HEAT KERNEL ASYMPTOTICS FOR KOHN LAPLACIANS ON CR MANIFOLDS

CHIN-YU HSIAO AND WEIXIA ZHU

ABSTRACT. Let X be an abstract orientable (not necessarily compact) CR manifold of dimension 2n + 1, $n \ge 1$, and let L^k be the k-th tensor power of a CR complex line bundle L over X. Suppose that condition Y(q) holds at each point of X, we establish asymptotics of the heat kernel of Kohn Laplacian with values in L^k . As an application, we give a heat kernel proof of Morse inequalities on compact CR manifolds. When X admits a transversal CR \mathbb{R} -action, we also establish asymptotics of the \mathbb{R} -equivariant heat kernel of Kohn Laplacian with values in L^k . As an application, we get \mathbb{R} -equivariant Morse inequalities on compact CR manifolds with transversal CR \mathbb{R} -action.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 32W05, 32G05, 35J25, 35P15. Keywords: CR manifolds, CR line bundles, Kohn Laplacian, Heat kernel asymptotics

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary	6
2.1. Standard notations	6
2.2. Set up	7
2.3. CR manifolds with \mathbb{R} -action	10
3. The estimate of the heat kernel distribution	13
3.1. The unitary identification	13
3.2. The scaling technique	15
3.3. The Heisenberg group H_n	23
4. Asymptotics of the heat kernels	27
4.1. The heat kernel on the Heisenberg group H_n	27
4.2. Heat kernel asymptotics on CR manifolds	32
5. Morse inequalities on CR manifolds	33
6. With \mathbb{R} -action	34
References	41

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be an orientable compact CR manifold of dimension 2n + 1 and let L^k be the k-th tensor power of a CR complex line bundle L over X. The first author and Marinescu established in [HM12] the Morse inequalities on CR manifolds for $\overline{\partial}_b$ complex with values in L^k . Their approach bases on the study of asymptotic behavior of the Szegő kernels. In complex geometry, Demailly[D91], Bismut[BM87] used the heat kernel asymptotics for Kodaira Laplacians to establish Morse inequalities on complex manifolds (see also [MM07, Section 1.6]). It is a natural question that if we can also establish Morse inequalities on CR manifolds by using heat kernel asymptotics. On the other hand, the study of the heat kernel asymptotics is interesting in itself because it is closely related to some problems in CR geometry like CR torsion problems, CR geometric quantization, *G*-equivariant CR Morse inequalities, etc. The purpose of this paper is to establish the heat kernel asymptotics of Kohn Laplacian with values in L^k . When X admits a transversal CR \mathbb{R} -action, we also establish asymptotics of the \mathbb{R} -equivariant Morse inequalities on compact CR manifolds with transversal CR \mathbb{R} -action. We will combine the techniques of [HM12] and Ma-Marinescu [MM07, Section 1.6] to get the heat kernel asymptotics of Kohn Laplacian on CR manifolds with values in L^k .

We now formulate the main results. We refer the reader to Section 2 for some notations and terminology used here. Let $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ be an abstract orientable (not necessarily compact) CR manifold of dimension 2n + 1, $n \ge 1$, and let (L^k, h^{L^k}) be the k-th tensor power of a CR complex line bundle (L, h^L) over X, where h^L denotes a Hermitian metric of L. Fix a Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ so that $T^{1,0}X \perp T^{0,1}X$. Let $(\cdot | \cdot)_{h^{L^k}}$ be the L^2 inner product on $\Omega_c^{0,q}(X, L^k)$ induced by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ and h^{L^k} . Let $L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k)$ be the completion of $\Omega_c^{0,q}(X, L^k)$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{h^{L^k}}$. Let

$$\Box^q_{b,k}:\operatorname{Dom}\Box^q_{b,k}\subset L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k)\to L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k)$$

be the Gaffney extension of Kohn Laplacian with values in L^k (see (2.5)). Then, $\Box_{b,k}^q$ is a non-negative self-adjoint operator. Let

$$e^{-t\Box^q_{b,k}}: L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k)$$

be the heat operator of $\Box_{b,k}^q$ and let

$$e^{-t\Box^q_{b,k}}(x,y) \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+ \times X \times X, (T^{*0,q}X \otimes L^k) \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X \otimes L^k)^*)$$

be the distribution kernel of $e^{-t\Box_{b,k}^q}$ with respect to $dv_X(x)$ (see the discussion after (2.9)), where $dv_X(x)$ is the volume form on X induced by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. Assume that Y(q) holds (see Definition 2.2, for the meaning of condition Y(q)). Then,

$$e^{-t\Box_{b,k}^q}(x,y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times X \times X, (T^{*0,q}X \otimes L^k) \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X \otimes L^k)^*).$$

We will use the canonical identification $\operatorname{End}(L^k) = \mathbb{C}$, especially

$$e^{-t\square_{b,k}^{q}}(x,x) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times X \times X, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^{*})$$

(see (2.14)). The main goal of this work is to study the asymptotic behavior $e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^q}(x,x)$ as $k \to +\infty$.

To state our main results, we introduce some notations. Let s be a local CR trivializing section of L defined on an open set D of X, $|s|_{h^L}^2 = e^{-\phi}$, $\phi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(D, \mathbb{R})$. For $x \in D$, let \mathcal{R}_x^{ϕ} be the Hermitian quadratic form on $T_x^{1,0}X$ induced by ϕ (see Definition 4.5). Let

(1.1)
$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^\phi : T_x^{1,0} X \to T_x^{1,0} X, \\ \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x : T_x^{1,0} X \to T_x^{1,0} X, \end{aligned}$$

be the linear maps given by $\langle \dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi}U | V \rangle = \mathcal{R}_x^{\phi}(U, \overline{V}), \langle \dot{\mathcal{L}}_xU | V \rangle = \mathcal{L}_x(U, \overline{V}),$ for all $U, V \in T_x^{1,0}X$, where \mathcal{L}_x is the Levi form of X at x (see Definition 2.1). For every $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\det \left(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x \right) = \mu_1(x) \cdots \mu_n(x),$$

where $\mu_j(x)$, j = 1, ..., n, are the eigenvalues of $\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x$ with respect to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$, and put

(1.2)
$$\omega_x^{\eta} = \sum_{j,l=1}^n (\mathcal{R}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \mathcal{L}_x) (U_l, \overline{U}_j) \overline{\omega}^j \wedge (\overline{\omega}^l \wedge)^* : T_x^{*0,q} X \to T_x^{*0,q} X,$$

where $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is an orthonormal frame of $T_x^{1,0}X$ with dual frame $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^n \subset T_x^{*1,0}X$. It is straightforward to check that if Y(q) holds, the map

(1.3)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det(\mathcal{R}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \mathcal{L}_x)}{\det\left(1 - e^{-t(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)}\right)} e^{-t\omega_x^{\eta}} d\eta : T_x^{*0,q} X \to T_x^{*0,q} X$$

is well-defined as a continuous linear map. It should be mentioned that the definition of \mathcal{R}_x^{ϕ} depends on the choice of local trivializations (local weight ϕ). From [HM12, Proposition 4.2], it is easy to see that for every $x \in X$, the map (1.3) is independent of the choice of local weight ϕ and hence globally defined. Let $\mathscr{L}(T_x^{*0,q}X, T_x^{*0,q}X)$ denotes the linear map from $T_x^{*0,q}X$ to $T_x^{*0,q}X$. For $f(x) \in \mathscr{L}(T_x^{*0,q}X, T_x^{*0,q}X)$, let

(1.4)
$$|f(x)|_{\mathscr{L}(T^{*0,q}_x,T^{*0,q}_x,T^{*0,q}_x)} := \sum_{j,\ell=1}^d |\langle f(x)v_j(x) | v_\ell(x) \rangle|,$$

where $\{v_j(x)\}_{j=1}^d$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_x^{*0,q}X$ with respect to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$.

Our first main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. Let X be an orientable (not necessarily compact) CR manifold of dimension 2n - 1, $n \ge 1$, and (L^k, h^{L^k}) be the k-th tensor power of a CR complex line bundle (L, h^L) over X. Given $q \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$. Suppose that condition Y(q) holds at each point of X. With the notations used above, let $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be a compact interval and let $K \subseteq X$ be a compact set. Then, there is a constant C > 0 independent of k such that

(1.5)
$$\left| e^{-t \Box_{b,k}^q}(x,x) \right|_{\mathscr{L}(T_x^{*0,q}X,T_x^{*0,q}X)} \le Ck^{n+1}, \text{ for all } x \in K \text{ and } t \in I.$$

Moreover, for every $x \in X$, we have

(1.6)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-(n+1)} e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^q}(x,x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)}{\det\left(1 - e^{-t(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)\right)}} e^{-t\omega_x^{\eta}} d\eta.$$

Consider the following $\overline{\partial}_b$ -complex:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,q-1}(X,L^k) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_b} \Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_b} \Omega^{0,q+1}(X,L^k) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and put

$$H^q_b(X, L^k) := \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(X, L^k)}{\operatorname{Im}\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q-1}(X, L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k)}, \quad 0 \le q \le n.$$

Kohn(see [K65, FK72]) showed that if Y(q) holds and X is compact, then

$$H^q_b(X, L^k) \cong \operatorname{Ker} \Box^q_{b,k}, \quad \dim H^q_b(X, L^k) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} \Box^q_{b,k} < +\infty.$$

When X is compact and Y(q) holds on X, From Theorem 1.1 as well as applying fundamental trace inequalities which depend on k > 0 (see, e.g., [BM87, Theorem 1.4], [MM07, Section 1.6]), we get the following Hsiao-Marinescu's Morse inequalities on CR manifolds:

Theorem 1.2 (Hsiao-Marinescu). Let X be an orientable compact CR manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n + 1$, $n \ge 1$, and (L^k, h^{L^k}) be the k-th tensor power of a CR complex line bundle (L, h^L) over X. Suppose that condition Y(j) holds at each point of X, for all $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, q$. With the notations used above, as $k \to \infty$, we have

(1.7)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \dim H_b^j(X, L^k) \\ \leq \frac{k^{n+1}}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}_x(j)} \left| \det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x) \right| d\eta dv_X(x) + o(k^{n+1}),$$

where

$$\mathbb{R}_x(j) = \{ \eta \in \mathbb{R} \mid \dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x \text{ has exactly } j \text{ negative eigenvalues} \\ and \ n-j \text{ positive eigenvalues} \}.$$

When Y(q) holds, we have

(1.8)
$$\dim H^q_b(X, L^k) \le \frac{k^{n+1}}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}_x(q)} \left| \det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}^{\phi}_x - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x) \right| d\eta dv_X(x) + o(k^{n+1}).$$

It is worth to mention that the function

$$x \in X \to \int_{\mathbb{R}_x(j)} \left| \det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x) \right| d\eta \in \mathbb{R}$$

is independent of the choice of local weight ϕ .

As we see, to establish above theorems, we need the assumptions that the Levi form satisfies condition Y(q). However, in some important problems in CR geometry, we may need to study things without any assumption of the Levi form. Therefore, we consider CR manifolds with group action. Let X be an orientable (not necessarily compact) CR manifold with dim_R X = 2n + 1, $n \ge 1$,. Suppose that X admits a transversal and CR \mathbb{R} -action $\eta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}: \eta : X \to X, x \mapsto \eta \circ x$ (see Definition 2.5 for the meaning of transversal and CR \mathbb{R} -action). Let $T \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, TX)$ be the infinitesimal generator of the \mathbb{R} -action (see (2.16)). Suppose that X admits a \mathbb{R} -invariant complete Hermitain metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ so that we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}TX = T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X \oplus \{\lambda T : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}\$$

and $|T|^2 = \langle T | T \rangle = 1$. Let (L, h^L) be a rigid CR line bundle over X with a \mathbb{R} -invariant Hermitian metric h^L on L (see Definition 2.6 for the meaning of rigid CR line bundles). We will use the same notations as before. Consider the operator

$$-iT: \Omega^{0,q}_c(X,L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q}_c(X,L^k)$$

and we extend -iT to $L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k)$ in the standard way (see (2.19)). Fix $\delta > 0$, let

$$L^2_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) := E_{-iT}([-k\delta,k\delta]),$$

$$\Omega^{0,q}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) := \Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k) \bigcap L^2_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^k)$$

where E_{-iT} denotes the spectral measure of -iT. Let

$$Q_{X,\leq k\delta}: L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k) \to L^2_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^k)$$

be the orthogonal projection with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{hL^k}$.

We have the following partial $\overline{\partial}_b$ -complex:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,q-1}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_b} \Omega^{0,q}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_b} \Omega^{0,q+1}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and put

$$H^{q}_{b,\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k}) := \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\overline{\partial}_{b}: \Omega^{0,q}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k}) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k})}{\operatorname{Im}\overline{\partial}_{b}: \Omega^{0,q-1}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k}) \to \Omega^{0,q}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k})}, \quad 0 \leq q \leq n$$

Let

$$\Box^q_{b,k,\leq k\delta} : \operatorname{Dom} \Box^q_{b,k} \bigcap L^2_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) \to L^2_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^k),$$
$$\Box^q_{b,k,\leq k\delta} u = \Box^q_{b,k} u, \quad u \in \operatorname{Dom} \Box^q_{b,k} \bigcap L^2_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^k).$$

(See also (2.23).) It is not difficult to check that without Y(q) condition,

$$H^q_{b,\leq k\delta}(X,L^k)\cong \operatorname{Ker} \square^q_{b,k,\leq k\delta}, \ \dim H^q_{b,\leq k\delta}(X,L^k)<+\infty.$$

Let

$$e^{-t\Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q} := e^{-t\Box_{b,k}^q} \circ Q_{X,\leq k\delta} : L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k) \to \operatorname{Dom} \Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q, \quad t > 0,$$

and let

$$e^{-t\Box^q_{b,k,\leq k\delta}}(x,y)\in\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+\times X\times X, (T^{*0,q}X\otimes L^k)\boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X\otimes L^k)^*)$$

be the distribution kernel of $e^{-t \square_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q}$ with respect to $dv_X(x)$. As before, we will use the canonical identification End $(L^k) = \mathbb{C}$. Our second main result is the following

Theorem 1.3. Let X be an orientable (not necessarily compact) CR manifold of dimension 2n-1, $n \ge 1$, with a transversal CR \mathbb{R} -action. Suppose that X admits a \mathbb{R} -invariant complete Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ so that we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}TX = T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X \oplus \{\lambda T : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}\$$

and $|T|^2 = \langle T | T \rangle = 1$, where $T \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, TX)$ is the infinitesimal generator of the \mathbb{R} -action. Let (L^k, h^{L^k}) be the k-th tensor power of a rigid CR complex line bundle (L, h^L) over X, where h^L is a \mathbb{R} -invariant Hermitian metric on L. Fix any $q \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. With the notations used above, let $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be a compact interval and let $K \subseteq X$ be a compact set. Then, there is a constant C > 0 independent of k such that

(1.9)
$$\left| e^{-t \Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q}(x,x) \right|_{\mathscr{L}(T_x^{*0,q}X,T_x^{*0,q}X)} \leq Ck^{n+1}, \text{ for all } x \in K \text{ and } t \in I.$$

Moreover, for every $x \in X$, we have

(1.10)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-(n+1)} e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^{q}}(x,x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{x}^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_{x})}{\det\left(1 - e^{-t(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{x}^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_{x})}\right)} e^{-t\omega_{x}^{\eta}} d\eta$$

Note that in Theorem 1.3, we do not need any Levi curvature assumption. As an application of Theorem 1.3, we get the following Morse inequalities which generalize the results in [HL18] for CR manifolds with S^1 action:

Theorem 1.4. With the same assumptions and notations used in Theorem 1.3, suppose that X is compact. As $k \to \infty$, we have the following Morse inequalities: for every $q \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$,

(1.11)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \dim H^{j}_{b,\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k})$$
$$\leq \frac{k^{n+1}}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \int_{X} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{x}(j) \cap [-\delta,\delta]} \left| \det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}^{\phi}_{x} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_{x}) \right| d\eta dv_{X}(x) + o(k^{n+1})$$

with equality for q = n.

For every $q \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$, we have (1.12)

$$\dim H^q_{b,\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) \leq \frac{k^{n+1}}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}_x(q) \cap [-\delta,\delta]} \left| \det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}^\phi_x - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x) \right| d\eta dv_X(x) + o(k^{n+1}).$$

Our paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we collect some standard notations, terminology, definitions, and statements we use throughout. In Section 3, we introduce the Kohn Laplacian $\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q$ on the Heisenberg group and find the relationship between the smooth kernel of heat operators $e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^q}$ and $e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}$ in local. This process is achieved by comparing the scaled Kohn Laplacian $\Box_{\rho,(k)}^q$ and $\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q$. We then compute $e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}(0,0)$ explicitly and establish Theorem 1.1 in Section 4. In Section 5, we obtain Morse inequalities on CR manifolds by using Theorem 1.1. In Section 6, we show that the same conclusions can be drawn when X admits a transversal CR \mathbb{R} -action, without any assumption of the Levi form.

Throughout this paper, we will use $C(C_t)$ to denote constants (the constants depend on t) which might not be the same in different appearances.

2. Preliminary

2.1. Standard notations. We shall use the following notations: $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$ is the set of natural numbers, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, \mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{R}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$, $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+} := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \ge 0\}$. For a multiindex $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ we denote by $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n$ its norm and by $l(\alpha) = n$ its length. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}^n$ if $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. α is strictly increasing if $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \ldots < \alpha_n$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\alpha} &= x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \\ \partial_{x_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \partial_x^{\alpha} &= \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} &= \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}, \\ D_{x_j} &= \frac{1}{i} \partial_{x_j}, \quad D_x^{\alpha} &= D_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots D_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n), z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, j = 1, \ldots, n$, be coordinates of \mathbb{C}^n . We write $z^{\alpha} = z^{\alpha_1} - z^{\alpha_n} - \overline{z}^{\alpha_1} - \overline{z}^{\alpha_n}$

$$z^{\alpha} = z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad \overline{z}^{\alpha} = \overline{z}_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \overline{z}_n^{\alpha_n},$$
$$\partial_{z_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} \Big), \quad \partial_{\overline{z}_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} \Big),$$
$$\partial_z^{\alpha} = \partial_{z_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{z_n}^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^{\alpha}}, \quad \partial_{\overline{z}}^{\alpha} = \partial_{\overline{z}_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{\overline{z}_n}^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \overline{z}^{\alpha}}.$$

For $j, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $\delta_{j,s} = 1$ if j = s, $\delta_{j,s} = 0$ if $j \neq s$.

