Lensing of Gravitational Waves as a Novel Probe of Graviton Mass
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The diffraction patterns of lensed gravitational waves encode information about their propagation speeds. If gravitons have mass, the dispersion relation and speed of gravitational waves will be affected in a frequency-dependent manner, which would leave potentially detectable traces in the diffraction pattern if the waves are lensed. In this paper, we study how the alternative dispersion relation induced by massive gravitons affects gravitational waves lensed by point-mass lenses, such as intermediate-mass black holes. By detecting a single lensed gravitational-wave signal, we can measure the graviton mass with an accuracy better than the combined measurement across $O(10^2)$ unlensed signals. Our method can be generalised to other lens types, gravitational-wave sources, and detector networks, opening up new ways to measure the graviton mass through gravitational-wave detection.

I. INTRODUCTION

When gravitational waves propagate near a massive compact object, the propagation direction will be changed due to the gravity of the compact object [23, 28, 48, 54–56]. This phenomenon is known as lensing. The lensed waves interfere amongst themselves to form new wave patterns. The lensing pattern depends on the nature of the lens, lensing geometry, and interference between different (possible) rays. Lensing is a crucial astrophysical probe. For example, lensing of gravitational waves might provide us with the information of the existence of intermediate-mass black holes (of mass $\sim 10^2 M_\odot - 10^3 M_\odot$) [38], cosmological expansion [27, 33] and testing general relativity [26, 30, 45, 46]. Since the direct detection of gravitational waves, searches for lensed gravitational waves has been popular [22, 32, 14]. Nonetheless, no conclusive evidence of lensed gravitational-wave signals has been found as of the time of writing.

Another popular aspect of studies is the dispersion relation of gravitational waves [4–16, 18, 21]. According to general relativity, gravitational waves are local Lorentz invariant, obeying the dispersion relation of $\omega = ck$, where $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $c$ is the speed of light, and $k$ is the wavenumber. This dispersion relation implies that gravitons are massless. If the gravitons have mass, gravitational waves obey an alternative dispersion relation, leading to different propagation speeds for various frequencies. As gravitational waves propagate, dephasing will be developed across different frequencies. By (not) detecting the dephasing, we can constrain the graviton mass. As of the time of writing, no evidence of significant Lorentz violation has been found using this method [8, 9, 12, 15]. Alternatively, the near-field behaviour of black holes has also been suggested as a probe of the graviton mass [25].

The graviton mass might also be encoded in lensed gravitational waves. The dispersion relation of gravitational waves due to the massive graviton will change the time delay of waves of different frequencies in different directions, leading to additional features of the resultant lensing pattern. These additional features open up a whole new possibility of measuring the graviton mass by detecting lensed gravitational waves. Moreover, the amplification of gravitational-wave signal by lensing may help to improve the measurement accuracy of the graviton mass. Measuring the graviton mass by lensing also makes relevant tests more complete because lensing involves the strength of gravity intermediate between the near and far-field. In this paper, we propose a novel method to measure graviton mass by detecting lensed gravitational waves.

Throughout the paper, $m_g$ is in unit of $c = h = 1$ (so $h = 2\pi$), while the mass of compact objects (such as black hole and lens) are in unit of $c = G = 1$.

II. LENSING PATTERN OF GRAVITATIONAL WAVES WITH DISPERSION

A. Assumptions and approximations

This work makes a few assumptions.

1. Following [34, 37, 32], we ignore the screening of gravity due to the mass of graviton [51]. This assumption implies that we will ignore the effects on...
the dynamics of binary black hole mergers due to the graviton mass. In the context of lensing, this assumption implies that at a sufficiently far distance \( r \), the Newtonian gravitational potential due to a black hole (point-mass lens) of mass \( M \) is given by \( M/r \).

2. We focus only on the effects on GW lensing due to the graviton mass. Other consequences of lensing, such as modification on polarization \( [35] \) and phase shift \( [40] [41] \), will be omitted. These are acceptable approximations because including these effects will include more contrasting features to graviton-mass measurement. In this work, we focus on improvements on the constraint or measurement accuracy of the graviton mass by considering the combined effects of lensing and dephasing induced by the graviton mass.

