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Abstract

Diam-mean equicontinuity is a dynamical property that has been of use in the study of non-periodic order. Using some type of “local” skew product between a shift and an odometer looking cellular automaton (CA) we will show there exists an almost diam-mean equicontinuous CA that is not almost equicontinuous, and hence not almost locally periodic.

1 Introduction

The study of non-periodic order in the sense of finding and classifying order in non-periodic structures has been a topic of interest among mathematicians, physicists and biologists for many years. For example, in 1940 Hedlund and Morse studied non-periodic sequences with minimal complexity which they called Sturmian sequences [18]. The idea of aperiodic crystals appeared a bit later in the book “What is life?” of Schrödinger and has influenced several lines of research. The role of dynamical systems in this line of research is natural, as it provides several formal ways to define order.

Cellular automata (CA) were introduced by Ulam and von Neumann to model the evolution of cells. Cellular automata can be studied in the context of topological dynamical systems (TDS), that is the study of pairs \((X, T)\), where \(X\) is a compact metric space and \(T : X \rightarrow X\) a continuous function [16, 2].

In the study of cellular automata (or symbolic dynamics in general) periodicity is linked to the concept of equicontinuity. A TDS is equicontinuous if the family \(\{T^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is equicontinuous. One may also study this notion locally. A point \(x \in X\) is an equicontinuity point if the diameter of the images of a small ball around \(x\) will always stay small, that is if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(\delta > 0\) such that \(\text{diam}(T^i B_\delta(x)) < \varepsilon\) for every \(i \in \mathbb{N}\). We say a TDS is almost equicontinuous if the equicontinuity points are dense. Given a CA it is not difficult to check that a point is equicontinuous if and only if it is locally eventually periodic; that is, if every column is eventually periodic (Proposition 2.6). For CA, almost equicontinuity is more natural than equicontinuity since it can be used to classify CA using sensitivity to initial conditions (Theorem 4.3). A weaker notion of equicontinuity, \textit{diam-mean equicontinuity}, requires the diameter of small balls to stay small on average (see Definition 2.2). The notion of diam-mean equicontinuity has been used to characterize regularity properties of the maximal equicontinuous factor [9], which are natural in the context of aperiodic order, and a weaker property, mean equicontinuity (introduced in [5, 19]), has been shown to be connected with the concept of (measure-theoretic) discrete spectrum [5, 13, 17, 6] and almost periodic functions [10] (for a survey on mean equicontinuity see [14]). Nonetheless, the view point of this paper is not quite the same as in the study of quasicrystals and aperiodic order as in [1]. Because almost diam-mean equicontinuous systems are only required to be ordered locally almost everywhere (not every point has to be diam-mean equicontinuous), and they may exhibit chaotic properties like positive entropy.

In [1], the authors constructed a CA that is almost mean equicontinuous but not almost equicontinuous. It turns out this CA is not almost diam-mean equicontinuous [8]. Taking some
form of local skew-product between a very regular CA (similar to an odometer) and a shift
we construct a CA that is almost diam-mean equicontinuous but not almost equicontinuous
(Theorem 3.20). Then, we construct a CA that is neither almost diam-mean equicontinuous nor
diam-mean sensitive (Theorem 4.5). Note that CA are almost equicontinuous or sensitive.
In summary; almost equicontinuous CA always exhibit eventually periodic behaviour. CA
are either almost equicontinuous or sensitive. There exists very chaotic CA, like the shift,
which satisfy all the sensitivity type properties. Nonetheless, among the sensitive CA, there
exist almost diam-mean equicontinuous CA, and CA that are neither almost diam-mean
equicontinuous nor diam-mean sensitive. Among diam-mean sensitive CA, there exists almost
mean equicontinuous and CA that are neither mean sensitive nor almost mean equicontinuous.

2 Preliminaries

We say \((X, T)\) is a topological dynamical system (TDS) if \(X\) is a compact metric space
(with metric \(d\)) and \(T : X \to X\) is a continuous function. Given a metric space \(X\), we set
\(B_\delta(x) = \{ y \in X : d(x, y) < \delta \}\), and the diameter of a subset \(A\) with \(\text{diam}(A)\). A subset of a
topological space is residual (or comeagre) if it is the intersection of a countable number
of dense open sets.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \((X, T)\) be a TDS and \(x \in X\).

1. The point \(x\) is an equicontinuity point if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(\delta > 0\) such that
\[
\text{diam}(T^iB_\delta(x)) < \varepsilon,
\]
for every \(i \in \mathbb{N}\). The set of equicontinuity points of \((X, T)\) is denoted by \(EQ\).
2. \((X, T)\) is equicontinuous if \(EQ = X\).
3. \((X, T)\) is almost equicontinuous if \(EQ\) is a residual set.

Diam-mean equicontinuity was introduced in [8] and studied in [9].

**Definition 2.2.** Let \((X, T)\) be a topological dynamical system.

- We say \(x\) is a diam-mean equicontinuity point if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(\delta > 0\) such that
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{diam}(T^iB_\delta(x))}{n} < \varepsilon.
\]
We denote the set of diam-mean equicontinuity points by \(EQ^{DM}\).
- \((X, T)\) is diam-mean equicontinuous if \(EQ^{DM} = X\).
- \((X, T)\) almost diam-mean equicontinuous if \(EQ^{DM}\) is residual.

