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Abstract

We study tetrahedron maps, which are set-theoretical solutions to Zamolodchikov’s functional tetrahedron equation, and their relations with Yang–Baxter maps, which are set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang–Baxter equation.

In particular, we clarify the structure of the nonlinear algebraic relations which define linear (parametric) tetrahedron maps (with nonlinear dependence on parameters), and we present several transformations which allow one to obtain new such maps from known ones. Also, we prove that the differential of a (nonlinear) tetrahedron map on a manifold is a tetrahedron map as well.

Using the obtained general results, we construct new examples of (parametric) Yang–Baxter and tetrahedron maps. Considered examples include maps associated with integrable systems and matrix groups. In particular, we obtain a parametric family of new linear tetrahedron maps, which are linear approximations for the nonlinear tetrahedron map constructed by Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen [5] in a study of soliton solutions of matrix Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) equations. Also, we present invariants for this nonlinear tetrahedron map.
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1 Introduction

The functional tetrahedron equation, which is a higher-dimensional analogue of the well-celebrated (quantum) Yang–Baxter equation, has been in the centre of interest for many researchers in the area of mathematical physics over the past few decades (see, e.g., [1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 19, 20] and references therein). The tetrahedron equation was first studied by A.B. Zamolodchikov in the works [21, 22], and the set-theoretical solutions to this equation, the so-called “tetrahedron maps”, have been studied by both groups of integrable systems and algebraic geometry. A general construction for tetrahedron maps first appeared in the work of I.G. Korepanov [15], where a dynamical system in discrete time was associated with
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the refactorisation of a linear operator. Moreover, S.M. Sergeev in [20] presented a class of tetrahedron maps related to matrix trifactorisation problems.

In this paper we present several results on tetrahedron maps and their relations with Yang–Baxter maps, which are set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang–Baxter equation. The paper is organised as follows.

Section 2 contains the definition of (parametric) tetrahedron maps and recalls some properties of them and some simple relations between tetrahedron maps and Yang–Baxter maps.

Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to linear tetrahedron maps and to linear parametric tetrahedron maps with nonlinear dependence on parameters. We present general results on such maps, including clarification of the structure of the nonlinear algebraic relations that define them and several transformations which allow one to obtain new such maps from known ones.

The results of Sections 3, 4 on linear (parametric) tetrahedron maps generalise some results of [3] on linear (parametric) Yang–Baxter maps.

Remark 5.6, Corollary 5.5, and Example 5.9 show how linear tetrahedron maps appear as linear approximations of nonlinear ones.

Section 5 deals with the differentials of Yang–Baxter and tetrahedron maps of manifolds. When we consider maps of manifolds, we assume that they are either smooth, or complex-analytic, or rational, so that the differential is defined for such a map.

Consider a manifold $M$ and its tangent bundle $TM \to M$. Section 5 contains the following results:

- For any Yang–Baxter map $Y : M \times M \to M \times M$, the differential $dY : TM \times TM \to TM \times TM$ is a Yang–Baxter map of the manifold $TM \times TM$.

- For any tetrahedron map $T : M \times M \times M \to M \times M \times M$, the differential

$$dT : TM \times TM \times TM \to TM \times TM \times TM$$

is a tetrahedron map of the manifold $TM \times TM \times TM$.

The above result on the differential of a Yang–Baxter map was used (without proof) in [3].

Examples of the differentials for tetrahedron maps are presented in Section 5. The computed differentials are tetrahedron maps, which are new, to our knowledge. An example of a computation of the differentials for a family of Yang–Baxter maps is given in Section 6.

In Example 5.9 we consider a nonlinear rational tetrahedron map (42) which was constructed by A. Dimakis and F. Müller-Hoissen [5] in a study of soliton solutions of matrix Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) equations in a tropical limit. We present invariants for this map and find for it a linear approximation, which is a family of new linear tetrahedron maps (43) depending on the parameter $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Using results of Sections 2–5 and generalising some constructions from [3], in Section 6 we present new examples of linear parametric Yang–Baxter and tetrahedron maps (with nonlinear dependence on parameters) associated with some matrix groups.

**Remark 1.1.** According to Remarks 2.3, 5.1 many constructions of this paper involve Yang–Baxter and tetrahedron maps which are “partly linear” in the sense that the maps are linear with respect to some of the variables and nonlinear with respect to the other variables. So, informally speaking, one can say that we deal with “partial linearisations” of Yang–Baxter and tetrahedron maps.
2 Preliminaries

2.1 Tetrahedron maps

For any set $S$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we use the notation $S^n = S \times S \times \cdots \times S$.

Let $W$ be a set. A **tetrahedron map** is a map $T : W^3 \to W^3$, $T(x, y, z) = (u(x, y, z), v(x, y, z), w(x, y, z))$, $x, y, z \in W$, satisfying the (functional) tetrahedron (Zamolodchikov) equation

\[ T^{123} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{356} = T^{356} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{123}. \]  

(1)

Here $T^{ijk}$ for $i, j, k = 1, \ldots, 6$, $i < j < k$, is the map $T^{ijk} : W^6 \to W^6$ acting as $T$ on the $i$th, $j$th, $k$th factors of the Cartesian product $W^6$ and acting as identity on the remaining factors. For instance, $T^{246}(x, y, z, r, s, t) = (x, u(y, r, t), z, v(y, r, t), s, w(y, r, t))$, $x, y, z, r, s, t \in W$.

The schematic interpretation of the tetrahedron equation is given in Figure 1. Every line with a number $i = 1, \ldots, 6$, corresponds to one of six copies of the set $W$, and every intersection point of lines $i, j, k$ corresponds to the map $T^{ijk}$.

![Figure 1: Schematic interpretation of the tetrahedron equation [22, 17, 12].](image)

**Proposition 2.1** ([12]). Consider the permutation map $P^{13} : W^3 \to W^3$, $P^{13}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_3, a_2, a_1)$, $a_i \in W$.

If a map $T : W^3 \to W^3$ satisfies the tetrahedron equation (1) then $\tilde{T} = P^{13} \circ T \circ P^{13}$ obeys this equation as well.

**Proposition 2.2** ([12]). Let $T : W^3 \to W^3$ be a tetrahedron map. Suppose that a map $\sigma : W \to W$ satisfies

$(\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) \circ T \circ (\sigma \times \sigma \times \sigma) = T$, \hspace{1cm} $\sigma \circ \sigma = \text{Id}$.

Then

$\tilde{T} = (\sigma \times \text{Id} \times \sigma) \circ T \circ (\text{Id} \times \sigma \times \text{Id})$, \hspace{1cm} $\hat{T} = (\text{Id} \times \sigma \times \text{Id}) \circ T \circ (\sigma \times \text{Id} \times \sigma)$

are tetrahedron maps.
2.2 Parametric tetrahedron maps

Let $\Omega$ and $V$ be sets. Here $\Omega$ is regarded as a set of parameters. Consider a map of the form

$$T: (\Omega \times V) \times (\Omega \times V) \times (\Omega \times V) \to (\Omega \times V) \times (\Omega \times V) \times (\Omega \times V),$$

(2)

$$((\alpha, x), (\beta, y), (\gamma, z)) \mapsto ((\alpha, u((\alpha, x), (\beta, y), (\gamma, z))), (\beta, v((\alpha, x), (\beta, y), (\gamma, z))), (\gamma, w((\alpha, x), (\beta, y), (\gamma, z)))), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega, \quad x, y, z \in V.$$

Note that the map (2) satisfies $\pi \circ T = \pi$ for the projection $\pi: (\Omega \times V) \times (\Omega \times V) \times (\Omega \times V) \to \Omega \times \Omega \times \Omega$.

