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Abstract

The spectral moments of ensembles of sparse random block matrices are analytically evaluated in the limit of large order. The structure of the sparse matrix corresponds to the Erdős-Renyi random graph. The blocks are i.i.d. random matrices of the classical ensembles GOE or GUE. The moments are evaluated for finite or infinite dimension of the blocks. The correspondences between sets of closed walks on trees and classes of irreducible partitions studied in free probability together with functional relations are powerful tools for analytic evaluation of the limiting moments. They are helpful to identify probability laws for the blocks and limits of the parameters which allow the evaluation of all the spectral moments and of the spectral density.

1 Introduction

In the past 70 years the theory of random matrices had an impressive development in theoretical physics and in a variety of disciplines. It seems natural that the study of ensembles of matrices with a variety of structures is important to assess the possible use of random matrix theory to deal with specific problems.

In this paper we study an ensemble of sparse real symmetric block matrices. Every matrix $A$ of the ensemble has dimension $Nd \times Nd$ and it has $N$ rows and columns. The $N^2$ entries are random matrices $a_{ij}X_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$, as depicted in eq.(1.3). The set of random variables $\{a_{ij}\}$ makes the random block matrix sparse, the set of blocks $\{X_{ij}\}$ are real symmetric random matrices of dimension.
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Our goal is the limiting, $N \to \infty$, spectral distribution of the Adjacency matrix.

Early studies of ensembles of random block matrices are [2], [3]. The entries of the blocks $X_{i,j,\alpha,\beta}$, $i,j = 1, \ldots, N$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, d$, are often chosen to be Gaussian random variables (possibly with block-dependent variance) and the limit of infinite size of the blocks, $d \to \infty$, and $N$ finite, is performed. This leads to a system of coupled equations for the resolvent, which may be solved only in few cases.

More recently, several classes of patterned, or structured ensembles of random matrices with random blocks were studied. Some examples are the band matrices or tridiagonal random block [4], [5], [6], random block Toeplitz or Hankel [7], [8], block circulant [9], structured real symmetric block matrices [10], [11], [12], etc. Again the limit of infinite size of the blocks, $d \to \infty$, is performed.

The case of sparse matrices is quite different. Possibly the most studied model of sparse random matrix ensemble is the Adjacency matrix of a random graph with $N$ vertices of average vertex degree or average connectivity $Z$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{1,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,N} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & 0 & \alpha_{2,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{2,N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{N,1} & \alpha_{N,2} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{j,i} \quad (1.1)$$

The set of $N(N-1)/2$ random variables $\{\alpha_{i,j}\}, i > j$, is a set of independent identically distributed random variables, each one having the probability density

$$P(\alpha) = \left(\frac{Z}{N}\right)\delta(\alpha - 1) + \left(1 - \frac{Z}{N}\right)\delta(\alpha) \quad (1.2)$$

The random matrix ensemble of eqs. (1.1), (1.2), was considered a basic model of disordered system in statistical mechanics. It was then analyzed for decades from the early days of the replica approach [18] up to more recent cavity methods [19].

More pertinent to this paper, the moments of the spectral density of the limiting ($N \to \infty$) Adjacency matrix were carefully studied and recursion relations for them were obtained [20], [21]. Remarkably, the knowledge of all the spectral moments, at least in principle, was not sufficient to obtain the spectral density.

In recent years, an ensemble of sparse random block matrices was considered, where the entry $A_{i,j}$ of the random matrix is a real symmetric $d \times d$ random real symmetric matrix $X_{i,j}$
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \alpha_{1,2}X_{1,2} & \alpha_{1,3}X_{1,3} & \ldots & \alpha_{1,N}X_{1,N} \\
\alpha_{2,1}X_{2,1} & 0 & \alpha_{2,3}X_{2,3} & \ldots & \alpha_{2,N}X_{2,N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\alpha_{N,1}X_{N,1} & \alpha_{N,2}X_{N,2} & \alpha_{N,3}X_{N,3} & \ldots & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
X_{i,j} = X_{j,i}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
\]

\[
X_{i,j,\alpha\beta} = X_{i,j,\beta\alpha}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \ldots, d
\] (1.3)

The generic block \(X_{i,j}\) may be considered a matrix weight associated to the non-oriented edge \((i, j)\) of the graph. One may say that the set of random variables \(\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{j,i}\) encodes the architecture of the non-oriented graph. In this case, see eq.(1.2), it is the Erdős-Rényi random graph, with average vertex degree (or connectivity) \(Z\).

In the papers [22], [23], [24], [25], the \(d \times d\) real symmetric random matrices \(X_{i,j}\) are independent (except for the symmetry \(X_{i,j} = X_{j,i}\)) identically distributed projectors with rank one depending on a unit vector \(\vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}^d\) chosen with uniform probability on the sphere. This probability distribution for the set \(\{X_{i,j}\}\) is unusual in random matrix literature. It is motivated by physics: the random Laplacian block matrix associated to the random block matrix \(A\) in eq.(1.3) is the Hessian of a system of points of random locations, connected by springs. \(^3\)

A surprising result is that it is possible to study analytically the model in the limit \(d \to \infty\), with \(t = Z/d\) fixed. The limiting resolvent is solution of a cubic equation, previously obtained in a different model and different approximation, the Effective Medium Approximation [26]. This random matrix ensemble will be briefly recalled in section 5.1, where a compact derivation of the cubic equation of the limiting resolvent follows from a functional transform.

In this paper we analytically evaluate the limiting \((N \to \infty)\) spectral moments of the Adjacency random block matrix ensemble in eq.(1.3) and the \(d \times d\) random matrix blocks \(X_{i,j}\) both for finite \(d\) and for \(d = \infty\).

To be definite, for finite \(d\), the random matrix blocks \(X_{i,j}\) are chosen to belong to the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble but in the \(d \to \infty\) limit the results apply to the GUE ensemble as well.

The motivation of the present work is more theoretical then practical. Indeed the sparse random block matrix ensemble of this paper has two ingredients: the probability distribution of eq.(1.2) of the Erdős-Rényi random graph and the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble of the blocks \(X_{i,j}\). Both ingredients seem a most natural choice in the random matrix theory.

\(^3\)Actually, in the papers [22] and [25], the connectivity is fixed, rather than average.
In section 2 we recall the method of evaluating spectral moments as weighted paths on a complete graph. In the present case the weight of any path is the trace of the product of the matrices associated to the edges. Our analytic result, presented in eq. (7.1), up to $\mu_{12}$, holds for any probability choice for the random matrices $X_{i,j}$ and any dimension $d$. We display this rather lengthy equation because it is basic to any random block matrix ensemble with the Erdős-Rényi structure and the matrix blocks are i.i.d.

The sections 2.2, 2.3 and 3 recall methods to evaluate expectations of traces of products of random matrices both for $d$ finite and for $d \to \infty$. They follow traditional methods of quantum field theory.

The limiting moments $\mu_{2k} = \lim_{d \to \infty} \left( \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^d} \langle \text{tr} A^{2k} \rangle \right)$ are polynomials of degree $k$ in the average degree $Z$. They are identical for the choice of the random blocks $X_{ij}$ belonging to GOE or to GUE.

Expectations of trace of products of random matrices, such as $\lim_{d \to \infty} \langle \text{tr} (X_1)^{p_1} (X_2)^{p_2} \cdots (X_j)^{p_j} \rangle$, where some of the blocks are repeated and $p_r$ are positive integers, were called multimatrix Gaussian correlators, colored maps, or words in several independent Wigner matrices.

Section 3.1 displays our results for the limiting moments $\mu_{2k}$ obtained with the above mentioned methods and with the functional methods described in sect. 4 and 5.

Section 4 uses some tools of free probability and describes a generalization of a functional relation, sometimes called non-crossing partition transform, or free cumulants. It is indeed possible to express the infinite set of weighted paths in which one is interested in terms of irreducible weighted paths. This allows the evaluation of moments of higher orders and it shows relevant relations among different ensembles of random matrices.

Section 5 makes use of the traditional knowledge recalled in Sections 2, 3, and the functional transform of Sect. 4, to bear on different ensembles of sparse block random matrices.

