Defects and phase formation in non-stoichiometric LaFeO$_3$: a combined theoretical and experimental study

Daniel Mutter$^1$*, Daniel F. Urban$^1$, Christian Elsässer$^{1,2}$

$^1$Fraunhofer IWM, Wöhlerstraße 11, 79108 Freiburg, Germany

$^2$Freiburg Materials Research Center (FMF), University of Freiburg, Stefan-Meier-Straße 21, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

Roland Schierholz$^3$, Sabrina A. Heuer$^{3,4}$, Thorsten Ohlerth$^{3,4}$, Hans Kungl$^3$, Rüdiger-A. Eichel$^{3,4}$

$^3$Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institute of Energy and Climate Research (IEK-9), Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße, DE-52425 Jülich, Germany

$^4$RWTH Aachen University, Institute of Physical Chemistry, Landoltweg 2, DE-52074 Aachen, Germany

*corresponding author: daniel.mutter@iwm.fraunhofer.de

Abstract

The defect chemistry of perovskite compounds is directly related to the stoichiometry and to the valence states of the transition metal ions. Such relations are of high interest as they offer the possibility to influence the catalytic activity of perovskites for the application in solid-oxide fuel- and electrolyser cells. Combining theoretical and experimental approaches, we explore the feasibility of actively manipulating the valence state of Fe and the concentration of point defects by synthesizing non-stoichiometric LaFeO$_3$ (LFO). In the theoretical part, formation energies and concentrations of point defects were determined as a function of processing conditions by first-principles DFT+U calculations. Based on the DFT+U results, significant compositional deviations from stoichiometric LFO cannot be expected by providing rich or poor conditions of the oxidic precursor compounds (Fe$_2$O$_3$ and La$_2$O$_3$) in a solid-state processing route. In the experimental part, LFO was synthesized with a targeted La-site deficiency. We analyze the resulting phases in detail by X-ray diffraction and dedicated microscopy methods, namely scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and (scanning) transmission electron Microscopy ((S)TEM) in combination with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and electron energy-loss spectrometry (EELS). Instead of a variation of the La/Fe ratio, a mixture of two phases, Fe$_2$O$_3$/LaFeO$_3$, was observed resulting in an invariant charge state of Fe, which is in line with the theoretical results. We discuss our findings with respect to partly differing assumptions made in previously published studies on this material system.
1. Introduction

Owing to their ability to transform chemical energy into electrical energy and vice versa, solid-oxide fuel and electrolyser cells (SOFCs and SOECs) are widely regarded as key technologies to realize the energy transition process [1]. For example, in an innovative approach (“Power-to-X”), SOECs are utilized to transform water and CO₂ into H₂ and CO by a so-called co-electrolysis [2], [3], using renewable excess energy (from wind and sunlight) available in times of low demand. The produced gases can be stored for later use and then converted back into electrical energy by SOFCs [4], or further processed by the chemical industry [2]. In order to be cost-effective, SOFC/SOEC devices need to supply high power densities, which requires a high catalytic activity of the electrodes, i.e. fast rates of the oxygen exchange reactions at the surfaces as well as a high mobility of oxygen ions [5].

Perovskite compounds ABO₃₋ₓ, with large cations of fixed valence on the A sites (La³⁺, Ba²⁺, Sr²⁺, Ca²⁺), transition-metal cations of mixed valence on the B sites (Fe³⁺/⁴⁺, Co³⁺/⁴⁺, Mn³⁺/⁴⁺), and an oxygen non-stoichiometry (δ) have been widely studied as oxygen electrodes [6], [7]. This is mainly due to the capability of the transition-metal ions to adopt different charge states (i.e. to form a redox couple) as well as due to the high conductivities of electrons and oxygen anions in these compounds [8]. Compositional variation, especially lower valent A-site doping, offers the possibility to adjust and improve the catalytic properties. For example, the partial replacement of La³⁺ with Sr²⁺ in La₁₋ₓSrₓFeO₃ [9] leads to a substantially enhanced activity of the catalytic reaction due to an increased concentration of Fe⁴⁺ ions for higher values of x. Similarly, the charge state of Mn can be actively manipulated by replacing La³⁺ with Ca²⁺ in La₁₋ₓCaₓMnO₃₋δ [10].

Since A-site vacancies in perovskites are generally negatively charged, one may speculate that high concentrations of these point defects affect the catalytic properties in a similar way. In addition to the oxidized transition-metal ions, the negative charges of A-site vacancies can in principle be compensated as well by positively charged oxygen vacancies, which are vital for the catalytic process. For example, in an SOEC, oxygen vacancies can incorporate the oxygen ions adsorbed at the surface and mediate their transport to the interface with the electrolyte [5], [11]. An active manipulation of the A-site stoichiometry in the synthesis process of a perovskite may therefore be a direct way to optimize its catalytic properties.

In this work, we study non-stoichiometric LaFeO₃ (LFO), from both theoretical and experimental points of view. The goal of this combined study is to explore the feasibility of such an optimization strategy for this material. The question is whether a targeted La deficiency in the initial precursor substances before the synthesis process can lead to a corresponding amount of La vacancies in the final compounds and to the formation of desired Fe⁴⁺ ions and O vacancies in sufficiently high concentrations. To answer this question, the probabilities of the formation of these point defects under realistic processing conditions are analyzed, and the phases, which actually form in the synthesis process, are examined.

We derive formation energies of point defects in different charge states within the stability region of LFO in the phase diagram by means of first-principles calculations based on density functional theory with a Hubbard-U correction (DFT+U). Such formation energies for LFO were calculated before by several authors [12]–[14]. However, partly inconsistent values were reported, and therefore we analyze and discuss the differences among these, as well as compared to our results, in the Appendix (A3). Using the calculated formation energies, we obtain approximate defect concentrations as function of temperature and pressure of the oxygen atmosphere by determining the Fermi level, which leads to a charge neutral (i.e. charge compensated) system. The procedure also yields concentrations of free charge carriers, i.e. electrons in the conduction band (e) and holes in the valence band (h), which correspond to Fe³⁺ and Fe⁴⁺ ions, respectively [14], [15]. We discuss our results with respect to
those of a model, originally developed by Mizusaki et al. [15], [16] and refined and applied to LFO by Wærnhus et al. [17], in which point-defect and charge-carrier concentrations are obtained by fitting rate constants of proposed defect equilibrium equations to experimentally measured electrical conductivity data.

From a theoretical point of view, the stoichiometry achievable in the synthesis of LFO crystals result from the calculated ranges of defect concentrations. In an experimental approach processing routes can be set up for an intentional formation of phases with specific non-stoichiometry of a material by providing an excess or shortage of respective precursor compounds.

Several authors investigated non-stoichiometric LFO with targeted compositions La$_{1-y}$Fe$_{1-x}$O$_3$ [18]–[23]. The reported La deficiencies x are supposed to be determined by the amounts of precursor phases provided in the different synthesis processes. Scafetta and May [18] report large La deficiencies in LFO thin films of up to 22 % (x = 0.22), which they assume to be realized by corresponding high La vacancy concentrations. The catalytic activity of LFO was analyzed by Zhu et al. [19] for x between 0 and 0.2, with an optimum at x = 0.05, which is stated to be partly due to the existence of Fe$^{4+}$ ions. The authors report the synthesis of a La deficient single LFO phase up to x = 0.1, before a second Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase forms at larger values of x. Faye et al. [20] investigated LFO in the range 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.4, and described the formation of Fe$_2$O$_3$ on the surface as they detected it in O 1s XPS spectra for x ≥ 0.1. Wærnhus et al. [21] already detected iron oxide for much smaller La deficiencies of x = 0.003. In both of these works it is ruled out that La vacancies exist in amounts corresponding to the targeted deficiencies. This agrees to some extent with the findings of Delmastro, Spinicci and coworkers [22], [23], who detected nano-sized grains with stoichiometric LFO cores and Fe-O surface configurations accounting for the La shortage up to x ≤ 0.3.

