UNIQUENESS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS SHARING SMALL FUNCTIONS IM WITH THEIR DIFFERENTIAL-DIFFERENCE POLYNOMIALS
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Abstract. In this paper, we study the uniqueness of entire functions and their differences. We have proved: Let \( f(z) \) be a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \) and \( g(z) = b_{-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z+\eta_i) \), where \( b_{-1} \) and \( b_i(i = 0, \ldots, n) \) are small meromorphic functions of \( f \), \( k_i \geq 0(i = 0, \ldots, n) \) are integers, and \( \eta_i(i = 0, \ldots, n) \) are finite values. Let \( a(z) \not\equiv \infty \), \( b(z) \not\equiv \infty \) be two distinct small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \). If \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share \( a(z) \) and \( b(z) \) IM. Then \( f(z) \equiv g(z) \).

1. MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we assume that the reader is familiar with the basic notations of Nevanlinna’s value distribution theory, see [10, 28, 29]. In the following, a meromorphic function \( f(z) \) means meromorphic on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \). By \( S(r, f) \), we denote any quantity satisfying \( S(r, f) = o(T(r, f)) \) as \( r \to \infty \), outside of an exceptional set of finite linear or logarithmic measure.

Let \( a \) be a complex numbers. We say that two nonconstant meromorphic functions \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share value \( a \) IM (CM) if \( f(z) - a \) and \( g(z) - a \) have the same zeros ignoring multiplicities (counting multiplicities).

For a given meromorphic function \( f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) and nonzero vector \( \eta = (\eta_1^1, \eta_1^2, \ldots, \eta_1^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus 0 \), we define the shift by \( f(z+\eta) \) and the difference operators by

\[
\Delta_\eta f(z) = f(z^1 + \eta^1, \ldots, z^n + \eta^n) - f(z^1, \ldots, z^n),
\]

\[
\Delta_\eta f(z) = \Delta_\eta(\Delta_\eta^{n-1} f(z)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2,
\]

where \( z = (z^1, \ldots, z^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \).

Suppose \( |z| = (|z^1|^2 + |z^2|^2 + \cdots + |z^n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \) for \( z = (z^1, z^1, \ldots, z^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \). For \( r > 0 \), denote

\[
B_n(r) := z \in \mathbb{C}^n ||z| < r, \quad S_n(r) := z \in \mathbb{C}^n ||z| = r.
\]

Let \( d = \partial + \overline{\partial} \), \( d^c = (4\pi \sqrt{-1})^{-1} (\partial - \overline{\partial}) \). Then \( dd^c = \frac{2\pi}{2}\overline{\partial} \partial \). We write

\[
\omega_n(z) := (dd^c log|z|^2), \quad \sigma_n(z) := d^c log|z|^2 \Delta_\omega_n^{n-1}(z),
\]

for \( z \in \mathbb{C}^n \) a nonzero complex number.

\[
v_n(z) = dd^c |z|^2, \quad \rho_n(z) = v_n^n(z),
\]

for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \).
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Thus $\sigma_n(z)$ defines a positive measure on $S_n(r)$ with total measure one and $\rho_n(z)$ is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ normalized such that $B_n(r)$ has measure $r^{2n}$. Moreover, when we restrict $\nu_n(z)$ to $S_n(r)$, we obtain that

$$\nu_n(z) = r^2\omega_n(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_n(r)} \omega^n_r = 1.$$  

Let $f$ be a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^n$, i.e., $f$ can be written as a quotient of two holomorphic functions which are relatively prime. Thus $f$ can be regarded as a meromorphic map $f: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $f^{-1}(\infty) \neq \mathbb{C}^n$; i.e. $f(z) = [f_0(z), f_1(z)]$ and $f_0$ is not identity equal to zero. Clearly the meromorphic map $f$ is not defined on the set $I_f = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; f_0(z) = f_1(z) = 0\}$, which is called the set of indeterminacy of $f$, and $I_f$ is analytic subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with codimension not less than 2. Thus we can define, for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus I_f$,

$$f^*\omega = dd^c\log(|f_0|^2 + |f_1|^2),$$

where $\omega$ is the Fubini-Study form. Therefore, for any measurable set $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, integrations of $f$ over $X$ may be defined as integrations over $X \setminus I_f$.

