

# Variational approach to the Schrödinger equation with a delta-function potential

Francisco M. Fernández\*  
INIFTA, DQT, Sucursal 4, C.C 16,  
1900 La Plata, Argentina

## Abstract

We obtain accurate eigenvalues of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with a Hamiltonian of the form  $H_g = H + g\delta(x)$ , where  $\delta(x)$  is the Dirac delta function. We show that the well known Rayleigh-Ritz variational method is a suitable approach provided that the basis set takes into account the effect of the Dirac delta on the wavefunction.

## 1 Introduction

A quantum-mechanical Hamiltonian operator  $H$  perturbed by a delta-function potential  $g\delta(x)$  has received considerable attention [1–13]. In most cases  $H$  describes a free particle [1], a particle in a box [1–4] or the harmonic oscillator [1, 5–13]. Since in these cases the Schrödinger equation for  $H$  is exactly solvable one can obtain closed form expressions for the solutions to the Schrödinger equation for  $H_g = H + g\delta(x)$  in several different ways. For example, from the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $H$  [1, 8], by solving the eigenvalue equation left and right of the origin and matching those solutions at  $x = 0$  [2, 3, 5, 9, 10] or by means of the Green function [11, 12]. In some cases the authors resorted

---

\*fernande@quimica.unlp.edu.ar

to this kind of models to illustrate the application of approximate methods like perturbation theory [4, 9], WKM method [9], or variational approaches [9, 13]. Several such proposals have proved of pedagogical interest [1–4, 9, 10, 13] and a student may inquire about the possibility of solving examples in which the Schrödinger equation for  $H$  is not exactly solvable. The purpose of this paper is to address this point.

In most undergraduate courses on quantum mechanics and quantum chemistry the students become familiar with approximate methods like perturbation theory or variational techniques. For example, the Rayleigh-Ritz variational method is particularly useful in atomic and molecular physics [14]. Here, we show how to choose a suitable basis set that takes into account the effect of the Dirac-delta-function potential. In section 2 we outline the model and some of the properties of the Schrödinger equation. In section 3 we illustrate the application of the Rayleigh-Ritz variational method to a family of polynomial potentials. Finally, in section 4 we summarize the main results and draw conclusions.

## 2 The model

In what follows we restrict ourselves to the dimensionless Schrödinger equation [15]

$$\psi''(x) = 2[V(x) + g\delta(x) - E]\psi(x), \quad (1)$$

where  $\delta(x)$  is the Dirac delta function. The delta-function potential determines the well known behaviour of the wavefunction at origin

$$\psi(0^-) = \psi(0^+) = \psi(0), \quad \psi'(0^+) - \psi'(0^-) = 2g\psi(0). \quad (2)$$

According to the Hellmann-Feynman theorem [16, 17] every energy eigenvalue increases with the strength parameter of the delta potential as

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial g} = |\psi(0)|^2, \quad \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1. \quad (3)$$

If the potential-energy function is parity invariant ( $V(-x) = V(x)$ ) then the eigenfunctions are either even ( $\psi_e(-x) = \psi_e(x)$ ) or odd ( $\psi_o(-x) = -\psi_o(x)$ ) and

the Hellmann-Feynman theorem tells us that the energies of the latter states do not change with  $g$  because  $\psi_o(0) = 0$ . In other words, the odd states are solutions to equation (1) with  $g = 0$  which is consistent with the fact that  $\psi'_o(x)$  is continuous at origin according to equation (2). The behaviour of the even states at origin becomes

$$\psi'(0^+) = g\psi(0), \quad (4)$$

and throughout this paper we consider that the wavefunction also satisfies  $\psi(x \rightarrow \pm\infty) = 0$ .

When  $|g|$  is sufficient small we can apply perturbation theory and obtain an expansion of the form

$$E_n(g) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E_n^{(j)} g^j, \quad (5)$$

where the coefficients  $E_n^{(j)}$  can be obtained in closed form provided that the eigenvalue equation for  $H_0 = H$  is exactly solvable. Examples are given by the particle in a box [4] and the harmonic oscillator [9].

On the other hand, when  $|g| \rightarrow \infty$  equation (4) yields  $\psi(0) = 0$  and the solutions are the odd-parity states of  $H$ . An exception is the ground state when  $g \rightarrow -\infty$  [9, 10]. We will discuss this point with more detail in the examples studied in section 3. Here, we just mention that there is a critical value  $g_0$  such that  $E_0(g) > 0$  if  $g > g_0$  and  $E_0(g) < 0$  if  $g < g_0$ .

