

Weighted fractional generalized cumulative past entropy and its properties

Suchandan Kayal^{1*} and N. Balakrishnan^{2†}

July 14, 2022

¹*Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Rourkela, Rourkela-769008, Odisha, India*

²*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1, Canada*

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce weighted fractional generalized cumulative past entropy of a non-negative absolutely continuous random variable with bounded support. Various properties of the proposed weighted fractional measure are studied. Bounds and stochastic orderings are derived. A connection between the proposed measure and the left-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional integral is established. Further, the proposed measure is studied for the proportional reversed hazard rate models. Next, a nonparametric estimator of the weighted fractional generalized cumulative past entropy is suggested based on empirical distribution function. Various examples with a real life data set are considered for the illustration purposes. Finally, large sample properties of the proposed empirical estimator are studied.

Keywords: Weighted generalized cumulative past entropy; Fractional calculus; Stochastic ordering; Reversed hazard rate model; Empirical cumulative distribution function; Central limit theorem.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 94A17, 60E15, 26A33

1 Introduction

Entropy plays an important role in several areas of statistical mechanics and information theory. In statistical mechanics, the most widely applied form of entropy was proposed by Boltzmann and Gibbs, and in information theory, that was introduced by Shannon. Due

*Corresponding author : Suchandan Kayal (kayals@nitrkl.ac.in, suchandan.kayal@gmail.com)

†bala@mcmaster.ca

to the growing applicability of the entropy measures, various generalizations were proposed and their information theoretic properties were studied. See, for instance, Rényi (1961) and Tsallis (1988). We recall that most of the generalized entropies were developed based on the concept of deformed logarithm. But, two generalized concept of entropies: fractal (see Wang (2003)) and fractional (Ubriaco (2009)) entropies were proposed based on the natural logarithm. Let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be the probability mass function of a discrete random variable X . The Boltzmann-Gibbs-Shannon entropy of X can be defined through an equation involving the ordinary derivative as

$$H_{BGS}(X) = -\frac{d}{du} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^u \Big|_{u=1}. \quad (1.1)$$

Ubriaco (2009) proposed a new entropy measure known as the fractional entropy after replacing the ordinary derivative by the Weyl fractional derivative (see Ferrari (2018)) in (1.1). It is given by

$$H_\alpha(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (-\ln p_i)^\alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The fractional entropy in (1.2) is positive, concave and non-additive. Further, one can recover the Shannon entropy (see Shannon (1948)) from (1.2) under $\alpha = 1$. From (1.2), we notice that the measure of information is mainly a function of probabilities of occurrence of various events. However, we often face with many situations (see Guiaşu (1971)) in different fields, where the probabilities and qualitative characteristics of events need to be taken into account for better uncertainty analysis. As a result, the concept of weighted entropy was introduced by Guiaşu (1971), which is given by

$$H^w(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^n w_i p_i \ln p_i, \quad (1.3)$$

where w_i is a nonnegative number (known as weight) directly proportional to the importance of the i th elementary event. Note that the weights w_i 's can be equal. Following the same line as in (1.3), the weighted fractional entropy can be defined as

$$H_\alpha^w(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i p_i (-\ln p_i)^\alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that for $w_i = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, (1.4) reduces to the fractional entropy given by (1.2). Further, (1.4) equals to the weighted entropy given by (1.3) when $\alpha = 1$.

Recently, motivated by the aspects of the cumulative residual entropy due to Rao et al. (2004) and the fractional entropy given by (1.2), Xiong et al. (2019) introduced a new information measure, known as the fractional cumulative residual entropy. The concept of multiscale fractional cumulative residual entropy was described by Dong and Zhang (2020).

Very recently, inspired by the cumulative entropy (see Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009)) and (1.2), Di Crescenzo et al. (2021) proposed fractional generalized cumulative entropy of a random variable X with bounded support $(0, s)$, which is given by

$$CPE_\gamma(X) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^s K(x) [-\ln K(x)]^\gamma dx, \quad \gamma > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where K is the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of X . The fractional generalized cumulative entropy is a generalization of the cumulative entropy and generalized cumulative entropy proposed by Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009) and Kayal (2016), respectively. We remark that the cumulative entropy and generalized cumulative entropy are independent of the location. This property appears as a drawback when quantifying information of an electronics device or a neuron in different intervals having equal widths. Thus, to cope with these situations, various authors proposed length-biased (weighted) information measures. The weighted measures are also called shift-dependent measures by some researchers. Readers may refer to Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2007), Misagh et al. (2011), Misagh (2016), Das (2017), Kayal and Moharana (2017a), Kayal and Moharana (2017b), Mirali et al. (2017), Nourbakhsh and Yari (2017), Kayal (2018) and Kayal and Moharana (2019) for some weighted versions of various information measures. The existing weighted information measures and the fractional generalized cumulative entropy in (1.5) inspire us to consider the weighted fractional generalized cumulative past entropy (WFGCPE), which has been studied in the subsequent sections of this paper. The following definitions will be useful in order to obtain some ordering results for the WFGCPE.