For all $0 < s < r$, the characteristic function of $f$ is defined by

$$T_f(r,s) = \int_s^r \frac{1}{t^{n-1}} \int_{B_n(t)} f^*(\omega)\Lambda\omega^{n-1}_r dt.$$

Let $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ with $f^{-1}(a) \neq \mathbb{C}^n$ and $Z^f_a$ be an $a - divisor$ of $f$. We write $Z^f_a(t) = \overline{E_n(t)} \cap Z^f_a$. Then the pre-counting function and counting function with respect to $a$ are defined, respectively, as (if $0 \not\in Z^f_a$)

$$n_f(t,a) = \int_{Z^f_a(t)\omega^{n-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad N_f(r,a) = \int_0^r n_f(t,a) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Therefore Jensen’s formula is, if $f(0) \neq 0$, for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$N_f(r,0) - N_f(r,\infty) = \int_{S_n(r)} \log|f(z)|\sigma_n(z) - \log\log|f(0)|.$$  

Let $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ with $f^{-1}(a) \neq \mathbb{C}^n$, then we define the proximity function as

$$m_f(r,a) = \int_{S_n(r)} \log^+ \frac{1}{|f(z) - a|} \sigma_n(z), \quad \text{if} \quad a \neq \infty;$$

$$= \int_{S_n(r)} \log^+ |f(z)| \sigma_n(z), \quad \text{if} \quad a = \infty.$$  

The first main theorem states that, if $f(0) \neq a, \infty$,

$$T_f(r,s) = N_f(r,s) + m_f(r,s) - \log\frac{1}{|f(z) - a|}$$

where $0 < s < r$.

In this paper, we write $N(r,f) := N_f(r,\infty)$, $N(r,\frac{1}{f}) := N_f(r,0)$, $m_f(r,0) := m(r,\frac{1}{f})$ and $T_f(r,s) = T(r,f)$. Hence $T(r,f) = m(r,f) + N(r,f)$ and we can deduce the First Fundamental Theorem of Nevanlinna on $\mathbb{C}^n$

$$T(r,f) = T(r,\frac{1}{f-a}) + O(1). \quad (1.1)$$

More details can be seen in [25, 31].
Furthermore, meromorphic functions $f$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$, we define
\[
\rho(f) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r},
\]
\[
\rho_2(f) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r}
\]
by the order and the hyper-order of $f$, respectively.

A meromorphic function $f$ satisfying the condition
\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{r} = 0,
\]
of above is said to be a meromorphic function with $\rho_2(f) < 1$.

In 1977, Rubel and Yang [26] considered the uniqueness of an entire function and its derivative. They proved.

**Theorem A** Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function, and let $a, b$ be two finite distinct complex values. If $f(z)$ and $f'(z)$ share $a, b$ CM, then $f(z) \equiv f'(z)$.

During 2006-2008, the difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative and Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator have been founded, which bring about a number of papers [3−8, 15−17] focusing on the uniqueness study of meromorphic functions sharing some values with their difference operators. Heittokangas et al [11] obtained a similar result analogue of Theorem A concerning shifts.

**Theorem B** Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant entire function of finite order, let $c$ be a nonzero finite complex value, and let $a, b$ be two finite distinct complex values. If $f(z)$ and $f(z+c)$ share $a, b$ CM, then $f(z) \equiv f(z+c)$.

With the establishment of logarithmic derivative lemma in several variables by A.Vitter [27] in 1977, a number of papers about Nevanlinna Theory in several variables were published [13, 14, 31]. In 1996, Hu-Yang [13] generalized Theorem 1 in the case of higher dimension. They proved.

**Theorem C** Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function on $\mathbb{C}^n$, and let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be two finite distinct complex values. If $f(z)$ and $D_u f(z)$ share $a, b$ CM, then $f(z) \equiv D_u f(z)$, where $D_u f(z)$ is a directional derivative of $f(z)$ along a direction $u \in S^{2n-1}$.

In recent years, there has been tremendous interests in developing the value distribution of meromorphic functions with respect to difference analogue, see [1-3, 5-10, 12-17, 21]. Heittokangas et al [11] proved a similar result analogue of Theorem A concerning shift.

**Theorem D** Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant entire function of finite order, let $\eta$ be a nonzero complex value, and let $a_1, a_2$ be two finite distinct complex values. If $f(z)$ and $f(z + \eta)$ share $a_1, a_2$ CM, then $f(z) \equiv f(z + \eta)$.


