A NONCOMMUTATIVE RETURN TIMES THEOREM

MORGAN O'BRIEN

Abstract. In this article, we obtain a noncommutative Banach principle suitable to prove Wiener-Wintner type results for the case of a large class of weights in the Marcinkiewicz $W_1$-space for semifinite von Neumann algebras. From this, we prove a noncommutative Wiener-Wintner type ergodic theorem for certain positive Dunford-Schwartz operators whose weights include all bounded Hartman sequences, which proves a noncommutative version of Bourgain’s Return Times Theorem. Furthermore, we extend this to allow Hartman sequences which aren’t necessarily bounded to be considered.

1. Introduction

Weighted (or modulated) ergodic theorems have been an active area of research in ergodic theory for quite a while (among numerous other examples, see [2, 8, 10, 11, 17]). Results of this nature have recently been of interest in the von Neumann algebra setting as well (see [4, 5, 7, 14, 16]).

Hartman (almost periodic) sequences arise naturally in harmonic analysis and ergodic theory as sequences which admit Fourier coefficients. For example, given a probability measure-preserving (p.m.p.) dynamical system $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu, \phi)$, the Wiener-Wintner theorem states that, given $f \in L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, there is a measurable set $X' \subseteq X$ with $\mu(X') = 1$ such that the weighted averages $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k f(\phi^k(x))$ converge for every $x \in X'$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. This can be understood as saying that each $x \in X'$ gives rise to a Hartman sequence $\{f(\phi^k(x))\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. A more thorough discussion of this can be found in [11].

Another important class of Hartman sequences includes the bounded Besicovich sequences, which have been studied frequently in classical ergodic theory (see [2, 17]), and have also been studied in the context of individual ergodic theorems on von Neumann algebras in [4, 5, 7]. Other types of Hartman sequences were studied as weights in the noncommutative setting in [14], where being a Hartman sequence was one of the additional assumptions on the bounded sequences with correlation considered in the noncommutative multi-parameter Wiener-Wintner type ergodic theorem.

In the commutative case, the a.e. convergence of weighted averages of a power-bounded operator with unbounded weights is known in numerous situations (see, for example, [8, 17]). However, such results are unknown in the noncommutative setting, as boundedness of the sequences has been essential for the individual weighted ergodic theorems on von Neumann algebras thus far.

Date: June 15, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A35, 46L52.

Key words and phrases. Semifinite von Neumann algebra, noncommutative Wiener-Wintner type theorem, Hartman sequence.
It was stated in Section 3 of [17] that there exists a bounded Hartman sequence
\( \alpha = \{ \alpha_k \}_{k=0}^{\infty} \), a p.m.p. system \((X, \mathcal{F}, \mu, \phi)\), and \( f \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \) such that the weighted averages \( \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k f \circ \phi^k \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) may fail to converge a.e. Note that the map \( f \mapsto f \circ \phi \) is a positive Dunford-Schwartz operator on \( L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \) (as it is a \( \int \cdot \, d\mu \)-preserving \(*\)-homomorphism). This means that, in order to obtain the almost uniform (a.u.) or bilaterally almost uniform (b.a.u.) convergence of the averages of a positive Dunford-Schwartz operator on a semifinite von Neumann algebra weighted by sequences in a set which includes all bounded Hartman sequences, some additional assumptions on the operator must be made.

In [22], it was shown that, if \((X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)\) is a probability space, then if \( T \) is a Dunford-Schwartz operator on \((X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)\) such that the restriction of \( T \) to \( L_p(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \) is positive as a bounded operator on a Hilbert space, then for every \( f \in L_p(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \) with \( 1 < p < \infty \), the iterates \( T^n f(x) \) converge \( \mu\)-a.e. \( x \in X \). This was extended to the von Neumann algebra setting in [13] by considering a.u. and b.a.u. convergence for a positive Dunford-Schwartz operator \( T \) such that the restriction of \( T \) to \( L_2(M, \tau) \) is a positive operator. This was then generalized to hold with more general conditions on the operator \( T \) in [1].

Our first goal is to prove a version of the Banach principle which allows for weights in the Marcinkiewicz \( W_1 \)-space to be considered in obtaining Wiener-Wintner type ergodic theorems.

Afterwards, we work with a more general version of the assumptions on \( T \) above. Namely, we will assume that the iterates \( \{ T^n(x) \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) converge b.a.u. (a.u.) for every flight vector \( x \) of \( T \) obtained from the Jacobs-de Leeuw-Glicksberg decomposition of \( L_q(M, \tau) \) for some \( 1 < q < \infty \) (respectively, \( 2 \leq q < \infty \)). In this setting, we will prove a b.a.u. (respectively, a.u.) Wiener-Wintner type ergodic theorem for weights which are either bounded Hartman sequences or bounded linear sequences, which hold for every \( x \in L_p(M, \tau) \) and every \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) (respectively, \( 2 \leq p < \infty \)). We also discuss a similar extension to the fully symmetric space \( \mathcal{R}_\tau \) associated to \( M \) considered in [35].

Next, we restrict our attention to the \( L_q(M, \tau) \) whose flight vectors converge b.a.u. or a.u. with respect to the iterates of \( T \). In this case, we add the assumption that the iterates \( \{ T^n \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) satisfy an equicontinuity condition in measure (either bilaterally uniformly or uniformly), which is typically used to obtain the convergence of the iterates anyways. This setting then allows a Wiener-Wintner type result for sequences in a (rather large) collection of unbounded Hartman sequences in \( W_1 \) to hold for \( T \). As indicated above, this result is of extra interest since it is also the first individual weighted ergodic theorem in the noncommutative setting to consider unbounded weights.

We conclude the article by presenting numerous examples and conditions that show the assumptions on \( T \) are actually attainable. Of note, it was mentioned in [13] that, if the restriction of \( T \) to \( L_2(M, \tau) \) is self-adjoint, then the iterates \( \{ T^{2n}(x) \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{ T^{2n+1}(x) \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) may converge b.a.u. or a.u. for every \( x \in L_p(M, \tau) \) (according to whether \( 1 < p \leq 2 \) or \( 2 < p < \infty \)), but not necessarily to the same value. We will show that this is not the case for the flight vectors of \( T \) in \( L_p(M, \tau) \), so that all of the results of this article apply to such an operator.
2. Preliminaries

Throughout this article, we will let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Further, we will let $\tau$ denote a normal semifinite faithful trace on $\mathcal{M}$. The operator norm on $B(\mathcal{H})$ will be denoted by $\| \cdot \|_\infty$. Denote the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$ by 1. For clarity, $\mathbb{N}$ will denote the set of natural numbers, and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

We will denote the lattice of projections on $\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$. Given $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$, write $e^\bot := 1 - e$, noting that $e^\bot \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ as well.

Suppose $x : \mathcal{D}_x \to \mathcal{H}$ is a closed densely defined operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Then $x$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$ if $yx \subseteq xy$ for every $y \in \mathcal{M}'$, where as usual $\mathcal{M}'$ denotes the commutant of $\mathcal{M}$. If $x$ is affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$, then $x$ is $\tau$-measurable if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\tau(e^\bot) \leq \epsilon$ and $xe \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ denote the set of all $\tau$-measurable operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Define, for every $\epsilon, \delta > 0$, the set $V(\epsilon, \delta)$ by

$$V(\epsilon, \delta) = \{x \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \|xe\|_\infty \text{ for some } e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ with } \tau(e^\bot) \leq \delta\}.$$  

Then $\{V(\epsilon, \delta)\}_{\epsilon, \delta > 0}$ is a system of neighborhoods of 0 in $L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ which induces a topology, called the measure topology, under which it is a complete topological *-algebra (see [20]).

Given $x \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we say that $x$ is positive if $\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for every $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_x$, and write $x \geq 0$. If $S \subseteq L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then write $S^+ = \{x \in S : x \geq 0\}$.

For every $x \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, given $t > 0$ one may define the $t$-th generalized singular number of $x$ as

$$\mu_t(x) = \inf \{\|xe\|_\infty : \text{there exists } e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ such that } \tau(e^\bot) \leq t\}.$$  

Using this, one may extend the trace $\tau$ to all of $L_0^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Indeed, by Proposition 2.7 of [12], given $x \in L_0^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, one has

$$\tau(x) = \int_0^\infty \mu_t(x) dt.$$  

For each $x \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, there exists $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and $|x| \in L_0^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $x = u|x|$, where $|x|$ is given by $|x| = (x^*x)^{1/2}$. For each $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the noncommutative $L_p$-space associated to $\mathcal{M}$, by

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \{x \in L_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(|x|^p) < \infty\}.$$  

Given $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, define $\|x\|_p = (\tau(|x|^p))^{1/p}$. Write $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \mathcal{M}$, and equip it with the operator norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$. Then $(L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \| \cdot \|_p)$ is a Banach space for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For notational convenience, for either $p = 0$ or $1 \leq p < \infty$, we will write $L_p$ in place of $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

A Dunford-Schwartz operator is a linear operator $T : L_1 + \mathcal{M} \to L_1 + \mathcal{M}$ with

$$\|T(x)\|_1 \leq \|x\|_1 \ \forall x \in L_1 \ \text{and} \ \|T(x)\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_\infty \ \forall x \in \mathcal{M}.$$  

If $T(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \geq 0$, then $T$ is called a positive Dunford-Schwartz operator, and we write $T \in DS^+ := DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Notably, by Lemma 1.1 of [13], $T$ extends to a linear contraction on $L_p$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Throughout this article we will consider the weighted averages of the iterates of $T$. Fix $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the $n$-th weighted ergodic
average of $T$ by $\alpha$ as

$$M_{\alpha}^n(T)(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k T^k(x) \text{ for every } x \in L_1 + M.$$  

When $\alpha = \{1\}_{n=0}^\infty$, we will write $M_{\alpha}^n(T) = M_n(T)$ for every $n \geq 1$.