For the map (2), equation (1) with $W = \Omega \times V$ can be written as

$$T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{123} \circ T_{\delta,\epsilon,\zeta}^{145} \circ T_{\beta,\delta,\zeta}^{246} \circ T_{\gamma,\epsilon,\zeta}^{356} = T_{\gamma,\epsilon,\zeta}^{356} \circ T_{\beta,\delta,\zeta}^{246} \circ T_{\alpha,\delta,\epsilon}^{145} \circ T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{123} \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta \in \Omega.$$

The terms $T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{123}, T_{\alpha,\delta,\epsilon}^{145}, T_{\beta,\delta,\zeta}^{246}, T_{\gamma,\epsilon,\zeta}^{356}$ in (5) are maps $V^6 \to V^6$ defined similarly to the terms in equation (1), adding the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta$. For instance,

$$T_{\beta,\delta,\zeta}^{246}(x, y, z, r, s, t) = (x, u_{\beta,\delta,\zeta}(y, r, t), z, v_{\beta,\delta,\zeta}(y, r, t), s, w_{\beta,\delta,\zeta}(y, r, t)), \quad x, y, z, r, s, t \in V.$$

We use the notation

$$T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}: V^3 \to V^3, \quad T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x, y, z) = (u_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x, y, z), v_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x, y, z), w_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x, y, z)), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega, \quad x, y, z \in V.$$

So $T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ defined by (6) is a map $V^3 \to V^3$ depending on parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega$.

Equation (5) is called the parametric (functional) tetrahedron equation. The family of maps (6) is called a parametric tetrahedron map if it satisfies equation (5). Then we can say more briefly that $T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ is a parametric tetrahedron map.

**Remark 2.3.** So the parametric map $T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ defined by (6), (3), (4) obeys the parametric tetrahedron equation (5) if and only if the (nonparametric) map (2) obeys the tetrahedron equation (1).

In Section 4 we consider the case when $V$ is a vector space and for any values of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ the map $T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}: V^3 \to V^3$ is linear. Note that usually $\Omega$ is a subset of another vector space, and the dependence of $T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ on the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega$ is nonlinear.

Thus one can say that in Section 4 we study tetrahedron maps of the form (2) which are linear with respect to $V$ and may be nonlinear with respect to $\Omega$. However, it is useful to keep $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ as parameters and to work with $T_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ instead of $T$ from (2).

2.3 Tetrahedron maps vs Yang–Baxter maps

In this subsection, we recall some simple relations between (parametric) tetrahedron maps and (parametric) Yang–Baxter maps, which are defined below. The results of Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 are known, but for completeness we present proofs for them.

Let $W$ be a set. A Yang–Baxter map is a map

$$Y: W \times W \to W \times W, \quad Y(x, y) = (u(x, y), v(x, y)), \quad x, y \in W,$$
satisfying the Yang–Baxter equation

\[ Y^{12} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{23} = Y^{23} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{12}. \]  

(7)

The terms \( Y^{12}, Y^{13}, Y^{23} \) in (7) are maps \( W^3 \to W^3 \) defined as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
Y^{12}(x, y, z) &= (u(x, y), v(x, y), z), \\
Y^{23}(x, y, z) &= (x, u(y, z), v(y, z)), \\
Y^{13}(x, y, z) &= (u(x, z), y, v(x, z)), \\
x, y, z &\in W.
\end{align*}
\]

Proposition 2.4. Let \( Y : W^2 \to W^2 \) be a Yang–Baxter map. Then the maps

\[
Y^{23} : W^3 \to W^3, \quad Y^{12} : W^3 \to W^3
\]

are tetrahedron maps.

Proof. Let \( T = Y^{23} \). We need to prove (1). Using the identity map \( \text{Id}_{W^3} : W^3 \to W^3 \), one gets

\[
\begin{align*}
T^{123} &= Y^{23} \times \text{Id}_{W^3}, \\
T^{145} &= Y^{23} \times \text{Id}_{W^3} \times Y^{12}, \\
T^{246} &= \text{Id}_{W^3} \times Y^{13}, \\
T^{356} &= \text{Id}_{W^3} \times Y^{23}, \\
T^{123} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{356} &= (Y^{23} \times \text{Id}_{W^3}) \circ (\text{Id}_{W^3} \times (Y^{12} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{23})), \\
T^{356} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{123} &= (\text{Id}_{W^3} \times (Y^{23} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{12})) \circ (Y^{23} \times \text{Id}_{W^3}) = \\
&= (Y^{23} \times \text{Id}_{W^3}) \circ (\text{Id}_{W^3} \times (Y^{23} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{12})).
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

(9)

Since \( Y \) satisfies (7), from (8), (9) we obtain (1) for \( T = Y^{23} \). Similarly, one can prove (1) for \( T = Y^{12} \). \( \square \)

Let \( \Omega \) and \( V \) be sets. A parametric Yang–Baxter map \( Y_{\alpha, \beta} \) is a family of maps

\[
Y_{\alpha, \beta} : V \times V \to V \times V, \quad Y_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y) = (u_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y), v_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)), \quad x, y \in V, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega,
\]

(10)

depending on parameters \( \alpha, \beta \in \Omega \) and satisfying the parametric Yang–Baxter equation

\[
Y^{12}_{\alpha, \beta} \circ Y^{13}_{\alpha, \gamma} \circ Y^{23}_{\beta, \gamma} = Y^{23}_{\beta, \gamma} \circ Y^{13}_{\alpha, \gamma} \circ Y^{12}_{\alpha, \beta} \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega.
\]

(11)

The terms \( Y^{12}_{\alpha, \beta}, Y^{13}_{\alpha, \gamma}, Y^{23}_{\beta, \gamma} \) in (11) are maps \( V^3 \to V^3 \) given by

\[
\begin{align*}
Y^{12}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) &= (u_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y), v_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y), z), \\
Y^{23}_{\beta, \gamma}(x, y, z) &= (x, u_{\beta, \gamma}(y, z), v_{\beta, \gamma}(y, z)), \\
Y^{13}_{\alpha, \gamma}(x, y, z) &= (u_{\alpha, \gamma}(x, z), y, v_{\alpha, \gamma}(x, z)), \\
x, y, z &\in V.
\end{align*}
\]

A parametric Yang–Baxter map (10) with parameters \( \alpha, \beta \) can be interpreted as the following Yang–Baxter map \( Y \) without parameters

\[
Y : (\Omega \times V) \times (\Omega \times V) \to (\Omega \times V) \times (\Omega \times V), \quad Y((\alpha, x), (\beta, y)) = ((\alpha, u_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)), (\beta, v_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y))).
\]

(12)

Corollary 2.5. For any parametric Yang–Baxter map (10), the maps

\[
T_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} = Y^{23}_{\beta, \gamma}, \quad V^3 \to V^3, \quad \tilde{T}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} = Y^{12}_{\alpha, \beta}, \quad V^3 \to V^3
\]

(13)

are parametric tetrahedron maps.

Proof. Applying Proposition 2.4 to the (nonparametric) Yang–Baxter map \( Y \) given by (12), we see that \( Y^{23}, Y^{12} \) are (nonparametric) tetrahedron maps. This is equivalent to the fact that (13) are parametric tetrahedron maps. \( \square \)
3 Linear tetrahedron maps

For any vector space $W$ we denote by $\text{End}(W)$ the set of linear maps $W \rightarrow W$.