### B. Method

If gravitons have mass, phenomenologically, the dispersion relation of gravitational waves will be altered to \( [42] \),

\[
\omega^2 = k^2 + m_g^2, \tag{1}
\]

where \( m_g \) is the mass of graviton. If \( m_g \ll k \), the propagation speed of dispersive gravitational waves that obey this dispersion relation can be approximated by the following equation,

\[
v_g(f) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{m_g^2}{f^2}. \tag{2}
\]

When propagating in a flat space-time, the dispersive gravitational waves obeying Eq. (1) will acquire a dephasing due to the difference in propagation speeds among different frequencies \( [42] \),

\[
\Psi_{\text{disp}}(f; m_g) = -\frac{\pi D_0}{\lambda_g^2} \frac{1}{(1 + z)f}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \lambda_g = 1/m_g \) is Compton’s wavelength of the graviton, \( D_0 \) is the propagation distance from the source to the detector and \( z \) is the redshift of the source binary. Thus, in the frequency domain, the waveform of unlensed dispersive gravitational waves is

\[
\tilde{h}_{\text{disp}}(f) = \tilde{h}(f) e^{i\Psi_{\text{disp}}(f)}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( \tilde{h}(f) \) is the original (unlensed) GR waveform (see, e.g., \( [3] [24] [49] \), for GR waveform approximants).

When encountering a massive compact object, such as an intermediate-mass black hole, gravitational waves will be lensed. The lensing effect is characterized by the amplification function (or transmission factor) \( [29] [53] \), \( F \), which is the ratio of lensed-wave amplitude to unlensed-wave amplitude,

\[
\tilde{h}_L(f) = F(f) \tilde{h}(f), \tag{5}
\]

where \( \tilde{h}_L(f) \) is the lensed waveform and \( \tilde{h}(f) \) is the unlensed waveform. Given a lensing geometry, \( F(f) \) can be computed by \( [47] [54] [56] \)

\[
F(f; \tilde{\theta}_x) = \frac{D_L D_S}{D_{LS}} \frac{e^{2|1+z_L| f \sqrt{i/v_g}}}{v_g} \times \int d^2 \tilde{\theta}_L \exp \left[ 2\pi f t_d(\tilde{\theta}_L, \tilde{\theta}_x) \right], \tag{6}
\]

where \( v_g \) is GW propagation speed, \( D_L, D_S \) and \( D_{LS} \) are respectively the lens-to-observer distance, the source-to-observer distance and the source-to-lens distance, \( z_L \) is the redshift of lens, \( \tilde{\theta}_x \) is the displacement from optical axis to the source on source plane, \( \tilde{\theta}_L \) is the displacement from optical axis to lens on lens plane, \( t_d \) is the time delay between the lensed ray and unlensed ray,

\[
t_d(\tilde{\theta}_x, \tilde{\theta}_s) = \frac{(1 + z_L)}{v_g} \left[ \frac{D_L D_S}{2D_{LS}} |\tilde{\theta}_s - \tilde{\theta}_L|^2 - \psi(\tilde{\theta}_x) \right], \tag{7}
\]

where \( \psi(\tilde{\theta}_x) \) is the lensing potential. Overall \( t_d \) also depends on \( v_g, \tilde{\theta}_x \) and lens \( \tilde{\theta}_L \) and \( \xi_0 \) is a length scale.

We note that the amplification function Eq. (6) depends on \( \frac{\xi_0}{v_g} \) as a whole. Thus, the amplification function of GWs of the massive graviton is just that of GWs without dispersion with the following replacement,

\[
f \rightarrow \beta(f) f, \tag{8}
\]

where

\[
\beta(f) = \frac{c}{v_g(f)} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_g^2}{f^2}. \tag{9}
\]

As a proof-of-principle demonstration, in this work we focus on the case of a point mass lens, such as a black hole. For a point-mass lens, the amplification function can be analytically evaluated as \( [54] [56] \)

\[
F(f; M_{\text{len}}, y, m_g) = \exp \left( \frac{\pi w \beta}{4} \right) \left( \frac{2}{w \beta} \right)^{\frac{w \beta}{2}} \Gamma \left( 1 - \frac{w \beta}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{w \beta}{2} \right) F_1 \left( i \frac{w \beta}{2}, 1; i \frac{w \beta}{2} y^2 \right), \tag{10}
\]

where \( M_{\text{len}} \) is the redshifted mass of the lens and \( y \) is the impact parameter of lensing, \( \Gamma \) is the (complex) Gamma function, \( F_1 \) is confluent hypergeometric function, \( \omega = 8\pi M_{\text{len}} f \) is the dimensionless frequency. The resulting

---

1 Alternatively, this equation can be interpreted as a definition of the massive graviton which leads to the dispersion of gravitational perturbations. In this work, we refer “the mass of graviton” to \( m_g \) defined by Eq. (1).
lensed waveform of gravitational waves corresponding to the massive gravitons can be written as

\[ \hat{h}_L(f; m_g) = F(f; M_{\text{len}}, y, m_g) \hat{h}(f) e^{i \Psi_{\text{disp}}(f)}. \]  

(11)

Note that, according to Eq. (2) gravitational waves of different frequencies are different. The only constant achromatic speed is the speed of light. Therefore, the effects described by Eq. (11) is not degenerate with a constant change of propagation speed of gravitational waves. Thus, the effects of the massive gravitons can be distinguished upon gravitational-wave detection.

