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Abstract. We prove that the enumerative polynomials of quasi-Stirling permutations with respect to the statistics of plateaux, descents and ascents are partial $\gamma$-positive, thereby confirming a recent conjecture posed by Lin, Ma and Zhang. This is accomplished by proving the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the enumerative polynomials of certain ordered labeled trees, which are in bijection with quasi-Stirling permutations. As an application, we provide an alternative proof of the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the enumerative polynomials on Stirling permutations.
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1 Introduction

Gamma-positive polynomials arise frequently in combinatorics; the reader is referred to the survey of Athanasiadis [2] for more information. A univariate polynomial $f_n(x)$ is said to be $\gamma$-positive if it can be expanded as

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_k x^k (1 + x)^{n-2k}$$

with $\gamma_k \geq 0$. A bivariate polynomial $h_n(x, y)$ is said to be homogeneous $\gamma$-positive, if $h_n(x, y)$ can be expressed as

$$h_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_k (xy)^k (x + y)^{n-2k}$$

with $\gamma_k \geq 0$. Foata and Schützenberger [8] proved that the bivariate Eulerian polynomial $A_n(x, y) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} x^{asc(\pi)} y^{des(\pi)}$ is homogeneous $\gamma$-positive, where $S_n$ is the set of permutations of $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. A trivariate polynomial $p(x, y, z) = \sum_i s_i(x, y) z^i$ is said to be partial $\gamma$-positive if every $s_i(x, y)$ is homogeneous $\gamma$-positive.
Let $\mathcal{M} = \{k_1, 2k_2, \ldots, n^k_n\}$ be a multiset where $k_i$ is the number of occurrences of $i$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and $k_i \geq 1$. A permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\ldots\pi_n$ of a multiset $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be a Stirling permutation if $i < j < k$ and $\pi_i = \pi_k$, then $\pi_j > \pi_i$. For a multiset $\mathcal{M}$, let $Q_{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the set of Stirling permutations of $\mathcal{M}$. For example, if $\mathcal{M} = \{1^2, 2^2\}$, we have $Q_{\mathcal{M}} = \{1221, 2211, 1122\}$. Stirling permutations were originally introduced by Gessel and Stanley [9] in the case of the multiset $\mathcal{M} = \{1^2, 2^2, \ldots, n^2\}$. There is an extensive literature on Stirling permutations, see [31, 41, 6, 12, 51, 15] and references therein. In analogy to Stirling permutations, Archer et al. [1] introduced quasi-Stirling permutations. A permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\ldots\pi_n$ of a multiset is said to be a quasi-Stirling permutation if there does not exist four indices $i < j < k < \ell$ such that $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$. For a multiset $\mathcal{M}$, denote by $\overline{Q}_{\mathcal{M}}$ the set of quasi-Stirling permutations of $\mathcal{M}$. For example, if $\mathcal{M} = \{1^2, 2^2\}$, we have $\overline{Q}_{\mathcal{M}} = \{1221, 2112, 1122, 2211\}$. Clearly, we have $Q_{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \overline{Q}_{\mathcal{M}}$ for a fixed multiset $\mathcal{M}$.

For a permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\ldots\pi_n$, an index $i$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, is called an ascent (resp. a descent, a plateau) of $\pi$ if $\pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$ (resp. $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$, $\pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$) with the convention $\pi_0 = \pi_{n+1} = 0$. Let $\text{asc}(\pi)$ (resp. $\text{des}(\pi)$, $\text{plat}(\pi)$) denote the number of ascents (resp. descents, plateaux) of $\pi$. An index $i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is called a double descent of $\pi$ if $\pi_{i-1} > \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ with the convention $\pi_0 = \pi_{n+1} = 0$. Let $\text{ddes}(\pi)$ denote the number of double descents of $\pi$. In [5], Bona proved that the three statistics $\text{asc}$, $\text{plat}$ and $\text{des}$ are equidistributed over Stirling permutations on $\mathcal{M} = \{1^2, 2^2, \ldots, n^2\}$. In [7], Elizalde investigated the distribution of the statistics of plateaux, descents and ascents on quasi-Stirling permutations of the multiset $\mathcal{M} = \{1^k, 2^k, \ldots, n^k\}$.