Definition 1.1. Let X_1 and X_2 be two nonnegative absolutely continuous random variables with probability density functions (PDFs) k_1, k_2 and CDFs K_1, K_2 , respectively. Then, X_1 is said to be smaller than X_2 in the sense of the

- (i) usual stochastic order, denoted by $X_1 \leq_{st} X_2$, if $K_2(x) \leq K_1(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) hazard rate order, denoted by $X_1 \leq_{hr} X_2$, if $\bar{K}_2(x)/\bar{K}_1(x)$ is nondecreasing in $x > 0$, where $\bar{K}_1 = 1 - K_1$ and $\bar{K}_2 = 1 - K_2$;
- (ii) dispersive order, denoted by $X_1 \leq_{disp} X_2$, if $K_1^{-1}(u) - K_1^{-1}(v) \geq K_2^{-1}(u) - K_2^{-1}(v)$, for all $0 < u < v < 1$, where K_1^{-1} and K_2^{-1} are the right continuous inverses of K_1 and K_2 , respectively;
- (iii) decreasing convex order, denoted by $X_1 \leq_{dcx} X_2$, if and only if $E(\tau(X_1)) \leq E(\tau(X_2))$ holds for all nonincreasing convex real valued functions for which the expectations are defined.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce WFGCPE and study its various properties. Some ordering results are obtained. It is shown that a less dispersed distribution produces smaller uncertainty in terms of the WFGCPE. Some bounds are obtained. Further, a connection of the proposed measure with the fractional calculus

is discovered. The proportional reversed hazard model is considered and the WFGCPE is studied under this set up. Section 3 deals with the estimation of the introduced measure. An empirical WFGCPE estimator is proposed based on the empirical distribution function. Further, large sample properties of the proposed estimator have been studied. Finally, Section 4 concludes the paper with some discussions.

Throughout the paper, the random variables are considered as nonnegative random variables. The terms increasing and decreasing are used in wide sense. The differentiation and integration exist whenever they are used. The notation \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers. Further, throughout the paper, a standard argument $0 = 0 \cdot \ln 0 = 0 \cdot \ln \infty$ is adopted. The prime $'$ denotes the first order ordinary derivative.

2 Weighted fractional generalized cumulative past entropy

In this section, we propose WFGCPE and study its various properties. Consider a nonnegative absolutely continuous random variable X with support $(0, s)$ and CDF K and PDF k . Then, the WFGCPE of X with a general nonnegative weight function $\psi(x)$ (≥ 0) is defined as

$$CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi}(X) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^s \psi(x) K(x) [-\ln K(x)]^{\gamma} dx, \quad \gamma > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

provided the right-hand-side integral is finite, where Γ is a gamma function. From (2.1), one can easily notice that the information measure $CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi}(X)$ is always nonnegative. It is equal to zero when X is degenerate. Note that the WFGCPE is nonadditive. We recall that an information measure H is additive if

$$H(A + B) = H(A) + H(B), \quad (2.2)$$

for any two probabilistically independent systems A and B . If (2.2) is not satisfied, then the information measure is said to be nonadditive. Several information measures have been proposed in the literature since the introduction of the Shannon entropy. Among those, probably Shannon's entropy and Renyi's entropy (see Rényi (1961)) are additive and all other generalizations (see, for example, Tsallis (1988)) are nonadditive. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \rightarrow +\infty$, $CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi}(X)$ reduces to the weighted generalized cumulative entropy proposed by Tahmasebi et al. (2020). Further, when $\psi(x) = 1$, we get the fractional generalized cumulative entropy due to Di Crescenzo et al. (2021). Let $\Psi'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\Psi(x) = \psi(x)$. Then,

when $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$ and $0 < s < +\infty$, we have from (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) &= \int_0^s \psi(x)dx - \int_0^s \psi(x)\bar{K}(x)dx \\
&= \Psi(s) - \Psi(0) - \int_0^s \psi(x) \left(\int_x^s k(y)dy \right) dx \\
&= \Psi(s) - \Psi(0) - \int_0^s \int_0^y \psi(x)k(y)dx dy \\
&= \Psi(s) - E[\Psi(X)].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Thus,

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) = \begin{cases} \Psi(s) - E[\Psi(X)], & \text{if } \gamma \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ and } 0 < s < +\infty \\ +\infty, & \text{if } \gamma \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ and } s = +\infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, in particular, for $\psi(x) = x$, we have

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}[s^2 - E(X^2)], & \text{if } \gamma \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ and } 0 < s < +\infty \\ CPE_n^{\psi(x)=x}(X), & \text{if } \gamma = n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } s = +\infty \\ CPE^{\psi(x)=x}(X), & \text{if } \gamma = 1 \text{ and } s = +\infty \\ +\infty, & \text{if } \gamma \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ and } s = +\infty, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

where $CPE_n^{\psi(x)=x}(X)$ and $CPE^{\psi(x)=x}(X)$ are the shift-dependent generalized cumulative past entropy of order n (see Eq. (1.4) of Kayal and Moharana (2019)) and weighted cumulative past entropy (see Eq. (10) of Misagh (2016)), respectively.

Next, we consider an example to show that even though the fractional generalized cumulative past entropy of two distributions are same, but the WFGCPEs are not same.

Example 2.1. Consider two random variables X_1 and X_2 with respective CDFs $K_1(x) = x - a$, $0 < a < x < a + 1$ and $K_2(x) = x - (a + 1)$, $a + 1 < x < a + 2 < +\infty$. Then, the fractional generalized cumulative past entropy of X_1 and X_2 can be obtained respectively as

$$CPE_\gamma(X_1) = \frac{1}{2^{\gamma+1}} = CPE_\gamma(X_2), \quad \gamma > 0.$$

That is, the fractional generalized cumulative past entropy of X_1 and X_2 are same. Indeed, it is expected since the fractional generalized cumulative past entropy is shift-independent (see Proposition 2.2 of Di Crescenzo et al. (2021)). In order to reach to the goal, let us consider $\psi(x) = x$. Then,

$$CPE_\gamma^{\psi(x)=x}(X_1) = \frac{1}{3^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{a}{2^{\gamma+1}} \text{ and } CPE_\gamma^{\psi(x)=x}(X_2) = \frac{1}{3^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{a+1}{2^{\gamma+1}},$$

which show that the WFGCPEs of X_1 and X_2 are not same. Here, $CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1) < CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_2)$. Further, let $\psi(x) = x^2$. Thus, we have

$$CPE_\gamma^{\psi(x)=x}(X_1) = \frac{1}{4^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{2a}{3^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{a^2}{2^{\gamma+1}} \text{ and } CPE_\gamma^{\psi(x)=x}(X_2) = \frac{1}{4^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{2(a+1)}{3^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{(a+1)^2}{2^{\gamma+1}},$$

which also reveal that the WFGCPEs of X_1 and X_2 are different from each other.

