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Abstract

Spin waves (SWs) have promise as chargeless information carriers in energetically efficient beyond-CMOS systems. Among their many advantages are easy of obtaining nonlinearities and a variety of possible interactions of SWs with other types of excitations. While rapid advances in magnonic research have already yielded impressive results, the development of inter-modal nonlinear effects for propagating modes remains an open problem, as does scalability. In this paper, we study theoretically the dynamic interaction of SWs localized at the edge of a thin ferromagnetic film, with the SW beam incident at this edge. Among the reflected waves, we find inelastically scattered SW beams at frequencies increased and decreased by the frequency of the edge SW relative to the specular beam. This phenomenon of three-magnon interaction is a purely magnonic counterpart of Brillouin light scattering by SWs. We show that in this scattering process, the dynamic magnetostatic interactions are decisive, indicating the ubiquity of the process. Moreover, we observe an angular shift between the primary incident and the frequency-dependent reflected SW beams, which leads us to propose magnonic demultiplexing of the signal coded in the edge SWs.
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Nonlinear interactions are ubiquitous in nature and are indispensable in information processing devices, regardless of the carrier used for coding. The nonlinearity of spin-wave (SW) dynamics has long attracted the attention of researchers, and the topic is still very relevant in the emerging field of magnonics; see Refs. [1–6] and references therein. Among the many nonlinear effects that have been examined for possible application in magnonics is the decrease in the static magnetization component with increasing excitation power and the correlated change in SW frequency. The process of parametric pumping has been explored as a promising tool for the amplification of SWs, but it still awaits a suitable method for its integration, a necessary step in the construction of magnonic circuits. There are also other multiple-wave types of interaction between SWs, involving waves of the same origin, such as surface magnetostatic SWs [8,9] and dipole-exchange SWs [10], allowing for generation of second and third harmonics. A number of studies have been devoted to investigation of three- and four-magnon collision processes, with different aspects of the nonlinear SW interactions being considered and various possible applications proposed [8–21]. There have been also spectacular achievements in this area, such as Bose–Einstein condensation of magnons at room temperature and the demonstration of a pure magnon transistor [22,23].

Interactions between waves of different nature allow for transfer and control of dynamics in distinct subsystems, such as propagating bulk SWs (B-SWs) and domain-wall oscillations. For instance, recently, a chiral emission of SWs by a gyrotropic mode of skyrmion was demonstrated [24]. On the other hand, domain walls can be considered as very narrow nanosized channels for SWs, suitable for guiding waves even along curved paths, and they have therefore attracted much attention from the research community [25]. In our recent paper [26], we presented a theoretical study of a three-SW interaction in a thin two-domain magnetic film with a single domain wall, when an incident SW of frequency $f_0$ interacts with domain-wall flexure oscillations at frequency $\nu$. As a result, the scattered SWs are characterized by the frequencies $f_0 + \nu$ and $f_0 - \nu$, and thus this phenomenon can be considered as inelastic scattering of SWs (ISSW) on domain-wall oscillations. This effect is analogous to the Bril-
louin light scattering (BLS) by SWs, with the incident and scattered electromagnetic waves replaced by SWs, and the SWs replaced by domain-wall or skyrmion oscillations. In Ref. a three-magnon process was proposed as a tool to infer the information guided by SWs along the domain wall. While, the three-wave interaction between incident plane B-SWs and an SW confined in a region with Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction was proposed by Wang et al. as a tool to determine the strength of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction.

From the points of view of both fundamental physics and applications, SWs located at edges or interfaces in planar structures are of particular interest. One of the associated phenomena is edge confinement, which can be due to topological protection, wave properties, or a nonuniformity of the internal fields. In a finite structure with a magnetization component normal to the film edge, surface magnetic charges create a static demagnetizing field. If a sample is not ellipsoidal in shape, the demagnetizing field is nonuniform and forms wells in the internal magnetic field that are suitable for confining low-frequency SW oscillations, called edge SWs (E-SWs). In the case of a thin semi-infinite film or a waveguide with an in-plane external magnetic field directed perpendicularly to the edge, the demagnetizing field creates magnetic-field wells along the edge, enabling guidance of the SWs. A similar geometry has also been used in Refs. to study SW-beam reflection from the edge of the film and to demonstrate the Goos–Hänchen effect for SWs. However, neither the nonlinear interaction of B-SWs with bulk E-SW beams nor the exchange of energy and information between these two types has yet been investigated.

We investigate here the inelastic scattering of B-SWs by E-SWs in a thin ferromagnetic film through a phenomenological description and micromagnetic simulations. We analyze the interaction of an obliquely incident B-SW beam (frequency $f_0$) with the E-SWs (frequency $\nu$) and find secondary B-SW beams at frequencies $f_0 - \nu$ and $f_0 + \nu$. We show that dipolar interactions, being ubiquitous in ferromagnetic systems, are responsible for the nonlinearity related to the three-magnon process, an result that is promising for the extension of this effect to other types of E-SWs. Interestingly, we observe that the angular shifts between the
elastically reflected B-SW beam and the inelastically scattered B-SW beams are dependent on frequency. A clear explanation of this effect is obtained on the basis of energy and momentum conservation laws supplemented with the isofrequency contour analysis. Subsequently, we propose an application of inelastic three-magnon scattering to demultiplex information carried by E-SWs at different frequencies and we analyze the efficiency of this process. The suggested effect of nonlinear SW interaction in a basic thin-film geometry is feasible for experimental realization and has various possible applications in magnonics, such as in nonlinear magnonic nano-ring resonators, nonlinear SW interferometers for power-selective suppression of pulsed microwave signals, nonlinear SW logic gates, and omnidirectional SW array antennas.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Firstly, we describe the system under investigation. Secondly, we present the results of micromagnetic simulations and compare these results with our analytical approach. Finally, we summarize our results. The derivation of our analytical model and the details of micromagnetic simulations are given in the Methods section.