Let $V$ be a vector space over a field $\mathbb{K}$. Usually $\mathbb{K}$ is either $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. In this section we consider linear maps $T: V^3 \rightarrow V^3$ given by

$$T: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C \\ D & E & F \\ K & L & M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \quad x, y, z, u, v, w \in V,$$

where $A, B, C, D, E, F, K, L, M \in \text{End}(V)$.

Remark 3.1. If $V = \mathbb{K}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ then $A, B, C, D, E, F, K, L, M$ are $n \times n$ matrices.

Proposition 3.2. A map $T \in \text{End}(V^3)$ given by (14) satisfies the tetrahedron equation (1) if and only if the maps $A, B, C, D, E, F, K, L, M \in \text{End}(V)$ in (14) obey the following equations

\begin{align*}
DA &= AD + BDA, \quad AB = BA + ABD, \quad ED = DE + EDB, \quad BE = EB + DBE, \\
LE &= EL + FLE, \quad EF = FE + EFL, \quad ML = MLF + LM, \quad FM = MF + LFM, \\
D &= DD + EDA, \quad B = BB + ABE, \quad L = LL + MLE, \quad F = FF + EFM, \\
K &= DK + EKA + FKD + FDLA, \quad C = CB + ACE + BCL + ABFL, \\
KA &= AK + BKA + CKD + CLDA, \quad AC = CA + ACD + BCK + ABFK, \\
KK + LKA + MKD + MLDA &= 0, \quad CC + ACF + BCM + ABFM = 0, \\
EA + DBD &= AE + BDB, \quad ME + LFL = EM + FLF, \\
K &= KL + LKB + MKE + MLDB, \quad C = FC + DCF + ECM + DBFM, \\
MK &= KM + LKC + MKF + MLDC, \quad CM = MC + KCF + LCM + KBFM, \\
FD + EFK &= DF + EDC, \quad BL + CLE = LB + KBE, \\
LD &= DL + EKB + FKE + FLDB, \quad BF = FB + DCE + ECL + DBFL, \\
LA + KBD &= AL + BKB + CKE + CLDB, \quad (15l) \\
AF + BDC &= FA + DCD + ECK + DBFK, \quad (15m) \\
MD + LFK &= DM + EKC + FKF + FLDC, \quad (15n) \\
BM + CLF &= MB + KCE + LCL + KBFL, \quad (15o) \\
MA - AM + KCD + LCK + KBFK &= BK + CKF + CLDC. \quad (15p)
\end{align*}

Proof. This can be proved by substitution of $T$ in (14) to the tetrahedron equation (1). For any $x, y, z, r, s, t \in V$, from the left-hand side of (1) we obtain

$$(T^{123} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{356})(x, y, z, r, s, t) = (AAx + ADBy + ABEr + ABFz + ABFLs + +ABFMt + ACEx + ACFt + BAy + BBr + BCKz + BCLs + CAz + CBs + CCT, \\
DAX + DBBY + DBEz + DBFKz + DBFLs + DBFMt + DCDz + DCEs + DCFt + +EAy + EBr + ECKz + ECLs + ECMt + FAz + FBs + FCT, \\
KAX + KBDy + KBEz + KBFz + KBFLs + KBFM + KCDz + KCEs + +KCFt + LAY + LBr + LCKz + LCLs + LCMt + MAz + MBs + MCT, \\
Dx + EDy + EEr + EFKz + EFLs + EFMt + FDz + FEs + +FFt, Kx + LDy + LEz + LFKz + LFLs + LFMt + MDz + MES + MFT,$$
while the right-hand side of (1) implies

\[
(T^{356} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{123})(x, y, z, r, s, t) = (AAX + ABY + ACZ + Br + Cs, \\
ADx + AEy + AFz + BDX + BDBy + BDCZ + BER + BFS + Ct, \\
AKx + ALy + AMZ + BKAX + BKBY + BKCZ + BLR + BMS + CKEy + \\
+CKFz + CLDAx + CLDBY + CLDCZ + CLR + CLFs + CMT, \\
DDX + DEy + DFZ + EDAx + EDBY + EDCZ + EEr + EFS + Ft, \\
DKx + DLY + DMZ + EKAx + EKBY + EKCZ + ELR + EMs + FDKx + FKEy + \\
+FKFZ + FLDAx + FLDBY + FLDCZ + FLEr, FLFs + FMt, \\
KKx + KLY + KMZ + LKAX + LKBY + LKCZ + LLR + LMs + MKDX + MKEy + \\
+MKFZ + MLDAx + MLDBY + MLDCZ + MLer + MLFs + MMt).
\]

By equating the coefficients of \(x, y, z, r, s, t\) for each component of these vectors, we get a system of relations equivalent to (1). For instance, consider the coefficients of \(y\) in the first components:

\[
ABD + BA = AB.
\]

This is the second equation from (15a). Performing the same actions with all variables and components of the obtained vectors, we get all of relations (15).

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.3.** System (15) implies the matrix equations (16a)–(16d)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
D & E \\
A & B
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
D & BE \\
DA & B
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
D & BE \\
DA & B
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(16a)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
L & M \\
E & F
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
L & FM \\
LE & F
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
L & FM \\
LE & F
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(16b)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
AB & B \\
D & ED
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
D & E \\
A & B
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
AB & B \\
D & ED
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(16c)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
EF & F \\
L & ML
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
L & M \\
E & F
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
EF & F \\
L & ML
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(16d)

as well as the following

\[
[E - BE, A] = [BD, D + B], \\
[A - DAB, D] = [DB, D + B],
\]

\[
[M - FML, E] = [FM, M + F], \\
[E - LEF, M] = [MF, M + F],
\]

\[
[B + D - DB, E] = 0, \\
[B + D - BD, A] = 0,
\]

\[
[L + F - LF, M] = 0, \\
[L + F - FL, E] = 0,
\]

\[
[E, FK + CL - KB - DC] + [F + L, D + B] + [DB, FL] = 0,
\]

where by \([·, ·]\) we denote the commutator \([A, B] = AB - BA\).

**Remark 3.4.** Equations (16) are equivalent to (15a)–(15c). Thus, the rather cumbersome equations (15a)–(15c) can be replaced by equations (16), which have more clear structure.
Proposition 3.5. For any vector space $V$, the set of linear tetrahedron maps (14) is invariant under the following transformations

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B & C \\
D & E & F \\
K & L & M
\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}
M & L & K \\
F & E & D \\
C & B & A
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(18)

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B & C \\
D & E & F \\
K & L & M
\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}
-A & B & -C \\
D & -E & F \\
-K & L & -M
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(19)

Let $V = \mathbb{K}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then $A, B, C, D, E, F, K, L, M$ in (14) are $n \times n$ matrices. In this case, the set of linear tetrahedron maps (14) is invariant also under the transformation

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B & C \\
D & E & F \\
K & L & M
\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}
A^T & D^T & K^T \\
B^T & E^T & L^T \\
C^T & F^T & M^T
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(20)

Here $T$ denotes the transpose operation for matrices.

Proof. The statement about the transformation (18) follows from Proposition 2.1 with $W = V$.

The case of the transformation (19) follows from Proposition 2.2, if we take $W = V$ and consider the map $\sigma : V \to V$, $\sigma(v) = -v$.

To prove the statement about the transformation (20), one can apply the transpose operation to both sides of the tetrahedron equation (1) for $T$ given by (14).