Let W be a \mathscr{C}^{∞} paracompact manifold. We let TW and T^*W denote the tangent bundle of W and the cotangent bundle of W respectively. The complexified tangent bundle of W and the complexified cotangent bundle of W are denoted by $\mathbb{C}TW$ and $\mathbb{C}T^*W$, respectively. Write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the pointwise duality between TW and T^*W . We extend $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ bilinearly to $\mathbb{C}TW \times \mathbb{C}T^*W$. Let G be a \mathscr{C}^{∞} vector bundle over W. The fiber of G at $x \in W$ will be denoted by G_x . Let E be another vector bundle over W. We write $E \boxtimes G^*$ to denote the vector bundle over $W \times W$ with fiber over $(x, y) \in W \times W$ consisting of the linear maps from G_y to E_x . Let $Y \subset W$ be an open set. From now on, the spaces of distribution sections of G over Y and smooth sections of G over Y will be denoted by $\mathscr{D}'(Y,G)$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(Y,G)$ respectively. Let $\mathscr{E}'(Y,G)$ be the subspace of $\mathscr{D}'(Y,G)$ whose elements have compact support in Y and let $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_c(Y,G)$ be the subspace of $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(Y,G)$

2.2. Set up. In this section, we introduce the basic notations on CR manifolds. It is worthwhile to mention that most of our notations follow the fundamental setting of [HM12] and [HL18].

Let $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ be an orientable 2n + 1-dimensional (possibly non-compact) CR manifold, equipped with a Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$, so that $T^{1,0}X$ is orthogonal to $T^{0,1}X := \overline{T^{1,0}X}$, $n \ge 1$. Then there is a real non-vanishing vector field T on X which is pointwise orthogonal to $T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X$, $||T||^2 = \langle T | T \rangle = 1$. We then have the following orthogonal decompositions:

$$\mathbb{C}TX = T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X \oplus \{\lambda T \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}\$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}T^*X = T^{*1,0}X \oplus T^{*0,1}X \oplus \{\lambda\omega_0 \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\},\$$

where $T^{*1,0}X$, $T^{*0,1}X$ and $\{\lambda\omega_0 \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ are the dual bundles of $T^{1,0}X$, $T^{0,1}X$ and $\{\lambda T \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$, respectively, $\langle \omega_0, T \rangle = -1$. The Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}T^*X$ is induced by $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$ by duality. Hereafter, we denote all these induced metrics by $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$. Note the vector field T here is first choosed locally, then by restriction to the coordinate transformations which preserve $T^{1,0}X$ and orientation, a local choice of sign in the direction of T can extend T to a global one.

Definition 2.1. For $x \in X$, the Hermitian quadratic form \mathcal{L}_x on $T_x^{1,0}X$ defined by

(2.1)
$$\mathcal{L}_x(U,\overline{V}) = \frac{1}{2i} \left\langle [\mathcal{U},\overline{\mathcal{V}}](x), \omega_0(x) \right\rangle$$

is called the Levi form associated with ω_0 , where $U, V \in T_x^{1,0}X, \mathcal{U}$ and \mathcal{V} are smooth sections on $T^{1,0}X$ such that $\mathcal{U}(x) = U, \mathcal{V}(x) = V$. Note that the Levi form \mathcal{L}_x does not depends on the choices of \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} .

Let $x \in X$. Locally there is an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$ of $T^{1,0}X$ such that \mathcal{L}_x is diagonal in this basis, $\mathcal{L}_x(\mathcal{U}_j, \mathcal{U}_l) = \delta_{j,l}\lambda_j(x), j, l = 1, \dots, n$. The entries $\{\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_n(x)\}$ are called the eigenvalues of the Levi form at $x \in X$.

Definition 2.2. Let X be an oriented CR manifold of real dimension 2n + 1 with $n \ge 1$. X is said to satisfy condition Y(q), $0 \le q \le n$, if the Levi form has at least either $\max(n + 1 - q, q + 1)$ eigenvalues of the same sign or $\min(n + 1 - q, q + 1)$ pairs of eigenvalues of the opposite signs at every point on X.

Define by $T^{*0,q}X := \Lambda^q T^{*0,1}X$ the bundle of (0,q)-forms on $X, 0 \leq q \leq n$. For $u \in T^{*0,r}X$, let $(u \wedge)^* : T^{*0,q+r}X \to T^{*0,q}X$ be the adjoint of $u \wedge : T^{*0,q}X \to T^{*0,q+r}X$ with respect to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$, that is

$$\langle u \wedge w \, | \, v \, \rangle = \langle w \, | \, (u \wedge)^* v \, \rangle$$

for all $w \in T^{*0,q}X$ and $v \in T^{*0,q+r}X$. We write $|u|^2 = \langle u | u \rangle$.

Let $D \subset X$ be an open set. We let $\Omega^{0,q}(D)$ and $\Omega^{0,q}_c(D)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $T^{*0,q}X$ over D and the subspace of $\Omega^{0,q}(D)$ whose elements have compact support in D, respectively. Let

$$\overline{\partial}_b: \Omega^{0,q}(X) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(X)$$

be the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator. We say that $u \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X)$ is a CR function if $\overline{\partial}_b u = 0$. Moreover, if L is a complex line bundle over X, L is called a CR line bundle if its transition functions are CR.

Now, we let (L, h^L) be a CR complex line bundle over X, where h^L is the Hermitian fiber metric on L and its local weight is ϕ . Namely, let s be a local CR trivializing section of L on D, then locally,

(2.2)
$$|s(x)|_{h^L}^2 = e^{-\phi(x)}, \quad x \in D.$$

For k > 0, let L^k be the k-th tensor power of the line bundle L over X, then h^L induces a Hermitian fiber metric h^{L^k} on L^k and s^k is a local CR trivializing section of L^k . For $D \subset X$, let $\Omega^{0,q}(D, L^k)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $T^{*0,q}X \otimes L^k$ over D and $\Omega_c^{0,q}(D, L^k)$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{0,q}(D, L^k)$ whose elements have compact support in D. For $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(D, L^k)$, we sometimes write $|u(x)|_{h^{L^k}}^2$ simply as $|u|^2$.

Let

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,k} \colon \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(X, L^k)$$

be the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator acting on forms with values in L^k such that (2.3) $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}(s^k u) = s^k \overline{\partial}_b u,$

where s is a local CR trivializing section of L on an open set D and $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(D)$. Then the q-th $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$ -cohomology is given by

(2.4)
$$H_b^q(X, L^k) := \operatorname{Ker} \overline{\partial}_{b,k} / \operatorname{Im} \overline{\partial}_{b,k}, \quad 0 \le q \le n.$$

We sometimes simply write $\overline{\partial}_b$ to denote $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$.

Let $dv_X(x)$ be the volume form on X induced by the fixed Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}TX$, then we get natural global L^2 inner products $(\cdot | \cdot)$ and $(\cdot | \cdot)_{h^{L^k}}$ on $\Omega^{0,q}(X)$ and $\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$ respectively. Define by $L^2_{(0,q)}(X)$ the completion of $\Omega^{0,q}_c(X)$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)$ and $L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k)$ the one of $\Omega^{0,q}_c(X,L^k)$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{h^{L^k}}$. The associated norms on $L^2_{(0,q)}(X)$ and $L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k)$ are denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{h^{L^k}}$, respectively.

We extend $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$ to $L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k)$:

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$$
: Dom $\overline{\partial}_{b,k} \subset L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k) \to L^2_{(0,q+1)}(X, L^k),$

where Dom $\overline{\partial}_{b,k} = \left\{ u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k) \mid \overline{\partial}_{b,k} u \in L^2_{(0,q+1)}(X, L^k) \right\}$. Let $\overline{\partial}^*_{b,k} : \text{Dom } \overline{\partial}^*_{b,k} \subset L^2_{(0,q+1)}(X, L^k) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k)$

be the Hilbert space adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{h^{L^k}}$. The (Gaffney extension) of Kohn Laplacian with values in L^k is then given by

$$\Box_{b,k}^{q} : \operatorname{Dom} \Box_{b,k}^{q} \subset L^{2}_{(0,q)}(X, L^{k}) \to L^{2}_{(0,q)}(X, L^{k}),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \operatorname{Dom} \Box_{b,k}^{q} = \left\{ u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{b,k} \bigcap \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{b,k}^{*} \mid \overline{\partial}_{b,k} u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{b,k}^{*}, \overline{\partial}_{b,k}^{*} u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{b,k} \right\},$$

$$\Box_{b,k}^{q} = \overline{\partial}_{b,k}^{*} \overline{\partial}_{b,k} + \overline{\partial}_{b,k} \overline{\partial}_{b,k}^{*} \text{ on } \operatorname{Dom} \Box_{b,k}^{q}.$$

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, denote by $\|\cdot\|_s$ the standard Sobolev norm for sections of L^k with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{h^{L^k}}$ of order s. The following subelliptic estimates follow from Kohn's L^2 estimates [K65, Theorem 8.4.2]:

Theorem 2.3. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There exists a constant $C_{s,k} > 0$ such that

(2.6)
$$||u||_{s+1} \le C_{s,k} \left(||\Box_{b,k}^q u||_s + ||u|| + ||T^{s+1}u|| \right), \text{ for every } u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k).$$

Theorem 2.4. Assume that Y(q) holds on X. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There exists a constant $C_{s,k} > 0$ such that

(2.7)
$$||u||_{s+1} \le C_{s,k} \left(||\Box_{b,k}^q u||_s + ||u|| \right), \text{ for every } u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k).$$

From (2.7), we see that if Y(q) holds, then $\Box_{b,k}^q$ is hypoelliptic, has compact resolvent and the strong Hodge decomposition holds. We refer the reader to [K65, Theorem 7.6], [FK72, Theorem 5.4.11-12], [CS99, Corollary 8.4.7-8] for relevant material. Write

(2.8)
$$\mathscr{H}^{q}_{b}(X, L^{k}) = \operatorname{Ker} \Box^{q}_{b,k}.$$

Then we have

(2.9)
$$\dim \mathscr{H}^{q}_{b}(X, L^{k}) < \infty, \quad \mathscr{H}^{q}_{b}(X, L^{k}) \cong H^{q}_{b}(X, L^{k})$$

if Y(q) holds.

Since $\Box_{b,k}^q$ is non-negative and self-adjoint, the heat operator $e^{-t\Box_{b,k}^q}: L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k) \to$ Dom $\Box_{b,k}^q, t > 0$, exists. Let

$$A_k(t, x, y) = e^{-t \Box_{b,k}^q}(x, y) \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+ \times X \times X, (T^{*0,q}X \otimes L^k) \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X \otimes L^k)^*)$$

be the distribution kernel of $e^{-t\Box_{b,k}^q}$ with respect to $dv_X(x)$. We also write $A_k(t)$ to denote $e^{-t\Box_{b,k}^q}$. If Y(q) holds, then

$$A_k(t, x, y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times X \times X, (T^{*0, q} X \otimes L^k) \boxtimes (T^{*0, q} X \otimes L^k)^*).$$

One of the main goal of this work is to study the asymptotic behavior of $A_k(\frac{t}{k}, x, x)$ as $k \to +\infty$ when Y(q) holds. Note that $A_k(\frac{t}{k})$ satisfies the following

(2.10)
$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{k} \Box_{b,k}^{q}\right) A_{k}(\frac{t}{k}) = 0, \\ \lim_{t \to 0} A_{k}(\frac{t}{k}) = I \text{ on } L^{2}_{(0,q)}(X, L^{k}). \end{cases}$$

Now, assume that Y(q) holds. We use the canonical identification $\operatorname{End}(L^k) = \mathbb{C}$, especially

(2.11)
$$A_k(\frac{t}{k}, x, y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times X \times X, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*).$$

Let s be a local CR trivializing section of L defined on an open set D of X, $|s|_{h^L}^2 = e^{-\phi}$. We have the unitary identification:

$$U: L^2_{(0,q)}(D, L^k) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(D),$$
$$s^k \otimes \hat{u} \to e^{-\frac{k\phi}{2}}\hat{u}.$$

There exists $A_{k,s}(t,x,y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times D \times D, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*)$ such that

(2.12)
$$(e^{-t\Box_{b,k}^q}u)(x) = s^k(x) \otimes e^{\frac{k\phi(x)}{2}} \int_D A_{k,s}(t,x,y) e^{-\frac{k\phi(y)}{2}}\hat{u}(y) dv_X(y) \text{ on } D,$$

for every $u = s^k \otimes \hat{u} \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(D,L^k), \, \hat{u} \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(D)$. Note that

(2.13)
$$A_k(t, x, y) = s^k(x) \otimes A_{k,s}(t, x, y) e^{\frac{k\phi(x) - k\phi(y)}{2}} \otimes (s^k(y))^* \text{ on } D.$$

In particular,

(2.14)
$$e^{-t\Box_{b,k}^{q}}(x,x) = A_{k}(t,x,x) = A_{k,s}(t,x,x) \text{ on } D.$$

We also notice that

(2.15)
$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int A_{k,s}(t,x,y)\hat{u}(y)dv_X(y) = \hat{u}(x), \text{ for every } \hat{u} \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(D).$$

2.3. CR manifolds with \mathbb{R} -action. Now assume that X admits a \mathbb{R} -action $\eta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$: $\eta : X \to X, x \mapsto \eta \circ x$. Let $T \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, TX)$ be the infinitesimal generator of the \mathbb{R} -action which is given by

(2.16)
$$(Tu)(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left(u(\eta \circ x) \right)|_{\eta=0}, \quad u \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X).$$

Definition 2.5. We say that the \mathbb{R} -action η is CR if

$$[T, \mathscr{C}^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)] \subset \mathscr{C}^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)$$

and the \mathbb{R} -action is transversal if for each $x \in X$,

$$\mathbb{C}T(x) \oplus T_x^{1,0}(X) \oplus T_x^{0,1}X = \mathbb{C}T_xX.$$

Assume that $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ is a CR manifold of dimension 2n+1, $n \ge 1$, with a transversal CR \mathbb{R} -action η and we let T be the infinitesimal generator of the \mathbb{R} -action. Let $\omega_0 \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, T^*X)$ be the global real one form determined by

(2.17)
$$\begin{array}{l} \langle \omega_0, u \rangle = 0, \quad \forall u \in T^{1,0} X \oplus T^{0,1} X, \\ \langle \omega_0, T \rangle = -1. \end{array}$$

Suppose that X admits a \mathbb{R} -invariant complete Hermitain metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ so that we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}TX = T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X \oplus \{\lambda T \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

and $|T|^2 = \langle T | T \rangle = 1$.

For $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$, we define

(2.18)
$$Tu := \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (\eta^* u)|_{\eta=0} \in \Omega^{0,q}(X),$$

where $\eta^* : T^{*0,q}_{\eta \circ x} X \to T^{*0,q}_x X$ is the pull-back map of η . Since the \mathbb{R} -action is CR, as in the S^1 -action case (see Section 2.4 in [HLM16]), we have

$$T\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}_b T$$
 on $\Omega^{0,q}(X)$.

Let (L, h^L) be a rigid CR line bundle over X with a \mathbb{R} -invariant Hermitian metric h^L on L. We refer the reader to Section 2.3 in [HHL20] for the definitions and terminology about rigid CR vector bundles. We recall the following (see Definition 2.9 in [HHL20])

Definition 2.6. A CR vector bundle E of rank r over X with a CR bundle lift T^E of T is called rigid CR (with respect to T^E) if for every point $p \in X$ there exists an open neighborhood U around p and a CR frame $\{f_1, \ldots, f_r\}$ of $E|_U$ with $T^E(f_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

A section $s \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E)$ is called a rigid CR section if $T^E s = 0$ and $\overline{\partial}_b s = 0$. The frame $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^r$ in Definition 2.6 is called a rigid CR frame of $E|_U$. Note that any rigid CR vector bundle is locally CR trivializable.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we use T to denote the CR lifting T^{L^k} . For $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k)$, we can define Tu in the standard way (see Section 2.3 in [HHL20]) and we have $Tu \in \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k)$.

Consider the operator

$$-iT: \Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$$

and we extend -iT to $L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k)$ space by

(2.19)
$$-iT: \operatorname{Dom}(-iT) \subset L^{2}_{(0,q)}(X, L^{k}) \to L^{2}_{(0,q)}(X, L^{k}),$$
$$\operatorname{Dom}(-iT) = \left\{ u \in L^{2}_{(0,q)}(X, L^{k}); -iTu \in L^{2}_{(0,q)}(X, L^{k}) \right\}.$$

It is known that [HMW, Lemma 4.3] -iT is self-adjoint.