Results and discussion

Problem formulation

We consider reflection of an SW beam from a permalloy Py (Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$) film edge under oblique incidence. The thin Py film (the saturation magnetization $M_s = 800$ kA/m and the exchange constant $A = 13$ pJ/m) of thickness $L = 10$ nm is magnetized by an external magnetic field $\mathbf{H}_0 = H_0 \hat{y}$ of magnitude $B_0 = \mu_0 H_0 = 0.3$ T (where $\mu_0$ is the permeability of the vacuum), directed perpendicularly to the film’s edge, to the $(x, z)$ plane [see Fig. 1(a)]. In this configuration, the static demagnetizing field gradually decreases the magnitude of the static component of the effective magnetic field $\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff},y}$ in the vicinity of the film’s edge, providing a suitable condition for localization of the E-SWs.
Figure 1: (a) Schematic of the system under investigation. The red (at the edge), yellow, and blue (beams) colors correspond to the spin waves at frequencies \( \nu \) (E-SW), \( f_0 \) (incident and reflected B-SWs), and \( f_0 \pm \nu \) (inelastically scattered B-SWs), respectively. Areas where at least two frequencies are mixed are shown in white. The black arrows represent the group velocities related to the incident and scattered beams, whereas the dashed red arrow represents the wavevector of the incident wave. The yellow arrow represents the E-SW. (b) Simulated dispersion relation (colormap in the background, where the color intensity corresponds to the spatially averaged power related to the \( x \) component of the wavevector) with a clearly visible E-SW band at frequencies downshifted with respect to the continuum of the B-SW band (highlighted area). The dispersion of the B-SW mode with wavevector perpendicular to the static magnetization, i.e., the Damon–Eshbach mode, is marked by the green dash-dotted line.

The simulated dispersion relation, i.e., the SW frequency vs the \( x \) component of the wavevector, is presented in Fig. 1(b). The dispersion relation shows the continuum of the B-SW band starting at frequency \( f \sim 20 \) GHz (highlighted area) and an isolated SW band at lower frequencies starting at \( \sim 10.8 \) GHz. The edge of the continuum B-SW for large \( k_x \) values is determined by the Damon–Eshbach mode, it is when \( k \perp H_0 \) (propagating far from the edge) and is marked by the green dash-dotted line. At small \( k_x \), the edge of the band is determined by the waves propagating along the magnetic field direction. \(^{15}\)

The isolated band at downshifted frequencies in Fig. 1(b) corresponds to an SW localized...
Figure 2: (a) Spectrum of SWs with the most prominent frequency peaks corresponding to the E-SWs ($\nu$), second-harmonic generated B-SWs ($2\nu = 24$ GHz), SW beam of frequency $f_0 = 50$ GHz, and inelastically scattered SW beams $f_0 \pm \nu$ at frequencies of 38 and 62 GHz. (b) Intensity map of incident and reflected SW beams at a frequency of 50 GHz. (c) and (d) Intensity maps of SWs at frequencies of 38 GHz and 62 GHz, respectively. The angles of propagation of the scattered beams in (c) and (d) differ slightly with respect to each other and with respect to the primary reflected beam presented in (a). The locations of antennas exciting both the SW beam and the E-SWs are shown schematically in Fig. 1(a).

at the film’s edge that propagates along the edge with wavevector $\kappa \perp H_0$. Its confinement, together with the lowered frequencies with respect to B-SW, enables a selective, and independent, excitation of the B-SW beam at $f_0 > 20$ GHz and E-SW at $\nu \in (10.8, 20)$ GHz, i.e., at different frequencies in the same system. Thus, it is a very suitable system in which to pose the basic question of this study, namely, is it possible to scatter the incident B-SW beam on the E-SWs and excite B-SW beams at frequencies $f_0 \pm \nu$, as shown schematically in Fig. 1(a)? We answer this question developing an analytical model of inelastic B-SW scattering on E-SWs (see Methods section) and conducting detailed micromagnetic simulations.
Figure 3: Momentum-space representation of the ISSW. The grayscale color map in the background represents the profile of SWs in $k$ space obtained from simulations. The sharp black regions indicated by the colored arrows represent the wavevectors of the SW beams. (a)–(c) Results obtained for the case from Fig. 2 where the source of the E-SW is placed on the left side of the incident beam spot. (d)–(f) Results for the case where there are two sources of E-SWs located on both sides of the incident beam spot. (a) and (c) are for $f_0 - \nu = 38$ GHz, (b) and (e) are for 50 GHz (specular reflection), and (c) and (f) are for $f_0 + \nu = 62$ GHz. The solid ellipses represent isofrequency contours for the corresponding frequencies resulting from the analytical dispersion relation, Eq. (1). The thin orange arrows correspond to the group velocities obtained analytically for a given wavevector (their length indicates the magnitude of $v_g$). The angle $\phi = \tan^{-1}(k_x/k_y)$ in (a)–(c) is the angle of the phase velocity with respect to the normal to the film’s edge.

Analysis of B-SW beam scattering by an E-SW

To demonstrate the inelastic scattering of B-SWs on an E-SW, we conducted micromagnetic simulations. In Fig. 2(a), we show the frequency spectrum calculated for the SW beam excited at a frequency $f_0 = 50$ GHz with the wavevector $k$ directed at the angle of incidence $\phi = 30^\circ$ that falls at the film’s edge, where an independently excited E-SW at a frequency $\nu = 12$ GHz propagates along the $x$ axis with $\kappa > 0$.

The first signature of ISSW is the presence of a series of peaks in Fig. 2(a). The two most pronounced peaks correspond to the frequencies $f_0 = 50$ GHz and $\nu = 12$ GHz, i.e.,
to the excited and elastically reflected B-SW and E-SW, respectively. There is also a peak at $2\nu = 24$ GHz related to the second-harmonic generation by the E-SW. The process of second-harmonic generation of plane waves by the E-SW has already been described in detail in Refs. and here we will focus on inelastic scattering. The other two peaks marked in Fig. 2(a), at frequencies $f_0 - \nu = 38$ GHz and $f_0 + \nu = 62$ GHz, correspond exactly to the ISSWs, in accordance with the energy conservation law (16), in Methods section. That is, these peaks correspond to the splitting and confluence processes, respectively. Interestingly, the amplitude of the wave generated in the splitting process is greater than that generated in the confluence process [see, the color scale in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)], pointing at a higher efficiency of splitting than of confluence. Moreover, the occurrence of a peak at the frequency $f_0 - \nu$ indicates, the emission of an E-SW at frequency $\nu$ in the splitting process. It suggests that an already existing E-SW at frequency $\nu$ triggers this process and instead of having a three-SW splitting with a random wave excited, we observe an assisted splitting process, also known in the literature as a stimulated splitting process (see Refs.).