From Example 2.1, we notice that when ignoring qualitative characteristic of a given data set, the fractional generalized cumulative past entropy of two distributions are same, as treated from the quantitative point of view. However, when we do not ignore it, they are not same. In Table 1, we provide closed form expressions of the WFGCPE of various distributions for two choices of $\psi(x)$. Let K and $\bar{K} = 1 - K$ be the distribution and survival functions of a symmetrically distributed random variable with bounded support $(0, s)$. Di Crescenzo et al. (2021) showed that for this symmetric random variable the fractional generalized cumulative residual entropy and the fractional generalized cumulative entropy are same. However, this property does not hold for the weighted versions of the fractional generalized cumulative residual entropy and fractional generalized cumulative entropy. Indeed,

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^s \psi(s - x) \bar{K}(x) [-\ln \bar{K}(x)]^\gamma dx, \quad \gamma > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Particularly, for a symmetric random variable X with $\psi(x) = x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) &= \frac{s}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^s \bar{K}(x) [-\ln \bar{K}(x)]^\gamma dx - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^s x \bar{K}(x) [-\ln \bar{K}(x)]^\gamma dx \\ &= sCRE_\gamma(X) - CRE_\gamma^{\psi(x)=x}(X), \text{ say,} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $CRE_\gamma(X)$ and $CRE_\gamma^{\psi(x)=x}(X)$ are respectively known as the fractional generalized cumulative residual entropy and weighted fractional generalized cumulative residual entropy. Di Crescenzo et al. (2021) showed that the fractional generalized cumulative entropy of a nonnegative random variable is shift-independent.

Golomb (1966) proposed an information generating (IG) function for a PDF k as

$$G_\beta(k) = \int_0^\infty k^\beta(x) dx, \quad \beta > 0. \quad (2.8)$$

The derivatives of this IG function with respect to β at $\beta = 1$ yield statistical information measures for a probability distribution. For example, the first order derivative of $G_\beta(k)$ with respect to β at $\beta = 1$ produces negative Shannon entropy measure. For detailed properties of the Shannon entropy, please refer to Shannon (1948). Very recently, Kharazmi and Balakrishnan (2021) considered the IG function and discussed some new properties that reveal its connections to some other well-known information measures. The authors have also shown that the IG measure can be expressed based on different orders of fractional Shannon entropy. Kharazmi et al. (2021) studied IG function and relative IG function measures associated with maximum and minimum ranked set sampling schemes with unequal sizes. Along the similar lines, here we define a weighted cumulative past entropy generating function as

$$G_\beta(K) = \int_0^s \psi(x) K^\beta(x) dx, \quad \beta > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\psi(x)$ is a positive valued weight function. Clearly,

$$\frac{d}{d\beta} G_\beta(K) |_{\beta=1} = \int_0^s \psi(x) K(x) \ln K(x) dx = -CPE_{\gamma=1}^\psi(X). \quad (2.10)$$

Indeed, higher order derivatives of $G_\beta(K)$ yield higher order weighted cumulative past entropy measures.

In the following proposition, we establish that the WFGCPE is shift-dependent. This makes the proposed weighted fractional measure useful in context-dependent situations.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $Y = aX + b$, where $a > 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Then,*

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(Y) = \frac{a}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^s \psi(ax + b)K(x)[- \ln K(x)]^\gamma dx, \quad \gamma > 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. The proof follows from $K_Y(x) = K(\frac{x-b}{a})$. Thus, it is omitted. \square

Table 1: The closed form expressions of the WFGCPE of different distributions. For the case of Frèchet distribution, we assume that $\gamma > 3/c$.

Model	Cumulative distribution function	$\psi(x) = x$	$\psi(x) = x^2$
Power distribution	$K(x) = \left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^c, \quad 0 < x < b, \quad c > 0,$	$\frac{b^2}{c(1 + \frac{2}{c})^{\gamma+1}}$	$\frac{b^3}{c(1 + \frac{3}{c})^{\gamma+1}}$
Frèchet distribution	$K(x) = e^{-bx^{-c}}, \quad x > 0, \quad b, \quad c > 0$	$\frac{b^{\frac{2}{c}}\Gamma(\gamma - \frac{2}{c})}{c\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}$	$\frac{b^{\frac{3}{c}}\Gamma(\gamma - \frac{3}{c})}{c\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}$

In particular, let us consider $\psi(x) = x$. Then, after some simplification, from (2.11) we get

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(Y) = a^2 CPE_\gamma^{\psi(x)=x}(X) + ab CPE_\gamma(X), \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$CPE_\gamma^{\psi(x)=x}(X) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^s xK(x)[- \ln K(x)]^\gamma dx \quad (2.13)$$

and $CPE_\gamma(X)$ is given by (1.5). It is always of interest to express various information measures in terms of the expectation of a function of random variable of interest. Define

$$\mu(t) = \int_0^t \frac{K(x)}{K(t)} dx, \quad (2.14)$$

which is known as the mean inactivity time of X . Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009) expressed cumulative entropy in terms of the expectation of the mean inactivity time of X . Recently, Di Crescenzo et al. (2021) showed that the fractional generalized cumulative entropy can be written as the expectation of a decreasing function of X . Below, we get similar findings for the case of the WFGCPE.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be nonnegative absolutely continuous random variable with distribution function $K(\cdot)$ and density function $k(\cdot)$ such that $CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) < +\infty$. Then,*