**Theorem E** Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function of finite order, let $\eta$ be a non-zero complex number, $n$ be a positive integer, and let $a_1, a_2$ be two finite distinct complex values. If $f$ and $\Delta_n \eta f$ share $a_1, a_2$ CM, then $f \equiv \Delta_n \eta f$. 
In recent years, there has been tremendous interests in developing the value distribution of meromorphic functions with respect to difference analogue in the case of higher dimension. Especially in 2020, Cao-Xu [2] established the difference analogue of the lemma in several variables, one can study some interesting uniqueness problems on meromorphic functions sharing values with their shift or difference operators corresponding to the uniqueness problems on meromorphic functions sharing values with their derivatives in several variables.

Recently, we proved

**Theorem F** Let \( f(z) \) be a nonconstant meromorphic function with \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( g(z) = b_{-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z + \eta_i) \), where \( b_{-1} \) and \( b_i(i = 0 \ldots, n) \) are small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), \( k_i \geq 0(i = 0 \ldots, n) \) are integers, and \( \eta_i(i = 0 \ldots, n) \) are finite values. Let \( a_1(z) \neq \infty, a_2(z) \neq \infty \) be two distinct small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \). If \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share \( a_1(z) \) CM, and \( a_2(z) \) IM. Then either \( f(z) \equiv g(z) \) or \( a_1 = 2a_2 = 2 \),

\[
f(z) \equiv e^{2p} - 2e^p + 2,
\]

and

\[
g(z) \equiv e^p,
\]

where \( p(z) = cz + a \) is a nonzero entire function such that \( c \neq 0 \) and \( a \) are two finite constants.

**Corollary 1** Let \( f(z) \) be a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), let \( \eta \neq 0 \) be a finite complex number, \( n \geq 1, k \geq 0 \) two integers, and let \( a(z) \neq \infty, b(z) \neq \infty \) be two distinct small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \). If \( f(z) \) and \( (\Delta^a_\eta f(z))^{(k)} \) share \( a(z) \) CM and \( b(z) \) IM. Then \( f(z) \equiv (\Delta^a_\eta f(z))^{(k)} \).

**Remark 1** With the same method as Theorem F, we can replace the condition in Theorem F that sharing \( a(z) \) CM by sharing \( a(z) \) CM almost, and we obtain the same conclusions as in Theorem F.

It is natural to ask from Theorem F and Remark 1 that:

**Question 2** Can the condition that share \( a \) CM almost and share \( b \) IM be replaced by share \( a, b \) IM?

In this paper, we assert Question 2. We prove.

**Theorem 1** Let \( f(z) \) be a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( g(z) = b_{-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z + \eta_i) \), where \( b_{-1} \) and \( b_i(i = 0 \ldots, n) \) are small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), \( k_i \geq 0(i = 0 \ldots, n) \) are integers, and \( \eta_i(i = 0 \ldots, n) \) are finite values. Let \( a(z) \neq \infty, b(z) \neq \infty \) be two distinct small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \). If \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share \( a(z) \) and \( b(z) \) IM. Then \( f(z) \equiv g(z) \).

Immediately, we obtain a corollary.

**Corollary 2** Let \( f(z) \) be a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), let \( \eta \neq 0 \) be a finite complex number, \( n \geq 1, k \geq 0 \) two integers, and let \( a(z) \neq \infty, b(z) \neq \infty \) be two distinct small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \). If \( f(z) \) and \( (\Delta^a_\eta f(z))^{(k)} \) share \( a(z) \) and \( b(z) \) IM. Then \( f(z) \equiv (\Delta^a_\eta f(z))^{(k)} \).
Corollary 3 Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$, let $\eta$ be a nonzero finite complex value, and let $a(z) \not\equiv \infty, b(z) \not\equiv \infty$ be two distinct small meromorphic functions of $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$. If $f(z)$ and $(f^{(k)}(z) + c)$ share $a(z), b(z)$ IM, then $f(z) \equiv f^{(k)}(z + c)$.

Example 1 [18] Let $f = \frac{2^{1-e^{-2z}}}{z}$, and let $c = \pi i$. Then $f(z)$ and $f'(z + c)$ share 0 CM and share 1 IM, but $f'(z) \not\equiv f(z + c)$.

