Magnetization process and ordering of the $S = 1/2$ pyrochlore magnet in a field
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We study the $S = 1/2$ pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnet in a magnetic field. Using large scale density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG) calculations for clusters up to 128 spins, we find indications for a finite triplet gap, causing a threshold field to nonzero magnetization in the magnetization curve. We obtain a robust saturation field consistent with a magnon crystal, although the corresponding $5/6$ magnetization plateau is very slim and possibly unstable. Most remarkably, there is a pronounced and apparently robust $1/2$ magnetization plateau where the groundstate breaks (real-space) rotational symmetry, exhibiting oppositely polarised spins on alternating kagome and triangular planes. Reminiscent of the kagome ice plateau of the pyrochlore Ising magnet known as spin ice, it arises via a much more subtle ‘quantum order by disorder’ mechanism.

Introduction.— The Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the pyrochlore lattice, is one of the most frustrated three dimensional magnets, and as such a prime candidate for exotic, specifically quantum spin liquid, behaviour. Indeed, its classical variants are topological magnets (classical spin liquids), exhibiting a Coulomb phase [1–3] in the limit of low temperature both for the Heisenberg [4] and the Ising (spin ice) variants, with the latter also hosting deconfined magnetic monopoles as quasiparticles [5–8].

Due to the complexity of the quantum $S = 1/2$ problem and concomitant lack of unbiased methods, the nature of the ground state of the pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnet is still being discussed [9–17], with recent progress indicating an inversion symmetry broken ground state [18–20] rather than a quantum spin liquid.

In a related strand of work, the study of frustrated magnets in an applied field [21] has turned up many interesting phenomena, such as string excitations and Kasteleyn transitions, dimensional reduction and much more. Particularly prominent has been the study of magnon crystals [22–28] and magnetisation plateaux [29–37], ‘incompressible’ magnetic states, which may be stabilised when gaps between the ground states of different magnetization sectors remain finite in the thermodynamic limit.

The classical variants exhibit either no magnetisation plateaux for the Heisenberg model (at least in the absence of magnetoelastic coupling [37–39]), or, in the Ising case, the very rich physics of kagome ice [40–42]. The latter arises for a field applied in a [111] direction, which has a large projection onto the local Ising axes on one quarter of the spins, which therefore quickly get polarised. The remaining three quarters of the spins reside on kagome layers (green dots in Fig. 2) which enter a stable partially polarised plateau at intermediate field strengths.

For $S = 1/2$, this magnetization process remains largely unexplored [36], precisely due to the lack of reliable methods alluded to above, while the two-dimensional $S = 1/2$ kagomé Heisenberg antiferromagnet has been thoroughly studied [27–35, 43–45].

Here, we study the magnetization process of the $S = 1/2$ pyrochlore magnet from zero field to saturation with DMRG, which has recently turned out to be very useful regarding the zero-field properties [18]. Most saliently, we find an incompressible magnetic phase with a 3:1 spin polarization ratio, signalled by a robust plateau at half saturation.

This magnetisation plateau exhibits real-space rotational symmetry breaking in our largest clusters, with polarized kagomé planes along the field direction, and antipolarized interplane sites. Unlike in kagome ice, this pattern of 3:1 disproportionation arises spontaneously, being selected from an exponentially large number of possible
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FIG. 1. Normalized ground state magnetization $m = \langle \psi_0 | S^z_{\text{tot}} | \psi_0 \rangle$ of different pyrochlore clusters as a function of the external magnetic field $h$. The saturation magnetisation is given by the the fully polarized state of $N$ spins: $m_{\text{sat}} = N/2$. For the 64-site cluster the upper half, while for the largest clusters 108 and 128, only the part of the curve at the strongest fields can be reliably calculated.
FIG. 2. Onsite magnetization for the $m/m_4 = 1/2$ plateau of the 108-site and 128-site clusters. We show only the cubic unit cell cut of the whole clusters. Green balls correspond to polarized spins in one direction, red balls represent a polarization in the opposite direction. The size of the balls is proportional to the onsite magnetization. Gray shaded triangles are guides to the eye to indicate the polarized kagome angles.

3:1 disproportionations in what may be termed a quantum order by disorder mechanism. Also, the minority sublattice has negative, rather than enhanced, Zeeman energy—a spontaneous instance of ferrimagnetism.