### 3 The Rayleigh-Ritz approach

In order to apply the Rayleigh-Ritz variational method to the Schrödinger equation  $H\psi = E\psi$  we choose a suitable basis set  $\{\varphi_j, j = 0, 1, \dots\}$  and construct the trial function

$$\varphi = \sum_{j=0}^N c_j \varphi_j. \quad (6)$$

Then we obtain the minimum of the variational integral

$$W = \frac{\langle \varphi | H | \varphi \rangle}{\langle \varphi | \varphi \rangle}, \quad (7)$$

with respect to the expansion coefficients  $c_j$

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial c_j} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N. \quad (8)$$

This approach is well described in many textbooks [14] so that we will only show the results here. The expansion coefficients  $c_j$  are solutions to the *secular equation*

$$\sum_{j=0}^N (H_{ij} - W S_{ij}) c_j = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad (9)$$

$$H_{ij} = \langle \varphi_i | H | \varphi_j \rangle, \quad S_{ij} = \langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle,$$

and there are nontrivial solutions only for those values of  $W$  that are roots of the *secular determinant*

$$|\mathbf{H} - W\mathbf{S}| = 0, \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathbf{H}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  are  $(N+1) \times (N+1)$  matrices with elements  $H_{ij}$  and  $S_{ij}$ , respectively. These roots  $W_j^{[N]}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$ , are real and satisfy  $W_j^{[N]} \geq W_j^{[N+1]} \geq E_j$ , where  $E_j$  is an eigenvalue of  $H$  [14].

A suitable basis set for the class of polynomial potentials  $V(x)$  discussed here is given by the Gaussian functions

$$\varphi_j = x^j \exp\left(-\frac{ax^2}{2}\right), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \quad a > 0. \quad (11)$$

However, if we require the trial function to satisfy (4) at origin then a more convenient basis set is

$$u_1(x) = (1 + gx) \exp\left(-\frac{ax^2}{2}\right), \quad u_j = x^j \exp\left(-\frac{ax^2}{2}\right), \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, \quad x > 0, \quad (12)$$

and the trial function now reads

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j u_j(x), \quad x > 0. \quad (13)$$

For the application of the Rayleigh-Ritz variational method outlined above to present models we resort to the scalar product

$$\langle F | G \rangle = \int_0^\infty F(x)^* G(x) dx, \quad (14)$$

because it is only necessary to take into account half the coordinate space, for example,  $0 \leq x < \infty$ , when  $V(x)$  is parity invariant. For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to monomial potentials of the form

$$V(x) = A|x|^b, \quad A, b > 0, \quad (15)$$

so that all the integrals appearing in **H** and **S** are of the form

$$\int_0^\infty x^s \exp\left(-\frac{ax^2}{2}\right) dx = 2^{(s-1)/2} a^{-(s+1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right), \quad (16)$$

where  $\Gamma(z)$  is the gamma function.

In order to test the approach we first choose the harmonic oscillator

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2, \quad (17)$$

because there are simple transcendental equations for its eigenvalues [1, 5, 6, 9–11]. Tables 1 and 2 show that the Rayleigh-Ritz variational results converge from above to the exact eigenvalues when  $g = \pm 1$ . For simplicity, we have chosen  $a = 1$  because it yields the correct asymptotic behaviour of  $\varphi(x)$  at infinity. The results of these tables strongly suggest that present approach is sound, at least for moderate values of  $|g|$ .

Tables 3 and 4 show results for the anharmonic oscillator with  $V(x) = x^4$  and  $g = \pm 1$ . Since  $x^4 \gg x^2$  for  $x \gg 1$  we expect the eigenfunctions of the anharmonic oscillator to vanish asymptotically more rapidly; consequently, in this case we arbitrarily chose  $a = 2$  to take into account this fact. It would be better to obtain the optimal value of  $a$  variationally but it would make the calculation rather more involved. Although in this case we do not have exact results for comparison, we are confident about the accuracy of the results because the roots of the secular equation clearly converge to a limit from above.

As a final example we choose  $V(x) = |x|^3$ . Tables 5 and 6 show that the convergence of the variational results for  $g = \pm 1$  is satisfactory when  $a = 2$ . The roots of the secular determinant converge from above and the accuracy of the results is expected to be of the order of the last stable digit.