There exist probability measures for the matrix blocks $X_{i,j}$ and limits such that all spectral moments are evaluated and the non-random spectral density is determined. In other cases the finite number of spectral moments analytically evaluated is not sufficient to determine the spectral density, but may be used to develop approximations. In Sect. 2 we indicate that these different outcomes are related to the dominant set of closed paths on the graph.
2 The moments of the Adjacency block matrix

The method of moments to determine the spectral distribution of random matrices is one of the oldest and best known techniques, see, for instance, [31], [32], [33]. More pertinent to the present work are [20], [21].

Every real symmetric, random or constant, \( n \times n \), matrix \( m \) corresponds to a non-oriented graph with \( n \) vertices, the edge from vertex \( i \) to vertex \( j \) corresponds to the matrix entry \( m_{i,j} \). The matrix entry \( (mp)_{v,w} \) corresponds to the sum of weighted paths of \( p \) consecutive steps on the graph from vertex \( v \) to vertex \( w \).

Our goal is the determination of the limiting moments \( \mu_p \)

\[
\mu_p = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{Nd} < \text{tr} A^p >
\]

where the matrix \( A \) is the block matrix in eq.(1.3), the expectation is evaluated from the probability distribution of the set of \( \{\alpha_{i,j}\} \) in eq.(1.2) and the probability distribution of the random blocks \( X_{i,j} \) is discussed later in the paper.

Every power \( p \) of the block matrix \( (A^p)_{v,v} \), is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( p \) in the set \( \{\alpha_j\} \) and in the matrices \( X_{i,j} \). Since the weights of the edges are non commutative, some recursive tools are not available. It seems useful to consider first the expectation over the random variables \( \{\alpha_j\} \) and the \( N \to \infty \) limit. We postpone to subsection 2.2 the evaluation of the expectation of the trace of products of \( p \) random matrices \( X_{i,j} \).

Let us call \( S_1 \) the set of closed paths relevant to the \( N \to \infty \) limit of spectral moments of the matrix ensemble in eq.(1.1). These paths are walks on a tree graph, because walks which include a loop do not contribute to the moments, in the \( N \to \infty \) limit. In a walk, an edge is traversed in one direction then later on is traversed in the opposite direction. In the product of matrix blocks which is the weight of the walk, the distinct matrix blocks appear an even number of times. These paths were enumerated in [20], [21]. The powerful recurrence relations may be generalized to accommodate commutative weights for the edges, but it seems difficult to accommodate our non-perturbative matrix blocks \( X_{i,j} \).

The set \( S_1 \) is the basic set of paths relevant to the moments of sparse random matrix ensembles. It may happen, for certain probability measures of the matrix blocks and for certain limits of parameters, that a subset \( S_2 \subset S_1 \) of paths is dominant. Each product of matrix blocks in \( S_2 \) corresponds to a non-crossing partition (as we explain in Sect.4). The walks in \( S_2 \) are enumerated by Narayana polynomials. This situation is most interesting because, with different probability measures of the blocks \( X_{i,j} \), it leads to different algebraic equations for the resolvent.
Finally, in the dense limit \( Z \to \infty \), the further subset \( S_3 \subset S_2 \subset S_1 \) of the Wigner paths is dominant \([13],[14],[15],[16],[17] \). In these paths, there is the maximum number of distinct edges. In the products of matrix blocks corresponding to a Wigner walk, each distinct block occurs twice. Wigner walks are in one-to-one correspondence with Dyck paths and are counted by the Catalan numbers. They are well known and we do not discuss the set \( S_3 \) in this paper.

2.1 Closed paths on tree-graphs with weighted edges

Because of the probability distribution of the \( \{ \alpha_{i,j} \} \) random variables, eq.(1.2), in the limit \( N \to \infty \), only closed paths on trees contribute to the limiting moments, \([20],[21] \).

This is true independent from the probability distribution of the random blocks \( X_{i,j} \) and their finite dimension \( d \).

For a closed path on a tree, every edge is traversed an even number of times. The limiting moments of odd order vanish.

The weight of a path is the product of the weights of the traversed edges. For a closed walk of \( 2p \) steps, the number \( l \) of distinct matrices occurring in the weighted path is \( 1 \leq l \leq p \).

Since the blocks are i.i.d., the identification of a block \( X_{i,j} \) in a product is irrelevant. It is useful a relabeling of the products of the blocks that only records if the blocks are equal or different to other ones in the product. For instance:

\[
X_{1,3}X_{3,1}X_{1,3}X_{3,4}X_{4,7}X_{7,4}X_{4,3}X_{3,1} \quad \text{is relabeled}
\]

\[
(X_1)^3X_2(X_3)^2X_2X_1
\]  

(2.2)

As the order of the spectral moments increases, the number of relevant products also increases.

In [24] we analytically evaluated, with help of computer symbolic enumeration, the spectral moments of the ensemble of Adjacency matrix up to \( \mu_{26} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} < \text{Tr} \ A^{26} > \). The ensemble of random block matrices of ref.[24] is depicted in eq.(1.3). In paper [24] the blocks \( X_{i,j} \) are \( d \times d \) rank-one projectors, whereas in this paper we consider different probability laws, GOE for \( d \) finite, GOE or GUE for \( d \) infinite.

The set of closed walks on trees, relevant to the spectral moments, does not depend on the probability distribution of the blocks \( X_{i,j} \).

We list in eq.(7.1) in the Appendix the relevant products of matrices up to the order \( \text{Tr} \ A^{12} \).

As a simple check, one may replace every block \( X_{i,j} \) with one and obtains the table 1 of the moments in \([20] \).
2.2 The average over the products of random blocks $X_{i,j}$, for finite $d$

In this paper we choose the $d \times d$ random matrices $X_{i,j}$ as independent Gaussian real symmetric matrices. The ensemble of these random matrices is called Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble, GOE. The joint probability distribution of the entries of a random matrix $X$ is described by the integration measure, see for instance [34]

$$DX = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^d \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} e^{-\frac{\text{tr}X^2}{4\sigma^2}} \left( \prod_{\alpha > \beta} dX_{\alpha,\beta} \right) \left( \prod_{\gamma} dX_{\gamma,\gamma} \right),$$

$$\int DX = 1 \quad (2.3)$$

The off-diagonal entries $X_{\alpha,\beta} = X_{\beta,\alpha}, \alpha \neq \beta$, are i.i.d. centered normal variables with variance $\sigma^2$. The $d$ diagonal entries $X_{\gamma,\gamma}$ are i.i.d. centered normal variables with variance $2\sigma^2$.

The expectations of products of several matrices are evaluated by Wick theorem with the basic Wick contraction (or propagator)

$$< X_{\alpha,\beta} X_{\gamma,\delta} > = (\delta_{\alpha,\gamma} \delta_{\beta,\delta} + \delta_{\alpha,\delta} \delta_{\beta,\gamma}) \sigma^2, \quad \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr}X^2 > = (d+1)\sigma^2 \quad (2.4)$$

Graphical techniques are useful to keep track of the matrix indices. They were developed and used long ago to evaluate group factors of Feynman graphs. [35], [36], [37], [38].

Eq.(7.2) displays the evaluation of the averages over the GOE ensemble of the contributions displayed in eq.(7.1). The evaluation of monomial powers

$$\frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} X^{2p} > \quad (2.5)$$

was studied in the past because of its relation with the average resolvent $g(z)$

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} \frac{1}{zI-X} > = \frac{1}{zd} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} < \text{tr} \left( \frac{X}{z} \right)^r >$$

Graphical techniques are efficient and there exist a 5 terms recursion relation [39] for the monomials of eq.(2.5) for any finite $d$. 
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We report in Appendix in eq.(7.2) the limiting, \( N \rightarrow \infty \), \( d \) finite, first spectral moments obtained by evaluating the expectations of the terms in eq.(7.1) by graphical methods. They provide a check of the power of a functional transform we describe in section 4.