For a multiset $\mathcal{M}$, we define

$$Q_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y, z) = \sum_{\pi \in Q_{\mathcal{M}}} x^{\text{asc}(\pi)} y^{\text{des}(\pi)} z^{\text{plat}(\pi)},$$

and

$$\overline{Q}_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y, z) = \sum_{\pi \in \overline{Q}_{\mathcal{M}}} x^{\text{asc}(\pi)} y^{\text{des}(\pi)} z^{\text{plat}(\pi)}.$$

In [10], Lin, Ma and Zhang proved that the polynomial $Q_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y, z)$ is partial $\gamma$-positive via the machine of context-free grammars and a group action on Stirling multipermutations. Specializing their result to the so-called Jacobi-Stirling permutations introduced in [11] confirms a recent partial $\gamma$-positivity conjecture due to Ma, Ma and Yeh [13]. Lin, Ma and Zhang [10] also posed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.1** For a multiset $\mathcal{M}$, the polynomial $\overline{Q}_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y, z)$ is partial $\gamma$-positive.

In this paper, we confirm Conjecture [11] by proving the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the enumerative polynomials of certain ordered labeled trees, which are shown to be
in bijection with quasi-Stirling permutations in [10]. As an application, we provide
an alternative proof of the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$.

**Theorem 1.2** Let $M = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\}$ and $K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n$ with $k_i \geq 1$. The polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$ is partial $\gamma$-positive and has the expansion

$$Q_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{K-n} z^i \sum_{j=1}^{K+1-i} \gamma_{M, i, j}(xy)^i(x + y)^{K+1-i-2j},$$

where $\gamma_{M, i, j} = |\{\pi \in Q_M | \text{des}(\pi) = j, \text{plat}(\pi) = i, \text{ddes}(\pi) = 0\}|$.

**Remark 1.3** Specializing $M = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $x = 1$ in Theorem 1.2 recovers the well-known $\gamma$-positivity expansion of the Eulerian polynomial $A_n(1, y)$ obtained by Foata and Schützenberger [3], that is,

$$A_n(1, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{[n+1/2]} \gamma_k y^k (1 + y)^{n+1-2k},$$

where $\gamma_k = |\{\pi \in S_n | \text{des}(\pi) = k, \text{ddes}(\pi) = 0\}|$.

**Theorem 1.4** Let $M = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\}$ and $K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n$ with $k_i \geq 1$. The polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$ is partial $\gamma$-positive and has the expansion

$$Q_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{K-n} z^i \sum_{j=1}^{K+1-i} \gamma'_{M, i, j}(xy)^i(x + y)^{K+1-i-2j},$$

where $\gamma'_{M, i, j} = |\{\pi \in Q_M | \text{des}(\pi) = j, \text{plat}(\pi) = i, \text{ddes}(\pi) = 0\}|$.

**Remark 1.5** In [10], Lin, Ma and Zhang provided three combinatorial interpretations for the $\gamma$-coefficients of the partial $\gamma$-positivity expansion of $Q_M(x, y, z)$. Theorem 1.4 gives a new combinatorial interpretation.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the enumerative polynomials of certain ordered labeled trees by introducing a group action in the spirit of the Foata-Strehl action on permutations [3]. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4.
2 Partial \(\gamma\)-positivity for Trees

The objective of this section is to prove the partial \(\gamma\)-positivity of the enumerative polynomials of certain ordered labeled trees, which were shown to be in bijection with quasi-Stirling permutations in \([16]\). Recall that an ordered tree is a tree with one designated vertex, which is called the root, and the subtrees of each vertex are linearly ordered. In an ordered tree \(T\), the level of a vertex \(v\) in \(T\) is defined to be the length of the unique path from the root to \(v\). A vertex \(v\) is said to be odd (resp. even) if the level of \(v\) is odd (resp. even).

