ON THE CHAOTICITY OF DERIVATIVES

MARAT V. MARKIN

Abstract. We show that the n-th derivative with maximal domain is a chaotic operator in the spaces $C[a, b]$ and $L_p(a, b)$ ($-\infty < a < b < \infty$, $1 \leq p < \infty$) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In all chaos there is a cosmos, in all disorder a secret order.

Carl Yung

1. Introduction

The differentiation operator

$$Df := f'$$

with maximal domain has been considered in various settings and shown to be chaotic

- on the Frechet space $H(C)$ of entire functions with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets [9, 15],
- in the Hardy space $H^2$ [4], and
- in the Bergman space $F(C)$ [7].

Observe that, on $H(C)$, the linear operator $D$ is continuous while, in $H^2$ and $F(C)$, it is unbounded.

In the complex space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the unbounded differentiation operator $D$ with maximal domain is shown to be non-hypercyclic because of being normal [16, 20].

In all the above cases, whenever $D$ is chaotic and provided the underlying space is complex, the spectrum of $D$ is the whole complex plane, each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ being a simple eigenvalue.

We prove the chaoticity of the derivative operators

$$D^n f := f^{(n)}$$

($n \in \mathbb{N}$) ($\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \ldots\}$) is the set of natural numbers) with maximal domains in the (real or complex) Banach spaces $C[a, b]$ and $L_p(a, b)$ ($-\infty < a < b < \infty$, $1 \leq p < \infty$), the former equipped with the maximum norm

$$C[a, b] \ni f \mapsto \|f\|_\infty := \max_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)|.$$
Observe that the setting offered by the foregoing spaces does not allow reducing the
problem of the chaoticity of the derivatives to that of weighted backward shifts (cf.
\[3, 4, 7, 9, 12\]). However, the presence of a dense subspace of polynomials appears
to be just the right accommodation (cf. \[4\]).

2. Preliminaries

Here, we briefly outline certain preliminaries essential for the subsequent discourse.

2.1. Hypercyclicity and Chaoticity.

Definition 2.1 (Hypercyclicity and Chaoticity \[4, 5, 9\]).

Let \((A, D(A)) (D(\cdot) is the domain of an operator) be a (bounded or unbounded)
linear operator in a (real or complex) Banach space \(X\). A nonzero vector

\[ f \in C^\infty(A) := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} D(A^n) \]

\((A^0 := I, I is the identity operator on a space) is called hypercyclic if its orbit

\[ \text{orb}(f, A) := \{A^n f\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \]

under \(A (\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}) is the set of nonnegative integers) is dense in \(X\).

Linear operators possessing hypercyclic vectors are said to be hypercyclic.

If there exist an \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) and a vector

\[ f \in D(A^N) \quad \text{with} \quad A^N f = f, \]

such a vector is called a periodic point for the operator \(A\) of period \(N\). If \(f \neq 0\),
we say that \(N\) is a period of \(A\).

Hypercyclic linear operators with a dense in \(X\) set \(\text{Per}(A)\) of periodic points are
said to be chaotic.

Remarks 2.1.

- In the prior definition of hypercyclicity, the underlying space is necessarily
  infinite-dimensional and separable (see, e.g., \[13\]).

- For a hypercyclic linear operator \(A\), the set \(HC(A)\) of its hypercyclic vectors
  is necessarily dense in \(X\), and hence, the more so, is the subspace
  \(C^\infty(A) \supseteq HC(A)\).

- Observe that

\[ \text{Per}(A) = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \text{Per}_N(A), \]

where

\[ \text{Per}_N(A) = \ker(A^N - I), \quad N \in \mathbb{N} \]

is the subspace of \(N\)-periodic points of \(A\).
As immediately follows from rather obvious inclusions

\[ HC(A^n) \subseteq HC(A), \]
\[ \text{Per}(A^n) \subseteq \text{Per}(A) \]

\((n \in \mathbb{N})\), if, for a linear operator \(A\) in an infinite-dimensional separable Banach space \(X\) and some \(n \geq 2\), the operator \(A^n\) is hypercyclic or chaotic, then \(A\) is also hypercyclic or chaotic, respectively.

Prior to [4], the notions of linear hypercyclicity and chaoticity had been studied exclusively for continuous linear operators on Fréchet spaces, in particular for bounded linear operators on Banach spaces (for a comprehensive survey, see [2, 13]).

The following extension of Kitai’s Criterion [8, 14] is extremely useful and allows to obviate the explicit construction of hypercyclic vectors.

