

# NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH VARIABLE EXPONENTS INVOLVING SINGULAR NONLINEARITY

A PREPRINT

**Hichem Khelifi<sup>1</sup> and Youssef El hadfi<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Algiers, Algiers, Algeria.  
2 Street Didouche Mourad Algiers.

Applied Mathematics Laboratory, Badji Mokhtar University-Annaba B.P. 12, Algeria.  
khelifi.hichemedp@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory LIPIM, National School of Applied Sciences Khouribga  
Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Morocco  
yelhadfi@gmail.com

January 10, 2022

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove the existence and regularity of weak positive solutions for a class of nonlinear elliptic equations with a singular nonlinearity, lower order terms and  $L^1$  datum in the setting of variable exponent Sobolev spaces. We will prove that the lower order term has some regularizing effects on the solutions. This work generalizes some results given in [2].

**Keywords** Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, Singular Nonlinearity, Elliptic equation, A given  $L^1$ , Lower order terms.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Introduction of Our Problem

This work is devoted to the study of the nonlinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u) + b(x)u|u|^{r(x)-1} = \frac{f}{u^{\gamma(x)}}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0, & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ) with Lipschitz boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $f$  is a positive (that is  $f(x) \geq 0$  and not zero a.e.) function in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , and  $p, r : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ ,  $\gamma : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow (0, 1)$  are continuous functions and satisfying

$$1 < p^- := \inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} p(x) \leq p^+ := \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} p(x) < N, \quad (1.2)$$

$$p(x) - 1 < r(x), \quad (1.3)$$

$$0 < \gamma^- := \inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \gamma(x) \leq \gamma^+ := \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \gamma(x) < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \gamma| \in L^\infty(\Omega) \quad (1.4)$$

where  $a(x), b(x)$  are measurable functions verifies for some positive numbers  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu$  the following conditions

$$0 < \alpha \leq a(x) \leq \beta, \quad 0 < \mu \leq b(x) \leq \nu. \quad (1.5)$$

Equations with variable exponents appear in various mathematical models. In some cases, they provide realistic models for the study of natural phenomena in electro-rheological fluids and important applications are related to image processing. We refer the reader to [3, 6, 7] and the references therein.

For constant-exponent cases (i.e.,  $p(x) = p$ ,  $r(x) = r$  and  $\gamma(x) = \gamma$ ), the existence and regularity of solutions to problem (1.1) are studied in [2, 14, 28, 29]. They proved that the solution was in  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$  and  $u^{r+\gamma}$  belongs to  $L^1(\Omega)$ , where  $q = \frac{pr}{p+1-\gamma}$ . The problem was also considered in [9], when  $b(x) = 0$  and  $\gamma, p$  was a constants with  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ,  $f \in L^m(\Omega)$  ( $m \geq 1$ ). The authors in [9] prove the existence and uniqueness results. If  $p(x) = 2$  and  $\gamma, r$  were constants, the problem (1.1) has been treated in [12].

When the lower-order term does not appear in (1.1) (i.e.,  $b(x) = 0$ ) and the exponent  $p(x) \equiv p$ , the problem (1.1), have been treated in [13], under the hypothesis  $f \in L^m(\Omega)$  ( $m \geq 1$ ). If  $m = 1$  and  $0 < \gamma^- \leq \gamma(x) \leq \gamma^+ < 1$  the authors proved that the solution belongs to  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ , where  $q = \frac{N(p+\gamma^- - 1)}{N+\gamma^- - 1}$ .

## 1.2 Preliminary Work

For some preliminary results on the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponent, we only give the definition of  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , for more details, see [15, 16] or monographs [17, 21]. For an open  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , let  $p : \Omega \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  be a measurable function such that

$$1 < p^- = \text{ess inf } p, \quad p^+ = \text{ess sup } p < +\infty.$$

We define the Lebesgue space with variable exponent  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  to consist of all measurable functions  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which the convex modular

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx,$$

is finite. The expression

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} := \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0, \rho_{p(\cdot)}\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

defines a norm in  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , called the Luxemburg norm, and  $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|u\|_{p(\cdot)})$  is a uniformly convex Banach space. Its dual space is isomorphic to  $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1$ . For all  $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  and  $v \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , the Hölder type inequality

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \|v\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 2 \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \|v\|_{p'(\cdot)},$$

holds true. We define the Sobolev space with variable exponent

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \text{ and } |\nabla u| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \right\},$$

which is equipped with the following norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \|u\|_{W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

The space  $(W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)})$  is a reflexive Banach space. Next, we define also

$$W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\},$$

endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{1,p(\cdot)}$ .