A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq L_0$ is said to converge to $x \in L_0$ bilaterally almost uniformly (b.a.u.) (almost uniformly (a.u.)) if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\tau(e^+) \leq \epsilon$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|e(x_n - x)e\|_\infty = 0 \text{ (respectively, } \lim_{n \to \infty} \|(x_n - x)e\|_\infty = 0).$$

The notions of a.u. and b.a.u. convergence take the place of pointwise convergence in commutative (classical) ergodic theory. Indeed, if $\mathcal{M}$ is commutative, then a.u. and b.a.u. convergence are the same since every commutative von Neumann algebra is *-isomorphic to $L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ for some measure space $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ whose trace is given by integration with respect to $\mu$. If $\mu$ is finite, then Egorov’s theorem states that a.u. convergence (with respect to the trace $\int \cdot d\mu$) and $\mu$-a.e. convergence are equivalent. However, in general, one has that a.u. convergence implies b.a.u. convergence (see Example 3.1 of [8] for failure of the converse).

We will frequently need the notions of the uniform and bilateral uniform equicontinuity at zero of a sequence of maps from a normed space $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ to $L_0$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed space. Let $A_n : X \to L_0$, $n \geq 0$, be a sequence of linear maps. Then $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is said to be bilaterally uniformly equicontinuous in measure (b.u.e.m.) (uniformly equicontinuous in measure (u.e.m.)) at zero on $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ if, for every $\epsilon, \delta > 0$, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that, for every $x \in X$ with $\|x\| < \gamma$, there exists $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\tau(e^+) \leq \epsilon$, $A_n(x)e \in \mathcal{M}$, and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|eA_n(x)e\| \leq \delta \text{ (respectively, } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|A_n(x)e\| \leq \delta).$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Assume $T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then, for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, the averages $\{M_n(T)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are b.u.e.m. at zero on $(L_p, \| \cdot \|_p)$. Furthermore, if $2 \leq p < \infty$, then $\{M_n(T)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are u.e.m. at zero on $(L_p, \| \cdot \|_p)$.

### 3. Wiener-Wintner form of the Banach Principle

For the remainder of this article we will fix $T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Also, we will let $T \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ denote the unit circle.

For each $1 \leq r < \infty$, let $W_r$ denote the set of all sequences $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|\alpha\|_{W_r} := \left( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k|^r \right)^{1/r} < \infty,$$

and let $W_\infty$ denote the set of all bounded complex sequences. For each $1 \leq r < \infty$, the value $\|\alpha\|_{W_r}$ is called the $W_r$-seminorm of $\alpha$. For $\alpha \in W_\infty$, write $\|\alpha\|_{W_\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\alpha_n|$. It is known that $W_r \subseteq W_s$ whenever $1 \leq s < r \leq \infty$. Each $W_r$-space is complete with respect to the topology generated by $\| \cdot \|_{W_r}$ for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. 

As it will make some arguments throughout this article easier to understand, for any $1 \leq r < \infty$ and $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \in W_r$ we will write

$$||\alpha||_{W_r} := \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k|^r \right)^{1/r}.$$  

For $\alpha \in W_\infty$ we will write $||\alpha||_{W_\infty} = ||\alpha||_{W_\infty}$. Due to the fact that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n < \infty$ if and only if $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n < \infty$ for every $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, this value will always be finite for $\alpha \in W_r$. This will mainly be used for notational convenience, and should not be seen as a norm or anything else of the sort.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq r < \infty$, $A \subseteq W_r$, and $h : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ a monotonically increasing function such that $h(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$. We will say that $T$ is simultaneously b.u.e.m. (u.e.m.) at zero on $(L_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ for $(A, r, h)$ if for every $\epsilon, \delta > 0$, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that if $x \in L_p$ satisfies $\|x\|_p < \gamma$, then there exists $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\tau(e^{1/\gamma}) \leq \epsilon$ and for every $\alpha \in A$,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|eM_n^\alpha(T)(x)e\|_\infty \leq h(||\alpha||_{W_r})\delta \quad \text{(respectively, } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|M_n^\alpha(T)(x)e\|_\infty \leq h(||\alpha||_{W_r})\delta).$$

Observe that, if $A \subseteq B$ and if $T$ is simultaneously b.u.e.m. (u.e.m.) at zero on $(L_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ for $(B, r, h)$, then it is also simultaneously b.u.e.m. (u.e.m.) at zero on $(L_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ for $(A, r, h)$.

Let $A \subseteq W_1$. Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, we will write

$$bWW_p(A) = \left\{ x \in L_p : \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \epsilon \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ such that } \tau(e^{1/\gamma}) \leq \epsilon \text{ and } \{eM_n^\alpha(T)(x)e\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ converges in } \mathcal{M} \text{ for every } \alpha \in A \right\}$$

$$WW_p(A) = \left\{ x \in L_p : \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \epsilon \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ such that } \tau(e^{1/\gamma}) \leq \epsilon \text{ and } \{M_n^\alpha(T)(x)e\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ converges in } \mathcal{M} \text{ for every } \alpha \in A \right\}.$$  

Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq W_1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The following inclusions among these spaces will be important to keep in mind:

$$WW_p(A) \subseteq bWW_p(A), \quad bWW_p(B) \subseteq bWW_p(A), \quad \text{and } WW_p(B) \subseteq WW_p(A).$$

The following was proven for a fixed sequence $\beta \in W_\infty$ in [4] as Theorems 2.1 and 2.3. However, examination of the proofs show that the projection $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ obtained does not depend on the particular sequence $\beta$, and so the result may be stated in the following stronger form.

**Proposition 3.1.** (cf. [4]) Let $T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Let $id(s) = s$ and $h(s) = \sqrt{s}(2 + s)$. Then $T$ is simultaneously b.u.e.m. at zero on $(L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \|\cdot\|_p)$ for $(W_\infty, \infty, id)$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$, and is simultaneously u.e.m. at zero on $(L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \|\cdot\|_p)$ for $(W_\infty, \infty, h)$ when $2 \leq p < \infty$.

The result above holds for every $T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, every $1 \leq p < \infty$, and for every semifinite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with n.s.f. trace $\tau$. We now want to prove an extension of this result for weights in $W_1$, but will need an extra assumption on the iterates of the operator $T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and also need to fix $1 \leq p < \infty$. 

Proposition 3.2. Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), and assume \( T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \) is such that \( \{T^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is b.u.e.m. (u.e.m.) at zero on \( (L_p,(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \| \cdot \|_p) \). Then \( T \) is simultaneously b.u.e.m. (respectively, u.e.m.) at zero on \( (L_p, \| \cdot \|_p) \) for \( (W_1, 1, id) \).

Proof. We will prove the b.u.e.m. at zero case, as the u.e.m. at zero case follows by similar reasoning. Assume \( \epsilon, \delta > 0 \), and let \( \gamma > 0 \) be as in the definition of \( \{T^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) being b.u.e.m. at zero on \( (L_p, \| \cdot \|_p) \).

Assume \( x \in L_p \) is such that \( \|x\|_p < \gamma \), and let \( e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \) be such that \( \tau(e^{-1}) \leq \epsilon \) and \( \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|eT^m(x)e\|_\infty \leq \delta \). Then, for \( \alpha \in W_1 \) and \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
\|eM_n^\alpha(T)(x)e\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k| \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty \\
\leq \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k| \right) \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|eT^m(x)e\|_\infty \leq \|\alpha\|_{W_1} \delta.
\]

Since \( n \geq 1 \) was arbitrary, it follows that \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|eM_n^\alpha(T)(x)e\|_\infty \leq \|\alpha\|_{W_1} \delta \), and since \( \alpha \in W_1 \) was arbitrary, the claim holds.

Theorem 3.3. Fix \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), \( 1 \leq r \leq \infty \), and \( \mathcal{A} \subseteq W_r \). If \( T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \) is such that \( T \) is simultaneously b.u.e.m. (u.e.m.) at zero on \( (L_p, \| \cdot \|_p) \) for \( (\mathcal{A}, r, h) \), then \( bWW_p(\mathcal{A}) \) (respectively, \( W_p(\mathcal{A}) \)) is closed in \( L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \).