It is trivial to see that every equicontinuity point is a diam-mean equicontinuity point.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \((X, T)\) be a TDS and \(\varepsilon > 0\). We define
\[
EQ^{DM}_{\varepsilon} = \{ x \in X : \exists \delta > 0, \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} \text{diam}(T^iB_\delta(x))}{n + 1} < \varepsilon \}.
\]
Then, \(EQ^{DM}_{\varepsilon}\) is open and \(EQ^{DM} = \bigcap_{m>0} EQ^{DM}_{\varepsilon/m}\). Furthermore, \(EQ^{DM}\) is dense if and only
if it is a residual set.
Proof. The fact that $EQ_{DM}^{ε}$ is open follows from [8, Lemma 46] and [9, Lemma 4.4].

It is easy to check that $EQ_{DM}^{ε} = \bigcap_{m>0} EQ_{DM}^{1/m}$. Thus, by Baire’s theorem, $EQ_{DM}^{ε}$ is residual if and only if it is dense.

Now we will give the set up of basic symbolic dynamics.

1. Given a finite set $A$ (called an alphabet), we define the $A$-full shift as $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$. If $X$ is the $A$-full shift for some finite $A$ we say that $X$ is a full shift.

2. Given $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we represent the $i$-th coordinate of $x$ as $x_i$. Also, given $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i < j$, we define the finite word $x_{[i,j]} = x_i \ldots x_j$. Let $A^{+}$ be the set of all finite words.

3. We endow any full shift with the metric $d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2^{-i} & \text{if } x \neq y \text{ where } i = \min\{|j| : x_j \neq y_j\}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$

This metric generates the same topology as the product topology.

4. For any full shift $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we define the shift map $\sigma : A^{\mathbb{Z}} \to A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by $\sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1}$. The shift map is continuous (with respect to the previously defined metric).

**Definition 2.4.** We say that $(X, T)$ is a cellular automaton (CA) if $X$ is a full shift and $T : X \to X$ is continuous and commutes with $\sigma$, i.e., $\sigma \circ T = T \circ \sigma$.

**Remark 2.5.** Note that $Tx_i$ represents the $i$-th coordinate of the point $Tx$, and $Tx_{[0,n]}$ the word extracted from the $[0, n]$-coordinates of the point $Tx$.

The following fact can be extracted from the proof of [15, Theorem 4].

**Proposition 2.6.** Let $(X, T)$ be a CA. If $x \in X$ an equicontinuity point then $x$ is locally eventually periodic, i.e., for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $T^n x_i$ is an eventually periodic sequence (of $n$).

For CA, there is a simple combinatorial characterization of a diam-mean equicontinuity using upper density.

**Definition 2.7.** Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. We define the upper density of $S$ by

$$D(S) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S \cap \{0, \ldots, n - 1\}|}{n}.$$  

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $(X, T)$ be a CA and $x \in X$. Then $x$ is a diam-mean equicontinuity point if and only if for every $m \geq 0$ there exists $m' \geq 0$ such that the set

$$S_j^{DM}(x, m') := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : \exists y, z \in B_{2^{-m'}}(x), T^i y_j \neq T^i z_j \lor T^i y_{-j} \neq T^i z_{-j}\}$$

satisfies that

$$D(S_j^{DM}(x, m')) \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+2}},$$

for all $0 \leq j \leq m + 1$. 
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Proof. \(\Rightarrow\): Suppose there exists \(m \geq 0\) such that for all \(m' \geq 0\) we have that
\[
\mathcal{D}(S^D_M(x, m')) > \frac{1}{2m+2}
\]
for some \(0 \leq l \leq m + 1\). This implies there exists \((n_j)_{j \geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\) such that
\[
\limsup_{n_j \to \infty} \frac{\#(S^D_M(x, m') \cap \{0, \ldots, n_j\})}{n_j + 1} > 2^{-m-2}.
\]
Observe that
\[
2^{-l} \limsup_{n_j \to \infty} \frac{\#(S^D_M(x, m') \cap \{0, \ldots, n_j\})}{n_j + 1} \leq \limsup_{n_j \to \infty} \sum_{i \in S^D_M(x, m') \cap \{0, \ldots, n_j\}} \text{diam}(T^i B_{2^{-m'}}(x)).
\]
Then,
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \text{diam}(T^i B_{2^{-m'}}(x))}{n + 1} > 2^{-(2m+3)}.
\]
Therefore, \(x\) is not a diam-mean equicontinuity point.

\(\Leftarrow\): Let \(U \subset X\) a set. For every pair of integers \(n, k \geq 0\) we define the set
\[
C_{n,k}(U) := \{0 \leq i \leq n : \exists y, z \in U, T^i y_{[-k,k]} \neq T^i z_{[-k,k]}\}.
\]
Observe that
\[
C_{n,k}(U) \subseteq C_{n,k+1}(U), \text{ and}
\]
\[
C_{n,k+1}(U) \setminus C_{n,k}(U) = \{0 \in [0, n] : \exists y, z \in U, T^i y_j \neq T^i z_j \lor T^i y_{-j} \neq T^i z_{-j}\}.
\]
Now, let us assume that for every \(m \geq 0\) there exists \(m' \geq 0\) such that
\[
\mathcal{D}(S^D_M(x, m')) \leq \frac{1}{2m+2}
\]
for every \(0 \leq j \leq m + 1\). We conclude that
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \text{diam}(T^i B_{2^{-m'}}(x))}{n + 1} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\#(C_{n,i}(B_{2^{-m'}}(x)) \setminus C_{n,i-1}(B_{2^{-m'}}(x)))}{n + 1}
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} \left( \frac{1}{2^{m+2}} + \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\#(C_{n,i}(B_{2^{-m'}}(x)) \setminus C_{n,i-1}(B_{2^{-m'}}(x)))}{n + 1} \right)
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} \left( \frac{1}{2^{m+2}} + \sum_{i=m+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2^m}.
\]
This implies \(x\) is a diam-mean equicontinuity point. \(\square\)
3 Almost diam-mean equicontinuity