Fix $\delta > 0$, let

(2, 20)

$$L^2_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) := E_{-iT}([-k\delta,k\delta]),$$

$$\Omega^{0,q}_{\le k\delta}(X,L^k) := \Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k) \bigcap L^2_{(0,q),\le k\delta}(X,L^k)$$

where E_{-iT} denotes the spectral measure of -iT. Let

(2.21)
$$Q_{X,\leq k\delta}: L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k) \to L^2_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^k)$$

be the orthogonal projection with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{h^{L^k}}$. We have the following partial $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$ -complex:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,q-1}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_{b,k}} \Omega^{0,q}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_{b,k}} \Omega^{0,q+1}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and put

(2.22)
$$H^{q}_{b,\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k}) := \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\overline{\partial}_{b,k}: \Omega^{0,q}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k}) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k})}{\operatorname{Im}\overline{\partial}_{b,k}: \Omega^{0,q-1}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k}) \to \Omega^{0,q}_{\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k})}, \quad 0 \leq q \leq n.$$

Let

(2.23)
$$\Box^{q}_{b,k,\leq k\delta} : \operatorname{Dom} \Box^{q}_{b,k,\leq k\delta} \subset L^{2}_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k}) \to L^{2}_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k}),$$
$$\operatorname{Dom} \Box^{q}_{b,k,\leq k\delta} = \operatorname{Dom} \Box^{q}_{b,k} \bigcap L^{2}_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k}),$$
$$\Box^{q}_{b,k,\leq k\delta} = \operatorname{Dom} \Box^{q}_{b,k} \bigcap L^{2}_{(0,q),\leq k\delta}(X,L^{k}),$$

$$\Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^{q} = \partial_{b,k} \partial_{b,k} + \partial_{b,k} \partial_{b,k} \text{ on } \mathrm{Dom} \, \Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^{q}.$$

It is not difficult to check that without Y(q) condition,

(2.24)
$$H^q_{b,\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) \cong \operatorname{Ker} \Box^q_{b,k,\leq k\delta}, \quad \dim H^q_{b,\leq k\delta}(X,L^k) < +\infty.$$

Let

$$(2.25) \qquad e^{-t\Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q} := e^{-t\Box_{b,k}^q} \circ Q_{X,\leq k\delta} : L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k) \to \operatorname{Dom} \Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q, \quad t > 0,$$

and let

$$A_{k,\delta}(t,x,y) = e^{-t\Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q}(x,y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times X \times X, (T^{*0,q}X \otimes L^k) \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X \otimes L^k)^*)$$

be the distribution kernel of $e^{-t\Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q}$ with respect to $dv_X(x)$. We also write $A_{k,\delta}(t)$ to denote $e^{-t\Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q}$. One of the main goal of this work is to study the asymptotic behavior of $A_{k,\delta}(\frac{t}{k}, x, x)$ as $k \to +\infty$. Note that $A_{k,\delta}(\frac{t}{k})$ satisfies the following

(2.26)
$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{k} \Box_{b,k}^{q}\right) A_{k,\delta}(\frac{t}{k}) = 0, \\ \lim_{t \to 0} A_{k,\delta}(t) = Q_{X,\leq k\delta} \text{ on } L^{2}_{(0,q)}(X, L^{k}). \end{cases}$$

As before, we use the canonical identification $\operatorname{End} (L^k) = \mathbb{C}$, especially

(2.27)
$$A_{k,\delta}(\frac{t}{k}, x, y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times X \times X, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*).$$

Let s be a local CR rigid trivializing section of L defined on an open set D of X. We have the unitary identification:

$$U: L^2_{(0,q)}(D, L^k) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(D),$$
$$s^k \otimes \hat{u} \to e^{-\frac{k\phi}{2}}\hat{u}.$$

There exists $A_{k,s,\delta}(t,x,y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times D \times D, T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}X)^*)$ such that

(2.28)
$$(e^{-t\Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q}u)(x) = s^k(x) \otimes e^{\frac{k\phi(x)}{2}} \int_D A_{k,s,\delta}(t,x,y) e^{-\frac{k\phi(y)}{2}}\hat{u}(y) dv_X(y) \text{ on } D,$$

for every $u = s^k \otimes \hat{u} \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(D,L^k), \, \hat{u} \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(D)$. Note that

(2.29)
$$A_{k,\delta}(t,x,y) = s^k(x) \otimes A_{k,s,\delta}(t,x,y) e^{\frac{k\phi(x) - k\phi(y)}{2}} \otimes (s^k(y))^* \quad \text{on } D.$$

In particular,

(2.30)
$$e^{-t\Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q}(x,x) = A_{k,\delta}(t,x,x) = A_{k,s,\delta}(t,x,x) \text{ on } D$$

3. The estimate of the heat kernel distribution

We shall first set up the local coordinates. For a given point $p \in X$, let U_1, \dots, U_n be a smooth orthonormal frame for (1,0) vector fields in a neighborhood of p, for which $\mathcal{L}_p(U_j, \overline{U}_l) = \delta_{j,l}\lambda_j(p), 1 \leq j,l \leq n$. Let $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n$ be an orthonormal basis for (1,0)forms which is dual to the basis U_1, \dots, U_n . Let s be a local CR trivializing section of Lon an open neighborhood D of p with local weight ϕ , i.e. $|s(x)|_{h^L}^2 = e^{-\phi(x)}$ on D.

We take local coordinates $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n+1}) = (z, \theta) = (z_1, \cdots, z_n, \theta)$ on an open set D of p, such that

$$(3.1) \quad (z(p),\theta(p)) = 0, \quad \omega_0(p) = d\theta, \quad z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} \right),$$

(3.2)
$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} \right\rangle = 2\delta_{j,l} + O(|x|), \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle = O(|x|) \\ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right|^2 = 1 + O(|x|), \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \right|^2 = 1 + O(|x|),$$

for $j, l = 1, \cdots, 2n$. Moreover,

(3.3)
$$U_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - c_j \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{s=1}^{2n+1} r_{j,s}(z,\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}$$

where $r_{j,s}(z,\theta) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(D), r_{j,s}(z,\theta) = O(|(z,\theta)|^2), j = 1, ..., n, s = 1, ..., 2n + 1$, and

(3.4)
$$\phi(z,\theta) = \beta\theta + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_j z_j + \overline{a}_j \overline{z}_j) + \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} (a_{j,l} z_j z_l + \overline{a}_{j,l} \overline{z}_j \overline{z}_l) + \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \mu_{j,l} z_j \overline{z}_l + O(|\theta|^2 + |z||\theta| + |(z,\theta)|^3)$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, c_j , a_j , $a_{j,l}$, $\mu_{j,l} \in \mathbb{C}$, and λ_j are the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L}_p , $j, l = 1, \ldots, n$. We then work with this local coordinates and we identify D with some open set in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} .

3.1. The unitary identification.

For $u, v \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(D)$, let

$$(u \mid v)_{k\phi,D} = (u \mid v)_{k\phi} := \int_D \langle u \mid v \rangle e^{-k\phi} dv_X(x).$$

 $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{k\phi}$ is called the inner product on $\Omega_c^{0,q}(D)$ with weight $k\phi$. Denote by $L^2_{(0,q)}(D, k\phi)$ the completion of $\Omega_c^{0,q}(D)$ with respect to $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{k\phi}$. Let $\overline{\partial}_b^{*,k\phi}$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_b$ with respect to $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{k\phi}$. From $\overline{\partial}_b = \sum_{j=1}^n (\overline{\omega}^j \wedge \overline{U}_j + (\overline{\partial}_b \overline{\omega}^j) \wedge (\overline{\omega}^j \wedge)^*)$, we can check that

(3.5)
$$\overline{\partial}_b^{*,k\phi} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left((\overline{\omega}^j \wedge)^* (-U_j + kU_j\phi + \beta_j(z,\theta)) + \overline{\omega}^j \wedge (\overline{\partial}_b \overline{\omega}^j \wedge)^* \right),$$

where $\beta_j(z, \theta)$ is a smooth function on D, independent of k, for every j = 1, ..., n. Set

(3.6)
$$\square_{b,k\phi}^{q} = \overline{\partial}_{b}^{*,k\phi} \overline{\partial}_{b} + \overline{\partial}_{b} \overline{\partial}_{b}^{*,k\phi} : \Omega^{0,q}(D) \to \Omega^{0,q}(D).$$

For $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(D, L^k)$, there exists a $\check{u} \in \Omega^{0,q}(D)$ such that $u = s^k \check{u}$ on D. Recall that $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}(s^k \check{u}) = s^k \overline{\partial}_b \check{u}$ and we have

$$(3.7) \qquad \qquad \Box^q_{b,k} u = s^k \Box^q_{b,k\phi} \check{u}.$$

From now on, on D, we identify u with \check{u} and $\Box_{b,k}^q$ with $\Box_{b,k\phi}^q$. Set

(3.8)
$$\rho(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j z_j + \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} a_{j,l} z_j z_l$$

and

(3.9)
$$\phi_0(z,\theta) = \phi(z,\theta) - \rho(z) - \overline{\rho(z)}$$
$$= \beta\theta + \sum_{j,l=1}^n \mu_{j,l} z_j \overline{z}_l + O(|\theta|^2 + |z||\theta| + |(z,\theta)|^3).$$

We consider the following unitary identification:

$$\begin{cases} L^2_{(0,q)}(D,k\phi) & \leftrightarrow L^2_{(0,q)}(D,k\phi_0) \\ u & \to \tilde{u} = e^{-k\rho}u \\ u = e^{k\rho}\tilde{u} & \leftarrow \tilde{u} \end{cases}$$

For $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(D) \cap L^2_{(0,q)}(D, k\phi_0)$, let $\overline{\partial}_{\rho} = \overline{\partial}_b + k(\overline{\partial}_b \rho) \wedge$, then we have

(3.10)
$$\overline{\partial}_{\rho}\tilde{u} = e^{-k\rho}\overline{\partial}_{b}u = \widetilde{\overline{\partial}_{b}u}.$$

Let $\overline{\partial}_{\rho}^{*,k\phi_0}$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{\rho}$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{k\phi_0}$. We can check that

(3.11)
$$\overline{\partial}_{\rho} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\overline{\omega}^{j} \wedge (\overline{U}_{j} + k\overline{U}_{j}\rho) + (\overline{\partial}_{b}\overline{\omega}^{j}) \wedge (\overline{\omega}^{j}\wedge)^{*} \right)$$

and

(3.12)
$$\overline{\partial}_{\rho}^{*,k\phi_0} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left((\overline{\omega}^j \wedge)^* \left(-U_j + kU_j\phi_0 + kU_j\overline{\rho} + \alpha_j(z,\theta) \right) + \overline{\omega}^j \wedge (\overline{\partial}_b\overline{\omega}^j \wedge)^* \right),$$

where $\alpha_j(z,\theta)$ is a smooth function on D, independent of k, for every $j = 1, \ldots, n$. For abbreviation, we write $\overline{U}_{\rho,j} = \overline{U}_j + k\overline{U}_j\rho$ and $\overline{U}_{\rho,j}^{*,k\phi_0} = -U_j + kU_j\phi_0 + kU_j\overline{\rho} + \alpha_j(z,\theta)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Put

$$\Box^{q}_{\rho,k\phi_{0}} = \overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi_{0}}_{\rho}\overline{\partial}_{\rho} + \overline{\partial}_{\rho}\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi_{0}}_{\rho} : \Omega^{0,q}(D) \to \Omega^{0,q}(D).$$

Thorough a straightforward computation, we have

(3.13)
$$\Box^{q}_{\rho,k\phi_{0}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{U}^{*,k\phi_{0}}_{\rho,j} \overline{U}_{\rho,j} + \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \overline{\omega}^{j} \wedge (\overline{\omega}^{l} \wedge)^{*} [\overline{U}_{\rho,j}, \overline{U}^{*,k\phi_{0}}_{\rho,l}] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\epsilon_{j}(z,\theta) \overline{U}_{\rho,j} + s_{j}(z,\theta) \overline{U}^{*,k\phi_{0}}_{\rho,j} \right) + \gamma(z,\theta),$$

where ϵ_j , s_j and γ are smooth functions on D and independent of k, j = 1, ..., n. We can check that

(3.14)
$$\square_{b,k\phi}^q u = e^{k\rho} \square_{\rho,k\phi_0}^q (e^{-k\rho}u), \quad u \in \Omega^{0,q}(D).$$

Until further notice, we assume that Y(q) holds on X. Let

(3.15)
$$\begin{aligned} A_{k\phi}(\frac{t}{k}, x, y) &:= e^{\frac{k\phi(x)}{2}} A_{k,s}(\frac{t}{k}, x, y) e^{-\frac{k\phi(y)}{2}}, \\ A_{k\phi_0}(\frac{t}{k}, x, y) &:= e^{-k\rho(x)} A_{k\phi}(\frac{t}{k}, x, y) e^{k\rho(y)} = e^{-k\rho(x) + \frac{k\phi(x)}{2}} A_{k,s}(\frac{t}{k}, x, y) e^{k\rho(y) - \frac{k\phi(y)}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{k,s}(\frac{t}{k}, x, y)$ is as in (2.13). For t > 0, let

$$A_{k\phi_0}(t): \mathscr{E}'(D, T^{*0,q}X) \to \Omega^{0,q}(D)$$

be the continuous operator with distribution kernel $A_{k\phi_0}(t, x, y)$ with respect to dv_X . Note that

(3.16)
$$A_{k\phi_0}(t)u = \int A_{k\phi_0}(t, x, y)u(y)dv_X(y), \quad u \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(D).$$

It is easy to check that

(3.17)
$$\begin{cases} A'_{k\phi_0}(t) + \Box^q_{\rho,k\phi_0} A_{k\phi_0}(t) = 0, \\ \lim_{t \to 0^+} A_{k\phi_0}(t) = I. \end{cases}$$

Notice that the reason we take the term $\rho(z)$ out of $\phi(z,\theta)$ is that the term $kU_j\overline{\rho}$ in it will approach to zero as $k \to +\infty$ after $\Box^q_{\rho,k\phi_0}$ been scaled. Now let us introduce the scaling technique.

3.2. The scaling technique.

Let
$$B_r := \{(z, \theta) = (x_1, \cdots x_{2n}, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \mid |x_j| < r, |\theta| < r, j = 1, \cdots 2n\}$$
. Let
 $F_k : \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1},$
 $(z, \theta) \to (\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}),$

be the scaling map, then we can choose sufficient large k such that $F_k(B_{\log k}) \subset D$. For $(z, \theta) \in B_{\log k}$, let

(3.18)
$$F_k^* T_{z,\theta}^{*0,q} X := \left\{ \sum_{|J|=q}' a_J \overline{\omega}^J \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k} \right) \, \middle| \, a_J \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

where the sum takes over all q-tuple of integers between 1 and n, the prime means that we sum over only strictly increasing multiindices, $\overline{\omega}^J = \overline{\omega}^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{\omega}^{j_q}$, $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n$.

Let $F_k^*T^{*0,q}X$ be the vector bundle over $B_{\log k}$ with fiber $F_k^*T_x^{*0,q}X$, $x = (z,\theta) \in B_{\log k}$. Define by $F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(B_r)$ the space of smooth sections of $F_k^*T^{*0,q}X$ over B_r . Let

$$F_k^*\Omega_c^{0,q}(B_r) = \left\{ u \in F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(B_r) \mid \operatorname{supp} u \Subset B_r \right\}.$$

For $u = \sum_{|J|=q}' u_J \overline{\omega}^J \in \Omega^{0,q}(F_k(B_{\log k}))$, we define the scaled form $F_k^* u$ by

(3.19)
$$F_k^* u := \sum_{|J|=q}' u_J\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\right) \overline{\omega}^J\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\right) \in F_k^* \Omega^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$$

Let $\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}: F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(B_{\log k}) \to F_k^*\Omega^{0,q+1}(B_{\log k})$ be the scaled differential operator, which is given by

(3.20)
$$\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{\omega}^{j} \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k} \right) \wedge \left(\overline{U}_{j,(k)} + \sqrt{k} F_{k}^{*}(\overline{U}_{j}\rho) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} (\overline{\partial}_{b} \overline{\omega}^{j}) \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k} \right) \wedge \left(\overline{\omega}^{j} \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k} \right) \wedge \right)^{*},$$

where

(3.21)
$$\overline{U}_{j,(k)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} + i\lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \overline{c}_j \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{s=1}^{2n} r_{j,s} (\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} + \sqrt{k} r_{j,2n+1} (\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

where $r_{j,s}(z,\theta) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(D)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $s = 1, \ldots, 2n + 1$, are as in (3.3). For every $j = 1, \ldots, n$, put

(3.22)
$$\varepsilon_{j,(k)} := \sum_{s=1}^{2n} r_{j,s}(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} + \sqrt{k} r_{j,2n+1}(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta},$$
$$\overline{\varepsilon}_{j,(k)} := \sum_{s=1}^{2n} \overline{r_{j,s}}(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} + \sqrt{k} \overline{r_{j,2n+1}}(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

Note that

(3.23)
$$\sqrt{k}F_k^*(\overline{U}_j\rho) = \overline{\varepsilon}_{j,(k)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j z_j + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sum_{j,l=1}^n a_{j,l} z_j z_l\right).$$

Compare (3.10) and (3.20), one can check that

(3.24)
$$\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}(F_k^*u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} F_k^*(\overline{\partial}_{\rho}u), \quad u \in \Omega^{0,q}(F_k(B_{\log k})).$$

Fix $q \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$. With the notations used in the beginning of Section 3,

$$\left\{\overline{\omega}^J(x) \mid J = (j_1, \dots, j_q), 1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_q \le n\right\}$$

is an orthonormal frame for $T_x^{*0,q}X$, for every $x \in D$. Let $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{F_k^*}$ be the Hermitian metric of $F_k^*T^{*0,q}X$ on $B_{\log k}$ such that $\{\overline{\omega}^J(F_kx) \mid J = (j_1, \ldots, j_q, 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n\}$

is an orthonormal frame at every $x \in B_{\log k}$. On D, write $dv_X(x) = m(x)dx_1 \cdots dx_{2n+1}$, $m(x) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(D)$. Let $(\cdot | \cdot)_{kF_k^*\phi_0}$ be the L^2 inner product on $F_k^*\Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$ given by

$$(u \mid v)_{kF_k^*\phi_0} = \int \langle u \mid v \rangle_{F_k^*} e^{-kF_k^*\phi_0} m(F_k x) dx_1 \cdots dx_{2n+1}, \quad u, v \in F_k^* \Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k}).$$