Simple consistency checks may be performed on the evaluations in eq.(7.2). Let us suppose that \( \{X_j\}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), is a set of i.i.d. random matrices of the GOE ensemble. Then the random matrix \( X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j \) also belongs to the same GOE ensemble, for every \( k \) and every \( d \). This generates an infinite number of sum rules. For instance

\[
< \text{tr}(X_1 + X_2 + X_3)^6 > = < \text{tr} \left[ 3(X_1)^6 + 36(X_1)^4(X_2)^2 + 36(X_1)^3 X_2 X_1 X_2 + 18(X_1)^2 X_2 (X_1) X_2 + 12(X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 + 18(X_1)^2 X_2 (X_3)^2 X_2 + 36(X_1)^2 X_2 X_3 X_2 X_3 + 6X_1 X_2 X_3 X_1 X_2 X_3 + 18X_1 X_2 X_3 X_1 X_3 X_2 \right] >
\]

\[= 27 < \text{tr}(X_1)^6 > \quad (2.6)\]

The \( d = 1 \) case can be computed using recursion relations, which are a small modification of those in [20], as written in [47], for \( k = 2 \); in these equations there is a sum over \( \bar{m} \), the number of times an edge \( a \) is run up and down; here there is a Gaussian random variable \( X_a \) associated to each time the edge is traversed, so there is a factor \( X_a^{2\bar{m}} \); since in these relations \( X_a \) appears only here, one can take the average

\[
< X_a^{2\bar{m}} > = 2^{\bar{m}} (2\bar{m} - 1)!!
\]

so one has

\[
\mu_{2j} = H_{j,1}(1, Z)
\]

(2.8)

where \( H_{j,1}(y, Z) \) is given by the recursion relations are

\[
H_{j,1}(y, Z) = Z \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{j} < X^{2\bar{m}} > y^{\bar{m}} \sum_{j_1 + j_2 = j - \bar{m}} H_{j_1, \bar{m}}(y, Z) H_{j_2, \bar{m}}(1, Z)
\]

(2.9)

\[
H_{j,\bar{m}}(y, Z) = \sum_{m=1}^{j} y^m [y^{m}] H_{j,1}(y, Z) \left( \frac{m + \bar{m} - 1}{\bar{m} - 1} \right)
\]

(2.10)

and \( H_{0,\bar{m}}(y, Z) = 1 \). The moments for generic \( d \), which we computed till \( \mu_{12} \), agree in \( d = 1 \) with the moments obtained with these recursion relations.
2.3 Factorization

In the product of the random matrices \( \{X_j\} \) there can be a subproduct of a subset of random matrices, none of which appear anywhere else in the product. Because of the independence of the blocks \( \{X_j\} \) with different index \( j \), it follows a factorization, which may be repeated until the factors cannot be further reduced. Such factors will be called irreducible. For instance

\[
< \text{tr} X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3 X_1^4 X_2^2 > = < \text{tr} X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 X_1^2 X_2^2 > \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} X_1^4 > = \]

\[
= < \text{tr} X_1^2 X_3^2 X_2^2 > \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} X_3^2 > \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} X_1^4 >
\]

and

\[
< \text{tr} X_1 X_2^2 X_3^2 X_4^2 X_1 X_2 X_1^2 X_2^2 X_1 > = < \text{tr} X_1 X_2^2 X_4^2 X_2^2 X_1 > \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} X_3^2 > \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} X_1^4 >
\]

(2.11)

The factorization property holds for arbitrary dimension \( d \) and any probability measure of the random matrices \( X_j \).

In sect.4 we present a functional relation which reduces the infinite set of expectations to the smaller, still infinite set of irreducible expectations.

3 The large-\( d \) limit

We now evaluate the first moments of the spectral density of the Adjacency matrix (1.3) in the limit \( d \to \infty \). This is the goal of the present paper.

We make the usual choice \( \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{d} \) in the Gaussian measure Eq. (2.3).

More precisely, we evaluate the first limiting moments

\[
\mu_{2p} = \lim_{d \to \infty} \left( \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{Nd} \text{tr} < A^{2p} > \right) = c_1^{(2p)} Z + c_2^{(2p)} Z^2 + \ldots + c_p^{(2p)} Z^p
\]

(3.1)

where the Adjacency matrix \( A \) is given in eq.(1.3), the expectations are evaluated over the set of i.i.d. random variables \( \{\alpha_{i,j}\} \), see eq.(1.2) which define the sparsity of the block matrix and the GOE measure for the entries of the i.i.d. blocks \( X_{i,j} \) is in eq.(2.3).

The spectral distribution of each GOE block \( X \), in the \( d \to \infty \) limit, is the semi-circle

\[
\rho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{4 - \lambda^2} , \quad -2 \leq \lambda \leq 2
\]
Then the coefficients \( c^{(2p)}_1 \) are known for every \( p \) to be the Catalan coefficients \( C_p \)

\[
c^{(2p)}_1 = \lim_{d \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} X^{2p} > \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^{2} \lambda^{2p} \sqrt{4 - \lambda^2} d\lambda = \frac{1}{p+1} \left( \frac{2p}{p} \right) = C_p \] (3.2)

Before discussing further coefficients \( c^{(2p)}_j \), \( 1 \leq j \leq p \), let us recall methods of evaluation.

In the language of quantum field theory, in order to evaluate a term like \( \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr}[X_1^6 X_2^3 X_1^2 X_2^2] > \), one would draw a closed convex line, with 12 points on it, with labels \( X_1 \), six consecutive points, then \( X_2 \), two consecutive points, etc. The evaluation of the expectation is provided by the Wick theorem and would be called a Gaussian two matrix expectation. In this example, there are many distinct ways, or graphs, where six "propagators" couple points with the same label. Each graph, where the six propagators are drawn inside the closed line has a contribution to the evaluation for finite \( d \). In the limit \( d \to \infty \), the only graphs which contribute are the so called planar graphs, that is the drawings where the propagators do not cross inside the border line. Furthermore to evaluate the contribution of a planar graph, it is sufficient to retain only one part of the propagator (2.4)

\[
<X_{\alpha,\beta}X_{\gamma,\delta}> \sim \delta_{\alpha,\delta}\delta_{\beta,\gamma}\frac{1}{d} \] (3.3)

This approximate procedure, which is valid to obtain the leading contribution in the limit of the large matrices \( X \), is identical to the procedure for the case of an ensemble of complex self-adjoint matrices \( X \) belonging to the GUE ensemble. Then the table of limiting moments in eq.(3.4) holds for both classical ensembles GOE and GUE.

Returning to our example, with the above rules, the planar graphs are only of two disjoint sets: either the two propagators of the two pairs \( X_2^2 \) cut across the graph (in only one planar way) or they couple pairs of adjacent \( X_2 \). That is

\[
\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr}[X_1^6 X_2^3 X_1^2 X_2^2] > = \lim_{d \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{d^2} < \text{tr}[X^6]> <\text{tr}[X^2]> + \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr}[X^8]> \right) = 19
\]

The same argument leads to the functional generator

\[
F(g_1, g_2) = \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr}\left[ \frac{1}{1-g_1X_1^2} X_2^2 \frac{1}{1-g_2X_1^2} X_2^2 \right] > =
\]
\[
\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} \langle \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - g_1 X_1} \frac{1}{1 - g_2 X_2} \right] \rangle + \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d^2} \langle \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - g_1 X_1} \right] \rangle < \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - g_2 X_2} \right] >
\]

More general and more complex recursion relations were obtained by M. Staudacher [40].

### 3.1 The limiting moments

We present in eq.(3.4) the analytic evaluation of the limiting moments (first \(N \to \infty\) then \(d \to \infty\)) of the rescaled Adjacency matrix, up to \(\mu_{22}\).

They were determined for the random blocks \(X_j\) being random matrices of the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble. The table holds as well for \(X_j\) being members of the Gaussian unitary ensemble.