As we mentioned every almost equiquotient CA is almost diam-mean equicontinuous. In this section we will construct an almost diam-mean equicontinuous CA that is not almost equiquotient. For other examples of CA related to these concepts see [4, 20].

First we define a CA that resembles an odometer. Let $A_1 = \{\boxed{0}, \boxed{1}, \boxed{2}\}$. We define the CA $T_1 : A_1 \to A_1$ locally as follows: $T_1x_i = \boxed{0}$ if and only if $x_i = \boxed{0}$. otherwise $T_1x_i \in \{x_i, (x_i + 1) \mod 3\}$, with $T_1x_i = (x_i + 1) \mod 3$ if and only if $x_{i+1} \in \{\boxed{2}\}$. In other words

$$
T_1x_i = \begin{cases} 
  \boxed{0} & \text{if } x_i = \boxed{0} \\
  \boxed{1} & \text{if } x_i = \boxed{1} \wedge x_{i+1} \in \{\boxed{2}\} \\
  \boxed{2} & \text{if } x_i = \boxed{2} \wedge x_{i+1} \in \{\boxed{2}\} \\
  \boxed{3} & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}
$$

Example 3.1. Let $x \in A_1^\infty$ such that $x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0}$. We have that

$$
T_1^0x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^1x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^2x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^3x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^4x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^5x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^6x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^7x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^8x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^9x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{10}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{11}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{12}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{13}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{14}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{15}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{16}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{17}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{18}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{19}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{20}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{21}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{22}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{23}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{24}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{25}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{26}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0} \\
T_1^{27}x_{[0,5]} = \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{2} \boxed{0}
$$

Remark 3.2. Note that the CA $T_1$ only has right radius, that is $T_1x_0$ only depends on $x_0$ and $x_1$. So if $x_i = y_{i+N}$ for every $i \geq N$, then $T_1x_i = T_1y_{i+N}$ for every $i \geq N$.

Remark 3.3. It is not difficult to see that $T_1$ is almost equiquotient. Actually $\boxed{0}$ is a blocking word, that is, if $x, y \in A_1^\infty$ with $x_{[m',m]} = y_{[m',m]}$ and $x_m = \boxed{0}$ then $T_1^n x_{[m',m]} = T_1^n y_{[m',m]}$ for every $n > 0$. Moreover, in this situation one can check that there exist $M > 0$ and $p > 0$ such that $T_1^{M+i} x_{[m',m]} = T_1^M x_{[m',m]}$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Given a CA $(X,T)$, we say a point $x \in X$ is periodic with period $p$ if $T^p x = x$. This should not be confused with the statement, $T^m x_0$ is periodic, which means that the sequence with respect to $n$ is periodic. There are some periodic points that will play a key role in several of the proofs in this paper. When we write $x := \infty \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \infty$, we mean the point $x$ such that $x_0 = \boxed{0}$ and $x_i = \boxed{0}$ for every $i \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $x := \infty \boxed{0} \boxed{0} \infty$. Then $x$ is a periodic point with period 3.
Proof. Observe that $T_1x_i = \square$ if and only if $x_i = \square$. Hence,

- $T_1^0x_0 = \square$ and $T_1^1x_i = \square$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$;
- $T_1^2x_0 = \square$ and $T_1^2x_i = \square$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$;
- $T_1^3x_0 = \square$ and $T_1^3x_i = \square$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

Therefore, $x$ is a periodic point with period 3.

Lemma 3.5. Let $x := \infty \square \square \square \square \infty$. Then $x$ is a periodic point with period 9.

Proof. Remark 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 implies that $T_1^{3k}x_1 = 1$ and $T_1^{3k}x_i = \square$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus, we have that

- $T_1^3x_{[0,1]} = \square \square \square$ and $T_1^2x_i = \square$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$;
- $T_1^6x_{[0,1]} = \square \square \square$ and $T_1^6x_i = \square$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$;
- $T_1^9x_{[0,1]} = \square \square \square$ and $T_1^9x_i = \square$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Therefore, $x$ is a periodic point with period 9.

From the proof of Lemma 3.5, we can conclude the next result.

Lemma 3.6. If $x := \infty \square \square \square \square \square \infty$, then

1. $T_1^i x_0 = \square$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 2$;
2. $T_1^i x_0 = \square$ for all $3 \leq i \leq 5$;
3. $T_1^i x_0 = \square$ for all $6 \leq i \leq 8$.

Something to be careful about is that the period does not necessarily increase if the amount of $\square$'s increases (one of the differences with an odometer). The following lemma is an evidence of this comment.

Lemma 3.7. If $x := \infty \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \infty$ and $y := \infty \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \infty$, then $x$ and $y$ are periodic points with period 9.

