Partial $\gamma$-Positivity for Quasi-Stirling Permutations of Multisets
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Abstract. We prove that the enumerative polynomials of quasi-Stirling permutations of multisets with respect to the statistics of plateaux, descents and ascents are partial $\gamma$-positive, thereby confirming a recent conjecture posed by Lin, Ma and Zhang. This is accomplished by proving the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the enumerative polynomials of certain ordered labeled trees, which are in bijection with quasi-Stirling permutations of multisets. As an application, we provide an alternative proof of the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the enumerative polynomials on Stirling permutations of multisets.
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1 Introduction

Gamma-positive polynomials arise frequently in combinatorics; the reader is referred to the survey of Athanasiadis [2] for more information. A univariate polynomial $f_n(x)$ is said to be $\gamma$-positive if it can be expanded as

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_k x^k (1 + x)^{n-2k}$$

with $\gamma_k \geq 0$. A bivariate polynomial $h_n(x, y)$ is said to be homogeneous $\gamma$-positive, if $h_n(x, y)$ can be expressed as

$$h_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_k (xy)^k (x + y)^{n-2k}$$

with $\gamma_k \geq 0$. Foata and Schützenberger [8] proved that the bivariate Eulerian polynomial $A_n(x, y) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} x^{asc(\pi)} y^{des(\pi)}$ is homogeneous $\gamma$-positive, where $S_n$ is the set of
permutations of $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. A trivariate polynomial $p(x, y, z) = \sum_i s_i(x, y)z^i$ is said to be partial $\gamma$-positive if every $s_i(x, y)$ is homogeneous $\gamma$-positive.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\}$ be a multiset where $k_i$ is the number of occurrences of $i$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and $k_i \geq 1$. A permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \ldots \pi_n$ of a multiset $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be a Stirling permutation if $i < j < k$ and $\pi_i = \pi_k$, then $\pi_j > \pi_i$. For a multiset $\mathcal{M}$, let $\mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{M}$ denote the set of Stirling permutations of $\mathcal{M}$. For example, if $\mathcal{M} = \{1^2, 2^2\}$, we have $\mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{M} = \{1221, 2112\}$. Stirling permutations were originally introduced by Gessel and Stanley [9] in the case of the multiset $\mathcal{M} = \{1^2, 2^2, \ldots, n^2\}$. There is an extensive literature on Stirling permutations, see [3, 4, 6, 12, 14, 15] and references therein. In analogy to Stirling permutations, Archer et al. [1] introduced quasi-Stirling permutations. A permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \ldots \pi_n$ of a multiset is said to be a quasi-Stirling permutation if there does not exist four indices $i < j < k < \ell$ such that $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$. For a multiset $\mathcal{M}$, denote by $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_\mathcal{M}$ the set of quasi-Stirling permutations of $\mathcal{M}$. For example, if $\mathcal{M} = \{1^2, 2^2\}$, we have $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_\mathcal{M} = \{1221, 2112, 1122, 2211\}$. Clearly, we have $\mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{M} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_\mathcal{M}$ for a fixed multiset $\mathcal{M}$.

For a permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \ldots \pi_n$, an index $i$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, is called an ascent (resp. a descent, a plateau) of $\pi$ if $\pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$ (resp. $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$, $\pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$) with the convention $\pi_0 = \pi_{n+1} = 0$. Let $asc(\pi)$ (resp. $des(\pi)$, $plat(\pi)$) denote the number of ascents (resp. descents, plateaux) of $\pi$. An index $i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is called a double descent of $\pi$ if $\pi_{i-1} > \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ with the convention $\pi_0 = \pi_{n+1} = 0$. Let $ddes(\pi)$ denote the number of double descents of $\pi$. In [3], Bona proved that the three statistics $asc$, $plat$ and $des$ are equidistributed over Stirling permutations on $\mathcal{M} = \{1^2, 2^2, \ldots, n^2\}$. In [7], Elizalde investigated the distribution of the statistics of plateaux, descents and ascents on quasi-Stirling permutations of the multiset $\mathcal{M} = \{1^k, 2^k, \ldots, n^k\}$.

