Density induced BCS-Bose evolution in gated two-dimensional superconductors: The Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition as a function of carrier density
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We discuss the evolution from BCS to Bose superconductivity versus carrier density in gated two-dimensional $s$-wave superconductors. We investigate the carrier density dependence of the critical temperature, superfluid density, order parameter, chemical potential and pair size. We show that the transition from the normal to the superconducting state is controlled by the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless vortex-antivortex pairing mechanism, and that the evolution from high carrier density (BCS pairing) to low carrier density (Bose pairing) is just a crossover in $s$-wave systems. We compare our results to recent experiments on the superconductor Li$_x$ZrNCl, a lithium-intercalated layered nitride, and obtain very good quantitative agreement at low and intermediate densities.

\textbf{Introduction:} The physics of two-dimensional (2D) superconductors is a fascinating subject with a long history \cite{1}. The theoretical prediction that 2D Fermi systems can exhibit superconductivity can be inferred from the seminal papers of Berezinskii \cite{2}, Kosterlitz and Thouless \cite{3}, where the mechanism of vortex-antivortex unbinding was proposed. The topological nature of the superconducting transition in two dimensions at fixed carrier density was unveiled in experimental studies of thin films \cite{4, 5}, which provided strong evidence for the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition and vortex-antivortex unbinding \cite{6}.

Chemical substitutions or atom deficiencies in 2D materials can change carrier density, but very often they also introduce disorder and inhomogenous doping, creating difficulties in the interpretation of experimental data and phase diagrams. A way to circumvent such difficulties is to study 2D systems via electrostatic doping, where the carrier density $n$ can be tuned from a minimum $n_{\text{min}}$ to a maximum $n_{\text{max}}$ value. It was theoretically proposed that topological quantum phase transitions occur during the evolution from BCS to Bose pairing in 2D $d$- and $p$-wave superfluids and superconductors as a function of density or interaction strength \cite{7, 9}. However, experiments that used electrostatic doping in 2D $d$-wave superconductors (cuprates) \cite{10, 11} did not investigate the existence of a quantum critical point from gapless to gapped (BCS pairing) to low (Bose pairing) density of carriers, but indicated that phase fluctuations play an important role in determining the critical temperature at low carrier densities. This experimental observation was in agreement with a theoretical argument that classical phase fluctuations were very important in establishing an upper bound for the critical temperature of 2D superconductors with small superfluid density \cite{12}. Furthermore, these early experiments provided support to the viewpoint that the relation between the critical temperature $T_c$ and the muon depolarization rate in cuprate superconductors \cite{13, 14} should be reinterpreted as an upper bound on $T_c$ given by the ordering temperature for phase fluctuations \cite{15}.

For single band 2D superfluids and superconductors with parabolic bands, it was shown explicitly that this upper bound for the critical temperature $T_c = T_{\text{BKT}}$ is exactly one-eighth of the Fermi energy $\varepsilon_F$, that is, $T_{\text{BKT}} \leq \varepsilon_F/8 = 0.125 \varepsilon_F$ \cite{16}, in units where $\hbar = k_B = 1$. Such a general relation is obtained rather trivially from the BKT transition temperature $T_{\text{BKT}} = \pi \rho_s(T_{\text{BKT}})/2$, where $\rho_s$ is the superfluid density. It is sufficient to notice that, at any temperature $T$, $\rho_s(T)$ cannot exceed $n/(4m)$, where $n$ is the carrier density and $m$ is the carrier effective mass. Physically, this bound is the ratio between the maximum fermion pair density ($n/2$) and the fermion pair mass $2m$ and is reflects the Ferrell-Glover-Tinkham \cite{17, 18} optical conductivity sum rule. The same bound is valid even when the superfluid density tensor is anisotropic or when spin-orbit effects are included \cite{19, 20}.