**Theorem 2.1** (Sufficient Condition for Hypercyclicity [4, Theorem 2.1]).

Let \(X\) be a (real or complex) infinite-dimensional separable Banach space and \(A\) be a densely defined linear operator in \(X\) such that the operator \(A^n\) is closed for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). If there exists a set \(Y \subseteq C^\infty(A) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A^n)\) dense in \(X\) and a mapping \(B : Y \to Y\) such that

1. \(\forall f \in Y : \ ABf = f\) and
2. \(\forall f \in Y : \ A^n f, B^n f \to 0, \ n \to \infty, \) in \(X\),

then the operator \(A\) is hypercyclic.

**2.2. Resolvent Set and Spectrum.**

For a linear operator \(A\) in a complex Banach space \(X\), the set

\[ \rho(A) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists (A - \lambda I)^{-1} \in L(X) \} \]

\((L(X)\) is the space of bounded linear operators on \(X\)) and its complement \(\sigma(A) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(A)\) are called the resolvent set and the spectrum of \(A\), respectively.

The spectrum of \(A\) contains the set \(\sigma_p(A)\) of all its eigenvalues, called the the point spectrum of \(A\) (see, e.g., [6, 17]).

**2.3. \(L_p\) Spaces.**

Henceforth, the notations \(f\) and \(f(\cdot)\) are used to designate an equivalence class in \(L_p(a, b)\) \((1 \leq p < \infty, -\infty < a < b < \infty)\) and its representative, respectively.

The inclusions

\[ C[a, b], C^\infty[a, b], C^\infty_0[a, b], P \subset L_p(a, b), \]

where

\[ C^\infty_0[a, b] := \left\{ f(\cdot) \in C^\infty[a, b] \mid f^{(n)}(a) = f^{(n)}(b) = 0, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\} \]
and

\[ P := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k x^k \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, c_k \in \mathbb{F}, k = 0, \ldots, n, x \in [a, b] \right\}, \]

is the subspace of polynomials, are understood in the sense of the natural embedding

\[ C[a, b] \ni f \mapsto i(f) := f \in L_p(a, b), \]

where \( i(f) \) is the equivalence class represented by the continuous function \( f \).

3. Powers of Hypercyclic Operators

As follows from [1, Theorem 1], if a bounded linear operator \( A \) on a Banach space is hypercyclic, then so is its power \( A^n \) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). This conclusion can be easily extended to unbounded operators as follows.

**Proposition 3.1** (Powers of Hypercyclic Operators).

*Under the provisions of the Sufficient Condition for Hypercyclicity (Theorem 2.1), all powers \( A^n \) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\) are hypercyclic.*

**Proof.** As is easily seen, if a densely defined linear operator \( A \) in a (real or complex) infinite-dimensional separable Banach space is subject to the provisions of the Sufficient Condition for Hypercyclicity (Theorem 2.1), then so is its power \( A^n \) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) with the same dense set

\[ Y \subseteq C^\infty(A) = C^\infty(A^n) \]

and the mapping \( B^n : Y \to Y \) since

1. \( \forall f \in Y : A^n B^n f = f \) and
2. \( \forall f \in Y : A^{mn} f, B^{mn} f \to 0, m \to \infty, \text{ in } X. \)

Hence, by the Sufficient Condition for Hypercyclicity (Theorem 2.1), \( A^n \) is hypercyclic for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). \( \square \)

**Remark 3.1.** For an unbounded linear operator \( A \) in a complex Banach space \( X \) with a nonempty resolvent set \( \rho(A) \neq \emptyset \), all powers \( A^n \) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\) are automatically closed operators [6].

4. Chaoticity of Derivatives

4.1. Derivatives in \( C[a, b] \).

**Proposition 4.1** (Closedness and Spectrum of Derivatives in \( C[a, b] \)).

*In the (real or complex) space \( (C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty) (-\infty < a < b < \infty) \), for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), the \( n \)th derivative

\[ D^n f := f^{(n)}, \]

with maximal domain

\[ D(D^n) := C^n[a, b] \]

is a densely defined unbounded closed linear operator.*
Furthermore, provided the space is complex,
(4.3) \[ \sigma(D^n) = \sigma_p(D^n) = \mathbb{C}, \]
each \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) being an eigenvalue of geometric multiplicity \( n \).

Proof. Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) be arbitrary.

Observe that \( D^n \) is the \( n \)th power of \( D \), and hence, is linear.

The fact that \( D^n \) is densely defined follows from the inclusion 
\[ P \subset C^\infty[a, b] = C^\infty(D), \]
where \( P \) is the subspace of polynomials (see (2.2)), which, by the Weierstrass approximation theorem, is dense in \( (C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty) \) (see, e.g., [19]).