The moments from \(\mu_2\) to \(\mu_{12}\) were evaluated after taking the large \(d\) limit of the expectation of the Table 1 of weighted closed paths on trees in Appendix A, eq.(7.1). The moments from \(\mu_2\) to \(\mu_{22}\) were evaluated by the more powerful methods described in the next sections.

\[
\mu_{2p} = \lim_{d \to \infty} \left( \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{d^2} \text{tr} \langle A^{2p} \rangle \right)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_2 & = Z \\
\mu_4 & = 2Z + 2Z^2 \\
\mu_6 & = 5Z + 12Z^2 + 5Z^3 \\
\mu_8 & = 14Z + 62Z^2 + 56Z^3 + 14Z^4 \\
\mu_{10} & = 42Z + 310Z^2 + 465Z^3 + 240Z^4 + 42Z^5 \\
\mu_{12} & = 132Z + 1542Z^2 + 3454Z^3 + 2816Z^4 + 990Z^5 + 132Z^6 \\
\mu_{14} & = 429Z + 7700Z^2 + 24325Z^3 + 28182Z^4 + 15197Z^5 + 4004Z^6 + 429Z^7 \\
\mu_{16} & = 1430Z + 38726Z^2 + 166536Z^3 + 259090Z^4 + 192760Z^5 + 76440Z^6 + 16016Z^7 + 1430Z^8 \\
\mu_{18} & = 4862Z + 196374Z^2 + 1122569Z^3 + 2264256Z^4 + 2197188Z^5 + 1178712Z^6 + 366996Z^7 + 63648Z^8 + 4862Z^9 \\
\mu_{20} & = 16796Z + 1004126Z^2 + 7503800Z^3 + 19162220Z^4 + 23427294Z^5 + 16071948Z^6 + 6676410Z^7 + 1705440Z^8 + 251940Z^9 + 16796Z^{10} \\
\mu_{22} & = 58786Z + 5175874Z^2 + 49956456Z^3 + 158795516Z^4
\end{align*}
\]
4 Non-crossing partitions, irreducible partitions, the non-crossing transform.

The role of the combinatorics of non-crossing partitions (definitions here below), functional transforms for the spectral measures of some random matrices ensembles in the large dimension limit were studied in a series of papers, see for instance [30], [45], [42].

In this section we describe these methods as suited for this paper but also provide a non-crossing transform at the level of product of matrices, more general than the more usual transform for expectations. This generality is useful to see relations among different but related matrix ensembles.

We begin by recalling some definitions.

4.1 Basic definitions

Let $S$ be an ordered set. Then $\pi = \{B_1, \ldots, B_p\}$ is a partition of $S$ if the $B_i \neq \emptyset$ are ordered and disjoint sets, called blocks of the partition, whose union is $S$. In this paper the elements of $S$ are real symmetric $d \times d$ matrices $X$, the blocks of the sparse random block matrix ensemble (1.3). The contribution of a path of length $2l$ on a tree graph is the product of $2l$ matrices. For instance the product of eight matrices, contributing to the asymptotic moment $\mu_8$, $X_1 X_2^2 X_1^2 X_2^2 X_1$, corresponds to the partition in two blocks $\pi = \{1, 4, 5, 8\}\{2, 3, 6, 7\}$.

Elements of $S$ may be drawn as points on a oriented line, labeled with the block they belong. A partition is said to be irreducible if there is no set of points, all belonging to the same block, which forms a proper interval on the line. The above partition $\pi$ of a set of 8 elements into two blocks is irreducible.

A partition with a block such that its elements are a set of consecutive points is reducible. The corresponding product of matrices $\{X_j\}$ contains a monomial factor of a matrix that does not appear elsewhere in the product. In this case, as it was described in section 2.3, the expectation of the trace of the product of matrices factorizes in two traces of products and the result may further be reduced. Then a factorizable partition may be reduced to a product of irreducible partitions.

To any product of $2p$ matrices we may associate the set of $2p$ products obtained by a cyclic permutation of the matrices. We call this set the orbit of anyone
product. The size of the orbit is the number of distinct products in the orbit, after relabeling the matrices.

For instance the orbit of the product \( X_1^8 X_2^2 X_3^2 \) has size 12, the orbit of \( X_1^4 X_2^4 X_1^4 X_3^4 \) has size 8, the orbit of \( X_1^{12} \) has size 1.

Let us define the insertion of a partition in a partition. Let us consider the product of 8 matrices \( X_1 X_2^2 X_2^2 X_1 \) corresponding to \( \pi = \{1, 4, 5, 8\} \{2, 3, 6, 7\} \).

The expanded form of the product \( X_1 X_2^2 X_2^2 X_1 \) corresponds to the sequential form \( \{1, 2, 2, 1\} \).

We now want to insert the monomial \( M \) corresponding to an irreducible partition, e.g. \( M = X^2 \) in the case of \( \{1, 2\} \), inside this product. We denote such insertion \( I(M) \). Then the label of all matrices after that place remains unchanged if the matrix also appear in positions before the insertion but are shifted by the number of integers of the distinct matrices of the insertion, otherwise. The labels of the matrices of the insertion are shifted by the number of distinct labels before the insertion. For instance

\[
\begin{align*}
X_1 I(X^2) X_2^2 X_1^2 X_2^2 X_1 & = X_1^2 X_2^2 X_1^2 X_2^2 X_1^2 X_3^2 X_4^2 X_1 \\
X_1 X_2^2 X_1^2 I(X^2) X_2^2 X_1 & = X_1 X_2^2 X_1^2 X_2^2 X_1^2 X_3^2 X_1 \\
X_1 X_2^2 X_1^2 I(X_1^2 X_2^2 X_2^2 X_2^2) X_2^2 X_1 & = X_1 X_2^2 X_1^2 X_3^2 X_3^2 X_2^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 X_2^2 X_1
\end{align*}
\]

(4.1)

### 4.2 The functional transform

We define \( A(X) \) as the sum (finite or infinite) of all irreducible products of \( X_j \) matrices corresponding to possible closed paths, and define \( F(X) \) the sum of all products of \( X_j \) matrices corresponding to possible closed paths. (The notation might be a bit confusing, we have used the symbol \( A \) for the Adjacency block matrix; here it is the sum of products of \( X \)'s, which are considered to be noncommuting variables). Following [48] and [47], one can obtain all partitions inserting all partitions between consecutive elements of the irreducible partitions, or before their first element. The insertion operator allows to obtain in a recursive way the full sum \( F(X) \) from the irreducible products

\[ F(X) = 1 + A(I(F)X) \]  

(4.2)

In this equation\(^5\) every matrix \( X \) in the sum of the irreducible products in \( A(X) \) is replaced by \( I(F)X \). The equation can be used to construct all the par-

\(^4\)The reader familiar with Feynman graphs will notice the analogy of eq.(4.2) to the Dyson equation expressing the two point function in terms of the self-energy, the Bethe Salpeter equation expressing the four-point function in terms of a two-particle irreducible kernel and more generally the relation of connected Green functions to skeleton expansions [46].

\(^5\)On the right side of the equation, the number 1 stands for the Identity matrix. We reserved the notation \( I \) for the insertion operator.
tions with $p$ elements from the irreducible partitions of order less or equal to $p$.

Let us illustrate how one obtains $F$ till order $p = 6$, from the irreducible terms $A = X_2^2 + X_4^4 + X_6^6$. At order 2, $X_2^2 \to I(F)X_1I(F)X_1 = X_1^4$.

So $F = 1 + X_1^4$ at order 2.

At order 4, the replacements

\[
X_1^2 \to I(F)X_1I(F)X_1 = \\
I(X^2)X_1I(1)X_1 + I(1)X_1I(X^2)X_1 = \\
X_1^2 X_2^2 + X_1 X_2^2 X_1
\]

So $F = 1 + X_1^2 + X_1^2 X_2^2 + X_1 X_2^2 X_1 + X_1^4 = 1 + F_2 + F_4$ including order 4.