The space  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is separable and reflexive provided that with  $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$ .

An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces is played by the modular  $\varrho_{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  of the space  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . We have the following result

**Proposition 1** ([17]). *If  $(u_n), u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  and  $p^+ < +\infty$ , then the following properties hold true:*

- (i)  $\min \left( \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u)^{\frac{1}{p^+}}, \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u)^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \right) \leq \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \max \left( \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u)^{\frac{1}{p^+}}, \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u)^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \right),$
- (ii)  $\min \left( \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-}, \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \right) \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \leq \max \left( \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-}, \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \right),$
- (iii)  $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) + 1,$

Next, we recall some embedding results regarding variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces. If  $p, \theta : \Omega \rightarrow (1, +\infty)$  are Lipschitz continuous function satisfying (1.2) and  $p(x) \leq \theta(x) \leq p^*(x)$  for any  $x \in \Omega$ , where  $p^*(x) = \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)}$ , then there exists a compact embedding

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\theta(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\theta^-}(\Omega), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\theta^- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} \theta(x)$ .

### 1.3 Statement of main result

We use the following definition of the weak solutions.

**Definition 1.** Let  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ . A function  $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution to problem (1.1), if

$$\forall \omega \subset\subset \Omega, \exists c_\omega > 0 \text{ such that } u \geq c_\omega \text{ a.e. in } \omega, \quad u^{r(x)} \in L^1(\Omega),$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x) u^{r(x)} \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f \varphi}{u^{\gamma(x)}} dx, \quad (1.7)$$

for every  $\varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ .

In this paper we will show the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that assumptions (1.2)-(1.4) hold. Let  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  be a positive function ( $f(x) \geq 0$  and not zero a.e.). Assume that

$$p(x) > 1 + \frac{1 - \gamma(x)}{r(x)}. \quad (1.8)$$

Then, the problem (1.1) has at least one weak solution  $u \in W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , with

$$q(x) = \frac{p(x)}{1 + \frac{1 - \gamma(x)}{r(x)}}. \quad (1.9)$$

Moreover  $u^{r(x)+\gamma(x)}$  belongs to  $L^1(\Omega)$ .

**Remark 1.**

- The assumption (1.4) implies  $1 < q(\cdot) < p(\cdot)$ .
- The assumption (1.3) implies  $q(\cdot) > p(\cdot) - 1$ .

In order to prove this result, we will work by approximation, "truncating" the singular term  $\frac{1}{u^{\gamma(x)}}$  so that it becomes not singular at the origin. We will get some a priori estimates on the solutions  $u_n$  of the approximating problems, which will allow us to pass to the limit and find a solution to problem (1.1).

## 2 Approximating problems

Hereafter, we denote by  $T_k$  the truncation function at the level  $k > 0$ , defined by  $T_k(s) = \max\{-k, \min\{s, k\}\}$  for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $(f_n)$  ( $f_n > 0$ ) be a sequence of bounded functions defined in  $\Omega$  which converges to  $f > 0$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , and which verifies the inequalities  $f_n \leq n$  and  $f_n \leq f$  for every  $n \geq 1$  (for example  $f_n = T_n(f)$ ). Consider the following approximate equation

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) + b(x) u_n |u_n|^{r(x)-1} = \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)}}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ , and let  $r, p : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow (1, +\infty)$ ,  $\gamma : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow (0, 1)$  are continuous functions. Assume that (1.2) and (1.5) holds true. Then the problem (2.1) has a nonnegative solution  $u_n \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 1.** [26] Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then there exists at least one solution  $u_n \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  to the problem (2.1) in the sense that

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} b(x) u_n |u_n|^{r(x)-1} \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)}} \varphi, \quad (2.2)$$

for every  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* This proofs derived from Schauder-Tychonov fixed point Theorem (see, for example, [24, p. 581], [25, p. 298]). Let  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  be fixed, let  $v$  be a function in  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , we know that the following non singular problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} (a(x) |\nabla w|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w) + b(x) |w|^{r(x)-1} w = \frac{f_n}{(|v| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)}} & \text{in } \Omega \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore, the Minty-Browder Theorem (see, e.g. [10]) implies that problem (2.3) has a unique solution  $w \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ . Let us define a map

$$G : L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$$

and define  $w = G(v)$  to be the unique solution of (2.3). Taking  $w$  as test function, we have