Let us analyze the SW beams shown in Figs. 2(b)–2(d) corresponding to the detected frequencies. At the frequency $f_0$, there are two beams that propagate toward and outward from the interface, namely, the incident and reflected beams [Fig. 2(b)]. The beams at frequencies $f_0 \pm \nu$, presented in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), propagate only outward from the film’s edge, thus confirming their excitation at the edge as a result of the ISSW. In Figs. 2(b)–2(d), we also plot the beam rays (solid lines along the beams), which are associated with the direction of the group velocity. All beams propagate at very close angles: the elastically reflected beam at frequency 50 GHz propagates at an angle of 39°, whereas the scattered beams at frequencies 38 GHz and 62 GHz propagate at angles of 41° and 40°, respectively. The difference in the beam ray directions of the incident and reflected waves arises from the anisotropy of the isofrequency contour: note the elliptical shape of the contours in Fig. 3. Hence, the directions of the phase velocity (30°) and group velocity (39°) also differ from each other.
To determine the values of the wavevectors corresponding to the SWs and verify whether the momentum conservation law (15) is satisfied, we calculate the spatial fast Fourier transformation (FFT) for SWs at frequencies $\nu$, $f_0$, and $f_0 \pm \nu$. For the frequency $\nu = 12$ GHz, we obtain a wavevector $\kappa = \frac{2\pi}{(285 \text{ nm})}$, i.e., a wavelength equal to 285 nm. The $k$-space maps of the FFTs for the other frequencies are shown in Figs. 3(a)–3(c) by grayscale colormaps in the background, with black spots corresponding to the considered SWs.

The $k$-space representation at the frequency $f_0$ [Fig. 3(b)] shows the two peaks corresponding to the incident and reflected beams, propagating with negative and positive $k_y$, respectively. These two waves have the same component of the wavevector tangential to the interface, $k_x$, in agreement with the momentum conservation law for wave reflection from an edge possessing translational symmetry. On the other hand, at $f_0 \pm \nu$ [Figs. 3(a) and 3(c)] single peaks are visible in the positive-$k_y$ half-space. The tangential components of the wavevectors, $K_x$, are shifted by $\kappa$ with respect to $k_x$, in agreement with the momentum conservation law (15) [Methods section]. In agreement with the analytical predictions of Methods section, we confirm that for $f = f_0 \pm \nu$, there is a scattered beam at wavevectors $K_{\pm} = (k_x \pm \kappa, K_y)$, with the $K_y$ component such that $f(K)$ satisfies the SW dispersion relation of the Py film, i.e., $K$ points at the isofrequency contour, exactly as demonstrated in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c). Interestingly, for the cases shown in Figs. 3(a)–3(c), the mode profiles in $k$ space reveal that the angles of the phase velocities for the reflected and the two scattered beams are almost identical, being $29.9^\circ$, $29.1^\circ$, and $31.5^\circ$, respectively.

The above demonstration also suggest other possible ways to use the three-magnon ISSW process. Namely, depending on the location of the source of the E-SW in relation to the beam spot, i.e., depending on the sign of $\kappa$, we can obtain a scattered beam propagating at different angles. Moreover, if we use an E-SW source on both sides of the beam spot exciting a standing E-SW, we can obtain two pairs of scattered beams at frequencies $f_0 + \nu$ and $f_0 - \nu$. Confirmation of such a scenario is presented in Figs. 3(d), 3(e), and 3(f), where we show SWs in $k$ space at frequencies 38 GHz, 50 GHz, and 62 GHz, respectively, obtained from
scattering of the B-SW beam at 50 GHz on a 12 GHz standing E-SW. It can be seen that at 38 GHz [Fig. 3(d)] and 62 GHz [Fig. 3(f)], a pair of black spots are present. These spots correspond to the two scattered beams propagating at different angles, which are determined by the momentum conservation equation (15) for the $x$ component $K_{x,\pm} = k_x \pm \kappa$ and for the $y$ components $K_y$ matching with the isofrequency contour, independently at $f_0 + \nu$ and $f_0 - \nu$. Interestingly, this effect can be considered as a splitting of the ISSW into two beams.

**Utilizing ISSW–SW demultiplexing**

The $K_{\pm} = (k_x \pm \kappa, K_y)$ dependence combined with the anisotropy of the SW dispersion, which varies with frequency, makes it possible to obtain a frequency-dependent direction of the inelastically scattered SW beams. Such behavior can be used to spatially separate information carried by E-SWs at different frequencies, i.e., it is useful for SW demultiplexing or to design an SW nanoscale spectrum analyzer. For these applications, the angle of the inelastically scattered beam needs to depend strongly on the frequency of the E-SW.

Interestingly, to achieve such functionality, a slight modification of the previously studied system is enough, namely, a change in the placement of the E-SW source to the right side of the incident SW beam spot [see Fig. 4(a)]. In this case, the wavevector associated with the E-SWs has a negative sign ($\kappa < 0$). Therefore, we expect the following pair of scattered beams: one at frequency $f_0 - \nu$ with $K_x = k_x + |\kappa|$ and one at $f_0 + \nu$ with $K_x = k_x - |\kappa|$. Isofrequency contour analysis shows that at $f_0 - \nu$, the direction of the group velocity may depend significantly on the frequency $\nu$ of the E-SW. However, because of the need to preserve the component of the wavevector tangential to the film’s edge and the finite value of the frequency at $k = 0$, this process can only occur in a certain frequency range of E-SWs, namely, for waves at frequencies greater than 10.8 GHz (the resonant frequency at $\kappa = 0$) and lower than 13 GHz, at which the condition $\max\{k_x(f - \nu)\} < k_x + |\kappa|$ still holds.