Next, at order 6, the replacements

\[
X_1^2 \to I(F)X_1I(F)X_1 = \\
I(F_4)X_1I(1)X_1 + I(1)X_1I(F_2)X_1 + I(F_2)X_1I(F_2)X_1 ; \\
I(F_4)X_1^2 = I( X_1^2 X_2^2 + X_1 X_2^2 X_1 + X_1^4 ) X_1^2 = \\
= X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2 + X_1 X_2^2 X_1 X_3^2 + X_1^4 X_2^2 ; \\
X_1I(F_4)X_1 = X_1 I( X_1^2 X_2^2 + X_1 X_2^2 X_1 + X_1^4 ) X_1 = \\
= X_1 X_2^2 X_3^2 X_1 + X_1 X_2 X_3^2 X_2 X_1 + X_1 X_2^2 X_1 X_3^2 ; \\
I(F_2)X_1I(F_2)X_1 = I(X_1^2)X_1I(X_1^2)X_1 = \\
= X_1^2 X_2I(X_1^2)X_2 = X_1^2 X_2 X_3^2 X_2
\]

\[
X_1^4 \to I(F)X_1I(F)X_1I(F)X_1I(F)X_1 = \\
= I(F_2)X_1^4 + X_1I(F_2)X_1^3 + X_1^2 I(F_2)X_1^2 + X_1^3 I(F_2)X_1 = \\
= X_1^2 X_2^2 + X_1 X_2^2 X_1 + X_1^2 X_2^2 X_2 + X_1^3 X_2^2 X_1
\]

\[
X_1^6 \to I(F)X_1I(F)X_1I(F)X_1I(F)X_1I(F)X_1I(F)X_1I(F)X_1I(F)X_1 = X_1^6
\]

$F_6$ is the sum of the 12 terms here obtained. After taking the trace, using the cyclic property and relabeling one has

\[
\text{tr} F_6 = \text{tr} X_1^6 + 6 \text{tr} (X_1^4 X_2^2) + 2 \text{tr} (X_1^2 X_2^2 X_3^2) + 3 \text{tr} (X_1^2 X_2 X_3^2 X_2)
\]

in agreement with the evaluation of $\text{tr} A^6$ in the third line of eq.(7.1).
Furthermore, after expanding the polynomials and by keeping terms up to products of six matrices, one may check that
\[
\frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} F > = 1 + \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} \left( X_1^2 \left( \frac{< \text{tr} F >}{d} \right) \right)^2 + X_1^4 \left( \frac{< \text{tr} F >}{d} \right)^4 + X_1^6 \left( \frac{< \text{tr} F >}{d} \right)^6 >
\]

The reason of this rearrangement is that the matrices inserted with \( I(F) \) in all factors of product of matrices have labels different from any other factor of the irreducible partition, therefore factorize in the expectation. Equation (4.2) then implies the simpler equation
\[
\frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} F > = 1 + \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} A \left( \frac{X < \text{tr} F >}{d} \right) > \tag{4.3}
\]
Let us multiply each block \( X_j \) by the variable \( x \), then, in this example, \( A(xX) = x^2 X_1^2 + x^4 X_1^4 + x^6 X_1^6 \),
\[
a(x) := \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} A(xX) > = x^2 \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} X^2 > + x^4 \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} X^4 > + x^6 \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} X^6 >
\]
\[
f(x) := \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} F(xX) > \text{ solves the simpler equation } \tag{4.4}
\]
\[
f(x) = 1 + a (xf(x))
\]
This equation is known as non-crossing partition transform [43], [48], or it is called the relation between spectral moments and free cumulants [44]. It is also useful to introduce a parameter \( p \), counting the number of blocks of the partition.

\( A(xX, p) \) is the sum of all irreducible products of \( X_j \) matrices corresponding to possible closed paths on trees. Each product in the sum has the factor \( x^{2l} p^h \) if the product has \( 2l \) matrices and \( h \) are distinct.

\[
a(x, p) := \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} A(xX, p) > , \quad f(x, p) := \frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} F(xX, p) > \tag{4.5}
\]
\[
f(x, p) = 1 + a (xf(x, p), p) \tag{4.6}
\]
In the next section, these simple functional equation will be used to obtain the moments of a limiting spectral density for different matrix ensembles. More remarkably, the resulting different models only depend upon the same set of irreducible partitions, the set of closed paths on trees and the probability density to evaluate the expectations.
It is then useful to recall the irreducible products, from eq.(7.1) up to the products of 12 matrices; each of these monomials corresponds to a representative partition of an orbit; the integer coefficient is the size of the orbit.

\[ p\left(X_1^2 + X_1^4 + X_1^6 + X_1^{10} + X_1^{12}\right) \]

\[ p^2\left(2X_1^2X_2^2X_3^2 + 10X_1^4X_2^2X_3^2 + 12X_1^6X_2^2X_3^2 + 12X_1^4X_2^2X_3^2 + 6X_1^4X_2^2X_3^2 + 2X_1^2X_2^2X_3^2X_4^2\right) \]

\[ p^3\left(6X_1^2X_2^2X_3^2X_4^2 + 3X_1^2X_2^2X_3^2X_2^2X_4^2 + 2X_1^2X_2^2X_3^2X_2^2X_4^2\right) \]

(4.7)

We recall that the 2l-th moment of the Adjacency matrix of eq.(1.1)-(1.3), in the \( N \to \infty \) limit, is given by the set of closed walks on tree graphs, associating a factor \( Z = p \) for each distinct edge of a walk. In ref.[47] such set is proved to be isomorphic to \( P_2(2) \), the set of 2-divisible partitions with 2l elements, with the restriction that, given two distinct blocks \( B_i \) and \( B_j \) of a partition, there is an even number of elements of \( B_j \) between any pair of elements of \( B_i \). This isomorphism has been found first in [49], in which this set of partitions, defined in an equivalent way, is called \( SS(2l) \).

Furthermore, the set \( P_2(2) \) has the property that it can be factorized in irreducible partition under non-crossing. Then the generating function \( f \) of the number of partitions with given number of elements is related to the generating function \( a \) of the number of irreducible partitions with given number of elements [43].

It may happen that the generating function \( a(x, p) \) of the irreducible partitions is not known in closed form, but only its truncated Taylor expansion. The eq.(4.6) is then used to evaluate the coefficients of the Taylor expansion of the generating function \( f(x, p) \).

\[ a(x, p) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(p)x^n, \quad f(x, p) := 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n(p)x^n \]

\[ \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n(p)x^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(p)x^n \left(1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} f_m(p)x^m\right) \quad (4.8) \]

By comparing the same power of \( x \), one obtains the coefficients \( f_n(p) \) as functions of the \( a_j(p) \) and viceversa. We display in Table 3 the lowest order relations.
5 Different and related matrix ensembles

Depending on the probability law of the random $d \times d$ random blocks $X_{i,j}$, the functional equations of the previous section may lead to an algebraic equation for the resolvent of the matrix ensemble or they are only useful to express the spectral moments in terms of free cumulants. In all cases they show similarities and differences among different matrix ensembles.

5.1 The elastic springs, matrix block $X_{i,j}$ is a rank-one projector

As it was mentioned in the Introduction, a sparse random block matrix ensemble was proposed for the study of vibrations of amorphous solids [22], [23], [24], [25]. The ensemble of Adjacent matrices has the form of eq.(1.3), the $d \times d$ blocks $X_{i,j}$ are rank-one projectors

$$X_{i,j} = X_{i,j}^t = X_{j,i} = \hat{n}_{ij} \hat{n}_{ij}^t$$

where $\hat{n}_{ij}$ is a $d$-dimensional random vector of unit length, chosen with uniform probability on the $d$-dimensional sphere and $\hat{n}_{ij} \hat{n}_{ij}^t$ is the usual matrix product of a column vector times a row vector originating a rank-one matrix.

It was proved in [24] that the equations for the generating functions of the spectral moments are greatly simplified in the $d \to \infty$ limit, with $t = Z/d$ fixed, then obtaining a cubic equation for the limiting resolvent. The proof in [24] allows the use of the equation (4.6) to obtain the limiting resolvent in few lines. Indeed in this limit, out of the whole set $S_1$ of the closed paths on trees, relevant for finite $d$, only the subset $S_2 \subset S_1$ survive, each one with weight one.

The evaluation of the limiting moments becomes the counting of non-crossing partitions. The sum $A(X, Z)$ of all irreducible products of $X_j$ matrices corresponding to possible closed paths $A(X, Z) = Z \sum_{l \geq 1} (X_1)^{2l}$.