In the experimental part of this work, we applied electron microscopy to analyze composition and phase formation, as well as the ionic charge of Fe, in intended La-deficient LFO in detail. To this end, we synthesized LFO, both in the form of powders and sintered pellets, by applying a solid-state route, weighing in different ratios of La$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ precursor oxides with the aim to achieve non-stoichiometric compounds. The resulting phases were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and visualized by phase contrast imaging in a scanning electron microscope (SEM). The La/Fe ratio was measured by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) in a transmission electron microscope (TEM). Electron energy loss spectra (EELS) were recorded and analyzed with respect to charge state variations of Fe.

The paper is organized as follows: the theoretical methods are described in Section 2, and the synthesis process as well as the applied microscopy methods in Section 3. In Section 4, we report the results of the calculations of defect formation energies (4.1) and defect concentrations (4.2). The results of the experimental measurements are described in Section 5, subdivided into the analysis of LFO powders (5.1; XRD, EDS, EELS) and pellets (5.2; SEM, STEM). We discuss the results in Section 6, focusing on links between the theoretical and experimental findings, and we compare our results with statements made in the literature about the investigated material system. Conclusions are given in Section 7.
2 Theoretical Methods

2.1 Supercell model of the LFO crystal structure

At room temperature, LFO crystallizes in the orthorhombic perovskite structure with space group \( Pnma \) (\#62) [24, 25]. For the calculations, the structure was set up in a 2x1x2 representation of the primitive orthorhombic \( Pnma \) cell, with a supercell containing 16 formula units (80 atoms) (cf. Figure 1). La atoms are located at the Wyckoff position (WP) 4c at coordinates \((x, 0.25, z)\), Fe atoms at WP 4b \((0, 0, 0.5)\), and O atoms at WPs 4c \((x, 0.25, z)\) (O1), and 8d \((x, y, z)\) (O2). Values for \(x\), \(y\), and \(z\) for the different elemental sites are given in Refs. [24], [25]. Periodic boundary conditions were applied for all the DFT+U calculations in this study. Computational details are given in the Appendix (A1). The structural relaxation of the positions of all atoms and the volume of the supercell results in lattice parameters (i.e. lengths of the primitive cell vectors) of 5.644 Å (in [100] direction with respect to the \( Pnma \) convention), 7.911 Å ([010]) and 5.576 Å ([001]). These values are in reasonable agreement with the lattice parameters of LFO derived experimentally in this work by XRD measurements at room temperature (cf. Table 2, Section 5.1.1).

![Figure 1. Orthorhombic supercell of LFO consisting of 16 formula units (80 atoms). The primitive crystallographic cell (\( Pnma \)) is highlighted (bold black). Additionally, the typical ABO\(_3\) perovskite motif of an octahedrally coordinated transition-metal cation (B position) in the center of a cube with cations at the corners (A position) is displayed (green). Compared to the cubic perovskite structure, this cube is slightly distorted in the orthorhombic structure, and there are two symmetry-in equivalent oxygen sites, which are distinguished by orange spheres (O1, in the La layer) and red spheres (O2, in the Fe layer).](image)

2.2 Calculation of formation energies and concentrations of point defects

The formation energy \(\Delta E_{i,q}\) of a point defect \(i\) in a charge state \(q\) was calculated using the well-established formula for the so-called supercell approach, which is valid in the dilute limit, i.e. for non-interacting defects [26]:

\[
\Delta E_{i,q} \left( \{\Delta \mu_j\}, E_F \right) = E_{i,q} - E_{\text{bulk}} + \sum n_j \left( \mu_j^{(0)} + \Delta \mu_j \right) + q (\epsilon_{\text{VBM}} + E_F) + E_{i,q}^{\text{corr}}. \tag{1}
\]

\(E_{\text{bulk}}\) is the total energy of the defect-free bulk supercell, and \(E_{i,q}\) is the total energy of a corresponding system containing one point defect \(i\) in the charge state \(q\). This defect can be imagined as being formed during the synthesis process of the material by the exchange of \(n_j\) atoms...
of type \(j\) between an elemental reservoir and the compound (e.g. \(n_j < 0\) in the case of interstitials, \(n_j > 0\) in the case of vacancies). The energy of such a reservoir – the chemical potential \(\mu_j\) – is generally referenced to the energy \(\mu_j^{(0)}\) of the element \(j\) in its ground-state structure. We define the chemical potentials referenced in this way as \(\Delta \mu_j := \mu_j - \mu_j^{(0)}\), and we denote by \(\{\Delta \mu_j\}\) the set comprising the values of this quantity for all species \(j\) involved in the formation of the defect. The sum runs over all of these species, which in our case can be La, Fe, and O, with the hexagonal (space group \(P6_3/mmc\)), body-centered cubic (\(Im\bar{3}m\)), and molecular (\(O_2\)) reference ground state structures, respectively.

Figure 2 displays the ranges of values of \(\Delta \mu_j\) for \(j = \text{La, Fe, O}\), which lead to stable LFO, in a phase diagram (colored area). This extended stability region was derived from the formation energies of LFO and competing oxide phases containing La and/or Fe, as described and calculated in a previous study [27]. In the quantum-mechanical treatment of oxygen as an ideal gas, the chemical potential of oxygen can be expressed by the temperature and partial pressure of the oxygen atmosphere [28]. Since we use this relationship frequently throughout our work, we explicitly describe it in the Appendix (A2).

![Figure 2. Phase diagram of LFO with respect to the chemical potentials of the elemental components. Crossing the borderlines of the stability region (colored area) leads to the formation of the denoted unary and binary phases in their ground states. For a given gas temperature \(T\), \(\Delta \mu_0\) can be expressed by the pressure \(p\) of the oxygen atmosphere. For \(T = 1400\) K, the pressure values are given at the dashed black lines in logarithmic scale [\(\log_{10}(p/p_0)\) with \(p_0 = 1\) atm]. The light green circle marks the point in the phase diagram, at which the formation energies are given in Table 1 (cf. Section 4.1).](image-url)

In addition to the exchange of atomic species with reservoirs, electrons are exchanged with an electron reservoir if charged defects are formed. The chemical potential of that reservoir is described as the sum of the valence band maximum (\(\epsilon_{\text{VBM}}\), calculated for the perfect crystal) and \(E_F\), which denotes the position of the Fermi level relative to the VBM. An energy term \(E_{\text{corr}}^{\text{Fermi}}\) was taken into account following the procedure of Freysoldt et al. [29] in order to correct for the artificial Coulomb interaction between a charged defect and its own periodic images, as well as to align the different energy
references of charged and neutral cells. In this work, we performed the charge corrections by applying the software PyCDT developed by Broberg and coworkers [30].

In the limit of dilute defects, the dependence of the concentration \( x_{i,q} \) of a point defect \( i \) in the charge state \( q \) on the temperature \( T \) of the system can be obtained from the defect formation energy \( \Delta E_{i,q} \) via:

\[
x_{i,q} = c_i \Theta_{i,q} \exp \left( - \frac{\Delta E_{i,q}}{k_B T} \right),
\]

with the Boltzmann constant \( k_B \), \( c_i \) is the concentration of lattice sites which can be occupied by the defect. The effect of the total entropy and volume change associated with the formation of a defect on its concentration is accumulated in the factor \( \Theta_{i,q} \). In this study, the entropy resulting from equivalent electronic configurations due to the degeneracy of the band edges was taken into account in the way described by Ma et al. [31].

Using the concentrations of defects derived in the way described above, the Fermi level was determined self-consistently from the condition of overall charge neutrality [32]:

\[
e + \sum_i \sum_{q<0} |q| x_{i,q} = h + \sum_i \sum_{q>0} q x_{i,q}.
\]

\( e \) and \( h \) represent the concentrations of electrons in the conduction band and of holes in the valence band, respectively. The values depend on the effective masses \( m^* \) of the charge carriers at the respective band edges, which were derived by Singh et al. [33] for LFO from all-electron DFT calculations. Averaging these values over all high symmetry directions of the degenerate band edges [31] yields \( m^*_e = 0.94 \, m_e \) and \( m^*_h = 4.88 \, m_e \), with \( m_e \) denoting the mass of a free electron. The band gap was set to 2.3 eV, as it was derived by DFT+U calculations of LFO with \( U_{\text{eff}} = 4 \) eV in a previous study [27] and also in this work (cf. Figure 9). The value agrees with the band gap determined experimentally by Scafetta et al. [34].