Example 3.6. Let $V = \mathbb{K}^2$. Then $V^3 = \mathbb{K}^6$. Let $c \in \mathbb{K}$, $c \neq 0$. Consider the linear map $T \in \text{End}(V^3)$ given by (14) with the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B & C \\
D & E & F \\
K & L & M
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 - c & 0 & c - 1 & (c - 1)c \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{c - 1}{c} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c & 0 & 1 - c & c(1 - c) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{c} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{c - 1}{c} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{c - 1}{c} & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(21)

So for (21) we have

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - c & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{c - 1}{c} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} c - 1 & (c - 1)c \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
E = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - c & c(1 - c) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c - 1 \\ 0 & \frac{c - 1}{c} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

(22)

Using Proposition 3.2, one can check that (21) is a tetrahedron map. It is new, to our knowledge.

As explained in Example 5.9, we have obtained this linear tetrahedron map, using the differential of a nonlinear tetrahedron map from [5]. Applying the transformations (18), (19), (20) and their compositions to (21), we get several more linear tetrahedron maps.
As shown in Proposition 3.7 below, for some special forms of linear tetrahedron maps we can introduce certain parameters in a map and get a parametric family of linear tetrahedron maps.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let $T_1$, $T_2$ be linear tetrahedron maps of the form

\[ T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & 0 \\ D & E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{E} & \tilde{F} \\ 0 & \tilde{L} & \tilde{M} \end{pmatrix}. \]

Let $l, m \in \mathbb{K}$, $l \neq 0$. Then

\[ T_1^{l,m} = \begin{pmatrix} lA & B & 0 \\ D & l^{-1}E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & mM \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2^{l,m} = \begin{pmatrix} m\tilde{A} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & l\tilde{E} & \tilde{F} \\ 0 & \tilde{L} & l^{-1}\tilde{M} \end{pmatrix} \]

are linear tetrahedron maps as well.

**Proof.** For each $i = 1, 2$, the fact that $T_i$ obeys equations (15) implies that $T_i^{l,m}$ obeys these equations as well. \(\square\)

**Remark 3.8.** J. Hietarinta [9] studied some special linear tetrahedron maps. In our notation, Hietarinta [9] assumes that $A, B, C, D, E, F, K, L, M$ in (14) belong to a commutative ring. The assumption that $A, B, C, D, E, F, K, L, M$ commute simplifies equations (15) very considerably, and this simplified version of (15) appears in [9].

## 4 Linear parametric tetrahedron maps

Let $V$ be a vector space over a field $\mathbb{K}$. Let $\Omega$ be a set. In this section we study linear maps $T_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in \text{End}(V^3)$ depending on parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega$. We consider a linear map

\[ T_{\alpha\beta\gamma} : \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\ D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\ K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & L_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & M_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \quad x, y, z, u, v, w \in V, \quad (23) \]

where $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, D_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, E_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, F_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, K_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, L_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, M_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in \text{End}(V)$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega$. Then $T_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is called a linear parametric tetrahedron map if it satisfies the parametric tetrahedron equation (5).

**Remark 4.1.** Note that usually $\Omega$ is a subset of another vector space, and the dependence of $T_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ on the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ is nonlinear. Examples of such maps are presented in Section 6.

**Proposition 4.2.** A parametric map $T_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ given by (23) satisfies the parametric tetrahedron equation (5) if and only if it obeys the following list of equations for all values of the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta \in \Omega$

\[ A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}A_{\alpha\delta\epsilon} = A_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \quad E_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}E_{\beta\delta\epsilon} = E_{\beta\delta\epsilon}E_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}, \quad M_{\beta\delta\epsilon}M_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta} = M_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta}M_{\beta\delta\epsilon}, \quad (24a) \]
\[ D_{\alpha\beta\gamma}A_{\alpha\delta\epsilon} = A_{\beta\delta\epsilon}D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + B_{\beta\delta\epsilon}D_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \quad A_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}A_{\beta\delta\epsilon} + A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}B_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}D_{\beta\delta\epsilon}, \quad (24b) \]
\[ E_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}D_{\beta\delta\epsilon} = D_{\beta\delta\epsilon}E_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}, \quad B_{\beta\delta\epsilon}D_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \quad B_{\beta\delta\epsilon}E_{\alpha\delta\epsilon} = E_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}B_{\beta\delta\epsilon}, \quad D_{\alpha\gamma\zeta}B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}D_{\alpha\gamma\zeta}, \quad (24c) \]
\[ L_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}E_{\beta\delta\epsilon} = E_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta}L_{\alpha\delta\epsilon} + F_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta}L_{\beta\delta\epsilon}E_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}, \quad E_{\beta\delta\epsilon}F_{\alpha\delta\epsilon} = F_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}E_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta} + E_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}F_{\beta\delta\epsilon}L_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta}, \quad (24d) \]
\[ M_{\beta\delta\epsilon}L_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta} = M_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta}L_{\beta\delta\epsilon}F_{\alpha\delta\epsilon} + L_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta}M_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}, \quad F_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta}M_{\beta\delta\epsilon} = M_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}F_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta} + L_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}F_{\beta\delta\epsilon}M_{\gamma\epsilon\zeta}, \quad (24e) \]
\[ D_{\alpha\delta\epsilon} = D_{\beta\delta\epsilon}D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + E_{\beta\delta\epsilon}D_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \quad B_{\alpha\delta\epsilon} = B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}B_{\beta\delta\epsilon} + A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}B_{\alpha\delta\epsilon}E_{\beta\delta\epsilon}, \quad (24f) \]
\[ L_{\beta\delta\zeta} = \gamma_{\alpha\gamma} L_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} + \gamma_{\beta\gamma} L_{\beta\delta\zeta} E_{\varepsilon\delta\zeta} E_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} \quad F_{\beta\delta\zeta} = F_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} F_{\gamma\delta\zeta} + E_{\varepsilon\delta\zeta} F_{\beta\delta\zeta} M_{\gamma\delta\zeta}, \]  
\[ K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = D_{\gamma\zeta} K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + E_{\gamma\zeta} K_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} A_{\beta\gamma} + F_{\gamma\zeta} K_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + F_{\gamma\zeta} L_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} A_{\beta\gamma}, \]  
\[ C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} B_{\gamma\zeta} + A_{\beta\gamma} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} E_{\gamma\zeta} + B_{\alpha\gamma} C_{\beta\delta\zeta} L_{\gamma\zeta} + A_{\alpha\gamma} B_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} F_{\beta\delta\zeta} L_{\gamma\zeta}, \]  
\[ K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_{\beta\delta\zeta} = D_{\zeta\delta} K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + B_{\zeta\delta} K_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} A_{\beta\gamma} + C_{\zeta\delta} K_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + C_{\zeta\delta} L_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} A_{\beta\gamma}, \]  
\[ A_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_{\gamma\zeta} + A_{\alpha\gamma} C_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\gamma\zeta} + B_{\alpha\gamma} C_{\beta\delta\zeta} K_{\gamma\zeta} + A_{\alpha\gamma} B_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} F_{\beta\delta\zeta} K_{\gamma\zeta}, \]  
\[ \beta_{\gamma\zeta} K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + L_{\gamma\zeta} K_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} A_{\beta\gamma} + M_{\gamma\zeta} K_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + M_{\gamma\zeta} L_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} A_{\beta\gamma} = 0, \]  
\[ C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C_{\gamma\zeta} + A_{\alpha\gamma} C_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\gamma\zeta} + B_{\alpha\gamma} C_{\beta\delta\zeta} M_{\gamma\zeta} + A_{\alpha\gamma} B_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} F_{\beta\delta\zeta} M_{\gamma\zeta} = 0, \]  
\[ E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_{\beta\delta\zeta} + D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} B_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} D_{\beta\delta\zeta} = A_{\beta\delta\zeta} E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + B_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \]  
\[ M_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} E_{\gamma\zeta} + L_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} F_{\beta\delta\zeta} L_{\gamma\zeta} = E_{\gamma\zeta} M_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} + F_{\gamma\zeta} L_{\beta\delta\zeta} F_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon}, \]  
\[ K_{\beta\delta\zeta} = K_{\gamma\zeta} L_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} + L_{\gamma\zeta} K_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + M_{\gamma\zeta} K_{\beta\delta\zeta} E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + M_{\gamma\zeta} L_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \]  
\[ C_{\beta\delta\zeta} = F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C_{\gamma\zeta} + D_{\beta\delta\zeta} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{\gamma\zeta} + E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C_{\beta\delta\zeta} M_{\gamma\zeta} + D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} B_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} F_{\beta\delta\zeta} M_{\gamma\zeta}, \]  
\[ M_{\beta\delta\zeta} C_{\gamma\zeta} = K_{\gamma\zeta} M_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} + L_{\gamma\zeta} K_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + M_{\gamma\zeta} L_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \]  
\[ C_{\gamma\zeta} M_{\beta\delta\zeta} = M_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + K_{\alpha\gamma} C_{\beta\delta\zeta} F_{\gamma\zeta} + L_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} C_{\beta\delta\zeta} M_{\gamma\zeta} + K_{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} F_{\beta\delta\zeta} M_{\gamma\zeta}, \]  
\[ F_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} D_{\gamma\zeta} + E_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} F_{\beta\delta\zeta} K_{\gamma\zeta} = D_{\beta\delta\zeta} F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + E_{\beta\delta\zeta} D_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \]  
\[ B_{\gamma\zeta} L_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} + C_{\gamma\zeta} L_{\beta\delta\zeta} E_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} = L_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} B_{\gamma\zeta} + K_{\alpha\gamma} B_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} B_{\beta\delta\zeta}. \]