Proof. By Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 we have that

$$T_1^i y_0 = \square,$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq 6$. Observe that

$$T_1^{6+i} y_0 = T_1^i y_2,$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Hence, $T_1^0 y_{[0,2]} = \square \square \square \square$ and $T_1^0 y_i = \square$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1, 2\}$.

To generalize this result first we need a lemma.

Lemma 3.8. Let $m, k > 0$, and $x, y \in A_{1}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $x_{[0,k]} = y_{[0,k]}$, and $\{T_1^i x_{k+1}, T_1^i y_{k+1}\} \subset \{\square, \square \square \square \}$. Then $T_1^i x_{[0,k]} = T_1^i y_{[0,k]}$ for every $i \in [0, m]$.

Proof. The proof can be obtained using Remark 3.2 the shift commuting property of a CA, and the fact that if $x', y'$ satisfies that $x'_0 = y'_0$ and $\{x'_i, y'_i\} \subset \{\square, \square \square \square \}$, then $T_1 x'_0 = T_1 y'_0$. 

-------------------------
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Now we will prove the main lemmas needed to understand $T_1$.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let $l \geq 1$, $1 \leq j < 2^l$, $x^l := \begin{array}{c} \infty \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2^j \infty \end{array}$ and $y^{l,j} := \begin{array}{c} \infty \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2^{j+1} \infty \end{array}$. Then

1. $x^l$ and $y^{l,j}$ are periodic points with period $3^l+1$.

2. We have that:
   - $T_1^i x^l_0 = \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$ for all $0 \leq i < 3^l$;
   - $T_1^i x^l_0 = \begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array}$ for all $3^l \leq i < 2(3^l)$;
   - $T_1^i x^l_0 = \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$ for all $2(3^l) \leq i < 3^{l+1}$.

**Proof.** We will prove the result by induction on $l$. From Lemma 3.7, we obtain the result for $l = 1$. Assume the result holds for $l = k$. Now, let $l = k + 1$. By the induction hypothesis and Remark 3.3, we have that

- $T_1^i x^{k+1}_{2^{k+1}} = \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$ for all $0 \leq i < 3^k$;
- $T_1^i x^{k+1}_{2^{k+1}} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array}$ for all $3^k \leq i < 2(3^k)$;
- $T_1^i x^{k+1}_{2^{k+1}} = \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$ for all $2(3^k) \leq i < 3^{k+1}$.

Hence, we have that $T_1^i x^{k+1}_{(0,2^k)} = \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}^{2k}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 2(3^k)$. Observe that

$$T_1^{2(3^k)} x^{k+1}_{(0,2^k)} = \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}^{2k} \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$$

and $x^{k+1}_{[2^k,2^{k+1}]} = \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}^k \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$. Using Lemma 3.8 and the fact that $T_1$ commutes with the shift, we obtain that

$$T_1^{2(3^k)+i} x^{k+1}_{(0,2^k)} = T_1^{i} x^{k+1}_{[2^k,2^{k+1}]}$$

for all $0 \leq i < 3^k$. This implies that $T_1^i x^{k+1}_0 = \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}^{2k}$ for all $0 \leq i < 3^{k+1}$.

By the induction hypothesis we have that $y^{k,2^k-1} = \begin{array}{c} \infty \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2^{k+1-1} \infty \end{array}$ has period $3^{k+1}$. Since $x^{k+1}_{(0,2^k+1)} = y^{k,2^k-1}_{(0,2^k+1)}$, Remark 3.3 gives us that

$$T_1^{k+1} x^{k+1}_{(0,2^k+1)} = x^{k+1}_{(0,2^k+1)} = \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}^{2^{k+1}-1} \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$$

Thus,

$$T_1^{k} x^{k+1}_{(0,2^k+1)} = \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}^{2^{k+1}-1} \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$$

and

$$T_1^{k+1} x^{k+1}_{[0,2^{k+1}]} = \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}^{2^{k+1}-1} \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$$

By this, and a similar use of the induction hypothesis, we obtain that

- $T_1^{k+1+i} x^{k+1}_{2^{k}} = \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}$ for all $0 \leq i < 3^k$;
- $T_1^{k+1+i} x^{k+1}_{2^{k}} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array}$ for all $3^k \leq i < 2(3^k)$;
- $T_1^{k+1+i} x^{k+1}_{2^{k}} = \begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$ for all $2(3^k) \leq i < 3^{k+1}$.
Then, \( T_1^{3k+1+i} x_{(0,2^k)}^{k+1} = \emptyset \) for all \( 0 \leq i \leq 2(3^k) \). So, using Lemma 3.8 and the fact that \( T_1 \) commutes with the shift, we have that
\[
T_1^{3k+1+i} x_{(0,2^k)}^{k+1} = T_1^i x_{(2^k,2^{k+1})}^1
\]
for all \( 2(3^k) \leq i < 3^{k+1} \). Therefore, \( T_1^i x_{0}^{k+1} = \emptyset \) for all \( 3^{k+1} \leq i < 2(3^{k+1}) \). In a similar way we have that \( T_1^i x_0^{k+1} = \emptyset \) for all \( 2(3^{k+1}) \leq i < 3^{k+2} \). Hence,
- \( T_1^i x_0^{k+1} = \emptyset \) for all \( 0 \leq i < 3^{k+1} \);
- \( T_1^i x_0^{k+1} = \emptyset \) for all \( 3^{k+1} \leq i < 2(3^{k+1}) \);
- \( T_1^i x_0^{k+1} = \emptyset \) for all \( 2(3^{k+1}) \leq i < 3^{k+2} \).
Therefore, \( x^{k+1} \) has period \( p = 3^{k+2} \). With this, we conclude part 2 of the proposition.