Taking these limitations into account, we perform simulations for a system in which an SW beam at 50 GHz frequency (incident at $30^\circ$) is scattered on E-SWs excited at
Figure 4: (a) Result of micromagnetic simulations showing the scattering of a 50 GHz B-SW beam (yellow) incident at an angle of 30° on a 12 GHz E-SW with $\kappa < 0$ (red). Two scattered beams at 38 GHz (green) and 62 GHz (blue) propagate at significantly different angles to each other and to the reflected beam. Areas where excitation of the B-SW beam and E-SWs takes place are indicated by gray lines. (b) SW beams at frequencies 39.2 GHz (red), 38.6 GHz (green), 38 GHz (blue), and 37.4 GHz (yellow) excited at the edge of a ferromagnetic film as the result of an SW beam (at 50 GHz) scattering on E-SWs of frequencies 10.8 GHz, 11.4 GHz, 12.0 GHz, and 12.6 GHz, respectively.

10.8 GHz, 11.4 GHz, 12.0 GHz, and 12.6 GHz. The results of these simulations are displayed in Fig. 4(b), where scattered SWs at different frequencies are depicted by different colors. Indeed, depending on the frequency of the E-SW, the scattered SW beams propagate at easily distinguishable angles. For instance, the SW beam at frequency 39.2 GHz (shown in red) propagates at an angle around 33° with respect to the film’s edge, whereas the SW beam at a frequency 37.4 GHz (shown in yellow) propagates at an angle around 11°. This means that E-SWs in a narrow 1.8 GHz range of frequencies (from 10.8 GHz to 12.6 GHz) excite the SW beams propagating at angles differing by 30° at frequencies from 37.4 GHz to 39.2 GHz. This may be important for SW demultiplexing and frequency-converting systems of future magnonic signal processing devices.
Figure 5: Amplitudes of the $m_z$ component of magnetization of inelastically scattered SWs at frequencies $f_0 \pm \nu$ for different amplitudes of $m_z$ of (a) the E-SW and (b) the B-SW beam. The simulation results in (a) are obtained for a B-SW beam of amplitude $0.93 \times 10^{-3}$, whereas those in (b) are for an E-SW of amplitude $6.72 \times 10^{-3}$.

**Efficiency of inelastic scattering process**

We now discuss the efficiency of the inelastic scattering process and compare the simulation results qualitatively with the analytical predictions. According to the analytical model of three-magnon scattering developed in Methods section, the amplitude of the scattered SW beam should depend linearly on the amplitudes of both the E-SW and the incident B-SW beam. To verify this, a set of micromagnetic simulations at different excitation amplitudes for the B-SW beam at frequency $f_0 = 50$ GHz, and E-SWs at frequency $\nu = 12$ GHz and $\kappa > 0$ is performed. The resulting amplitudes of the out-of-plane magnetization component $m_z$ at a distance $y = 100$ nm from the film’s edge are then analyzed.

The dependences of the SW amplitudes of the two scattered beams at frequencies $f_0 - \nu$ and $f_0 + \nu$ on the E-SW amplitude are presented in Fig. 5(a). These results are obtained for scattering of a B-SW beam of maximum amplitude $0.93 \times 10^{-3}$ at the excitation point. As predicted by the model, the resulting linear relationship is clearly visible. Further simulations also confirm the linear dependence of the amplitude of the scattered B-SW beam on that of the incident SW beam [see Fig. 5(b)]. These results are obtained for E-SWs of amplitude $6.72 \times 10^{-3}$. Interestingly, in both cases, the amplitude of the SW beam at frequency $f_0 - \nu$ (the splitting process) is greater and increases more rapidly with the E-SW amplitude than does the amplitude of the SW beam at frequency $f_0 + \nu$ (the convolution process). Moreover,
Figure 6: (a), (b), and (c) Dependences of the $|m_z|$ component of dynamic magnetization detected at a distance $y = 100$ nm from the film’s edge for the reflected and inelastically scattered SWs at 50 GHz, 38 GHz, and 62 GHz, respectively. Different colors represent results at different angles of incidence of the SW beam (50 GHz) falling at the edge, where E-SWs at a frequency 12 GHz propagate along the $+x$ direction ($\kappa > 0$). The amplitudes are normalized to the amplitude of the elastically reflected beams at a given angle, namely, $m_{\text{scattered}}(y = 100 \text{ nm})/m_{\text{reflected}}(y = 100 \text{ nm})$, where $m_{\text{scattered}}(y = 100 \text{ nm})$ and $m_{\text{reflected}}(y = 100 \text{ nm})$ are the amplitudes of the scattered and reflected waves at $y = 100$ nm. (d) The comparison of the results of the micromagnetic simulations (empty black and red squares) with the analytical model (dash-dotted black line) for inelastically scattered waves is done using the function $|m_z| = C \sin(2\varphi)\chi((k_y - K_y)\Delta)$, with $\Delta = L = 10$ nm and $C = 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$. The amplitudes of the scattered beams are only two to three orders of magnitude smaller than those of the incident SW beam or the E-SW. Clearly, the three-magnon inelastic scattering process under investigation is a thresholdless one.
Figure 7: Dependencies of $|m_z|$ at a distance $y = 100$ nm from the film’s edge for specular reflection [(a) 30 GHz] and inelastic scattering SW [(b) 42 GHz] propagating outward from the edge. Different colors represent results at different angles of incidence of the SW beam at the edge where E-SWs at a frequency of 12 GHz propagate. Similarly to Fig. 6, the amplitudes are normalized to the amplitude of the elastically reflected beams at a given angle. (c) Comparison of the results of micromagnetic simulations (empty red squares) with the analytical model (dash-dotted black line) for inelastically scattered waves using the equation $|m_z| = C \sin(2\varphi)\chi((k_y - K_y)\Delta)$, with $\Delta = L = 10$ nm and $C = 3.2 \times 10^{-3}$.

As the next step, let us examine the amplitude of the inelastically scattered B-SW beams as a function of the angle of incidence. Here, we consider the scattering of a B-SW beam at 50 GHz and 30 GHz on E-SWs (12 GHz) with amplitude $6.72 \times 10^{-3}$ [the same as used in Fig. 5(b)].