The unboundedness of \( D^n \) instantly follows from the fact that, for \( e_k(x) := \left( \frac{x-a}{b-a} \right)^k, k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [a, b], \)
we have:
\[ e_k \in D(D^n) \text{ and } \| e_k \|_\infty = e_k(b) = 1, \ k \in \mathbb{N}, \]
and, for \( k \geq n, \)
\[ \| D^ne_k \|_\infty = \left\| \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (k-j) \left( \frac{1}{b-a} \right)^n \left( \frac{x-a}{b-a} \right)^{k-n} \right\|_\infty \]
\[ = \frac{k!}{(k-n)!} \left( \frac{1}{b-a} \right)^n \left( \frac{x-a}{b-a} \right)^{k-n} \bigg|_{x=b} \]
\[ = \frac{k!}{(k-n)!} \left( \frac{1}{b-a} \right)^n \geq k \left( \frac{1}{b-a} \right)^n \to \infty, \ k \to \infty. \]

For the complex space \( C[a, b] \), (4.3) follows from the fact that, for an arbitrary \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), the equation 
\[ D^n f = \lambda f \]
has \( n \) lineally independent solutions
\[ f_k(x) := x^{k-1}, \ k = 1, \ldots, n, \ x \in [a, b], \]
when \( \lambda = 0 \) or
\[ f_k(x) := e^{\lambda k x}, \ k = 1, \ldots, n, \ x \in [a, b], \]
where \( \lambda_k, \ k = 1, \ldots, n, \) are the distinct values of \( \sqrt[n]{\lambda} \), when \( \lambda \neq 0 \). Hence, \( \lambda \in \sigma_p(A) \), with the corresponding eigenspace
\[ \ker(D^n - \lambda I) = \text{span} \{ f_1, \ldots, f_n \} \]
being \( n \)-dimensional.

Due to (4.3), the closedness of the operator \( D^n \) is not automatic (see Remark 3.1), and hence, is to be shown.
Consistently with the *Riesz Representation Theorem* (see, e.g., \([6, 10, 19]\)), there is a continuous embedding \(E\) of \(C[a, b]\) into the dual space \(C^*[a, b]\), which relates the corresponding vectors \(g \in C[a, b]\) and \(g^* := Eg \in C^*[a, b]\) as follows:

\[
g^* f = \int_a^b f(x)g(x) \, dx, \quad f \in C[a, b],
\]

with

\[
\|g^*\| = \int_a^b |g(x)| \, dx =: \|g\|_1 \leq \|g\|_\infty,
\]

\(\|g\|_1\) being the total variation of the antiderivative \(\int_a^x g(t) \, dt, \ x \in [a, b]\).

In \(C[a, b]\), the linear operator

\[
(D_n^0) f := f^{(n)}
\]

with domain

\[
D(D_n^0) := C^\infty_0 [a, b],
\]

is not densely defined, and hence, has no adjoint.

In \(C^*[a, b]\), let us consider a linear operator \((D_n^0)'\) defined as follows:

\[
(D_n^0)' E := ED_n^0,
\]

i.e., via the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D((D_n^0)') & \xrightarrow{(D_n^0)'} & C^*[a, b] \\
\downarrow{E} & & \downarrow{E} \\
D(D_n^0) & \xrightarrow{D_n^0} & C[a, b]
\end{array}
\]

for which

\[
D((D_n^0)') := E(D(D_n^0)),
\]

and

\[
\forall f \in C[a, b] \ \forall g \in D(D_n^0) : ((D_n^0)' g^*) f = \int_a^b f(x)g^{(n)}(x) \, dx,
\]

where \(g^* := Eg \in D((D_n^0)')\).

The domain \(D((D_n^0)')\) is a *total* subspace of \(C^*[a, b]\), i.e., a set separating points in \(C[a, b]\), [11, Definition II.2.9]. Indeed, let \(f \in C[a, b]\) and suppose that

\[
\forall g^* \in D((D_n^0)') : g^* f = \int_a^b f(x)g(x) \, dx = 0,
\]

where \(g := E^{-1}g^* \in D(D_n^0)\), which implies that

\[
\forall g \in C^\infty_0 [a, b] = D(D_n^0) : \int_a^b f(x)g(x) \, dx = 0,
\]

and hence, \(f = 0\) (see, e.g., [22]).

Thus, for \((D_n^0)'\), well defined in \(C[a, b]\) is the *pre-adjoint* (or *preconjugate*) operator

\[
D'( (D_n^0)' ) := \{ f \in C[a, b] \mid \exists h \in C[a, b] \ \forall g^* \in D((D_n^0)') : ((D_n^0)' g^*) f = g^* h \} \ni f \mapsto (D_n^0)' f := h,
\]
In view of (4.7), (4.8) acquires the form
\[
D\left((D^n_0)\right) := \left\{ f \in C[a, b] \mid \exists h \in C[a, b] \forall g \in D(D^n_0) : \int_a^b f(x)g^{(n)}(x) \, dx = \int_a^b h(x)g(x) \, dx \right\} 
\]
\[
\ni \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ Definition VI.1.1.}
\]
(4.9)