Experiments seeking to study the evolution from BCS to Bose pairing in essentially 2D superconductors like FeSe \cite{21, 22}, twisted bilayer graphene \cite{23, 24}, and layered nitrides \cite{25} have been attempted, but only very recently it has been shown that in Li$_x$ZrNCl, a lithium-intercalated layered nitride, the electrolyte LiClO$_4$ can dope a 2D layer of ZrNCl with Lithium ions via a gate voltage $V_G$, over a range of nearly two orders of magnitude \cite{26}. Because of this doping tunability, this technique potentially allows for the study of the evolution from BCS (high carrier density) to the Bose (low carrier density) superconductivity in 2D systems as discussed theoretically in the context of ultracold fermions \cite{16}. In this paper, we show that a theory involving phase fluctuations for 2D $s$-wave superconductors leads to critical temperature and order parameter modulus in good agreement with experimental results for Li$_x$ZrNCl \cite{26} as the system evolves from BCS to Bose pairing in the relevant carrier concentration range. Furthermore, we extract from experimental data the effective mass of the charge carriers, as well as, the magnitude and range of the effective two-body interaction between them.
Hamiltonian: We use a two-band continuum model of fermion with identical parabolic bands centered at points $K$ and $K'$ of the Brillouin zone of Li$_2$ZrNCl. Since the two bands are identical, the effective mass of the carriers in each band is $m$ and density of carriers per band is $n = k_F^2/2\pi$. Furthermore, we take the interactions to be the same in both bands and assume that the two bands are independent. In this case, it is sufficient to consider the Hamiltonian per band. Thus, we start from the Hamiltonian density per band ($\hbar = k_B = 1$) $\mathcal{H}(r) = \mathcal{H}_{K}(r) + \mathcal{H}_I(r)$, where the kinetic energy density with respect to the chemical potential $\mu$ is

$$\mathcal{H}_K(r) = \sum_s \psi_s^\dagger(r) \left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \mu\right] \psi_s(r),$$

and the interaction energy density is

$$\mathcal{H}_I(r) = \int d^2r' V(r, r') \psi_s^\dagger(r') \psi_s^\dagger(r') \psi_s(r') \psi_s(r),$$

with $V(r, r') = -V_s g(|r-r'|/R)$. Here, $V_s$ is the magnitude of the $s$-wave attractive interaction with dimension of energy, and $g(|r-r'|/R)$ is a dimensionless function with range $R$. The field operator $\psi_s^\dagger(r)$ creates a fermion with spin projection $s$ at position $r$. The Hamiltonian $H = \int d^2r \mathcal{H}(r)$ has three microscopic parameters: the effective mass $m$, the interaction strength $V_s$ and the interaction range $R$. In momentum space, the Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \sum_{k,k',q} \xi_k \psi_{k,s}^\dagger \psi_{k,s} + \sum_{k,k',q} V_{kq} b_{kq}^\dagger b_{k'q},$$

where $b_{kq} = \psi_{-k+q/2,\uparrow}^\dagger \psi_{k+q/2,\uparrow}$ is the pairing operator and $\xi_k = \varepsilon_k - \mu$, with energy dispersion $\varepsilon_k = k^2/2m$. Following standard procedure, we obtain a separable interparticle potential in momentum space

$$V_{kq} = -V_s \Gamma_s(k) \Gamma_s(k'),$$

where $\Gamma_s(k) = (1 + k/k_R)^{-1/2}$, and $k_R \sim R^{-1}$ plays the role of the interaction range in momentum space. The Hamiltonian in Eq. (3) has three microscopic parameters: the effective mass $m$, the interaction strength $V_s$ and range $R \sim k_R^{-1}$. As the density $n$ is varied, we compare the interparticle spacing $\ell_{ip} = 1/\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{2\pi/k_F}$ to $R$ and notice that the interactions are short-ranged when $R/\ell_{ip} \sim (k_F/k_R)/(1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \ll 1$ and long-ranged when $R/\ell_{ip} \sim (k_F/k_R)/(1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \gg 1$.

Effective Action: We consider the order parameter modulus $|\Delta|$ and its associated phase $\theta$, to write the phase fluctuation effective action per band as

$$S_{\text{eff}} = S_{\text{sp}}(|\Delta|) + S_{\text{ph}}(|\Delta|, \theta) + S_{\text{sp}}(|\Delta|, \theta).$$