The Rayleigh-Ritz variational method yields accurate results also for large  $|g|$ , the only exception being the ground state when  $g \rightarrow -\infty$ . We can estimate

this energy eigenvalue by means of perturbation theory if we choose  $V(x)$  to be the perturbation. In fact, by means of a simple scaling argument [15] we can easily prove that

$$E_0(g) = -\frac{|g|^2}{2} + \Gamma(b+1)A|g|^{-b} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} e_j A^j |g|^{-(b+2)j+2}, \quad (18)$$

for the family of potentials in equation (15).

Figure 1 shows that present Rayleigh-Ritz variational results (with  $N = 17$ ) agree with the perturbation expression

$$E_0(g) \approx -\frac{g^2}{2} + \frac{1}{g^2}, \quad (19)$$

for the harmonic oscillator (17) for moderately large values of  $|g|$ . Larger values of  $|g|$  will require larger values of  $N$  in order to obtain results of similar accuracy.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that the Rayleigh-Ritz variational method is suitable for the calculation of the critical values  $g_0$  mentioned at the end of section 2. We simply set  $W = 0$  and solve the secular determinant (10) for  $g$ . We thus obtain  $g_0^H = -0.6759782401$ ,  $g_0^Q = -0.7515940253$  and  $g_0^C = -0.7651281365$  for the harmonic, quadratic and cubic potentials, respectively. The result for the harmonic oscillator agrees with the one predicted by the exact analytical expression for the eigenvalues [1, 8].

## 4 Conclusions

The results of this paper clearly show that the Rayleigh-Ritz variational method is a suitable tool for the treatment of the Schrödinger equation perturbed by a Dirac-delta-function potential provided that the trial function exhibits the correct behaviour at origin (or, in general, at the location of the delta function). This behaviour can be easily introduced in the basis set, at least for even-parity potentials. We have illustrated the application of the approach by means of three monomial potentials and a similar calculation for polynomial potentials is straightforward. The basis set chosen is suitable for moderate values of  $|g|$  as suggested by the remarkable rate of convergence shown in tables 1-6. For large,

positive values of  $g$  the performance of the variational method is similar because  $\psi(0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $g \rightarrow \infty$ . The only difficulty may be found for the ground state when  $g \ll -1$  because the wavefunction is expected to behave asymptotically as  $\psi(x) \sim \exp(-g|x|)$ . In this case it is required a large basis set of Gaussian functions or a more convenient set of functions. However, for most purposes the approach proposed here is sound.

The variational method proposed by Patil [9] and improved by Ghose and Sen [13] can also be applied to the models discussed above in the preceding section. However, this approach, based on just one trial function with adjustable parameters, only applies to the ground state. On the other hand, the Rayleigh-Ritz method outlined in this paper yields estimates for all the eigenvalues with the advantage that we can monitor the accuracy of the results because the roots of the secular determinant converge to the actual eigenvalues from above.

The application of the Rayleigh-Ritz variational method to problems of physical interest commonly requires resorting to suitable computer software for the calculation of the approximate eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. In our opinion, this is a good opportunity for introducing the students to any of the available computer-algebra software that enable one to calculate the integrals in the matrix elements and provide algorithms for the solution of the secular equations.

## References

- [1] D A. Atkinson and H. W. Crater, "An exact treatment of the Dirac delta function potential in the Schrödinger equation", Am. J. Phys. **43**, 301-304 (1975).
- [2] R. L. Lapidus, "One-dimensional hydrogen atom in an infinite square well", Am. J. Phys. **50**, 563-564 (1982).
- [3] A Rabinovitch, "Negative energy states of an inverted delta potential: Influence of boundary conditions", Am. J. Phys. **53**, 768-773 (1985).