From (4.9), we infer that \( f \in D\left((D^n_0)\right) \subset C[a, b] \) iff its \( n \)th distributional derivative \((-1)^n h\) belongs to \( C[a, b]\), which is the case iff
\[
g(x) = (-1)^n \int_a^x \cdots \int_a^{t_2} h(t_1) \, dt_1 \cdots dt_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k x^k, \quad x \in [a, b],
\]
with some \( c_k \in \mathbb{F}, k = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \), the latter being equivalent to the fact that
\[
g \in C^n[a, b] \quad \text{and} \quad g^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^n h(x), \quad x \in [a, b].
\]
Thus, we conclude that
\[
D\left((D^n_0)\right) = C^n[a, b] = D(D^n)
\]
and
\[
\left((D^n_0)\right) f = (-1)^n D^n f, \quad f \in C^n[a, b],
\]
(see, e.g., [21,22]), i.e.,
\[
D^n = (-1)^n(D^n_0)\right).
\]

By the closedness of a pre-adjoint operator [11, Lemma VI.1.2], we infer that the operator \( D^n \) is closed, which completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.1 (Chaoticity of Derivatives in \( C[a, b] \)).**

In the (real or complex) space \((C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty)\) \((-\infty < a < b < \infty)\), for each \( n \in \mathbb{N}\), the \( n \)th derivative operator
\[
D^n f := f^{(n)},
\]
with maximal domain \( D(D^n) := C^n[a, b] \)
is chaotic, with every \( N \in \mathbb{N}\) being its period.

**Proof.** Let \( n \in \mathbb{N}\) be arbitrary.

By the prior proposition, \( D^n \) is a densely defined unbounded closed linear operator, with
\[
P \subset C^\infty[a, b] = C^\infty(D^n)
\]
being dense in \((C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty)\) (see (2.2)).

The integration operator
\[
[Bf](x) := \int_a^x f(t) \, dt, \quad x \in [a, b],
\]
is well defined on \( P \), i.e.,
\[
B : P \to P.
\]
As is easily seen,
(4.10) \[ \forall f \in P : DBf = f. \]

Let \( f \in P \) with \( \deg f = M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) be arbitrary. For all \( m \geq M + 1, \)
\[ D^m f = 0, \]
and hence,
\[ D^m f \to 0, m \to \infty. \]

Since
\[ f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!}(x-a)^k, \quad x \in [a, b], \]
for all \( m \in \mathbb{N}, \)
\[ [B^m f](x) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{(k+m)!}(x-a)^{k+m}, \quad x \in [a, b]. \]

Considering this and the fact that
\[ \forall \alpha > 0 : \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\alpha^m}{m!} = 0, \]
we infer that
\[ \forall \alpha > 0 : \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\alpha^m}{m!} = 0, \]
we infer that
\[ \exists C > 0 \forall m \in \mathbb{N} : \|B^m f\|_\infty \leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq M} \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{M} (x-a)^{k+m} \right| \]
\[ = \max_{0 \leq k \leq M} \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{M} (b-a)^{k+m} \right| \]
\[ = \max_{0 \leq k \leq M} \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{m!} (M+1)(b-a+1)^{M+m} \right| \]
\[ \leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq M} \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{m!} 2^{-m} \to 0, m \to \infty, \right. \]
\[ (4.11) \]

Thus, the operator \( D \) is subject to the provisions of the Sufficient Condition for Hypercyclicity (Theorem 2.1), which, by the Powers of Hypercyclic Operators Proposition (Proposition 3.1), implies that the operator \( D^n \) is hypercyclic.

Now, let us prove that the operator \( D^n \) has a dense set of periodic points, and hence, is chaotic.

Observe that, for each \( N \in \mathbb{N}, \) the set
\[ \text{Per}_N(D) = \ker(D^N - I), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \]
of \( N \)-periodic points of \( D \) (see Remarks 2.1) is found from the equation
\[ D^N f = f, \]
as well as provided the space \( C[a, b] \) is complex, coincides with the following \( N \)-dimensional subspace of \( C[a, b]: \)
\[ \text{span} \left\{ e^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_N} \right\}, \]
where $\lambda_k, k = 1, \ldots, N$, are the distinct values of $\sqrt[n]{1}$. For the real space $C[a, b]$, the subspace $\text{Per}_N(A)$ is also $N$-dimensional, its basis obtained by separating the real and imaginary parts of the foregoing exponentials, in view of the fact that essentially complex $N$th roots of 1 occur in conjugate pairs $\lambda, \overline{\lambda}$, each pair contributing two exponential-trigonometrics $e^{\text{Re} \lambda \cdot \cos(\text{Im} \lambda \cdot \cdot)}$ and $e^{\text{Re} \lambda \cdot \sin(\text{Im} \lambda \cdot \cdot)}$.

Thus, periodic points of $D$ are entire functions.