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) = E[\tau_\gamma^\psi(X)], \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\tau_\gamma^\psi(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \int_u^s \psi(x) [-\ln K(x)]^\gamma dx, \quad \gamma > 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. Noting $K(x) = \int_0^x k(u)du$ and applying Fubini's theorem, we have from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \int_0^s \psi(x) [-\ln K(x)]^\gamma \left(\int_0^x k(u)du \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \int_0^s f(u) \left(\int_u^s \psi(x) [-\ln K(x)]^\gamma dx \right) du \\ &= E[\tau_\gamma^\psi(X)], \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_\gamma^\psi(\cdot)$ is given by (2.16). This completes the proof. \square

Note that (2.15) reduces to Eq. (20) of Tahmasebi et al. (2020), for $\gamma = n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s = +\infty$. For $\psi(x) = 1$, Proposition 2.2 turns out as Proposition 2.1 of Di Crescenzo et al. (2021).

Similar to the normalized cumulative entropy, Di Crescenzo et al. (2021) proposed a normalized fractional generalized cumulative entropy of a random variable X with nonnegative bounded support. Here, we define a normalized WFGCPE. It is assumed that the weighted cumulative past entropy with a general nonnegative weight function is nonzero and finite, which is given by (see Suhov and Sekeh (2015))

$$CPE^\psi(X) = - \int_0^s \psi(x) K(x) \ln K(x) dx, \quad \psi(x) \geq 0. \quad (2.17)$$

The normalized WFGCPE of X can be defined as

$$NCPE_\gamma^\psi(X) = \frac{CPE_\gamma^\psi(X)}{(CPE^\psi)^\gamma} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \frac{\int_0^s \psi(x) K(x) [-\ln K(x)]^\gamma dx}{\left(\int_0^s \psi(x) K(x) [-\ln K(x)] dx \right)^\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Note that

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0^+} NCPE_\gamma^\psi(X) = \int_0^s \psi(x) K(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} NCPE_\gamma^\psi(X) = 1.$$

The closed form expressions of the normalized WFGCPE of power and Frèchet distributions are presented in Table 2 for two choices of the weight functions.

Table 2: The closed form expressions of the normalized WFGCPE of different distributions as Table 1. For the case of the Frèchet distribution, $c > \max\{3/\gamma, 3\}$, $\gamma > 0$.

Model	$\psi(x) = x$	$\psi(x) = x^2$
Power distribution	$\frac{(c+2)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)b^{2(\gamma-1)}}$	$\frac{(c+3)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)b^{3(\gamma-1)}}$
Frèchet distribution	$\frac{1}{(\Gamma(\gamma+1))^2} \frac{c^{\gamma-1}}{b^{\frac{2}{c}(\gamma-1)}} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma - \frac{2}{c})}{(\Gamma(1 - \frac{2}{c}))^\gamma}$	$\frac{1}{(\Gamma(\gamma+1))^2} \frac{c^{\gamma-1}}{b^{\frac{3}{c}(\gamma-1)}} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma - \frac{3}{c})}{(\Gamma(1 - \frac{3}{c}))^\gamma}$




Figure 1: Graphs of the normalized WFGCPE with (a) $\psi(x) = x$ and (b) $\psi(x) = x^2$ for the power distribution as in Table 2.

2.1 Some ordering results

In this subsection, we obtain some ordering properties for the WFGCPE. It can be shown that the function $\tau_\gamma^\psi(u)$ given by (2.16) is decreasing and convex when $\psi(x)$ is decreasing in x . Thus, for decreasing ψ , we have

$$X_1 \leq_{dcx} X_2 \Rightarrow E[\tau_\gamma^\psi(X_1)] \leq E[\tau_\gamma^\psi(X_2)] \Rightarrow CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1) \leq CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_2). \quad (2.19)$$

Di Crescenzo and Toomaj (2017) showed that more dispersed distributions produce larger generalized cumulative entropy. Note that the generalized cumulative entropy was introduced and studied by Kayal (2016). Recently, Di Crescenzo et al. (2021) established similar property for the fractional generalized cumulative entropy. In the following proposition, we notice that analogous result holds for the proposed measure given by (2.1). We recall that the dispersive order $X_1 \leq_{disp} X_2$ can be equivalently characterized by (see P. 160, Oja (1981))

$$k_1(K_1^{-1}(u)) \geq k_2(K_2^{-1}(u)), \quad u \in (0, 1). \quad (2.20)$$

Proposition 2.3. *Consider two nonnegative absolutely continuous random variables X_1 and X_2 with CDFs K_1 and K_2 , respectively. Then,*

$$X_1 \leq_{disp} X_2 \Rightarrow CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1) \leq CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_2), \quad (2.21)$$

provided ψ is increasing.

Proof. Using the transformation $K_1(x) = u$, we have

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^1 \psi(K_1^{-1}(u)) u (-\ln u)^\gamma \frac{du}{k_1(K_1^{-1}(u))}.$$

Thus,

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1) - CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^1 u (-\ln u)^\gamma \left[\frac{\psi(K_1^{-1}(u))}{k_1(K_1^{-1}(u))} - \frac{\psi(K_2^{-1}(u))}{k_2(K_2^{-1}(u))} \right] du. \quad (2.22)$$

We know that $X_1 \leq_{disp} X_2 \Rightarrow X_1 \leq_{st} X_2$, and as a result, $K_1^{-1}(u) \leq K_2^{-1}(u)$, $u \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, ψ is increasing. Thus, $\psi(K_1^{-1}(u)) \leq \psi(K_2^{-1}(u))$. Using this inequality and (2.20) in (2.22), the hypothesis in (2.21) holds. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.3 reduces to Proposition 1 of Tahmasebi (2020) when $s = +\infty$ and $\gamma = n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the following, we obtain different sufficient conditions involving the hazard rate order for the similar outcome in (2.21). We recall that X_1 has decreasing failure rate (DFR) if the hazard rate of X_1 is decreasing, equivalently, $\bar{K}_1(x) = 1 - K_1(x)$ is log-convex.