For a multiset $\mathcal{M}$, we define

$$\overline{Q}_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z) = \sum_{\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_\mathcal{M}} x^{asc(\pi)} y^{des(\pi)} z^{plat(\pi)},$$

and

$$Q_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{M}} x^{asc(\pi)} y^{des(\pi)} z^{plat(\pi)}.$$

In [10], Lin, Ma and Zhang proved that the polynomial $Q_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z)$ is partial $\gamma$-positive via the machine of context-free grammars and a group action on Stirling multipermutations. Specializing their result to the so-called Jacobi-Stirling permutations introduced in [11] confirms a recent partial $\gamma$-positivity conjecture due to Ma, Ma and Yeh [13]. Lin, Ma and Zhang [10] also posed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.1** For a multiset $\mathcal{M}$, the polynomial $\overline{Q}_\mathcal{M}(x, y, z)$ is partial $\gamma$-positive.
In this paper, we confirm Conjecture 1.1 by proving the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the enumerative polynomials of certain ordered labeled trees, which are shown to be in bijection with quasi-Stirling permutations in [16]. As an application, we provide an alternative proof of the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the enumerative polynomials of certain ordered labeled trees by introducing a group action in the spirit of the Foata-Strehl action on permutations [8]. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$ and $Q_M(x, y, z)$.

2 Partial $\gamma$-positivity for Trees

The objective of this section is to prove the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the enumerative polynomials of certain ordered labeled trees, which were shown to be in bijection with quasi-Stirling permutations in [16]. Recall that an ordered tree is a tree with one designated vertex, which is called the root, and the subtrees of each vertex are linearly ordered. In an ordered tree $T$, the level of a vertex $v$ in $T$ is defined to be the length of the unique path from the root to $v$. A vertex $v$ is said to be odd (resp. even) if the level of $v$ is odd (resp. even).

Yan and Zhu [16] introduced a new class of ordered labeled trees $T$ verifying the following properties:

(i) the vertices are labeled by the elements of the multiset $\{0\} \cup \mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M} = \{k_1, 2k_2, \ldots, nk_n\}$ with $k_i \geq 1$;

(ii) the root is labeled by 0;

(iii) for an odd vertex $v$, if $v$ is labeled by $i$, then $v$ has exactly $k_i - 1$ children and the children of $v$ have the same label as that of $v$ in $T$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}$ denote the set of such ordered labeled trees. For example, a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5^3, 6, 7^2\}$ is illustrated in Figure 1.

For a sequence $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\ldots\pi_n$, the entry $\pi_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is said to be a cyclic descent (resp. a cyclic ascent) if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ (resp. $\pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$) with the convention $\pi_{n+1} = \pi_1$. An entry $\pi_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is said to be a double cyclic descent (resp. a double cyclic ascent, a cyclic peak, a cyclic valley) if $\pi_{i-1} > \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ (resp. $\pi_{i-1} < \pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$, $\pi_{i-1} < \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$, $\pi_{i-1} > \pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$) with the convention $\pi_{n+1} = \pi_1$ and $\pi_0 = \pi_n$. Let $cdes(\pi)$ (resp. $casc(\pi)$, $dcdes(\pi)$, $dcasc(\pi)$, $cpeak(\pi)$, $cval(\pi)$) denote the number of cyclic descents (resp. cyclic ascents, double cyclic descents, double cyclic ascents,
Figure 1: A tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5^3, 6, 7^2\}$

cyclic peaks, cyclic valleys) of $\pi$. Denote by $CDES(\pi)$ the set of cyclic descents of $\pi$. For any sequence $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \ldots \pi_n$, if $\pi_i$ is a double cyclic ascent or a double cyclic descent, the cyclic $\pi_i$-factorization of $\pi$ is defined to be $W_1\pi W_2 W_3$, where $W_1$ (resp. $W_2$) is the maximal contiguous subsequence of $\pi$ immediately to the left (resp. right) of $\pi_i$ whose entries are all smaller than $\pi_i$ in the sense that the entries of $\pi$ are arranged in a cycle clockwisely. For instance, let $\pi = 15324$, then the cyclic 3-factorization of $\pi$ is given by $W_13W_2W_3$ where $W_1$ is empty, $W_2 = 2$ and $W_3 = 415$.

In an ordered labeled tree $T$, let $u$ be a vertex of $T$ which is labeled by $v_0$. Suppose that the children of $u$ are labeled by $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$ from left to right. The number of cyclic descents (resp. cyclic ascents) of $u$, denoted by $cdes(u)$ (resp. $casc(u)$), is defined to be the number $cdes(v_0v_1v_2 \ldots v_\ell)$ (resp. $casc(v_0v_1v_2 \ldots v_\ell)$).

The number of cyclic descents and cyclic ascents of $T$ are defined to be

$$cdes(T) = \sum_{u \in V(T)} cdes(u)$$

and

$$casc(T) = \sum_{u \in V(T)} casc(u)$$

where $V(T)$ denotes the vertex set of $T$. Denote by $eleaf(T)$ the number of leaves at even level.