### 3 Experimental Methods

#### 3.1 Materials

Lanthanum-Iron-Oxide materials were prepared by a solid-state reaction with \( \text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) molar ratios \( y = 1.00, 0.96, 0.94 \) and 0.90, aiming for stoichiometric or Lanthanum deficient \( \text{La}_{x-}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_3 \) with \( x = 0 \) or \( x = 0.04, 0.06 \) and 0.1, respectively. Before weighing, \( \text{La}_2\text{O}_3 \), the powder (Alfa Aesar, purity 99.99%) was dried at 850 °C for 12h and then mixed with the appropriate amounts of \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) powder (Honeywell, purity > 99%). The materials were ball milled in isopropyl alcohol, dried in a rotation evaporator, calcined in air at 1100 °C for 8h and ground with pestle and mortar to fine powders. These powders were analyzed by X-ray powder diffraction in Bragg-Brentano geometry (PANalytical Empyrean) using a Cu-Kα radiation source. Rietveld refinement of the lattice parameters was done with the program FullProf [35]. For samples with \( \text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) molar ratios \( y = 1.00, 0.96, \) and 0.90, we synthesized pellets by uniaxial die pressing and subsequent cold isostatic pressing, followed by sintering at 1350 °C for 8h in air.

#### 3.2 Electron Microscopy

To prepare the samples for the electron microscopy analysis, calcined powders of the samples with \( \text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) molar ratios \( y = 1.00, 0.96, 0.94, \) and 0.90 were dispersed in ethanol and applied to lacy carbon TEM grids. Both EDS and EELS experiments were conducted on powder samples in a
Tecnai F20 electron microscope (FEI, USA [36]). For EDS the TEM mode was chosen as the search for particles on the copper grid is facilitated and spectra of single particles can be measured in few minutes by converging the beam on the selected particle. Quantification of the La/Fe ratio was performed with the local TEM Imaging & Analysis (TIA)-software according to the Cliff-Lorimer approach [37], which also includes background subtraction and signal integration [38]. EELS data were recorded in STEM-mode with a Gatan Tridiem 863P post column image filter (GIF). Additional EDS mappings of powder samples were performed on a Titan G2 80 – 200 Crewley (FEI, USA [39]), and spectrum images were analyzed by means of the Esprit 1.9 software package by Bruker, USA.

For phase analysis with SEM, sintered pellets of La$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_2$O$_3$ molar ratios of $y = 1.00$, 0.96, and 0.90 were polished and investigated using Backscattered Electron (BSE) images recorded on a Quanta FEG 650 (FEI, Netherlands) operated at 5 kV with the Circular BackScatter (CBS)-detector. Phase analysis was performed using Olympus Stream Essentials Desktop version 1.9 and Count and Measure (Olympus, Japan) applying manual thresholds to separate bright LaFeO$_3$ grains, dark pores and grains of Fe$_2$O$_3$ second phase, which is represented by intermediate grey levels. For STEM investigation, the sintered pellets were ground down to 100 nm and polished, subsequently dimpled with model 656 dimple grinder, Gatan, USA, to about 20 nm thickness in the center, and finally ion milled (model 1051, TEM mill, Fishione, USA). High-resolution (HR) STEM images of TEM samples prepared from sintered pellets were recorded on the Titan G2 80 – 200 Crewley, FEI, USA [39].

4 Theoretical Results

4.1 Point defect formation energies

Applying Equation (1), we calculated the formation energies for the following point defects: La vacancies ($V_{La}$) and iron vacancies ($V_{Fe}$), both in the charge states [0, −1, −2, −3], O vacancies ($V_{O}$) in the charge states [0, +1, +2], as well as the antisites Fe on La ($Fe_{La}$) and La on Fe ($La_{Fe}$), both in the charge states [−1, 0, +1]. Oxygen vacancies were considered on the two inequivalent sites for oxygen atoms in the orthorhombic perovskite structure (cf. Section 2.1 and Figure 1). The charge states of the defects were chosen according to the number of valence electrons which the empty site or replaced ion can reasonably accept (−) or donate (+), based on the oxidation states that the ions adopt in the perfect crystal.

Table 1 lists the defect formation energies evaluated at a specific point in the phase diagram, namely $\Delta \mu_{La} = -7.21$ eV, $\Delta \mu_{Fe} = -1.89$ eV, $\Delta \mu_{O} = -1.72$ eV, which is marked by the light green circle in Figure 2. This point is located at the center of the line corresponding to an oxygen partial pressure of 0.2 atm, which is the atmospheric partial pressure of oxygen, at a temperature of 1400 K, reasonably representing the synthesis conditions described in Section 3.1. To obtain the formation energies of the charged defects, we chose a Fermi level $E_F$ of 0.89 eV. As it will be shown in Section 4.2, this value of $E_F$ leads to a charge neutral system at the specified chemical potentials. At this point, the dominant defects are $V_{La}^{-3}$, $V_{Fe}^{-3}$, $Fe_{La}^{+1}$, $Fe_{La}^{3+}$ and $V_{O2}^{+2}$. The vacancies $V_{O1}$ have higher formation energies than the $V_{O2}$. While the distances to the two nearest Fe neighbors are almost identical for O1 and O2, the distance to the next La atom is by about 1.5 % shorter for O1. The smaller distance corresponds to a stronger bonding and therefore to a higher formation energy of the vacancy. It has to be noted that a variety of different values for point defect formation energies are reported for LFO in the literature [12]–[14], even though they were all obtained by DFT methods. We discuss these differences in the Appendix (A3).
Table 1. Point defect formation energies of LFO in different charge states \( q \), evaluated at \( \Delta \mu_{\text{La}} = -7.21 \text{ eV} \), \( \Delta \mu_{\text{Fe}} = -1.89 \text{ eV} \), \( \Delta \mu_{\text{O}} = -1.72 \text{ eV} \), and at a Fermi level \( E_F = 0.89 \text{ eV} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( q = -3 )</th>
<th>( q = -2 )</th>
<th>( q = -1 )</th>
<th>( q = 0 )</th>
<th>( q = +1 )</th>
<th>( q = +2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{La}} )</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{Fe}} )</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{01} )</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{02} )</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Fe_{\text{La}} )</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( La_{\text{Fe}} )</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 displays the charge transition levels \( \varepsilon(q/q') \) for the considered defects. At these values of the Fermi level, defects in the charge states \( q \) and \( q' \) have the same formation energy. For \( E_F < \varepsilon(q/q') \), the defect favors to adopt the charge state \( q \), while for \( E_F > \varepsilon(q/q') \), the charge \( q' \) is more likely. As expected, \( V_{\text{La}} \) is a strong acceptor, adopting the charge \( q = -3 \) for almost all accessible Fermi levels in the band gap. \( V_{\text{Fe}} \) is a weaker acceptor than \( V_{\text{La}} \), since it can also be present in lower positive charge states for low values of \( E_F \). The oxygen vacancies are deep donors, i.e. they are neutral under n-type conditions and have the charge +2 under p-type conditions. \( Fe_{\text{La}} \) acts as an acceptor for higher Fermi levels, and \( La_{\text{Fe}} \) is charge neutral within a large portion of the band gap.