This example shows that for meromorphic functions, the conclusion of Theorem 8 doesn’t hold even when they share one constant CM and another constant IM.

Remark 2 For convenience, throughout the paper, $o(T(r, f))$ always means that it holds for all $r \not\in E$ with
$$\frac{\overline{\text{dens}} E}{\log r} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{1 \leq t \leq r} \frac{1}{r} \int_{E \cap [1, r]} dt = 0.$$
Lemma 2.4. [10, 28, 29] Suppose \( f_1(z), f_2(z) \) are two nonconstant meromorphic functions on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), then
\[
N(r, f_1 f_2) - N(r, \frac{1}{f_1 f_2}) = N(r, f_1) + N(r, f_2) - N(r, \frac{1}{f_1}) - N(r, \frac{1}{f_2}).
\]

Lemma 2.5. Let \( f(z) \) be a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( g(z) = b_{-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z + \eta_i) \), where \( b_{-1} \) and \( b_i(i = 0 \ldots, n) \) are small meromorphic functions of \( f \), \( k_i \geq 0(i = 0 \ldots, n) \) are integers, and \( \eta_i(i = 0 \ldots, n) \) are finite values. Let \( a(z) \neq \infty, b(z) \neq \infty \) be two distinct small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Suppose
\[
L(f) = \begin{vmatrix}
a - b & f - a \\
a' - b' & f' - a'
\end{vmatrix}
\]
and
\[
L(g(z)) = \begin{vmatrix}
a - b & g(z) - a \\
a' - b' & g'(z) - a'
\end{vmatrix}.
\]
If \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share \( a(z) \) and \( b(z) \) IM, then \( L(f(z)) \neq 0 \) and \( L(g(z)) \neq 0 \).

Proof. Suppose that \( L(f) \equiv 0 \), then we can get \( \frac{f' - a'}{f - a} \equiv \frac{a' - b'}{a - b} \). Integrating both side of above we can obtain \( f - a = C_1(a - b) \), where \( C_1 \) is a nonzero constant. So we have \( T(r, f) = S(r, f) \), a contradiction. Hence \( L(f) \neq 0 \).

Since \( g \) and \( f \) share \( a \) and \( b \) IM, and \( f \) is a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \), then by the Second Fundamental Theorem of Nevanlinna, we get
\[
T(r, f) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{f - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f - b}) + o(T(r, f)) = N(r, \frac{1}{g - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \leq 2T(r, g) + o(T(r, f)). \tag{2.1}
\]
Hence \( a \) and \( b \) are small functions of \( g \). If \( L(g) \equiv 0 \), then we can get \( g - a = C_2(a - b) \), where \( C_2 \) is a nonzero constant. And we get \( T(r, g) = o(T(r, f)) \). Combing (2.1) we obtain \( T(r, f) = o(T(r, f)) \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

Lemma 2.6. Let \( f(z) \) be a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), let \( \eta \neq 0 \) be a finite complex number, \( n \geq 1, k \geq 0 \) two integers, and let \( (z) \neq \infty, b(z) \neq \infty \) be two distinct small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Again let \( d_j = a - l_j(a - b) \) \( (j = 1, 2, \ldots, q) \). Then
\[
m(r, \frac{L(f)}{f - a}) = S(r, f), \quad m(r, \frac{L(f)}{f - b}) = o(T(r, f)).
\]
And
\[
m(r, \frac{L(f)f}{(f - d_1)(f - d_2) \cdots (f - d_m)}) = o(T(r, f)),
\]
where \( L(f) \) is defined as in Lemma 2.5, and \( 2 \leq m \leq q \).

Proof. Obviously, we have
\[
m(r, \frac{L(f)}{f - a}) \leq m(r, \frac{(a' - b')(f - a)}{f - a}) + m(r, \frac{(a - b)(f' - a')}{f - a}) = o(T(r, f)),
\]
Lemma 2.2, we get
\[ f \]
and
\[ \frac{L(f)}{f - d_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{C_i L(f)}{f - d_i}, \]
where \( C_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, q) \) are small functions of \( f \). By Lemma 2.2 and above, we have
\[ m(r, \frac{L(f)}{f - d_1(f - d_2) \cdots (f - d_q)}) = m(r, \frac{C_i L(f)}{f - d_i}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} m(r, \frac{L(f)}{f - d_i}) = o(T(r, f)). \]

\[ \square \]