Fig. 1 plots \( F(f) \) corresponding to the lensing by an intermediate mass black hole of redshifted lens mass \( M_{\text{len}} = 400 M_\odot \) and \( y = 0.9 \) for \( m_g = 0 \) and \( m_g = 10^{-14} \) eV as a function of \( f \). The modifications to the lensing pattern due to the dispersion relation Eq. (1) are most manifest in the low-frequency regime \( (f \leq 100 \text{Hz}) \), corresponding to the energy scale of \( hf \sim m_g c^2 \). As the frequency of gravitational waves increases, the changes to the amplification function due to the alternative dispersion become increasingly less manifest because the ultrarelativistic limit \( E \approx p \) has been attained.

III. PARAMETER ESTIMATION

A. Bayesian Inference

Eq. (10) suggests that, upon detecting a GW signal lensed by a point-mass lens, we can measure (or constrain) \( m_g \) along with other lensing parameters and the parameters describing the source binary. We denote parameters describing the source binary by \( \theta_{\text{BBH}} \) and parameters describing the lens by \( \theta_{\text{len}} = (M_{\text{len}}, y) \). By Bayes’ theorem, the posterior of \( m_g \), \( \theta_{\text{len}} \) and \( \theta_{\text{BBH}} \) is given,

\[ p(\theta_{\text{BBH}}, \theta_{\text{len}}, m_g | \bar{d}, H, I) \]
\[ \propto p_{\text{BBH}}(\theta_{\text{BBH}} | H, I) p_{\text{len}}(\theta_{\text{len}} | H, I) p_m(m_g | H, I) \]  

(12)

where \( p_{\text{BBH}}(\theta_{\text{BBH}} | H, I) \), \( p_{\text{len}}(\theta_{\text{len}} | H, I) \) and \( p_m(m_g | H, I) \) are respectively the prior of \( \theta_{\text{BBH}} \), \( \theta_{\text{len}} \) and \( m_g \). Since \( \theta_{\text{BBH}} \), \( \theta_{\text{len}} \) and \( m_g \) should be independent, we have assumed that their priors are factorized.

\[ p(d | \theta_{\text{BBH}}, \theta_{\text{len}}, m_g, H, I) \]
\[ \propto \exp \left( -2 \sum_{D=H,L,V} \int_{0}^{+\infty} df \frac{\hat{h}_D(m_g, \theta_{\text{len}}, \theta_{\text{BBH}}) - \hat{d}_D}{S_D(f)} \right) \]  

(13)

where \( \hat{h}_D(m_g, \theta_{\text{len}}, \theta_{\text{BBH}}) \) is the frequency-domain response corresponding to detector \( D \) by the waveform Eq. (11) and \( S_D(f) \) the one-sided power-spectral density of a given detector \( D \) (H, L, V for Hanford, Livingston and Virgo, respectively).

Following [38], we place a uniform prior for \( M_{\text{len}} \). For \( y \), we place a prior which is uniform for \( y^2 \in [0, 1] \) instead of \( y \). For \( m_g \), we place a prior which is uniform for \( \log_{10} m_g \in [-26, -20] \), which covers the magnitude of the most updated constraints on \( m_g \) by gravitational waves and small enough values for us to explore constraints tighter that the known constraints. Although for this range of \( m_g \) the modification to the lensed gravitational waveform by \( m_g \) is dominated by the dephasing \( \Psi_{\text{disp}}(f; m_g) \), it is still interesting for us to investigate how the amplification of a signal by lensing can help measure or constrain \( m_g \). At last, the marginalized posterior of \( m_g \) can be obtained by marginalizing Eq. (12) over \( \theta_{\text{BBH}} \) and \( \theta_{\text{len}} \).

\[ p(m_g | \bar{d}, H, I) = \int d\theta_{\text{BBH}} \int d\theta_{\text{len}} p(\theta_{\text{BBH}}, \theta_{\text{len}}, m_g | \bar{d}, H, I). \]  

(14)