Proof. We will prove the case of \( bWW_p(\mathcal{A}) \), noting that the other case follows by similar reasoning. Without loss of generality assume that \( h(s) = s \) for \( s \geq 0 \) (otherwise replace \( \|\alpha\|_W \) and \( b \) with \( h(\|\alpha\|_W) \) and \( h(b) \) whenever needed).

For every \( b \in \mathbb{N} \), we will write

\[
\mathcal{A}_b = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathcal{A} : \|\alpha\|_W < b \right\}.
\]

Since \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k|^r < \infty \) is equivalent to \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k|^r < \infty \), we find that \( \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_b \).

Assume \( x \in bWW_p(\mathcal{A}) \) and \( \{z_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq bWW_p(\mathcal{A}) \) are such that \( \|z_j - x\|_p \to 0 \) as \( j \to \infty \), and let \( y_j = z_j - x \) for every \( j \geq 1 \).

Assume \( \epsilon > 0 \), and let \( b, k \in \mathbb{N} \).

Since \( \|y_j\|_p \to 0 \) as \( j \to \infty \), and since \( T \) is simultaneously b.u.e.m. at zero on \( (L_p, \| \cdot \|_p) \) for \( (\mathcal{A}, r, h) \) by assumption, we may find \( x_{k,b} \in \{y_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \} \) and \( e_{k,b} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \) such that, for every \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \),

\[
\tau(e_{k,b}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^k+b+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|e_{k,m}M_n^\alpha(T)(x_{k,b})e_{k,b}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|\alpha\|_W}{kb}.
\]

Observe that, for every \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_b \),

\[
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|e_{k,b}M_n^\alpha(T)(x_{k,b})e_{k,b}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|\alpha\|_W}{kb} \leq \frac{1}{k}.
\]

Since \( k, b \in \mathbb{N} \) were arbitrary, we obtain the sets

\[
\{x_{k,b} : k, b \in \mathbb{N} \} \subseteq \{y_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \} \quad \text{and} \quad \{e_{k,b} : k, b \in \mathbb{N} \} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})
\]

Let \( e = \bigwedge_{k,b=1}^{\infty} e_{k,b} \). Then

\[
\tau(e) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \tau(e_{k,b}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
\]
and, for every $k \geq 1$, $b \geq 1$, and $\alpha \in A_b$, we find

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|eM_n^\alpha(T)(x_{k,b})e\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|e_{k,b}M_n^\alpha(T)(x_{k,b})e_{k,b}\| \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$  

Given $k, b \in \mathbb{N}$, by definition there exists $j_{k,b} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x_{k,b} = y_{j_{k,b}} = z_{j_{k,b}} - x.$$  

With this we find that $x + x_{k,b} \in bWW_p(A)$ for every $k, b \geq 1$. For fixed $k, b \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_{k,b} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ be such that

$$\tau(f_{k,b}^+) \leq \frac{\delta}{2^{k+b+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \{f_{k,b}M_n^\alpha(T)(x + x_{k,b})f_{k,b}\}_{n=1}^\infty \text{ converges} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}.$$  

Let $f = \bigwedge_{k,b=1}^\infty f_{k,b}$, noting that $\tau(f^+) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for similar reasons as above, and that, for every $k, b \in \mathbb{N},$

$$\{fM_n^\alpha(T)(x + x_{k,b})f\}_{n=1}^\infty \text{ converges for every } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}.$$  

Let $g = e \wedge f$. Then $\tau(g^+) \leq \epsilon$, and $g$ satisfies \ref{1} and \ref{2} above in place of $e$ and $f$ respectively for every $k, b \in \mathbb{N}$, and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $k, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$gM_n^\alpha(T)(x)g = g(f_{k,b}M_n^\alpha(T)(x + x_{k,b})f_{k,b})g + g(e_{k,b}M_n^\alpha(T)(x_{k,b})e_{k,b})g \in \mathcal{M}.$$  

Fix $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, and assume $\delta > 0$. Since $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{b=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_b$, there exists $b_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{b_0}$. Let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{1}{k_0} < \frac{\delta}{3}$. Since $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{b_0}$, we find by Equation \ref{1} that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|gM_n^\alpha(T)(x_{k_0,b_0})g\| \leq \frac{1}{k_0} < \frac{\delta}{3}.$$  

By \ref{2} above, $\{gM_n^\alpha(T)(x_{k_0,b_0})g\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy, so that there exists $N$ such that $m, n \geq N$ implies

$$\|g(M_m^\alpha(T)(x_{k_0,b_0})g - M_m^\alpha(T)(x_{k_0,b_0})g\| \leq \frac{\delta}{3}.$$  

Therefore, for every $m, n \geq N$, we find that

$$\|g(M_n^\alpha(T)(x) - M_m^\alpha(T)(x))g\| \leq \|g(M_n^\alpha(T)(x + x_{k_0,b_0}) - M_m^\alpha(T)(x + x_{k_0,b_0})g\| \leq \|gM_n^\alpha(T)(x_{k_0,b_0})g\| + \|gM_m^\alpha(T)(x_{k_0,b_0})g\| \leq \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{1}{k_0} + \frac{1}{k_0} < \delta.$$  

Since $\delta > 0$ was arbitrary, it follows that $\{gM_n^\alpha(T)(x)g\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{M}$, meaning the sequence converges in $\mathcal{M}$ as well. Since $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ were arbitrary, it follows that $x \in bWW_p(A)$. Finally, since $x \in bWW_p(A)$ was arbitrary, it follows that $bWW_p(A)$ is closed in $L_p$. \hfill \square

As an immediate consequence of this result, we obtain an interesting class of weights that work for this type of Wiener-Wintner type ergodic theorem. For this result, write $e = \{\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in W_\infty : \exists \ell \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \lim_{k \to \infty} \alpha_k = \ell\}.$

**Corollary 3.1.** Let $T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then $bWW_p(e) = L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $WW_p(e) = L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ when $2 \leq p < \infty$. 

---
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Proof. Assume $x \in L_1 \cap \mathcal{M}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $x \in L_2$, there exists $\hat{x} \in L_2$ such that $\{M_n(T)(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\hat{x}$ a.u. as $n \to \infty$. Let $\epsilon \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ be such that $\tau(e^{1}) \leq \epsilon$ and $\|M_n(T)(x) - \hat{x}\|_\infty \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Assume $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in c$, and let $\ell \in C$ be its limit. Then, since $T$ is a contraction on $\mathcal{M}$,

$$
\|(M_n(T)(x) - \ell \hat{x})e\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k - \ell|\|T^k(x)e\|_\infty + |\ell|\|(M_n(T)(x) - \hat{x})e\|_\infty
$$

Since $\alpha_k \to \ell$ as $k \to \infty$, we know that $|\alpha_k - \ell| \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ as well. Consequently, the Cesàro averages of this sequence, which is one of the terms in the last inequality above, tend to $0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore the last line tends to $0$ as $n \to \infty$, which means that $\|(M_n(T)(x) - \ell \hat{x})e\|_\infty \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and so $\{M_n(T)(x)e\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges in $\mathcal{M}$. Since $\alpha \in c$ and $\epsilon > 0$ were arbitrary, it follows that $x \in \text{WW}_p(c)$. Therefore, since $L_1 \cap \mathcal{M}$ is dense in $L_p$, it follows that $\text{bWW}_p(c) = L_p$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$, and that $\text{WW}_p(c) = L_p$ when $2 \leq p < \infty$, by Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 4.1.

The assumption that $\{T^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is b.u.e.m. (u.e.m.) at zero on $(L_p, \| \cdot \|_p)$ guarantees that the set $\mathcal{C} = \{x \in L_p : \{T^n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty \text{ converges b.a.u. (a.u.)} \}$ is closed by the noncommutative Banach principle. However, this does not provide much information about the contents of $\mathcal{C}$ for example, it may be the case that $\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$. On the other hand, with this assumption we can generalize some known results about Besicovich sequences in the noncommutative setting.

A function $f : \mathbb{Z} \to C$ is a trigonometric polynomial if there exists $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in T$ and $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in C$ such that $f(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n r_k \lambda_k^s$ for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. A sequence $\alpha \in W_1$ will be said to be $r$-Besicovich if, for every $\delta > 0$, there exists a trigonometric polynomial $f$ such that $|\alpha - f(\cdot)|_\infty < \delta$. In other words, $\alpha$ lives in the $W_\infty$-seminorm closure of the trigonometric polynomials in $W_\tau$ (since trigonometric polynomials give rise to sequences in $W_\infty$, which itself is contained in $W_\tau$ for every $1 \leq r \leq \infty$).