Now, let us show that any polynomial can be approximated arbitrarily closely in $(C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty)$ by periodic points of $D^n$.

Indeed, let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in P$ with $\deg g = M \leq nN - 1$. Then

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x-a)^k, \ x \in [a, b].$$

By estimate (4.11),

(4.12) \[ f_N := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B^{mN} f \in C[a, b] \]

is well defined.

Further, since, in view of $D^{nN} f = 0$ and (4.10),

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} D^{nN} B^{mN} f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B^{(m-1)nN} = f_N,$$

by the closedness of the operator $D^{nN}$, we infer that

$$f_N \in D(D^{nN}) \quad \text{and} \quad D^{nN} f_N = f_N,$$

(see, e.g., [17]), i.e., $f_N$ is an $N$-periodic point for $D^n$.

For instance, for $n = 1, N = 2$, and

$$f(x) := f(a) + f'(a)(x-a), \ x \in [a, b],$$

we have:

$$[B^{2m} f](x) = f(a) \frac{(x-a)^{2m}}{(2m)!} + f'(a) \frac{(x-a)^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)!}, \ m \in \mathbb{Z_+}, x \in [a, b],$$

and hence, the corresponding 2-periodic point for $D$ is

$$f_2(x) = f(a) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x-a)^{2m}}{(2m)!} + f'(a) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x-a)^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)!} = f(a) \cosh x + f'(a) \sinh x
$$

$$= f(a) \frac{e^{(x-a)} + e^{-(x-a)}}{2} + f'(a) \frac{e^{(x-a)} - e^{-(x-a)}}{2}, \ x \in [a, b],$$

i.e.,

$$f_2 \in \text{span} \left( \{ e^-, e^+ \} \right) = \text{Per}_2(D),$$

indeed.
Let \( f \in P \) with \( \deg f = M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) be arbitrary, and hence,
\[
f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!}(x-a)^k, \ x \in [a, b],
\]
and \( f_N \) be the periodic point of the operator \( D^n \) of an arbitrary period \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( nN \geq M + 1 \) defined based on \( f \) as in (4.12). Then, by estimate (4.11),
\[
\|f - f_N\|_p = \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B^{mnN} f \right\|_p \leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq M} \left| f^{(k)}(a) \right| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-mnN}
\]
\[
= C \max_{0 \leq k \leq M} \left| f^{(k)}(a) \right| \frac{2^{-nN}}{1 - 2^{-nN}} \to 0, \ N \to \infty.
\]
Whence, in view of the denseness of \( P \) in \( (C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty) \), we infer that the set \( \text{Per}(D^n) \) of periodic points of \( D^n \) is dense in \( (C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty) \), i.e., the operator \( D^n \) is chaotic. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.1.** Since the natural embedding
\[
H(\mathbb{C}) \ni f \mapsto i(f) := f|_{[a, b]} \in (C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty)
\]
(\( \cdot \) is the restriction of a function (left) to a set (right)) is continuous and, due to the denseness of the subspace \( P \) of polynomials in \( (C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty) \), has a dense range (see, e.g., [19]) and
\[
\forall f \in D(D_H) = H(\mathbb{C}) : i(f) \in C^1[a, b] = D(D_C) \quad \text{and} \quad D_C i(f) = i(D_H f)
\]
\( D_H \) and \( D_C \) stand for the derivative operators in \( H(\mathbb{C}) \) and \( C[a, b] \), respectively), i.e., the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^1[a, b] & \xrightarrow{D_C} & C[a, b] \\
i \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\
H(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{D_H} & H(\mathbb{C})
\end{array}
\]
commutes, the image \( i(f) \) of any hypercyclic vector \( f \) for \( D_H \) is a hypercyclic vector for \( D_C \). Also, the image \( i(f) \) of an \( N \)-periodic point \( f \) for \( D_H \) is an \( N \)-periodic point for \( D_C \).

Thus, the case of the derivative operator \( D \) the complex space \( C[a, b] \) follows immediately from the chaoticity of the MacLane’s operator \( D \) in \( H(\mathbb{C}) \) (see the Introduction).

4.2. **Derivatives in \( L_p(a, b) \).**

The following statement has a value of its own and is not to be used to prove the chaoticity of derivatives in \( L_p(a, b) \) \((1 \leq p < \infty, -\infty < a < b < \infty)\).

**Proposition 4.2** (Closedness and Spectrum of Derivatives in \( L_p(a, b) \)).

In the (real or complex) space \( L_p(a, b) \) \((1 \leq p < \infty, -\infty < a < b < \infty)\), for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), the \( n \)th derivative
\[
D^n f := f^{(n)},
\]
with maximal domain
\[ D(D^n) := W^n_p(a, b) := \{ f \in L_p(a, b) \mid f(\cdot) \in C^{n-1}[a, b], f^{(n-1)}(\cdot) \in AC[a, b], f^{(n)} \in L_p(a, b) \} \]
is a densely defined unbounded closed linear operator.