Let $v$ be an odd vertex of a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and let $u$ be its parent labeled by $v_0$. Suppose that the children of the vertex $u$ are labeled by $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$ from left to right. Assume that the vertex $v$ is labeled by $v_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Then the odd vertex $v$ is said to be a double cyclic descent (resp. a double cyclic ascent, a cyclic peak, a cyclic valley) of $T$ if and only if $v_i$ is a double cyclic descent (resp. a double cyclic ascent, a cyclic peak, a cyclic valley) of the sequence $v_0v_1v_2 \ldots v_\ell$.

Let $u$ be an even vertex of a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ which is labeled by $v_0$. Suppose that the
children of $u$ are labeled by $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$ from left to right. Then the even vertex $u$ is said to be a double cyclic descent (resp. a double cyclic ascent, a cyclic peak, a cyclic valley) of $T$ if and only if $v_0$ is a double cyclic descent (resp. a double cyclic ascent, a cyclic peak, a cyclic valley) of the sequence $v_0 v_1 v_2 \ldots v_\ell$. Denote by $dcdes(T)$ (resp. $dcasc(T)$, $cpeak(T)$, $cval(T)$) the number of double cyclic descents (resp. double cyclic ascents, cyclic peaks, cyclic valleys) of $T$.

For example, if we let $T$ be a tree as shown in Figure 1, we have $casc(T) = 5$, $cdes(T) = 4$, $eleaf(T) = 2$, $dcdes(T) = 0$, $dcasc(T) = 1$, $cpeak(T) = 4$ and $cval(T) = 4$.

Now we are ready to state our main results of this section.

**Theorem 2.1** Let

$$T_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{T \in T_M} x^{casc(T)} y^{cdes(T)} z^{eleaf(T)},$$

where $M = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\}$ and $K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n$ with $k_i \geq 1$. The polynomial $T_M(x, y, z)$ is partial $\gamma$-positive and has the expansion

$$T_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{K-n} z^i \sum_{j=1}^{[K+1-i]} \gamma_{T,M,i,j}^{T} (xy)^j (x+y)^{K+1-i-2j},$$

where $\gamma_{T,M,i,j}^{T} = \{|T \in T_M | cdes(T) = j, eleaf(T) = i, dcdes(T) = 0\}|$.

A tree $T \in T_M$ is said to be weakly increasing if the labels of the vertices on the path from the root to any leaf is weakly increasing from top to bottom. See Figure 2 for an example. Denote by $IT_M$ the set of weakly increasing ordered labeled trees $T \in T_M$.

![Figure 2: A tree $T \in IT_M$ with $M = \{1^2, 2, 3, 4, 5^3, 6, 7\}$.
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Theorem 2.2  Let

\[ IT_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{T \in IT_M} x^{\text{case}(T)} y^{\text{cdes}(T)} z^{\text{cleaf}(T)}, \]

where \( M = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\} \) and \( K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n \) with \( k_i \geq 1 \). The polynomial \( IT_M(x, y, z) \) is partial \( \gamma \)-positive and has the expansion

\[ IT_M(x, y, z) = K^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^{K-n} \gamma^{IT}_M(i) (x + y)^{K+1-i-2j}, \]

where \( \gamma^{IT}_M(i,j) = |\{ T \in IT_M | \text{cdes}(T) = j, \text{cleaf}(T) = i, \text{dcdes}(T) = 0 \}|. \)

In the following, we shall define a group action on ordered labeled trees in the spirit of the Foata-Strehl action on permutations [8].

Let \( T \in T_M \). For any vertex \( u \) of \( T \), we define the tree FS-action \( \psi_u \) on the ordered labeled trees \( T \) in the following way.

**Case 1.** If the vertex \( u \) is an even leaf, a cyclic valley or a cyclic peak, let \( \psi_u(T) = T \).

**Case 2.** The vertex \( u \) is a double cyclic ascent or a double cyclic descent.

**Subcase 2.1.** The vertex \( u \) is odd. Suppose that the vertex \( v \) labeled by \( v_0 \) is the parent of \( u \) and the children of \( v \) are labeled by \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell \) from left to right. Assume that the vertex \( u \) is labeled by \( v_k \) for some \( 1 \leq k \leq \ell \), be the subtree rooted at the odd vertex labeled by \( v_k \). Suppose that the cyclic \( v_k \)-factorization of the sequence \( v_0v_1v_2\ldots v_\ell \) is given by \( W_1v_kW_2W_3 \). Then let \( \psi_u(T) \) be the tree obtained from \( T \) by rearranging the subtrees \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_\ell \) such that \( CDES(W_2v_kW_1) = CDES(v_0v_1'v_2'\ldots v_\ell') \), where the children of the vertex \( v \) in \( \psi_u(T) \) are labeled by \( v_1', v_2', \ldots, v_\ell' \) from left to right. See Figure 3 for an example.