Proposition 2.4. *For the random variables X_1 and X_2 as in Proposition 2.3, let $X_1 \leq_{hr} X_2$ hold. Further, let X_1 or X_2 be DFR. Then, for $\gamma > 0$, one has $CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1) \leq CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_2)$.*

Proof. Making use of the result in Proposition 2.3, the proof follows from Theorem 2.1(b) of Bagai and Kochar (1986). \square

Next, we will study whether the usual stochastic order implies the ordering of the WFGCPE. In doing so, we consider two random variables X_1 and X_2 with respective distribution functions $K_1(x) = x^{c_1}$ and $K_2(x) = x^{c_2}$, $0 < x < 1$, $c_1, c_2 > 0$. For $c_1 \leq c_2$, clearly $K_1(x) \geq K_2(x)$ implies $X_1 \leq_{st} X_2$. Now, we plot graphs of the difference of the WFGCPEs of X_1 and X_2 in Figure 2, for some values of γ , which reveal that in general, the ordering between the WFGCPEs may not hold.

We end this subsection with a result which compares the WFGCPE measures when two random variables are ordered in the sense of the usual stochastic order. Here, prime denotes the ordinary derivative.

Proposition 2.5. *Consider two nonnegative absolutely continuous random variables X_1 and X_2 with CDFs K_1 and K_2 , respectively, such that $X_1 \leq_{st} X_2$. Further, assume that the means of X_1 and X_2 are finite but unequal. Then, for $\tau_\gamma^\psi(x) < +\infty$ and $E[\tau_\gamma^\psi(X)] < \infty$, we have*

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1) = E[\tau_\gamma^\psi(X_2)] + E[\tau_\gamma^{\psi'}(V)][E(X_1) - E(X_2)], \quad (2.23)$$




Figure 2: The plots of $CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1) - CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_2)$ for (a) $\gamma = 0.5$, (b) $\gamma = 0.75$, (c) $\gamma = 1.5$ and (d) $\gamma = 2.5$. Here, $\psi(x) = x$ is considered.

where V is nonnegative absolutely continuous random variable with density function given by

$$k_V(x) = \frac{\bar{K}_2(x) - \bar{K}_1(x)}{E(X_2) - E(X_1)}, \quad x > 0 \quad (2.24)$$

Proof. We note that $CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1) = E[\tau_\gamma^\psi(X_1)]$ (see Proposition 2.2). Now, the rest of the proof follows from Theorem 4.1 of Di Crescenzo (1999). \square

It can be easily seen that when $\psi(x) = 1$, Proposition 2.5 reduces to Proposition 3.4 of Di Crescenzo et al. (2021). Further, when $\gamma = n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi(x) = 1$, then Proposition 2.5 coincides with Proposition 3.8 of Di Crescenzo and Toomaj (2017). Here, $\tau_\gamma^{\psi'}(v) \leq 0$, for $v > 0$. Thus, under the assumptions made in Proposition 2.5, a lower bound of $CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1)$ can be obtained, which is given by

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1) \geq E[\tau_\gamma^\psi(X_2)].$$

In the next subsection, we discuss various bounds of the WFGCPE given by (2.1).

2.2 Bounds

Di Crescenzo and Longobardi (2009) established that the cumulative entropy of the sum of two independent nonnegative random variables is larger than the maximum of the cumulative entropies of the individual random variables. Similar result was obtained by

Di Crescenzo et al. (2021) for the fractal generalized cumulative entropy. Below, we establish analogous result for the WFGCPE under the assumption that the weight function $\psi(x)$ is increasing in x and the PDFs of the random variables are log-concave.

Proposition 2.6. *Let X_1 and X_2 be a pair of independent nonnegative absolutely continuous random variables having log-concave PDFs. Then, for all increasing function ψ , we have*

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1 + X_2) \geq \max\{CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1), CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_2)\}, \quad \gamma > 0. \quad (2.25)$$

Proof. Under the assumptions made, the proof follows from Theorem 3.B.7 of Shaked and Shanthikumar (2007) and Proposition 2.3. Thus, it is omitted. \square

When $\gamma = n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s = +\infty$ and $\psi(x) = [K(x)]^n$, the result in Proposition 2.6 yields Proposition 2 of Tahmasebi et al. (2020). Further, if we consider $\psi(x) = 1$, then one can easily obtain the result stated in Proposition 3.1 of Di Crescenzo et al. (2021). Next, we obtain a bound of the WFGCPE given by (2.1).