In Figs. 6(a), 6(b), and 6(c) we show the SW-beam cross-section $|m_z(x)|$ along the $x$ axis at $y = 100$ nm for different angles of incidence (lines of different colors) at 50 GHz: specular reflection, 38 GHz, and 62 GHz, respectively. Since the amplitudes of the scattered beams in the studied range of amplitudes depend linearly on the amplitudes of both the E-SW and the
incident SW beam, the amplitudes for each simulation are normalized to the SW amplitude of the elastically reflected beam. Thus, in Fig. 3(a), the amplitudes of all beams are equal to 1, whereas the amplitudes in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c) are relative values (see the caption of the figure). It can be seen that the amplitudes of the scattered beams increase with increasing angle of incidence. It is noteworthy that in the case of the scattered wave at a frequency \( f_0 - \nu = 38 \) GHz and for angles of incidence greater than 55\(^\circ\), a scattered SW beam is not observed. This is because at a frequency of 38 GHz, there are no available solutions for an SW with a high value of \( K_x \), i.e., at \( f = f_0 - \nu, \max\{K\} < k_x - \kappa \).

At a lower frequency of the incident SW, namely, 30 GHz, there are solutions only for \( f_0 + \nu = 42 \) GHz. The frequency \( f_0 - \nu = 18 \) GHz is only a bit larger than the bottom of the SW spectrum for B-SWs (16.5 GHz). Therefore, at a frequency of 18 GHz, only SWs with very small values of \( K_x \), namely, \( K_x \ll k_x - \kappa \), can propagate. The results are shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). The amplitude of the scattered beam reaches a maximum for an angle of incidence equal to 50\(^\circ\), which is a different result than in the case of a 50 GHz SW beam.

The obtained angular dependence can be directly compared with the data from the analytical model. Combining Eq. (14) with the dispersion relation (1), one may numerically derive the scattering efficiency \( B_{k\kappa K} \) as a function of the incidence angle of the B-SW beam. Comparisons for SW beams at frequencies of 50 GHz and 30 GHz are presented in Figs. 6(d) and 7(c), respectively. Good agreement for the confluence process \( (f_0 + \nu) \) is achieved in both cases. Furthermore, the amplitude of the scattered waves due to the confluence process is larger for 30 GHz waves. According to the analytical model, the better the localization, the more efficient should the scattering be. This agrees well with the simulation results, since the wavelength for 30 GHz is greater than that for 50 GHz (33 nm for 50 GHz and 53 nm for 30 GHz), and therefore, for lower frequency, we get better localization. Moreover, according to the analytical model, the maximum of the scattering efficiency should shift toward the waves incident at an angle of 45\(^\circ\) for very well localized waves and toward waves incident at greater angles for poorer localization. This prediction also agrees with the simulation results.
However, for the splitting process \((f_0 - \nu)\) present with the 50 GHz incident SW beam, the simulated and calculated angular dependences differ essentially. Overall, this means that although the analytical model describes the confluence process very well, it does not give a correct description of the splitting process \((f_0 - \nu)\) obtained in micromagnetic simulations. This is not surprising, since the analytical model does not take into account the presence of the E-SW triggering the process of three-SW splitting. That is to say, the developed analytical model considered only three-SW splitting, not, as in our case, assisted (stimulated) three-SW splitting.

Conclusions

We have conducted a combined analytical and numerical study of the inelastic scattering of a bulk SW beam on the edge-localized SW mode in an in-plane magnetized semi-infinite ferromagnetic film. We have shown that the scattering may lead to the appearance of multiple SW beams in the reflection with shifted frequencies, related to the processes of absorption and emission of the edge mode by the incident beam.

We have presented an analytical model describing the three-magnon scattering process, which allows a formulation of the basic criteria for the existence of edge-mode absorption and emission, i.e., the energy and momentum conservation laws, and estimation of the efficiency of these processes. We have confirmed the analytical predictions by micromagnetic simulations demonstrating the scattering of a 50 GHz SW beam on E-SWs at a frequency of 12 GHz in a 10 nm thick Py film. We have shown that the observed inelastic scattering is a process of three-magnon confluence or assisted splitting of the E-SW modes. Thus, the effect of B-SW inelastic scattering on the edge-SW can be treated as a fully magnonic analog of the Brillouin scattering of electromagnetic waves by SWs.

Importantly, depending on the chosen conditions, the inelastically scattered beams can propagate at the same or different angles than the elastically reflected SW beam. Based on an
analysis of the isofrequency contours of SWs in the ferromagnetic film, we have proposed the utilization of this effect to demonstrate demultiplexing of information carried by E-SWs by separating spatially scattered SW beams at different frequencies, while SW beam scattering by a standing E-SW can be used to split the signal into two beams.

The simplicity of the proposed system geometry and the linear dependence of the amplitude of the scattered SWs on the amplitudes of the E-SWs and the incident SW beam make experimental realization feasible and promising for magnonic applications. The ubiquity of magnetostatic interactions in ferromagnetic films and their influence on SW dynamics suggest promising three-magnon processes between B-SWs and other types of edge SWs. Thus, our findings make an important contribution to the understanding and utilization of nonlinear phenomena in SW dynamics and represent a further step toward the realization of magnonic circuits.

Methods

Dispersion relation in thin ferromagnetic film

In the case of a uniformly in-plane magnetized thin film of thickness \( L \), under the linear approximation and free boundary conditions on the dynamic components of the magnetization at its surfaces, the dispersion relation for a SW propagating in the film plane is

\[
f(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\omega_0[\omega_0 + \omega_M F(\varphi, k)]},
\]

where \( k = (k_x, k_y) \) is the two-dimensional wavevector, \( \omega_M = \gamma \mu_0 M_s \), \( \omega_0 = |\gamma| (B_0 + l_{ex}^2 \omega_M k^2) \), \( l_{ex} = \sqrt{2A/\mu_0 M_s^2} \) being the exchange length, and \( \varphi \) is the angle between the wavevector and the external magnetic field. The function \( F(\varphi, k) \) is defined as
\[ F(\varphi, k) = 1 - P(kL) \cos^2 \varphi + \frac{M_s P(kL)[1 - P(kL)]}{B_0 \mu_0 [1 + M_s l_{ex}^2 k^2]} \sin^2 \varphi, \]

where \( P(\xi) = 1 - (1 - e^{-\xi})/\xi. \)

Using Eq. (1), one may find isofrequency contours of the dispersion relation that are slices of the dispersion relation at a particular SW frequency over the \((k_x, k_y)\) wavevector plane. These isofrequency contours will be used in the analysis of the allowed wavevectors of the scattered waves.