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2. □

**Remark 4.3.** In what follows we deduce some consequences from (24). Note that, since equations (24) must hold for all values of the parameters \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta \in \Omega\), we are allowed to make any permutation of the parameters in these equations.

**Proposition 4.4.** System (24) implies the following matrix equations

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
D_{\zeta\delta\zeta} & B_{\zeta\delta\zeta} E_{\beta\delta\zeta} \\
D_{\zeta\delta\zeta} A_{\zeta\delta\zeta} & B_{\beta\delta\zeta}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
D_{\zeta\delta\zeta} & B_{\zeta\delta\zeta} E_{\beta\delta\zeta} \\
D_{\zeta\delta\zeta} A_{\zeta\delta\zeta} & B_{\beta\delta\zeta}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{\zeta\delta\zeta} & B_{\zeta\delta\zeta} \\
D_{\zeta\delta\zeta} B_{\zeta\delta\zeta} & E_{\zeta\delta\zeta} D_{\beta\delta\zeta}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
A_{\zeta\delta\zeta} B_{\zeta\delta\zeta} & B_{\zeta\delta\zeta} \\
D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} D_{\beta\delta\zeta}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
L_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} & M_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} \\
E_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} & F_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
L_{\gamma\zeta} & F_{\gamma\zeta} M_{\gamma\zeta} \\
L_{\gamma\zeta} E_{\gamma\zeta} & F_{\gamma\zeta}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
L_{\gamma\zeta} & F_{\gamma\zeta} M_{\gamma\zeta} \\
L_{\gamma\zeta} E_{\gamma\zeta} & F_{\gamma\zeta}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
E_{\zeta\delta\zeta} F_{\gamma\zeta} & F_{\zeta\delta\zeta} \\
L_{\gamma\zeta} & M_{\gamma\zeta} L_{\beta\delta\zeta}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
L_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} & M_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} \\
E_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon} & F_{\alpha\delta\varepsilon}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
E_{\zeta\delta\zeta} F_{\gamma\zeta} & F_{\gamma\zeta} \\
L_{\beta\delta\zeta} & M_{\beta\delta\zeta} L_{\gamma\zeta}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Proof.** As explained in Remark 4.3, we are allowed to make any permutation of the parameters. Making the permutation \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta) \to (\zeta, \alpha, \epsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma)\) in the first of (24b) and the first of (24f) and taking
the second from (24c) and the second from (24f), we get (25a). Equations (25b)–(25d) can be deduced from (24) similarly.

\[\square\]

**Remark 4.5.** One can check that equations (25) are equivalent to (24b)–(24g), up to permutations of the parameters. Thus, the rather cumbersome equations (24b)–(24g) can be replaced by equations (25), which have more clear structure.

**Proposition 4.6.** For any vector space \(V\), the set of linear parametric tetrahedron maps (23) is invariant under the following transformations

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & L_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}
\end{pmatrix}
\mapsto
\begin{pmatrix}
M_{\gamma\beta\alpha} & L_{\gamma\beta\alpha} & K_{\gamma\beta\alpha} \\
F_{\gamma\beta\alpha} & E_{\gamma\beta\alpha} & D_{\gamma\beta\alpha} \\
C_{\gamma\beta\alpha} & B_{\gamma\beta\alpha} & A_{\gamma\beta\alpha}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(26)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & L_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}
\end{pmatrix}
\mapsto
\begin{pmatrix}
-A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & -C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
-D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & -E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
-K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & L_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & -M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(27)

Let \(V = \mathbb{K}^n\) for some \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\). Then \(A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, D_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, E_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, F_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, K_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, L_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\) in (23) are \(n \times n\) matrices. In this case, the set of linear parametric tetrahedron maps (23) is invariant also under the transformation

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & L_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}
\end{pmatrix}
\mapsto
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^T & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^T & C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^T \\
D_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^T & E_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^T & F_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^T \\
K_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^T & L_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^T & M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^T
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(28)

**Proof.** The statement about the transformation (26) follows from Proposition 2.1 with \(W = \Omega \times V\).

The case of the transformation (27) follows from Proposition 2.2, if we take \(W = \Omega \times V\) and consider the map

\[\sigma : \Omega \times V \to \Omega \times V, \quad \sigma(\xi, v) = (\xi, -v), \quad \xi \in \Omega, \quad v \in V.\]

To prove the statement about the transformation (28), one can apply the transpose operation to both sides of the parametric tetrahedron equation (5) for \(T_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\) given by (23).

\[\square\]

**Proposition 4.7.** Let \(T_{1,\alpha\beta\gamma}, T_{2,\alpha\beta\gamma}\) be linear parametric tetrahedron maps of the form

\[
T_{1,\alpha\beta\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix}
A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & 0 \\
D_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad
T_{2,\alpha\beta\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{A}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{E}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
0 & \tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let \(l, m \in \mathbb{K}, l \neq 0\). Then

\[
T_{1,l,\alpha\beta\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix}
lA_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & 0 \\
l^{-1}E_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & lM_{\alpha\beta\gamma}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad
T_{2,l,\alpha\beta\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix}
l\tilde{A}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & l\tilde{E}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
0 & l\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} & l^{-1}M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

are linear parametric tetrahedron maps as well.