4.2 Defect and charge carrier concentrations

Based on the formation energies of the defects in all considered charge states, and the corresponding defect concentrations derived by applying Equation (2), the Fermi levels at which there is charge neutrality [Equation (3)] were calculated for a temperature \( T = 1400 \text{ K} \) at each point in the chemical potential space of stable LFO. The result is shown in Figure 4(a) as a function of the chemical potential of oxygen. In Figure 4(b), the corresponding point defect concentrations are displayed, as well as the concentrations of free charge carriers (\( e \) and \( h \)), in addition as a function of the oxygen gas pressure.
At each value of the oxygen pressure (or $\Delta \mu_O$, cf. Appendix A2), there are extended regions of values of the Fermi level and of the defect and charge carrier concentrations. These regions originate from the changes of $\Delta \mu_{\text{La}}$ and $\Delta \mu_{\text{Fe}}$ along a line of constant $\Delta \mu_O$ as depicted in the phase diagram (Figure 2). At each value of $\Delta \mu_O$, the point of lowest $\Delta \mu_{\text{La}}$ and highest $\Delta \mu_{\text{Fe}}$ in the stability region of LFO corresponds to Fe$_2$O$_3$-rich and La$_2$O$_3$-poor synthesis conditions, and vice versa. As performed in this work (cf. Section 3.1), and also described elsewhere (Refs. [40], [41]), the processing of LFO can be achieved via a solid-state route by mechanical mixing of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and La$_2$O$_3$ powders at constant atmospheric conditions. Therefore, for comparing the results of our simulations to experiments, referring to conditions of the binary precursor compounds Fe$_2$O$_3$ and La$_2$O$_3$ in terms of rich and poor is more reasonable than referring to such conditions of the unary elements La and Fe.

At $T = 1400$ K, the pressures corresponding to the stability region of LFO range from about $10^{-10}$ atm to about $10^{12}$ atm (cf. Figure 2). The defects not shown in Figure 4 (such as La$_{\text{Fe}}^-$, or the neutral vacancies) exist in considerably lower concentrations and therefore have a negligible influence on both the Fermi level and the charge carrier concentrations. In case of the oxygen vacancies, we only display the sum of the concentrations of $V_{\text{O}1}^{+2}$ and $V_{\text{O}2}^{+2}$.

Referred to the valence band maximum, the Fermi level drops from about 60% of the value of the band gap to about 10% with increasing oxygen pressure between $10^{-10}$ atm and about $10^{12}$ atm. This behavior originates from decreasing chemical potentials of La and Fe with increasing oxygen pressures (cf. Figure 2), and it leads to growing concentrations of the La and Fe vacancies. Higher amounts of these always negatively charged defects are almost completely compensated by holes in the valence band ($h$), especially since the concentration of the dominant positive lattice defect ($V_{\text{O}1}^{+2}$) naturally decreases with increasing gas pressure. Therefore, the necessary amount of positive charge carriers can only be provided by lowering the value of the Fermi level. For pressures below about 1 atm, the negatively charged antisite defect Fe$_{\text{La}}^-$ becomes relevant since its concentration reaches values comparable to those of the dominating vacancies. The implications of the defect concentrations on the experimentally accessible compositions will be discussed in Section 6.

5 Experimental Results

In this section, we first present results of the crystallographic and compositional analysis of synthesized LFO powders with intended La deficiencies (5.1). Then, we describe the detailed phase analysis performed for sintered pellets (5.2). With the application of a higher temperature and higher mechanical load in the sintering process of the LFO pellets, we attempt to ensure that no non-reacted precursor material remains which may influence the results.

5.1 LFO Powders

5.1.1 Crystallography (XRD)

Figure 5 shows XRD diffraction patterns of the calcined powders with La$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_2$O$_3$ molar ratios $y = 1.0, 0.96, 0.94, 0.9$. All of these samples have the orthorhombic perovskite structure ($Pnma$). On a four times enlarged $y$-scale in the right graph we plot the range from 31.5° to 36.5°, which contains the strongest LFO reflection peak 121, as well as the two strongest reflections 104 (at 33.118°) and 210 (at 35.612°) of Fe$_2$O$_3$. For the sample with $y = 0.9$, these two reflections are recognizable as indicated by the arrows, and also for the two samples with $y = 0.94$ and $y = 0.96$ minor humps can be recognized, which are however hardly above the noise. Table 2 lists the lattice parameters as
refined from Rietveld analysis of the full pattern of the main $Pnma$-phase. There is no significant
dependence of the LFO lattice parameters on the La$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_2$O$_3$ molar ratio. The lattice parameters are
in agreement with previously reported values by Falcón et al. [24] and Dixon et al. [25].

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** (a) Fermi level $E_F$, and (b) the concentrations $c$ of dominant defects (extended colored regions) and free charge
carriers ($e$, $h$; gray regions) on a logarithmic scale (with $c_0 = 1$ cm$^{-3}$) as function of the oxygen chemical potential or,
alternatively, of the corresponding oxygen gas pressure (logarithmic scale, with $p_0 = 1$ atm) at a temperature $T = 1400$ K.
For the oxygen vacancies, the sum of the concentrations of $V^+_O + 2$ and $V^-_O + 2$ is displayed and denoted $V^-_O + 2$. The vertical dashed
line marks the atmospheric oxygen partial pressure (0.2 atm), as e.g. prevailing in the experimental synthesis of LFO in air
in this work. The horizontal dashed line in (a) marks the equilibrium Fermi level at the specific chemical potential values
marked by the green circle in Figure 2 and at the specified temperature. The black symbols shown in the lower graph (b)
represent values reported by Waehnhus et al. [17] for the cation (circles) and oxygen (triangles) vacancy concentrations,
derived at a temperature of 1273 K by fitting experimental results to model equations (cf. Section 6 for a detailed
discussion).
Figure 5. Left: XRD pattern of LaFeO$_3$ with La$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_2$O$_3$ molar ratios $y = 1, 0.96, 0.94$ and $0.9$, denoted as LFO, LFO96, LFO94, and LFO90, respectively. Right: Enlarged region for $2\theta$ between $31^\circ$ and $37^\circ$, highlighting secondary phase reflections belonging to Fe$_2$O$_3$ for $y < 0.96$.

Table 2. Lattice parameters of the $P\overline{4}m\alpha$ perovskite phase for samples with La$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_2$O$_3$ molar ratios $y$ between 1 and 0.9 as refined by the Rietveld-method from the XRD-patterns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$a$ [Å]</th>
<th>$b$ [Å]</th>
<th>$c$ [Å]</th>
<th>$V_{\text{formula unit}}$ [Å$^3$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.5659(1)</td>
<td>7.8543(1)</td>
<td>5.5548(1)</td>
<td>60.7088(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>5.5647(1)</td>
<td>7.8534(1)</td>
<td>5.5546(1)</td>
<td>60.6865(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>5.5649(1)</td>
<td>7.8537(1)</td>
<td>5.5544(1)</td>
<td>60.6888(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>5.5653(1)</td>
<td>7.8538(1)</td>
<td>5.5544(1)</td>
<td>60.6940(18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.2 Composition (TEM-EDS)

The La/Fe ratio, as quantified from energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) in the TEM, is shown in Figure 6 (a). Spectra of ten particles, mostly in the sizes range of 200–500 nm, as shown in Figure 6 (b), were acquired for each sample with the La$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_2$O$_3$ molar ratios $y = 1.00, 0.96, 0.94$, and $0.90$. By electron diffraction and image contrast it was checked that only perovskite particles were investigated by EDS. Quantification was performed with the K$_\alpha$ line (6.405 keV) for Fe and with the L$_\alpha$ line (4.647 keV) for La. The La/Fe ratio scatters around the value 1 for all samples, and no trend is visible. Similar results were obtained when the La K-line (La K$_\alpha = 33.442$ keV) was used for quantification. Error bars are obtained from averaging over ten spectra (particles). Significant Lanthanum deficiencies exceeding these errors cannot be detected. This indicates that LFO perovskite structures cannot be produced with a significant La-deficiency by means of the employed processing route. Instead, iron oxide can form next to pure LFO, which is shown in Figure 6 (c) for a large agglomerate of particles obtained for $y = 0.9$. Elemental maps of oxygen (red), iron (green) and Lanthanum (blue) are overlaid on the high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) image. From its yellow color, the particle at the lower right region of the agglomerate can be identified as iron oxide, since blue (La) is missing. Elemental quantification results in a Fe/O-ratio of $45:55$, deviating from $40:60$ for perfect Fe$_2$O$_3$, which can be attributed to absorption of the O K$_\alpha$ line as mentioned above. Such absorption effects are also responsible for the red/blue coloring of the larger part (pure LFO particles) of the agglomerate.
5.1.3 Charge state of Fe (STEM-EELS)