Now, let \( 0 \leq j < 2^{k+1} \). Using part 2 of the proposition, we have that \( T_1^i y_{(0,j)} = \emptyset \) for all \( 0 \leq i \leq 2(3^{k+1}) \). Using Lemma 3.8 and the fact that \( T_1 \) commutes with the shift, we obtain
\[
T_1^{2(3^{k+1})+1} y_{(0,j)} = T_1^{i} x_{(2^{k+1}-j,2^{k+1})}^k
\]
for all \( 0 \leq i \leq 3^{k+1} \). Since \( x^k \) has period \( 3^{k+1} \) we have that
\[
T_1^{2(3^{k+1})+1} y_{(0,j)} = \emptyset \]
Therefore, \( y^{k+1,j} \) has period \( 2(3^{k+1}) + 3^{k+1} = 3^{k+2} \).

**Lemma 3.10.** If \( x \in A_1^Z \) is such that \( x_j = \square \) for some \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \), then for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) we have \( T_1^n x_{j-i} \in \{\, \emptyset, 2 \,\} \) for infinitely many \( n > 0 \).

**Proof.** We will prove this result by induction on \( i \). The result follows for \( i = 0 \) using straightforward applications of the rules of the automaton (as in Lemma 3.4). Assume the result holds for \( i \). We may suppose that \( x_{j-i} \neq \square \) and \( x_{j-i-1} \neq \square \), otherwise the result is straightforward. Hence, we have that \( \{ n > 0 : T_1^n x_{j-i} = 2 \} \) is infinite. Furthermore, by the rules of the automaton for every \( n' \in \{ n > 0 : T_1^n x_{j-i} = 2 \} \) we have that \( T_1^{n'+1} x_{j-i-1} = (T_1^n x_{j-i-1} + 1) \mod 3 \). With this we can conclude the result.

Now we will combine \( T_1 \) with the shift map. Let \( A_2 = \{ \square, 2 \} \), and \( \sigma : A_2^Z \to A_2^Z \) the shift map.

Let \( A = A_1 \times A_2 \). At times we will identify \( A \) with the following set
\[
A = \{ \emptyset, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square \}.
\]
Note that with this notation we identify the point \( \square \times \square \) with \( \square \). In general it will be clear which \( \square \) we are referring to. Let \( \gamma_1 : A \to A_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 : A \to A_2 \) be the projection functions. We also extend such functions to \( A_2^Z \to A_2^Z \) and \( A_2^Z \to A_2^Z \), respectively.

We define the CA \( T : A^Z \to A_2^Z \) locally (and coordinate-wise) as the only CA that satisfies
\[
(\gamma_1(Tx)_{i}) = (T_1 \gamma_1(x))_{i}, \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
(\gamma_2(Tx))_{i} = \begin{cases}
(\sigma \gamma_2(x))_{i} & \text{if } \{\square, 2\} \cap \{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \neq \emptyset, \\
(\gamma_2(x))_{i} & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]
\[8\]
In other words, on the first coordinate $T$ acts exactly as $T_1$, on the second coordinate an arrow advances to the left if and only if the first coordinate is a $\square$ or a $\blacksquare$. In case two arrows overlap they superimpose each other (i.e. the CA is not conservative).

On the introduction we said this construction was a “local” skew product. On a skew product the phase space is the product, one coordinate acts normally and the second acts only if the first coordinate is in a certain position. The main difference is that here the shift only acts locally.

Though the next table is not needed for the proofs we provide it in case it is of assistance to the reader.

$$Tx_i = \begin{cases} 
\square & \text{if } x_i \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \land x_{i+1} \in A \setminus \{\square, \blacksquare\}; \\
\blacksquare & \text{if } x_i \in \{\blacksquare, \square\} \land x_{i+1} \in \{\square, \blacksquare\}; \\
\square & \text{if } \left( x_i \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \land x_{i+1} \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \right) \lor \\
& \left( x_i = \square \land x_{i+1} \in A \setminus \{\square, \square, \square, \square\} \right); \\
\blacksquare & \text{if } \left( x_i = \square \land x_{i+1} \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \right) \lor \\
& \left( x_i \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \land x_{i+1} \in A \setminus \{\square, \square, \square, \square\} \right); \\
\square & \text{if } \left( x_i = \square \land x_{i+1} \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \right) \lor \\
& \left( x_i \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \land x_{i+1} \in A \setminus \{\square, \square, \square, \square\} \right); \\
\blacksquare & \text{if } \left( x_i = \square \land x_{i+1} \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \right) \lor \\
& \left( x_i \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \land x_{i+1} \in A \setminus \{\square, \square, \square, \square\} \right); \\
\square & \text{if } \left( x_i = \square \land x_{i+1} \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \right) \lor \\
& \left( x_i \in \{\square, \blacksquare\} \land x_{i+1} \in A \setminus \{\square, \square, \square, \square\} \right). 
\end{cases}$$

Example 3.11. Let $x \in A^\mathbb{Z}$ such that $x_{[0,6]} = \square \blacksquare \boxtimes \boxtimes \boxtimes \square$. We have that

- $T^0x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{14}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^1x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{15}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^2x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{16}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^3x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{17}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^4x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{18}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^5x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{19}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^6x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{20}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^7x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{21}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^8x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{22}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^9x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{23}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^{10}x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{24}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^{11}x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{25}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^{12}x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{26}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.
- $T^{13}x_{[0,6]} = \square \square \square \square \square \square$; $T^{27}x_{[0,6]} = \square \boxtimes \square \square \square \square$.