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{p(x)} \leq \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla w|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla w = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n w}{(|v| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)}} \leq n^{\gamma_++1} \int_{\Omega} |w|.$$

By the Sobolev inequality on the left hand side and the Hölder inequality on the right hand side one has

$$\left[ \int_{\Omega} |w|^{p^*(x)} \right]^{p(x)/p^*(x)} \leq C n^{\gamma_++1} \left( \int_{\Omega} |w|^{p^*(x)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*(x)}}$$

for some constant  $C$  independent on  $v$ . This implies

$$\|w\|_{L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \leq (C n^{\gamma_++1})^{\frac{1}{p(x)-1}} = C_n$$

so that the ball of  $L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  of radius  $C_n$  is invariant for  $G$ . It is easy to prove, using the Sobolev embedding, that  $G$  is both continuous and compact on  $L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , so that by Schauder's fixed point Theorem there exists  $u_n$  in  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , for every fixed  $n$  such that  $u_n = S(u_n)$ , i.e.,  $u_n$  solves

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} (a(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) + b(x) |u_n|^{r(x)-1} u_n = \frac{f_n}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)}} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Using as a test function  $u_n^- = \min \{u_n, 0\}$ , one has  $u_n \geq 0$ . Since the right hand side of (2.1) belongs to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  and we proceed in the same way as [30] we obtain  $u_n$  belongs to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . (although its norm in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  may depend on  $n$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then the sequence  $u_n$  is increasing with respect to  $n$ ,  $u_n > 0$  in  $\Omega$ , and for every  $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$  there exists  $c_\omega > 0$  (independent on  $n$ ) such that

$$u_n(x) \geq c_\omega > 0, \quad \forall x \in \omega, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover there exists the pointwise limit  $u \geq c_\omega$  of the sequence  $u_n$ .

*Proof of the Lemma 2.* Due to  $0 \leq f_n \leq f_{n+1}$  and  $\gamma(x) > 0$ , we have that

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) + b(x) u_n^{r(x)} = \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)}} \leq \frac{f_{n+1}}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n+1})^{\gamma(x)}}.$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{div}(a(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) + \operatorname{div}(a(x) |\nabla u_{n+1}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n+1}) + b(x) u_n^{r(x)} - b(x) u_{n+1}^{r(x)} \\ & \leq f_{n+1} \left[ \frac{(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^{\gamma(x)} - (u_n + \frac{1}{n+1})^{\gamma(x)}}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n+1})^{\gamma(x)} (u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^{\gamma(x)}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We now choose  $(u_n - u_{n+1})_+ = \max\{u_n - u_{n+1}, 0\}$  as test function in (2.6). In the left hand side we use (1.5) and the monotonicity of the  $p(x)$ -laplacian operator as well as the monotonicity of the function  $t \rightarrow |t|^{r(x)-1}t$ . For the right hand, using the fact that  $\gamma(x) \geq 0$  and  $f_{n+1} \geq 0$ , we have

$$\left[ \left( u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{\gamma(x)} - \left( u_n + \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{\gamma(x)} \right] (u_n - u_{n+1})_+ \leq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.7), we get

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_n - u_{n+1})_+|^{p(x)} \leq 0,$$

which implies that  $(u_n - u_{n+1})_+ = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , that is,  $u_n \leq u_{n+1}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the sequence  $(u_n)$  is increasing with respect to  $n$ , we only need to prove that (2.5) holds for  $u_1$ . Using Lemma 1, we know that  $u_1 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , i.e., there exists a constant  $c_0$  (depending only on  $\Omega$  and  $N$ ) such that  $\|u_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c\|f_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c_0$ , then

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u_1|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_1) + b(x)u_1^{r(x)} = \frac{f_1}{(u_1+1)^{\gamma(x)}} \geq \frac{f_1}{(c_0+1)^{\gamma(x)}} \geq 0.$$

Since  $\frac{f_1}{(c_0+1)^{\gamma(x)}}$  is not identically zero, the strong maximum principle implies that  $u_1 > 0$  in  $\Omega$  (see [31]). Since  $u_n \geq u_1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , (2.5) holds for  $u_n$  (with the same constant  $c_\omega$  which is then independent on  $n$ ).  $\square$