![Figure 3](image-url)  

Figure 3: An example of the action \( \psi_u \) where the vertex \( u \) is circled.

**Subcase 2.2.** The vertex \( u \) is even. Suppose that the vertex \( u \) is labeled by \( v_0 \) and the children of \( u \) are labeled by \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell \) from left to right. Let \( T_i, (1 \leq i \leq \ell) \), be the subtree rooted at the odd vertex labeled by \( v_i \). Suppose that the cyclic \( v_0 \)-factorization of the sequence \( v_0v_1v_2\ldots v_\ell \) is given by \( W_1v_0W_2W_3 \). Then let \( \psi_u(T) \)
be the tree obtained from $T$ by rearranging the subtrees $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_\ell$ such that $CDES(W_2v_0W_1W_3) = CDES(v_0'v_1'v_2'\ldots v_\ell')$, where the children of the vertex $u$ in $\psi_u(T)$ are labeled by $v_1', v_2', \ldots, v_\ell'$ from left to right. See Figure 4 for an example.

![Figure 4: An example of the action $\psi_u$ where the vertex $u$ is circled.](image)

The following observation will play an essential role in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Observation 2.3** Let $M = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\}$ and $K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n$ with $k_i \geq 1$. Then we have

\begin{align*}
eleaf(T) + dcdes(T) + dcasc(T) + cpeak(T) + cval(T) &= K + 1, \quad (2.1) \\
cpeak(T) &= cval(T), \quad (2.2) \\
cdes(T) &= cval(T) + dcdes(T) \quad (2.3)
\end{align*}

and

\begin{equation} 
\text{casc}(T) = cpeak(T) + dcasc(T) \quad (2.4)
\end{equation}

for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_M$. 

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Define $\mathcal{T}_{M,i}$ be the set of ordered labeled trees $T \in \mathcal{T}_M$ with $\text{eleaf}(T) = i$. Then Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to

\[ \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{M,i}} x^{\text{casc}(T)} y^{\text{cdes}(T)} = \sum_{T \in \overline{\mathcal{T}_{M,i}}} (xy)^{\text{cdes}(T)}(x + y)^{K+1-i-2\text{cdes}(T)}. \quad (2.5) \]

where $\overline{\mathcal{T}_{M,i}}$ denotes the set of ordered labeled trees $T \in \mathcal{T}_{M,i}$ with $dcdes(T) = 0$.

Clearly, the tree FS-actions $\psi_u$’s are involutions and commute. Thus, for any $S \subseteq V(T)$, we can define the function $\psi_S : \mathcal{T}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_M$ by $\psi_S = \prod_{u \in S} \psi_u$, where the product is the functional compositions. Hence the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^{K+1}$ acts on $\mathcal{T}_M$ via the function $\psi_S$. Since the statistic “eleaf” is invariant under this group action, it divides $\mathcal{T}_{M,i}$ into some disjoint orbits. For each $T \in \mathcal{T}_{M,i}$, let $\text{Orb}(T) = \{g(T) : T \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{K+1}\}$ be the orbit of $T$ under the tree FS-action. Notice that the vertex $u$ is a double cyclic
descent of $T$ if and only if the vertex $u$ is a double cyclic ascent of $\psi_u(T)$. This yields that there is a unique tree $\tilde{T} \in \text{Orb}(T)$ such that $dcdes(T) = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$
\sum_{T \in \text{Orb}(\tilde{T})} x^{\text{casc}(T)} y^{\text{cdes}(T)} = x^{\text{cpeak}(\tilde{T})} y^{\text{cval}(\tilde{T})} (x + y)^{K + 1 - i} = (xy)^{\text{cdes}(\tilde{T})} (x + y)^{K + 1 - i - 2\text{cdes}(\tilde{T})},
$$

where the second equality follows from (2.1) and the third equality follows from (2.2)-(2.4). Summing over all orbits of $\mathcal{T}_M$ under the tree FS-action then gives (2.5), completing the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** From the construction of the cyclic FS-action $\psi_u$, it is easily seen that $\psi_u(T) \in \mathcal{Q}_M$ for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_M$. Therefore, Theorem 2.2 can be proved by similar reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

### 3 Partial $\gamma$-positivity for Quasi-Stirling Permutations

Let $\pi$ be a permutation, the leftmost entry of $\pi$ is denoted by $\text{first}(\pi)$. Similarly, for an ordered labeled tree $T$, we denote by $\text{first}(T)$ the label of the leftmost child of the root.