The first term is the saddle-point action

$$S_{\text{sp}}(|\Delta|) = \sum_k \left[ \frac{\xi_k - E_k}{T} - 2 \ln\left(1 + e^{-E_k/T}\right) \right] + \frac{|\Delta|^2}{TV_s},$$

where $E_k = \sqrt{\xi_k^2 + |\Delta_k|^2}$ is the quasiparticle excitation energy, and $\Delta_k = \Delta_{s}e^{i\theta_k}$ plays the role of the order parameter function for $s$-wave pairing. The second term is the phase fluctuation action

$$S_{\text{ph}} = \frac{1}{2} \int dr \left[ \sum_{ij} \rho_{ij} \partial_i \theta(r) \partial_j \theta(r) + \kappa_s \partial_i \theta(r) \partial_i \theta(r) \right],$$

where the integration is over position and imaginary time $r = (r, \tau)$, with $\int d\tau \int_0^T d\tau' d^2r$. In Eq. (7), the first term contains the superfluid density tensor

$$\rho_{ij}(\mu, |\Delta|, T) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_k \left[ 2 n_{sp}(k) \partial_i \theta_k \partial_j \theta_k - Y_k \partial_i \theta_k \partial_j \theta_k \right],$$

where $\partial_i$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to momentum $k_i$ with $i = \{x, y\}$.

$$n_{sp}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\xi_k}{E_k} \tanh \left( \frac{E_k}{2T} \right) \right]$$

is the momentum distribution per spin state, and $Y_k = (2T)^{-1} \text{sech}^2(E_k/2T)$ is the Yoshida function. Here, the superfluid density tensor is diagonal since $\rho_{xy} = \rho_{yx} = 0$, and its diagonal elements are equal, that is, $\rho_{xx} = \rho_{yy} = \rho_s$. The second term in Eq. (7) is

$$\kappa_s(\mu, |\Delta|, T) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_k \left[ \frac{\Delta_k^2}{E_k^2} \tanh \left( \frac{E_k}{2T} \right) \right]$$

with $\kappa_s = \kappa/4$, where $\kappa = \partial n/\partial \mu|_{T,V}$ is related to the thermodynamic compressibility $K = \kappa/n^2$. The zero-point action is $S_{\text{zp}} = \sum_q \omega_q/2T$, where $\omega_q = c|q|$ is the frequency and $c = \sqrt{\rho_s/\kappa_s}$ is the speed of sound. To elucidate the role of phase fluctuations, we write $\theta(r, \tau) = \theta_v(r) + \theta_c(r, \tau)$, where the classical ($\tau$-independent) contribution $\theta_v(r)$ is due to vortices (transverse velocities), while the quantum ($\tau$-dependent) contribution $\theta_c(r, \tau)$ is due to collective modes (longitudinal velocities). The resulting phase action per band is

$$S_{\text{ph}} = S_v + S_c,$$

since contributions involving cross-terms in $\theta_v$ and $\theta_c$ vanish. The vortex action is

$$S_v = \frac{1}{2T} \int d^2r \rho_s \left[ \nabla \theta_v(r) \right]^2,$$

while the collective mode action is

$$S_c = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau \left[ \rho_s \left[ \nabla \theta_c(r) \right]^2 + \kappa_s \left[ \partial_r \theta_c(r) \right]^2 \right].$$

The thermodynamic potential is $\Omega = \Omega_{\text{sp}} + \Omega_{\text{ph}}$, where the first term is the saddle-point $\Omega_{\text{sp}} = TS_{\text{sp}}$, and the second is due to phase fluctuations $\Omega_{\text{ph}} = \Omega_v + \Omega_c + \Omega_{\text{zp}}$. 


The contribution due to vortices is $\Omega_v = - T \ln Z_v$, where $Z_v = \int d\theta e^{-S_v}$. Integration over phase fluctuations $\theta_v(r, \tau)$ leads to $\Omega_v = \sum k T \ln [1 - \exp (- \omega_k / T)]$, while the zero-point term is $\Omega_{zp} = TS_{zp}$.

Critical Temperature: The self-consistency relations for $|\Delta|$ and $T_c$ for fixed chemical potential $\mu$ are derived from the effective action $S_{eff} = S_{zp} + S_{ph}$ as follows. The order parameter equation is obtained through the stationarity condition $\delta S_{zp}/\delta \Delta_0^s = 0$, leading to

$$\frac{1}{V_s} = \sum_k |\Gamma_s(k)|^2 \tanh \left( \frac{E_k}{2T} \right). \quad (14)$$

The equation for the critical temperature $T_c = T_{BKT}$ is determined by the Kosterlitz-Thouless [3] condition

$$T_{BKT} = \frac{\pi}{2} \rho_s(\mu, |\Delta|, T_{BKT}),$$

obtained using the vortex action of Eq. (12). For fixed interaction $V_s$ and a given chemical potential $\mu$, Eqs. (13) and (15) determine the order parameter modulus $|\Delta|$ and the critical temperature $T_{BKT}$. These two equations arise from the saddle-point and vortex actions.