*Proof of the Theorem 2.* In virtue of the Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, there exists at least one nonnegative weak solution  $u_n \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  of problem (2.1).  $\square$

### 3 A priori estimates

In the remainder of this section, we denote by  $C_i$   $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  various positive constants depending only on the data of the problem, but not on  $n$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $k > 0$  be fixed. The sequence  $(T_k(u_n))$ , where  $u_n$  is a solution to (2.4), is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Taking  $T_k(u_n)$  as a test function in (2.4), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n) + \int_{\Omega} b(x)u_n^{r(x)}T_k(u_n) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)}}T_k(u_n).$$

Using (1.5),  $f_n \leq f$ ,  $T_k(u_n) \neq 0$ , and dropping the nonnegative order term, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)} dx \leq \frac{k}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (3.1)$$

As a consequence of Proposition 1 and (3.1),  $T_k(u_n)$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$   $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Then, the sequence  $u_n$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , where  $q(\cdot)$  is given by (1.9). Moreover  $(u_n^{r(x)+\gamma(x)})$  belongs to  $L^1(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Taking  $\varphi(x, u) = (u_n + 1)^{\gamma(x)} - 1$ , as test function in (2.4), by (1.4), (1.5), and the fact that for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$

$$\nabla \varphi(x, u) = \nabla \gamma(x)(u_n + 1)^{\gamma(x)} \ln(u_n + 1) + \gamma(x) \frac{\nabla u_n}{(u_n + 1)^{\gamma(x)}},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma^- \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{(1 + u_n)^{1-\gamma(x)}} + \mu \int_{\Omega} u_n^{r(x)} [(u_n + 1)^{\gamma(x)} - 1] \\ & \leq C_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-1} (u_n + 1)^{\gamma(x)} \ln(u_n + 1) + \int_{\Omega} f \frac{[(u_n + 1)^{\gamma(x)} - 1]}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $|u_n|^{\theta(x)} \geq 2^{1-\theta^+}(1+u_n)^{\theta(x)} - 1$  (here  $\theta(x) = r(x)$  and  $\theta(x) = \gamma(x)$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma^- \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{(1+u_n)^{1-\gamma(x)}} + 2^{1-r^+} \mu \int_{\Omega} (u_n+1)^{r(x)+\gamma(x)} \\ & \leq C_2 + \frac{1}{2^{1-\gamma^+}} \int_{\Omega} f + C_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-1} (u_n+1)^{\gamma(x)} \ln(u_n+1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We can estimate the last term in (3.2) by application of Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+u_n)^{\gamma(x)} \ln(1+u_n) |u_n|^{p(x)-1} \\ & = (1+u_n)^{1-\frac{1-\gamma(x)}{p(x)}} \ln(1+u_n) |u_n|^{p(x)-1} (1+u_n)^{-\frac{(1-\gamma(x))(p(x)-1)}{p(x)}} \\ & \leq C_3 (1+u_n)^{p(x)-(1-\gamma(x))} (\ln(1+u_n))^{p(x)} + \varepsilon \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{(u_n+1)^{1-\gamma(x)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

We choose  $\varepsilon = \frac{\gamma^- \alpha}{2C_1}$ . By (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{(1+u_n)^{1-\gamma(x)}} + 2^{1-r^+} \mu \int_{\Omega} (u_n+1)^{r(x)+\gamma(x)} \\ & \leq C_4 + C_5 \int_{\Omega} (u_n+1)^{p(x)-(1-\gamma(x))} (\ln(u_n+1))^{p(x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The hypothesis (1.3) implies  $(1+t)^{p(x)-1-r(x)-c} (\ln(1+t))^{p(x)}$  is bounded for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . By another application of Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_n+1)^{p(x)-(1-\gamma(x))} (\ln(u_n+1))^{p(x)} \\ & = (u_n+1)^{r(x)+\gamma(x)+c} (u_n+1)^{p(x)-1-r(x)-c} (\ln(u_n+1))^{p(x)} \\ & \leq \varepsilon (u_n+1)^{r(x)+\gamma(x)} + C_6. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore, by (3.4), (3.5), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{(1+u_n)^{1-\gamma(x)}} + \int_{\Omega} (u_n+1)^{r(x)+\gamma(x)} \leq C_7. \quad (3.6)$$