Yan and Zhu \([16]\) introduced a new class of ordered labeled trees \(T\) verifying the following properties:

(i) the vertices are labeled by the elements of the multiset \(\{0\} \cup \mathcal{M}\), where \(\mathcal{M} = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\}\) with \(k_i \geq 1\);

(ii) the root is labeled by 0;

(iii) for an odd vertex \(v\), if \(v\) is labeled by \(i\), then \(v\) has exactly \(k_i - 1\) children and the children of \(v\) have the same label as that of \(v\) in \(T\).

Let \(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}\) denote the set of such ordered labeled trees. For example, a tree \(T \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}\) with \(\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5^3, 6, 7^2\}\) is illustrated in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: A tree \(T \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}\) with \(\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5^3, 6, 7^2\}\)](image)

For a sequence \(\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \ldots \pi_n\), the entry \(\pi_i\), \(1 \leq i \leq n\), is said to be a **cyclic descent** (resp. a **cyclic ascent**) if \(\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\) (resp. \(\pi_i < \pi_{i+1}\)) with the convention \(\pi_{n+1} = \pi_1\). An entry \(\pi_i\), \(1 \leq i \leq n\), is said to be a **double cyclic descent** (resp. a **double cyclic ascent**, a **cyclic peak**, a **cyclic valley**) if \(\pi_{i-1} > \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\) (resp. \(\pi_{i-1} < \pi_i < \pi_{i+1}\), \(\pi_{i-1} < \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\), \(\pi_{i-1} > \pi_i < \pi_{i+1}\) ) with the convention \(\pi_{n+1} = \pi_1\) and \(\pi_0 = \pi_n\). Let
$cdes(\pi)$ (resp. $casc(\pi)$, $dcdes(\pi)$, $dcas(\pi)$, $cpeak(\pi)$, $cval(\pi)$) denote the number of cyclic descents (resp. cyclic ascents, double cyclic descents, double cyclic ascents, cyclic peaks, cyclic valleys) of $\pi$. Denote by $CDES(\pi)$ the set of cyclic descents of $\pi$. For any sequence $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2...\pi_n$, if $\pi_i$ is a double cyclic ascent or a double cyclic descent, the cyclic $\pi_i$-factorization of $\pi$ is defined to be $W_1\pi_1W_2W_3$, where $W_1$ (resp. $W_2$) is the maximal contiguous subsequence of $\pi$ immediately to the left (resp. right) of $\pi_i$ whose entries are all smaller than $\pi_i$ in the sense that the entries of $\pi$ are arranged in a cycle clockwise. For instance, let $\pi = 15324$, then the cyclic 3-factorization of $\pi$ is given by $W_13W_2W_3$ where $W_1$ is empty, $W_2 = 2$ and $W_3 = 415$.

In an ordered labeled tree $T$, let $u$ be a vertex of $T$ which is labeled by $v_0$. Suppose that the children of $u$ are labeled by $v_1, v_2, ..., v_\ell$ from left to right. The number of cyclic descents (resp. cyclic ascents) of $u$, denoted by $cdes(u)$ (resp. $casc(u)$), is defined to be the number $cdes(v_0v_1v_2...v_\ell)$ (resp. $casc(v_0v_1v_2...v_\ell)$). The number of cyclic descents and cyclic ascents of $T$ are defined to be

$$cdes(T) = \sum_{u \in V(T)} cdes(u)$$

and

$$casc(T) = \sum_{u \in V(T)} casc(u)$$

where $V(T)$ denotes the vertex set of $T$. Denote by $eleaf(T)$ the number of leaves at even level.

Let $v$ be an odd vertex of a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and let $u$ be its parent labeled by $v_0$. Suppose that the children of the vertex $u$ are labeled by $v_1, v_2, ..., v_\ell$ from left to right. Assume that the vertex $v$ is labeled by $v_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Then the odd vertex $v$ is said to be a double cyclic descent (resp. a double cyclic ascent, a cyclic peak, a cyclic valley) of $T$ if and only if $v_i$ is a double cyclic descent (resp. a double cyclic ascent, a cyclic peak, a cyclic valley) of the sequence $v_0v_1v_2...v_\ell$.