**Proof.** For each \(i = 1, 2\), the fact that \(T_{i,\alpha\beta\gamma}\) obeys equations (24) implies that \(T_{i,l,\alpha\beta\gamma}\) obeys these equations as well.

\[\square\]
5 Differentials of Yang–Baxter and tetrahedron maps

In this section, when we consider maps of manifolds, we assume that they are either smooth, or complex-analytic, or rational, so that the differential is defined for such a map.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a manifold. Consider the tangent bundle $\tau: T\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$. Then

- the bundle $\tau \times \tau: T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ can be identified with the tangent bundle of the manifold $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$,

- the bundle $\tau \times \tau \times \tau: T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ can be identified with the tangent bundle of the manifold $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$.

Using these identifications and the general procedure to define the differential of a map of manifolds, for any maps $Y: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$, $T: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ we get the differentials

$$dY: T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M}, \quad dT: T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M}.$$  

Remark 5.1. Note that the maps $dY$, $dT$ are linear along the fibres of the bundle $T\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and, in general, are nonlinear with respect to (local) coordinates on the manifold $\mathcal{M}$.

We need the following well-known property of differentials.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathcal{M}_1$, $\mathcal{M}_2$, $\mathcal{M}_3$ be manifolds. Consider maps $f: \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$, $g: \mathcal{M}_2 \to \mathcal{M}_3$ and their differentials $df: T\mathcal{M}_1 \to T\mathcal{M}_2$, $dg: T\mathcal{M}_2 \to T\mathcal{M}_3$.

Then for the differential $d(g \circ f): T\mathcal{M}_1 \to T\mathcal{M}_3$ of the composition map $g \circ f: \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_3$ we have $d(g \circ f) = dg \circ df$.

Theorem 5.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a manifold. For any Yang–Baxter map $Y: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$, the differential $dY: T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M}$ is a Yang–Baxter map of the manifold $T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Consider the permutation maps

$$P^{12}: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, \quad P^{12}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_2, a_1, a_3), \quad a_i \in \mathcal{M},$$

$$\check{P}^{12}: T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M}, \quad \check{P}^{12}(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (b_2, b_1, b_3), \quad b_i \in T\mathcal{M},$$

and the identity maps $\text{Id}_\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $\text{Id}_{T\mathcal{M}}: T\mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M}$.

$Y: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ obeys the Yang–Baxter equation

$$Y^{12} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{23} = Y^{23} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{12}, \quad (29)$$

where the maps $Y^{12}, Y^{13}, Y^{23}: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ can be described as follows

$$Y^{12} = Y \times \text{Id}_\mathcal{M}, \quad Y^{23} = \text{Id}_\mathcal{M} \times Y, \quad (30)$$

$$Y^{13} = P^{12} \circ (\text{Id}_\mathcal{M} \times Y) \circ P^{12}. \quad (31)$$

We need to prove that the map $dY: T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M}$ obeys the Yang–Baxter equation

$$(dY)^{12} \circ (dY)^{13} \circ (dY)^{23} = (dY)^{23} \circ (dY)^{13} \circ (dY)^{12}, \quad (32)$$
Similarly to obtaining (35), one can show the following

$$ (dY)^{12} = dY \times \text{Id}_{T^2}, \quad (dY)^{23} = \text{Id}_{T^3} \times dY, \quad (dY)^{13} = \tilde{P}^{12} \circ (\text{Id}_{T^2} \times dY) \circ \tilde{P}^{12}. $$

By Lemma 5.2,

$$ d(Y^{12} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{23}) = d(Y^{12}) \circ d(Y^{13}) \circ d(Y^{23}), \quad d(Y^{23} \circ Y^{13} \circ Y^{12}) = d(Y^{23}) \circ d(Y^{13}) \circ d(Y^{12}). \quad (33) $$

Taking the differential of (29) and using (33), we get

$$ d(Y^{12}) \circ d(Y^{13}) \circ d(Y^{23}) = d(Y^{23}) \circ d(Y^{13}) \circ d(Y^{12}). \quad (34) $$

From (30) one derives

$$ d(Y^{12}) = (dY)^{12}, \quad d(Y^{13}) = (dY)^{13}, \quad d(Y^{23}) = (dY)^{23}. \quad (35) $$

Substituting (35) in (34), one gets (32).

The statement of Theorem 5.3 was used (without proof) in [3].

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a manifold. For any tetrahedron map $T: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$, the differential

$$ dT: T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2 \to T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2 $$

is a tetrahedron map of the manifold $T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$.

**Proof.** The map $T: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ obeys the tetrahedron equation

$$ T^{123} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{356} = T^{356} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{123}. \quad (36) $$

We need to show that $dT: T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2 \to T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$ satisfies the tetrahedron equation

$$ (dT)^{123} \circ (dT)^{145} \circ (dT)^{246} \circ (dT)^{356} = (dT)^{356} \circ (dT)^{246} \circ (dT)^{145} \circ (dT)^{123}. \quad (37) $$

Here for $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$ the map $(dT)^{ijk}: (T^2)^6 \to (T^2)^6$ is constructed from $dT$ similarly to the construction of $T^{ijk}$ from $T$.

Taking the differential of (36) and using Lemma 5.2, we get

$$ d(T^{123}) \circ d(T^{145}) \circ d(T^{246}) \circ d(T^{356}) = d(T^{356}) \circ d(T^{246}) \circ d(T^{145}) \circ d(T^{123}). \quad (38) $$

Similarly to obtaining (35), one can show the following

$$ d(T^{123}) = (dT)^{123}, \quad d(T^{145}) = (dT)^{145}, \quad d(T^{246}) = (dT)^{246}, \quad d(T^{356}) = (dT)^{356}. \quad (39) $$
For example, let us prove \( d(T^{246}) = (dT)^{246} \). For any \( i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 6\}, \ i < j, \) let \( P^{ij} : (\mathcal{M})^6 \to (\mathcal{M})^6 \) be the permutation map which interchanges the \( i \)th and \( j \)th factors of the Cartesian product \( (\mathcal{M})^6 \). Then the map
\[
\tilde{P}^{ij} = d(P^{ij}) : (T\mathcal{M})^6 \to (T\mathcal{M})^6
\]
is the permutation map of the same type for the Cartesian product \( (T\mathcal{M})^6 \). We have
\[
T^{246} = P^{45} \circ P^{23} \circ P^{34} \circ (\text{Id}_{(\mathcal{M})^3} \times T) \circ P^{34} \circ P^{23} \circ P^{45},
\]
\[
(dT)^{246} = \tilde{P}^{45} \circ \tilde{P}^{23} \circ \tilde{P}^{34} \circ (\text{Id}_{(T\mathcal{M})^3} \times dT) \circ \tilde{P}^{34} \circ \tilde{P}^{23} \circ \tilde{P}^{45}.
\]
Using these formulas, Lemma 5.2, and the relation \( d(P^{ij}) = \tilde{P}^{ij} \), we obtain
\[
d(T^{246}) = d(P^{45} \circ P^{23} \circ P^{34} \circ (\text{Id}_{(\mathcal{M})^3} \times T) \circ P^{34} \circ P^{23} \circ P^{45}) =
\]
\[
= d(P^{45}) \circ d(P^{23}) \circ d(P^{34}) \circ d(\text{Id}_{(\mathcal{M})^3} \times T) \circ d(P^{34}) \circ d(P^{23}) \circ d(P^{45}) =
\]
\[
= \tilde{P}^{45} \circ \tilde{P}^{23} \circ \tilde{P}^{34} \circ (\text{Id}_{(T\mathcal{M})^3} \times dT) \circ \tilde{P}^{34} \circ \tilde{P}^{23} \circ \tilde{P}^{45} = (dT)^{246}.
\]
Similarly, one can prove all of (39). Substituting (39) in (38), one gets (37).