In [16], Yan and Zhu established a bijection $\phi$ between $\mathcal{T}_M$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_M$. First we give an overview of the bijection $\phi$. If $T$ has only one vertex, let $\phi(T) = \epsilon$, where $\epsilon$ denotes the empty permutation. Otherwise, suppose that $\text{first}(T) = r$.

**Case 1.** The leftmost child of the root is a leaf. Let $T_0$ be the tree obtained from $T$ by removing the leftmost child of the root together with the edge incident to it. Define $\phi(T) = r \phi(T_0)$.

**Case 2.** The leftmost child of the root has $k$ children. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $T_i$ be the subtree rooted at the $i$-th child of the leftmost child of the root. Denote by $T'_i$ the tree obtained from $T_i$ by relabeling its root by $0$. Let $T_0$ be the tree obtained from $T$ by removing all the subtrees $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k$ and all the vertices labeled by $r$ together with the edges incident to them. Define $\phi(T) = r \phi(T'_1) \phi(T'_2) \ldots \phi(T'_k) r \phi(T_0)$.

For instance, let $T$ be a tree illustrated in Figure 1. By applying the map $\phi$, we have $\phi(T) = 2773516455$.

In [17], Yan et al. proved that the bijection $\phi$ has the following property.

**Lemma 3.1** ([17]) For any nonempty multiset $M$, the map $\phi$ induces a bijection
between $\mathcal{T}_M$ and $\overline{Q}_M$ such that

$$(\text{cdes, casc, eleaf})T = (\text{des, asc, plat})\phi(T)$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_M$.

Combining Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.1 we immediately derive the following partial $\gamma$-positivity for $Q_M(x, y, z)$, thereby confirming Conjecture 1.1.

**Theorem 3.2** Let $\mathcal{M} = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\}$ and $K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n$ with $k_i \geq 1$. The polynomial $\overline{Q}_M(x, y, z)$ is partial $\gamma$-positive and has the expansion

$$\overline{Q}_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{K-n} z^i \sum_{j=1}^{K+1-i} \gamma_{\overline{T}M,i,j}(xy)^j (x + y)^{K+1-i-2j},$$

where $\gamma_{\overline{T}M,i,j} = |\{ T \in \mathcal{T}_M | \text{cdes}(T) = j, \text{eleaf}(T) = i, \text{dcdes}(T) = 0 \}|$.

From the construction of the map $\phi$, it is easily seen that $\phi(T) \in Q_M$ for any $T \in \mathcal{I}T_M$. Hence, the following result follows immediately from Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.3** For any nonempty multiset $\mathcal{M}$, the map $\phi$ induces a bijection between $\mathcal{I}T_M$ and $Q_M$ such that

$$(\text{cdes, casc, eleaf})T = (\text{des, asc, plat})\phi(T)$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{I}T_M$.

Combining Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.2 we are led to an alternative proof of the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$.

**Theorem 3.4** Let $\mathcal{M} = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\}$ and $K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n$ with $k_i \geq 1$. The polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$ is partial $\gamma$-positive and has the expansion

$$Q_M(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{K-n} z^i \sum_{j=1}^{K+1-i} \gamma_{\overline{T}T_M,i,j}(xy)^j (x + y)^{K+1-i-2j},$$

where $\gamma_{\overline{T}T_M,i,j} = |\{ T \in \mathcal{I}T_M | \text{cdes}(T) = j, \text{eleaf}(T) = i, \text{dcdes}(T) = 0 \}|$.

**Remark 3.5** In [10], Lin, Ma and Zhang provided three combinatorial interpretations for the $\gamma$-coefficients of the partial $\gamma$-positivity expansion of $Q_M(x, y, z)$. Theorem 3.4 gives a new combinatorial interpretation.
We conclude this section with an alternative proof of the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$ by employing the result obtained by Yan et al. [17] and the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$ proved by Lin, Ma and Zhang [10]. In [17], Yan et al. obtained the following result concerning the polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$.

**Theorem 3.6** ([17]) Let $M = \{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, \ldots, n^{k_n}\}$, $M' = \{1^{K-n+1}, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ and $K = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_n$ with $k_i \geq 1$. We have $Q_M(x, y, z) = Q_{M'}(x, y, z)$.

Notice that quasi-Stirling permutations of $M' = \{1^{K-n+1}, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ are equivalent to Stirling permutations of $M' = \{1^{K-n+1}, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$. Thus, by Theorem 3.6 we have $Q_M(x, y, z) = Q_{M'}(x, y, z)$. Thus, the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$ implies the the partial $\gamma$-positivity of the polynomial $Q_M(x, y, z)$.
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