The convergence of averages weighted by bounded Besicovich sequences were studied in the noncommutative setting in [7] and [4] (among other sources). In the setting of Proposition 3.2 above, we may generalize these results to hold for 1-Besicovich sequences. The main parts of the proof are the same since the boundedness of the sequence $\beta$ was only used to guarantee that $\{M_n^\beta(T)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is b.u.e.m. or u.e.m. at zero on $(L_p, \| \cdot \|_p)$, and so we omit it.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra with a separable predual and n.s.f. trace $\tau$, and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be such that $\{T^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is b.u.e.m. (u.e.m.) at zero on $(L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \| \cdot \|_p)$. Then, for every 1-Besicovich sequence $\alpha \in W_1$, the averages $\{M_n^\alpha(T)(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converge b.a.u. (respectively, a.u.) for every $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

4. CONVERGENCE OF AVERAGES WEIGHTED BY HARTMAN SEQUENCES

In this section, we will consider operators $T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that the iterates $\{T^n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converge b.a.u. or a.u. for every flight vector of $T$ on $L_q$ for some $1 < q < \infty$ (or $2 \leq q < \infty$ in the a.u.-case). While this assumption may appear
strong, as it will be shown in Section 5 that it is naturally satisfied in numerous settings. Wiener-Wintner type theorems proved below concern some sets in $W_1$ that contain all bounded Hartman sequences, which as a consequence means a noncommutative version of Bourgain’s Return Times Theorem holds for these operators (see Corollary 4.1).

A sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called a Hartman sequence if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \lambda^k =: c_\alpha(D)$$

exists for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. It is known that $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{T} : c_\alpha(\lambda) \neq 0\}$ is a countable set for every Hartman sequence $\alpha$. Let $H$ denote the set of all Hartman sequences in $W_1$. As noted in [17], it is also known that $H$ is a closed subspace of $W_1$. The $r$-Besicovich (bounded Besicovich) sequences discussed in Section 3 above are an important class of Hartman sequences in $W_r$ for $1 \leq r < \infty$ ($r = \infty$).

Let $W_{1+}$ denote the $W_1$-seminorm closure of $\bigcup_{r > 1} W_r$, and let $H_{1+}$ denote the $W_1$-seminorm closure of the set $\bigcup_{r > 1} W_r \cap H$, noting that $H_{1+} \subsetneq W_{1+} \cap H$.

If $X$ is a Banach space, we denote its (continuous) dual space by $X^*$. A set $E \subseteq X$ is weakly conditionally compact if every sequence in $E$ contains a weakly convergent subsequence. In other words, for every sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq E$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $x \in E$ such that $\{\phi(x_{n_j})\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $\phi(x)$ in $\mathbb{C}$ for every $\phi \in X^*$. A linear operator $S : X \to X$ is weakly almost periodic if $\{S^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is weakly conditionally compact for every $x \in X$.

Since $T$ is a contraction on $L_q$, it is also power-bounded on $L_q$. Similar to the commutative case, $L_q$ is a reflexive Banach space when $1 < q < \infty$ (see [20]). Therefore, if $1 < q < \infty$, it follows that $T$ is a weakly almost periodic operator on $L_q$.

The following is a summary of some of the results (namely Theorems 1.2 and 2.1) in [17] relating weakly almost periodic operators and Hartman sequences.

**Theorem 4.1.** [17] Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \in W_{1+}$ be a Hartman sequence. Then for every weakly almost periodic operator $S$ on a Banach space $X$,

$$L(\alpha, S)(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k S^k(x)$$

exists in norm for every $x \in X$.

Moreover, if $X$ is a Hilbert space and $S$ is a contraction, then, for every $x \in X$,

$$L(\alpha, S)(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}} c_\alpha(\lambda) E(\lambda)(x),$$

where, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, $E(\lambda)$ is the projection of $X$ onto $(\lambda I - S)X$ (noting only countably many terms of the sum are nonzero).

By the results of Chapter 16 of [11], this setup allows the consideration of the Jacobs-de Leeuw-Glicksberg decomposition of $L_q$ for $1 < q < \infty$:

$$L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \text{span}(U_q(T)) \oplus V_q(T),$$

where

$$U_q(T) = \left\{ x \in L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : T(x) = \lambda x \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{T} \right\},$$

$$V_q(T) = \left\{ x \in L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \{T^n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{ converges weakly for some } \{n_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0 \right\},$$

and $\mathcal{M}$ is the Jacobson-Marcinkiewicz operator of $T$, and $\tau$ is the measure on $\mathbb{T}$.
with the closure of \( \text{span}(\mathcal{U}_p(T)) \) being with respect to the norm on \( L_q \). An element of \( V_q(T) \) is called a \textit{flight vector} of \( T \). For notational convenience we define \( \mathcal{U}_1(T) \) similarly for \( L_1 \).

A sequence \( \alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C} \) is called a \textit{linear sequence} if there exists a Banach space \( X \) and a relatively weakly compact operator \( S \) on \( X \) with \( z \in X \) and \( \phi \in X^* \) such that \( \alpha_k = \phi(S^k(z)) \) for every \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). As shown in [10], for any linear sequence \( \alpha \), there exists a Hartman sequence \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \in W_\infty \) with \( \|\gamma\|_{W_1} = 0 \) such that \( \alpha = \beta + \gamma \). Let \( \mathcal{L} \) denote the set of all linear sequences in \( W_1 \).

Observe that the setting we work in gives rise to numerous examples of linear sequences. To see this, let \( T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \) and \( 1 < p < \infty \). Let \( 1 < q < \infty \) be such that \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \). Then, for any \( x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \) and any \( y \in L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \), the sequence \( \{\tau(y^*T^n(x))\}_{n=0}^\infty \) is a bounded linear sequence.

The following technical lemma justifies part of an assumption we will make later.

**Lemma 4.1.** Assume \( 1 < q < \infty \). If \( x \in V_q(T) \) is such that \( T^n(x) \to 0 \) \( b.a.u. \) \( (a.u.) \) for some \( y \in L_0 \), then \( y = 0 \).

**Proof.** As \( a.u. \) convergence implies \( b.a.u. \) convergence, we will prove the \( b.a.u. \) case.

Let \( x \in V_q(T) \) be such that \( T^n(x) \to 0 \) \( b.a.u. \) for some \( y \in L_0 \). Since \( 1 := \{1\}_{k=0}^\infty \) is a bounded sequence and since \( x \) is a flight vector for \( T \), it follows by Theorem 4.1 of [8] that \( M_n(T)(x) \to 0 \) in the \( L_q \)-norm as \( n \to \infty \), and so in measure in \( L_0 \) as well by [20].

Fix \( \epsilon > 0 \). Since \( T^n(x) \to 0 \) \( b.a.u. \), there exists \( e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \) such that \( \tau(e^+) \leq \epsilon \) and \( \|\tau(e(T^n(x) - y)e)\|_\infty \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). With this, we find that

\[
\|e(M_n(T)(x) - y)e\|_\infty = \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e(T^k(x) - y)e \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|e(T^k(x) - y)e\|_\infty.
\]

Note that the right hand side of this inequality is the \( n \)-th term of the sequence of Cesàro averages of \( \{\|\tau(e(T^n(x) - y)e)\|_\infty\}_{n=0}^\infty \), and so converges to 0 as well. Consequently, \( \{M_n(T)(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty \) converges to \( y \) \( b.a.u. \) as \( n \to \infty \) since \( \epsilon > 0 \) is arbitrary. This convergence also occurs in measure, and since \( L_0 \) is a Hausdorff space with the measure topology, the uniqueness of limits in \( L_0 \) implies \( y = 0 \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.2.** For every \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), \( \text{span}(\mathcal{U}_p(T)) \subseteq WW_p(H) \).

**Proof.** Assume \( x \in \mathcal{U}_p(T) \), and let \( \lambda \in \mathcal{T} \) be such that \( T(x) = \lambda x \). Assume \( \epsilon > 0 \). Then, since \( x \) is a \( \tau \)-measurable operator, there exists \( e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \) such that \( \tau(e^+) \leq \epsilon \) and \( xe \in \mathcal{M} \). Now, for every \( \alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in H \) and \( n \geq 1 \), we find

\[
\|(M_n^\alpha(T)(x) - c_\alpha(\lambda)x)e\|_\infty = \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \lambda^k - c_\alpha(\lambda) \right\|_\infty \cdot \|xe\|_\infty,
\]

and since \( \alpha \) is a Hartman sequence the last term tends to 0 as \( n \to \infty \). Therefore \( M_n^\alpha(T)(x)e \) converges, and since \( \alpha \in H \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) was arbitrary, it follows that \( x \in WW_p(H) \). Since \( x \in \mathcal{U}_p(T) \) was arbitrary, it follows that \( \mathcal{U}_p(T) \subseteq WW_p(H) \).

Since \( WW_p(\mathcal{A}) \) is a subspace of \( L_p \) for every \( \mathcal{A} \subseteq W_1 \), the result follows. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra with normal semifinite faithful trace \( \tau \). Let \( T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \) be such that, for some \( 1 < q < \infty \) \( (2 \leq q < \infty) \), \( T^n(x) \to 0 \) \( b.a.u. \) \( (\text{respectively, } a.u.) \) as \( n \to \infty \) for every \( x \in V_q(T) \). Then, for every \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) \( (\text{respectively, } 2 \leq p < \infty) \), \( bWW_p((H \cup \mathcal{L}) \cap W_\infty) = L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \) \( (\text{respectively, } WW_p((H \cup \mathcal{L}) \cap W_\infty) = L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)) \).
Proof. We will prove the b.a.u. case, noting that the a.u. case follows a similar line of reasoning.