**Corollary 5.5.** Consider a manifold \( \mathcal{M} \), a tetrahedron map \( T : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \), and its differential
\[
dT : T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M}.
\]
Let \( a \in \mathcal{M} \) such that \( T((\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a})) = (\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}) \). Consider the tangent space \( T_a \mathcal{M} \subset T\mathcal{M} \) at the point \( a \in \mathcal{M} \). Then we have
\[
d(T(a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M})) \subset T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \subset T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M},
\]
(40)
and the map
\[
dT|_{(\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a})} : T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \to T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M}
\]
(41)
is a linear tetrahedron map. Here \( dT|_{(\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a})} \) is the restriction of the map \( dT \) to \( T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \).

**Proof.** The property \( T((\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a})) = (\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}) \) and the definition of the differential imply (40) and the fact that the map (41) is linear.

By Theorem 5.4, the differential \( dT \) is a tetrahedron map. Therefore, its restriction \( dT|_{(\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a})} \) to \( T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \) is a tetrahedron map as well. \( \square \)

**Remark 5.6.** The definition of the differential implies that the linear tetrahedron map \( dT|_{(\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a})} \) described in Corollary 5.5 can be regarded as a linear approximation of the nonlinear tetrahedron map \( T \) at the point \( (\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \).

An explicit example of \( dT|_{(\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a}, \mathcal{a})} \) is presented in Example 5.9.

Let \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be an \( n \)-dimensional manifold with (local) coordinates \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \). Then \( \dim T\mathcal{M} = 2n \), and we have the (local) coordinates \( x_1, \ldots, x_n, X_1, \ldots, X_n \) on the manifold \( T\mathcal{M} \), where \( X_i \) corresponds to the differential \( dx_i \), which can be regarded as a function on \( T\mathcal{M} \). (So the functions \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) are linear along the fibres of the bundle \( T\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \).)

To study maps of the form
\[
\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, \quad T \mathcal{M} \times T \mathcal{M} \times T \mathcal{M} \to T \mathcal{M} \times T \mathcal{M} \times T \mathcal{M},
\]
we consider
Here, for each \( i \)
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Its differential is the following tetrahedron map
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Example 5.7}. & \\
\text{Note that the map } & \text{is a tetrahedron map } [20, 11]. \\
\text{Its differential is the following tetrahedron map} & .
\end{align*}
\]
Consider a tetrahedron map
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} & \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, \\
(x, y, z) & \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}), \\
\end{align*}
\]
Its differential is the following tetrahedron map
\[
\begin{align*}
d\mathbf{T} : T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} & \to T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M}, \\
(x, y, z) & \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}), \\
\end{align*}
\]
Note that the map \( d\mathbf{T} \) is linear with respect to \( X, Y, Z \) and, in general, is nonlinear with respect to \( x, y, z \).

\textbf{Example 5.7.} Let \( n = \dim \mathcal{M} = 1 \). Consider the well-known electric network transformation
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} & \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, \\
(x, y, z) & \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}), \\
\end{align*}
\]
which is a tetrahedron map [20, 11]. Its differential is the following tetrahedron map
\[
\begin{align*}
d\mathbf{T} : T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} & \to T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M}, \\
(x, y, z) & \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}), \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x} &= \frac{xy}{x + z + xyz}, \quad \tilde{y} = x + z + xyz, \quad \tilde{z} = \frac{yz}{xyz + x + z}, \\
X &= -\frac{xy(1 + xy)Z + yzX + x(x + z)Y}{(xyz + x + z)^2}, \quad \tilde{Y} = X + Z + xyZ + xzY + yzX, \\
\tilde{Z} &= -\frac{yz(yz + 1)X + z(x + z)Y + xyZ}{(xyz + x + z)^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Example 5.8.** Let \( n = \dim \mathcal{M} = 2 \). Consider the Kassotakis–Nieszporski–Papageorgiou–Tongas map (the map (33) in [12])

\[
T : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, \quad (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2),
\]

Its differential is the following tetrahedron map

\[
dT : T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M} \times T\mathcal{M},
\]

\[
(x_1, x_2, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2),
\]

\[
\tilde{x}_1 = \frac{y_1 + x_1 z_1}{z_1}, \quad \tilde{x}_2 = y_2 z_1, \quad \tilde{y}_1 = x_1 z_1, \quad \tilde{y}_2 = \frac{(y_1 + x_1 z_1) z_2}{z_1}, \quad \tilde{z}_1 = \frac{y_1 z_1}{y_1 + x_1 z_1}, \quad \tilde{z}_2 = \frac{y_2}{x_1},
\]

\[
\tilde{X}_1 = X_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} Y_1 - \frac{y_1}{z_1^2} Z_1, \quad \tilde{X}_2 = z_1 Y_2 + y_2 Z_1,
\]

\[
\tilde{Y}_1 = z_1 X_1 + x_1 Z_1, \quad \tilde{Y}_2 = z_2 X_1 + \frac{z_2}{z_1} Y_1 - \frac{y_1 z_2}{z_1} Z_1 + \frac{y_1 + x_1 z_1}{z_1} Z_2,
\]

\[
\tilde{Z}_1 = -\frac{y_1 z_1^2}{(y_1 + x_1 z_1)^2} X_1 + \frac{x_1 z_1^2}{(y_1 + x_1 z_1)^2} Y_1 + \frac{y_1^2}{(y_1 + x_1 z_1)^2} Z_1, \quad \tilde{Z}_2 = -\frac{y_2}{x_1} X_1 + \frac{1}{x_1} Y_2.
\]

**Example 5.9.** Let \( n = \dim \mathcal{M} = 2 \). In a study of soliton solutions of matrix KP equations in a tropical limit, A. Dimakis and F. Müller-Hoissen [5] constructed the tetrahedron map

\[
T : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, \quad (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2),
\]

\[
\tilde{x}_1 = y_1 C, \quad \tilde{x}_2 = \left(1 - \frac{A}{x_1}\right) C, \quad \tilde{y}_1 = \frac{x_1}{C}, \quad \tilde{y}_2 = 1 - B,
\]

\[
\tilde{z}_1 = z_1 y_1 (x_1 - x_2), \quad \tilde{z}_2 = 1 - \frac{(1 - y_2)(1 - z_2)}{B},
\]

\[
A = y_2 z_1 x_1 - y_2 x_1 - z_1 x_2 + x_1 y_1, \quad B = y_2 z_2 x_1 - y_2 x_1 - z_2 x_2 + 1,
\]

\[
C = \frac{AB - A(1 - y_2)(1 - z_2) x_1 - B z_1 (x_1 - x_2)}{AB - A(1 - y_2)(1 - z_2) - B z_1 y_1 (x_1 - x_2)}.
\]

We have found the following invariants for this map

\[
I_1(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) = x_1 y_1, \quad I_2(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) = (y_2 - 1)(z_2 - 1),
\]

\[
I_3(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) = (x_1 - x_2)(y_1 - y_2)(z_1 - z_2).
\]

That is, for \( \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2 \) given by the above formulas, one has

\[
I_j(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2) = I_j(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.
\]
The invariants $I_1, I_2, I_3$ are functionally independent.