To relate $n$ and $n = N/L^2$, where $N$ is the total number of particles per band and $L^2$ is the area of the sample, we use $n = -\partial \Omega/\partial \mu|_{T,V}$, with $\Omega = \Omega/L^2$, leading to

$$n = n_{sp} + n_{ph} + n_{zp}. \quad (16)$$

Here, $n_j = -\partial \Omega_j/\partial \mu|_{T,V}$ where $j = \{sp, ph, zp\}$. The expression of $n_{sp}$ is particularly simple, that is, $n_{sp}(k) = 2 \sum n_{sp}(k)$, while the others can be easily obtained from their respective $\Omega_j$. The self-consistent solutions of Eqs. (15), (17), and (18) determine $\mu$, $|\Delta|$ and $T_{BKT}$ as function of density $n$ for given effective mass $m$, interaction strength $V_s$ and momentum-space interaction range $k_R$. A measure of the evolution from BCS to Bose pairing is provided by the pair size $\xi^2$

$$\xi^2_{pair} = \frac{\int d^2k \varphi^*(k) \left[ -\nabla^2 \right] \varphi(k)}{\int d^2k |\varphi(k)|^2}. \quad (17)$$

in terms of the non-normalized two-body wavefunction $\varphi(k) = \Delta(k)/2E(k)$.

We use momentum and energy scales $k_R$ and $\varepsilon_R = k_R^2/2m$, respectively, and write the dimensionless order parameter equation as

$$\frac{1}{V_s} = \int d\hat{k} \hat{k} \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_s(\hat{k})^2}{2E_k} \tanh \left( \frac{\frac{E_k}{2T}}{2T} \right), \quad (18)$$

where $\tilde{V}_s = V_s g_{2D}$, with $g_{2D} = m L^2/\pi$ being the total 2D density of states per band. The dimensionless functions are $\tilde{\Gamma}_s(\hat{k}) = \left( 1 + \hat{k}^2 \right)^{-1/2}$ for the interaction symmetry factor, $\tilde{E}_k = \left( \hat{k}^2 + \tilde{\Delta}_k^2 \right)^{1/2}$ for the quasiparticle excitation energy, with $\tilde{\xi}_k = \hat{k}^2 - \tilde{\mu}$ for the kinetic energy and $\tilde{\Delta}_k = \tilde{\Delta}_s(\hat{k})$ for the order parameter function. The dimensionless critical temperature is

$$\tilde{T}_{BKT} = \frac{\tilde{n}_{zp}}{8} = \frac{1}{16T_{BKT}} \int \frac{d\hat{k} \tilde{k}^3 \text{sech}^2 \left( \frac{\tilde{E}_k}{2T_{BKT}} \right)}{2}, \quad (19)$$

where $\tilde{T}_{BKT} = T_{BKT}/T_R$, with $T_R = \varepsilon_R$. The dimensionless density $\tilde{n} = n/n_R$, where $n_R = k_R^2/2\pi$, is expressed solely in terms of the saddle-point contribution

$$\tilde{n} = \tilde{\varepsilon}_F = \tilde{n}_{zp} = \int d\hat{k} \tilde{k} \left[ 1 - \frac{\tilde{\xi}_k}{\tilde{E}_k} \tanh \left( \frac{\tilde{E}_k}{2T} \right) \right], \quad (20)$$

since $n_{ph}$ and $n_{zp}$ are small for $T \approx T_{BKT}$, except when $n$ and/or $T \rightarrow 0$ [30]. For comparison, we also obtain the dimensionless mean field (MF) temperature $\tilde{T}_{MF} = T_{MF}/T_R$ by setting $|\Delta| = 0$ and solving only Eqs. (18) and (20), because MF neglects all phase fluctuations including the vortex-antivortex binding mechanism described by Eq. (19).