Since  $r(x) \geq 0$  and  $\gamma(x) \geq 0$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_n^{r(x)} \leq \int_{\Omega} (u_n+1)^{r(x)} \leq \int_{\Omega} (u_n+1)^{r(x)+\gamma(x)} \leq C_7. \quad (3.7)$$

The inequality (3.7) implies that  $(u_n^{r(x)+\gamma(x)})$  is bounded in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Let  $q(x) < p(x)$ , using Young's inequality and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{q(x)} &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{q(x)}}{(u_n+1)^{(1-\gamma(x))\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}}} \\ &\leq C_8 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{(u_n+1)^{1-\gamma(x)}} + C_9 \int_{\Omega} (u_n+1)^{(1-\gamma(x))\frac{q(x)}{p(x)-q(x)}} \\ &\leq C_{10} + C_9 \int_{\Omega} (u_n+1)^{(1-\gamma(x))\frac{q(x)}{p(x)-q(x)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Set

$$(1-\gamma(x)) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)-q(x)} = r(x).$$

Then this equality and (3.7)-(3.8) yield

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{q(x)} \leq C_{11}. \quad (3.9)$$

□

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $u_n$  be a solution to problem (2.4). Then*

$$\int_{\{u_n > k\}} u_n^{r(x)} \leq \frac{1}{\mu k^{\gamma^+}} \int_{\{u_n > k\}} f, \quad \forall k > 0,$$

$$\lim_{|E| \rightarrow 0} \int_E u_n^{r(x)} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly with respect to } n,$$

for every measurable subset  $E$  in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k > 0$  and  $\psi_j$  be a sequence of increasing, positive, uniformly bounded  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  functions, such that  $\psi_j(s) \rightarrow \chi_{\{s > k\}}$ , as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ . Choosing  $\psi_j(u_n)$  in (2.4), using (1.5), we get

$$\mu \int_{\Omega} u_n^{r(x)} \psi_j(u_n) \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)}} \psi_j(u_n).$$

Therefore, as  $j$  tends to infinity and that  $k^{\gamma^-} \leq (k + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma^-} \leq (u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma(x)}$  in the set  $\{u_n > k\}$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\{u_n > k\}} u_n^{r(x)} \leq \frac{1}{\mu k^{\gamma^-}} \int_{\{u_n > k\}} f. \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.10), for any measurable subset  $E$  in  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\int_E u_n^{r(x)} = \int_{E \cap \{u_n \leq k\}} u_n^{r(x)} + \int_{E \cap \{u_n > k\}} u_n^{r(x)} \leq k^{r^+} |E| + \frac{1}{\mu k^{\gamma^-}} \int_{\{u_n > k\}} f. \quad (3.11)$$

Since  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ , we may choose  $k = k_\varepsilon$  large enough such that

$$\int_{\{u_n > k\}} f \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.12)$$

Therefore, the estimates (3.11)-(3.12) implies that

$$\int_E u_n^{r(x)} \leq k_\varepsilon^{r^+} |E| + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu k_\varepsilon^{\gamma^-}},$$

and the statement of this lemma is thus proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $u_n$  be a solution to problem (2.4). Then*

$$\lim_{|E| \rightarrow 0} \int_E |\nabla u_n|^{q(x)} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly with respect to } n, \quad (3.13)$$

for every measurable subset  $E$  in  $\Omega$  and  $q(\cdot)$  given by (1.9).

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by Lemma 4, we may choose  $k = k_\varepsilon$  large enough such that

$$\int_{E \cap \{u_n > k\}} |\nabla u_n|^{q(x)} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.14)$$

From the estimate (3.1) and that  $q(x) < p(x)$ , we deduce

$$\int_{E \cap \{u_n \leq k\}} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{q(x)} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.15)$$

By (3.14) and (3.15), for any measurable subset  $E$  in  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\int_E |\nabla u_n|^{q(x)} = \int_{E \cap \{u_n \leq k\}} |\nabla u_n|^{q(x)} + \int_{E \cap \{u_n > k\}} |\nabla u_n|^{q(x)} \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we deduce that  $|\nabla u_n|^{q(x)}$  is equiintegrable in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Thus (3.13) is proved.  $\square$

#### 4 Proof of the main theorem

By Lemma 3, the sequence  $(u_n)_n$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . Therefore, there exists a function  $u \in W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  such that (up to a subsequence)

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u & \text{in } W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega), \\ u_n \rightarrow u & \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

**Proposition 2.** *If the sequence  $T_k(u_n)$  of the truncates of the solutions  $u_n$  of (2.4) is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . Then*

$$T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u) \quad \text{strongly in } W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \quad (4.2)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $k > 0$ . In particular  $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3  $T_k(u_n)$  is bounded in  $W_{loc}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , it weakly converges in this space to its pointwise limit  $T_k(u)$ . Moreover, since  $f_n \geq 0$  and  $u_n \geq 0$  a.e., we have that

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_n) + b(x)u_n^{r(x)} \geq 0,$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k > 0$ .