To gauge possible improvements of the measurement accuracy of \( m_g \) by a lensed signal over unlensed signal(s), we will compare the posterior of \( m_g \) due to the simulated lensed signal with its unlensed counterpart (henceforth labelled as “unlensed signal”). However, lensing-rate calculations suggest that the Advanced LIGO and Virgo detectors will detect a lensed signal per \( \sim 600 \) unlensed signals. Thus, a more fair comparison will be with the posterior of \( m_g \) combined across \( \sim 600 \) unlensed signals.
However, in practice, the combined measurement accuracy of $m_g$ will be dominated by the signal with the best measurement accuracy. For an unlensed signal, there are two factors significantly affecting the measurement (or constraint) accuracy of $m_g$. One is the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The other is the propagation distance (see Eq. (3)). Thus, we first simulated a population of $\sim 600$ binary black-hole mergers according to [24], each of which has an SNR of $\geq 10$, approximately the minimum SNR for an event to be detectable by the Advanced LIGO and Virgo detectors [19, 21, 43]. Then, we look for the source with the furthest distance whose SNR is still larger than 30 to estimate the optimal measurement accuracy. We represent the measurement of $m_g$ combined across these 600 simulated signals by the posterior of $m_g$ of this signal (henceforth labelled as “population signal”).

B. Mock signals of $m_g = 0$

We first apply our analysis to mock signals of $m_g = 0$. We prepare unlensed signals in the frequency domain by the IMRPhenoPv2 template [3, 19], a phenomenological waveform template calibrated against numerical-relativity simulations, using the LALSimulation library [39]. The simulated unlensed signals contain the inspiral, merger and ringdown phase. Expressly, for both the lensed and unlensed signal (but not the population signal), we assume a source binary black hole whose parameters are close to that of GW150914, the first detected gravitational-wave event [6]. The originally unlensed signal corresponds to an SNR of 46, and the SNR of the population signal corresponds to 30.

We then map the unlensed signal into the lensed signal by multiplying the frequency-domain waveform by the amplification function [Eq. (6)]. For the lensed signal, we assume that the signal is lensed by an intermediate-mass black hole at redshift $z = 0.9$. This mass of lens is chosen because intermediate-mass black holes of similar masses are hoped to be discovered by gravitational-wave lensing [38]. This value of $y$ is chosen because it is more likely to have a larger $y$ (see the prior of $y$). After being lensed, the SNR of the signal is boosted to 57. The prepared signals are injected into simulated Gaussian noise assuming the design sensitivity of the Advanced LIGO and Virgo detectors [1, 2]. When inferring the lensed signal, we use the waveform model of Eq. (11) with the dephasing due to massive graviton included. For the unlensed signal, we infer with the waveform model with $F(f; m_g)$ in Eq. (11) set to be 1 for all frequency and $M_{\text{len}}$ and $y$ are removed from inference. The diagonal [Fig. 2] shows the posterior of redshifted lens mass $M_{\text{len}}$, $y$ and $\log m_g$ and the off-diagonal plots show the two-dimensional posterior distributions amongst the variables. The green vertical lines mark the injected values. The red vertical line marks the $3\sigma$ interval of the marginalized posterior of $\log_{10} m_g$ from $m_g = 10^{-26}$eV. From [Fig. 2] we find that the posterior of $\log_{10} m_g$ has no support for $\log_{10} m_g > -23.2$ because our measurement of gravitational waves rules out the possibility of an excessive large $m_g$. From the posterior of $M_{\text{len}}$ and $y$, we conclude that we can accurately estimate the lensing-related parameters while testing the graviton mass with lensing. Moreover, judging from [Fig. 2] there are no strong correlations between the lensing-related parameters and $m_g$.

To study the changes in measurement accuracy due to lensing, we overlay the posterior of $\log_{10} m_g$ of the lensed signal (solid blue line) and its unlensed counterpart (dashed red line) in [Fig. 3]. The $3\sigma$ interval of the unlensed signal (dashed vertical line) is $-22.9$, corresponding to $m_g \sim 1.3 \times 10^{-28}$eV. Similarly, the $3\sigma$ interval of the “population” signal is $\log_{10} m_g \sim -22.9$ [2]. The $3\sigma$ CI of the lensed signal is $-23.3$, corresponding to $m_g \sim 5 \times 10^{-24}$eV, about half of the constraints by unlensed signals. Our results suggest that a single lensed

\[\begin{align*}
M_{\text{len}}(M_{\odot}) &= 384.43^{+10.69}_{-13.93} \\
\log_{10} m_g (\text{eV}) &= 0.93^{+0.03}_{-0.02} \\
3\sigma - \text{CI} &= -23.26
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
M_{\text{len}}(M_{\odot}) &= 384.43^{+10.69}_{-13.93} \\
\log_{10} m_g (\text{eV}) &= 0.93^{+0.03}_{-0.02} \\
3\sigma - \text{CI} &= -23.26
\end{align*}\]

We notice that the marginalized posterior of $\log_{10} m_g$ of the population signal is slightly bipolar. This is because the log likeli-
signal can lead to a constraint on $m_g$ tighter than that combined across $O(10^2)$ unlensed signals.