In Fig. (1a) we show temperatures $\tilde{T}_{BKT} = T_{BKT}/T_R$, $\tilde{T}_{MF} = T_{MF}/T_R$, order parameter $|\Delta| = |\Delta|/\varepsilon_R$, chemical potential $\tilde{\mu} = \mu/\varepsilon_R$ and pair size $\xi_{pair} = k_R \tilde{\xi}_k$ versus density $\tilde{n} = n/n_R$ for fixed $V_s = 0.7100$. Notice that $\tilde{n} = 2\pi (R/\ell_{ip})^2$ and a crossover from short- to long-ranged interactions occurs at $R/\ell_{ip} \sim 1$, that is, at $\tilde{n} \sim \tilde{n}_{cr} = 2\pi = 6.283$ as $\tilde{n}$ grows. In Fig. (1a), we show $\tilde{T}_{BKT}$ and $\tilde{T}_{MF}$ versus $\tilde{n}$ and note that $\tilde{T}_{MF} \geq \tilde{T}_{BKT}$. The gray dotted line represents the upper bound $\tilde{T}_{BKT} = \tilde{n}/8 = \tilde{\varepsilon}_F/8$ discussed in the introduction. Both $\tilde{T}_{MF}$ and $\tilde{T}_{BKT}$ are non-monotonic and have a maximum located at $\tilde{n} = 0.3241$ and $\tilde{n} = 0.5099$, respectively. The inclusion of phase fluctuations, via the BKT mechanism of vortex-antivortex binding, reduces $\tilde{T}_{MF}$ to $\tilde{T}_{BKT}$ through Eq. (19). Therefore the range $\tilde{T}_{BKT} < \tilde{T} < \tilde{T}_{MF}$ determines the region where classical phase fluctuations are important. In this region, preformed pairs are guaranteed to exist [31, 32]. However, we cannot interpret $\tilde{T}_{MF}$ as the experimental pseudogap temperature $T^*$ defined as a 1% drop in the zero-bias conductance [28]. The theoretical understanding of $T^*$ requires further analysis [32].

In Fig. (1b), we show the $|\Delta|$ versus $\tilde{n}$ both at $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}_{BKT}$ and $\tilde{T} = 0$, noticing that $|\Delta|$ at $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}_{BKT}$ has a maximum at $\tilde{n} = 0.1939$, while $|\Delta|$ at $\tilde{T} = 0$ has a maximum at $\tilde{n} = 0.4356$. In Fig. (1c), we show $\tilde{\mu}$ versus $\tilde{n}$ both at $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}_{BKT}$ and $\tilde{T} = 0$, observing that $\tilde{\mu}$ is a monotonically increasing function of $\tilde{n}$ as expected. For higher densities, where the superconductor is deep in the degenerate BCS regime, $\tilde{\mu} \rightarrow \tilde{\varepsilon}_F$, as indicated by the gray dotted-dashed line. For lower densities, where the superconductor is deep in the non-degenerate Bose regime, $\tilde{\mu} \rightarrow -|\tilde{E}_B|/2 = -0.004309$ as indicated by the gray dotted line. Here, $\tilde{E}_B = -0.008619$ is the dimensionless binding energy obtained from the two-body...
The bound state eigenvalue equation \[ \frac{1}{V_s} = \int \frac{d^2k \langle \mathbf{k} | \hat{T}_s (\mathbf{k}) \rangle^2}{2 \mathbf{k}^2 - E_B}. \] (21)

for \( V_s = 0.7100 \). Spectroscopically, the BCS-Bose crossover occurs at \( \tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\nu} = 0 \) \( (\tilde{n} = 0.004032) \), where the gap for quasiparticle excitations changes from \( \tilde{E}_B = |\tilde{\Delta}| \) on the BCS-pairing side \( (\tilde{n} > 0.004032) \) to \( \tilde{E}_B = \sqrt{\tilde{\mu}^2 + |\tilde{\Delta}|^2} \) on the Bose-pairing side \( (\tilde{n} < 0.004032) \).

In Fig. 1(d), we show that the pair size \( \xi_{\text{pair}} = k_F \xi_{\text{pair}} \) is not a monotonically increasing function of \( \tilde{n} \), having a minimum at \( \tilde{n} = 0.03275 \). We emphasize that \( \xi_{\text{pair}} \) is not the phase coherence length \( \xi_{\text{ph}} \) that diverges at the BKT transition, but it provides a measure that the BCS-Bose pairing crossover region occurs when \( k_F \xi_{\text{pair}} \sim 1 \) [31]. The condition \( k_F \xi_{\text{pair}} = 1 \) or \( \xi_{\text{pair}} = k_F^{-1} \approx \tilde{n}^{-1/2} \) is represented by the gray dotted line. The BCS-pairing limit corresponds to \( \xi_{\text{pair}} \gg \tilde{n}^{-1/2} \), that is, \( k_F \xi_{\text{pair}} \ll 1 \).