It is easy to see also in this model from Eq. (4.2) one obtains Eq. (4.3), where $F(X, Z)$ depends on $Z$, counting the number of blocks, and on $d$; as shown in [24], taking the average there is a factor $1/d$ for each block of the partition (i.e. for each distinct matrix block $X$), so that the dependence of $F$ on $Z$ and $d$ is through $t = \frac{Z}{d}$. Therefore in Eq.(4.6) one has $p = t = \frac{Z}{d}$.

$$a(x, p) = p \sum_{l \geq 1} x^{2l} = p \frac{x^2}{1 - x^2}$$

$$f(x, p) = 1 + p \frac{x^2 f(x, p)^2}{1 - x^2 f(x, p)^2} \quad \text{(5.1)}$$
This cubic equation was the result of reference [24] for the model of random elastic springs, in the limit $d \to \infty$ with $p = Z/d$ fixed. Very recently A. Dembczak-Kolodziejczik and A. Lytova, by methods of the resolvent, provided an alternative proof of this result and the analogous one for the Laplacian ensembles [27].

The function $f(x, p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{2n} \mu_{2n}$ is a generating function of the moments of the spectral density. The moments are Fuss-Narayana polynomials

$$\mu_{2n} = \sum_{b=1}^{n} \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{n - 1}{b - 1} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 2n \\ b - 1 \end{array} \right) p^b$$

The equivalent cubic equation for the resolvent was obtained by Semerjian and Cugliandolo long ago [26] as an approximation, called the Effective Medium Approximation, on the replica formalism for a different matrix ensemble. It was also obtained by Slanina with the cavity method [28]. Very recently different methods of obtaining the spectral density ensembles of sparse real symmetric random matrices have been reviewed [29].

The spectral density defined by the generating function $f(x, p)$ is a member, $\pi_{2,t}$, of a remarkable family of measures, called Free Bessel laws [30]. The authors discussed the combinatorial relevance of these measures and the relation of $\pi_{2,t}$ to non-crossing partitions where all blocks have an even number of elements. It was not shown a random matrix ensemble related to this spectral density.

### 5.2 Matrix block $X_{i,j}$ is element of GOE ensemble, $d$ finite

In sections 2.2 and 2.3 we summarized rules to evaluate expectations of products of block matrices $X_j \in$ GOE, for finite $d$. The products in which we are interested are the terms in eq.(7.1) contributing to the spectral moments in the limit $N \to \infty$. The spectral moments of low order are reported in eq.(7.2).

Here we test the usefulness of the functional transform in a case where the generating function of irreducible partitions $a(x, p)$ is not known in closed form, but merely its truncated Taylor expansion.

Up to the product of 12 block matrices, the irreducible terms are listed in eq.(4.7). The expectation of the trace of the monomial powers are evaluated by
the recursion relation [39]

\[
\frac{p}{d} < \text{tr} \left( x^2 X_1^2 + x^4 X_1^4 + x^6 X_1^6 + x^8 X_1^8 + x^{10} X_1^{10} + x^{12} X_1^{12} \right) = \\
p \left( \sigma^2 x^2 (d + 1) + \sigma^4 x^4 (2d^2 + 5d + 5) + \sigma^6 x^6 (5d^3 + 22d^2 + 52d + 41) + \right.
\sigma^8 x^8 (14d^4 + 93d^3 + 374d^2 + 690d + 509) + \\
\sigma^{10} x^{10} (42d^5 + 386d^4 + 2290d^3 + 7150d^2 + 12143d + 8229) + \\
\sigma^{12} x^{12} (132d^6 + 1586d^5 + 12798d^4 + 58760d^3 + 167148d^2 + 258479d + 166377) \right)
\]

Other terms are reduced to evaluation of pure powers, by Wick contractions of the \( X_2 \) fields. For instance

\[
\frac{1}{d} < \text{tr} (X_1)^{2n} X_2^2 X_1^2 X_2^2 = \\
\sigma^4 \left( (d^2 + 3d + 5) < \text{tr} (X)^{2n+2} > + \sigma^2 (d + 2) (d^2 + d + 4n) < \text{tr} (X)^{2n} > \right)
\]

The remaining irreducible terms, up to order 12 are also evaluated by graphic methods. It is easy to use the evaluated irreducible terms \( a_j \) in the series (4.8) and Table 4 to evaluate the moments and verify Table 2 or extend it to higher orders. Indeed, in this ensemble, both free cumulants and spectral moments of odd order are zero. Then, for example

\[
f_8 = a_8 + 8a_2 a_6 + 4a_4^2 + 28a_2^2 a_4 + 14a_4^4
\]

This subsection shows the the functional transform may be convenient even for finite \( d \).

### 5.3 Matrix block \( X_{i,j} \) is element of GOE or GUE ensemble, \( d \) infinite

To evaluate the spectral moments in the limit \( d \to \infty \) one chooses the variance \( \sigma^2 = 1/d \) in eq.(2.4).

As is well known, the leading order expectations is the same for random matrices in the GOE or in the GUE ensembles.
Expectations of trace of products of random matrices in the $d \to \infty$ limit are simpler than for finite $d$.

We report here our evaluation of the generating function of irreducible terms, $a(x, Z)$, in the $d \to \infty$ limit, up to order 22.

$$a(x, Z) = Zx^2 + 2Zx^4 + 5Zx^6 + (14Z + 6Z^2)x^8 + (42Z + 70Z^2)x^{10} + (132Z + 552Z^2 + 50Z^3)x^{12} + (429Z + 3696Z^2 + 1204Z^3)x^{14} + (1430Z + 22710Z^2 + 17272Z^3 + 618Z^4)x^{16} + (4862Z + 132726Z^2 + 193289Z^3 + 23808Z^4)x^{18} + (16796Z + 752186Z^2 + 1869200Z^3 + 9606Z^4)x^{20} + (58786Z + 4181034Z^2 + 16446826Z^3 + 8459308Z^4 + 524040Z^5)x^{22}$$

This truncated generating function and the perturbative functional transform lead to the spectral moments up to $\mu_{22}$, reported in eq.(3.4). The values of the moments $\mu_k$ up to $\mu_{12}$ were independently computed from the table of moments in the Appendix, eq.(7.1).

It is interesting to compare these moments with a work by Z.D. Bai, B. Miao, B. Jin, [41]. The authors study an ensemble of random matrices, each being the product of two random matrices, $S_n W_n$, where $S_n = \frac{1}{n}X_n X_n^\dagger$, $X_n$ is a rectangular $p \times n$ matrix with complex i.i.d. entries, $W_n$ is a Wigner matrix. The authors prove that in the limit $n \to \infty, p \to \infty, p/n = y$ finite, the spectral distribution of the random ensemble $S_n W_n$ converges to a non-random density function, eq.(1.6) of their paper, and the spectral moments converge to the non-random moments $\beta_k$ we reproduced in Table 4 in the Appendix.

Let us show that $Z \beta_k(y = Z)$ is equal to an approximation of the moment $\mu_k$ of the Adjacency block matrix model in the limit $d \to \infty$, considered in this paragraph, where the approximation consists in considering only walks whose sequence of steps correspond to noncrossing partitions, i.e. considering only partitions belonging to $NC^{(2)}(h)$, instead of those in $P_2^{(2)}(h)$. The generating function of the irreducible terms of the latter model is the series of the power monomials, and the expectations are the limiting, $d \to \infty$, values for GOE or GUE ensembles. Let us use $p = Z$ in the following.

$$a(x, p) = \lim_{d \to \infty} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{p}{d} < \text{tr} X^{2k} > x^{2k} = p \sum_{k \geq 1} x^{2k} \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k} = p \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x^2} \right)$$

This closed form may be used in eq.(4.6) to obtain the generating function.
\[ f(x,p) = 1 + p \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x^2 f^2(x,p)} \right) - 1 \] 

or

\[ x^2 f^2(x,p) \left( f(x,p) + p - 1 \right)^2 - pf(x,p) + p = 0 \] (5.2)

Changing variables \( f = 1 + pzg \), one gets

\[ g(z) = \mathcal{A}(zg(z)) \]

\[ \mathcal{A}(z) = (1 + pz)^2(1 + z)^2 \] (5.3)

where \( z = x^2 \). From Lagrange inversion formula,

\[ \beta_{2n} = [z^{n-1}]g(z) = \frac{1}{n} [z^{n-1}]\mathcal{A}(z)^n = \frac{1}{n} [z^{n-1}][(1 + pz)^2(1 + z)^2]^n \]

\[ = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^i \binom{2n}{i} \binom{2n}{n - 1 - i} \]

With \( k = 2n \), \( y = p \) and \( i = j + 1 \) one obtains Eq. (7.5).