Proposition 2.7. *For a nonnegative random variable with support $(0, s)$ and $\psi(x) = [\xi(x)]^\gamma$, $\xi(x) \geq 0$, we have*

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) \begin{cases} \geq \frac{s^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} [CPE^\xi(X)]^\gamma, & \text{if } \gamma \geq 1 \\ \leq \frac{s^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} [CPE^\xi(X)]^\gamma, & \text{if } 0 < \gamma \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

where $CPE^\xi(X) = -\int_0^s \xi(x)K(x) \ln K(x)dx$ is known as the weighted cumulative past entropy with weight function $\xi(x)$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \geq 1$. Then, for $0 < x < s$, $K(x) \geq [K(x)]^\gamma$. Thus, under the assumptions made, from (2.1), we obtain

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \int_0^s \alpha_\gamma(\beta(x))dx, \quad (2.27)$$

where $\beta(x) = -\xi(x)K(x) \ln K(x) \geq 0$ and $\alpha_\gamma(t) = t^\gamma$. It can be shown that t^γ is convex in $t \geq 0$, for $\gamma \geq 1$. Thus, from Jensen's integral inequality, the rest of the proof follows. The case for $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ can be proved similarly. \square

Proposition 2.8. *For a nonnegative random variable with support $(0, s)$ and $\gamma > 0$, we have*

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) \begin{cases} \geq \psi(s)CPE_\gamma(X), & \text{if } \psi \text{ is decreasing} \\ \leq \psi(s)CPE_\gamma(X), & \text{if } \psi \text{ is increasing,} \end{cases} \quad (2.28)$$

where $CPE_\gamma(X) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \int_0^s K(x)[- \ln K(x)]^\gamma dx$ is known as the fractional generalized cumulative past entropy.

Proof. The proof is straightforward, and thus it is omitted. \square

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be an absolutely continuous random variable with support $(0, s)$ with mean $E(X) = \mu < +\infty$. Then,*

- (i) $CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \int_0^s \psi(x)K(x)[1 - K(x)]^\gamma dx;$
- (ii) $CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) \geq D(\gamma)e^{H(X)}$, where $D(\gamma) = e^{\int_0^1 \ln[\psi(K^{-1}(u))u(-\ln u)^\gamma] du}$ and $H(X)$ is the differential entropy of X ;
- (iii) $CPE_\gamma^\psi(X) \geq \tau_\gamma^\psi(\mu)$, provided ψ is decreasing.

Proof. The first part of this proposition follows from the relation $\ln u \leq u - 1$, for $0 < u < 1$. To prove the second part, from the log-sum inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s k(x) \ln \frac{k(x)}{\psi(x)K(x)[- \ln K(x)]^\gamma} dx &\geq \ln \frac{1}{\int_0^s \psi(x)K(x)[- \ln K(x)]^\gamma dx} \\ &= -CPE_\gamma^\psi(X). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Now, the rest of the proof follows using the arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2 of Xiong et al. (2019). Third part follows from Jensen's inequality. \square

We end this subsection with the following result, which provides bounds of the WFGCPE of X_2 , where the CDF of X_2 is given by (2.34).

Proposition 2.10. *Let X_1 and X_2 be two random variables with CDFs K_1 and K_2 , respectively. Further, assume that the random variables satisfy proportional reversed hazard model described in (2.34). Then,*

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_2) \begin{cases} \leq \eta^\gamma CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1), & \text{for } \eta \geq 1 \\ \geq \eta^\gamma CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_1), & \text{for } 0 < \eta \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is simple, and thus it is omitted. \square

2.3 Connection with fractional calculus

Fractional calculus and its widely application have recently been paid more and more attentions. We refer to Miller and Ross (1993,) and Gorenflo and Mainardi (2008) for more recent development on fractional calculus. Several known forms of the fractional integrals have been proposed in the literature. Among these, the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\gamma > 0$ has been studied extensively for its applications. See, for instance Dahmani et al. (2010), Romero et al. (2013) and Tunc (2013). Let $\gamma > 0$ and $f \in L^1(a, b)$, $a \geq 0$. Then, the left-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional integral in the interval $[a, b]$ is defined as follows

$$J_{a+}^\gamma f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^t \frac{f(\tau)}{(t - \tau)^{1-\gamma}} d\tau, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (2.30)$$

where f is a real-valued continuous function. We recall that the notion of the left-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional integral given by (2.30) can be elongated with respect to a

strictly increasing function $h(\cdot)$. In addition to this strictly increasing property, we further assume that the first order derivative $h'(\cdot)$ is continuous in the interval (a, b) . Then, for $\gamma > 0$, the left-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of f with respect to h is given by

$$J_{a^+;h}^\gamma f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^t \frac{h'(\tau)f(\tau)}{(h(t) - h(\tau))^{1-\gamma}} d\tau, \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (2.31)$$

One may refer to Samko et al. (1993) (Section 18.2) for the representation given in (2.31). Now, we will notice that the WFGCPE can be expressed in terms of the limits of the integral (2.31) after suitable choices of the functions $f(x)$ and $h(x)$, that is, the fractional nature of the proposed measure is justified. Let us take

$$h(x) = \ln K(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = \frac{\psi(x)(K(x))^2}{k(x)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow s} J_{a^+;h}^{\gamma+1} f(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^s \psi(x)K(x)[- \ln K(x)]^\gamma dx \\ &= CPE_\gamma^\psi(X). \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

2.4 Proportional reversed hazards model

Let X be a nonnegative absolutely continuous random variable with distribution function K and density function k . Here, X may be treated as the lifetime of a unit. If $\lambda(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln K(t)$ denotes the reversed hazard rate of X , then $\lambda(t)dt$ represents the conditional probability the unit stopped working in an infinitesimal interval of width dt preceding t , given that the unit failed before t . In otherwords, $\lambda(t)dt$ is the probability of failing in the interval $(t - dt, t)$ given that the unit is found failed at time t . Let X_1 and X_2 be two random variables with PDFs k_1 and k_2 , CDFs K_1 and K_2 and reversed hazard rate functions λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively. It is well known that X_1 and X_2 have proportional reversed hazard rate model if

$$\lambda_2(x) = \eta \lambda_1(x) = \eta \frac{k_1(x)}{K_1(x)}, \quad (2.33)$$

where $\eta > 0$ is known as the proportionality constant. Note that (2.33) is equivalent to the model

$$K_2(x) = [K_1(x)]^\eta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \eta > 0, \quad (2.34)$$

where K_1 is the baseline distribution function (see Gupta et al. (1998), Di Crescenzo (2000) and Gupta and Gupta (2007)). The PDF of X_2 is

$$k_2(x) = \eta(K_1(x))^{\eta-1} k_1(x), \quad x > 0, \quad \eta > 0. \quad (2.35)$$