The direction of wave energy flow is defined by the direction of the group velocity \( \mathbf{v}_g \):

\[ \mathbf{v}_g(k) = \nabla_k \omega(k), \quad (2) \]

where \( \omega(k) = 2\pi f(k) \) is the dispersion relation defined in Eq. (1) and \( \nabla_k = [\partial / \partial k_x, \partial / \partial k_y] \) is the gradient operator in wavevector space. Therefore, the direction of the SW group velocity is normal to the isofrequency contour for a given \( k \). Importantly, the direction of the group velocity can be equated with the direction of propagation of the SW beam, i.e., its ray. For isotropic media, the isofrequency contours are circular and \( \mathbf{v}_g \) is parallel to \( k \), whereas, for anisotropic media (a typical situation for SWs in an in-plane magnetized thin film), the SW beam may propagate in a different direction than its wavevector points.

For a film thickness \( L = 10 \) nm and the frequency range under consideration, the variation of the dynamical components of the magnetization along the \( z \) axis is negligible.

**Model of inelastic scattering of SWs**

We have shown that in our system, two kinds of SW excitations can exist (Fig. 1): (1) plane B-SWs, incident and scattered, in the bulk of the film, and (2) an E-SW localized at the film edge. This allows us to consider the nonlinear scattering of B-SWs on E-SWs as a three-magnon process and thus develop an analytical model.
We describe the unit magnetization vector \( \mathbf{m}(\Theta, \Phi) = \mathbf{M}/M_s \) by the spherical angles \( \Theta \) and \( \Phi \). Then, the magnetic free energy is \( W = \int_V dV \, w \), with the energy density \( w \), integrated over the ferromagnet volume \( V \), defined in the form

\[
  w = A[(\nabla \Theta)^2 + \sin^2 \Theta (\nabla \Phi)^2] - \mu_0 \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H}_0 - \frac{\mu_0}{2} \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H}_m.
\]

To study magnetization dynamics, we use the Landau–Lifshitz equation in the form suggested by Slonczewski:

\[
  M_s \dot{\Phi} \sin \Theta = \gamma \left( \frac{\delta w}{\delta \Theta} \right), \quad M_s \dot{\Theta} \sin \Theta = -\gamma \left( \frac{\delta w}{\delta \Phi} \right),
\]

where an overdot denotes the derivative with respect to time.

The SWs are defined as small perturbations on the magnetization background (in general, the magnetization background can be inhomogeneous and dynamical). The magnetization can be written as the sum of the static \( \mathbf{m}_0 \) and a small SW contribution \( \mathbf{m}_{sw} \): \( \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{m}_{sw} \). Analogously, the SW angles are defined as small deviations from the equilibrium magnetization angles \( \Theta = \Theta_0 + \vartheta \), and \( \Phi = \Phi_0 + \psi \). We assume that the equilibrium magnetization is parallel to the bias magnetic field and perpendicular to the film’s edge plane, and thus \( \mathbf{m}_0(\Theta_0, \Phi_0) = -\hat{y}, \Theta_0 = \pi/2, \) and \( \Psi_0 = -\pi/2 \) [see Fig. 1(a)]. The components of the SW magnetization in quadratic approximation are \( \mathbf{m}_{sw} = (\psi, \vartheta^2/2 + \psi^2/2, -\vartheta) \).

The inelastic scattering of incident/reflected plane B-SWs by the E-SW is determined by an interaction Hamiltonian \( H_{int} \), which contains cubic terms of the SW amplitudes together with other high-order interaction terms. We follow below the calculation scheme first suggested by Suhl, who considered the problem of parallel SW pumping in a bulk sample as an interaction of a uniformly precessing magnon with finite-wavevector SWs.

On substitution of the decompositions \( \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{m}_{sw} \) and \( \mathbf{H}_m = \mathbf{H}_m^0 + \mathbf{h}_m \) into the static and dynamical parts, with only terms linear and quadratic in the SW magnetization angles
being retained, the system of equations (4) becomes

\[
\frac{1}{\gamma} \dot{\psi}(\mathbf{\varrho}) = -\frac{2A}{M_s} \nabla^2 \vartheta(\mathbf{\varrho}) - \mu_0 H^0_{m,y} \vartheta(\mathbf{\varrho}) - \mu_0 M_s \int d\mathbf{\varrho}' G_{zz} \vartheta(\mathbf{\varrho}') - \mu_0 M_s \vartheta(\mathbf{\varrho}) \int d\mathbf{\varrho}' G_{yx} \psi(\mathbf{\varrho}'),
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{\gamma} \dot{\vartheta}(\mathbf{\varrho}) = -\frac{2A}{M_s} \nabla^2 \vartheta(\mathbf{\varrho}) - \mu_0 H^0_{m,y} \psi(\mathbf{\varrho}) - \mu_0 M_s \int d\mathbf{\varrho}' G_{xx} \psi(\mathbf{\varrho}') - \frac{\mu_0}{2} M_s \int d\mathbf{\varrho}' G_{xy} [\vartheta^2(\mathbf{\varrho}') + \psi^2(\mathbf{\varrho}')] - \mu_0 M_s \psi(\mathbf{\varrho}) \int d\mathbf{\varrho}' G_{yx} \psi(\mathbf{\varrho}'),
\]

where \(G_{\alpha\beta} \equiv G_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{\varrho}, \mathbf{\varrho}')\) are the magnetostatic Green functions averaged over the film thickness and defined by Eqs. (19)–(21) of Ref., and \(\mathbf{\varrho} = (x, y), \mathbf{\varrho}' = (x', y')\) are the position vectors. \(H^0_{m,y} = H^0_{m,y}(y)\) represents the static dipolar field within the film, averaged over the \(x\) and \(z\) coordinates. The field depends strongly on the coordinate \(y\) only near the film edge, in a region of width about of the film thickness.