In order to investigate the charge state of Fe ions inside the synthesized particles, we performed electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) in STEM mode. In general, in EEL spectra, onsets and intensities (I) of the peaks, which belong to the ionization of core electrons, depend on the bonding environment and valence state of the atoms in the probed sample [42]. As references, we measured EEL spectra of iron oxide compounds with different oxidation states of Fe, namely Fe$^{2+}$TiO$_3$ (ilmenite), Fe$^{2+}$(Fe$^{3+}$)$_2$O$_3$ (magnetite) and (Fe$^{3+}$)$_2$O$_3$ (hematite). The L$_{2/3}$ edges are displayed in Figure 7(a). L$_2$ and L$_3$ denote the peaks arising from the excitation of electrons from the atomic states 2$p^{1/2}$ and 2$p^{3/2}$ in Fe, respectively, to unoccupied 3$d$ states. In the spectra of the reference compounds, one can identify a chemical shift of the L$_{2/3}$ edge onset to higher energies, as well as an increasing intensity ratio I(L$_3$)/I(L$_2$) with increasing Fe-valence, which is in agreement with previous results of Tan et al. [43]. Figure 7(b) displays the Fe L$_{3/2}$ edge of the four powder samples of LFO with nominal La$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_2$O$_3$-ratios of 1.00, 0.96, 0.94, and 0.90, measured at similar conditions as the reference compounds. From the edge onset, neither a chemical shift nor a change in the peak intensity ratio I(L$_3$)/I(L$_2$) is visible. We therefore exclude the presence of a significant amount of Fe$^{4+}$ ions, which would be expected in LFO structures with large concentrations of La vacancies.
5.2 LFO Pellets

5.2.1 Phase analysis (SEM)

Pellets of samples with molar La/Fe ratios of $y = 1, 0.96$ and 0.9 were investigated by scanning electron microscopy. Figures 8(a)–(c) show the obtained micrographs of back-scattered electrons (BSE). In the stoichiometric LFO material ($y = 1$), the grain sizes range from 5 to 10 µm, and grains appear bright with slightly varying contrast depending on their orientation. The only other microstructural features are pores, which appear black. For the samples with $y < 1$, the grain size increases, porosity decreases, and a second phase with a medium grey level contrast appears. Exemplarily, two pores and two grains of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ secondary phase are marked by arrows. Quantification of an area of the size of 114264 µm² [larger than the area displayed in Figures 8(a)–(c)] yielded an amount of 2.1±0.4 % of secondary phase (corrected for porosity) for the sample with $y = 0.96$, and 4.6±0.6 % for $y = 0.9$.

5.2.2 Atomic structure (STEM)

From the pellet with $y = 0.96$, a self-supporting TEM sample was prepared and investigated in a probe corrected STEM [39]. Figure 8(d) shows a Fe$_2$O$_3$ inclusion, confirming the SEM observation of a secondary phase. The contrast in the STEM-HAADF image is clearly darker since the La atoms with...
their high atomic number $Z$ are missing. Marked are the two regions from which additional high-resolution STEM micrographs were recorded. They are displayed in Figures 8(e) and (f). In Figure 8(e), the LaFeO$_3$ structure is oriented along [12-1] ($Pnma$) equivalent to the pseudocubic $<201>_{pc}$ orientation, showing clearly separated La planes (bright, $Z = 57$) and Fe planes ($Z = 26$) with less bright atoms. Structural models are overlaid for comparison. In Figure 8(f), the Fe$_2$O$_3$ structure is viewed along [42-1] ($R\bar{3}c$) with alternating distances between Fe columns that are present along this projection of the corundum structure. In this way, it is confirmed that Fe$_2$O$_3$ with corundum structure (space group $R\bar{3}c$) is present as a secondary phase in the nonstoichiometric samples, but apparently, there is no other iron oxide.

Figure 8. SEM BSE micrograph of (a) stoichiometric LFO (La/Fe molar ratio $y = 1$) showing only grains (bright) below 10 µm and pores (black); (b) Sample with $y = 0.96$. LFO-grains (bright) are larger, porosity (dark) decreases and a significant amount of the secondary phase Fe$_2$O$_3$ can be observed (medium grey, two grains are highlighted by arrows). (c) LFO and Fe$_2$O$_3$ grains for the sample with $y = 0.9$. (d) STEM-HAADF images of a Fe$_2$O$_3$ inclusion in the sintered pellet prepared from the sample with $y = 0.96$. (e) HR-STEM-HAADF micrograph at the left boundary of the inclusion showing the perovskite structure of LaFeO$_3$ along [12-1]$_{Pnma}$ ($<201>_{pc}$) with separated Fe- and La-columns appearing with different intensities. The inset shows the magnified region with the projection of the LaFeO$_3$ structure overlay, where Fe is displayed in brown, La in green and Oxygen in red. (f) HRSTEM-HAADF micrograph at the right boundary showing the Fe$_2$O$_3$ structure along [42-1]$_{R\bar{3}c}$ projection with alternating distances between columns of Fe ions (brown).
6 Discussion

In this section, we discuss the results on point-defect versus secondary-phase formation in LFO at La deficient processing conditions. We analyze the results of our theoretical and experimental findings in mutual perspectives, and we refer and compare them to previously published reports on this topic.

From a thermodynamic point of view, point defects in crystal structures can exist in thermal equilibrium in a bulk material within certain concentration ranges. These ranges are determined by the values that the defect formation energies can have in the stability range of the material in a phase diagram with respect to open particle reservoirs. According to our calculations, at a temperature of 1400 K the concentration of La vacancies in LFO in their highest ionization state (−3) increases from about $10^{15}$ to $10^{20}$ per cm$^3$ if the oxygen gas pressures changes between its lowest ($10^{-19}$ atm) and highest possible values (about $10^{13}$ atm) (cf. Figure 4). Outside of this pressure range, the formation of metallic Fe or molecular O$_2$, respectively, would be favored over perovskite LFO formation (cf. Figure 2). The concentration of oxygen vacancies in their preferred positive ionization state (+2) follows an opposite trend, decreasing from $10^{18}$ to about $10^{15}$ per cm$^3$ for increasing oxygen pressure. A qualitatively similar behavior was reported by Waernhus et al. [17], as indicated in Figure 4 by the black symbols, which represent concentrations of oxygen vacancies (triangles) and of cation vacancies (circles) given in their work. Lanthanum and iron vacancies cannot be distinguished by their applied method, which builds on a model originally developed by Mizusaki et al. [15]. The model delivers defect concentrations by fitting the equilibrium constants of mass-action laws of reactions between the point defects and atmospheric oxygen to experimental data [16], [44]–[46]. Quantitatively, the concentrations obtained by applying this model to LFO are up to one order of magnitude higher than our results, if we evaluate them at the same temperature as reported by Waernhus et al. [17] (1273 K). According to Equation (2), lower temperatures lead to lower point defect concentrations. The deviations can be caused by the existence of charge compensating defect complexes, such as Schottky defects $V_{\text{La}}^{-3} + V_{\text{Fe}}^{-3} + 3V_{\text{O}}^{+2}$, in the perovskite material [13], [17], for which the formation energies of the individual vacancies are lowered by a mutual electrostatic energy gain, compared to the case of dilute, i.e. non-interacting charged point defects as considered in our approach.