Next, we evaluate the WFGCPE of X_2 . Making use of (2.35), from (2.1) and (2.34), we have after some standard calculations that

$$\begin{aligned}
CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_2) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \int_0^s \psi(x)(K_1(x))^\eta [-\ln(K_1(x))^\eta]^\gamma dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \left[\int_0^s x\psi(x) [-\ln(K_1(x))^\eta]^\gamma \eta(K_1(x))^{\eta-1} k_1(x) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. -\gamma \int_0^s x\psi(x) [-\ln(K_1(x))^\eta]^{\gamma-1} \eta(K_1(x))^{\eta-1} k_1(x) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^s \frac{x\psi'(x)}{\eta\lambda_1(x)} [-\ln(K_1(x))^\eta]^\gamma \eta(K_1(x))^{\eta-1} k_1(x) dx \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \left[\int_0^s x\psi(x) [-\ln K_2(x)]^\gamma k_2(x) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. -\gamma \int_0^s x\psi(x) [-\ln K_2(x)]^{\gamma-1} k_2(x) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^s \frac{x\psi'(x)}{\eta\lambda_1(x)} [-\ln K_2(x)]^\gamma k_2(x) dx \right]. \tag{2.36}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, denote

$$\mathcal{E}_2^\eta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} E [X_2 \psi(X_2) [-\ln K_2(X_2)]^{\gamma-1}] \tag{2.37}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\eta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} E \left[\frac{X_2 \psi'(X_2)}{\lambda_1(X_2)} [-\ln K_2(X_2)]^{\gamma-1} \right]. \tag{2.38}$$

Thus, using (2.37) and (2.38) in (2.36), the following proposition can be obtained.

Proposition 2.11. *Let (2.34) hold. Then, the WFGCPE of X_2 can be expressed as*

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(X_2) = \mathcal{E}_2^\eta(\gamma) - \mathcal{E}_2^\eta(\gamma+1) - \eta^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\eta(\gamma+1), \quad \gamma > 0, \tag{2.39}$$

provided the associated expectations are finite.

We note that when $\psi(x) = 1$, (2.39) reduces to Eq. (19) of Di Crescenzo et al. (2021). An illustration of the result in Proposition 2.11 is provided in the following example when $\psi(x) = x$.

Example 2.2. *Let $K_1(x) = x$, $0 < x < 1$ be the baseline distribution function. We will find the WFGCPE of a random variable X_2 with distribution function $K_2(x) = [K_1(x)]^c = x^c$, $0 < x < 1$, $c > 0$. Under this set up, from (2.37), for $\psi(x) = x$, we obtain*

$$\mathcal{E}_2^\eta(\gamma) = \frac{c^\gamma}{(2+c)^\gamma} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\eta(\gamma). \tag{2.40}$$

Now, using (2.40) in (2.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi(x)=x}(X_2) &= \frac{c^{\gamma}}{(2+c)^{\gamma}} - \frac{c^{\gamma+1}}{(2+c)^{\gamma+1}} - \frac{c^{\gamma}}{(2+c)^{\gamma+1}} \\ &= \frac{c^{\gamma}}{(2+c)^{\gamma+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the case of the Power distribution as in Table 1 for $b = 1$.

The WFGCPE of X_2 can be represented in terms of the WFGCPE with different weight functions as follows

$$CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi}(X_2) = -CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi_1}(X_2) - \eta CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi_2}(X_2) + \eta\gamma^{-1}CPE_{\gamma+1}^{\psi_2}(X_2), \quad (2.41)$$

where ψ is increasing, $\psi_1(x) = x\psi'(x)$ and $\psi_2(x) = x\psi(x)\lambda_1(x)$. Next, we show that a recurrence relation can be constructed for the WFGCPE of X_2 . It is shown that the WFGCPE of X_2 of order $(\gamma + 1)$ can be expressed in terms of that of order γ . From (2.39),

$$\begin{aligned} CPE_{\gamma+1}^{\psi}(X_2) &= \mathcal{E}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + 1) - \mathcal{E}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + 2) - \eta^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + 2) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_2^{\eta}(\gamma) - \mathcal{E}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + 2) - \eta^{-1}[\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + 1) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + 2)] - CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi}(X_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Further, when $\psi(x) = 1$, (2.42) reduces to Eq. (22) of Di Crescenzo et al. (2021). We note that the recurrence relation in (2.42) can be generalized for any integer $n \geq 1$, which is presented in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.12. *Let n be a positive integer. Then, under the model in (2.34), for $\eta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$, we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned} CPE_{\gamma+n}^{\psi}(X_2) &= \mathcal{E}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + n) - \mathcal{E}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + n + 1) + (-1)^{n-1}[\mathcal{E}_2^{\eta}(\gamma) - \mathcal{E}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + 1)] \\ &\quad + \eta^{-1}[(-1)^n\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + 1) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + n + 1)] + (-1)^n CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi}(X_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

Proof. The proof follows using similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.4 of Di Crescenzo et al. (2021). Thus, it is omitted. \square

We note that for the weight function $\psi(x) = 1$, Proposition 2.12 coincides with Proposition 2.4 of Di Crescenzo et al. (2021). In this case, the terms $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + 1)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^{\eta}(\gamma + n + 1)$ become zero.