Furthermore, we obtain a value of the saturation field which is consistent with what one obtains for the magnon lattice is defined by the fcc lattice vectors $\vec{a}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 0)^T$, $\vec{a}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(0, 1, 1)^T$, $\vec{a}_3 = \frac{1}{2}(0, 1, -1)^T$, together with four tetrahedral basis vectors $b_0 = 0$, $b_1 = \frac{1}{2}\vec{a}_i$. This model conserves the total magnetization $S_{tot}^z = \sum_i S_i^z$, since $[H, S_{tot}^z] = 0$, and hence the Hamiltonian decomposes into symmetry sectors with fixed total magnetization. A trivial shift of the energies within different sectors is generated by the Zeeman term $hS_{tot}^z$ because it is proportional to this conserved quantity. In particular, this means that the ground states of each magnetization sector are shifted against each other, leading to transitions to states with larger magnetization as a function the applied field $h$. If the ground states of adjacent $S_{tot}^z$ sectors are separated by a gap in the thermodynamic limit, the magnetization will remain locked into the lower magnetization sector for a range of fields proportional to the gap, leading to a plateau in the magnetization curve, and hence an incompressible magnetic state.

We determine the magnetization curve in the following way: We calculate the ground state energy without field, $E_0(S_{tot}^z)$, in each $S_{tot}^z$ sector on the finite size clusters of $N$ spins inscribed in the parallelepiped spanned by the vectors $c_1$, $c_2$, $c_3$, as specified in Table I.

Note that they have the same energy as the sectors with total spin $S = S_{tot}^z$ (so $S_{tot}^z$ and $S_{tot}^z$ commute), and we can therefore exploit the full SU(2)-symmetry of the model in our DMRG calculations (subject to the above caveat that this does not always reduce the computational cost until convergence in high spin sectors). To obtain the field-dependent ground-state energies, the magnetic field can then be easily included since the Zeeman term is proportional to $S_{tot}^z$, which is a good quantum number:

$$E_0(S_{tot}^z, h) = E_0(S_{tot}^z, 0) - hS_{tot}^z.$$  

TABLE I. Cluster vectors $c_1, c_2, c_3$ of the 8 clusters used in this work. The last column shows the length of the shortest loop winding across the periodic boundary and thus competing with the loops in the bulk (e.g. hexagons of length 6). The clusters of size 32 and 108 respect all lattice symmetries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster</th>
<th>$c_1$</th>
<th>$c_2$</th>
<th>$c_3$</th>
<th>length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$2\vec{a}_1$</td>
<td>$2\vec{a}_2$</td>
<td>$2\vec{a}_3$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48a</td>
<td>$(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)^T$</td>
<td>$(0, 1, 1)^T$</td>
<td>$(0, 1, -1)^T$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48b</td>
<td>$(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)^T$</td>
<td>$(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^T$</td>
<td>$(0, 1, -1)^T$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48c</td>
<td>$(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2})^T$</td>
<td>$(0, 1, -1)^T$</td>
<td>$(1, -1, 0)^T$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48d</td>
<td>$(1, 1, 1)^T$</td>
<td>$(1, 0, -1)^T$</td>
<td>$(1, -1, 0)^T$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>$(1, 1, 1)^T$</td>
<td>$(1, 1, -1)^T$</td>
<td>$(-1, 1, 1)^T$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>$3\vec{a}_1$</td>
<td>$3\vec{a}_2$</td>
<td>$3\vec{a}_3$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>$(2, 0, 0)^T$</td>
<td>$(0, 2, 0)^T$</td>
<td>$(0, 0, 2)^T$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The positions, \( h \), and the heights of the jumps, \( m \), between the sectors are then determined by the condition 
\[ E_0(S^z_{\text{tot}} + m, h) = E_0(S^z_{\text{tot}} + m + n, h) \] 
\[ = E_0(S^z_{\text{tot}} + m, h) \]

i.e. the crossing of two adjacent sector energies as a function of \( h \). We display the resulting magnetization curves in Fig. 1 for different clusters ranging from 32 to 128 sites, noting that for large clusters it is only possible to determine the large field part of the magnetization curve.