It is evident from Eqs. (5) that neither the exchange nor Zeeman interactions contribute to the quadratic terms in the equations of motion. These quadratic terms are responsible for the interaction between the SWs and arise owing to the nonlocal magnetostatic coupling. The interaction energy density \(w_{\text{int}} = -M_s \mathbf{h}_m \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\text{sw}}\) can be written explicitly as

\[
w_{\text{int}} = -\frac{\mu_0}{2} M^2_s \left\{ \psi \int d\mathbf{\varrho}' G_{xy} [\vartheta^2(\mathbf{\varrho}') + \psi^2(\mathbf{\varrho}')] + (\vartheta^2 + \psi^2) \int d\mathbf{\varrho}' G_{yx} \psi(\mathbf{\varrho}') \right\}.
\]

Finally, using the symmetry properties of the Green functions \(G_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{\varrho}, \mathbf{\varrho}') = G_{\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{\varrho}, \mathbf{\varrho}')\),
the cubic interaction energy can be written in the form

\[ W_{\text{int}} = -\mu_0 M_s^2 L \int d\varrho \left\{ \psi(\varrho) \int d\varrho' G_{xy}[\varrho'^2(\varrho') + \psi^2(\varrho')] \right\}. \] (7)

In general, we can decompose the complex dynamical magnetization \( \Psi = \vartheta + i\psi \) in a series of eigenfunctions \( m_k(\varrho) \) of the linear eigenvalue problem given by the linear part of the system of equations (5):

\[ \Psi(\varrho, t) = \sum_k a_k(t) m_k(\varrho), \] (8)

where \( a_k \) is the SW eigenmode amplitude. Then, the SW interaction energy (7) can be written in the form

\[ W_{\text{int}} = -\frac{1}{2\mu_0 M_s^2 L} \sum_{k,k',k''} (B_{kk'k''} a_k a_{k'}^* a_{k''}^* - B_{kk'k''}^* a_k^* a_{k'}^* a_{k''}^*), \] (9)

with the SW scattering amplitudes

\[ B_{kk'k''} = \int d^2\varrho \int d^2\varrho' G_{xy} m_k(\varrho) m_{k'}(\varrho') m_{k''}^*(\varrho'). \] (10)

The first term in Eq. (9) corresponds to the elementary SW confluence process, whereas the second term corresponds to the SW splitting process. Let us investigate in more detail the confluence process described by the amplitude given by Eq. (10). The SW splitting amplitude is just the complex conjugate of the confluence amplitude.

In the system under investigation, there is a translation invariance along the film’s edge. Therefore, the wavevector component along the \( x \) axis can be introduced. According to Fig. 1 the localized E-SW \( m_\kappa(x, y) = m_\kappa(y)e^{ikx} \) is described by the index \( k' = \kappa \), while the nonlocalized B-SWs \( m_k(x, y) = m_k(y)e^{ik_x x} \) are described by the indices \( k \) and \( K \), for the incident and inelastic scattered waves, respectively. Although the linear system of equations (5) cannot be solved analytically, we can assume that the nonlocalized B-SWs described by the indices \( k \) and \( K \) can be approximated by the plane waves \( m_k(\varrho) = e^{ik\cdot\varrho} \), with the
in-plane wavevectors \( \mathbf{k} \) and \( \mathbf{K} \). Let us use the explicit SW eigenfunction dependence on \( x \). The amplitude of the scattering from the \( \mathbf{k} \)-state to the \( \mathbf{K} \)-state via a localized \( \kappa \)-state, i.e., absorbing the E-SW, is

\[
B_{\kappa \kappa \mathbf{K}} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dy \, dy' \times [g_{xy}(y, y' ; -k_x) m_\kappa(y) m_\kappa(y') \delta(k_x + \kappa - K_x)],
\]

where \( \delta(\xi) \) is the Dirac delta function, and the simplified Green function \( g_{xy} \) is

\[
g_{xy}(y, y' ; k) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \, \frac{k_p}{k^2 + p^2} P\left(L\sqrt{k^2 + p^2}\right) e^{ip(y-y')}.
\]

The amplitude given by Eq. (11) is calculated without any assumption about the \( y \) dependence of the SW eigenfunctions. If we assume additionally that \( m_\kappa(y) = e^{ik_y y} \), then the scattering amplitude (11) is transformed to

\[
B_{\kappa \kappa \mathbf{K}} = -2\pi \delta(k_x + \kappa - K_x) \frac{k_x k_y}{k^2} P(kL) \int_0^\infty dy \, m_\kappa(y) e^{i(k_y - K_y)y}.
\]

The E-SW mode profiles \( m_\kappa(y) \) can, in principle, be obtained from a solution of the linearized system of equations (5) accounting for the static and dynamic dipolar fields. However, this is practically impossible to implement analytically (see Refs. 53–55). Therefore, we use a trial function for the low-frequency edge eigenmode \( m_\kappa(y) \) in the form \( m_\kappa(y) = e^{-y/\Delta} \) and assume that the localization width \( \Delta \) of the E-SW is of the order of several tens of nanometers. The drawback of this trial function is that it is not orthogonal to the plane SWs. Then, Eq. (13) yields for the scattering amplitude

\[
B_{\kappa \kappa \mathbf{K}} = -2\pi \Delta \delta(k_x + \kappa - K_x) \frac{k_x k_y}{k^2} P(kL) \chi((k_y - K_y)\Delta),
\]

where the function \( \chi(x) = (1 + ix)/(1 + x^2) \) reflects nonconservation of the \( y \) component of the SW momentum due to the breaking of translation invariance along the \( y \) axis by the film’s edge.
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If the SW beam is elastically reflected from the film’s edge and Snell’s law is satisfied, we can expect that \( k_y = -k_{r,y} \) and \( k_y - k_{r,y} = 2k_y \). However, for inelastic scattering, the \( y \) component of the scattered wave, \( K_y \), needs to be extracted from the dispersion relation (1). The SW confluence (absorption of E-SW by B-SW) amplitude given by Eqs. (13) and (14) is represented mainly by the incident SW wavevector components. The SW splitting (emission of E-SW by B-SW) amplitude is given by Eqs. (13) and (14), where one has to replace \( \kappa \) by \(-\kappa\) and the function \( \chi(x) \) by its complex conjugate. Note that the angular dependence of the amplitude is \( B_{k\kappa K} \propto \sin(2\varphi)\chi((k_y - K_y)\Delta) \), where \( \varphi \) is the already defined angle of incidence measured from the \( y \) axis, \( k_y < 0 \), and \( K_y > 0 \). The function \( B_{k\kappa K} (\varphi) \) reaches its maximum at \( \varphi = \pi/4 \) if the E-SW mode localization is strong with respect to the wavelengths of the incident and scattered waves, i.e., \((k_y - K_y)\Delta \ll 1\). The scattering amplitude becomes negligibly small in the opposite limiting case of a weakly localized E-SW, \((k_y - K_y)\Delta \gg 1\).