Now we fix  $\phi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$  on  $\Omega$  and such that  $\phi \equiv 1$  on a fixed subset  $\omega$  of  $\Omega$ . Then, thanks to the monotonicity of the  $p(x)$ -laplacian operator, (1.5), and that  $T_k(u_n) \geq T_k(u)$  (since  $u_n \rightarrow u \leq u_n$ ), we can conclude that the following hold

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \beta \int_{\omega} \left( |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) - |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \right) \cdot \nabla (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \\ &\quad + \nu \int_{\omega} u_n^{r(x)} (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \\ &= \beta \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) - |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \right) \cdot \nabla (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \phi \\ &\quad + \nu \int_{\Omega} u_n^{r(x)} (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \phi \\ &= \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \cdot \nabla [(T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \phi] \\ &\quad - \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \cdot \nabla \phi [T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)] \\ &\quad - \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \cdot \nabla (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \phi \\ &\quad + \nu \int_{\Omega} u_n^{r(x)} (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \phi \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

By Lemma 5, we obtain

$$u_n^{r(x)} \rightarrow u^{r(x)} \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(\Omega).$$

Therefore, since  $T_k(u_n)$  strongly converges to  $T_k(u)$  in  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  (Lemma 3), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_n^{r(x)} (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \phi \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.4)$$

We can easily know the fact that  $|\nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \in L_{loc}^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , and  $\nabla (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \phi$  tends to zero weakly in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \cdot \nabla (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \phi \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.5)$$

$\nabla \phi [T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)]$  strongly converges to zero in  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \cdot \nabla \phi [T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)] \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.6)$$



From (4.3)-(4.6), we have

$$\int_{\omega} \left( |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) - |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \right) \cdot \nabla (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \rightarrow 0,$$

then  $T_k(u_n)$  strongly converges to  $T_k(u)$  in  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\omega)$  for all  $k > 0$ , i.e., since  $\omega$  is arbitrary, that  $T_k(u_n)$  strongly converges to  $T_k(u)$  in  $W_{loc}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

Choosing  $\phi \equiv 1$  and repeating the same proof, we obtain that  $T_k(u_n)$  strongly converges to  $T_k(u)$  in  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , then  $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the Theorem 1.* It is easy to pass to the limit in the right hand side of problems (2.4). On the other hand, using Lemma 2, we have

$$0 \leq \left| \frac{f_n \varphi}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma(x)}} \right| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_{\infty}}{c_{\omega}^{\gamma^-}} f,$$

for every  $\varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ , using Lebesgue Theorem and (4.1), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n \varphi}{\left(u_n + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma(x)}} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f \varphi}{u^{\gamma(x)}}. \quad (4.7)$$

By the same argument, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} b(x) u_n^{r(x)} \varphi = \int_{\Omega} u^{r(x)} \varphi. \quad (4.8)$$

For the first term, by Proposition 2 we have that

$$a(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \rightarrow a(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

furthermore  $a(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n$  is majorette by  $\beta |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-1}$ . Observe that  $p(x) - 1 < q(x)$ , by Lemma 6 and Vitali's Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi. \quad (4.9)$$