Lemma 2.7. [10, 28, 29] Suppose that \( f(z) \) is a meromorphic function on \( \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( p(f) = a_0 f^n(z) + a_1 f^{n-1}(z) + \cdots + a_n \), where \( a_0(\neq 0), a_1, \ldots, a_n \) are small functions of \( f(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Then
\[ T(r, p(f)) = nT(r, f(z)) + o(T(r, f)). \]

Lemma 2.8. Let \( f(z) \) be a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( g(z) = b_{-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z + \eta_i) \), where \( b_{-1} \) and \( b_i (i = 0, \ldots, n) \) are small meromorphic functions of \( f \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), \( k_i \geq 0 (i = 0, \ldots, n) \) are integers, and \( \eta_i (i = 0, \ldots, n) \) are finite values. Let \( a(z) \neq \infty, b(z) \neq \infty \) be two distinct small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \). If \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share \( a(z) \) and \( b(z) \) IM, and if \( T(r, f(z)) = T(r, g(z)) + o(T(r, f)) \), then \( f(z) \equiv g(z) \).

Proof. We prove Lemma 2.8 by contradiction. Suppose that \( f(z) \neq g(z) \). Since \( f(z) \) is a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \), and that \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share \( a \) and \( b \) IM, then by the First and Second Fundamental Theorem, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we get
\[ T(r, f(z)) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \]
\[ = N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \]
\[ \leq T(r, f(z) - g(z)) + o(T(r, f)) \]
\[ = m(r, f(z) - g(z)) + o(T(r, f)) \]
\[ = m(r, f(z) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z + \eta_i)) + o(T(r, f)) \]
\[ \leq m(r, f(z)) + m(r, 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z + \eta_i)) \leq T(r, f(z)) + o(T(r, f)). \]

Thus we have
\[ T(r, f(z)) = N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)). \]

(2.2)

Set
\[ \varphi(z) = \frac{L(f)(f(z) - g(z))}{(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)} \]
\[ \psi(z) = \frac{L(g(z))(f(z) - g(z))}{(g(z) - a)(g(z) - b)} \]

(2.3)
Noting that \( f(z) \) is a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \) and that \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share \( a \) and \( b \) IM, we know that \( N(r, \varphi(z)) = o(T(r, f)) \) by (2.3).

By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.5, we have

\[
T(r, \varphi(z)) = m(r, \varphi(z)) = m(r, \frac{L(f(z))(f(z) - g(z))}{(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)}) + o(T(r, f))
\]

\[
= m(r, \frac{L(f(z))f(z)}{(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)}) f(z) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z + i\eta) \right) + m(r, \frac{L(f(z))f(z)b_{-1}}{(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)}) + o(T(r, f)) \leq 2m(r, \frac{L(f(z))f(z)}{(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)}) + m(r, \frac{f(z) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z)}{f(z) + i\eta}) + o(T(r, f)) = o(T(r, f)),
\]

that is

\[
T(r, \varphi(z)) = o(T(r, f)). \tag{2.5}
\]

Let \( d = a - l(a - b) \). Obviously, by Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.5, we have

\[
m(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) = m(r, \frac{L(f(z))f(z)}{(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)}) f(z) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z + i\eta) \right) + m(r, \frac{L(f(z))f(z)b_{-1}}{(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)}) + o(T(r, f)) \leq 2m(r, \frac{L(f(z))f(z)}{(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)}) + m(r, \frac{f(z) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z)}{f(z) + i\eta}) + o(T(r, f)), \tag{2.7}
\]

and hence

\[
m(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) = m(r, \frac{L(f(z))f(z) - g(z)}{(\varphi(z)(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)(f(z) - d)}) \right) \leq m(r, \frac{L(f(z))f(z)}{(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)(f(z) - d)}) + m(r, 1 - \frac{g(z)}{f(z)}) + o(T(r, f)) = o(T(r, f)), \tag{2.8}
\]