Based on our theoretical results (Figure 4), at atmospheric pressure and a temperature of 1400 K, the defect equilibrium mainly consists of $V_{\text{La}}^{-3}$, $V_{\text{Fe}}^{-1}$ and holes in the valence band (charge carriers $\hbar$). Note that Waernhus et al. [17] did not consider the antisite defect $\text{Fe}_{\text{La}}$ in their model, which can also contribute to some extent to the quantitative differences. However, in both models the amount of $V_{\text{La}}^{-3}$ does only negligibly influence the stoichiometry of LFO: with a total number of lattice sites in perfect LFO of around $8\times10^{22}$ cm$^{-3}$, a concentration of La vacancies of $10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ corresponds to La$_{0.9996}$FeO$_3$, and an increase of the number of La vacancies by an order of magnitude gives La$_{0.9998}$FeO$_3$. Applying rich or poor conditions of the precursor compounds (Fe$_3$O$_4$, La$_2$O$_3$) in the synthesis has an even smaller influence, since the concentration of La vacancies only changes by a factor of about two between these scenarios, which confine the extended data region of the La vacancies in Figure 4. Therefore, one cannot expect higher La deficiencies than 0.02% in perovskite LFO synthesized at the given processing conditions.

This statement of our theoretical analysis is corroborated by our experimental analysis of the phases, which formed in the synthesis of LFO with considerably higher intended La deficiencies of up to 10%, as initially set by the molar La/Fe-ratios of the powder mixtures. In the XRD samples with intended La deficiencies of 4%, 6% and 10%, there are very small peaks at 33.112° and 35.612°, which can be attributed to the two strongest reflections 104 and 210 of Fe$_3$O$_4$ in the trigonal hematite (or
corundum) structure with space group $R\bar{3}c$. This is supported by the observation of a measurable amount of iron oxide, namely Fe$_2$O$_3$, as a secondary phase in powder particles in the (S)TEM, as well as in pellets in the SEM and STEM.

For all of the intended compositions La$_x$FeO$_3$ with $y = 1, 0.96, 0.94, 0.9$, quantification of the La/Fe ratio by EDS did not indicate La deficiencies in the single phase LFO particles exceeding the experimental error of about $2\ %$ [Figure 6(a)]. Quantification of the amount of Fe$_2$O$_3$ in powder samples in the TEM is not possible, due to the small amount of sample. For this purpose, we investigated polished cross sections of sintered pellets by SEM, which clearly revealed Fe$_2$O$_3$ as a secondary phase (Figure 8). For the two samples with nominal compositions $y = 0.96$ and $y = 0.9$ quantitative phase analysis yielded amounts of about $2.1\ \text{vol}\%$ and $4.6\ \text{vol}\%$ of Fe$_2$O$_3$, respectively. From the densities of LFO (6.51 g/cm$^3$) and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (5.03 g/cm$^3$), one obtains $1.74\ \text{vol}\%$ for $y = 0.96$ and $4.6\pm0.6\ \text{vol}\%$ for $y = 0.9$. Therefore, our experimental results are in agreement with the conclusion made from our theoretical results, namely that there is no considerable La deficiency realized by vacancies in the LFO structure. Our results are in line with experimental work of Wärnhus et al. [21], who detected both Fe$_2$O$_3$ and La$_2$O$_3$ secondary phases for both $y = 0.997$ and $y = 1.003$ respectively, corresponding to a narrow solid solution interval of LFO.

Considering the point-defect concentrations (Figure 4), the formation of Fe$_2$O$_3$ can also be understood from another perspective. Independent of their charge states, the antisite defects Fe$_{\text{La}}$ have the highest concentrations within the accessible range of values at a given $\Delta \mu_0$ for the points in the phase diagram at the Fe$_2$O$_3$/LFO separation line, which correspond to La$_2$O$_3$ poor conditions (cf. Figure 2). An antisite defect Fe$_{\text{La}}$ within an LFO perovskite structure leads to the local stoichiometry Fe$_2$O$_3$, which may act as a nucleation site for the formation Fe$_2$O$_3$. This is more likely for higher total Fe$_{\text{La}}$ concentrations. At atmospheric oxygen pressure, a temperature of $1400\ \text{K}$, and La$_2$O$_3$-poor conditions, the concentration of Fe$_{\text{La}}$ reaches a value comparable to the concentration of the La vacancy $V_{\text{La}}$, and considerably exceeds it for lower pressures. Especially at grain boundaries, the energy barriers hindering the phase formation and growth are lowered with respect to their values in the bulk perovskite structure. As one can see in Figures 8(b) and (c), the Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase mainly formed at boundaries, or in close vicinity to them, between differently oriented LFO grains.

In addition to phase formation, we can also analyze the electronic structure of LFO from both theoretical and experimental points of view. The theoretical results (Figure 4) are an increasing concentration of p-type charge carriers $h$, i.e. holes at the valence band maximum (VBM), and a decreasing concentration of n-type charge carriers $e$, i.e. electrons at the conduction band minimum, for an increasing oxygen pressure. This behavior was previously reported for pure [17], as well as for Ca- [45] and Sr-doped LFO [16]. Figure 9 shows the site- and orbital-projected electronic density of states of LFO, which we derived from our DFT+$U$ calculations. The VBM is composed of overlapping Fe-3$d$- and O-2$p$-states, which constitute the Fe—O bonds. Due to these bonds, Fe adopts the charge state $+3$ in LFO, and a hole in the VBM can be interpreted as a missing electron in this bonding structure, leading to a nominal charge state of Fe of $+4$. This is in agreement with theoretical results presented by Zhu et al. [14], who proposed p-type conductivity in LFO to occur via a hopping mechanism of hole polarons.

Based on the value of $h$, i.e. the concentration of Fe$^{4+}$ ions, derived in this work for LFO with oxygen as an ideal molecular gas at a temperature of $1400\ \text{K}$ and a pressure of $0.2\ \text{atm}$, the average charge state of Fe would be around $3.0001$ at these conditions. This value is considerably below the sensitivity of the EELS experiments performed in this work to detect changes in charge states of Fe by analyzing shifts of the Fe L$_{3/2}$-edge (Figure 7). However, assuming La vacancy concentrations in LFO according to the intended deficiencies would lead to charge states of Fe between $3.12$ for La$_{0.96}$FeO$_3$ and $3.3$ for La$_{0.9}$FeO$_3$. According to the spectra of the reference materials FeTiO$_3$, Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$,
such charge state deviations would be visible in EELS. The result that no such changes are detected in EELS agrees with our findings of stoichiometric LFO discussed above.

Figure 9. Site- and orbital-projected electronic densities of states of defect-free LFO.

Zhu et al. [19] report Fe charge states of 3.026, 3.034 and 3.024 for LFO with targeted composition La$_3$FeO$_{δ-5}$ and $\gamma = 0.98$, 0.95 and 0.9, respectively. In contrast to our findings, they assume La deficiencies in the perovskite phases, which are realized almost completely by La vacancies. With the assumption of charge neutral systems, the reported charge states of Fe were then calculated from the nominal La deficiency and the oxygen deficiency, which was determined by iodometric titration. Scafetta et al. [18] propose as well such a compensation effect between La and O vacancies for LFO with La deficiencies of up to 22% because they have not observed a change of the charge state of Fe. However, for both of these works (Refs. [18] and [19]), the vacancy concentrations necessary to fulfill the stated LFO compositions exceed the values derived by considering defect formation energies in the phase diagram, as we have done in this study, by several orders of magnitude. In addition, due to the relationship between the chemical potentials of La and O (Figure 2), there are no accessible conditions, at which both of these values are simultaneously such that low vacancy formation energies and high concentrations of both, La and O vacancies would result. This is also reflected in the contrasting trend of these defect concentrations with changing oxygen pressure shown in Figure 4. According to thermodynamics, a stable perovskite structure of LFO cannot exist, which has vacancy concentrations in the bulk interior according to intended deficiencies of several percent.

Therefore, and as well in comparison to our experimental findings, we would expect the presence of binary iron oxide phases in the samples of Scafetta et al. [18] and Zhu et al. [19] as well. XRD measurements were performed in both of these works, and as it is apparent by the weak signals of the small amounts of secondary phases we obtained by our XRD analysis in this work, the Fe$_2$O$_3$ peaks can easily remain undetected by this method.