The generating function \( f(x,p) \) of the spectral moments is a solution of the quartic equation (5.2). We reproduce in Table 4 the spectral moments and compare them with the ones in eq.(3.4). In the latter case, the spectral moment \( \mu_{2l} \) is a polynomial \( \mu_{2l} = \sum_{k=1}^{l} b_{k}^{(2l)} z^k \), the lowest coefficient \( b_{1}^{(2l)} \) and the two highest ones \( b_{l-1}^{(2l)}, b_{2l}^{(2l)} \) are the same of the corresponding ones in Table 3 whereas the remaining \( b_{k}^{(2l)} \) are bigger integers than the analogous ones in Table 4 because the latter ones have contributions only from closed paths in \( S_2 \).

### 6 Conclusions

The moments of spectral density of sparse random block matrices, with the architecture of the Erdős-Renyi random graph, are analytically studied in two steps. In the first step we list the products of blocks pertinent to the limiting spectral moments, up to \( \mu_{12} \) for any probability distribution of the i.i.d. blocks \( X_{i,j} \), random matrices of finite or infinite dimensions. In the second step, we evaluate the expectations of the products, for several probability laws of the i.i.d. blocks \( X_{i,j} \), GOE for \( d \) finite, GOE or GUE for \( d \) infinite. With limited effort, our list may be adapted to the evaluation of sparse random
block matrices with the architecture of a random regular graph.

Three sets of set partitions $S_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, defined in Sect.2, correspond to sets of closed walks on trees of the weighted graph which add up to the spectral moments. The relevant set $S_j$ depends on the probability law chosen for the blocks and further limits of the parameters.

We do not study here the well known set $S_3$, $S_3 \subset S_2 \subset S_1$, of the Wigner closed paths. Two examples are provided where the relevant set is $S_2$. It corresponds to the non-crossing partitions. In this case, it is possible to evaluate all the moments and the spectral density, with help of the functional transform.

For other important probability laws of the blocks and limiting values of the parameters, the moments are evaluated by the largest set $S_1$. Then it is possible to evaluate the spectral moments at high order, but we know no example where the spectral density was evaluated.

7 Appendix

Table 1. The weighted closed paths on trees relevant to the limiting moments (that is $N \to \infty$) of the Adjacency matrix.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N} \text{tr} A^2 &= Z \text{tr} (X_1)^2 \\
\frac{1}{N} \text{tr} A^4 &= Z \text{tr} (X_1)^4 + Z^2 2 \text{tr} (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 \\
\frac{1}{N} \text{tr} A^6 &= Z \text{tr} (X_1)^6 + Z^2 6 \text{tr} (X_1)^4 (X_2)^2 + Z^3 \text{tr} \left[ 2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 + 3 (X_1)^2 X_2 (X_3)^2 X_2 \right] \\
\frac{1}{N} \text{tr} A^8 &= Z \text{tr} (X_1)^8 + Z^2 \text{tr} \left[ 8 (X_1)^6 (X_2)^2 + 4 (X_1)^4 (X_2)^4 + 2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 \right] + \\
&\quad + Z^3 \text{tr} \left[ 8 (X_1)^4 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 + 8 (X_1)^4 X_2 (X_3)^2 X_2 + 8 (X_1)^3 (X_2)^2 X_1 (X_3)^2 + 4 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_3)^2 \right] + \\
&\quad + Z^4 \text{tr} \left[ 8 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 X_3 (X_4)^2 X_3 + 4 (X_1)^2 X_2 X_3 (X_4)^2 X_3 X_2 + 2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 \right] \\
\frac{1}{N} \text{tr} A^{10} &= Z \text{tr} (X_1)^{10} + Z^2 \text{tr} 10 \left[ (X_1)^8 (X_2)^2 + (X_1)^6 (X_2)^4 + (X_1)^4 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 \right] +
\end{align*}
\]
\[ Z^3 \text{tr} \left[ 10 (X_1)^6 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 + 10 (X_1)^5 X_2 (X_3)^2 X_2 + 10 (X_1)^5 (X_2)^2 X_1 (X_3)^2 + \\
\quad + 10 (X_1)^4 (X_2)^3 (X_3)^2 + 10 (X_1)^4 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_3)^2 + 10 (X_1)^3 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_2)^2 + \\
\quad + 10 (X_1)^3 (X_2)^3 (X_3)^2 X_2 + 10 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^4 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^3 + 10 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 + \\
\quad + 10 (X_1)^3 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_2) X_2 (X_3)^3 + 10 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^3 + 10 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^3 \\
\quad + 10 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_2) X_2 (X_3)^2 + 10 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 \\
\quad + (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 \right] \\
\quad + Z^4 \text{tr} \left[ 10 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + 5 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 + 5 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 + \\
\quad + 5 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + 5 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 + \\
\quad + 5 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + 5 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 + \\
\quad + (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 (X_6)^2 \right] \\
\quad + Z^5 \text{tr} \left[ 2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + 2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 + 2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 + \\
\quad + 2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + 2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 + \\
\quad + 2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + 2 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 + \\
\quad + (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 (X_6)^2 \right] \\
\quad + Z^6 \text{tr} \left[ (X_1)^6 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 (X_6)^2 + (X_1)^5 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 (X_6)^2 + \\
\quad + (X_1)^4 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 (X_6)^2 + (X_1)^3 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 (X_6)^2 + \\
\quad + (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 (X_6)^2 + (X_1)^1 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 (X_6)^2 + \\
\quad + (X_1)^0 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 (X_6)^2 \right] \\
\quad + 1 \over N \text{tr} A^{12} = Z \text{tr} (X_1)^{12} + Z^2 \text{tr} 2 \left[ 6 (X_1)^{10} (X_2)^2 + 6 (X_1)^8 (X_2)^4 + 3 (X_1)^6 (X_2)^6 + \\
\quad + 6 (X_1)^4 (X_2)^4 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + 6 (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + \\
\quad + (X_1)^0 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 (X_6)^2 \right] \\
\quad + Z^3 \text{tr} \left[ 12 \left[ (X_1)^6 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + (X_1)^5 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 \\
\quad + (X_1)^4 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + (X_1)^3 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + \\
\quad + (X_1)^2 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + (X_1)^1 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 + \\
\quad + (X_1)^0 (X_2)^2 (X_3)^2 (X_4)^2 (X_5)^2 \right] \\
\quad + Z^4 \text{tr} \left[ 12 \left[ X_1^6 X_2^2 X_3^2 X_4^2 X_5^2 X_6^2 + X_1^5 X_2 X_3^2 X_4^2 X_5^2 + X_1^4 X_2 X_3 X_4^2 X_5^2 + X_1^3 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5^2 + X_1^2 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6^2 + \\
\quad + X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 \right] \right] \\
\quad + Z^5 \text{tr} \left[ 12 \left[ X_1^6 X_2^2 X_3^2 X_4^2 X_5^2 X_6^2 + X_1^5 X_2 X_3^2 X_4^2 X_5^2 + X_1^4 X_2 X_3 X_4^2 X_5^2 + X_1^3 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5^2 + X_1^2 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6^2 + \\
\quad + X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 \right] \right] \\
\quad + Z^6 \text{tr} \left[ 12 \left[ X_1^6 X_2^2 X_3^2 X_4^2 X_5^2 X_6^2 + X_1^5 X_2 X_3^2 X_4^2 X_5^2 + X_1^4 X_2 X_3 X_4^2 X_5^2 + X_1^3 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5^2 + X_1^2 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6^2 + \\
\quad + X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 \right] \right] \]
random block matrices $X_j$ are i.i.d., and the architecture of the Adjacency matrix corresponds to the Erdős-Renyi random graph, with average degree $Z$.

Actually, it is possible to obtain also the limiting spectral moments of real symmetric random block matrices $N d \times N d$, where the random $d \times d$ real symmetric blocks are i.i.d., and the architecture of the Adjacency matrix corresponds to regular random graphs, with fixed degree $Z$. This requires only the determination of the $Z$-dependent weight, as we show with one example.