Let $\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}^{*,kF_k^*\phi_0}$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{kF_k^*\phi_0}$, then (3.25)

$$\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}^{*,kF_k^*\phi_0} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\overline{\omega}^j \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k} \right) \wedge \right)^* \left(-U_{j,(k)} + \sqrt{k}F_k^*(U_j\phi_0) + \sqrt{k}F_k^*(U_j\overline{\rho}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_k^*\alpha_j \right) \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\overline{\omega}^j \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k} \right) \wedge \left((\overline{\partial}_b\overline{\omega}^j) \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k} \right) \wedge \right)^*,$$

where α_j is a smooth function as in (3.12). Compare with (3.12), we also have

(3.26)
$$\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}^{*,kF_k^*\phi_0}(F_k^*u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} F_k^*(\overline{\partial}_{\rho}^{*,k\phi_0}u), \quad u \in \Omega^{0,q+1}(F_k(B_{\log k}))$$

Now we define the scaled Kohn-Laplacian:

$$(3.27) \qquad \qquad \Box^{q}_{\rho,(k)} = \overline{\partial}^{*,kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}}_{\rho,(k)}\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)} + \overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}\overline{\partial}^{*,kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}}_{\rho,(k)}$$

on $F_k^* \Omega^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$. It follows from (3.24) and (3.26) immediately that

(3.28)
$$\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q}(F_{k}^{*}u) = \frac{1}{k}F_{k}^{*}(\Box_{\rho,k\phi_{0}}^{q}u), \quad u \in \Omega^{0,q}(F_{k}(B_{\log k}))$$

Let

(3.29)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{(k)}(t,x,y) \\ &:= k^{-(n+1)} A_{k\phi_0}(\frac{t}{k}, F_k x, F_k y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_{\log k} \times B_{\log k}, F_k^* T^{*0,q} X \boxtimes (F_k^* T^{*0,q} X)^*), \end{aligned}$$

where $F_k x = (\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}), \ F_k y = (\frac{w}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\xi}{k}), \ y = (w, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \ A_{k\phi_0}(t, x, y) \text{ is as in } (3.15).$ Let $A_{(k)}(t) : F_k^* \Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k}) \to F_k^* \Omega^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$

be the continuous operator given by

(3.30)
$$(A_{(k)}(t)u)(x) = \int A_{(k)}(t,x,y)u(y)m(F_ky)dy, \quad u \in F_k^*\Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k}).$$

Observe that (3.21) and (3.23) show us

(3.31)
$$\overline{U}_{j,(k)} + \sqrt{k}F_k^*(\overline{U}_j\rho) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_j} + i\lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \epsilon_k Z_{j,k}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

on $B_{\log k}$, where ϵ_k is a sequence tending to zero as $k \to \infty$ and $Z_{j,k}$ is a first order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of $Z_{j,k}$ are uniformly bounded in k on $B_{\log k}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. In the same manner, from (3.9), we see that

(3.32)
$$-U_{j,(k)} + \sqrt{k}F_{k}^{*}(U_{j}\phi_{0}) + \sqrt{k}(U_{j}\overline{\rho}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_{k}^{*}\alpha_{j}$$
$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} + i\lambda_{j}\overline{z}_{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i\lambda_{j}\overline{z}_{j}\beta + \sum_{l=1}^{n}\mu_{l,j}\overline{z}_{l} + \delta_{k}V_{j,k}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

on $B_{\log k}$, where δ_k is a sequence tending to zero as $k \to \infty$ and $V_{j,k}$ is a first order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of $V_{i,k}$ are uniformly bounded in k on $B_{\log k}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. The following proposition is fairly straightforward follows from (3.20), (3.25), (3.31) and (3.32):

Proposition 3.1. We have that

$$(3.33) \qquad \Box^{q}_{\rho,(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} + i\lambda_{j}\overline{z}_{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - i\lambda_{j}\overline{z}_{j}\beta + \sum_{l=1}^{n}\mu_{l,j}\overline{z}_{l} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}} + i\lambda_{j}z_{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \right) + \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \overline{\omega}^{j} \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k} \right) \wedge \left(\overline{\omega}^{l} \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k} \right) \wedge \right)^{*} \left(2i\lambda_{j}\delta_{j,l}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \mu_{j,l} - i\lambda_{j}\delta_{j,l}\beta \right) + \varepsilon_{k}P_{k}$$

on $B_{\log k}$, where ε_k is a sequence tending to zero as $k \to \infty$ and P_k is a second order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of P_k are uniformly bounded in k on $B_{\log k}$.

We pause and introduce some notations. For $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $B \subset B_{\log k}$, let

$$W^{s}_{kF^{*}_{L}\phi_{0}}(B, F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X)$$

be the Sobolev space of order s define on the sections of $F_k^*T^{*0,q}X$ over B with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{kF_k^*\phi_0}$. Let $x = (z, \theta) \in B$, the Sobolev norm of $u = \sum_{|J|=q}' u_J \overline{\omega}^J \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\right) \in$ $W^s_{kF^*_k\phi_0}(B, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X)$ is given by

(3.34)
$$\|u\|_{kF_k^*\phi_{0,s,B}}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \le s} \sum_{|J|=q}' \int_B |\partial_x^{\alpha} u_J|^2 e^{-kF_k^*\phi_0} m(F_k x) dx_1 \cdots dx_{2n+1}.$$

Let us write $\|\cdot\|_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0},0,B}$ as $\|\cdot\|_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0},B}$ to simplify the notation. Let

$$\begin{split} W^{s}_{c,kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}} &= \left\{ u \in W^{s}_{kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}}(B,F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X) \mid \text{supp} \, u \Subset B \right\}, \\ W^{s}_{\text{loc},kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}} &= \left\{ u \in \mathscr{D}'(B,F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X) \mid \chi u \in W^{s}_{kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}}(B,F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X), \text{ for every } \chi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{c}(B) \right\}. \end{split}$$
Write

$$L^{2}_{\mathrm{c},kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B,F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X) := W^{0}_{\mathrm{c},kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B,F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X),$$

$$L^{2}_{\mathrm{loc},kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B,F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X) := W^{0}_{\mathrm{loc},kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B,F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X).$$

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, s < 0, define $W^s_{c,kF^*_k\phi_0}(B, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X)$ and $W^s_{loc,kF^*_k\phi_0}(B, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X)$ as the dual spaces of $W^{-s}_{loc,kF^*_k\phi_0}(B, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X)$ and $W^{-s}_{c,kF^*_k\phi_0}(B, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X)$ with respect to $(\cdot \mid$ $\cdot)_{kF_k^*\phi_0}$ respectively. For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, s < 0, we define $\| \cdot \|_{kF_k^*\phi_0,s,B}$ the Sobolev norm for the space $W^s_{c,kF^*_k\phi_0}(B,F^*_kT^{*0,q}X)$ in the standard way. Similarly, for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $W^{s}_{c,kF^{*}_{h}\phi_{0}}(B_{\log k},F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X), W^{s}_{\log k,F^{*}_{h}\phi_{0}}(B_{\log k},F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X)$ in the same way. We also define $L^2_{\rm c}(F_k B_{\log k}, T^{*0,q}X)$ in the similar way (with $\phi_0 = 0$).

Kohn [K65] proved that if Y(q) holds, then $\Box_{\rho,(k)}^q$ is hypoelliptic with loss of one derivative. The following proposition is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.3 and Kohn's poof.

Proposition 3.2. Recall that we work with the assumption that Y(q) holds on X. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For r > 0 with $B_{2r} \subset B_{\log k}$, there exists a constant $C_{r,s} > 0$ which is independent of k such that for all $u \in F_k^* \Omega^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$, we have

$$(3.35) \|u\|_{kF_k^*\phi_{0,s+1,B_r}}^2 \le C_{r,s} \left(\|u\|_{kF_k^*\phi_{0,B_{2r}}}^2 + \|\Box_{\rho,(k)}^q u\|_{kF_k^*\phi_{0,s,B_{2r}}}^2 \right).$$

Remark 1. Go over Kohn's proof, we see that the constant $C_{r,s}$ only depends on the derivatives of all the coefficients of $\Box_{\rho,(k)}^q$, $kF_k^*\phi_0$ and $m(F_kx)$ on $B_{\log k}$. From (3.33), it is clear that all the derivatives of the coefficients of $\Box_{\rho,(k)}^q$, $kF_k^*\phi_0$ and $m(F_kx)$ are uniformly bounded in k in \mathscr{C}^{∞} topology on $B_{\log k}$. Hence $C_{r,s}$ can be taken to be independent of k.

Our next objective is to show that if Y(q) holds, $A_{(k)}(t, x, y)$ is uniformly bounded in k in \mathscr{C}^{∞} -topology for some fixed t > 0. For this purpose, we need to apply the spectral theorem[Da95] for self-adjoint operator. We reproduce it as follows for the reader's convenience.

Theorem 3.3 (spectral theorem for self-adjoint operator). Let $A : \text{Dom } A \subset \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space \mathbb{H} . Then there exists a finite regular Borel measure μ on $\sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}$ and a unitary transformation

$$U: \mathbb{H} \to L^2(\sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu),$$

U is one to one, onto, such that

$$\operatorname{Dom} A = \left\{ U^{-1}f \mid f \in \operatorname{Dom} M_s \right\},\$$

where $\sigma(A)$ is the spectrum of A, $M_s\varphi(s,n) = s\varphi(s,n)$, $(s,n) \in \sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}$, is the multiplication operator by s on $L^2(\sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu)$,

Dom
$$M_s = \{\varphi(s, n) \in L^2(\sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu) \mid s\varphi(s, n) \in L^2(\sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu)\}$$

Furthermore, $UAU^{-1} = M_s$ on U(Dom A).

Theorem 3.3 says that when studying spectral property of a self-adjoint operator it suffices to study the multiplication operator M_s : Dom $M_s \subset L^2(\sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu) \to L^2(\sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu)$. Roughly speaking, we can identify

(3.36)
$$\mathbb{H} \cong L^2(\sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu)$$

and

(3.37)
$$A \cong M_s : \text{Dom}\, M_s \subset L^2(\sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu) \to L^2(\sigma(A) \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu),$$
$$\varphi(s, n) \mapsto s\varphi(s, n).$$

As before, let $\Box_{b,k}^q$: Dom $\Box_{b,k}^q \subset L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k)$ be the Kohn Laplacian given by (2.5). Since $\Box_{b,k}^q$ is self-adjoint, by Theorem 3.3, we identify $L^2_{(0,q)}(X, L^k)$ with $L^2(\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu)$ and $\Box_{b,k}^q$ with M_s , where \mathbb{S} denotes the spectrum of $\Box_{b,k}^q$. Then,

$$e^{-t \sqcup_{b,k}^*} = e^{-ts} : L^2(\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu) \to L^2(\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu),$$
$$\varphi(s, n) \to e^{-ts}\varphi(s, n).$$

Lemma 3.4. Fix t > 0. For every $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

(3.38)
$$\left\| e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^{q}} (\Box_{b,k}^{q})^{N} u \right\|_{h^{L^{k}}} = \left\| (\Box_{b,k}^{q})^{N} e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^{q}} u \right\|_{h^{L^{k}}} \le (1 + \frac{k^{N}}{t^{N}}) C_{N} \left\| u \right\|_{h^{L^{k}}},$$

for every $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k)$, where $C_N > 0$ is a constant independent of k and t.

Proof. Fix t > 0 and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For $u = \varphi(s, n) \in \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k) \subset L^2(\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{N}, d\mu)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^{q}} (\Box_{b,k}^{q})^{N} u\|_{h^{L^{k}}}^{2} &= \|(\Box_{b,k}^{q})^{N} e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^{q}} u\|_{h^{L^{k}}}^{2} \\ &= \int \left|e^{-\frac{t}{k}s} s^{N} \varphi(s,n)\right|^{2} d\mu = \int_{\{0 \le s < 1\}} \left|e^{-\frac{t}{k}s} s^{N} \varphi(s,n)\right|^{2} d\mu + \int_{\{s \ge 1\}} \left|e^{-\frac{t}{k}s} s^{N} \varphi(s,n)\right|^{2} d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\{0 \le s < 1\}} |\varphi(s,n)|^{2} d\mu + \hat{C}_{N} \int_{\{s \ge 1\}} \left|\frac{k^{N}}{t^{N} s^{N}} s^{N} \varphi(s,n)\right|^{2} d\mu \\ &\leq (1 + \hat{C}_{N} \frac{k^{2N}}{t^{2N}}) \int |\varphi(s,n)|^{2} d\mu, \end{split}$$

where $\hat{C}_N > 0$ is a constant independent of k and t. The lemma follows.

Let $L^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X)$ be the completion of $F^*_k\Omega^{0,q}_c(B_{\log k})$ with respect to the L^2 inner product $(\cdot | \cdot)_{kF^*_k\phi_0}$. We extend $\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}$ to $L^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X)$:

$$\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)} : \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)} \subset L^2_{kF_k^*\phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F_k^*T^{*0,q}X) \to L^2_{kF_k^*\phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F_k^*T^{*0,q+1}X),$$

where $\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)} = \left\{ u \in L^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X) \mid \overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}u \in L^2_{kF^*_k\phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F^*_kT^{*0,q+1}X) \right\}.$ We also write

$$\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}^{*,kF_k^*\phi_0} : \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}^{*,kF^*k\phi_0} \subset L^2_{kF_k^*\phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F_k^*T^{*0,q+1}X) \to L^2_{kF_k^*\phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F_k^*T^{*0,q}X)$$

to denote the L^2 adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}$. Let

$$\begin{split} & \Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q} + I = \overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}^{*,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)} + \overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}^{*,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}} + I \\ & : \operatorname{Dom}\left(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q} + I\right) \subset L_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}^{2}(B_{\log k}, F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X) \to L_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}^{2}(B_{\log k}, F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X), \\ & \operatorname{Dom}\left(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q} + I\right) = \{u \in L_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}^{2}(B_{\log k}, F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X) \mid u \in \operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)} \bigcap \operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}^{*,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}, \\ & \overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}u \in \operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}^{*,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}, \overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}^{*,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}u \in \operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{\rho,(k)}\}. \end{split}$$

It is clear that $\Box^q_{\rho,(k)}$ has closed range. Let

$$N^{q}_{\rho,(k)}: L^{2}_{kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}}(B_{\log k}, F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X) \to \text{Dom}\left(\Box^{q}_{\rho,(k)}+I\right)$$

be the inverse of $\Box^q_{\rho,(k)} + I$. We have

(3.39)
$$(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q} + I)N_{\rho,(k)}^{q} = I \text{ on } L^{2}_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{\log k}, F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X), \\ N^{q}_{\rho,(k)}(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q} + I) = I \text{ on } \text{Dom}(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q} + I),$$

and

$$(3.40) ||N_{\rho,(k)}^{q}u||_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0},B_{\log k}} \leq ||u||_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0},B_{\log k}}, \text{ for every } u \in L^{2}_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{\log k},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X).$$

We introduce some notations. Fix $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$A_k: W^{s_1}_{c,kF^*_k\phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X) \to W^{s_2}_{\log c,kF^*_k\phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X)$$

be a continuous operator. We write

$$A_k = O(k^{n_0}) : W^{s_1}_{\mathrm{c}, kF_k^* \phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F_k^* T^{*0, q} X) \to W^{s_2}_{\log c, kF_k^* \phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F_k^* T^{*0, q} X), \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{R},$$

if for every $\chi, \chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathscr{C}_c^{\infty}(B_{\log k}), \chi_2 = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \chi_1, \chi_1 = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \chi, \chi, \chi_1$ and χ_2 are independent of k, there is a constant C > 0 independent of k such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_1 A_k \chi u\|_{kF_k^* \phi_0, s_2, B_{\log k}} &\leq C k^{n_0} \|\chi_2 u\|_{kF_k^* \phi_0, s_1, B_{\log k}}, \\ \text{for every } u \in W^{s_1}_{\mathrm{c}, kF_k^* \phi_0}(B_{\log k}, F_k^* T^{*0, q} X). \end{aligned}$$

The following follows from Proposition 3.2

Proposition 3.5. Recall that we work with the assumption that Y(q) holds on X. With the notations used above, for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $(N_{\rho,(k)}^q)^{\ell}$ can be extended continuously to

$$(N^{q}_{\rho,(k)})^{\ell} = O(k^{0}) : W^{-\ell}_{\mathrm{c},kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}}(B_{\log k}, F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X) \to L^{2}_{kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}}(B_{\log k}, F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X).$$

We can now prove

Proposition 3.6. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be a compact set. Assume that Y(q) holds on X. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and r > 0. Then, there is a constant $C_{\ell,r} > 0$ independent of k and t such that

$$\|A_{(k)}(t,x,y)\|_{\mathscr{C}^{\ell}(I\times B_{r}\times B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X\boxtimes (F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X)^{*})} \leq C_{\ell,r}.$$

Proof. Fix r > 0 and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider $(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^q)^\ell A_{(k)}(t)$. Let $u \in F_k^* \Omega_c^{0,q}(B_r)$. Let $v \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(F_k(B_r))$ such that $F_k^* v = u$ on B_r . On D, we identify $\Box_{b,k}^q$ with $\Box_{b,k\phi}^q$ and sections of L^k with functions. From (3.14), (3.15), (3.28) and (3.29), we have on B_r ,

4

$$(\square_{\rho,(k)}^{q})^{\ell} A_{(k)}(t) u = (\square_{\rho,(k)}^{q})^{\ell} (F_{k}^{*} A_{k\phi_{0}}(\frac{t}{k})v)$$

$$(3.41) \qquad = \frac{1}{k^{\ell}} F_{k}^{*} \left((\square_{\rho,k\phi_{0}}^{q})^{\ell} A_{k\phi_{0}}(\frac{t}{k})v \right) = \frac{1}{k^{\ell}} F_{k}^{*} \left(e^{-k\rho} (\square_{b,k\phi}^{q})^{\ell} e^{k\rho} A_{k\phi_{0}}(\frac{t}{k})v \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k^{\ell}} F_{k}^{*} \left(e^{-k\rho} (\square_{b,k\phi}^{q})^{\ell} A_{k\phi}(\frac{t}{k})e^{k\rho}v \right).$$

From (3.41) and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$(3.42)$$

$$\|(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q})^{\ell}A_{(k)}(t)u\|_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0},B_{r}} = \|k^{-\ell}F_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-k\rho}(\Box_{b,k\phi}^{q})^{\ell}A_{k\phi}(\frac{t}{k})e^{k\rho}v\right)\|_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0},B_{r}}$$

$$= \|k^{-\ell+n+1}(\Box_{b,k\phi}^{q})^{\ell}A_{k\phi}(\frac{t}{k})e^{k\rho}v\|_{k\phi,F_{k}(B_{r})} \leq k^{-\ell+n+1}\|(\Box_{b,k}^{q})^{\ell}e^{-\frac{t}{k}\Box_{b,k}^{q}}(e^{k\rho}v)\|_{h^{Lk}}$$

$$\leq \frac{k^{n+1}}{t^{\ell}}C_{\ell}\|e^{k\rho}v\|_{h^{Lk}} = \frac{k^{n+1}}{t^{\ell}}C_{\ell}\|e^{k\rho}v\|_{k\phi,F_{k}(B_{r})} = \frac{k^{n+1}}{t^{\ell}}C_{\ell}\|v\|_{k\phi_{0},F_{k}(B_{r})} = \frac{C_{\ell}}{t^{\ell}}\|u\|_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0},B_{r}},$$

for every $t \in I$, where $C_{\ell} > 0$, $\hat{C}_{\ell} > 0$ are constants independent of k an t. From Proposition 3.2 and (3.42), we conclude that

(3.43)
$$A_{(k)}(t) = O(k^0) : L^2_{c,kF^*_k\phi_0}(B_r, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X) \to W^s_{loc,kF^*_k\phi_0}(B_r, F^*_kT^{*0,q}X)$$

is continuous, for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the continuity is uniformly in $t \in I$.