Lemma 3.12. Let $x \in A^\mathbb{Z}$ such that $x_{[0,2]} = \square \blacksquare \boxtimes \boxtimes \boxtimes \square$, $x_{-i} = \square$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then $T^n x_0 = \square$ for all $n \neq 3k$, where $k \geq 1$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.4 we have that $\gamma_1(T^n x_1) = \blacksquare$ if and only if $n = 3k - 1$, where $k \geq 1$. Therefore, $T^n x_0 = \square$ for all $n \neq 3k$, where $k \geq 1$. \qed
Lemma 3.13. Let \( l \geq 0 \), \( x \in A^Z \) such that \( x|_{[0,2^l+1]} = \square \square^{l'} \square \) and \( x_{-i} = \square \) for all \( i \geq 1 \), and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( n \neq k(3^{l'+1}) + 2(3^l) + 1 \) then \( T^n x_0 = \square \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.9 we have that \( T^l x_1 \) has period \( 3^{l+1} \) and

- \( \gamma_1(T^l x_1) = \square \) for all \( 0 \leq i < 3^l \);
- \( \gamma_1(T^l x_1) = \square \) for all \( 3^l \leq i < 2(3^l) \);
- \( \gamma_1(T^l x_1) = \square \) for all \( 2(3^l) \leq i < 3^{l+1} \).

Note that in general for a point \( y \in X \), if \( \gamma_1(y_1) \notin \{ \square, \square \} \) then \( \gamma_2(T y_0) = \square \). This implies that \( T^n x_0 = \square \) for all \( i \leq 2(3^l) \). Furthermore, note that configurations on position 1 imply that \( \gamma_1(T^l x_2) \neq \square \) for all \( 2(3^l) \leq i < 3^{l+1} - 1 \). Hence, \( \gamma_2(T^l x_1) = \square \) for all \( 2(3^l) + 1 \leq i < 3^{l+1} \), and thus \( T^n x_0 = \square \) for all \( 2(3^l) + 2 \leq i < 3^{l+1} \). This concludes the proof for \( k = 0 \). Using periodicity we conclude that \( T^n x_0 = \square \) for all \( n \neq k(3^{l+1}) + 2(3^l) + 1 \), for some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

The following proposition will be used to show that \( (A^Z, T) \) is not almost equicontinuous.

**Proposition 3.14.** Let \( m > 0 \) and \( w \in A^{2m+1} \). There exists \( x, y \in A^Z \) with \( x|_{[-m,m]} = y|_{[-m,m]} \) such that \( T^n x_0 \neq T^n y_0 \) for some \( n > 0 \).

**Proof.** We set \( x_i = \square \) and \( y_i = \square \), for every \( i > m \). Let \( l_x = \sup \{ i \in \mathbb{Z} : \gamma_2(x_i) = \square \} \). Note that \( l_x = \{ l_x, l_x - 1 \} \). Furthermore, by the rules of \( T \) and Lemma 3.10, there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( l_x \times T < l_x \). This implies that \( \gamma_2(T^n x_0) = \square \) for sufficiently large \( n \). On the other hand, an application of Lemma 3.10 also gives us that \( \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma_2(T^n y_0) = \square \} \) is infinite.

The proof of the following lemma is similar.

**Lemma 3.15.** Let \( x \in A^Z \) and \( j \in Z \) such that \( \gamma_2(x_i) = \square \) for all \( i \geq j \). For every \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) there exists \( N > 0 \) such that \( \gamma_2(T^n x_k) = \square \) for every \( n \geq N \).

In the following lemma we will use the sets \( S_i^{DM}(x,m) \) defined in Proposition 2.8.

**Lemma 3.16.** Let \( x \in A^Z \) such that \( x|_{[0,2^l+1]} = \square \square^{l'} \square \), where \( l \geq 0 \). Then

\[
\mathcal{D}(S_0^{DM}(x,2^l+1)) = \frac{1}{3^{l+1}}.
\]

**Proof.** There exist \( y, z \in A^Z \) such that \( y|_{[0,2^l+1]} = x|_{[0,2^l+1]} = z|_{[0,2^l+1]} \), \( y_{2^l+1+i} = \square = y_{-i} \), and \( z_{2^l+1+i} = \square \) and \( z_{-i} = \square \), for all \( i \geq 1 \). Hence, we have that

\[
S_0^{DM}(x,2^l+1) = \{ i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} : i = 3^{l+1} k + 2(3^l) + 1 \ \forall k \geq 0 \}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\mathcal{D}(S_0^{DM}(x,2^l+1)) = \mathcal{D}(\{ i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} : i = 3^{l+1} k + 2(3^l) + 1 \ \forall k \geq 0 \})
\]

\[
= \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{k}{3^{l+1}k + 2(3^l) + 1} = \frac{1}{3^{l+1}}.
\]