Since

\[
T(r, f(z)) = T(r, g(z)) + o(T(r, f)), \tag{2.9}
\]

then by the First and Second Fundamental Theorem, (2.2) and (2.8), we have

\[
2T(r, f(z)) \leq 2T(r, g(z)) + o(T(r, f))
\]

\[
\leq N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - d}) + o(T(r, f))
\]

\[
\leq N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + T(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - d}) - m(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - d})
\]

\[
+ S(r, f) \leq T(r, f(z)) + T(r, g(z)) - m(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - d}) + o(T(r, f))
\]

\[
\leq 2T(r, f(z)) - m(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - d}) + o(T(r, f)).
\]

Thus

\[
m(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - d}) = o(T(r, f)). \tag{2.10}
\]
By the First Fundamental Theorem, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.6, (2.6)-(2.9), and $f(z)$ is a transcendental entire function $\rho_2(f) < 1$, we obtain

$$m(r, \frac{f(z) - d}{g(z) - d}) \leq m(r, \frac{f(z)}{g(z) - d}) + m(r, \frac{d}{g(z) - d}) + \log 2$$

$$\leq T(r, \frac{f(z)}{g(z) - d}) - N(r, \frac{f(z)}{g(z) - d}) + o(T(r, f))$$

$$= m(r, \frac{g(z) - d}{f(z)}) + N(r, \frac{g(z) - d}{f(z)})$$

$$- N(r, \frac{f(z)}{g(z) - d}) + o(T(r, f))$$

$$\leq N(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}) - N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - d}) + o(T(r, f))$$

$$= T(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}) - T(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - d}) + o(T(r, f))$$

$$= T(r, f(z)) - T(r, g(z)) + o(T(r, f)) = o(T(r, f)),$$

which implies that

$$m(r, \frac{f(z) - d}{g(z) - d}) = o(T(r, f)). \quad (2.11)$$

By (2.4) we have

$$\psi = \frac{a - d}{a - b} \frac{L(g(z))}{g(z) - a} - \frac{b - d}{a - b} \frac{L(g(z))}{g(z) - b} + [\frac{f(z) - d}{g(z) - d} - 1]. \quad (2.12)$$

Since $f(z)$ is a transcendental entire function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$, and $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share $a$ and $b$ IM, we know that $N(r, \psi(z)) = o(T(r, f))$. Then by (2.10)-(2.11), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$T(r, \psi(z)) = m(r, \psi(z)) + o(T(r, f)) \leq m(r, \frac{a - d}{a - b} \frac{L(g(z))}{g(z) - a})$$

$$+ m(r, \frac{b - d}{a - b} \frac{L(g(z))}{g(z) - b}) + m(r, \frac{f(z) - d}{g(z) - d} - 1) + o(T(r, f)) = o(T(r, f)). \quad (2.13)$$

Now let $z_1$ be a zero of $f(z) - a$ and $g(z) - a$ with multiplicities $m$ and $l$, respectively. Using Taylor series expansions, and by calculating we get $l\varphi(z_1) = m\psi(z_1) = 0$. Let

$$H_{l,m}(z) = l\varphi(z) - m\psi(z), \quad (2.14)$$

where $m$ and $l$ are positive integers. Next, we consider two subcases.

**Subcase 1.1** $H_{l,m}(z) \equiv 0$ for some positive integers $m$ and $l$. That is $l\varphi(z) \equiv m\psi(z)$. Then we have

$$l\left(\frac{L(f(z))}{f(z) - a} - \frac{L(f(z))}{f(z) - b}\right) = m\left(\frac{L(g(z))}{g(z) - a} - \frac{L(g(z))}{g(z) - b}\right),$$

which implies that

$$\left(\frac{f(z) - a}{f(z) - b}\right)^l = A\left(\frac{g(z) - a}{g(z) - b}\right)^m,$$

where $A$ is a nonzero constant. By Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$lT(r, f(z)) = mT(r, g(z)) + o(T(r, f)). \quad (2.15)$$
It follows from (2.8) and (2.14) that \( m = l \). Thus we get
\[
\frac{f(z) - a}{f(z) - b} = B\left(\frac{g(z) - a}{g(z) - b}\right),
\] (2.16)
where \( B \) is a nonzero constant. By (2.15) we deduce that \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share \( a \) and \( b \) CM. Thus by Corollary 2 in [17], we get \( f(z) \equiv g(z) \), a contradiction.