The strongest reflection of Fe$_2$O$_3$ is barely detectable for our samples with the nominal La/Fe-ratio $y = 0.94$ and $y = 0.96$ (Figure 6(b)). Zhu et al. [18] interpret a slight shift of the strongest XRD reflection peak to higher angles as an indication for a shrinkage of the perovskite structure with increasing La deficiency, and they attribute this to the formation of La-vacancies and Fe$^{4+}$ ions [19]. We have not observed such a variation of the lattice parameters (cf. Table 2). Delmastro et al. [22] state as well that a stoichiometry deviation in the bulk is to be excluded since the XRD peaks are at their angular positions as calculated for LaFeO$_3$.

Zhu et al. [19] employed XPS as their spectroscopic method. In contrast to our EELS and EDS measurements performed in the (S)TEM, XPS measurements spatially average over all phases
present in the sample. Hence, contributions of secondary phases such as Fe$_2$O$_3$ could be present without being detected. Faye et al. [20] showed by deconvolution of XPS O 1s spectra that already for $y = 0.9$ a significant contribution of Fe$_2$O$_3$ is present. They attribute this to Fe$_2$O$_3$ formation at the surface, and conclude that samples consist of stoichiometric LaFeO$_3$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ only. Therefore, in our opinion, evidence for the existence of La vacancies in bulk LFO in the high amounts stated by Scafetta et al. [18] and Zhu et al. [19] cannot be deduced with certainty from their measurements. However, higher concentrations of La and/or O vacancies can indeed be present at particle surfaces where, due to different bonding environments, the corresponding defect formation energies can be lower than in the bulk interior of the particles [47]. This can lead to the formation of surface structures and stoichiometries differing from the bulk crystal, as for example reported by Delmastro, Spinicci and coworkers [22], [23], who detected nano-sized grains with stoichiometric LFO cores and FeO$_6$ octahedra at the surfaces for samples with targeted La deficiencies of up to 30%.

7 Conclusions

In this work, we have studied non-stoichiometric LFO, both theoretically by atomistic DFT+U calculations and experimentally by XRD analysis, electron microscopy and electron spectroscopy. We present calculated formation energies, charge transition levels and concentrations of point defects, as well as concentrations of charge carriers and the Fermi level as a function of oxygen gas parameters in a solid-state synthesis process. Based on these results, the defect equilibrium ensuring a charge neutral system at conditions applied in this work, targeting at La deficient LFO, mainly consists of La vacancies in their highest negative charge state (−3), holes in the valence band, which are effectively due to oxidized Fe ions (Fe$^{4+}$), and negatively charged Fe$_{\text{O}_6}$ antisite defects. To our knowledge, the latter point defect type has not yet been considered in previously published studies dealing with defects in LFO. But its existence in non-negligible amounts especially at La$_2$O$_3$ poor synthesis conditions can facilitate the formation of iron-oxide as a secondary phase.

We identified such a secondary phase by XRD experiments and electron microscopy of samples processed by a solid-state synthesis route with targeted La deficiencies of up to 10%. Analyzing powder particles by EDS, we found agglomerates of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and LFO. Quantification of the La/Fe ratio in the latter did not hint at La deficiencies beyond the limits of experimental errors. In EEL spectra of the LFO particles neither a chemical shift of the Fe $L_{\text{III}}$-edge nor a change in the $L_2/L_3$ intensity ratio was detected, both of which would be expected for structures with considerable amounts of La vacancies and, accordingly, Fe$^{4+}$ ions.

Our experimental findings are in line with our theoretical results. Even though La vacancies and Fe$^{4+}$ ions were identified to be the most favored defects at the specified conditions, their calculated concentrations in the range of $10^{17}–10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ influence the stoichiometry only on the order of $10^{-5}$, which is way below the experimental sensitivity. Oxygen vacancies were found to have even lower concentrations in the bulk crystal of stable LFO at the applied conditions. This is at variance with previous studies, which assumed that off-stoichiometric single-phase LFO with high amounts of La vacancies can be synthesized, which are compensated by high amounts of oxygen vacancies in order to maintain a charge neutral system. Rather, the shortage of La in targeting La deficient LFO leads to the formation of a two-phase composite material LFO/Fe$_2$O$_3$. We additionally confirmed this by analyzing SEM-micrographs and high-resolution STEM images of sintered LFO pellets.
Appendix

A1. DFT+U calculations

The ground-state structures and total energies of perfect and defective LFO crystals were obtained by density functional theory (DFT) calculations using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [48]. A cutoff energy of 600 eV was used for the plane-waves basis describing the Bloch states of the valence electrons. The partial occupations of Bloch states were determined the tetrahedron method with Blöchl corrections [49]. The interaction with the core electrons was treated by projector-augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotentials [50]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in the formulation of Perdew et al. [51] was chosen as the exchange-correlation (xc) functional. By using approximate xc functionals, strongly correlated electrons, such as the 3d-electrons in transition metal oxides, turn out to be too delocalized due to uncompensated electronic self-interaction. In this work, this was corrected by applying a Hubbard U correction [52] to the 3d-electrons of iron (DFT+U). A U value of 4 eV was applied, which was derived as an optimal choice in a previous study [27] by comparing experimental to theoretical oxidation energies of iron oxides [53]. Electronic self-consistency loops were stopped when the energy difference between two steps was less than 10⁻⁵ eV, and the structures were relaxed until the minimal force component acting on an atom was below 0.01 eV/Å. For the simulations of supercells containing point defects, the optimized cell parameters of the perfect crystal were fixed and only the internal coordinates were relaxed. The Brillouin zone integrations for the electronic structure optimization were performed on a Γ-point centered k-point mesh of dimensions 4x6x4. The spins on the iron sites in LFO were arranged in antiferromagnetic order, such that the nearest neighbors of each spin are oriented in the opposite direction. This configuration is known to be favored in LFO below the Néel temperature of 750 K.

A2. The chemical potential of oxygen

The chemical potential of oxygen, \( \mu_0 \), is taken as one half of the chemical potential \( \mu_{\text{O}_2} \) of the oxygen molecule, which can be expressed as the sum of \( \mu_{\text{O}_2}^{(0)} \), the ground state energy of \( \text{O}_2 \) at zero temperature, and \( \Delta \mu_{\text{O}_2}(T, p) \), the change of \( \mu_{\text{O}_2} \) for finite temperatures \( T \) and partial pressures \( p \):

\[
\mu_0(T, p) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\text{O}_2}(T, p) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\text{O}_2}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mu_{\text{O}_2}(T, p). \tag{A1}
\]

We obtained a value for \( \mu_{\text{O}_2}^{(0)} \) by calculating the total energy of an \( \text{O}_2 \) molecule by DFT, which we then corrected for the well-known over-binding error according to Ref. [27] and obtained \( \mu_{\text{O}_2}^{(0)} = -8.57 \text{ eV} \). For \( \Delta \mu_0(T, p) \), we used the formula given by Samanta et al. [28], which can be derived by statistical quantum mechanics of an ideal gas [54]:

\[
\Delta \mu_{\text{O}_2}(T, p) = k_B T \ln \left( \frac{p \lambda^3}{k_B T} \right) - k_B T \ln \left( \frac{I}{\hbar^2} \right) + k_B T \ln \left[ 1 - \exp \left( - \frac{\hbar \omega_0}{k_B T} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hbar \omega_0}{k_B T} \tag{A2}
\]

with the Boltzmann constant \( k_B \) and the reduced Planck’s constant \( \hbar \). The translational, rotational and vibrational degrees of freedom of a diatomic molecule are taken into account by the first, second and third term, respectively, on the right hand side of Equation (A2). The corresponding characteristic quantities are the thermal de Broglie wavelength \( \lambda = \sqrt{2 \pi \hbar / m k_B T} \), the moment of inertia \( I = m(d/2)^2 \) and the vibrational frequency \( \omega_0 \) of the diatomic molecule, respectively. \( m \) is the mass of the molecule and \( d \) the bond length in the diatomic molecule. For \( \text{O}_2, \omega_0 = 1568 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) [28] and \( d = 1.21 \text{ Å} \). The last term on the right hand side of Equation (A2) represents the quantum
mechanical zero-point energy, which can also be formally attributed to $\mu^{(0)}_{O_2}$. However, with $\hbar \omega_0/2 \approx 0.015$ eV, its influence on $\mu_0(T, p)$ is marginal.