We assume that $x_i, y_i, z_i, \bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i, \bar{z}_i$ take values in $\mathbb{C}$, so $\mathcal{M}$ is a complex manifold. One can check that for any nonzero $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $\mathbf{T}((a, a, a)) = (a, a, a)$, where $a = (c, 0) \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore, by Corollary 5.5, we get the linear tetrahedron map 

$$d\mathbf{T}_{(a,a,a)} : T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \to T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M} \times T_a \mathcal{M}.$$ 

Coordinates in $\mathcal{M}$ give the isomorphism $T_a \mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, so we have $d\mathbf{T}_{(a,a,a)} : \mathbb{C}^6 \to \mathbb{C}^6$. Computing $d\mathbf{T}$ and $d\mathbf{T}_{(a,a,a)}$ for $a = (c, 0)$, one gets that $d\mathbf{T}_{(a,a,a)}$ is given by the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 - c & 0 & c - 1 & (c - 1)c \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{c}{c - 1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c & 0 & 1 - c & c(1 - c) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{c - 1} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{c}{c - 1} & 0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(43)

which coincides with (21). Thus the linear map $d\mathbf{T}_{(a,a,a)}$ is of the form (21), (22).

According to Remark 5.6, the linear tetrahedron map (43) is a linear approximation of the nonlinear tetrahedron map (42) at the point $(a, a, a) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ with $a = (c, 0), c \neq 0$.

6 Yang–Baxter maps and tetrahedron maps associated with matrix groups

Let $G$ be a group and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. It is known that one has the following Yang–Baxter map 

$$\mathbf{F} : G \times G \to G \times G, \quad \mathbf{F}(x, y) = (x, x^p y x^{-p}), \quad x, y \in G.$$ 

(44)

(see, e.g., [4] and references therein). For $p = 1$ this map appeared in [7]

Assume that $K$ is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and consider the matrix group $G = GL_n(K) \subset \text{Mat}_n(K)$. Then $G$ is a manifold, and for each $x \in G = GL_n(K)$ one has the tangent space $T_x G \cong \text{Mat}_n(K)$. Set $M = \text{Mat}_n(K)$. The tangent bundle of the manifold $G$ can be identified with the trivial bundle $G \times M \to G$.

For $G = GL_n(K)$, the Yang–Baxter map (44) is an analytic diffeomorphism of the manifold $G \times G$. The differential $d\mathbf{F}$ of this diffeomorphism $\mathbf{F}$ can be identified with the following map

$$d\mathbf{F} : (G \times M) \times (G \times M) \to (G \times M) \times (G \times M),$$

$$d\mathbf{F}(x, M_1, y, M_2) = \left( \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon = 0} (x + \varepsilon M_1)^p (y + \varepsilon M_2) (x + \varepsilon M_1)^{-p} \right), \quad x, y \in G = GL_n(K), \quad M_1, M_2 \in M = \text{Mat}_n(K).$$

(45)

By Theorem 5.3, since $\mathbf{F}$ is a Yang–Baxter map, its differential $d\mathbf{F}$ is a Yang–Baxter map as well.

Let $\Omega \subset G$ be an abelian subgroup of $G$. Denote by $\mathbf{Y} : (\Omega \times M) \times (\Omega \times M) \to (\Omega \times M) \times (\Omega \times M)$ the restriction of the map $d\mathbf{F}$ to the subset $(\Omega \times M) \times (\Omega \times M) \subset (G \times M) \times (G \times M)$. As $d\mathbf{F}$ is a Yang–Baxter map, $\mathbf{Y}$ is a Yang–Baxter map as well.
Let $a, b \in \Omega$. Since $ab = ba$, computing (45) for $x = a$ and $y = b$, we obtain
\[
\mathbf{Y}: \left( \Omega \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \right) \times \left( \Omega \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \right) \to \left( \Omega \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \right) \times \left( \Omega \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \right),
\]
\[
\mathbf{Y}(a, M_1, b, M_2) = \left( aM_1, \left( a, a^p M_2a^{-p} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left( a^iM_1a^{-i-1}b - ba^iM_1a^{-i-1} \right) \right) \right). \tag{46}
\]

The Yang–Baxter map (46) can be interpreted as the following linear parametric Yang–Baxter map
\[
\mathcal{Y}_{a,b}: \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \to \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}),
\]
\[
\mathcal{Y}_{a,b}(M_1, M_2) = \left( M_1, a^p M_2a^{-p} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left( a^iM_1a^{-i-1}b - ba^iM_1a^{-i-1} \right) \right) \tag{47}
\]
with parameters $a, b \in \Omega$. We need the following result from [3].

**Proposition 6.1 ([3]).** Let $V$ be a vector space. Consider a linear parametric Yang–Baxter map $\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha\beta}: V \times V \to V \times V$ given by the formula
\[
\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha\beta}: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\alpha\beta} & B_{\alpha\beta} \\ C_{\alpha\beta} & D_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{\alpha\beta}, B_{\alpha\beta}, C_{\alpha\beta}, D_{\alpha\beta} \in \text{End}(V), \quad x, y \in V.
\]

Then, for any nonzero constant $l \in \mathbb{K}$, the map
\[
\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha\beta}^l: V \times V \to V \times V, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha\beta}^l: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} lA_{\alpha\beta} & B_{\alpha\beta} \\ C_{\alpha\beta} & l^{-1}D_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad x, y \in V,
\]
is a parametric Yang–Baxter map as well.

Let $l \in \mathbb{K}$, $l \neq 0$. Applying Proposition 6.1 to the map (47), we obtain the linear parametric Yang–Baxter map
\[
\mathcal{Y}_{a,b}^l: \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \to \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}),
\]
\[
\mathcal{Y}_{a,b}^l(M_1, M_2) = \left( lM_1, l^{-1}a^p M_2a^{-p} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left( a^iM_1a^{-i-1}b - ba^iM_1a^{-i-1} \right) \right), \tag{48}
\]
where $a, b \in \Omega$, $\Omega$ is an abelian subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$.

In the above construction of (48) we have assumed that $\mathbb{K}$ is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, in order to use tangent spaces and differentials. Now one can check that (48) is a parametric Yang–Baxter map for any field $\mathbb{K}$.

For $p = 1$ the maps (46), (47), (48) were presented in [3]. For $p > 1$ the maps (46), (47), (48) are new, to our knowledge.

Using Corollary 2.5, from the parametric Yang–Baxter map (47) we get the following parametric tetrahedron map
\[
\mathbb{T}_{a,b,c}: \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \to \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}),
\]
\[
\mathbb{T}_{a,b,c}(M_1, M_2, M_3) = \left( M_1, a^p M_2a^{-p} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left( a^iM_1a^{-i-1}b - ba^iM_1a^{-i-1} \right), M_3 \right), \tag{49}
\]
with parameters $a, b, c \in \Omega$.

Let $l, m \in \mathbb{K}$, $l \neq 0$. Applying Proposition 4.7 to the map (49), we get the parametric tetrahedron map
\[
\mathbb{T}_{a,b,c}^{l,m}: \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \to \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K}), \quad a, b, c \in \Omega,
\]
\[
\mathbb{T}_{a,b,c}^{l,m}(M_1, M_2, M_3) = \left( lM_1, l^{-1}a^p M_2a^{-p} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left( a^iM_1a^{-i-1}b - ba^iM_1a^{-i-1} \right), mM_3 \right).
\]
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