**C. Mock signals of $m_g \sim 3 \times 10^{-23}\text{eV}$**

We also perform our test on lensed and unlensed mock signals of $m_g \sim 3 \times 10^{-23}\text{eV}$, approximately the constraints on $m_g$ by various astrophysical observations. The source binary black holes, lensing geometry and simulations of mock signals are the same as described in Section III B.

Fig. 3 shows the posterior of $\log_{10} m_g$ obtained from the simulated lensed and unlensed signals. The posterior of $\log_{10} m_g$ of the unlensed signal (solid blue) is much broader than that of the lensed signal (solid red line). Although the posterior of the unlensed signal is consistent with the injected $m_g$, from which we cannot confidently draw any conclusive evidence of the existence of massive graviton. The population signal (in dashed-dotted green line) gives a posterior which peaks more sharply at a value close to the injected $m_g$. From the posterior, we read (within 3σ interval) $\log_{10} m_g = -22.3^{+0.112}_{-0.432}$ from the lensed signal, $\log_{10} m_g = -23.5^{+1.64}_{-2.01}$ from the original unlensed signal and $\log_{10} m_g = -22.7^{+0.356}_{-3.30}$ from the “population” signal. The width of the posterior of the lensed signal is approximately smaller than a tenth of that of the unlensed counterpart and one-third of that of the population signal, which suggests that a single lensed signal can lead to measurement of the graviton mass more accurate than the combined measurement across $O(10^2)$ unlensed signals. Our results demonstrate the novel ability of lensed gravitational-wave signals to significantly improve the graviton mass’s measurement accuracy.

**IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS**

This paper studies the lensing pattern by a point-mass lens of gravitational waves with an isotropic dispersion...
relation due to massive gravitons. We find that a massive graviton changes the lensing pattern of gravitational waves, especially for gravitational waves of wavelength comparable with Compton’s wavelength of the considered graviton mass. Most importantly, we demonstrate that by detecting a lensed gravitational-wave signal, we can measure the graviton mass with an accuracy better than the combined measurement across $O(10^5)$ unlensed signals. We expect that our analysis can be applied to real detection when the Advanced LIGO and Virgo detect a lensed signal in the future.

Other than the improvement of measurement accuracy of the graviton mass, our method enjoys several advantages. Firstly, compared to other proposed methods of testing the speed of GWs by observing lensing [20, 30], our approach requires no observation of the electromagnetic counterpart(s) of a given event. Therefore, our method is more stand-alone and is easier to be performed. Secondly, our method is independent of the nature of the source binaries. Although in this paper, we focused on gravitational waves generated by binary black holes, our method can be straightforwardly applied to other types of coalescence, such as binary neutron star coalescence [50]. Binary neutron-star coalescence has been detected at a relatively close luminosity distance ($\sim 50$Mpc) [12, 17], which may limit its ability to constrain graviton mass via measuring propagation dephasing (c.f. Eq. (3)). However, if gravitational waves generated by binary neutron star are lensed, these gravitational-wave events constraints can be significantly improved. This flexibility greatly extends the scope of graviton-mass measurement. Lastly, our method makes the test of graviton mass more complete. While the far-field propagation of gravitational waves [57] [12] and near-field behaviour of black holes [25] have been proposed to constrain the mass of graviton, our test bridges the intermediate region between these two tests. Along with other tests of general relativity via observing the lensing of gravitational waves (such as [31]), our test demonstrates the strong potential to understand the nature of space-time via observing lensing.

In this work, we ignore the effects of (i) the change of polarization of gravitational waves due to lensing [35], (ii) the change of the behaviour of the source compact binary due to massive graviton, as is the case in [8, 15], and (iii) the change of the gravitational field around the lens by the graviton mass. Also, our study focusing on the case of point mass lens. These ignored effects and the lensing of dispersive gravitational wave of other lens types remain fully explored. If we include these effects in our measurement, the accuracy can be further enhanced. Other than these effects, we plan to study the lensing of dispersive gravitational waves by lenses with structures, such as singular isothermal sphere (SIS), because their lensing pattern might vary more sensitively to the graviton mass, thereby further improving the measurement accuracy. We would also like to investigate the performance of our test for the detection by proposed space-based detectors, such as the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) [52], which are capable of exquisite phase measurement and much better constraints. Therefore, in the future, we can measure the graviton mass with unparalleled accuracy by observing lensed gravitational-wave signals.
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