We can repeat the proof of (3.43) with minor change and deduce that for every $\ell_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$(3.44) \quad A_{(k)}(t)(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q})^{\ell_{1}} = O(k^{0}) : L^{2}_{c,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X) \to W^{s}_{\mathrm{loc},kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X)$$

is continuous, for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the continuity is uniformly in $t \in I$. By taking adjoint of (3.44), we conclude that for every $\ell_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$(3.45) \quad (\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q})^{\ell_1} A_{(k)}(t) = O(k^0) : W_{c,kF_k^*\phi_0}^{-s}(B_r, F_k^*T^{*0,q}X) \to L^2_{\text{loc},kF_k^*\phi_0}(B_r, F_k^*T^{*0,q}X)$$

is continuous, for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the continuity is uniformly in $t \in I$. Let $\chi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{c}(B_{r}, \mathbb{R})$, $\chi \geq 0$. Consider $A_{(k)}(t)\chi(\Box^{q}_{\rho,(k)})^{\ell}$. Note that

$$(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q})^{\ell} = O(k^{0}) : L^{2}_{\mathrm{c},kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X) \to W^{-2\ell}_{\mathrm{c},kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X)$$

is continuous. From this observation and (3.45), we deduce that for every $\ell_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

(3.46)
$$(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q})^{\ell_{1}}A_{(k)}(t)\chi(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q}+I)^{\ell} = O(k^{0}): L^{2}_{c,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X) \to L^{2}_{loc,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X)$$

is continuous and the continuity is uniformly in $t \in I$. From Proposition 3.2 and (3.46), we get (3.47)

$$A_{(k)}(t)\chi(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q}+I)^{\ell} = O(k^{0}): L^{2}_{c,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X) \to W^{s}_{\mathrm{loc},kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X)$$

is continuous, for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the continuity is uniformly in $t \in I$. Note that

(3.48)
$$A_{(k)}(t)\chi = A_{(k)}(t)\chi(\Box_{\rho,(k)}^{q} + I)^{\ell}(N_{\rho,(k)}^{q})^{\ell} \text{ on } L^{2}_{c,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}(B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X),$$

where $N_{\rho,(k)}^{q}$ is as in (3.39). Let $u \in W_{c,kF_{k}^{*}\phi_{0}}^{-\ell}(B_{r},F_{k}^{*}T^{*0,q}X)$. Let

$$u_{j} \in L^{2}_{c,kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}}(B_{r}, F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X), \ j = 1, 2, \dots,$$
$$u_{j} \to u \text{ in } W^{-\ell}_{c,kF^{*}_{k}\phi_{0}}(B_{r}, F^{*}_{k}T^{*0,q}X) \text{ as } j \to +\infty.$$

From Proposition 3.5, (3.47) and (3.48), we have for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{(k)}(t)\chi(u_j - u_i)\|_{kF_k^*\phi_0, s, B_r} &= \|A_{(k)}(t)\chi(\Box_{\rho, (k)}^q + I)^\ell (N_{\rho, (k)}^q)^\ell (u_j - u_i)\|_{kF_k^*\phi_0, s, B_r} \\ &\leq C \|(N_{\rho, (k)}^q)^\ell (u_j - u_i)\|_{kF_k^*\phi_0, B_{2r}} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $j, i \to +\infty$, where C > 0 is a constant independent of k and $t \in I$. Hence, $A_{(k)}(t)$ can be extended continuously to $W_{c,kF_k^*\phi_0}^{-\ell}(B_r, F_k^*T^{*0,q}X)$ and

(3.49)
$$A_{(k)}(t)\chi = O(k^0) : W_{c,kF_k^*\phi_0}^{-\ell}(B_r, F_k^*T^{*0,q}X) \to W_{loc,kF_k^*\phi_0}^s(B_r, F_k^*T^{*0,q}X),$$

is continuous, for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the continuity is uniformly in $t \in I$. From (3.49), Sobolev embedding theorem and notice that $A'_{(k)}(t) = -\Box^q_{\rho,(k)}A_{(k)}(t)$, the proposition follows.

For $f(x) \in \mathscr{L}(T_x^{*0,q}X, T_x^{*0,q}X)$, let

(3.50)
$$|f(x)|_{\mathscr{L}(T^{*0,q}_x,T^{*0,q}_x,T^{*0,q}_x)} := \sum_{j,\ell=1}^d |\langle f(x)v_j(x) | v_\ell(x) \rangle|,$$

where $\{v_j(x)\}_{j=1}^d$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_x^{*0,q}X$ with respect to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$.

Proposition 3.7. Assume that Y(q) holds on X. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be a compact interval and let $K \in X$ be a compact set. Then, there is a constant C > 0 independent of k such that

(3.51)
$$\left| e^{-t \Box_{b,k}^{q}}(x,x) \right|_{\mathscr{L}(T_{x}^{*0,q}X,T_{x}^{*0,q}X)} \leq Ck^{n+1}, \text{ for all } x \in K \text{ and } t \in I.$$

Proof. Fix $p \in X$. We take local coordinates $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n+1}) = (z, \theta) = (z_1, \cdots, z_n, \theta)$ on an open set D of p, such that (3.1) hold. From Proposition 3.6, we see that on I,

$$\left| e^{-t \Box_{b,k}^{q}}(p,p) \right|_{\mathscr{L}(T_{p}^{*0,q}X,T_{p}^{*0,q}X)} \le C_{p} k^{n+1},$$

where $C_p > 0$ is a constant independent of k. Moreover, from the proof of Proposition 3.6, it is straightforward to see that the constant C_p only depends on the upper bounds of derivatives of order $\leq s$ of ϕ , the given volume form, and the coefficients of $\Box_{\rho,(k)}^q$ on B_r , for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Since K is compact, C_p can be taken to be independent of p. The proposition follows.

3.3. The Heisenberg group H_n .

We pause and introduce some notations about Heisenberg group. We identify \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} with the Heisenberg group $H_n := \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Using the same setting as in (3.1) and (3.2) to denote by $x = (z, \theta)$ the coordinates of H_n , $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1})$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\theta = x_{2n+1}$. Let

$$T^{1,0}H_n = \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mid j = 1, \dots, n\right\}.$$

Then, $(H_n, T^{1,0}H_n)$ is a CR manifold of dimension 2n + 1. Let $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{H_n}$ be the Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}TH_n$ so that

(3.52)
$$\left\{ U_{j,H_n}, \overline{U}_{j,H_n}, T = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mid j = 1, \cdots n \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}TH_n$, where

(3.53)
$$U_{j,H_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then

(3.54)
$$\left\{ dz_j, d\overline{z}_j, \omega_0 = d\theta + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j dz_j - i\lambda_j z_j d\overline{z}_j \right), j = 1, \cdots, n \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis of the complexified cotangent bundle. The Levi form \mathcal{L}_p of H_n at $p \in H_n$ is given by $\mathcal{L}_p = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$. The tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}$ on H_n is given by

(3.55)
$$\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} = \sum_{j=1}^n d\overline{z}_j \wedge \overline{U}_{j,H_n} : \Omega^{0,q}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(H_n)$$

Let $\Phi = \beta \theta + \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \mu_{j,l} z_j \overline{z}_l$, where β and $\mu_{j,l}$ are the same as in (3.9). It is easy to check that

(3.56)
$$\sup_{(z,\theta)\in B_{\log k}} |kF_k^*\phi_0 - \Phi| \to 0, \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

The Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}TH_n$ induces a Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{H_n}$ on $T^{*0,q}H_n$. Let $(\cdot | \cdot)_{\Phi}$ be the inner product on $\Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$ with weight Φ . Namely,

(3.57)
$$(u \mid v)_{\Phi} = \int_{H_n} \langle u \mid v \rangle_{H_n} e^{-\Phi} dv(z) d\theta, \quad u, v \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n),$$

where $dv(z) = 2^n dx_1 \cdots dx_{2n}$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \cdots, n$. Let $L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi)$ be the completion of $\Omega^{0,q}_c(H_n)$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{\Phi}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$ be the corresponding norm. Let $W \subset H_n$ be an open set. For $u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi)$, let

$$||u||_{\Phi,W}^2 := \int_W \langle u \mid u \rangle_{H_n} e^{-\Phi} dv(z) d\theta.$$

We extend $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}$ to $L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi)$:

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} : \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} \subset L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi) \to L^2_{(0,q+1)}(H_n, \Phi),$$

where $\operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} = \left\{ u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi) \mid \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} u \in L^2_{(0,q+1)}(H_n, \Phi) \right\}.$ Let
 $\overline{\partial}^*_{b,H_n} : \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}^*_{b,H_n} \subset L^2_{(0,q+1)}(H_n, \Phi) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi)$

be the Hilbert space adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{\Phi}$. The (Gaffney extension) of Kohn Laplacian $\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q$ is given by

$$\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q : \operatorname{Dom} \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q \subset L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi),$$

$$\operatorname{Dom} \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q = \{ u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi) \mid u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} \bigcap \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^*,$$

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^*, \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* u \in \operatorname{Dom} \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} \},$$

$$\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q = \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} + \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* \text{ on } \operatorname{Dom} \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q.$$

On $\Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$, a direct calculation shows that

$$(3.58) \qquad \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i\beta\lambda_j \overline{z}_j + \sum_{l=1}^n \mu_{l,j} \overline{z}_l \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} + i\lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ + \sum_{j,l=1}^n d\overline{z}_j \wedge (d\overline{z}_l \wedge)^* \left(2i\lambda_j \delta_{j,l} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \mu_{j,l} - i\beta\lambda_j \delta_{j,l} \right),$$

where $(d\overline{z}_l \wedge)^*$ is the adjoint of $d\overline{z}_l \wedge$ with respect to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{H_n}$, $l = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let $e^{-t \square_{H_n,\Phi}^{q'}}$, t > 0, be the heat operator for $\square_{H_n,\Phi}^{q}$. Let

$$e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}(x,y) \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n, T^{*0,q}H_n \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}H_n)^*)$$

be the distribution kernel of $e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}$ with respect to $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{\Phi}$. If Y(q) holds on H_n , then

$$e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}(x,y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n, T^{*0,q}H_n \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}H_n)^*)$$

Note that

$$(e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}u)(x) = \int e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}(x,y)u(y)dv_{H_n}(y), \quad u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n),$$

where $dv_{H_n}(y) = dv(z)d\theta$. We need

Lemma 3.8. Let $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(H_n) \cap L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi)$ with $\Box^q_{H_n, \Phi} u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi)$. Then $u \in \text{Dom } \Box^q_{H_n, \Phi}$.

Proof. Let $\chi \in \mathscr{C}_c^{\infty}(H_n, [0, 1]), \chi = 1$ near $0 \in H_n$. For every M > 0, let $\chi_M(x) := \chi(\frac{x}{M})$. It is straightforward to check that

(3.59)
$$(\chi_M^2 \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} u \mid \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} u)_{\Phi} + (\chi_M^2 \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* u \mid \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* u)_{\Phi} \\ \leq (\chi_M^2 \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q u \mid u)_{\Phi} + C \Big(\|\chi_M \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} u\|_{\Phi} \|u\|_{\Phi} + \|\chi_M \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* u\|_{\Phi} \|u\|_{\Phi} \Big),$$

where C > 0 is a constant. From (3.59) and let $M \to +\infty$, we conclude that

(3.60)
$$\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} u \in L^2_{(0,q+1)}(H_n, \Phi), \quad \overline{\partial}^*_{b,H_n} u \in L^2_{(0,q-1)}(H_n, \Phi).$$

From (3.60), we see that $u \in \text{Dom}\,\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}$. Moreover, from (3.60) and Friedrich's lemma, we can check that $u \in \text{Dom}\,\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^*$.

From $\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi)$ and by using similar argument as (3.59), it is straightforward to check that $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi)$, $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi)$. From $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi)$, $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi)$, we conclude that $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} u \in Dom \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^*, \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^* u \in Dom \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}^q$.

For any bounded open set $W \subset H_n$, we now identify $e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}(x,y)$ and $A_{(k)}(t,x,y)$ as elements in $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times W \times W, \Lambda^*(\mathbb{C}T^*H_n) \boxtimes (\Lambda^*(\mathbb{C}T^*H_n))^*)$, where $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{C}T^*H_n) := \sum_{j=0}^{2n+1} \Lambda^j(\mathbb{C}T^*H_n)$.

Theorem 3.9. Assume that Y(q) holds. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be a bounded interval. Let r > 0. We have

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} A_{(k)}(t, x, y) = e^{-t \Box_{H_n, \Phi}^4}(x, y)$$

in $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(I \times B_r \times B_r, \Lambda^*(\mathbb{C}T^*H_n) \boxtimes (\Lambda^*(\mathbb{C}T^*H_n))^*)$ topology.

Proof. From Proposition 3.6 and the Cantor diagonal argument, we can find a subsequence $\{k_1 < k_2 < \cdots\}$ of \mathbb{N} , $\lim_{j \to +\infty} k_j = +\infty$, such that

$$\lim_{j \to +\infty} A_{(k_j)}(t, x, y) = P(t, x, y)$$

locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n$ in \mathscr{C}^{∞} topology, where $P(t, x, y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n, T^{*0,q}H_n \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}H_n)^*)$. Let

$$P(t): \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q}(H_n)$$

be the operator given by

$$(P(t)u)(x) = \int P(t,x,y)u(y)dv_{H_n}(y), \quad u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$$

We claim that

(3.61)
$$\lim_{t \to 0} P(t)u = u, \text{ for every } u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n),$$

(3.62)
$$P'(t)u + \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q P(t)u = 0, \text{ for every } u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n) \text{ and } t > 0,$$

(3.63)
$$P(t)u \in \text{Dom}\,\Box^q_{H_n,\Phi}$$
, for every $u \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(H_n)$ and $t > 0$.

From

(3.64)
$$A'_{(k)}(t) + \Box^q_{\rho,(k)} A_{(k)}(t) = 0 \text{ on } B_{\log k},$$

(3.33) and let $k \to +\infty$ in (3.64), we get (3.62). Now, let $u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$. Write $u = \sum_{|J|=q}^{\prime} u_J(z,\theta) d\overline{z}^J$, $u_J \in \mathscr{C}_c^{\infty}(H_n)$, $J = (j_1,\ldots,j_q)$, $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n$, $d\overline{z}^J = d\overline{z}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{j_q}$, where \sum' means that the summation is over strictly increasing indices. Let

(3.65)
$$u_k := \sum_{|J|=q}' u_J(z,\theta) \overline{\omega}^J(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k}),$$

where $\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^n\}$ are as in the beginning of Section 3. Fix r > 0. For every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and t > 0, we have

(3.66)
$$\| (\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q)^{\ell} P(t) u \|_{\Phi,B_r} = \lim_{j \to +\infty} \| (\Box_{\rho,(k_j)}^q)^{\ell} A_{(k_j)}(t) u_{k_j} \|_{k_j F_{k_j}^* \phi_{0,B_r}}.$$

From (3.42) and (3.66), we conclude that there is a constant $C_{\ell} > 0$ independent of t and r such that

(3.67)
$$\| (\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q)^{\ell} P(t) u \|_{\Phi,B_r} \le \frac{C_{\ell}}{t^{\ell}} \| u \|_{\Phi,B_r}.$$

Take $r \gg 1$ so that $\operatorname{supp} u \subset B_r$. From (3.67), we get $\|(\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q)^\ell P(t)u\|_{\Phi,B_r} \leq \frac{C_\ell}{t^\ell} \|u\|_{\Phi}$, for every $r \gg 1$. Let $r \to +\infty$, we get

(3.68)
$$\|(\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q)^{\ell} P(t)u\|_{\Phi} \le \frac{C_{\ell}}{t^{\ell}} \|u\|_{\Phi}.$$

From Lemma 3.8 and (3.68), we get (3.63).