A3. Comparison of defect formation energies

Knowledge of the defect formation energies $\Delta E_{i,q}$ at specific values of $\{\Delta \mu_i\}$ and $E_F$ allows for the calculation of $\Delta E_{i,q}$ at other values $\{\Delta \mu_i'\}$ and $E_F'$ by applying Equation (1):

$$\Delta E_{i,q}(\{\Delta \mu_i\}, E_F) = \Delta E_{i,q}(\{\Delta \mu_i\}, E_F) + \sum_j n_j (\Delta \mu_j - \Delta \mu_j') + q(E_F' - E_F).$$

(A3)

In Table 1 (Section 4.1), we list formation energies of point defects in LFO at $\Delta \mu_{La} = -7.21$ eV, $\Delta \mu_{Fe} = -1.89$ eV and $\Delta \mu_{O} = -1.72$ eV, and $E_F = 0.89$ eV. These were obtained by applying Equation (1), with the total energies of the defective and perfect crystals calculated by DFT+U with $U_{re} = 4$ eV. Formation energies of point defects in LFO have been reported previously [12]–[14], but in each case given at a different point in the phase diagram, and obtained using a different DFT approach. We used Equation (A3) to determine the literature values (Refs. [12]–[14]) of the formation energies of the neutral vacancies $V_{La}$, $V_{Fe}$ and $V_{O}$ at $\Delta \mu_{La} = -7.21$ eV, $\Delta \mu_{Fe} = -1.89$ eV and $\Delta \mu_{O} = -1.72$ eV in order to compare these data to each other as well as to our results. The values are listed in Table A1. Even without the additional complication of charge corrections ($q = 0$), the formation energies differ by up to 4 eV, which would lead to considerably different defect concentrations. We discuss possible reasons for this spread of data in the following.

Table A1. Comparison of literature data [12]–[14] and our results for formation energies of the neutral vacancies $V_{La}$, $V_{Fe}$ and $V_{O}$ in LFO (in eV). Different values of $V_{O}$ correspond to $V_{O1}$ (upper value) and $V_{O2}$ (lower value). In addition, the band gaps reported in the literature are given. The $U$ value in the last line is given in parentheses, since there was no $U$ contribution considered in the HSE study of Zhu et al. [14], but based on results given in Ref. [27], a band gap of 3.5 eV can be obtained from DFT+U calculations with $U_{re} = 8$ eV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$U$ (eV)</th>
<th>$V_{La}$</th>
<th>$V_{Fe}$</th>
<th>$V_{O}$</th>
<th>$E_{gap}$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ref. [12]; DFT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work; DFT+U</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. [13]; DFT+U</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. [14]; DFT-HSE</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pushpa et al. [12] employed DFT without a $U$ correction (equivalent to DFT+U with $U_{re} = 0$ eV), Taylor et al. performed DFT+U with $U_{re} = 7$ eV [13], and Zhu et al. applied DFT with a hybrid functional (HSE) [14]. The latter obtained a band gap $E_{gap}$ of LFO of 3.5 eV, which is considerably above the experimental value of 2.3 eV [34]. In a previous study, we found a linear relationship between $U_{re}$ and the band gap for LFO [27], which yields $U_{re} \approx 4$ eV for $E_{gap} = 2.3$ eV and $U_{re} \approx 8$ eV for $E_{gap} = 3.5$ eV. Therefore, we compare the DFT+U values in Table A1 with the HSE value of Zhu et al. [14] by interpreting the latter tentatively as resulting from DFT+U with $U_{re} = 8$ eV. In this regard, the formation energy of $V_{La}$ consistently increases if $U_{re}$ changes from 0 to 8 eV.

The formation energy of $V_{Fe}$ shows an increasing tendency with $U_{re}$, too. However, we have some doubt about the value obtained by Taylor et al. [13] for $U_{re} = 7$ eV. As mentioned in a more detailed work of this topic by the same author (Ref. [55]), next to the total energies of LFO, the ground state energy of metallic iron, $\mu^{(0)}_{Fe}$, which enters Equation (1), was calculated in Refs. [13] and [55] also within the DFT+U approach with $U_{re} = 7$ eV. In our opinion, this approach is questionable, since the properties of metals (good conductors) are usually better described by DFT without a $U$ correction (or with only
small U values). In order to clarify this aspect, we performed a test calculation and obtained a lattice parameter of bcc iron of 3.03 Å for DFT+U with \( U_{\text{fe}} = 7 \) eV compared to 2.83 Å for DFT without U. The latter agrees much better with the experimental value at room temperature of 2.87 Å. Furthermore, applying the DFT+U value (\( U_{\text{fe}} = 7 \) eV) of \( V_{\text{fe}}^{(0)} \) as a test case, we obtain a formation energy of \( V_{\text{fe}} \) of 6.37 eV, which is close to the result reported by Taylor et al. (6.51 eV) [13]. In contrast, in our approach of the present work, we used the DFT value for \( V_{\text{fe}}^{(0)} \) (without a U correction), and DFT+U values with \( U_{\text{fe}} = 4 \) eV for the total energies of the defective and perfect LFO structures in Equation (1). This is consistent to the calculation of formation energies of transition metal oxide compounds by combining DFT and DFT+U values, and we corrected the systematic error introduced by this procedure as discussed in detail in Refs. [27], [56].

In the case of \( V_{\text{o}} \), a systematic change of the formation energy with \( U_{\text{fe}} \) cannot be identified when comparing the literature values to our results (Table A1). The over-binding of the \( \text{O}_2 \) molecule, which serves as the reference state for the calculation of the formation energy of the oxygen vacancy, is a well-known systematic inaccuracy of common DFT (LDA or GGA) calculations [53], [57]. We corrected this error in the calculation of \( V_{\text{o}}^{(0)} \) by 0.64 eV, as derived in Ref. [27]. The necessity of taking into account such a correction was described in detail by Lee et al. [47]. The value they derived for the formation energy of \( V_{\text{o}} \) in LFO agrees within 0.2 eV with our value. However, Refs. [12]–[14] do not report that the over-binding was taken into account in their calculation of \( V_{\text{o}}^{(0)} \), which presumably is the reason for the consistently lower formation energies of \( V_{\text{o}} \) obtained in these studies.

To sum up this discussion, the choice of the \( U \) value and the application of energy correction schemes considerably influences the point defect formation energies. In our opinion, the most reliable values can be obtained by applying DFT+U with \( U_{\text{fe}} = 4 \) eV for deriving the total energies of the LFO supercells, and by using DFT without \( U \) corrections for the total energies of the elemental ground state structures. In addition, one needs to correct the systematic errors originating from mixing DFT+U and DFT energies [56], as well as from the over-binding of the diatomic oxygen molecule [53]. \( U_{\text{fe}} = 4 \) eV yields the correct band gap for LFO [27] and is well established for a variety of iron-containing oxides. For this material class, including LFO, it was previously shown that using \( U_{\text{fe}} = 4 \) eV and applying the above compiled correction schemes also leads to compound formation energies in good agreement to available experimental data [27].

In contrast to the formation energies, the charge transition levels \( \epsilon(q/q') \) reported in the literature [12]–[14] agree qualitatively well among each other and with the values obtained in this work (Figure 3). There is clear consistency that \( V_{\text{la}} \) acts as a strong acceptor, that \( V_{\text{fe}} \) has a shallow acceptor level in the band gap, and that \( V_{\text{o}} \) is a deep donor. However, together with the band gap, the levels shift to higher values for increasing \( U_{\text{fe}} \), as expected.
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