- [4] R. L. Lapidus, "Particle in a square well with a  $\delta$ -function perturbation", Am. J. Phys. **55**, 172-173 (1987).
- [5] M. P. Avakian, G. S. Pogosyan, A. N. Sissakian, and V. M. Ter-Antonyan, "Spectroscopy of a singular linear oscillator", Phys. Lett. A **124**, 233-236 (1987).
- [6] S. Fassari and G. Inglese, "On the spectrum of the harmonic oscillator with a  $\delta$ -type perturbation", Helv. Phys. Acta **67**, 650-659 (1994).
- [7] S. Fassari and G. Inglese, "Spectroscopy of a three-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator with a  $\delta$ -type perturbation", Helv. Phys. Acta **69**, 130-140 (1996).
- [8] T. Busch, B.-G. Englert, K. Razazewski, and M. Wilkens, "Two cold atoms in a harmonic trap", Found. Phys. **28**, 549-559 (1998).
- [9] S. H. Patil, "Harmonic oscillator with a  $\delta$ -function potential", Eur. J. Phys. **27**, 899-911 (2006).
- [10] J. Viana-Gomes and N. M. R. Peres, "Solution of the quantum harmonic oscillator plus a delta-function potential at the origin: the oddness of its even-parity solutions", Eur. J. Phys. **32**, 1377-1384 (2011).
- [11] N. Ferkous and T. Boudjedaa, "Bound states energies of a harmonic oscillator perturbed by point interactions", Commun. Theor. Phys. **67**, 241-249 (2017).
- [12] C.-K. Chua, Y.-T. Liu, and G.-G. Wong, "Time-independent Green's function of a quantum simple harmonic oscillator system and solutions with additional generic delta-function potentials", J. Phys. Commun. **2**, 035007 (2018).
- [13] I. Ghose and P. Sen, "Variational method applied to the harmonic oscillator in presence of a delta function potential", arXiv:2012.00559 [quant-ph].

Table 1: Eigenvalues for  $V(x) = x^2/2$  and  $g = 1$

$N$	$W_0$	$W_1$	$W_2$	$W_3$	$W_4$
2	0.8934625502	2.760032981			
3	0.8927956113	2.754728061	4.892590011		
4	0.8927481911	2.754644627	4.700407469	7.555272621	
5	0.8927444033	2.754641685	4.700197637	6.696400420	11.04476365
6	0.8927440777	2.754641542	4.700195867	6.669920689	8.835147079
7	0.8927440483	2.754641534	4.700195827	6.669909113	8.653953092
8	0.8927440456	2.754641533	4.700195826	6.669909053	8.650087699
9	0.8927440453	2.754641533	4.700195826	6.669909052	8.650086945
10	0.8927440453	2.754641533	4.700195826	6.669909052	8.650086942
11	0.8927440453	2.754641533	4.700195826	6.669909052	8.650086942
Exact	0.8927440453	2.754641533	4.700195826	6.669909052	8.650086942

- [14] A. Szabo and N. S. Ostlund, *Modern Quantum Chemistry*, (Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, New York, 1996).
- [15] F. M. Fernández, "Dimensionless equations in non-relativistic quantum mechanics", arXiv:2005.05377 [quant-ph].
- [16] P. Güttinger, "Das Verhalten von Atomen im magnetischen Drehfeld", Z. Phys. **73**, 169-184 (1932).
- [17] R. P. Feynman, "Forces in Molecules", Phys. Rev. **56**, 340-343 (1939).

Table 2: Eigenvalues for  $V(x) = x^2/2$  and  $g = -1$

$N$	$W_0$	$W_1$	$W_2$	$W_3$	$W_4$
2	-0.3085452475	2.222794094			
3	-0.3397525400	2.220830361	4.340972321		
4	-0.3421635872	2.220772352	4.291279595	6.777044277	
5	-0.3423925293	2.220769679	4.291227875	6.332552744	9.910216406
6	-0.3424161154	2.220769524	4.291227060	6.325780145	8.440061646
7	-0.3424186377	2.220769513	4.291227036	6.325777482	8.348294919
8	-0.3424189127	2.220769513	4.291227035	6.325777458	8.347325939
9	-0.3424189430	2.220769513	4.291227035	6.325777457	8.347325766
10	-0.3424189464	2.220769513	4.291227035	6.325777457	8.347325765
11	-0.3424189467	2.220769513	4.291227035	6.325777457	8.347325765
Exact	-0.3424189467	2.220769513	4.291227035	6.325777457	8.347325765




Figure 1:  $E_0(g)$  for the harmonic oscillator calculated by means of perturbation theory (blue continuous line) and the Rayleigh-Ritz variational method (red points)

Table 3: Eigenvalues for  $V(x) = x^4$  and  $g = 1$

$N$	$W_0$	$W_1$	$W_2$	$W_3$	$W_4$
2	1.212885159	5.431056449			
3	1.204415202	5.289165764	11.68242949		
4	1.203002874	5.189408545	11.21956836	19.89298470	
5	1.202268166	5.188704722	10.75280031	18.84002195	30.32575650
6	1.202214950	5.180474979	10.70378223	17.54865753	28.38504206
7	1.202194697	5.180471582	10.66581963	17.25408723	25.68777289
8	1.202189533	5.179885928	10.66174091	17.14754048	24.72896521
9	1.202188331	5.179885715	10.65833898	17.09896256	24.52894335
10	1.202188024	5.179845929	10.65788573	17.09042900	24.28708252
11	1.202187948	5.179845863	10.65762856	17.08370796	24.27798766
12	1.202187929	5.179842983	10.65757489	17.08321447	24.23689527
13	1.202187923	5.179842956	10.65755810	17.08237213	24.23688451
14	1.202187922	5.179842748	10.65755176	17.08235926	24.23152178
15	1.202187922	5.179842741	10.65755086	17.08226559	24.23140156
16	1.202187922	5.179842726	10.65755014	17.08226555	24.23085274
17	1.202187922	5.179842725	10.65755010	17.08225643	24.23079034