We now prove (3.61). Let $u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$ and let $u_k \in F_k^*\Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$ be as in (3.65). We have for every t > 0,

(3.69)
$$A_{(k)}(t)u_k - u_k = \int_0^t A'_{(k)}(s)u_k ds = -\int_0^t \Box^q_{\rho,(k)}(A_{(k)}(s)u_k) ds.$$

From (3.42), there is a constant C > 0 independent of t and k such that

(3.70)
$$\|A'_{(k)}(s)u_k\|_{kF_k^*\phi_0, B_r} \le C \|u_k\|_{kF_k^*\phi_0, B_r} \le \hat{C} \text{ if } r \gg 1,$$

where $\hat{C} > 0$ is a constant independent of k and t. From (3.70), we can apply Lebesgue dominate theorem and get

$$P(t)u - u = \lim_{j \to +\infty} \int_0^t A'_{(k_j)}(s)u_{k_j}ds = \int_0^t \lim_{j \to +\infty} A'_{(k_j)}(s)u_{k_j}ds$$
$$= \int_0^t P'(s)uds = P(t)u - \lim_{t \to 0+} P(t)u.$$

We get (3.61).

26

We now prove that $P(t) = e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}$. Let $u, v \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$. From (3.61), we have

$$(u \mid e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}} v)_{\Phi} - (P(t)u \mid v)_{\Phi}$$

$$(3.71) = \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big((P(t-s)u \mid e^{-s \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q} v)_{\Phi} \Big) ds$$

$$= \int_0^t (-P'(t-s)u \mid e^{-s \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q} v)_{\Phi} ds + \int_0^t (P(t-s)u \mid -\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q (e^{-s \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q} v))_{\Phi} ds.$$

Form (3.63), we see that $P(t-s)u \in \text{Dom} \square_{H_n,\Phi}^q$ and hence

$$(3.72) \qquad (P(t-s)u \mid -\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q (e^{-s\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}v))_{\Phi} = (-\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q P(t-s)u \mid e^{-s\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}v)_{\Phi}.$$

From (3.62) (3.71) and (3.72) we deduce that

From (3.62), (3.71) and (3.72), we deduce that

$$(u \mid e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q} v)_{\Phi} - (P(t)u \mid v)_{\Phi} = 0.$$

Since $(u \mid e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}v)_{\Phi} = (e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}u \mid v)_{\Phi}$, we conclude that $P(t) = e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}$.

We have proved that there is a subsequence $\{k_1 < k_2 < \cdots\}$, $\lim_{j \to \infty} k_j = +\infty$, such that $\lim_{j \to +\infty} A_{(k_j)}(t, x, y) = e^{-t \Box_{H_n, \Phi}^q}(x, y)$ locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n$ in \mathscr{C}^∞ topology. Moreover, for any subsequence $\{\hat{k}_1 < \hat{k}_2 < \cdots\}$, $\lim_{j \to \infty} \hat{k}_j = +\infty$, we can repeat the procedure above and deduce that there is a subsequence $\{\hat{k}_{j_1} < \hat{k}_{j_2} < \cdots\}$, $\lim_{s \to \infty} \hat{k}_{j_s} = +\infty$, such that $\lim_{s \to +\infty} A_{(\hat{k}_{j_s})}(t, x, y) = e^{-t \Box_{H_n, \Phi}^q}(x, y)$ locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n$ in \mathscr{C}^∞ topology. Hence, $\lim_{k \to +\infty} A_{(k)}(t, x, y) = e^{-t \Box_{H_n, \Phi}^q}(x, y)$ locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n$ in \mathscr{C}^∞ topology. \Box

4. Asymptotics of the heat kernels

Our main objective of this section is to compute $e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}(x,y)$ and apply it to prove Theorem 1.1.

4.1. The heat kernel on the Heisenberg group H_n .

Until further notice, we do not assume that Y(q) holds. Consider \mathbb{C}^n . Let $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ be the Hermitian inner product on $T^{*0,q}\mathbb{C}^n$ so that

$$\left\{ d\overline{z}^J \mid J = (j_1, \dots, j_q), 1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_q \le n \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $T^{*0,q}\mathbb{C}^n$, where $T^{*0,q}\mathbb{C}^n$ denotes the bundle of (0,q) forms of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $\Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the space of smooth (0,q) forms of \mathbb{C}^n and put $\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^n) := \Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{C}^n, T^{*0,q}\mathbb{C}^n)$. Let $(\cdot | \cdot)_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ be the L^2 inner product on $\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$ induced by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ and let $L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the completion of $\Omega^{0,q}_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{\mathbb{C}^n}$.

For $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $j, l = 1, \ldots, n$, put

(4.1)
$$\Phi_{\eta} = -2\sum_{j=1}^{n} \eta \lambda_j |z_j|^2 + \sum_{j,l}^{n} \mu_{j,l} \overline{z}_j z_l,$$

where λ_j and $\mu_{j,l}$ are as in (3.3) and (3.9). In particular, $\Phi_0 = \sum_{j,l=1}^n \mu_{j,l} \overline{z}_j z_l$. Let

$$\overline{\partial}_{\eta} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d\overline{z}_{j} \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_{j}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{\eta}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j}} \right) : \Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) \to \Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n}).$$

Let

$$\overline{\partial}_n^*: \Omega^{0,q+1}(\mathbb{C}^n) \to \Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{\eta}$ with respect to $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{\mathbb{C}^n}$. It is easy to check that

$$\overline{\partial}_{\eta}^{*} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (d\overline{z}_{j} \wedge)^{*} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{\eta}}{\partial z_{j}} \right),$$

where $(d\overline{z}_j \wedge)^*$ is the adjoint of $d\overline{z}_j \wedge$ with respect to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Let

$$\Box_{\eta} := \overline{\partial}_{\eta} \overline{\partial}_{\eta}^{*} + \overline{\partial}_{\eta}^{*} \overline{\partial}_{\eta} : \text{Dom} \, \Box_{\eta} \subset L^{2}_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) \to L^{2}_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^{n}),$$

where Dom $\Box_{\eta} = \left\{ u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n) \mid \Box_{\eta} u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n) \right\}$. It is not difficult to see that \Box_{η} is a non-negative self-adjoint operator. On $\Omega^{0,q}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we can check that

(4.2)
$$\Box_{\eta} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{\eta}}{\partial z_{j}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_{j}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{\eta}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j}} \right) + \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} d\overline{z}_{j} \wedge (d\overline{z}_{l} \wedge)^{*} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{\eta}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j} \partial z_{l}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}^{0,q}(H_n)$ be the space of Schwartz test (0,q) forms. Let

(4.3)
$$G: \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n) \to \mathcal{S}^{0,q}(H_n),$$
$$u(z,\theta) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(z,\theta) e^{-\frac{\beta(\theta-i\lambda|z|^2)}{2} - i\theta\eta - \frac{\Phi_0(z)}{2}} d\theta,$$

where $\lambda |z|^2 := \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j |z_j|^2$ and we also use η to denote θ . The following follows from some straightforward calculation, we omit the details.

Lemma 4.1. Let $u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$. We have

 $G(\Box^q_{H_n,\Phi}u) = \Box_\eta(Gu).$

We will construct the heat kernel for $\Box^q_{H_n,\Phi}$ by using Lemma 4.1 and some tricks. We recall now Mehler's formula. Consider \mathbb{C}^n . We will use the same notations as before. Let

$$A: T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n \to T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n$$

be an invertible self-adjoint complex endomorphism, where $T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n$ is the holomorphic tangent bundle of \mathbb{C}^n . We extend A to $\mathbb{C}T\mathbb{C}^n$ by defining $A\overline{v} := -\overline{Av}$, for any $v \in T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n$, then iA induces an anti-symmetric endomorphism on $T\mathbb{C}^n$. We will denote by det the determinant on $T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n$ with respect to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$. Write $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, j = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $e_j := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, j = 1, \ldots, 2n$. Then, $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{2n}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T\mathbb{C}^n$. For every $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we will identify x with the vector field $\sum_{j=1}^{2n} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(x) := -\sum_{j}^{2n} \left(e_j + \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax \mid e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \right)^2 - \operatorname{Tr}_{T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n}[A].$$

Then, $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is a second order P.D.E.. Put

$$\mathcal{H}: \operatorname{Dom} \mathcal{H} \subset L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

where $\text{Dom} \mathcal{H} = \left\{ u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n) \mid \mathcal{H}u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n) \right\}$. It is straightforward to check that \mathcal{H} is self-adjoint. Let $e^{-t\mathcal{H}}$ be the heat operator of \mathcal{H} and let

$$e^{-t\mathcal{H}}(x,y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n, T^{*0,q}\mathbb{C}^n \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}\mathbb{C}^n)^*)$$

be the distribution kernel of $e^{-t\mathcal{H}}$ with respect to $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{\mathbb{C}^n}$. Note that

$$(e^{-t\mathcal{H}}u)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-t\mathcal{H}}(x,y)u(y)dv(y), \quad u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

where $dv(y) = 2^n dy_1 \cdots dy_{2n}$. We have the following Mehler's formula (see [MM07, Appendix E.2]):

Theorem 4.2. With the notations used above, we have

(4.4)
$$e^{-t\mathcal{H}}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{\det A}{\det (1-e^{-2tA})} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{A/2}{\tanh(tA)} x \mid x \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{A/2}{\tanh(tA)} y \mid y \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} + \left\langle \frac{A/2}{\sinh(tA)} e^{tA} x \mid y \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \right\}.$$

Let $e^{-t\Box_{\eta}}$ be the heat operator of \Box_{η} and let $e^{-t\Box_{\eta}}(x,y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}, T^{*0,q}\mathbb{C}^{n} \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}\mathbb{C}^{n})^{*})$ be the distribution kernel of $e^{-t\Box_{\eta}}$. Let $\dot{R}^{\eta}: T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^{n} \to T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^{n}$ be the linear map defined by

(4.5)
$$\langle \dot{R}^{\eta}U | \overline{V} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} = \partial \overline{\partial} \Phi_{\eta}(U, \overline{V}), \quad U, V \in T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n$$

and let

(4.6)
$$\omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{\eta} := \sum_{j,l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_{\eta}}{\partial \overline{z}_j \partial z_l} d\overline{z}_j \wedge (d\overline{z}_l \wedge)^*,$$

where Φ_{η} is as in (4.1). From(4.2), we can rewrite \Box_{η} as

(4.7)
$$\square_{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[-\sum_{j=1}^{2n} \left(e_j + \frac{1}{2} \langle \dot{R}^{\eta} x \mid e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \right)^2 - \operatorname{Tr} \dot{R}^{\eta} \right] + \omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{\eta}.$$

From (4.4) and (4.7), we deduce that

(4.8)
$$e^{-t\Box_{\eta}}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \frac{\det R^{\eta}}{\det (1-e^{-t\dot{R}^{\eta}})} \exp\left\{-t\omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{\eta} - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{R^{\eta}/2}{\tanh(t\dot{R}^{\eta}/2)} x \mid x \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{\dot{R}^{\eta}/2}{\tanh(t\dot{R}^{\eta}/2)} y \mid y \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} + \left\langle \frac{\dot{R}^{\eta}/2}{\sinh(t\dot{R}^{\eta}/2)} e^{t\dot{R}^{\eta}/2} x \mid y \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} \right\}.$$

We introduce some notations. As before, on H_n , let $T_{H_n} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ and consider

$$-iT_{H_n}$$
: Dom $(-iT_{H_n}) \subset L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi),$

where Dom $(-iT_{H_n}) = \left\{ u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi) \mid -iT_{H_n}u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi) \right\}$. It is not difficult to see that $-iT_{H_n}$ is self-adjoint. For $\delta_1 < \delta_2, \ \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, let

(4.9)
$$Q_{[\delta_1,\delta_2]}: L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n) \to E_{-iT_{H_n}}([\delta_1,\delta_2])$$

be the orthogonal projection with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_{H_n}$, where $E_{-iT_{H_n}}([\delta_1, \delta_2])$ denotes the spectral measure of $-iT_{H_n}$. It was shown in [HMW, Lemma 4.7] that (4.10)

$$(Q_{[\delta_1,\delta_2]}u)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n+1}} \int e^{i\langle x-y,\eta\rangle} \mathbf{1}_{[\delta_1,\delta_2]}(\eta_{2n+1})u(y)dyd\eta \in \Omega^{0,q}(H_n) \bigcap L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi),$$

for every $u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$, where $1_{[\delta_1,\delta_2]}(\eta_{2n+1}) = 1$ if $\eta_{2n+1} \in [\delta_1,\delta_2], 1_{[\delta_1,\delta_2]}(\eta_{2n+1}) = 0$ if $\eta_{2n+1} \notin [\delta_1,\delta_2]$. For $\delta > 0$, let

(4.11)
$$e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}} := e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q} \circ Q_{[-\delta,\delta]} : L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi) \to L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi)$$

and let $e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}}(x,y) \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n, T^{*0,q}H_n \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}H_n)^*)$ be the distribution kernel of $e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}}$. It is straightforward to check that

(4.12)
$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Box^q_{H_n,\Phi})e^{-t\Box^{q,\delta}_{H_n,\Phi}} = 0 \quad \text{on } L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi),$$

(4.13)
$$\lim_{t \to 0} e^{-t \Box_{H_n, \Phi}^{q, \delta}} = Q_{[-\delta, \delta]} \text{ on } L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi),$$

(4.14)
$$\lim_{\delta \to \infty} e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}} u = e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q} u, \text{ for every } u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi),$$

(4.15)
$$e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}} u \in E_{-iT_{H_n}}([-\delta,\delta]), \text{ for every } u \in L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi) \text{ and every } t > 0.$$

We come back to our situation. For every $\delta > 0$ and t > 0, put

$$(4.16) \quad := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i \langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1}, \eta \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1} \rangle + i\lambda(-|z|^2 + |w|^2) \right) + \frac{-\Phi_0(w) + \Phi_0(z)}{2}}{\times e^{-t \Box_\eta}(z, w) \mathbf{1}_{[-\delta,\delta]}(\eta) d\eta} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n, T^{*0,q} H_n \boxtimes (T^{*0,q} H_n)^*).$$

where $z = (x_1, ..., x_{2n}), w = (y_1, ..., y_{2n})$. Let

$$P_{\delta}(t): \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q}(H_n)$$

be the continuous operator given by

(4.17)
$$(P_{\delta}(t)u)(x) = \int P_{\delta}(t, x, y)u(y)dv_{H_n}(y), \quad u \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(H_n).$$

From Parserval's formula, it is not difficult to see that

(4.18)
$$P_{\delta}(t)u \in \Omega^{0,q}(H_n) \bigcap L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n, \Phi), \text{ for every } u \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(H_n).$$

From Lemma 4.1 and (4.10), we can check that

(4.19)
$$P_{\delta}'(t)u + \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q P_{\delta}(t)u = 0, \text{ for every } u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n),$$
$$\lim_{t \to 0} P_{\delta}(t)u = Q_{[-\delta,\delta]}u, \text{ for every } u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n),$$
$$P_{\delta}(t)u \in E_{-iT_{H_n}}([-\delta,\delta]), \text{ for every } u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n).$$

From (4.12), (4.13), (4.15) and (4.19), we can repeat the procedure in the final part of the proof of Theorem 3.9 and deduce that

$$P_{\delta}(t) = e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}}.$$

From this observation and (4.14), we deduce

Theorem 4.3. We do not assume that Y(q) holds. With the notations used above, for every $\delta > 0$, we have

$$e^{-t\Box_{H_{n},\Phi}^{q,\delta}}(x,y)$$

$$(4.20) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\langle x_{2n+1}-y_{2n+1},\eta\rangle + \frac{\beta}{2}\left((x_{2n+1}-y_{2n+1})+i\lambda(-|z|^{2}+|w|^{2})\right) + \frac{-\Phi_{0}(w)+\Phi_{0}(z)}{2}}{\times e^{-t\Box_{\eta}}(z,w)\mathbf{1}_{[-\delta,\delta]}(\eta)d\eta \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}\times H_{n}\times H_{n},T^{*0,q}H_{n}\boxtimes (T^{*0,q}H_{n})^{*}),$$

where $z = (x_1, ..., x_{2n}), w = (y_1, ..., y_{2n}), e^{-t\Box_{\eta}}(z, w)$ is given by (4.8). In particular, we have

(4.21)
$$e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}}(0,0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det \dot{R}^{\eta}}{\det (1-e^{-t\dot{R}^{\eta}})} e^{-t\omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{\eta}} \mathbb{1}_{[-\delta,\delta]}(\eta) d\eta,$$

where \dot{R}^{η} and $\omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{\eta}$ are as in (4.5) and (4.6) respectively.

Moreover, we have

(4.22)
$$\lim_{\delta \to +\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i \langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1}, \eta \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1} \rangle + i\lambda(-|z|^2 + |w|^2) \right) + \frac{-\Phi_0(w) + \Phi_0(z)}{2}}{\times e^{-t \Box_\eta}(z, w) \mathbf{1}_{[-\delta,\delta]}(\eta) d\eta} = e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}(x, y) \quad in \ \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n, T^{*0,q} H_n \boxtimes (T^{*0,q} H_n)^*).$$

Now, assume that Y(q) holds. From (4.8) and (4.16), it is straightforward to check that for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n$, there are constants C > 0, $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

(4.23)
$$||P_{\delta_1}(t, x, y) - P_{\delta_2}(t, x, y)||_{\mathscr{C}^{\ell}(K, T^{*0, q}H_n \boxtimes (T^{*0, q}H_n)^*)} \leq Ce^{-\varepsilon\delta_1}, \quad \delta_2 > \delta_1 >> 1.$$

From (4.23), we conclude that

$$\lim_{\delta \to +\infty} P_{\delta}(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i \langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1}, \eta \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1} \rangle + i\lambda (-|z|^2 + |w|^2) \right) + \frac{-\Phi_0(w) + \Phi_0(z)}{2}}{\times e^{-t \Box_{\eta}}(z, w) d\eta}$$

locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n$ in \mathscr{C}^{∞} topology.