Let $u$ be an even vertex of a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ which is labeled by $v_0$. Suppose that the children of $u$ are labeled by $v_1, v_2, ..., v_\ell$ from left to right. Then the even vertex $u$ is said to be a double cyclic descent (resp. a double cyclic ascent, a cyclic peak, a cyclic valley) of $T$ if and only if $v_0$ is a double cyclic descent (resp. a double cyclic ascent, a cyclic peak, a cyclic valley) of the sequence $v_0v_1v_2...v_\ell$. Denote by $dcdes(T)$ (resp. $dcas(T)$, $cpeak(T)$, $cval(T)$) the number of double cyclic descents (resp. double cyclic ascents, cyclic peaks, cyclic valleys) of $T$.

For example, if we let $T$ be a tree as shown in Figure 1, we have $casc(T) = 5$, $cdes(T) = 4$, $eleaf(T) = 2$, $dcdes(T) = 0$, $dcas(T) = 1$, $cpeak(T) = 4$ and $cval(T) = 4$.

Now we are ready to state our main results of this section.
Theorem 2.1  Let
\[ T_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{T \in T_M} x^{casc(T)} y^{cdes(T)} z^{eleaf(T)}, \]
where \( M = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\} \) and \( K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n \) with \( k_i \geq 1 \). The polynomial \( T_M(x, y, z) \) is partial \( \gamma \)-positive and has the expansion
\[ T_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{K-n} z^i \sum_{j=1}^{K+1-i-2j} \gamma_{M,i,j}^T(x+y)^{K+1-i-2j}, \]
where \( \gamma_{M,i,j}^T = |\{T \in T_M \mid cdes(T) = j, eleaf(T) = i, dcdes(T) = 0\}|. \)

A tree \( T \in T_M \) is said to be weakly increasing if the labels of the vertices on the path from the root to any leaf is weakly increasing from top to bottom. See Figure 2 for an example. Denote by \( IT_M \) the set of weakly increasing ordered labeled trees \( T \in T_M \).

Figure 2: A tree \( T \in IT_M \) with \( M = \{1^2, 2, 3, 4, 5^3, 6, 7\} \)

Theorem 2.2  Let
\[ IT_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{T \in IT_M} x^{casc(T)} y^{cdes(T)} z^{eleaf(T)}, \]
where \( M = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\} \) and \( K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n \) with \( k_i \geq 1 \). The polynomial \( IT_M(x, y, z) \) is partial \( \gamma \)-positive and has the expansion
\[ IT_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{K-n} z^i \sum_{j=1}^{K+1-i-2j} \gamma_{M,i,j}^{IT}(x+y)^{K+1-i-2j}, \]
where \( \gamma_{M,i,j}^{IT} = |\{T \in IT_M \mid cdes(T) = j, eleaf(T) = i, dcdes(T) = 0\}|. \)
In the following, we shall define a group action on ordered labeled trees in the spirit of the Foata-Strehl action on permutations \[8\].

Let \( T \in T_M \). For any vertex \( u \) of \( T \), we define the \textit{tree FS-action} \( \psi_u \) on the ordered labeled trees \( T \) in the following way.

**Case 1.** If the vertex \( u \) is an even leaf, a cyclic valley or a cyclic peak, let \( \psi_u(T) = T \).

**Case 2.** The vertex \( u \) is a double cyclic ascent or a double cyclic descent.

**Subcase 2.1.** The vertex \( u \) is odd. Suppose that the vertex \( v \) labeled by \( v_0 \) is the parent of \( u \) and the children of \( v \) are labeled by \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell \) from left to right. Assume that the vertex \( u \) is labeled by \( v_k \) for some \( 1 \leq k \leq \ell \). Let \( T_i \), \( (1 \leq i \leq \ell) \), be the subtree rooted at the odd vertex labeled by \( v_i \). Suppose that the cyclic \( v_k \)-factorization of the sequence \( v_0v_1v_2\ldots v_\ell \) is given by \( W_1v_kv_3W_2 \). Then let \( \psi_u(T) \) be the tree obtained from \( T \) by rearranging the subtrees \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_\ell \) such that \( \text{CDES}(W_2v_kv_3W_2) = \text{CDES}(v_0v'_1v'_2\ldots v'_\ell) \), where the children of the vertex \( v \) in \( \psi_u(T) \) are labeled by \( v'_1, v'_2, \ldots, v'_\ell \) from left to right. See Figure 3 for an example.