Hence from (4.7)-(4.8) we can deduce (1.7).  $\square$

## References

- [1] C. O. Alves & A. Moussaoui, Existence and regularity of solutions for a class of singular  $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian systems, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, 2018, 63:2, 188-210,
- [2] L. Boccardo and G. Croce, The impact of a lower order term in a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity, *Portugaliae Mathematica*, Vol.76, Fasc. 3-4, 2019, 407-415
- [3] A. Callegari and A. Nashman, A nonlinear singular boundary-value problem in the theory of pseudoplastic fluids, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 38 (1980), 275-281.
- [4] De Cave, L., Oliva, F. On the Regularizing Effect of Some Absorption and Singular Lower Order Terms in Classical Dirichlet Problems with  $L^1$  Data. *J Elliptic Parabol Equ* 2, 73-85 (2016).
- [5] Liu, J., Zhang, Q., & Zhao, C. Existence of positive solutions for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations with a singular nonlinear term. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2014(155), 1.
- [6] H.B. Keller and D.S. Chohen, Some positive problems suggested by nonlinear heat generators, *J.Math. Mech.*, 16 (1967), 1361-1376.
- [7] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brézis and G. Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, *J.Funct. Anal.*, 122 (1994), 519-543.
- [8] R. Bentifour and S. E. Miri, Some existence results for a nonlocal non-isotropic problem, *Opuscula Mathematica* 41.1 (2021): 5-23.
- [9] A. Canino, B. Sciunzi and A. Trombetta, Existence and uniqueness for  $p$ -Laplace equations involving singular nonlinearities, *Non. Differ. Equ. Appl.*, (2016), 1-18.
- [10] Brézis H. *Analyse fonctionnelle theorie et applications*. New York (NY): Springer; 2010.

- [11] Carmona, José and Martínez-Aparicio, Pedro J. A Singular Semilinear Elliptic Equation with a Variable Exponent, *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, vol. 16, no. 3, 2016, pp. 491-498.
- [12] L.M. De Cave and F. Oliva, On the regularizing effect of some absorption and singular lower order terms in classical Dirichlet problem with  $L^1$  data, *JEPE.*, 2 (2016), 73-85.
- [13] Y. Chu, R. Gao and Y. Sun, Existence and regularity of solutions to a quasilinear elliptic problem involving variable sources, *J.Springer. Boundary. Val. Pro.*, 2017 (2017), 1-15.
- [14] M. El Ouardy, Y. El Hadfi, A. Ifzarne. Existence and regularity results for a singular parabolic equations with degenerate coercivity. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-S*, (2021) doi: 10.3934/dcdss.2021012
- [15] X. Fan and D. Zhao, On the spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 263 (2001), 424-446.
- [16] O. Kováčik and J. Ràkosník, On spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 41 (1991), 592-618.
- [17] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Ruzicka, *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, Lecture notes in mathematics, Heidelberg: Springer., 2017 (2017).
- [18] A. R. Leggat & S. E. Miri (2016) Anisotropic problem with singular nonlinearity, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, 61:4, 496-509
- [19] S. E. Miri, On an anisotropic problem with singular nonlinearity having variable exponent. *Ricerche di Matematica* 66.2 (2017): 415-424.
- [20] N.S. Papageorgiou, P. Winkert, On a class of singular anisotropic  $(p, q)$ -equations. *Rev Mat Complut* (2021)
- [21] M. Růžička, *Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory*, Lecture notes in mathematics, Berlin: Springer-Verlag., 1748 (2000).
- [22] J. L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod et Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [23] M.M. Bendahmane and P. Wittbold, Renormalized solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponents and  $L^1$  data, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70 (2009), 567-583.
- [24] Papageorgiou, N.S., Winkert, P.: *Applied Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. De Gruyter, Berlin (2018)
- [25] Papageorgiou, N.S., Radulescu, V.D., Repovš, D.D.: *Nonlinear Analysis-Theory and Methods*. Springer, Cham (2019)
- [26] X. Fan, Positive solution to  $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problems with sign-changing non-linearities, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 52 (2010), 505-516.
- [27] K. Saoudi. The fibering map approach to a  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equation with singular nonlinearities and nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 48 (3) 927-946, 2018.
- [28] A. Sbair and Y. El Hadfi, Regularizing effect of absorption terms in singular and degenerate elliptic problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.03597* (2020).
- [29] A. Sbair and Y. El Hadfi, Degenerate elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity." *arXiv e-prints* (2020): arXiv-2005.
- [30] G. Stampacchia, Le probl'eme de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 15(1965) 189-258
- [31] Q. Zhang, A strong maximum principle for differential equations with nonstandard  $p(x)$ -growth conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 312 (2005), 24-32.
- [32] Q. Zhang, Existence and Asymptotic Behavior of Positive Solutions to-Laplacian Equations with Singular Nonlinearities. *J Inequal Appl* 2007, 019349
- [33] Q. Zhang, Existence of positive solutions to a class of  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations with singular nonlinearities, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 25, 12, (2012), 2381-2384,