A prominent features is the large jump of the magnetization from its maximum to 5/6 \( m_{\text{sat}} \) near the saturation field \( h_{\text{sat}} = 4J \). Position and height of this jump can be understood using the same reasoning as in the case of kagome \([27, 31]\). The exact ground state of symmetry sectors with very large \( S^z_{\text{tot}} \) is a crystal of localized magnon modes, while the ground state of the sector with maximal \( S^z_{\text{tot}} \) is the trivial fully polarized state. One possible densest packing of independent magnon modes on the pyrochlore lattice is given by densely arranging magnons localized on hexagonal motifs in the kagome planes, leading to a magnetization plateau at \( m/m_{\text{sat}} = 5/6 \) (see App. B for details). Each magnon mode reduces the energy by \( 4J \), so that \( h_{\text{sat}} = 4J \) (Eq. (B3)). Each independent magnon excitation requires three unit cells (twelve sites), which fixes the densest packing. Due to the requirement of commensurability of the densest packing with the cluster geometry, we find the 5/6 plateau only for the 108 site cluster in Fig. 1. On other clusters we can obtain even larger jumps at \( h = 4J \), as modes localized on shorter loops winding across periodic boundary conditions can yield a denser magnon mode filling as a finite-size effect. This yields, for 32 and 48 site clusters, a broad plateau at \( m/m_{\text{sat}} = 3/4 \) which is not representative of the infinite lattice. The narrowness of the 5/6 plateau on the 108 site cluster in turn calls into question its stability in the thermodynamic limit.

We attempt to extrapolate the widths of the magnetization plateaux observed in finite size clusters (Fig. 1) to the thermodynamic limit (Fig. 3), using linear fits in \( 1/N \). There is little indication that the 3/4- and 5/8-plateaux retain a finite width. In contrast, we have strong evidence for a finite width of the 1/2-plateau in the thermodynamic limit (red in Fig. 3), which is located in the field range \( h_{1/2}^{1/2} \leq h \leq h_{1/2}^{1/2} \), with jumps at \( h_{1/2}^{1/2} = 2.16(5)J \) and \( h_{1/2}^{1/2} = 2.48(1)J \). The size of the 0-plateau (determined by the triplet gap) varies monotonically with cluster size and geometry and is inaccessible for our largest clusters, rendering a smooth extrapolation impossible. Nevertheless, our result for the triplet gap in the 64-site cluster, 0.42(11) agrees with that of the recent variational Monte Carlo estimate, 0.40(4), in the thermodynamic limit \([20]\) and the data shown in Fig. 3 is consistent with a finite triplet gap.

Properties of the \( 1/2 \) plateau.— We turn to the correlations of the \( 1/2 \) plateau. We focus on the largest cluster, where finite-size effects due to short loops winding across periodic boundaries are suppressed. Nonetheless, we include results for smaller clusters for finite-size extrapolations, as in the preceding analysis. Indeed, while the 32-site cluster develops a uniform magnetization throughout the lattice with \( \langle \psi_0 | S^z_i | \psi_0 \rangle = 0.25 \), larger clusters exhibit a more complex pattern, stabilizing inequivalent spins with differing polarisation. These are arranged so as to discard the rotational symmetry of the pyrochlore lattice, in favour of selecting a preferred [111] axis. The planes perpendicular to this axis are alternating triangular and kagome planes, containing 1/4 and 3/4 of the spins, shown in red and green in Fig. 2, respectively: each tetrahedron contributes a 'base triangle' to the kagome, and its apex spin to the triangular, plane.

The kagome spins (A sites in Tab. II) acquire a polarisation along the field, while the triangular spins (B sites) are polarised in the opposite direction in our clusters of size 64, 108 and 128. Fig. 2 shows the onsite magnetization pattern \( \langle \psi_0 | S^z_i | \psi_0 \rangle \) in a cubic unit cell for the clusters of size 108 and 128, along with a list for different clusters in Tab. II. While the largest cluster with 128 sites develops this pattern perfectly, smaller clusters can exhibit defects in the form of lines with small onsite magnetization passing through kagome planes, which we attribute to the existence of short resonant loops across the periodic boundaries in these clusters. The number of such defective sites is listed in the caption of Tab. II and vanishes for the 128 cluster.