In Fig. 2 we compare our theoretical results for \( T_{\text{BKT}} \), \( |\Delta| \), \( \mu \), and \( \xi_{\text{pair}} \) to experimental results for Li\(_2\)ZrNCl [28]. In Figs. 2(a) and (b), we vary the dimensionless coupling constant \( V_s \) to match the theoretical \( T_{\text{BKT}} \) and \( |\Delta| \) to the experimental \( T_c \) and \( |\Delta| \) versus concentration \( x \). The best fit is achieved for \( V_s = 0.7100 \), and from it we extract the temperature scale \( T_R = \varepsilon_R = k_R^2/2m \) leading to \( T_{\text{BKT}} = 1094K \) and the momentum scale \( k_R \) by relating \( \tilde{n} \) to \( x \), that is, by using the carrier density per band \( n = x/A_{\text{cell}} \), where \( A_{\text{cell}} = a^2 \sin(\pi/3) \) is the area of the unit cell of Li\(_2\)ZrNCl. In this case, \( \tilde{n} = 2\pi x/k_R^2 A_{\text{cell}} \), and the momentum scale from the fit is \( k_R = 0.4310/a = 1.197nm^{-1} \), where \( a = 0.3601nm \) is the characteristic length of the unit cell. Note that the interaction range \( R \sim 1/k_R = 2.320a = 0.8354nm \) spans a few lattice spacings, suggesting that the extension to a lattice model should require interactions involving only a few neighbors. The effective mass \( m \) is obtained from \( m = k_B^2/2e_R \) leading to \( m = 0.5791m_e \), where \( m_e \) is the bare electron mass, in good agreement with band structure calculations [34, 32]. Since \( \tilde{n} = 2\pi (R/\ell_p)^2 \), the crossover from short- to long-ranged interactions occurs at \( R/\ell_p \sim 1 \), that is, \( \tilde{n} \sim \tilde{n}_{\text{cr}} = 2 \pi (x \sim 0.1608) \), meaning that interactions are sufficiently short-ranged over the experimental range, however a non-zero \( R \) is absolutely essential in producing a maximum in \( T_{\text{BKT}} \) versus \( x \).

In Fig. 2(b), we show \( |\Delta| \) (in meV) at \( T = 2K \) versus \( x \). The red diamonds are the experimental data, and the red solid line are theoretical results using the
same values of $T_R$, $k_R$ and $\tilde{V}_s$ given in Fig. 2(a). In Fig. 2(c), we show $T_{\text{BKT}}/T_F$ and $T_{\text{MF}}/T_F$ versus $|\Delta|/\varepsilon_F$ at $T = 2K$ for the same values of $T_R$, $k_R$ and $\tilde{V}_s$. The upper bound $T_{\text{BKT}} = 0.125T_F$ is the gray dotted line. The range $T_{\text{BKT}}/T_F < T/T_F < T_{\text{MF}}/T_F$ determines the region where phase fluctuations are important. The inset shows $|\Delta|/\varepsilon_F$ versus $x$ comparing experiment (yellow circles) and theory (yellow dot-dashed line). In Fig. 2(d), we show $k_F\xi_{\text{pair}}$ and $\mu/\varepsilon_F$ versus $x$. The theoretical $k_F\xi_{\text{pair}}$ is compared with the experimental $k_F\xi_{\text{exp}}$ at $T = 0$, while the theoretical $\mu/\varepsilon_F$ is at $T = T_{\text{BKT}}$. The vertical gray dotted lines at $x = \{6.549 \times 10^{-3}, 5.238 \times 10^{-4}, 6.564 \times 10^{-3}\}$ give $k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = \{0.5, 1.0, 5.0\}$. The crossover region from BCS to Bose pairing occurs in the range $0.5 < k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} < 5.0$ or $6.549 \times 10^{-3} < x < 6.564 \times 10^{-3}$, with corresponding $-0.6113 < \mu/\varepsilon_F < 0.9995$. At the lowest experimental concentration $x = 0.0048$, we find $k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = 3.826$ and $\mu/\varepsilon_F = 0.9979$, showing that the crossover region has just been entered. Our results demonstrate that the Bose pairing regime has not yet been reached experimentally for gated 2D Li$_x$ZnRNCI, as it requires $x < 6.549 \times 10^{-3}$, $k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} < 0.5$ and $\mu/\varepsilon_F < -0.6113$.