**Subcase 2.2.** The vertex \( u \) is even. Suppose that the vertex \( u \) is labeled by \( v_0 \) and the children of \( u \) are labeled by \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell \) from left to right. Let \( T_i \), \( (1 \leq i \leq \ell) \), be the subtree rooted at the odd vertex labeled by \( v_i \). Suppose that the cyclic \( v_0 \)-factorization of the sequence \( v_0v_1v_2\ldots v_\ell \) is given by \( W_1v_0W_2W_3 \). Then let \( \psi_u(T) \) be the tree obtained from \( T \) by rearranging the subtrees \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_\ell \) such that \( \text{CDES}(W_2v_0W_1W_3) = \text{CDES}(v_0v'_1v'_2\ldots v'_\ell) \), where the children of the vertex \( u \) in \( \psi_u(T) \) are labeled by \( v'_1, v'_2, \ldots, v'_\ell \) from left to right. See Figure 4 for an example.

The following observation will play an essential role in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Observation 2.3** Let \( M = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\} \) and \( K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n \) with \( k_i \geq 1 \). Then we have

\[
eleaf(T) + \text{dcdes}(T) + \text{dcasc}(T) + \text{cpeak}(T) + \text{cval}(T) = K + 1, \quad (2.1)
\]

\[
\text{cpeak}(T) = \text{cval}(T), \quad (2.2)
\]

\[
\text{cdes}(T) = \text{cval}(T) + \text{dcdes}(T) \quad (2.3)
\]

Figure 3: An example of the action \( \psi_u \) where the vertex \( u \) is circled.
and
\[ \text{case}(T) = \text{cpeak}(T) + d\text{casc}(T) \] (2.4)
for any \( T \in \mathcal{T}_M \).

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Define \( \mathcal{T}_{M,i} \) be the set of ordered labeled trees \( T \in \mathcal{T}_M \) with \( \text{eleaf}(T) = i \). Then Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to
\[ \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{M,i}} x^{\text{casc}(T)} y^{\text{cdes}(T)} = \sum_{T \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{M,i}} (xy)^{\text{cdes}(T)}(x + y)^{K+1-i-2\text{cdes}(T)}. \] (2.5)
where \( \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{M,i} \) denotes the set of ordered labeled trees \( T \in \mathcal{T}_{M,i} \) with \( d\text{cdes}(T) = 0 \).