We emphasise that this symmetry-breaking is distinct from the one we found for the zero-field problem, where the lattice inversion symmetry appears to be broken, as the two sublattices of tetrahedra have different energy densities \([18]\). On the 1/2 magnetization plateau, the inversion symmetry is preserved, while the rotational symmetry of the lattice is broken by the emergence of a pre-
TABLE II. Averaged onsite magnetization $\langle S^z_i \rangle$ and standard deviation across sites of the two types of sites $A$ and $B$ observed in the finite cluster with size $N$. We excluded the defects (9 of $N = 64$, 6 of $N = 108$ and 0 of $N = 128$) in form of the lines with small onsite magnezeation to determine the averaged values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>$0.379 \pm 0.077$</td>
<td>$-0.161 \pm 0.000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>$0.419 \pm 0.036$</td>
<td>$-0.245 \pm 0.044$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>$0.426 \pm 0.015$</td>
<td>$-0.278 \pm 0.007$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 4. Spin structure factor $S(\vec{Q})$ for the $m/m_s = 1/2$ plateau of various clusters. The top row shows the $Q_y = Q_x$ cut through the Brillouin zone, the bottom row corresponds to the $Q_z = 0$ cut.

The symmetry breaking is naturally reflected in the spin structure factor

$$S(\vec{Q}) = \frac{4}{3N} \sum_{ij} \langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \rangle_c \cos \left[ \vec{Q} \cdot (\vec{R}_i - \vec{R}_j) \right], \quad (3)$$

where $\vec{R}_i$ denote the real-space coordinates of sites and the index $c$ denotes the connected part of the correlation matrix (the factor $4/3$ comes from normalization $1/(S(S+1))$ for spin $S = 1/2$). This is plotted in Fig. 4 for several clusters. The larger clusters exhibit clearly discernible bright lines in the structure factor, discarding the rotational symmetry present for the 32-site cluster; in contrast to the $m/m_s = 0$ state, the inversion symmetry remains intact.

Discussion — The pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnet in a field, like its zero-field cousin, illustrates the capacity of frustrated magnets to exhibit a plethora of instabilities, discarding the rotational symmetry at half-magnetisation and forming an incompressible state. It is interesting to embed this finding in a broader context.

Firstly, the idea that the half-magnetisation plateau goes along with a 3:1 disproportionation of sites seems entirely natural: indeed, for collinear spins, such a 3:1 ratio is the only way to obtain half-magnetised tetrahedra. Note, however, that such 3:1 tetrahedra can be tiled in exponentially numerous ways on the pyrochlore lattice, indeed mapping onto dimer coverings of the diamond lattice, which have a finite entropy of $S \approx 0.13k_B$ [59–61]. The selection through fluctuations of a specific one (or subset) of such tilings with lowered symmetry is known as order-by-disorder. In this sense, our magnetisation plateau exhibits a form of quantum order-by-disorder, although the assignment of what term of the Hamiltonian contributes the fluctuations is to some degree a matter of choice. At any rate, the emergence of ordered ferrimagnetism in the plateau is a striking instability of a highly frustrated quantum magnet.

We close by contrasting the $1/2$ plateau to the kagome ice plateau mentioned in the introduction. In a real spin-orbit coupled pyrochlore, an Ising anisotropy needs to go along with non-collinear easy axes: the local easy axis is the [111] direction joining a site with the centres of its tetrahedra. This turns a uniform applied magnetic field into a staggered Zeeman fields according to the sublattice [62]; as mentioned above, when applied along a [111] direction, it polarises the triangular planes more strongly than the kagome ones, as the easy axes projections differ by a factor of 3. We find it most intriguing that this general setting arises for the $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg plateau by spontaneous rather than explicit symmetry breaking, and the question of interpolating between the two immediately poses itself. Note that the two cases differ considerably in (non-symmetry) ‘details’: the triangular layers are strongly positively polarised in kagome ice, in contrast to their weak negative polarisation in the Heisenberg $S = 1/2$ plateau.

There clearly remains much further scope for studying the highly frustrated quantum magnets in $d = 3$ with and without applied fields, and for the foreseeable future, recent technological progress promises to yield previously inaccessible interesting data such as those underpinning the present article.
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Appendix A: Further details of the DMRG simulation

While we face no convergence problems for the 32- and 48-site clusters, DMRG often exhibits bad convergence and gets stuck in local minima for the larger clusters due to its local-update nature. In these cases, the pattern in Fig. 2 is only partially present. To stabilize one of the magnetically ordered states in our simulation, we use the pinning-field technique. That is, we apply a magnetic field (at low bond dimensions) for the same type of each ‘up’ tetrahedron, where the spin should be flipped until the state is stabilized and then we remove the field and continue the optimization procedure. With this approach the overall convergence becomes much better and smoother as it is indicated by Fig. 5. The error of the extrapolated energies are defined as one quarter of the distance between the lowest energy DMRG datapoint and the extrapolated value.