Recall that, by the Jacobs-de Leeuw-Glicksberg decomposition of $L_q$, the set \( \text{span}(U_q(T)) + V_q(T) \) is dense in $L_q$. We will show that each summand is in $bWW_q((H \cup L) \cap W_\infty)$.

We know that \( \text{span}(U_q(T)) \subseteq bWW_q(H) \) by Lemma 4.2 and the definition of the spaces directly implies that \( bWW_q(H) \subseteq bWW_q(H \cap W_\infty) \). Therefore \( \text{span}(U_q(T)) \subseteq bWW_q(H \cap W_\infty) \).

Assume $x \in V_q(T)$. Assume $\epsilon > 0$, and let $e \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ be such that $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \epsilon$ and $\|eT^n(x)e\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. Let $\beta = \{\beta_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in H \cap W_\infty$. Then

$$
\|eM^\beta_n(T)(x)e\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\beta_k| \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty \leq \|\beta\|_{W_\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty.
$$

Since $\|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the last term tends to $0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as well since it is nothing but the Cesàro averages associated to the sequence. Therefore $\|eM^\beta_n(T)(x)e\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and since $\epsilon > 0$ and $\beta \in H \cap W_\infty$ were arbitrary, it follows that $x \in bWW_q(H \cap W_\infty)$, and so $V_q(T) \subseteq bWW_q(H \cap W_\infty)$.

We have shown that $\text{span}(U_q(T))$ and $V_q(T)$ are both subsets of $bWW_q(H \cap W_\infty)$, and since the latter set is a closed subspace of $L_q$ by Theorem 3.1 it follows that $L_q(M, \tau) = bWW_q(H \cap W_\infty)$. Of note we find that $L_1 \cap M \subseteq bWW_q(H \cap W_\infty)$.

Now fix $1 \leq p < \infty$. Since $L_1 \cap M \subseteq bWW_q(H \cap W_\infty)$, we find that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $e \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ such that $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \epsilon$ and $\{eM^\beta_n(T)(x)e\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges for every $\alpha \in H \cap W_\infty$. Since $L_1 \cap M$ is dense in $L_p$, this shows that $L_1 \cap M \subseteq bWW_p(H \cap W_\infty)$, and since the latter set is also closed in $L_p$ by Theorem 4.1 it follows that $bWW_p(H \cap W_\infty) = L_p$.

We now extend the set of weights from $H \cap W_\infty$ to $(H \cup L) \cap W_\infty$. To that end, assume $x \in L_1 \cap M$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let $e \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ be a projection such that $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \epsilon$ and $\{eM^\beta_n(T)(x)e\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges for every $\beta \in H \cap W_\infty$.

Assume $\alpha \in L \cap W_\infty$. Then by 10 there exists $\beta \in H$ and $\gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in W_\infty$ with $\|\gamma\|_{W_\infty} = 0$ such that $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$. Rewriting this equation shows that $\beta = \alpha - \gamma$. Since $\alpha, \gamma \in W_\infty$ and since $W_\infty$ is a vector space, it follows that $\beta \in H \cap W_\infty$, so that $\{eM^\beta_n(T)(x)e\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges in $M$. Let $\tilde{x}_\beta \in M$ denote this limit.

Since $x \in L_1 \cap M$, and since $T$ is a contraction on $M$, we find that the assumption on $\gamma$ implies that

$$
\|M^\gamma_n(T)(x)\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\gamma_k| \|T^k(x)\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_\infty \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\gamma_k| \rightarrow 0.
$$

Since multiplication is continuous in $M$, and since $e = e^2$, we find that $\tilde{x}_\beta = e\tilde{x}_\beta e$. From this, we see that

$$
\|e(M^\alpha_n(T)(x) - \tilde{x}_\beta)e\|_\infty \leq \|e(M^\beta_n(T)(x) - \tilde{x}_\beta)e\|_\infty + \|M^\gamma_n(T)(x)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and since $\alpha \in L \cap W_\infty$ was arbitrary, it follows that $\{eM^\alpha_n(T)(x)e\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly for every $\alpha \in L \cap W_\infty$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, it follows that $x \in bWW_p((H \cup L) \cap W_\infty)$, and since this set is closed in $L_p$, and since $L_1 \cap M$ is dense in $L_p$, the conclusion follows.

We now focus our attention to the certain set of weights in $H \cap W_\infty$ mentioned at the beginning of this article. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a probability space, and let...
\(\phi : X \to X\) be a measure-preserving map. Then, for every \(f \in L_\infty (X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)\), the sequence \(\{f(\phi^k(x))\}_{k=0}^\infty\) is a bounded Hartman sequence for \(\mu\)-a.e. \(x \in X\) by the commutative Wiener-Wintner theorem. Consequently, we obtain a noncommutative analogue of Bourgain’s Return Time Theorem.

**Corollary 4.1.** Assume \(1 \leq p < \infty\) (or \(2 \leq p < \infty\)), and let \(T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\) be such that, for some \(1 < q < \infty\) (respectively, \(2 \leq q < \infty\)), \(T^n(x) \to 0\) b.a.u. (respectively, a.u.) for every \(x \in \mathcal{V}_q(T)\). Then, if \((X, \mathcal{F}, \mu, \phi)\) is a probability measure-preserving system and \(f \in L_\infty (X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)\), then for any \(\epsilon > 0\) there exists \(e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})\) such that \(\tau(e^{1/\epsilon}) \leq \epsilon\) and

\[
e^{-\frac{1}{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\phi^k(s))T^k(x)e \quad \text{(respectively, } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\phi^k(s))T^k(x)e\text{)}
\]

converges in \(\mathcal{M}\) for every \(x \in L_p\) and for \(\mu\)-a.e. \(s \in X\). In particular, for every \(E \in \mathcal{F}\) with \(\mu(E) > 0\) and \(s \in E\), letting \(n_0 = \inf\{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \phi^j(s) \in E\}\) and \(n_k = \inf\{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 : j > n_{k-1} \text{ and } \phi^j(x) \in E\}\), the subsequential averages

\[
e^{-\frac{1}{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{n_k}(x)e \quad \text{(respectively, } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{n_k}(x)e\text{)}
\]

converge in \(\mathcal{M}\) as \(n \to \infty\).

In [18], a similar result to this was proven for uniform sequences under the additional assumption that \(\mathcal{M}\) has a separable predual but for general \(T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\).

In Theorem 4.2 we made the assumption that \(T^n(x) \to 0\) b.a.u. or a.u. for every flight vector of \(T\) in \(L_q\). This is a rather strong assumption, but as we will see in Section 5 it is possible for it to hold. In each of the examples in that section, the iterates \(\{T^n\}_{n=0}^\infty\) are either b.u.e.m. or u.e.m. at zero on \((L_p, \| \cdot \|_p)\) according to the value of \(p\) and whether b.a.u. or a.u. convergence was obtained. This was the motivation for starting to use this assumption in Proposition 3.2. As it may be possible to obtain the a.u. or b.a.u. convergence of the iterates of \(T\) in some other way, we include this as an assumption in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a von Neumann algebra with normal semifinite faithful trace \(\tau\), and let \(1 < q < \infty\). Let \(T \in DS^+(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\) be such that \(T^n(x) \to 0\) b.a.u. (a.u.) for every \(x \in \mathcal{V}_q(T)\) and assume that \(\{T^n\}_{n=0}^\infty\) is b.u.e.m. (respectively, u.e.m.) at zero on \((L_q, \| \cdot \|_q)\). Then \(bWW_q(H_{1+}) = L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\) (respectively, \(WW_q(H_{1+}) = L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\)).

**Proof.** We will prove the theorem for \(bWW_q(H_{1+})\), noting that the case of \(WW_q(H_{1+})\) is similar with appropriate changes. It suffices to prove that both \(\text{span}(\mathcal{U}_q(T))\) and \(\mathcal{V}_q(T)\) are subsets of \(bWW_q(H_{1+})\) by the Jacobs-de Leeuw-Glicksberg decomposition of \(L_q\) (with respect to \(T\)).

By Lemma 4.2 we know that \(\text{span}(\mathcal{U}_q(T)) \subseteq bWW_q(H)\). Since \(H_{1+} \subseteq H\), it follows that \(\text{span}(\mathcal{U}_q(T)) \subseteq bWW_q(H_{1+})\).