Clearly, the tree FS-actions \( \psi_u \)'s are involutions and commute. Thus, for any \( S \subseteq V(T) \), we can define the function \( \psi_S : \mathcal{T}_M \to \mathcal{T}_M \) by \( \psi_S = \prod_{u \in S} \psi_u \), where the product is the functional compositions. Hence the group \( \mathbb{Z}_2^{K+1} \) acts on \( \mathcal{T}_M \) via the function \( \psi_S \). Since the statistic “eleaf” is invariant under this group action, it divides \( \mathcal{T}_{M,i} \) into some disjoint orbits. For each \( T \in \mathcal{T}_{M,i} \), let \( \text{Orb}(T) = \{g(T) : T \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{K+1}\} \) be the orbit of \( T \) under the tree FS-action. Notice that the vertex \( u \) is a double cyclic descent of \( T \) if and only if the vertex \( u \) is a double cyclic ascent of \( \psi_u(T) \). This yields that there is a unique tree \( \overline{T} \in \text{Orb}(T) \) such that \( d\text{cdes}(T) = 0 \). Therefore, we have
\[ \sum_{T \in \text{Orb}(\overline{T})} x^{\text{case}(T)} y^{\text{cdes}(T)} = x^{\text{cpeak}(\overline{T})} y^{\text{cval}(\overline{T})}(x + y)^{\text{casc}(\overline{T})} = x^{\text{cpeak}(\overline{T})} y^{\text{cval}(\overline{T})}(x + y)^{K+1-i-\text{cpeak}(\overline{T})-\text{cval}(\overline{T})} = (xy)^{\text{cdes}(\overline{T})}(x + y)^{K+1-i-2\text{cdes}(\overline{T})}, \]
where the second equality follows from (2.1) and the third equality follows from (2.2)-(2.4). Summing over all orbits of \( \mathcal{T}_{M,i} \) under the tree FS-action then gives (2.5), completing the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** From the construction of the cyclic FS-action \( \psi_u \), it is easily seen that \( \psi_u(T) \in \mathcal{Q}_M \) for any \( T \in \mathcal{IT}_{M} \). Therefore, Theorem 2.2 can be proved by similar reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Figure 4: An example of the action \( \psi_u \) where the vertex \( u \) is circled.
3 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4

Let \( \pi \) be a permutation, the leftmost (resp. rightmost) entry of \( \pi \) is denoted by \( \text{first}(\pi) \) (resp. \( \text{last}(\pi) \)). Similarly, for an ordered labeled tree \( T \), we denote by \( \text{first}(T) \) (resp. \( \text{last}(T) \)) the label of the leftmost (resp. rightmost) child of the root.

In [16], Yan and Zhu established a bijection \( \phi \) between \( \mathcal{T}_M \) and \( \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_M \). First we give an overview of the bijection \( \phi \). If \( T \) has only one vertex, let \( \phi(T) = \epsilon \), where \( \epsilon \) denotes the empty permutation. Otherwise, suppose that \( \text{first}(T) = r \).

**Case 1.** The leftmost child of the root is a leaf. Let \( T_0 \) be the tree obtained from \( T \) by removing the leftmost child of the root together with the edge incident to it. Define \( \phi(T) = r \phi(T_0) \).

**Case 2.** The leftmost child of the root has \( k \) children. For \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), let \( T_i \) be the subtree rooted at the \( i \)-th child of the leftmost child of the root. Denote by \( T'_i \) the tree obtained from \( T_i \) by relabeling its root by 0. Let \( T_0 \) be the tree obtained from \( T \) by removing all the subtrees \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k \) and all the vertices labeled by \( r \) together with the edges incident to them. Define \( \phi(T) = r \phi(T'_1) r \phi(T'_2) \ldots r \phi(T'_k) r \phi(T_0) \).

For instance, let \( T \) be a tree illustrated in Figure 1. By applying the map \( \phi \), we have \( \phi(T) = 2773516455 \).

In [17], Yan et al. proved that the bijection \( \phi \) has the following property.

**Lemma 3.1** ([17]) For any nonempty multiset \( M \), the map \( \phi \) induces a bijection between \( \mathcal{T}_M \) and \( \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_M \) such that

\[
(c_{\text{des}}, c_{\text{asc}}, c_{\text{plat}}, \text{first}, \text{last})T = (d_{\text{des}}, d_{\text{asc}}, d_{\text{plat}}, \text{first}, \text{last}) \phi(T)
\]

for any \( T \in \mathcal{T}_M \).

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following property of \( \phi \).

**Lemma 3.2** For any nonempty multiset \( M \), the map \( \phi \) induces a bijection between \( \mathcal{T}_M \) and \( \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_M \) such that \( d_{\text{des}}(T) = d_{\text{des}}(\phi(T)) \) for any \( T \in \mathcal{T}_M \).