**Final Remarks:** Our theoretical work shows good agreement with experimental data in the range $0.0048 \leq x \leq 0.133$ [28]. However, for $x \geq 0.1$ there are some deviations which our current model does not capture as described next. First, according to Ref. [28], for $x \geq 0.1$ there is a crossover in behavior from two to three dimensions, which our strictly 2D model does not include. Second, it was suggested [28] that electron-phonon interactions may play a role specially for $x \geq 0.1$, but our model does not include retardation effects. Third, for $x \geq 0.1$, our results suggest that it is necessary to include band-structure effects (non-parabolicity of bands) with a corresponding increase in the density of states that leads to an increase in $T_c$, $|\Delta|$ and a decrease in $k_F\xi_{\text{pair}}$.

**Conclusions:** We investigated a density induced evolution from BCS to Bose pairing in gated two-dimensional superconductors with two degenerate parabolic bands and showed that there is good agreement with experimental results [28] of Li$_x$ZnRNCI for $x \leq 0.1$, where the effective mass approximation is valid. We conclude that, at the lowest reported concentration $x = 0.0048$, the chemical potential is still very close to the Fermi energy, and that the pair size is $k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = 3.826$, indicating that experiments have just entered the crossover region from the BCS-side. We suggest that it is necessary to reduce the lowest concentration by at least one order of magnitude to start entering the Bose pairing regime experimentally.
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[29] This parameterization is necessary to produce a separable potential in momentum space that behaves both at small and large momenta in a form that is compatible to an acceptable real space interaction potential $V(r, r')$, as previously investigated in the literature [7].

[30] Additional contributions to the number equation beyond phase fluctuations also arise in the Bose limit (low densities) and lead to logarithmic corrections of $T_{BEC}$ due to residual boson-boson interactions. See, e.g., D. S. Fisher, and P. C. Hohenberg, Dilute Bose gas in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. B 37, 4936 (1988).


[33] For $T > T_{MF}$, where $|\Delta| = 0$, fermions still interact and cannot be treated as free particles. Since there is always a two-body bound state in two dimensions for an arbitrary small attractive interaction, these bound states must be included for $T > T_{MF}$ and the density of fermions needs to separated at least into bound (preformed pairs) and unbound contributions. To recover bound fermions above $T_{MF}$ it is necessary to include amplitude fluctuations of $\Delta$. Therefore, $T^*$ is related to the Saha dissociation temperature $T_d$, where a fraction of preformed pairs $F$ dissociates into two fermions $F_2$ and $F_1$ with opposite spins, satisfying the chemical equilibrium equation $B = F_2 + F_1$. Details of this analysis will be investigated in a future publication.


[36] The experimental parameter $\xi_{exp}$ is extracted from the measurement of the temperature dependent of the upper critical field $H_{c2}(T)$ using the Ginzburg-Landau relation $H_{c2}(T) = \Phi_0/2\pi \xi^2(T)$, with $\xi(T) = \xi_{exp}(1 - T/T_c)^{-1/2}$ and extrapolating it to $T = 0$, from $H_{c2}(T) = (\Phi_0/2\pi \xi_{exp}^2)(1 - T/T_c)$ for $T < T_c$. Here $\Phi_0$ is the flux quantum $\hbar c/2e$, and $T_c$ is the zero-field critical temperature. The experimental value $\xi_{exp} = (2\pi H_{c2}(0)/\Phi_0)^{1/2}$ and the theoretical values of $\xi_{pair}$ are in good agreement in the range $0 \leq x \leq 0.1$, where the system is expected to be in the truly 2D regime [28], band structure (lattice) effects are not important, and the experimental system is closer to the BCS-pairing side of the crossover. At the Bose-pairing side of the crossover is approached, we expect substantial deviations between $\xi_{pair}$ and $\xi_{exp}$ as discussed in the three-dimensional version of the BCS-BEC crossover [31].