Let \( m > 0 \), \( j \geq 0 \) and \( x \in A^Z \) we define

\[
+S_j^{DM}(x,m) = \{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \exists y, z \in B_{2^{-m}}(x), T^i y_j \neq T^i z_j \}
\]

\[
-S_j^{DM}(x,m) = \{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \exists y, z \in B_{2^{-m}}(x), T^i y_{-j} \neq T^i z_{-j} \}.
\]
Remark 3.17. Let $m > 0$ and $x \in A^2$ so that $\gamma_2(x_i) = \square$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then
\begin{align*}
+ S^D_M(x, m) & = \{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \ y \in B_{2^m}(x), \ \gamma_2(T^i y_j) = \square \} \\
- S^D_M(x, m) & = \{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \ y \in B_{2^m}(x), \ \gamma_2(T^i y_j) = \square \}.
\end{align*}

Lemma 3.18. Let $l \geq 0$, $w = \square \square^{l-1} \square^l \square$, $x := \infty \square \infty$, and $m = 2^l + 2^l + 2$. Then
\begin{align*}
\overline{D}(S^D_M(x, m)) & = \overline{D}(S^D_M(x, m)), \\
\overline{D}(-S^D_M(x, m)) & = \overline{D}(S^D_M(x, m)), \text{ and} \\
\overline{D}(-S^D_M(x, m)) & \leq (2(3^l-1) + 1)\overline{D}(S^D_M(x, m)),
\end{align*}
for all $1 \leq i \leq 2^l - 1$.

Proof. For $y \in B_{2^m}$ one can check that $\gamma_2(T^n x_{2^l+1+1}) = \square$ if and only if $\gamma_2(T^n x_{2^l+2(3^l-1)+1}) = \square$. Using this and Remark 3.17 we obtain that
\begin{align*}
S^D_M(x, m) = + S^D_M(x, m) + 2(3^l-1).
\end{align*}

Therefore,
\begin{align*}
\overline{D}(S^D_M(x, m)) = \overline{D}(S^D_M(x, m)).
\end{align*}

Now observe that for $y \in B_{2^m}(x)$ and $i \geq 0$ we have that $T^n y_{-i+1} = \square$ if and only if $T^n y_{-i} = \square$. This implies that
\begin{align*}
- S^D_M(x, m) = S^D_M(x, m) + i.
\end{align*}

Hence,
\begin{align*}
\overline{D}(-S^D_M(x, m)) = \overline{D}(S^D_M(x, m)).
\end{align*}

Lastly, let $1 \leq i \leq 2^l - 1$. By Lemma 3.9 we have that
\begin{itemize}
\item $\gamma_1(T^n x_1) = \square$ for all $0 \leq n < 3^l - 1$;
\item $\gamma_1(T^n x_1) = \square$ for all $3^l \leq n < 2(3^l - 1)$;
\item $\gamma_1(T^n x_1) = \square$ for all $2(3^l) \leq n < 3^l$.
\end{itemize}

This implies that $\gamma_2(T^n x_i) = \square$ for at most $2(3^l - 1)$ consecutive $n$. With this and Remark 3.17 one can conclude that
\begin{align*}
\sharp(+ S^D_M(x, m) \cap [0, (k + 1)3^{l+1}]) & \leq (2(3^l) + 1)\sharp(+ S^D_M(x, m) \cap [0, (k + 1)3^{l+1}]),
\end{align*}
for all $k \geq 0$. Therefore,
\begin{align*}
\overline{D}(+ S^D_M(x, m)) & \leq (2(3^l) + 1)\overline{D}(+ S^D_M(x, m)).
\end{align*}

Proposition 3.19. Let $k > 0$, $w \in A^k$ and
\begin{align*}
x := \infty \square \infty \square \infty \square \infty \square \infty \cdots \square \infty \cdots.
\end{align*}

We have that $x$ is a diam-mean equicontinuity point.
Proof. We will prove that $x$ is a mean equicontinuity point with the use of Proposition 2.8. Let $m \geq 0$. First note that without loss of generality we may assume that $\gamma_2(w_i) = \square$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k$ (from Lemma 3.15 there exists $M > 0$ such that $\gamma_2(T^M x_i) = \square$ for all $0 \leq i < k$). Let $l > 0$ so that $k < 2^l$ and
$$2(3^{l-1} + 1) \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+2}}.$$ Let $k \leq j \leq k + l + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} 2^i$. By applying the Lemma 3.18 recurrently (and using that $k < 2^l$), we have that
$$D(S_j^D M(x,k+l+\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} 2^i)) \leq 2(3^{l-1} + 1) D(S_{|w|+l+\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} 2^i}(x,k+l+\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} 2^i)).$$
Using that $k < 2^l$ and similar techniques as in the proof of Lemma 3.18 we can conclude the same inequality for $j \leq k + l + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} 2^i$. By Lemma 3.16 and the choice of $l$ we obtain that
$$D(S_j^D M(x,k+l+\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} 2^i)) \leq \frac{2(3^{l-1}+1)}{3^{l+1}} \leq \frac{2^{m+2}}{2^{m+2}}.$$ Therefore, by Proposition 2.8 we have that $x$ is a diam-mean equicontinuity point.

