields Appl. 15(3) (2009), 375-386.
- [14] L. Galvez, J. L. Kim, N. Lee, Y. G. Roe, B. S. Won, Some bounds on binary LCD codes, Cryptogr. Commun. 10 (2018), 719-728.
- [15] J. Gao, Some results on linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p + u^2\mathbb{F}_p$, J. Appl. Math. Comput. 47 (2015), 473-485.

- [16] M. Grassl, Code Tables: Bounds on the parameters of various types of codes available at <http://www.codetables.de/> accessed on 15/04/2021.
- [17] D. Hachenberger, D. Jungnickel, Topics in Galois fields, Algorithms and computation in mathematics, 29 (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-60806-4>.
- [18] A. Hammons, P. V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, P. Solé, The \mathbb{Z}_4 -linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and Related codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 40(2) (1994), 301-319.
- [19] R. Hill, A First Course in Coding Theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, U.S.A. (1990).
- [20] H. Islam, O. Prakash, A class of constacyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[u, v]/\langle u^2, v^2, uv - vu \rangle$ and their Gray images, Filomat 33(8) (2019), 2237-2248.
- [21] H. Islam, O. Prakash, A study of cyclic and constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4 + v\mathbb{Z}_4$, Int. J. Inf. Coding Theory 5(2), (2018) 155-168.
- [22] H. Islam, O. Prakash, New \mathbb{Z}_4 codes from constacyclic codes over a non-chain ring, Comp. Appl. Math. 40(12) (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-020-01398-y>.
- [23] P. Kanwar, S. Lopez-Permouth, Cyclic codes over the integers modulo p^m , Finite Fields Appl. 3 (1997), 334-352.
- [24] C. Li, C. Ding, S. Li, LCD cyclic codes over finite fields, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 63(7) (2017), 4344-4356.
- [25] X. Liu, H. Liu, LCD codes over finite chain rings, Finite Fields Appl. 34 (2015), 1-19.
- [26] X. Liu, H. Liu, σ -LCD codes over finite chain rings, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 88 (2020), 727-746.
- [27] E. Martínez-Moro, A. Piñera-Nicolás, I. F. Rúa, Codes over affine algebras with a finite commutative chain coefficient ring, Finite Fields Appl. 49 (2018), 94-107.
- [28] J. L. Massey, Reversible codes, Inf. Control, 7(3) (1964), 369-380.
- [29] J. L. Massey, Linear codes with complementary duals, Discrete Math. 106/107 (1992), 337-342.
- [30] S. K. Muttou, S. Lal, A reversible code over $GF(q)$, Kybernetika 22(1) (1986), 85-91.
- [31] V. Pless, P. Solé, Z. Qian, Cyclic self-dual \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes, Finite Fields Appl. 3 (1997), 48-69.
- [32] N. Sendrier, Linear codes with complementary duals meet the Gilbert-Varshamov bound, Discrete Math. 285(1-3) (2004), 345-347.

- [33] A. Sharma, M. Bhaintwal, A class of skew-constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4$, *Int. J. Inf. Coding Theory* 4(4) (2017), 289-303.
- [34] S. Yadav, H. Islam, O. Prakash, P. Solé, Self-dual and LCD double circulant and double negacirculant codes over $\mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q$, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-021-01499-9>.
- [35] X. Yang, J. L. Massey, The condition for a cyclic code to have a complementary dual, *Discrete Math.* 126 (1994), 391-393.
- [36] X. Zheng, B. Kong, Cyclic codes and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u + \lambda_3 v + \lambda_4 uv$ -constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p + uv\mathbb{F}_p$, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 306 (2017), 86-91.
- [37] S. Zhu, Y. Wang, M. Shi, Some Results on Cyclic Codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 56 (2010), 1680-1684.