Table 4: Eigenvalues for  $V(x) = x^4$  and  $g = -1$

$N$	$W_0$	$W_1$	$W_2$	$W_3$	$W_4$
2	-0.2444852177	4.409477284			
3	-0.2912647514	4.227287815	10.85766906		
4	-0.2928240157	4.182776600	10.15066069	19.13616079	
5	-0.2928292406	4.181284514	9.901826018	17.56949565	29.58232215
6	-0.2928581504	4.176818119	9.846560349	16.77721127	26.77001070
7	-0.2928677179	4.176745715	9.825535133	16.44942678	24.96731076
8	-0.2928677613	4.176468061	9.821168085	16.39206046	23.91364185
9	-0.2928678921	4.176464550	9.819348618	16.34614316	23.81216354
10	-0.2928679190	4.176446073	9.818909872	16.34209974	23.58709655
11	-0.2928679342	4.176445745	9.818783474	16.33634692	23.58472920
12	-0.2928679349	4.176444476	9.818737897	16.33616880	23.55051960
13	-0.2928679358	4.176444430	9.818730435	16.33550881	23.55040892
14	-0.2928679358	4.176444345	9.818725612	16.33550740	23.54632955
15	-0.2928679359	4.176444338	9.818725278	16.33543981	23.54613343
16	-0.2928679359	4.176444332	9.818724777	16.33543925	23.54575290
17	-0.2928679359	4.176444331	9.818724770	16.33543318	23.54568657

Table 5: Eigenvalues for  $V(x) = |x|^3$  and  $g = 1$

$N$	$W_0$	$W_1$	$W_2$	$W_3$	$W_4$
2	1.193494101	4.646154382			
3	1.193483838	4.624404432	9.146712426		
4	1.192694864	4.615701763	8.900591260	14.75342461	
5	1.192687849	4.612065439	8.847299481	13.67700784	21.87335551
6	1.192686970	4.612046916	8.829421034	13.57433311	18.83682980
7	1.192686545	4.611976831	8.829268044	13.49526184	18.74190242
8	1.192686488	4.611976382	8.828629838	13.49466862	18.48745373
9	1.192686486	4.611975486	8.828628402	13.49109442	18.48701306
10	1.192686486	4.611975428	8.828615220	13.49108590	18.47194816
11		4.611975415	8.828614846	13.49097737	18.47187696
12		4.611975414	8.828614610	13.49097514	18.47126590
13		4.611975414	8.828614592	13.49097251	18.47125237
14			8.828614588	13.49097236	18.47123265
15			8.828614587	13.49097230	18.47123172
16			8.828614587	13.49097230	18.47123116
17			8.828614587		18.47123111

Table 6: Eigenvalues for  $V(x) = |x|^3$  and  $g = -1$

$N$	$W_0$	$W_1$	$W_2$	$W_3$	$W_4$
2	-0.2479044383	3.768307259			
3	-0.2753927098	3.767485564	8.274160995		
4	-0.2766897018	3.761603920	8.203629411	13.63427617	
5	-0.2768092707	3.760073668	8.152399613	13.08211481	20.30455979
6	-0.2768240831	3.760009674	8.143450977	12.94688817	18.30513940
7	-0.2768249160	3.759987489	8.143084282	12.89889957	18.13193414
8	-0.2768249578	3.759987480	8.142733921	12.89731236	17.94860467
9	-0.2768249641	3.759987026	8.142733513	12.89495715	17.94564705
10	-0.2768249646	3.759987019	8.142725848	12.89495536	17.93443115
11	-0.2768249646	3.759987013	8.142725786	12.89488104	17.93443096
12		3.759987013	8.142725634	12.89488068	17.93396502
13			8.142725629	12.89487879	17.93396223
14			8.142725626	12.89487875	17.93394686
15			8.142725626	12.89487871	17.93394655
16				12.89487870	17.93394610
17				12.89487870	17.93394608