Furthermore, provided the space is complex,
\[ \sigma(D^n) = \sigma_p(D^n) = \mathbb{C}, \]
each \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) being an eigenvalue of geometric multiplicity \( n \).

**Proof.** Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) be arbitrary.

Observe that \( D^n \) is the \( n \)th power of \( D \), and hence, is linear.

The fact that \( D^n \) is densely defined follows from the inclusion
\[ P \subset C^\infty[a, b] = C^\infty(D), \]
where \( P \) is the subspace of polynomials (see (2.2)), which, by the Weierstrass approximation theorem, is dense in \( (C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty) \) (see, e.g., [19]), and hence, in view of the denseness of \( C[a, b] \) in \( L_p(a, b) \) (see, e.g., [18]), also in \( L_p(a, b) \).

The unboundedness of \( D^n \) follows from the fact that, for
\[ e_k(x) := \left( \frac{kp + 1}{b - a} \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right)^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [a, b], \]
we have:
\[ e_k \in D(D^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \|e_k\|_p = 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]
and, for \( k \geq n \),
\[ \|D^n e_k\|_p = \left\| \left( \frac{kp + 1}{b - a} \right)^{1/p} \frac{1}{(k - j)!} \left( \frac{1}{b - a} \right)^n \left( \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right)^{k - n} \right\|_p \]
\[ = \left( \frac{kp + 1}{b - a} \right)^{1/p} \frac{k!}{(k - n)!} \left( \frac{1}{b - a} \right)^n \left( \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right)^{k - n} \]
\[ \geq \left( \frac{kp + 1}{(k - n)p + 1} \right)^{1/p} \frac{k!}{(k - n)!} \left( \frac{1}{b - a} \right)^n \left( \frac{b - a}{(k - n)p + 1} \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \to \infty, \quad k \to \infty. \]

For the complex space \( L_p(a, b) \), (4.13) follows from the fact that, for an arbitrary \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), the equation
\[ D^n f = \lambda f \]
has \( n \) lineally independent solutions \( f_k, \ldots, f_n \) represented by the powers
\[ f_k(x) := x^{k-1}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n, \quad x \in [a, b], \]
when \( \lambda = 0 \) or by the exponentials
\[ f_k(x) := e^{\lambda x}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n, \quad x \in [a, b], \]
where \( \lambda_k, k = 1, \ldots, n, \) are the distinct values of \( \sqrt[n]{\lambda}, \) when \( \lambda \neq 0. \) Hence, \( \lambda \in \sigma_p(A), \) with the corresponding eigenspace

\[
\text{ker}(D^n - \lambda I) = \text{span} \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}
\]

being \( n \)-dimensional.

Let us prove that the operator \( D^n \) is closed, which, due to (4.13), is not a given (see Remark 3.1).

Let \( q := p/(p - 1) \in (1, \infty] \) be the conjugate to \( p \) index.

As is known (see, e.g., [6]), there is an isometric isomorphism \( E_{q,p} \) between the dual space \( L^*_q(a,b) \) and \( L_p(a,b), \) which relates the corresponding vectors \( g^* \in L^*_q(a,b) \) and \( g := E_{q,p}g^* \in L_p(a,b) \) as follows:

(4.14)

\[
g^*f = \int_a^b f(x)g(x) \, dx, \quad f \in L_q(a,b).
\]

In \( L_q(a,b), \) consider the linear operator

(4.15)

\[
D_0^n f := f^{(n)}
\]

with domain

(4.16)

\[
D(D_0^n) := C^\infty_0[a,b].
\]

Suppose first that \( 1 < p < \infty. \) Then \( 1 < q < \infty \) and operator \( D_0 \) is densely defined due to the denseness of \( C^\infty_0[a,b] \) (see (2.1)) in \( L_q(a,b) \) (see, e.g., [22]). Therefore, for \( D_0^n, \) well defined in \( L^*_q(a,b) \) is the adjoint (or conjugate) operator

\[
D((D_0^n)^\ast) := \{g^* \in L^*_q(a,b) \mid \exists h^* \in L^*_q(a,b): g^*D_0^n = h^*, D(D_0^n)\} \ni g^* \mapsto (D_0^n)^\ast g^* := h^*
\]

[6, 11].