**Subcase 1.2** \( H_{i,m}(z) \neq 0 \) for any positive integers \( m \) and \( l \). From the above discussion, we know that a zero of \( f(z) - a \) and \( g(z) - a \) (or a zero of \( f(z) - b \) and \( g(z) - b \)) with multiplicities \( m \) and \( l \), must be the zero of \( l\varphi(z) - m\psi(z) \). So we have
\[
N_{(m,l)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N_{(m,l)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{l\varphi(z) - m\psi(z)}) + o(T(r, f))
\] 
\[
\leq T(r, l\varphi(z) - m\psi(z)) + S(r, f) = o(T(r, f)).
\] (2.17)

Thus by (2.2), (2.8) and (2.16), we get
\[
T(r, f(z)) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f))
\] 
\[
\leq N_1(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N_3(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a})
\] 
\[
+ N_1(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + N_3(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b})
\] 
\[
+ S(r, f) \leq \frac{1}{15}N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + \frac{1}{15}N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a})
\] 
\[
+ N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + \frac{2}{3}T(r, f(z)) + o(T(r, f))
\] 
\[
\leq \frac{14}{15}T(r, f(z)) + o(T(r, f)),
\] (2.18)

it follows that \( T(r, f(z)) = S(r, f) \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.9.** Let \( f(z) \) be a transcendental entire function of \( \rho_2(f) < 1 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( g(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i f^{(k_i)}(z + \eta_i) \), where \( b_i (i = 0, \ldots, n) \) are small meromorphic functions of \( f \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), \( k_i \geq 0 (i = 0, \ldots, n) \) are integers, and \( \eta_i (i = 0, \ldots, n) \) are finite values, and let \( a(z) \neq \infty, b(z) \neq \infty \) be two distinct small meromorphic functions of \( f(z) \). If \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share \( a(z) \) and \( b(z) \) IM, and if
\[
H = \frac{L(f(z))}{(f(z) - a)(f(z) - b)} - \frac{L(g(z))}{(g(z) - a)(g(z) - b)} \equiv 0,
\]
where \( L(f(z)) \) and \( L(g(z)) \) are defined as in Lemma 2.5, then \( f(z) \equiv g(z) \).

**Proof.** By \( H \equiv 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{g(z) - b}{g(z) - a} = C \frac{f - b}{f - a},
\]
where \( C \) is a nonzero constant.

If \( C = 1 \), then \( f \equiv g(z) \). If \( C \neq 1 \), then from above, we have
\[
\frac{a - b}{g(z) - a} \equiv \frac{Ca - b}{f - a} + C - 1,
\]
and hence
\[ T(r, f) = T(r, g(z)) + o(T(r, f)). \]
Then by Lemma 2.8, we can also obtain \( f(z) \equiv g(z). \) □

3. The proof of Theorem 8

Suppose that \( f(z) \neq g(z). \) We construct two functions \( \varphi(z) \) and \( \psi(z) \) as in (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. It is easy to see from Lemma 2.9 that \( \psi(z) \neq \varphi(z). \)

From the following fact that
\[
N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) = N(r, \frac{1}{f(a) - a}) + N(2(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{f(a) - a} + \frac{1}{2} N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)),
\]
so we have
\[
N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) \leq 2N(r, \frac{1}{f(a) - a} + o(T(r, f)),
\]
which implies
\[
N(2(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) \leq N_1(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)). \quad (3.1)
\]
And we also have
\[
N(2(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) \leq N_1(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)), \quad (3.2)
\]
\[
N(2(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) \leq N_1(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)), \quad (3.3)
\]
and
\[
N(2(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) \leq N_1(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)). \quad (3.4)
\]
Let \( z_1 \) be a zero of \( f(z) - a \) and \( g(z) - a \) (or a zero of \( f(z) - b \) and \( g(z) - b \) ) with multiplicities \( m \) and \( l. \) Easy to see from Lemma 2.8 that if \( m \geq 2 \) and \( l \geq 2, \) then \( z_1 \) is also a zero of \( \varphi. \) In other words, when \( m \geq 2 \) and \( l \geq 2, \) then
\[
\mathcal{N}_{(m,l)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{\varphi(z)}) + o(T(r, f)) = o(T(r, f)). \quad (3.5)
\]
Likewise, we have
\[
\mathcal{N}_{(p,q)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{\varphi(z)}) + o(T(r, f)) = o(T(r, f)), \quad (3.6)
\]
for \( p \geq 2 \) and \( q \geq 2. \)
We can see from (2.2), (3.16) and (3.17) that