Summing, we obtain

Theorem 4.4. Assume that Y(q) holds. We have

(4.24)
$$e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{\ast}(x,y)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i \langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1},\eta \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \left((x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1}) + i\lambda(-|z|^2 + |w|^2) \right) + \frac{-\Phi_0(w) + \Phi_0(z)}{2}}{\times e^{-t\Box_\eta}(z,w) d\eta},$$

where $z = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$, $w = (y_1, \ldots, y_{2n})$, $e^{-t\Box_\eta}(z, w)$ is given by (4.8). In particular, we have

(4.25)
$$e^{-t\Box_{H_n,\Phi}^q}(0,0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det \dot{R}^{\eta}}{\det \left(1 - e^{-t\dot{R}^{\eta}}\right)} e^{-t\omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{\eta}} d\eta,$$

where \dot{R}^{η} and $\omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{\eta}$ are as in (4.5) and (4.6) respectively.

4.2. Heat kernel asymptotics on CR manifolds.

Our main task is to obtain the asymptotics of $e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^{q}}(x,x)$ as $k \to \infty$ for all $x \in X$. We assume that Y(q) holds. Before proceeding to do so, we shall digress for the moment to illustrate the relationship between \dot{R}^{η} , the curvature of L and the Levi form.

Definition 4.5. Let *L* be a CR line bundle over *X* and h^L be the Hermitian fiber metric on *L* with local weight ϕ . The curvature of (L, h^L) at $x \in D$ with respect to ϕ is the Hermitian quadratic form \mathcal{R}_x^{ϕ} on $T_x^{1,0}X$ defined by

(4.26)
$$\mathcal{R}_x^{\phi}(U,\overline{V}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle d(\overline{\partial}_b \phi - \partial_b \phi)(x), U \wedge \overline{V} \right\rangle, \quad U, V \in T_x^{1,0} X,$$

where d is the usual exterior derivative.

Let

(4.27)
$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^\phi : T_x^{1,0} X \to T_x^{1,0} X, \\ \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x : T_x^{1,0} X \to T_x^{1,0} X, \end{aligned}$$

be the linear maps given by $\langle \dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi}U | V \rangle = \mathcal{R}_x^{\phi}(U, \overline{V}), \ \langle \dot{\mathcal{L}}_xU | V \rangle = \mathcal{L}_x(U, \overline{V}),$ for all $U, V \in T_x^{1,0}X$. For every $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\det\left(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta\dot{\mathcal{L}}_x\right) = \mu_1(x)\cdots\mu_n(x),$$

where $\mu_j(x)$, j = 1, ..., n, are the eigenvalues of $\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x$ with respect to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$, and put

(4.28)
$$\omega_x^{\eta} = \sum_{j,l=1}^n (\mathcal{R}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \mathcal{L}_x) (U_l, \overline{U}_j) \overline{\omega}^j \wedge (\overline{\omega}^l \wedge)^* : T_x^{*0,q} X \to T_x^{*0,q} X,$$

where $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is an orthonormal frame of $T_x^{1,0}X$ with dual frame $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^n \subset T^{*1,0}X$. It should be mentioned that the definition of \mathcal{R}_x^{ϕ} depends on the choice of local trivializations (local weight ϕ). From [HM12, Proposition 4.2], it is easy to see that for every $x \in X$, the map

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)}{\det\left(1 - e^{-t(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)}\right)} e^{-t\omega_x^{\eta}} d\eta : T_x^{*0,q} X \to T_x^{*0,q} X$$

is independent of the choice of local weight ϕ and hence globally defined.

We now can prove our first main results:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $p \in X$, as above, we choose local coordinates (z, θ) satisfies (3.1)-(3.4) on some neighborhood of p. Note that $(z(p), \theta(p)) = (0, 0)$. It is straightforward to check that

(4.29)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det \dot{R}^{\eta}}{\det \left(1 - e^{-t\dot{R}^{\eta}}\right)} e^{-t\omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{\eta}} d\eta = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det (\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{p}^{\phi} - 2\eta\dot{\mathcal{L}}_{p})}{\det \left(1 - e^{-t(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{p}^{\phi} - 2\eta\dot{\mathcal{L}}_{p})}\right)} e^{-t\omega_{p}^{\eta}} d\eta$$

where \dot{R}^{η} and $\omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{\eta}$ are as in (4.5) and (4.6) respectively. From Theorem 3.9, Theorem 4.4 and (4.29), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-(n+1)} e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^{q}}(0,0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det R^{\eta}}{\det \left(1 - e^{-t\dot{R}^{\eta}}\right)} e^{-t\omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{\eta}} d\eta$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{p}^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_{p})}{\det \left(1 - e^{-t(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{p}^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_{p})\right)}} e^{-t\omega_{p}^{\eta}} d\eta.$$

Apply this procedure for each point $x \in X$ with replace 0 by x, we obtain the desired result.

5. Morse inequalities on CR manifolds

In this section, we will establish the weak and strong Morse inequalities on CR manifolds from our heat kernel asymptotics.

Now, we fix $q \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ and assume that Y(j) holds on X, for every j = 0, 1, ..., q. Let

(5.1)
$$\operatorname{Tr}_{q}\left(e^{-\frac{t}{k}\Box_{b,k}^{q}}(x,x)\right) := \sum_{j=1}^{d} \langle e^{-\frac{t}{k}\Box_{b,k}^{q}}(x,x)v_{j}(x) \mid v_{j}(x) \rangle,$$

where $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^d$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_x^{*0,q}X$. Let

(5.2)
$$\operatorname{Tr}_{q}\left(e^{-\frac{t}{k}\Box_{b,k}^{q}}\right) := \int_{X} \operatorname{Tr}_{q}\left(e^{-\frac{t}{k}\Box_{b,k}^{q}}(x,x)\right) dv_{X}(x).$$

It is well-known that

(5.3)
$$\dim H^q_b(X, L^k) \le \operatorname{Tr}_q\left(e^{-\frac{t}{k}\Box^q_{b,k}}\right), \text{ for every } t > 0,$$

and

(5.4)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \dim H_b^j(X, L^k) \le \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \operatorname{Tr}_j\left(e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^j}\right), \text{ for every } t > 0.$$

For $x \in X$ and every $j = 0, 1, \ldots, q$, set

(5.5)
$$\mathbb{R}_{x}(j) = \{ \eta \in \mathbb{R} \mid \dot{\mathcal{R}}_{x}^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_{x} \text{ has exactly } j \text{ negative eigenvalues} \\ \text{and } n - j \text{ positive eigenvalues} \}.$$

Remark that since Y(j) holds at each point of X, $\mathbb{R}_x(j)$ is bounded for all local weight of L. We are now in position to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From Theorem 1.1, Proposition 3.7, (5.4) and Lebesgue dominate theorem, we have for every t > 0,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \dim H_b^j(X, L^k)
\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \operatorname{Tr}_j(e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^j})
= \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \int_X \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \operatorname{Tr}_j(e^{-\frac{t}{k} \Box_{b,k}^j}(x, x)) dv_X(x)
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)}{\det(1 - e^{-t(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)})} \operatorname{Tr}_j e^{-t\omega_x^{\eta}} d\eta dv_X(x).$$

(5)

It is straightforward to check that for every $j = 0, 1, \ldots, q$,

(5.7)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\det(\mathcal{R}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \mathcal{L}_x)}{\det\left(1 - e^{-t(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)}\right)} \operatorname{Tr}_j e^{-t\omega_x^{\eta}}$$
$$= (-1)^j \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_x(j)}(\eta) \det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)$$
$$= \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_x(j)}(\eta) \left|\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)\right|,$$

where $1_{\mathbb{R}_{\tau}(q)}(\eta)$ is the characteristic function of $\mathbb{R}_{x}(q)$. From (5.6) and (5.7), we get

• 4

(5.8)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \dim H_b^j(X, L^k)$$
$$\leq \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)}{\det\left(1 - e^{-t(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)\right)}\right)} \operatorname{Tr}_j e^{-t\omega_x^{\eta}} d\eta dv_X(x)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{q-j} \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}_x(j)} \left|\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_x^{\phi} - 2\eta \dot{\mathcal{L}}_x)\right| d\eta dv_X(x).$$

From (5.8), we get (1.7).

From (5.3), we can repeat the procedure above and get (1.8).

6. WITH \mathbb{R} -ACTION

In the last section, we have established the heat kernel asymptotics of Kohn Laplacian with values in L^k when Y(q) holds on each point of CR manifolds X. Nevertheless, in some important problems in CR geometry, we may need to study things without any assumption of the Levi form. In this section, our task is to show that the same conclusion can be drawn for a CR manifold X of dimensional 2n + 1, $n \ge 1$ with a transversal CR \mathbb{R} -action.

We assume that X admits a \mathbb{R} -action $\eta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$: $\eta : X \to X, x \mapsto \eta \circ x$. Suppose that X admits a \mathbb{R} -invariant complete Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ so that we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}TX = T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X \oplus \{\lambda T : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}\$$

and $|T|^2 = \langle T | T \rangle = 1$, where $T \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, TX)$ is the infinitesimal generator of the \mathbb{R} -action which is given by (2.16). Let (L, h^L) be a rigid CR line bundle over X with a \mathbb{R} -invariant Hermitian metric h^L on X. We will use the same notations as Section 2.3. The following result due to Baouendi–Rothschild–Treves[BRT85, Proposition I.2] will be used to set up the local coordinates.

Theorem 6.1. For $p \in X$, there exist local coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}) = (z, \theta) = (z_1, \dots, z_n, \theta), z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, j = 1, \dots, n$, defined in some small neighborhood $D = \{(z, \theta) : |z| < \varepsilon, |\theta| < \delta\}$ of p such that

(6.1)

$$T = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

$$Z_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i \frac{\partial \varphi(z)}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

where $\{Z_j\}_{j=1}^n$ form a basis of $T_x^{1,0}X$ for each $x \in D$, $\varphi(z) \in C^{\infty}(D,\mathbb{R})$ is independent of θ . Moreover, we can take (z,θ) and $\varphi(z)$ such that $(z(p),\theta(p)) = (0,0)$ and $\varphi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j |z_j|^2 + O(|z|^3)$ on D, where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are the eigenvalues of Levi form on p with respect to the given \mathbb{R} -invariant Hermitian metric. We call $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n+1}) = (z,\theta)$ canonical local coordinates of X.

For $p \in X$, let s be a rigid CR trivializing section of L on an open set D of p and $|s|_{h^L}^2 = e^{-\phi}$. We take canonical local coordinates x and rigid CR trivializing section s such that (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) hold and

(6.2)
$$\phi(z) = \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \mu_{j,l} z_j \overline{z}_l + O(|z|^3).$$

On D, by using s, we will identify sections with functions in the natural way. Follow the setting in section 3, we let

$$\Box^q_{b,(k)} := \Box^q_{\rho,(k)}$$

where $\Box_{\rho,(k)}^q$ is as in (3.27). Note that $\rho = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ in this case. Let

(6.3)
$$A_{k\phi,\delta}(\frac{t}{k}, x, y) := e^{\frac{k\phi(x)}{2}} A_{k,s,\delta}(\frac{t}{k}, x, y) e^{-\frac{k\phi(y)}{2}},$$

where $A_{k,s,\delta}(\frac{t}{k}, x, y)$ is as in (2.28). We will also use the same notations as in Section 3. Let

$$A_{(k),\delta}(t,x,y)$$

:= $k^{-(n+1)}A_{k\phi,\delta}(\frac{t}{k},F_kx,F_ky) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_{\log k} \times B_{\log k},F_k^*T^{*0,q}X \boxtimes (F_k^*T^{*0,q}X)^*).$

Let

$$A_{(k),\delta}(t): F_k^*\Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k}) \to F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$$

be the continuous operator given by

(6.5)
$$(A_{(k),\delta}(t)u)(x) = \int A_{(k),\delta}(t,x,y)u(y)m(F_ky)dy, \ u \in F_k^*\Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k}).$$

It is clear that

(6.6)
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Box_{b,(k)}^{q}\right) A_{(k),\delta}(t)u = 0, \text{ for every } u \in F_{k}^{*}\Omega_{c}^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$$

Since $T = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$, we have for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

(6.7)
$$T^s F_k^* u = k^{-s} F_k^* (T^s u) \text{ on } B_{\log k} \text{ for all } u \in \Omega^{0,q} (F_k(B_{\log k})).$$

According to Kohn's L^2 estimate [K65, Theorem 8.4.2] and Remark 1, we deduce the following

Proposition 6.2. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let r > 0 with $B_{2r} \subset B_{\log k}$. Then, there exists a constant $C_{r,s} > 0$ independent of k, such that for all $u \in F_k^* \Omega^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$,

(6.8)
$$\|u\|_{kF_k^*\phi,s+1,B_r} \le C_{r,s} \left(\|\Box_{b,(k)}^q u\|_{kF_k^*\phi,s,B_{2r}} + \|T^{s+1}u\|_{kF_k^*\phi,B_{2r}} + \|u\|_{kF_k^*\phi,B_{2r}} \right).$$

Lemma 6.3. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let r > 0 with $B_r \subset B_{\log k}$. We have

(6.9)
$$||T^{s}A_{(k),\delta}(t)u||_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi,B_{r}} \leq \delta^{s}||u||_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi,B_{r}}, \text{ for all } u \in F_{k}^{*}\Omega_{c}^{0,q}(B_{\log k}).$$

Proof. Fix r > 0 and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $u \in F_k^* \Omega_c^{0,q}(B_r)$. Let $v \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(F_k(B_r))$ such that $F_k^* v = u$ on B_r . On D, we identify $\Box_{b,k}^q$ with $\Box_{b,k\phi}^q$ and sections of L^k with functions. From (6.7), we have on B_r ,

(6.10)
$$T^{s}A_{(k),\delta}(t)u = T^{s}\left(F_{k}^{*}(A_{k\phi,\delta}(\frac{t}{k})v)\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{k^{s}}F_{k}^{*}\left(T^{s}A_{k\phi,\delta}(\frac{t}{k})v\right).$$

From (6.10), we have

$$(6.11) \|T^{s}A_{(k),\delta}(t)u\|_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi,B_{r}} = \|k^{-s}F_{k}^{*}(T^{s}A_{k\phi,\delta}(\frac{t}{k})v)\|_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi,B_{r}} = \|k^{-s+n+1}T^{s}A_{k\phi,\delta}(\frac{t}{k})v\|_{k\phi,F_{k}(B_{r})} \le k^{-s+n+1}\|T^{s}e^{-\frac{t}{k}\Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^{q}}v\|_{h^{L^{k}}} \le k^{n+1}\delta^{s}\|v\|_{h^{L^{k}}} = k^{n+1}\delta^{s}\|v\|_{k\phi,F_{k}(B_{r})} = \delta^{s}\|u\|_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi,B_{r}}.$$

From Proposition 6.2, Lemma 6.3 and changing $\Box_{\rho,(k)}^q$ in the proof of Proposition 3.6 to $\Box_{\rho,(k)}^q - T^2$, we can repeat the proofs of Proposition 3.6, Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.9 with minor changes and deduce

Theorem 6.4. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be a compact interval and let $K \subseteq X$ be a compact set. Then, there is a constant C > 0 independent of k such that

(6.12)
$$\left| e^{-t \Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q}(x,x) \right|_{\mathscr{L}(T_x^{*0,q}X,T_x^{*0,q}X)} \leq Ck^{n+1}, \text{ for all } x \in K \text{ and } t \in I.$$

Moreover,

(6.13)
$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} A_{(k),\delta}(t,x,y) = B_{\delta}(t,x,y)$$

locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n$ in \mathscr{C}^{∞} topology, where $B_{\delta}(t, x, y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times H_n \times H_n, T^{*0,q}H_n \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}H_n)^*)$ and $B_{\delta}(t, x, y)$ satisfies

(6.14)
$$B'_{\delta}(t)u + \Box^q_{H_n,\Phi}B_{\delta}(t)u = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(H_n) \text{ and for all } t > 0,$$

(6.15)
$$B_{\delta}(t)u \in \text{Dom}\,\Box^q_{H_n,\Phi}, \text{ for every } u \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(H_n)$$

and

(6.16)
$$||T_{H_n}^s B_{\delta}(t)u||_{\Phi} \leq \delta^s ||u||_{\Phi}, \text{ for all } u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n), t > 0 \text{ and every } s \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Here $B_{\delta}(t)$ is the continuous operator $B_{\delta}(t): \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q}(H_n)$ given by

$$(B_{\delta}(t)u)(x) = \int B_{\delta}(t, x, y)u(y)dv_{H_n}(y), \quad u \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(H_n).$$

We will show that $B_{\delta}(t) = e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}}$, where $e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}}$ is given by (4.11). We need more work. Since X admits a \mathbb{R} invariant Hermitian metric, from [HHL20, Theorem 3.5], we have one of the following two cases:

(6.17) (a) The \mathbb{R} -action is free, (b) The \mathbb{R} -action comes from a CR torus action \mathbb{T}^d on X and ω_0 is \mathbb{T}^d invariant.