Before we prove Lemma 3.2, we need more definitions. For any two distinct integers \( x, y \in \{0\} \cup M \), we say that \((x, y)\) is an adjacent pair of \( T \in \mathcal{T}_M \) if there exists a vertex \( u \) labeled by \( v_0 \) and the children of the vertex \( u \) are labeled by \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell \) from left to right such that \( v_i = x \) and \( v_{i+1} = y \) for some \( 0 \leq i \leq \ell \) with the convention \( v_{\ell+1} = v_0 \). For example, the pair \((7, 3)\) is an adjacent pair of the tree...
Similarly, for any two distinct integers \( x, y \in \{0\} \cup \mathcal{M} \), we say that \((x, y)\) is an adjacent pair of \( \pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \ldots \pi_n \in \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{M} \) if \( \pi_i = x \) and \( \pi_{i+1} = y \) for some \( 0 \leq i \leq n \) with the convention \( \pi_0 = \pi_{n+1} = 0 \).

**Proof of Lemma 3.2.** Let \( T \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M} \). By Lemma 3.1, it remains to show that \( \text{dcdes}(T) = \text{dcdes}(\phi(T)) \) for any \( T \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M} \). From the construction of \( \phi(T) \) and Lemma 3.1, one can easily verify that for any two distinct integers \( x, y \in \{0\} \cup \mathcal{M} \), the pair \((x, y)\) is an adjacent pair of \( T \) if and only if \((x, y)\) is an adjacent pair of \( \phi(T) \).

Clearly, the number of double cyclic descents (resp. double descents) of \( T \) (resp. \( \phi(T) \)) is equal to the number of triples \((x, y, z)\) such that \((x, y)\), \((y, z)\) are adjacent pairs of \( T \) (resp. \( \phi(T) \)) with \( x > y > z \) and \( x, y, z \in \{0\} \cup \mathcal{M} \). Moreover, for any two adjacent pairs \((x, y)\) and \((x', y')\) of \( T \) (resp. \( \phi(T) \)), we have either \( x \neq x' \) or \( y \neq y' \). This yields that \( \text{dcdes}(T) = \text{dcdes}(\phi(T)) \), completing the proof.

From the construction of the map \( \phi \), it is easily seen that \( \phi(T) \in \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{M} \) for any \( T \in \mathcal{TT}_\mathcal{M} \). Hence, the following result follows immediately from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

**Lemma 3.3** For any nonempty multiset \( \mathcal{M} \), the map \( \phi \) induces a bijection between \( \mathcal{TT}_\mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{M} \) such that

\[
(cd_{\text{des}}, cas_{\text{c}}, eleaf, dcdes)T = (des, asc, plat, ddes)\phi(T)
\]

for any \( T \in \mathcal{TT}_\mathcal{M} \).

Combining Theorems 2.1 and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we are led to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.4 follows from Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.3.

We conclude this section with an alternative proof of the partial \( \gamma \)-positivity of the polynomial \( \overline{Q}_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z) \) by employing the result obtained by Yan et al. [17] and the partial \( \gamma \)-positivity of the polynomial \( Q_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z) \) proved by Lin, Ma and Zhang [10]. In [17], Yan et al. obtained the following result concerning the polynomial \( \overline{Q}_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z) \).

**Theorem 3.4** ([17]) Let \( \mathcal{M} = \{k_1, 2k_2, \ldots, n^{k_n}\} \), \( \mathcal{M}' = \{1^{K-n+1}, 2, 3, \ldots, n\} \) and \( K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n \) with \( k_i \geq 1 \). We have \( \overline{Q}_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z) = \overline{Q}_{\mathcal{M}'}(x, y, z) \).

Notice that quasi-Stirling permutations of \( \mathcal{M}' = \{1^{K-n+1}, 2, 3, \ldots, n\} \) are equivalent to Stirling permutations of \( \mathcal{M}' = \{1^{K-n+1}, 2, 3, \ldots, n\} \). Thus, by Theorem 3.4, we have \( \overline{Q}_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z) = Q_{\mathcal{M}'}(x, y, z) \). Thus, the partial \( \gamma \)-positivity of the polynomial \( Q_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z) \) implies the partial \( \gamma \)-positivity of the polynomial \( \overline{Q}_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z) \).
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