Due to the isometric isomorphism \( E_{q,p} \) between the dual space \( L^*_q(a,b) \) and \( L_p(a,b) \) (see (4.14)), \( (D_0^n)^\ast \) can be identified with a linear operator \( (D_0^n)' \) in \( L_p(a,b) \) defined as follows:

\[
(D_0^n)'E_{q,p} := E_{q,p}(D_0^n)^\ast,
\]

i.e., via the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D((D_0^n)^\ast) & \xrightarrow{(D_0^n)^\ast} & L^*_q(a,b) \\
\downarrow_{E_{q,p}} & & \downarrow_{E_{q,p}} \\
D((D_0^n)') & \xrightarrow{(D_0^n)'} & L_p(a,b)
\end{array}
\]

for which

(4.17)

\[
\int_a^b f^{(n)}(x)g(x) \, dx = \int_a^b f(x)h(x) \, dx \ni f \mapsto (D_0^n)'g := h.
\]
From (4.17), we infer that $g \in D((D^n_0)') \subset L_p(a, b)$ iff its $n$th distributional derivative $(-1)^n h$ belongs to $L_p(a, b)$, which is the case iff the equivalence class $g$ allows the representative

$$g(x) = (-1)^n \int_a^x \cdots \int_a^x h(t_1) \, dt_1 \cdots \, dt_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k x^k, \quad x \in [a, b],$$

with some $c_k \in \mathbb{F}$, $k = 0, \ldots, n - 1$, the latter being equivalent to the fact that $g \in W^\infty_p(a, b)$ and $g^{(n)} = (-1)^n h$.

Thus, we conclude that

$$D((D^n_0)') = W^\infty_p(a, b) = D(D^n)$$

and

$$(D^n_0)' f = (-1)^n D^n f, \quad f \in W^\infty_p(a, b),$$

(see, e.g., [21, 22]), which implies that

$$D^n = (-1)^n (D^n_0)'.$$

Whence, by the closedness of an adjoint operator (see, e.g., [11]), we infer that the operator $D^n$ is closed along with $(D^n_0)'.$

For $p = 1$, $q = \infty$ and the linear operator $D^n_0$ in $L_\infty(a, b)$ (see (4.15)–(4.16) with $q = \infty$) is not densely defined, and hence, has no adjoint.

In $L^*_1(a, b)$, let us consider a linear operator $(D^n_0)'$ defined as follows:

$$(D^n_0)' E^{-1}_{1, \infty} := E^{-1}_{1, \infty} D^n_0,$$

where $E_{1, \infty}$ the isometric isomorphism between the dual space $L^*_1(a, b)$ and $L_\infty(a, b)$ (see (4.14) with $q = 1$ and $p = \infty$), i.e., via the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
D((D^n_0)') & \xrightarrow{(D^n_0)'} & L^*_1(a, b) \\
E^{-1}_{1, \infty} \downarrow & & \downarrow E^{-1}_{1, \infty} \\
D(D^n_0) & \xrightarrow{D^n} & L_\infty(a, b)
\end{array}
$$

for which

$$D((D^n_0)') := E^{-1}_{1, \infty}(D(D^n_0)), $$

and

$$\forall f \in L_1(a, b) \forall g \in D(D^n_0) : (D^n_0)' f = \int_a^b f(x) g^{(n)}(x) \, dx, $$

where $g^* := E^{-1}_{1, \infty} g \in D((D^n_0)')$.

The domain $D((D^n_0)')$ is a total subspace of $L^*_1(a, b)$, i.e., a set separating points in $L_1(a, b)$, [11, Definition II.2.9]. Indeed, let $f \in L_1(a, b)$ and suppose that

$$\forall g^* \in D((D^n_0)') : g^* f = \int_a^b f(x) g(x) \, dx = 0,$$

where $g := E_{1, \infty} g^* \in D(D^n_0)$, which implies that

$$\forall g \in C^\infty_0[a, b] = D(D^n_0) : \int_a^b f(x) g(x) \, dx = 0,$$
and hence, \( f = 0 \) (see, e.g., [22]). Thus, for \((D_0^n)'\), well defined in \( L_1(a, b) \) is the pre-adjoint (or preconjugate) operator

\[
D'(D_0^n) := \{ f \in L_1(a, b) \mid \exists h \in L_1(a, b) \forall g^* \in D((D_0^n)') : \]

\[
((D_0^n)'g^*)f = g^*h \} \ni f \mapsto '(D_0^n)f := h,
\]

[11, Definition VI.1.1].

In view of (4.19), (4.20) acquires the form

\[
D'(D_0^n) := \left\{ f \in L_1(a, b) \mid \exists h \in L_1(a, b) \forall g \in D(D_0^n) : \int_a^b f(x)g^{(n)}(x) \, dx = \int_a^b h(x)g(x) \, dx \right\} \ni f \mapsto '(D_0^n)f := h,
\]

From (4.21), we infer that \( f \in D'(D_0^n) \subset L_1(a, b) \) iff its \( n \)th distributional derivative \((-1)^n h\) belongs to \( L_1(a, b)\). Whence, by reasoning as in regard to (4.18), we can conclude that

\[
D'(D_0^n) = W_1^n(a, b) = D(D^n)
\]

and

\[
'(D_0^n)f = (-1)^n D^n f, \quad f \in W_1^n(a, b),
\]

which implies that

\[
D^n = (-1)^n'(D_0^n)'.
\]