**Erdős-Renyi random graph:**

$$\frac{1}{N} tr A^8 = Z tr X^8 + Z^2 tr \left[ 8 X^6_1 X^2_2 + 4 X^4_1 X^4_2 + 2 X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 \right] +$$

$$+ Z^3 tr \left[ 8 X^4_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 + 8 X^4_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_2 + 8 X^3_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 + \right.$$

$$\left. + 4 X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_1 \right] +$$

$$+ Z^4 tr \left[ 8 X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 X^2_3 + 4 X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 X^2_1 + 2 X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 \right]$$

**Random regular graph:**

$$\frac{1}{N} tr A^8 = Z tr X^8 + Z(Z-1) tr \left( 8 X^6_1 X^2_2 + 4 X^4_1 X^4_2 + 2 X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 \right) +$$

$$Z(Z-1)(Z-2) tr \left( 8 X^4_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 + 4 X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 \right) +$$

$$Z(Z-1)^2 tr \left( 8 X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 + 8 X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 \right) +$$

$$Z(Z-1)^2(Z-2) tr X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 X^2_3 + Z(Z-1)^3 4 tr X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 X^2_3 X^2_4 X^2_2$$

$$Z(Z-1)(Z-2)(Z-3) 2 tr X^2_1 X^2_2 X^2_3 X^2_4 X^2_3 X^2_4$$
Table 2. Limiting moments (that is $N \to \infty$) of the Adjacency matrix, evaluation of the averages for finite $d$.

\[
\frac{1}{Nd} < \text{tr } A^2 > = Z(d + 1) \sigma^2
\]
\[
\frac{1}{Nd} < \text{tr } A^4 > = \left[ Z(2d^2 + 5d + 5) + Z^2(2d + 1)^2 \right] \sigma^4
\]
\[
\frac{1}{Nd} < \text{tr } A^6 > = \left[ Z(5d^3 + 22d^2 + 52d + 41) + Z^2(6d + 1)(2d^2 + 5d + 5) + Z^3(5d + 1)^3 \right] \sigma^6
\]
\[
\frac{1}{Nd} < \text{tr } A^8 > = \left[ Z(14d^4 + 93d^3 + 374d^2 + 690d + 509) + Z^2[8(d + 1)(5d^3 + 22d^2 + 52d + 41) + \\
+ 4(2d^2 + 5d + 5)^2 + 6(d^4 + 5d^3 + 13d^2 + 18d + 11)] + \\
+ Z^328(d + 1)^2(2d^2 + 5d + 5) + Z^414(d + 1)^4 \right] \sigma^8
\]

(7.2)

Table 3. Spectral moments $f_n$ in terms of free cumulants $a_n$.

\[
f_1 = a_1
\]
\[
f_2 = a_2 + a_1^2
\]
\[
f_3 = a_3 + 3a_1a_2 + a_1^3
\]
\[
f_4 = a_4 + 4a_1a_3 + 2a_2^2 + 6a_1^2a_2 + a_1^4
\]
\[
f_5 = a_5 + 5a_1a_4 + 5a_2a_3 + 10a_1^2a_3 + 10a_1a_2^2 + 10a_1^3a_2 + a_1^5
\]
\[
f_6 = a_6 + 6a_1a_5 + 6a_2a_4 + 15a_1^2a_4 + 3a_2^2 + 30a_1a_2a_3 + 20a_1^3a_3 + 5a_2^3 + 30a_1^2a_2^2 + 15a_1^4a_2 + a_1^6
\]
\[
f_7 = a_7 + 7a_1a_6 + 7a_2a_5 + 21a_1^2a_5 + 7a_3a_4 + 42a_1a_2a_4 + 35a_1^3a_4 + 21a_1a_3^2 + 21a_2a_3^2 + \\
105a_1^2a_2a_3 + 35a_1^4a_3 + 35a_1a_2a_4^2 + 70a_1^3a_2^2 + 21a_1^5a_2 + a_1^7
\]
\[
f_8 = a_8 + 8a_1a_7 + 8a_2a_6 + 28a_1^2a_6 + 8a_3a_5 + 56a_1a_2a_5 + 56a_1^2a_5 + 4a_2^3 + 56a_1a_3a_4 + 28a_2a_4 + \\
168a_1^2a_2a_4 + 70a_1a_3^2 + 28a_2a_3^2 + 84a_1^2a_2^2 + 168a_1a_2a_4 + 28a_3a_2a_3 + 280a_1^3a_2a_3 + 56a_1^2a_3 + 14a_4^2 + \\
140a_1^2a_2^3 + 140a_1^4a_2^2 + 28a_1^6a_2 + a_1^8
\]

\[
f_n = a_n + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \left( \sum_{Q_k} a_{q_1}a_{q_2}..a_{q_k} \right) \]

(7.3)
where $Q_k$ is the set of integers:

$$Q_k = \left\{ (q_1, \ldots, q_k) \in N^k \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i = n \right. \right\}$$

Notice that, if all $a_j = 1$ then $f_n = C_n = \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{2n}{n-1} \right)$.

The inverse relation, irreducible elements $a_j$ in term of spectral moments is the following.

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= f_1 \\
a_2 &= f_2 - f_1^2 \\
a_3 &= f_3 - 3f_1 f_2 + 2f_1^3 \\
a_4 &= f_4 - 4f_1 f_3 + 10f_1^2 f_2 - 2f_2^2 - 5f_1^4 \\
a_5 &= f_5 - 5f_1 f_4 - 5f_2 f_3 + 15f_1^2 f_3 + 15f_1 f_2^2 - 35f_1^3 f_2 + 14f_1^5 \\
a_6 &= f_6 - 6f_1 f_5 - 6f_2 f_4 + 21f_1^2 f_4 - 3f_3^2 + 42f_1 f_2 f_3 - 56f_1^3 f_3 + 7f_2^3 - 84f_1^2 f_2^2 + 126f_1^4 f_2 - 42f_1^6 \\
a_7 &= f_7 - 7f_1 f_6 - 7f_2 f_5 - 7f_3 f_4 + 28f_1^2 f_5 + 56f_1 f_2 f_4 - 84f_1^4 f_4 + 28f_1 f_2^3 + 28f_2^2 f_3 - 252f_1^2 f_2 f_3 + 210f_1^4 f_3 - 84f_1 f_3^3 + 420f_1^3 f_2^2 - 462f_1^5 f_2 + 132f_1^7 \\
a_8 &= f_8 - 8f_1 f_7 - 8f_2 f_6 - 8f_3 f_5 + 36f_1^2 f_6 + 72f_1 f_2 f_5 - 120f_1^3 f_5 - 4f_2^4 + 72f_1 f_3 f_4 + 36f_2^2 f_4 - 360f_1^2 f_2 f_4 + 330f_1^4 f_4 + 36f_2^2 f_3^2 - 180f_1^2 f_2^2 - 360f_1 f_2 f_3^2 + 1320f_1^3 f_2 f_3 - 792f_1^5 f_2 f_3 - 30f_2^4 + 660f_1^3 f_2^3 - 1980f_1^4 f_2^2 + 1716f_1^6 f_2 - 429f_1^8 \\
a_n &= f_n + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \left( \frac{n+k-2}{k-1} \right) \sum_{Q_k} f_{q_1} f_{q_2} \ldots f_{q_{k}} 
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (7.3), (7.4) appear in [50]. Summations over the set of non-crossing partitions are in [44], [45].

Table 4. Limiting Moments of the product of two random matrices [41].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta_j$</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_2$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_4$</td>
<td>$2 + 2y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_6$</td>
<td>$5 + 12y + 5y^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_8$</td>
<td>$14 + 56y + 56y^2 + 14y^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{10}$</td>
<td>$42 + 240y + 405y^2 + 240y^3 + 42y^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{12}$</td>
<td>$132 + 990y + 2420y^2 + 2420y^3 + 990y^4 + 132y^5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ \beta_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{k/2} \binom{k}{j-1} \binom{k}{k/2-j} 2^j y^{j-1} & \text{if } k \text{ is even}, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \] (7.5)
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