The scattering amplitude \( B_{k\kappa K} (\varphi) \) accounts explicitly for the momentum conservation law

\[
K_{x,\pm} = k_x \pm \kappa
\]

along the film’s edge. On the other hand, the energy conservation law

\[
\omega_{K,\pm} = \omega_k \pm \omega_\kappa
\]

should be satisfied for the confluence (\( + \)) and splitting (\( - \)) processes, where \( \omega_k = 2\pi f_0 \) and \( \omega_\kappa = 2\pi \nu \). Therefore, the confluence and splitting amplitudes depend on the final plane B-SW wavevector \( K \) and the \( x \) component of the E-SW vector \( \kappa \). Assuming the plane wave approximation \( m_k(\varrho) = e^{ik\cdot\varrho} \) for the nonlocalized B-SW and neglecting the static dipolar field \( H^0_{m,y} \), the linearized system of equations (5) can be essentially simplified, yielding the well-known SW dispersion relation for an infinite film of thickness \( L \), defined in Eq. (1).
Micromagnetic simulations

Micromagnetic simulations have been proven to be an efficient tool for the calculation of magnetization dynamics in ferromagnetic materials. The results presented in this paper were obtained using the code MuMax3,\textsuperscript{56} which solves full time-dependent the LLG equation with the Landau-Lifshitz damping term using the finite difference method. In the simulations, we considered oblique SW beam propagation in a Py thin film saturated by an in-plane magnetic field of magnitude $\mu_0 H_0 = 0.3$ T oriented perpendicular to the film’s edge (see Fig. 1). We assumed the typical magnetic parameters of Py (Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ alloy), namely, an exchange stiffness constant $A = 13$ pJ/m, a saturation magnetization $M_s = 800$ kA/m, the gyromagnetic ratio $\gamma = 176$ rad GHz/T, and the damping $\alpha = 0.0001$. The system of dimensions $L_x \times L_y \times L_z$ was discretized with cuboid elements of dimensions $l_x \times l_y \times L_z$. The lateral dimensions of the single cell $l_x \times l_y = 5 \times 5$ nm$^2$ were slightly smaller than the exchange length of Py ($l_{ex} = 5.7$ nm). The lateral dimensions of the system $L_x$ and $L_y$ were adjusted in such a way that the SW beams were clearly visible; for example, in the case of a 50 GHz SW beam incident at an angle of 30°, in what is the most frequently encountered scenario, $L_x = 20$ µm and $L_y = 10$ µm.

In the study, we simulated an SW beam of width approximately 1 µm at its waist propagating at an angle of incidence 50° (the angle between the SW wavevector $\mathbf{k}_i$ and the $y$ axis). SW beams were excited by a microwave magnetic field with a Gaussian-type profile described in Ref.\textsuperscript{38}

$$h_{\text{mf}}(x', y') = h_0 \text{Win}(wx') \exp \left[ -\frac{(y'/(0.5L))^2}{2\sigma^2} \right],$$

where $h_0$ is the amplitude of the field, Win is the Dirichlet window function, $w = 10$ nm is the width of the antennae, $L = 2500$ nm is its length, and $\sigma^2 = 0.1$.

A point source of radius approximately 30 nm exciting E-SWs was located at the edge of the film at the left and/or right side of the beam spot at a distance of approximately 5 µm.
At the borders of the simulated domain, different from the film’s edge, absorbing boundary conditions were assumed.\textsuperscript{57}

The simulations consisted of three stages. In the first, a static magnetization configuration was obtained, and then the SWs (the B-SW beam and E-SW) were continuously excited until they reached a steady state (typically, we ran simulations for 60 ns). Finally, the simulation results (the $m_z$ component of the magnetization) were stored with a sampling interval of 5 ps to the matrix $m_z(t; x, y)$. The results obtained in this way were then processed.

**Postprocessing**

In the first stage of postprocessing, we calculated the pointwise fast Fourier transforms (FFTs) over time of the $m_z$ component of magnetization sampled: $\tilde{m}_z(f; x, y) = (2/N)\mathcal{F}_t[m_z(t; x, y)]$, where $\mathcal{F}_t$ denotes the FFT over time operation implemented in NumPy\textsuperscript{58,59} and $N$ is the number of samples processed. We chose a sampling scheme providing a frequency resolution $\delta f = 0.2$ GHz, with $N = 1200$ samples stored with a sampling interval $t_{\text{sampl}} = 1/(N\delta f)$.

The normalization $2/N$ together with the sampling scheme that precisely resolved frequencies enabled us to precisely resolve the amplitudes of SWs after FFT. That is to say, the time-dependent signal at a given frequency had the same amplitude as the absolute value of the peak corresponding to that frequency of the transformed signal. This matrix was processed in the subsequent steps.

**SW spectrum**

The SW spectra presented in Fig.\textsuperscript{2} were obtained using the formula $|m_z|(f) = \max\{|\tilde{m}_z(x, y)|\}(f)$. The amplitude corresponds to the maximum amplitude of the SWs at a given frequency $f$ present in the sample.
Mode profiles

The mode profiles for frequencies $f_i$ presented in Figs. 2(b)–2(d) were obtained using the formula $m_{z,f_i} = |\tilde{m}_z(f = f_i; x, y)|$.

Mode profiles in $k$ space

The isofrequency map (mode profile in $k$-space) for frequency $f_i$ can be derived from the SW mode profiles as a two-dimensional FFT: IFM$_{f_i}(k_x, k_y) = |F_{x,y} \{\text{Re} \{\tilde{m}_z(f = f_i; x, y)\}\}|$, where $F_{x,y}$ denotes the two-dimensional FFT operation over the $x$ and $y$ coordinates.
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