\[ 2T(r, f(z)) = N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) \]

\[ + N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \]

\[ \leq N_{(1,1)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} N_{(m,1)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} N_{(1,l)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) \]

\[ + N_{(1,1)}(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} N_{(m,1)}(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} N_{(1,l)}(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) \]

\[ + N_{(1,1)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} N_{(p,1)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} N_{(1,q)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) \]

\[ + N_{(1,1)}(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} N_{(p,1)}(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} N_{(1,q)}(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)), \]

and it follows from (2.13) and (3.12)-(3.15) that

\[ 2T(r, f(z)) \leq 4(N_{(1,1)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N_{(1,1)}(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b})) + o(T(r, f)) \]

\[ \leq 4N(r, \frac{1}{\psi - \varphi}) + o(T(r, f)) \]

\[ \leq 4T(r, \psi) + o(T(r, f)). \]  

(3.7)

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.4 and (2.6), we have

\[ T(r, \psi(z)) = m(r, \psi(z)) + N(r, \psi(z)) = m(r, \frac{L(g(z))(f(z) - g(z)))}{(g(z) - a)(g(z) - b)}) + o(T(r, f)) \]

\[ \leq m(r, \frac{L(g(z))}{g(z) - a}) + m(r, \frac{f - g(z)}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \leq m(r, \frac{f - b}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \]

\[ \leq T(r, \frac{g(z) - b}{f(z) - b}) - N(r, \frac{f(z) - b}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \leq m(r, \frac{g(z) - b}{f(z) - b}) + N(r, \frac{g(z) - b}{f(z) - b}) \]

\[ - N(r, \frac{f}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \leq m(r, \frac{g(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k(z + in)}{f(z) - b}) \]

\[ + m(r, \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k(z + in) - b}{f(z) - b}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) - N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \]

\[ \leq T(r, f(z)) - N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)), \]

which is

\[ T(r, \psi(z)) \leq T(r, f) - N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)). \]  

(3.8)

Similarly, we obtain,

\[ T(r, \psi(z)) \leq T(r, f) - N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)). \]  

(3.9)

Because \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) share \( a, b \) IM, then combining (2.2) and (3.18)-(3.20), we get

\[ 2N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) \leq 2N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) \leq T(r, f) + o(T(r, f)), \]
\[ N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) = N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)), \quad (3.10) \]

that is
\[ N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)). \quad (3.11) \]

Likewise,
\[ N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)). \quad (3.12) \]

Easy to know from (3.22) and (3.23) that
\[ N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) = N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)) \]
\[ = N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)) \]
\[ = N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)), \quad (3.13) \]

and
\[ N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) = N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)) \]
\[ = N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \]
\[ = N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)). \quad (3.14) \]

It is an easy work form (3.14) that
\[ N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) = N_1(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)), \quad (3.15) \]

and
\[ N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) = N_1(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)). \quad (3.16) \]
Thus, we can obtain from (2.2), (3.1) and (3.2) that

\[
2T(r, f(z)) = N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) \\
+ N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - b}) + o(T(r, f)) \\
\leq 2 \sum_{m=1}^{N(m,1)} N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + 2 \sum_{p=1}^{N(p,1)} N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b}) \\
\leq 2(N(1,1)(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N(1,1)(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b})) \\
+ 2(\sum_{m=2}^{N(m,1)} N(m,1)(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + \sum_{p=2}^{N(p,1)} N(p,1)(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b})) + o(T(r, f)) \\
\leq 3(N(1,1)(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + N(1,1)(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - b})) + o(T(r, f)) \\
\leq 3N(r, \frac{1}{\psi - \phi}) + o(T(r, f)) \\
\leq 3T(r, \psi) + o(T(r, f)).
\]

It follows from above that

\[
2T(r, f(z)) \leq 3T(r, \psi) + o(T(r, f)).
\]  

(3.17)

Then by (2.2), (3.8)-(3.9), (3.13)-(3.14) and (3.17), we have

\[
4N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) \leq 3N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) + o(T(r, f)),
\]  

(3.18)

and hence

\[
N(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a}) = o(T(r, f)).
\]  

(3.19)

Furthermore, combining (2.2), (3.13) and (3.19) we obtain \(T(r, f) = o(T(r, f))\), a contradiction.

This completes Theorem 8.
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