Assume that \(x \in \mathcal{V}_q(T)\) and \(\epsilon > 0\). Let \(e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})\) be such that \(\tau(e^{1/\epsilon}) \leq \epsilon\) and \(\|eT^n(x)e\|_\infty \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\).
First assume that \( \alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in \bigcup_{r>1} W_r \cap H \), and let \( r > 1 \) be such that \( \alpha \in W_r \cap H \). Let \( s > 1 \) be such that \( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1 \). Then Hölder’s inequality implies

\[
\|eM_n^\alpha(T)e\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k| \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{n^{1/r} n^{1/s}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k|^r \right)^{1/r} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty^s \right)^{1/s}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k|^r \right)^{1/r} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty^s \right)^{1/s}
\]

\[
\leq \left( \sup_{m \leq n} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\alpha_k|^r \right)^{1/r} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty^s \right)^{1/s}.
\]

Since \( \|eT^n(x)e\|_\infty \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \), \( \|eT^n(x)e\|_\infty^s \to 0 \) as well, and so the Cesàro averages of this will converge to the same value too. Therefore the final expression tends to 0 as \( n \to \infty \), which implies that \( \|eM_n^\alpha(T)e\|_\infty \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Now assume that \( \alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in H_{1+} \). Assume \( \delta > 0 \), and let \( \beta = \{\beta_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in \bigcup_{r>1} W_r \cap H \) be such that

\[
\delta > \|\alpha - \beta\|_1 = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k - \beta_k|,
\]

and let \( N_1 \in \mathbb{N} \) be such that \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k - \beta_k| \leq \delta \) for every \( n \geq N_1 \). By the case above, we find that \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\beta_k| \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \) since \( \beta \in \bigcup_{r>1} W_r \cap H \), meaning there exists \( N_2 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\beta_k| \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty \leq \delta \) for every \( n \geq N_2 \). Furthermore, since the sequence \( \{\|eT^n(x)e\|_\infty\}_{n=0}^\infty \) is convergent, it is bounded, meaning \( S := \sup_n \|eT^n(x)e\|_\infty < \infty \).

Now, let \( N = \max\{N_1, N_2\} \). Then \( n \geq N \) implies that

\[
\|eM_n^\alpha(T)e\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k| \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k - \beta_k| \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\beta_k| \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty
\]

\[
\leq \delta S + \delta = \delta (S + 1).
\]

Since \( \delta > 0 \) was arbitrary, it follows that \( \|eM_n^\alpha(T)e\|_\infty \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Since \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( \alpha \in H_{1+} \) were arbitrary, it follows that \( x \in bWW_q(H_{1+}) \), and since \( x \in \mathcal{V}_q(T) \) was arbitrary, it follows that \( \mathcal{V}_q(T) \subseteq bWW_q(H_{1+}) \).

We have proven that \( \text{span}(\mathcal{U}_q(T)) \subseteq bWW_q(H_{1+}) \). Therefore, since sum of these two spaces is dense in \( L_q \), and since \( bWW_q(H_{1+}) \) is closed in \( L_q \) by Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 4.1, it follows that \( L_q \subseteq bWW_q(H_{1+}) \), and since the latter space is a subset of the former by definition, we find that \( L_q = bWW_q(H_{1+}) \).

**Remark 4.1.** Using the same ideas as the second part of Theorem 4.1, one can actually replace \( H_{1+} \) in Theorem 4.2 above with \( H_{1+} \cup (\mathcal{L} \cap \bigcup_{r>1} W_r) \).
We should emphasize the subtle differences between these two results, as each has a property that the other does not have. In Theorem 4.2, the convergence extends from $L_p$ to every other $L_p$ space with $1 \leq p < \infty$ (or $2 \leq p < \infty$ for the a.u. version), making it applicable when $p = 1$ or when the assumption can only be established in one space (see Example 5.7). Furthermore, as Remark 4.2 below will show, the convergence also extends to the noncommutative symmetric and fully symmetric spaces associated to $\mathcal{M}$. On the other hand, Theorem 4.3 allows a much larger class of weights to be used, as it does not have the requirement that the sequences be bounded, which is new to the von Neumann algebra setting.

In the above result, a stronger convergence can actually be found for $x \in V_q(T)$. To see what this is, note that the b.a.u. or a.u. convergence of $\{M_n^\alpha(T)(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ to 0 did not depend on the fact that $\alpha$ was Hartman, only that $\alpha \in W_r$ for some $1 < r < \infty$. Furthermore, using the notation of $\|\alpha\|_{W_r}$ from Section 3 in the above proof it was shown that

$$\|eM_n^\alpha(T)(x)e\|_\infty \leq \|\alpha\|_{W_r} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|eT^k(x)e\|_\infty^s \right)^{1/s}.$$  

Recall that, in the proof of Theorem 3.1, for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $0 < b < \infty$, we wrote $A_0 = \{ \alpha \in A : \|\alpha\|_{W_r} \leq b \}$.

Using this, one can more-or-less remove the dependence on $\alpha$ in the above by considering $\alpha \in (W_r)_b$. Therefore, the convergence of this to 0 can be made almost independent of the sequence $\alpha$, from which the following analogue of Bourgain’s uniform Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorem is obtained.

**Corollary 4.2.** Assume $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 < r \leq \infty$, and $0 < b < \infty$. Suppose $x \in L_p(M, \tau)$ is such that $T^n(x) \to 0$ b.a.u. (a.u.) as $n \to \infty$. Then, in the notation of the proof of Theorem 3.1, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $e \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ such that $\tau(e^+) \leq \epsilon$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in (W_r)_b} \|eM_n^\alpha(T)(x)e\|_\infty = 0 \quad (\text{respectively, } \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in (W_r)_b} \|M_n^\alpha(T)(x)e\|_\infty = 0).$$

In the setting of Theorem 4.3, for any $x \in L_q$ and $\alpha \in H_1^+$, we now know that $M_n^\alpha(T)(x)$ converges b.a.u. as $n \to \infty$. Since $L_0$ is complete with respect to b.a.u. convergence by Theorem 2.3 of [7], we know that the b.a.u. limit $\hat{x}_\alpha$ of this sequence also lives in $L_0$. Even more, similar to the bounded sequence case, the next proposition shows that $\hat{x}_\alpha$ also lives in $L_q$.

**Proposition 4.1.** Assume $1 \leq p < \infty$, $T \in DS^+(M, \tau)$, and $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in W_1$. Suppose, for some $x \in L_p(M, \tau)$, that $\{M_n^\alpha(T)(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges b.a.u. (a.u.) to some $\hat{x} \in L_0(M, \tau)$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $\hat{x} \in L_p(M, \tau)$.

**Proof.** Since a.u. convergence implies b.a.u. convergence to the same element in $L_0$, it suffices to prove the latter case. Since $\alpha \in W_1$, we find that

$$S := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k| < \infty.$$  

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, since $T \in DS^+$ implies that $T : L_p \to L_p$ is a contraction,

$$\|M_n^\alpha(T)(x)\|_p \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k| \|T^k(x)\|_p \leq \|x\|_p \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_k| \leq S \|x\|_p.$$
Therefore $M^*_n(T)(x)$ lives in the closed ball of radius $S\|x\|_p$ inside $L_p$ for each $n \geq 1$. Since the closed unit ball of $L_p$ is closed with respect to the measure topology (see Theorem 1.2 of [7]), and since $M^*_n(T)(x) \to \hat{x}$ b.a.u. implies the convergence takes place in measure, it follows that $\hat{x} \in L_p(M, \tau)$. □

**Remark 4.2.** We will quickly discuss how one could extend Theorem 4.2 to noncommutative symmetric and fully symmetric spaces. See [5] for a more thorough discussion of these spaces.

Let $E \subseteq L_0$ be a (non-zero) Banach space with norm $\| \cdot \|_E$. Then $E$ is called a symmetric (fully symmetric) on $(M, \tau)$ if

$$x \in E, \; y \in L_0, \; \mu_t(y) \leq \mu_t(x) \text{ for all } t > 0$$

(respectively, $x \in E, \; y \in L_0, \; \int_0^s \mu_t(y)dt \leq \int_0^s \mu_t(x)dt$ for all $s > 0$)

implies that $y \in E$ and $\|y\|_E \leq \|x\|_E$. The first examples of symmetric spaces on $M$ that one typically encounters are the noncommutative $L_p$-spaces associated with $M$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, although there are many others as well.

For each $x \in L_1 + M$ write

$$\|x\|_{L_1+M} := \inf\{\|y\|_1 + \|z\|_\infty : x = y + z \text{ for some } y \in L_1 \text{ and } z \in M\}.$$

Then $\| \cdot \|_{L_1+M}$ is a norm on $L_1 + M$ under which it becomes a Banach space. Define the space $R_\tau \subseteq L_1 + M$ by

$$R_\tau := \{x \in L_1 + M : \mu_t(x) \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty\}.$$

Equipped with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{L_1+M}$, $R_\tau$ can be seen to be the closure of $L_1 \cap M$ with respect to this norm, so that $(R_\tau, \| \cdot \|_{L_1+M})$ is a Banach space too. Moreover, it is actually a fully symmetric space. Some other important properties of $R_\tau$ are the following: if $\tau(1) < \infty$, then $R_\tau = L_1$, and if $\tau(1) = \infty$, then Proposition 2.2 of [5] states that a fully symmetric space $E$ is contained in $R_\tau$ if and only if $1 \not\in E$.

Following the proofs of Theorem 4.3 and 4.6 of [5] almost identically, one can show that, in the setting of Theorem 4.2 above, for every $x \in R_\tau$ and $e > 0$ there exists $e \in P(M)$ such that $\tau(e^\perp) \leq e$ and $\{eM^*_n(T)(x)e\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges for every $\alpha \in (H \cup L) \cap W_\infty$.