Whence, by the closedness of a pre-adjoint operator [11, Lemma VI.1.2], we infer that the operator \( D^n \) is closed, which completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 4.2.** Since, for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), the natural embedding

\[
(C[a, b], \| \cdot \|_\infty) \ni f \mapsto i(f) := f \in L_p(a, b)
\]

is continuous and has a dense range (see, e.g., [6, 18]) and, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
\forall f \in D(D^n_C) = C^n[a, b] : i(f) \in W_p^n(a, b) = D(D^n_{L_p}) \quad \text{and} \quad D^n_{L_p}i(f) = i(D^n_C f)
\]

\((D^n_C \text{ and } D^n_{L_p})\) stand for the \( n \)th derivative operators with maximal domain in \( C[a, b] \) and \( L_p(a, b), \) respectively), i.e., the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W_p^n(a, b) & \xrightarrow{D^n_{L_p}} & L_p(a, b) \\
\uparrow i & & \uparrow i \\
C^n[a, b] & \xrightarrow{D^n_C} & C[a, b]
\end{array}
\]

commutes, the image \( i(f) \) of any hypercyclic vector \( f \) for \( D^n_C \) is a hypercyclic vector for \( D^n_{L_p}. \) Also, the image \( i(f) \) of an \( N \)-periodic point \( f \) for \( D^n_C \) is an \( N \)-periodic point for \( D^n_{L_p}. \)

Thus, the following statement is an instant corollary of Theorem 4.1.
Corollary 4.1 (Chaoticity of Derivatives in $L_p(a, b)$).

In the (real or complex) space $L_p(a, b)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$, $-\infty < a < b < \infty$), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the $n$th derivative operator

$$D^n f := f^{(n)},$$

with maximal domain

$$D(D^n) := W^n_p(a, b) := \{ f \in L_p(a, b) \mid f^{(\cdot)} \in C^{n-1}[a, b], f^{(n-1)}(\cdot) \in AC[a, b], f^{(n)} \in L_p(a, b) \}$$

is chaotic, with every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ being its period.

5. Concluding Remarks

The following remarks are in order.

- Since the Sobolev space $W^n_p(a, b)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $-\infty < a < b < \infty$) is a Banach space relative to the norm

$$\|f\|_{p,n} := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \|f^{(k)}\|_p, \ f \in W^n_p(a, b),$$

(see, e.g., [21, 22]), the closedness of the $n$th derivative operator $D^n$ in $L_p(a, b)$ implies that $W^n_p(a, b) = D(D^n)$ is also a Banach space relative to the weaker graph norm

$$\|f\|_G := \|f\|_p + \|f^{(n)}\|_p \leq \|f\|_{p,n}, \ f \in W^n_p(a, b),$$

and hence, as follows from the Inverse Mapping Theorem, the norms $\| \cdot \|_{p,n}$ and $\| \cdot \|_G$ on $W^n_p(a, b)$ are equivalent, i.e.,

$$\exists C > 0 \ \forall f \in W^n_p(a, b) : \|f\|_{p,n} \leq C \left( \|f\|_p + \|f^{(n)}\|_p \right)$$

(see, e.g., [17]).

- Since $C^n[a, b]$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is a Banach space relative to the norm

$$\|f\|_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \|f^{(k)}\|_{\infty}, \ f \in C^n[a, b],$$

(see, e.g., [17]), the closedness of the $n$th derivative operator $D^n$ in $C[a, b]$ implies that $C^n[a, b] = D(D^n)$ is also a Banach space relative to the weaker graph norm

$$\|f\|_G := \|f\|_\infty + \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_n, \ f \in C^n[a, b],$$

and hence, as follows from the Inverse Mapping Theorem, the norms $\| \cdot \|_n$ and $\| \cdot \|_G$ on $C^n[a, b]$ are equivalent, i.e.,

$$\exists C > 0 \ \forall f \in C^n[a, b] : \|f\|_n \leq C \left( \|f\|_\infty + \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty \right)$$

(see, e.g., [17]).
For a linear operator $A$ in a complex infinite-dimensional separable Banach space $X$ the fact that
\[ 1 \in \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \sigma_p(A^N), \]
as well as
\[ \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1 \} \subset \sigma_p(A^n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \]
imply that the operator $A^n (n \in \mathbb{N})$ has a nonzero $N$-periodic point for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Indeed, let $\lambda_N \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda_N| = 1$ be an $N$th root of 1, then, for any $f \in \ker(A^n - \lambda_N I) \setminus \{0\}$,
\[ A^n f = \lambda_N f, \]
and hence,
\[ A^{nN} f = \lambda_N^N f = f. \]
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