Theorem 3.20. $(A^Z, T)$ is almost diam-mean equicontinuous but not almost equicontinuous (and also not mean equicontinuous).

Proof. From Proposition 3.19 we have $EQ^{DM}$ is dense. Hence, by Proposition 2.8 $EQ^{DM}$ is residual. So, $(A^Z, T)$ is almost diam-mean equicontinuous. By Proposition 3.14 there are no equicontinuity points. Therefore $(A^Z, T)$ is not almost equicontinuous.

4 Diam-mean sensitivity

A TDS, $(X,T)$, is sensitive if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every non-empty open set $U \subseteq X$ there exist $x, y \in U$ and $n \neq 0$ such that
$$d(T^n x, T^n y) > \varepsilon.$$ 

Definition 4.1. Let $(X,T)$ be a topological dynamical system. We say that $(X,T)$ is diam-mean sensitive if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every open set $U$ we have
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} diam(T^i U)}{n} > \varepsilon.$$ 

We say a TDS is transitive if for every pair of non-empty open sets $U$ and $V$ there exists $n > 0$ such that $T^{-n} U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $(X,T)$ be a transitive TDS.

- $(X,T)$ is either almost equicontinuous or sensitive [13]; and
- $(X,T)$ is either almost diam-mean equicontinuous or diam-mean sensitive [8].

The first part of the previous theorem holds for non-necessarily transitive CA.

Theorem 4.3 ([13]). Let $(X,T)$ be a CA. Then $(X,T)$ is either almost equicontinuous or sensitive.
Sensitivity on cellular automata has been studied in several papers (e.g., [1, 2, 7]). It is natural to ask if the previous dichotomy holds for the diam-mean notions on CA. We will show this has a false answer.

Let $T : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ the automata from the previous subsection and $A_3 = \{a, b, c\}$. We define $T_3 : \mathbb{A}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_3$ as

$$T_3x_1 = \begin{cases} 
  a & \text{if } x_1 = a; \\
  b & \text{if } x_1 = c; \\
  c & \text{if } x_1 = b.
\end{cases}$$

We set $A_S := A \times A_3$. For every $x \in A_S$ we have that $x^1$ is the component on $A$ and $x^2$ is the component on $A_3$. Let $id$ be the identity function and $T_S : A^S \rightarrow A^S$ a CA defined locally with

$$T_Sx_1 = \begin{cases} 
  (Tx_1, id(x_2^2)) & \text{if } \gamma_2(x_1^1) = \\
  (Tx_1, T_3x_2^2) & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

Thus, on $A$, $T_S$ behaves exactly as $T$, and on $A_3$, as $T_3$ except if there is an arrow on the first coordinate. When this happens the periodicity on $b$ and $c$ changes phase.

The next two lemmas will establish that the set of diam-mean equicontinuity points is not dense.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $m > 0$ and $w \in A^m_S$ such that $w_0 = (\square, b)$. Then, there exist $x, y \in A^m_S$ such that $x_{[0,|w|-1]} = y_{[0,|w|-1]} = w$ and the set

$$Z_{n \geq 0} \setminus \{n \in Z_{n \geq 0} : T_S^n x_0 \neq T_S^n y_0\}$$

is finite.

**Proof.** Let $w \in A^m_S$ as in the hypothesis of the lemma. Let us define

$$x = \infty(\square, a).w(\square, a)(\square, a)^\infty$$

and

$$y = \infty(\square, a).w(\square, a)^\infty.$$  

Using Lemma [3.15] we can assume, without loss of generality, that $w_i \in (p, q) : p \in \{\square, \bl, \br\} \wedge q \in A_3 \}$. Now, there exists $N > 0$ such that $T_S^N x_0 = (\square, q)$, where $q \in \{b, c\}$. We have two cases to prove.

**Case 1:** $T_S^N x_0 = (\square, b)$.

This implies that $T_S^{N+1} x_0 = (\square, b)$. Meanwhile, $T_S^{N+1} y_0 = (\square, c)$. Therefore, we can easily see that $T_S^{N+i} x_0 \neq T_S^{N+i} y_0$, for all $i > 0$.

**Case 2:** $T_S^N x_0 = (\square, c)$.

Again we have that $T_S^{N+1} x_0 = (\square, c)$, so $T_S^{N+i} x_0 \neq T_S^{N+i} y_0$ for all $i \geq 0$.

**Proof.** This lemma follows immediately from Lemma [4.4].

Notice that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, any $y \in B_\varepsilon(x)$, where $x_0 = (\square, b)$, is not a diam-mean equicontinuity point.

**Theorem 4.5.** $(A^S_S, T_S)$ is neither diam-mean sensitive and nor almost diam-mean equicontinuous.
Proof. From Lemma 4.4 we conclude that for every $x \in A^n_S$ such that $x_0 = (a, b)$, we have that $x$ is not a diam-mean equicontinuity point.

From Proposition 3.19 we have that $x := \infty(a, a)(a, a)(a, a)(a, a)^2(a, a)(a, a)^2 \cdots (a, a)(a, a)^2 \cdots$ is a diam-mean equicontinuity point. Hence for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $l \geq 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^n \diam(T_S^n(B_l + \sum_{i=0}^l 2^i(x)))}{n + 1} < \varepsilon.$$ 

Therefore, $(A^n_S, T_S)$ is not diam-mean sensitive. \qed
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