5. Examples

It was mentioned in the introduction that counterexamples to the results of Section 4 may exist for some bounded Hartman sequences when the positive Dunford-Schwartz operator under consideration is induced as the Koopman operator of a measure-preserving transformation on a probability space. This warranted the necessity of some sort of assumption on $T \in DS^+(M, \tau)$.

It should be noted that the assumptions we made on $T$ in Section 4 to solve this problem is somewhat strong. In this section, we will discuss known conditions on the operator $T \in DS^+(M, \tau)$ that allow for the assumptions we made in Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 to actually hold. We also discuss concrete examples of operators actually satisfying those conditions. We expand the existing list of conditions in Proposition 5.1. We also state the relevant uniform equicontinuity condition on $\{T^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Throughout this section, once $T \in DS^+$ is fixed, we will write $T = \{T^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

First, we introduce a little bit of notation taken from the theory of noncommutative vector valued $L_p$-spaces. Fix $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $L_p(M; \ell_\infty)$ be the space of all
sequences \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset L_p \) such that there exists \( a, b \in L_{2p} \) and a bounded sequence \( \{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M} \) such that \( x_n = ay_nb \) for every \( n \geq 0 \). Then \( L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty) \) can be shown to be a Banach space with respect to the norm
\[
\|\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)} := \inf_{x_n = ay_nb} \|a\|_{2p} \|b\|_{2p} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|y_n\|_{\infty},
\]
where the infimum is over all factorizations of \( x \) as defined above. For more information on these spaces, see, among other sources, [11, 12]. Similarly, \( L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty^c) \)
will denote the space of all sequences \( \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset L_p \) such that there exists \( a \in L_p \) and a bounded sequence \( \{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M} \) such that \( x_n = y_na \) for every \( n \geq 0 \). Define its norm analogously.

The family \( T \) will be said to be of weak type \((p, p)\) if there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that, for every \( x \in L_p^+ \) and \( \lambda > 0 \), there exists \( e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \) such that
\[
\tau(e^{1_\lambda}) \leq \left( \frac{C\|x\|_p}{\lambda} \right)^p \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|eT^n(x)e\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda\|x\|_p.
\]
The family \( T \) will be said to be of strong type \((p, p)\) if there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that, for every \( x \in L_p^+ \),
\[
\|\{T^n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)} \leq C\|x\|_p.
\]
In [13], it was shown that \( T \) being strong type \((p, p)\) is equivalent to: for every \( x \in L_p^+ \), there exists \( a \in L_p^+ \) and \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\|a\|_p \leq C\|x\|_p \quad \text{and} \quad T^n(x) \leq a \quad \text{for every} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.
\]
It is known that \( T \) being strong type \((p, p)\) implies that it is weak type \((p, p)\) by using an appropriate spectral argument on \( a \). Furthermore, one can observe that \( T \) being weak type \((p, p)\) implies that it is b.u.e.m. at zero on \((L_p,\|\cdot\|_p)\).

In non-standard notation, we will say that \( T \) is right-sided weak type \((p, p)\) if there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that, for every \( x \in L_p^+ \) and \( \lambda > 0 \), there exists \( e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \) such that
\[
\tau(e^{1_\lambda}) \leq \left( \frac{C\|x\|_p}{\lambda} \right)^p \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|T^n(x)e\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda\|x\|_p.
\]
Similarly, we say that \( T \) is right-sided strong type \((p, p)\) if there exists \( C > 0 \) such that, for every \( x \in L_p \),
\[
\|\{T^n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)} \leq C\|x\|_p.
\]
Similar relations between right-sided strong and weak type \((p, p)\) imply that \( T \) is u.e.m. at zero on \((L_p,\|\cdot\|_p)\).

These definitions could have been given for a more general family of operators \( \mathcal{S} = \{S_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) on \( L_1 + \mathcal{M} \), but we only introduced this notation to better preserve what was stated and used in the original articles.

**Example 5.1.** As mentioned in the introduction, in the commutative setting (Corollary 1 of [23]), if \((X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)\) is a probability space and \( T : L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \to L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \) is a Dunford-Schwartz operator such that \( \int_X(Tf)T \, d\mu \geq 0 \) for every \( f \in L_2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \) (in other words, the restriction of \( T \) to \( L_2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \) is a positive operator), then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n f(x) \) exists \( \mu \)-a.e. (and so \( \{T^n f\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) converges \((\mu)\)-a.u. by Egorov’s Theorem) for every \( f \in L_p(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \) whenever \( 1 < p \leq \infty \).
Example 5.2. By Theorem 6.7 of [13], if $T$ is symmetric relative to $\tau$ and if the restriction of $T$ to $L_2$ is a positive operator, then $T^n(x) \to F(x)$ b.a.u. as $n \to \infty$ for each $x \in L_p$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and a.u. for $x \in L_p$ with $2 < p < \infty$, where $F : L_p \to L_p$ is the projection of $L_p$ onto the fixed point space of $T$.

The family $T$ is strong type $(q, q)$ for $1 < q < \infty$ by Theorem 5.2 of [13], and is right-sided strong type $(q, q)$ for $2 < q < \infty$ by Corollary 5.9 of the same paper.

Example 5.3. A Stoltz region with vertex 1 is a set $D_\delta$, where $\delta > 0$ and

$$D_\delta = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \text{ and } |1 - z| < \delta(1 - |z|) \}.$$  

The numerical range of an operator $S$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is the set

$$\Theta(S) = \{ (S(\xi), \xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{H}, \|\xi\| = 1 \}.$$  

Viewing $T \in DS^+$ as a contraction on $L_2$, if its numerical range is contained in a Stoltz region with vertex 1, it was shown in Corollary 4.4 of [1] that $T^n(x) \to F(x)$ b.a.u. (a.u.) as $n \to \infty$ for every $x \in L_p$ for $1 < p < \infty$ (respectively, $2 < p < \infty$).

Furthermore, a strong type $(q, q)$ result is obtained for $T$ when $1 < q \leq \infty$, and a right-sided strong type $(q, q)$ result is obtained when $2 < q \leq \infty$ by Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 of [1].

Example 5.4. One important example studied in [1] that satisfies this condition is as follows. Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_d$ be von Neumann subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}$ such that the restriction of $\tau$ to each $\mathcal{M}_k$ is semifinite. Let $\mathcal{E}_k$ be the normal faithful conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}_k$ such that $\tau \circ \mathcal{E}_k = \tau$. Each $\mathcal{E}_k$ extends to a contractive projection from $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to $L_p(\mathcal{M}_k, \tau)$. Then, when $\tau$ is finite, the operator $T = \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathcal{E}_d$ is a positive Dunford-Schwartz operator which satisfies all of the conditions laid out in Example 5.3.

Each of the examples above showed that convergence of the iterates $T^n(x)$ occurs for every $x \in V_q(T)$. However, we only needed this convergence to hold whenever $x \in V_q(T)$. Our next goal is to give a condition that at least guarantees the convergence of the iterates of flight vectors, and then continue with more examples.

In [13], it is mentioned that if the restriction of $T$ to $L_2$ is only self-adjoint, then for any $x \in L_q$, the sequences $\{ T^{2n}(x) \}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{ T^{2n+1}(x) \}_{n=0}^\infty$ both converge a.u. or b.a.u. (depending on the value of $q$), but the limits might differ. The following result shows that this problem does not occur when $x$ is a flight vector of $T$. Note that $T^{2n}(x) = (T^2)^n(x)$ for any $x$, and that $T^2 \in DS^+$ when $T$ is.

**Proposition 5.1.** Fix $1 < q < \infty$. Assume $T \in DS^+$ is such that $\{ T^{2n}(x) \}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges b.a.u. (a.u.) for every $x \in L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then $T^n(x) \to 0$ b.a.u. (respectively, a.u.) as $n \to \infty$ for every $x \in V_q(T)$.

**Proof.** Let $F : L_q \to L_q$ and $G : L_q \to L_q$ denote the projections of $L_q$ onto the fixed point spaces of $T$ and $T^2$ respectively. We will prove the b.a.u. case, noting that the a.u. case is similar.

Assume $x \in V_q(T)$. Then both $T^{2n}(x) \to G(x)$ and $T^{2n}(T(x)) \to G(T(x))$ b.a.u. as $n \to \infty$ by assumption.

Since $x \in V_q(T)$, we know that $\{ T^{n_j}(x) \}_{j=0}^\infty$ converges weakly to 0 as $j \to \infty$ for some sequence $\{ n_j \}_{j=0}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}_0$. Since $n_j$ is either even or odd infinitely often, by taking a subsequence of this we find that either $x$ or $T(x)$ is a flight vector of $T^2$ (i.e. either $x \in V_q(T^2)$ or $T(x) \in V_q(T^2)$). Consequently, Proposition 4.1 implies either $G(x) = 0$ or $G(T(x)) = 0$. 