Appendix B: Localized Magnons in high fields

Constructing analytic solutions for quantum many body system is a highly challenging discipline and succeeds only in special cases. However, solutions of the ground state in form of quasi particles had a huge success in high fields of certain frustrated lattices [22–28]. Probably the most famous example for these quasi particles are independent and localized magnon excitations in the two dimensional kagome lattice.

Kagome lattice Localized magnon excitations describe the ground state of the Heisenberg model in a large external field on the kagome lattice. Theses are confined to non-touching hexagons. Starting from the fully polarized state $|\uparrow \ldots \uparrow\rangle$, a single magnon state is given by (up to normalization)

$$|m\rangle \propto \sum_x (-1)^x S_z^- |\uparrow \ldots \uparrow\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (B1)

where $x$ runs over the hexagon. The localization can be easily verified, each neighboring spin is attached to two spin of the hexagon. The contributions of flipped spins propagating to exterior sites are canceled due the alternating sign. Not only are the magnons localized but also they are ground states of the Heisenberg antiferromagnet for high fields. For simplification we set $h = 0$ and focus on the hopping $H_\pm$ and interaction term $H_z$ individually:

$$H = H_\pm + H_z$$

$$= \frac{J}{2} \sum_{(i,j)} [\sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^- + \sigma_i^- \sigma_j^+] + \frac{J}{4} \sum_{(i,j)} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z$$  \hspace{1cm} (B2)

As mentioned before, spin flips can not propagate outside the hexagon. Within the hexagon, the sign of each term in Eq. (B1) is inverted by $H_\pm$. Hence, $H_\pm |m\rangle = -J |m\rangle$.

In the kagome lattice, each site is attached to 4 other sites. The contribution to the energy by the interaction $H_z$ due to single spin flip is a reduction of $-2J$ in contrast to the fully polarized state. In total, a single magnon reduces the energy by $3J$.

Due to the localization, multiple independent states can be generated within the kagome lattice as long as they are separated by at least one site. In this manner, each hexagon is uniquely assigned to 9 sites in the kagome lattice to achieve the densest filling. This complete tiling of hexagons describes the ground state and corresponds to a magnetization of $m/m_{\text{sat}} = 7/9$. The energy per site is reduced to $E_{7/9} = 1/6J$ from the fully polarized state $E_1 = 1/2J$.

Pyrochlore lattice The tetrahedral unit cell of the pyrochlore lattice consist of four sites. Each face of this tetrahedron defines one out of four orientations of parallel kagome planes in the lattice. The apex spins form a separating triangular plane between the kagome planes. Equivalently to the two dimensional case the same formalism can be used to generate localized magnons in the pyrochlore lattice. As visualized in Fig. 6 by the red dotted triangles, 9 sites are uniquely assigned to each local hexagon in the kagome plane (black sites), such that no supercells are sharing the same site. The corresponding magnon excitation is illustrated by the red circles. Red and blue sites correspond to the upper and lower separating layer respectively. In addition to the 9 sites laying inside the plane, we include the 3 (red) sites from the upper layer to realize a complete tiling of the pyrochlore lattice. As in the pure two dimensional case, a localized magnon is confined to 3 unit cells. Hence, each magnon is assigned to 12 sites and the corresponding plateau is $m/m_{\text{sat}} = 5/6$.

Again, the hopping term lowers the energy by $1J$. Each site is attached to 6 sites and hence, the interaction part reduces the energy by $3J$ in contrast to the fully polarized
state. The energy per site is reduced to $E_{5/6} = 5/12J$ from the fully polarized state $E_1 = 3/4J$. The saturation field $|h_{\text{sat}}| = 4$ can be deduced from the following relation:

$$E_1 - \frac{h_{\text{sat}}}{2} = E_{5/6} - \frac{5}{6} \frac{h_{\text{sat}}}{2}$$  \quad (B3)$$

FIG. 6. Complete Hexagon tiling in a single kagome plane (black sites) of the pyrochlore lattice. Red and blue sites correspond to the upper and lower separating layer respectively. Possible localized magnon states on the corresponding hexagons are illustrated with red circles. All sites are uniquely assigned to one localized hexagon within the red triangles.