Let us first assume that the \mathbb{R} -action is not free and hence the \mathbb{R} -action comes from a CR torus action $\mathbb{T}^d = (e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_d})$ on X and ω_0 is \mathbb{T}^d invariant. Since the \mathbb{R} -action comes from the \mathbb{T}^d -action, there exist $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

(6.18)
$$T = \beta_1 T_1 + \ldots + \beta_d T_d,$$

where T_j is the vector field on X given by $T_j u := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} ((1, \dots, 1, e^{i\theta_j}, 1, \dots, 1)^* u)|_{\theta_j=0}, u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X), j = 1, \dots, d$. Recall that on D, we identify sections with functions. Put

(6.19)
$$\hat{Q}_{\leq k\delta} := (2\pi)^{-1} \int e^{i \langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1} \rangle} 1_{[-k\delta,k\delta]}(\eta_{2n+1}) d\eta_{2n+1}.$$

We consider $\hat{Q}_{\leq k\delta}$ as a continuous operator

$$\hat{Q}_{\leq k\delta} : \Omega_c^{0,q}(D,L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q}(D,L^k),$$

$$(\hat{Q}_{\leq k\delta}u)(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int e^{i \langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1}, \eta_{2n+1} \rangle} \mathbb{1}_{[-k\delta,k\delta]}(\eta_{2n+1})u(x',y_{2n+1})dy_{2n+1}d\eta_{2n+1},$$

where $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$. We will also write x' to denote $(x', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. We can repeat the proof of Lemma 4.21 in [HMW] and get

Lemma 6.5. Assume that the \mathbb{R} -action is not free. Fix $D_0 \in D$. For $u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(D, L^k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{X,\leq k\delta} u &= \hat{Q}_{\leq k\delta} u + \hat{R}_{\leq k\delta} u \quad on \ D_0, \\ (\hat{R}_{\leq k\delta} u)(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{(m_1,\dots,m_d)\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \int e^{i\langle x_{2n+1}-y_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+1}\rangle + i(\sum_{j=1}^d m_j\beta_j)y_{2n+1}-im_1\theta_1-\dots-im_d\theta_d} \\ &\times 1_{[-k\delta,k\delta]}(\eta_{2n+1})(1-\chi(y_{2n+1}))u((e^{i\theta_1},\dots,e^{i\theta_d})\circ x')d\mathbb{T}_d d\eta_{2n+1}dy_{2n+1} \quad on \ D_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi \in \mathscr{C}_c^{\infty}(I)$, $\chi(x_{2n+1}) = 1$ for every $(x', x_{2n+1}) \in D_0$ and $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \ldots, \beta_d \in \mathbb{R}$ are as in (6.18).

Put

(6.22)
$$\tilde{Q}_{\delta} := (2\pi)^{-1} \int e^{i \langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1}, \eta_{2n+1} \rangle} 1_{[-\delta,\delta]}(\eta_{2n+1}) d\eta_{2n+1}$$

We consider \tilde{Q}_{δ} as a continuous operator

$$\tilde{Q}_{\delta} : F_k^* \Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k}) \to F_k^* \Omega^{0,q}(B_{\log k}),$$

$$(6.23) \qquad (\tilde{Q}_{\delta} u)(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int e^{i < x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1}, \eta_{2n+1} >} \mathbb{1}_{[-\delta,\delta]}(\eta_{2n+1}) u(x', y_{2n+1}) dy_{2n+1} d\eta_{2n+1},$$

where $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$. From Lemma 6.5 and the fact that $\lim_{t\to 0} e^{-t \Box_{b,k,\leq k\delta}^q} = Q_{X,\leq k\delta}$, it is straightforward to check that

Lemma 6.6. Assume that the \mathbb{R} -action is not free. Fix r > 0. For $u \in F_k^* \Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$, we have

(6.24)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{(k),\delta}(0)u &= \tilde{Q}_{\delta}u + \tilde{R}_{k,\delta}u \quad on \ B_{r}, \\ (\tilde{R}_{k,\delta}u)(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{(m_{1},...,m_{d})\in\mathbb{Z}^{d}} \int e^{i\langle x_{2n+1}-y_{2n+1},\eta_{2n+1}\rangle + i(\sum_{j=1}^{d}m_{j}\beta_{j})\frac{y_{2n+1}}{k} - im_{1}\theta_{1} - ... - im_{d}\theta_{d}} \\ &\times 1_{[-\delta,\delta]}(\eta_{2n+1}) \left(1 - \chi(\frac{y_{2n+1}}{k})\right) u((e^{i\theta_{1}},...,e^{i\theta_{d}}) \circ x') d\mathbb{T}_{d}d\eta_{2n+1}dy_{2n+1} \quad on \ B_{r}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{c}(I)$ and $\beta_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, \ldots, \beta_{d} \in \mathbb{R}$ are as in Lemma 6.5.

We need

Lemma 6.7. Assume that the \mathbb{R} -action is not free. Let $f, g \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$. Let $f_k, g_k \in F_k^* \Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$ be as in (3.65). We have

(6.25)
$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} (A_{(k),\delta}(0)f_k \mid g_k)_{kF_k^*\phi} = (Q_{[-\delta,\delta]}f \mid g)_{\Phi},$$

where $Q_{[-\delta,\delta]}$ is given by (4.9).

Proof. For simplicity, we assume that q = 0 and hence $f_k = f$, $g_k = g$. The proof for general (0, q) forms case is similar. From Lemma 6.6, we have

(6.26)
$$(A_{(k),\delta}(0)f \mid g)_{kF_k^*\phi} = (\tilde{Q}_{\delta}f \mid g)_{kF_k^*\phi} + (\tilde{R}_{k,\delta}f \mid g)_{kF_k^*\phi}.$$

From (4.10) and (6.23), we can check that

(6.27)
$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} (\tilde{Q}_{\delta}f \mid g)_{kF_k^*\phi} = (Q_{[-\delta,\delta]}f \mid g)_{\Phi}.$$

We claim that

(6.28)
$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} (\tilde{R}_{k,\delta}f \mid g)_{kF_k^*\phi} = 0.$$

38

From (6.24) and Fourier inversion formula, we have

$$(6.29) (\tilde{R}_{k,\delta}f \mid g)_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{(m_{1},...,m_{d})\in\mathbb{Z}^{d}} \int e^{i\langle x_{2n+1}-y_{2n+1}\rangle+i(\sum_{j=1}^{d}m_{j}\beta_{j})\frac{y_{2n+1}}{k}-im_{1}\theta_{1}-...-im_{d}\theta_{d}} 1_{[-\delta,\delta]}(\eta_{2n+1}) \times \left(1-\chi(\frac{y_{2n+1}}{k})\right) f((e^{i\theta_{1}},...,e^{i\theta_{d}})\circ x')\overline{g}(x)(F_{k}^{*}m)(x)e^{-k(F_{k}^{*}\phi)(x)}d\mathbb{T}_{d}d\eta_{2n+1}dy_{2n+1}dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{(m_{1},...,m_{d})\in\mathbb{Z}^{d}} \int e^{i(\sum_{j=1}^{d}m_{j}\beta_{j})\frac{y_{2n+1}}{k}-im_{1}\theta_{1}-...-im_{d}\theta_{d}}\overline{g}(x',y_{2n+1}-\eta_{2n+1}) \times (F_{k}^{*}m)(x',y_{2n+1}-\eta_{2n+1})e^{-k(F_{k}^{*}\phi)(x',y_{2n+1}-\eta_{2n+1})}\widehat{1_{[-\delta,\delta]}}(\eta_{2n+1}) \times \left(1-\chi(\frac{y_{2n+1}}{k})\right) f((e^{i\theta_{1}},...,e^{i\theta_{d}})\circ x')d\mathbb{T}_{d}d\eta_{2n+1}dy_{2n+1}dx',$$

where $m(x)dx = dv_X(x)$ on D, $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$, $\widehat{1_{[-\delta,\delta]}}(\eta_{2n+1})$ denotes the Fourier transform of $1_{[-\delta,\delta]}(\eta_{2n+1})$. Note that $(F_k^*\phi)(x', y_{2n+1} - \eta) = (F_k^*\phi)(x', 0)$. From (6.29), $\lim_{k\to+\infty}(1-\chi(\frac{y_{2n+1}}{k})) = 0$ pointwise and Lebesgue dominate theorem, we get the claim (6.28).

From (6.26), (6.27) and (6.28), the lemma follows.

Now, we assume that the \mathbb{R} -action is free. Let $D = U \times I$ be a canonical local coordinate patch with canonical local coordinates $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n+1})$, where U is an open set of \mathbb{C}^n and I is an open interval of \mathbb{R} . Since the \mathbb{R} -action is free, we can extend $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n+1})$ to $\hat{D} := U \times \mathbb{R}$. We identify \hat{D} with an open set in X. The following is known (see [HMW, Lemma 4.7])

Lemma 6.8. Assume that the \mathbb{R} -action is free. With the notations used above, for $u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(D, L^k)$, we have

(6.30)
$$(Q_{X,\leq k\delta}u)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i \langle x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1}, \eta_{2n+1} \rangle} \mathbb{1}_{[-k\delta,k\delta]}(\eta_{2n+1})u(x',y_{2n+1})d\eta_{2n+1} \in \Omega^{0,q}(\hat{D})$$

and supp $Q_{X,\leq k\delta}u \subset \hat{D}$, where $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$.

Now, we can prove

Proposition 6.9. We have

(6.31)
$$\lim_{t \to 0} B_{\delta}(t)u = Q_{[-\delta,\delta]}u, \text{ for all } u \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(H_n),$$

where $B_{\delta}(t)$ is as in Theorem 6.4.

Proof. Assume first that the \mathbb{R} -action is not free. Then the \mathbb{R} -action comes from a CR torus action \mathbb{T}^d on X and ω_0 is \mathbb{T}^d invariant. Let $f, g \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$ and let $f_k, g_k \in F_k^* \Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$

be as in (3.65). We have for every t > 0,

(6.32)
$$(A_{(k),\delta}(t)f_{k} | g_{k})_{k}F_{k}^{*}\phi - (A_{(k),\delta}(0)f_{k} | g_{k})_{k}F_{k}^{*}\phi$$
$$= \int_{0}^{t} (A'_{(k),\delta}(s)f_{k} | g_{k})_{k}F_{k}^{*}\phi ds$$
$$= -\int_{0}^{t} \left(\Box_{b,(k)}^{q}(A_{(k),\delta}(s)f_{k}) | g_{k} \right)_{k}F_{k}^{*}\phi ds.$$

From (3.42) and (6.4), we can apply Lebesgue dominate theorem and by using (6.25) to obtain

$$(6.33) \qquad (B_{\delta}(t)f \mid g)_{\Phi} - (Q_{[-\delta,\delta]}f \mid g)_{\Phi}$$
$$= \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{0}^{t} (A'_{(k),\delta}(s)f_{k} \mid g_{k})_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi} ds$$
$$= \int_{0}^{t} \lim_{k \to +\infty} (A'_{(k),\delta}(s)f_{k} \mid g_{k})_{kF_{k}^{*}\phi} ds$$
$$= \int_{0}^{t} (B'_{\delta}(s)f \mid g)_{\Phi} ds$$
$$= (B_{\delta}(t)f \mid g)_{\Phi} - \lim_{t \to 0} (B_{\delta}(t)f \mid g)_{\Phi},$$

which implies (6.31).

Assume that the \mathbb{R} -action is free. Let $f \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$ and let $f_k \in F_k^* \Omega_c^{0,q}(B_{\log k})$ be as in (3.65). From (6.30), we can check that

(6.34)
$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} A_{(k),\delta}(0) f_k = Q_{[-\delta,\delta]} f \text{ on every } B_r, r > 0.$$

Repeat the procedure above, we then get (6.31).

We need

Proposition 6.10. We have

$$B_{\delta}(t)u \in E_{-iT_{H_n}}([-\delta,\delta]), \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ and all } u \in \Omega^{0,q}_c(H_n).$$

Proof. Fix $u \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$ and t > 0. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon \ll 1$. The map

$$-iT_{H_n}: E_{-iT_{H_n}}([-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, -\delta - \varepsilon] \bigcup [\delta + \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]) \to E_{-iT_{H_n}}([-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, -\delta - \varepsilon] \bigcup [\delta + \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}])$$

is one to one and onto.

Write $(-iT_{H_n})^{-1}$ to denote the inverse of $-iT_{H_n}$ on $E_{-iT_{H_n}}([-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, -\delta - \varepsilon] \bigcup [\delta + \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}])$. It is clear that

(6.35)
$$\|(-iT_{H_n})^s g\|_{\Phi} \le \left(\frac{1}{\delta + \varepsilon}\right)^s \|g\|_{\Phi},$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $g \in E_{-iT_{H_n}}([-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, -\delta - \varepsilon] \bigcup [\delta + \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}])$. Let $v \in E_{-iT_{H_n}}([-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, -\delta - \varepsilon] \bigcup [\delta + \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}])$. From (6.16) and (6.35), we have

(6.36)
$$|(B_{\delta}(t)u | v)_{\Phi}| = |(B_{\delta}(t)u | (-iT_{H_n})^{s}(-iT_{H_n})^{-s}v)_{\Phi}|$$
$$= |((-iT_{H_n})^{s}B_{\delta}(t)u | (-iT_{H_n})^{-s}v)_{\Phi}| \le \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta + \varepsilon}\right)^{s} ||u||_{\Phi} ||v||_{\Phi},$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $s \to +\infty$ in (6.36), we get $(B_{\delta}(t)u \mid v)_{\Phi} = 0$. Hence, $B_{\delta}(t)u$ is orthogonal to $E_{-iT_{H_n}}([-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, -\delta - \varepsilon] \bigcup [\delta + \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}])$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon \ll 1$. Hence, $B_{\delta}(t)u \in E_{-iT_{H_n}}([-\delta, \delta])$.

Theorem 6.11. We have

$$B_{\delta}(t) = e^{-t \Box_{\Phi, H_n}^{q, \delta}},$$

where $e^{-t\Box_{\Phi,H_n}^{q,\delta}}$ is given by (4.11).

Proof. Let $u, v \in \Omega_c^{0,q}(H_n)$. From Proposition 6.9 and Proposition 6.10, we have

$$(u \mid e^{-t \Box_{H_{n},\Phi}^{q,\delta}} v)_{\Phi} - (B_{\delta}(t)u \mid v)_{\Phi}$$

$$(6.37) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big((B_{\delta}(t-s)u \mid e^{-s \Box_{H_{n},\Phi}^{q,\delta}} v)_{\Phi} \Big) ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} (-B_{\delta}'(t-s)u \mid e^{-s \Box_{H_{n},\Phi}^{q,\delta}} v)_{\Phi} ds + \int_{0}^{t} (B_{\delta}(t-s)u \mid -\Box_{H_{n},\Phi}^{q}(e^{-s \Box_{H_{n},\Phi}^{q,\delta}} v))_{\Phi} ds.$$

Form (6.15), we see that $B_{\delta}(t-s)u \in \text{Dom} \square_{H_n,\Phi}^q$ and hence

$$(6.38) \qquad (B_{\delta}(t-s)u \mid -\Box_{H_{n},\Phi}^{q}(e^{-s\Box_{H_{n},\Phi}^{q,\delta}}v))_{\Phi} = (-\Box_{H_{n},\Phi}^{q}B_{\delta}(t-s)u \mid e^{-s\Box_{H_{n},\Phi}^{q,\delta}}v)_{\Phi}$$

From (6.14), (6.37) and (6.38), we deduce that

$$(u \mid e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}} v)_{\Phi} - (B_{\delta}(t)u \mid v)_{\Phi} = 0.$$

Since $(u \mid e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}} v)_{\Phi} = (e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}} u \mid v)_{\Phi}$, we conclude that $B_{\delta}(t) = e^{-t \Box_{H_n,\Phi}^{q,\delta}}$.

From Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 6.11, we can repeat the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 and get Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

References

- [BRT85] Baouendi, M.-S., Rothschild, L.-P., Treves, F.:CR structures with group action and extend ability of CR functions. Invent. Math. 83, 359–396 (1985).
- [BM87] Bismut, J.-M.: Demailly's asymptotic inequalities: a heat equation proof. J. Funct. Anal. 72, 263–278 (1987).
- [CS99] Chen, S.-C., Shaw, M.-C.: Partial differential equations in several complex variables. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 19. American Mathematical Society (AMS), Providence. International Press, Somerville, xii, p. 380 (2001).
- [Da95] Davies. E. B.: Spectral theory and differential operators, Cambridge Studies in advanced mathematics, vol. 42, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [D91] Demailly, J.-P.: Holomorphic morse inequalities. In several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2(Santa Cruz, CA,1989), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol.52 pp.93-144. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1991).
- [FK72] Folland, G.B., Kohn, J.J.: The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex. In: Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 75. Princeton University Press and University of Tokyo Press, Princeton (1972)
- [HHL20] Herrmann H., Hsiao C.-Y. and Li X.: Szegö kernel and equivariant embedding theorems for CR manifolds, arXiv:1710.04910, to appear in Math. Res. Lett.
- [HM12] Hsiao C.-Y. and Marinescu G.: Szegö kernel asymptotics and Morse inequalties on CR manifolds, Math.Z., 271 (2012), 509–573.
- [HL18] Hsiao C.-Y. and Li X.: Szegö kernel asymptotics and Morse inequalties on CR manifolds with S¹ action, Asian J. Math., 22 (2018), pp. 413–450.
- [HLM16] C-Y. Hsiao, X. Li and G. Marinescu. : Equivariant Kodaira Embedding for CR Manifolds with Circle Action, Michigan Math. J. 70 (2021), no. 1, doi:10.1307/mmj/1587628815.

- [HMW] Hsiao C.-Y., Marinescu G. and Wang H.: Szegő kernel asymptotics on complete strictly pseudoconvex CR manifolds, arXiv:2012.11457.
- [K65] Kohn, J.J.: Boundaries of complex manifolds. In: Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis, Minneapolis 1964, pp. 81–94 (1965)
- [MM07] Ma, X., Marinescu, G.: Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels. In: Progress in Mathematics, vol. 254. Birkhäuser, Basel (2007)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, 6F, ASTRONOMY-MATHEMATICS BUILDING, No.1, SEC.4, ROOSEVEL

Email address: chsiao@math.sinica.edu.tw; chinyu.hsiao@gmail.com

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität of Wien, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Wien, Austria

Email address: weixia.zhu@univie.ac.at; zhuvixia@gmail.com