For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, decompose $M_{2n}(T)(x)$ as

$$\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} T^k(x) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (T^2)^j(x) + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (T^2)^j(T(x)).$$

Since the left hand side is equal to $M_{2n}(T)(x)$, it converges b.a.u. to $F(x)$, while the right hand side is

$$\frac{1}{2}(M_n(T^2)(x) + M_n(T^2)(T(x))),$$

which converges to

$$\frac{1}{2}(G(x) + G(T(x)))$$

b.a.u., both as $n \to \infty$, by the noncommutative individual ergodic theorem on $L_q$. So, by the uniqueness of limits in $L_0$ (since b.a.u. convergence implies convergence in measure, and $L_0$ is a Hausdorff space when equipped with the measure topology), we find that

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2}(G(x) + G(T(x))).$$

Since $x \in V_q(T)$, it follows by Proposition 14.1 that $F(x) = 0$. If $x \in V_q(T^2)$, then $G(x) = 0$, and so this equality says that $G(T(x)) = 0$ as well. Similarly, $T(x) \in V_q(T^2)$ implies $G(x) = 0$. Since one of $x$ or $T(x)$ must be in $V_q(T^2)$ by the above, it follows that $G(x) = G(T(x)) = 0$, and so $T^{2n}(x) \to 0$ and $T^{2n+1}(x) = T^{2n}(T(x)) \to 0$ b.a.u. as $n \to \infty$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists projections $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ with $\tau(e_1^\perp), \tau(e_2^\perp) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ such that $\|e_1 T^{2n}(x) e_1 \|_\infty, \|e_2 T^{2n+1}(x) e_2 \|_\infty \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $e = e_1 \wedge e_2$, so that $\tau(e^\perp) \leq \epsilon$ and $\|e T^{2n}(x)\|_\infty, \|e T^{2n+1}(x)\|_\infty \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Assume $\delta > 0$. Then there exists $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \geq N_1$ and $\ell \geq N_2$ implies that $\|e T^{2k}(x) e\|_\infty \leq \delta$ and $\|e T^{2\ell+1}(x) e\|_\infty \leq \delta$. Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, so that $n \geq N$ implies that $\|e T^n(x) e\|_\infty \leq \delta$. Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that the full sequence $\|e T^n(x) e\|_\infty \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in V_q(T)$ were arbitrary, it follows that $T^n(x) \to 0$ b.a.u. for every $x \in V_q(T)$.

Example 5.5. If the restriction of $T$ to $L_2(M, \tau)$ is a self-adjoint operator, then $T^* = T^2$ implies that $T^2 = T^* T$ is a positive operator on $L_2(M, \tau)$. Therefore, by Example 5.2 and Proposition 5.1, $T^n(x) \to 0$ b.a.u. (a.u) for every $x \in V_q(T)$ with

$$1 < q < \infty$$

(respectively, $2 < q < \infty$).

Theorem 5.1 of [13] states that $T$ is strong type $(q, q)$ for $1 < q < \infty$. By Corollary 5.10 of the same paper (use $d = 1$), $T$ is right-sided strong type $(q, q)$ for $2 < q < \infty$.

Example 5.6. For the explicit operators used in this construction of the noncommutative torus $T^d_q$, we refer to Section 2 of [13]. For the positive Dunford-Schwartz operator $T$ we consider on $T^d_q$, we refer to Section 4 of [13].

In this example, we will write $T^d = R^d/(2\pi Z)^d$ instead of as a subset of $C^d$ in order be consistent with the notation of [13]. Let $m$ denote the normalized Lebesgue measure on $T^d$. Let $\theta = [\theta_{j,k}]_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a real skew-symmetric $d \times d$-matrix. Define unitary operators $U_j : L_2(T^d, m) \to L_2(T^d, m)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, d$ by

$$(U_j f)(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = e^{i\pi j} f(x_1 + \pi \theta_{j,1}, x_2 + \pi \theta_{j,2}, \ldots, x_d + \pi \theta_{j,d}).$$

The operators $U_1, \ldots, U_d$ can be shown to satisfy the following commutation relations for $j, k = 1, \ldots, d$:

$$U_k U_j = e^{i\pi jk} U_j U_k.$$
Let $T_d^θ$ be the von Neumann generated by $U_1, ..., U_d$, and observe that a normal faithful tracial state $τ$ can be defined on $T_d^θ$ by
\[ τ(S) = \frac{1}{(2π)^d} \int_{T^d} (S(1))(t)dm(t) = ⟨S(1), 1⟩, \]
where $S ∈ T_d^θ$ and $1$ denotes the constant function $1(t) = 1$ for $t ∈ T^d$.

Define operators $δ_1, ..., δ_d : T_d^θ → T_d^θ$ by
\[ δ_j(U_j) = 2πiU_j \text{ and } δ_j(U_k) = 0 \text{ for } k ≠ j, \]
and extend this linearly. As mentioned in [3], each operator $δ_j$ acts like a partial derivative operator for functions on the usual $d$-torus. Letting $Δ = \sum_{j=1}^d δ_j^2$, one can show that $Δ$ is a negative operator on $L_2(T_d^θ, τ)$ which is the generator of a semigroup of contractions on $L_2(T_d^θ, τ)$. Denoting this semigroup of operators by $(T_t)_{t≥0}$, one has that $T_t = \exp(tΔ)$, and that $T_t ∈ DS^+(T_d^θ, τ)$ for every $t ≥ 0$, and that the restriction of $T$ to $L_2(T_d^θ, τ)$ is a self-adjoint operator. (In fact, each such operator is positive as an operator on $L_2(T_d^θ, τ)$ since $T_t = T_{t/2}T_{t/2} = T_{t/2}T_{t/2}$.)

This is the heat semigroup of $T_d^θ$. In this setting, for any $t ∈ (0, ∞)$, the discretization $\{(T_t)^n\}_{n=0}^{∞}$ satisfies the conditions mentioned in Example 5.2.

**Example 5.7.** If $z ∈ T$, then a Stoltz region with vertex $z$ is a set of the form $zD_δ$ (so $x ∈ zD_δ$ when $x = zy$ for $y ∈ D_δ$, where $D_δ$ is a Stoltz region with vertex $z$).

Suppose the restriction of $T$ to $L_2$ is a normal operator with $σ(T|_{L_2}) ∩ T = \{λ_1, ..., λ_d\}$, where $λ_1 = 1$. Assume further that, for every $z ∈ σ(T|_{L_2}) ∩ T$, there exists a neighborhood $V_z$ of $z$ such that $σ(T) ∩ V_z \setminus \{z\}$ is contained in a Stoltz region with vertex $z$. For each $k = 1, ..., d$, let $F_k$ denote the projection from $L_2$ onto $\ker(λ_k Id_{L_2} − T)$. Then it was shown in Theorem 4.6 of [1] that $T^n(x)$ converges b.a.u. to $F_1(x)$ for every $x ∈ ∩ ker(F_2 + ... + F_d)$.

If $x ∈ V_2(T)$, then $F_k(x) = 0$ for each $k = 1, 2, ..., d$. Therefore $x ∈ ker(F_2 + ... + F_d)$, meaning $T^n(x) → 0$ b.a.u. for every $x ∈ V_2(T)$.

Theorem 2.7 of [1] states that $T$ is strong type $(2, 2)$, and so is b.u.e.m. at zero on $(L_2, \| \cdot \|_2)$.

Note that, unlike all of the other above examples, Theorem 4.3 only applies in the case of b.a.u. convergence on $L_2$, since the mentioned results show that we can only guarantee that the averages are b.u.e.m. at zero on $(L_2, \| \cdot \|_2)$. However, this is enough to have the results of Theorem 4.2 apply to $L_p$ for every $1 ≤ p < ∞$ (for the b.a.u. convergence at least).

**Example 5.8.** This final example comes from [1] as well (as Example 2.8), where they provide a method of explicitly constructing Dunford-Schwarz operators that satisfy the conditions of some of the above examples. More in-depth details can be found in that article.

Let $M$ be any von Neumann algebra, and let $u ∈ M$ be normal contraction (as an operator on $H$) whose spectrum $σ(u)$ is a subset of $D_δ ∪ \{1\}$ for some $δ > 0$. Define $T_u : M → M$ by $T_u(x) = uxu^*$. Then Lemma 1.1 of [13] applies to show that $T_u ∈ DS^+(M, τ)$, and one sees that the restriction of $T_u$ to $L_2$ is normal. Furthermore, one finds that
\[ σ(T_u) ⊆ σ(u)σ(u^*) ⊆ D_δ ∪ \{1\}, \]
where $σ(u)σ(u^*) = \{ab : a ∈ σ(u), b ∈ σ(u^*)\}$. Therefore $T_u$ satisfies the conditions of Example 5.8. One can also replace the condition that $σ(u) ⊆ D_δ ∪ \{1\}$ with
either $\sigma(u) \subseteq [0, 1]$ or $\sigma(u) \subseteq [-1, 1]$, in which case the operator $T_u$ will satisfy the conditions of Example 5.2 or Example 5.3.

Explicit examples of such an operator $T_u$ can be found on $B(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$ by considering any diagonal matrix $u$ whose entries on its diagonal are in $D_\delta \cup \{1\}$, $[0, 1]$, or $[-1, 1]$.
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