3 Empirical WFGCPE

Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a random sample of size n drawn from a population with CDF K . The order statistics of the sample T are the ordered sample values, denoted by $T_{1:n} \leq \dots \leq T_{n:n}$. Denote the indicator function of the set A by I_A , where

$$I_A = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \text{ is true} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The empirical CDF on the basis of the random sample T is given by

$$\tilde{K}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{T_i \leq x\}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x < T_{1:n} \\ \frac{l}{n}, & \text{if } T_{l:n} \leq x < T_{l+1:n} \\ 1, & \text{if } x \geq T_{n:n}, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $l = 1, \dots, n-1$. Using (3.1), for $\gamma > 0$ and $\psi(x) \geq 0$, the WFGCPE given by (2.1) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \int_0^s \psi(x) \hat{K}_n(x) [-\ln \hat{K}_n(x)]^\gamma dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \int_{T_{l:n}}^{T_{l+1:n}} \psi(x) \hat{K}_n(x) [-\ln \hat{K}_n(x)]^\gamma dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} Z_l \left(\frac{l}{n}\right) \left(-\ln \frac{l}{n}\right)^\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $Z_l = \Psi(T_{l+1:n}) - \Psi(T_{l:n})$ and $\Psi(x) = \int_0^x \psi(x) dx$. Note that when $\psi(x) = 1$, we get the empirical fractional generalized cumulative entropy (see Di Crescenzo et al. (2021)) from (3.2). For $\psi(x) = x$ and $\gamma = 1$, (3.2) coincides with the empirical weighted cumulative entropy proposed by Misagh et al. (2011). Further, let γ be a natural number. Then, for $\psi(x) = x$, (3.2) reduces to the empirical shift-dependent generalized cumulative entropy due to Kayal and Moharana (2019). Thus, we observe that the proposed empirical estimate in (3.2) is a generalization of several empirical estimates developed so far. In the following theorem, we show that the empirical WFGCPE converges to the WFGCPE almost surely.

Theorem 3.1. *Consider a nonnegative absolutely continuous random variable X with CDF K . Then, for $X \in L^p$, $p > 2$, we have*

$$CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n) \rightarrow CPE_\gamma^\psi(X),$$

almost surely.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{(-1)^\gamma} CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n) &= \int_0^1 \psi(x) \hat{K}_n(x) [\ln \hat{K}_n(x)]^\gamma dx + \int_1^s \psi(x) \hat{K}_n(x) [\ln \hat{K}_n(x)]^\gamma dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Now, using dominated convergence theorem and Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, the rest of the proof follows as in Theorem 14 of Tahmasebi et al. (2020). \square

Next, we consider a data set, which was studied by Abouammoh et al. (1994). It represents the ordered lifetimes (in days) of 43 blood cancer patients, due to one of the Ministry of Health Hospitals in Saudi Arabia.

115, 181, 255, 418, 441, 461, 516, 739, 743, 789, 807, 865, 924, 983, 1024, 1062, 1063, 1165, 1191, 1222, 1222, 1251, 1277, 1290, 1357, 1369, 1408, 1455, 1478, 1549, 1578, 1578, 15999, 1603, 1605, 1696, 1735, 1799, 1815, 1852, 1899, 1925, 1965.

Based on this data set, let us now compute the values of the WFGCPE with weight functions $\psi(x) = \sqrt{x}$, $\psi(x) = x$ and $\psi(x) = x^2$ for various values of γ , which are presented in Table 3. Indeed, one can compute the values of the WFGCPE with any positive valued weight functions.

Table 3: Values of the WFGCPE based on the ordered lifetimes (in days) of 43 blood cancer patients.

γ	$\psi(x) = \sqrt{x}$	$\psi(x) = x$	$\psi(x) = x^2$
0.25	24004.3	881460	1.27542×10^9
0.5	20065.8	707724	9.59358×10^8
0.75	16858.4	570814	7.23578×10^8
1.5	10279.3	309581	3.22149×10^8
2.75	4489.63	114320	8.89639×10^7




Figure 3: Graphs of the empirical WFGCPE with (a) $\psi(x) = x$ and (b) $\psi(x) = x^2$ based on the ordered lifetimes (in days) of 43 blood cancer patients, for $\gamma = 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.5$ and 2.75 (from above)

Next, we consider examples to illustrate the proposed empirical measure.

Example 3.1. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a random sample drawn from a population with CDF $K(x) = x^2$, $0 < x < 1$. Consider $\psi(x) = x$. It can be shown that T_l^2 , $l = 1, \dots, n-1$ follow uniform distribution in the interval $(0, 1)$. Further, the sample spacings $T_{l+1:n}^2 - T_{l:n}^2$, $l = 1, \dots, n-1$ are independently beta distributed with parameters 1 and n . For details, please refer to Pyke (1965). Thus, from (3.2), for $\gamma > 0$, we get

$$E[CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2(1+n)} \left(\frac{l}{n}\right) \left[-\ln \frac{l}{n}\right]^\gamma \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$Var[CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n)] = \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\gamma+1))^2} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{4(1+n)^2(2+n)} \left(\frac{l}{n}\right)^2 \left[-\ln \frac{l}{n}\right]^{2\gamma}. \quad (3.5)$$

We present the computed values of the means and variances of the empirical estimator of WFGCPE under the set up explained in Example 3.1 in Table 4. From Table 4, we observe that for fixed sample sizes, as γ increases, the mean and variance of the proposed estimator decrease. Further, for fixed γ , the mean and variance respectively increase and decrease, as the sample size increases.

Table 4: Numerical values of $E(CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n))$ and $Var(CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n))$ for the distribution as in Example 3.1.

γ	n	$E(CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n))$	$Var(CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n))$	γ	n	$E(CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n))$	$Var(CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n))$
0.25	5	0.153878	0.004609	0.5	5	0.135721	0.003395
	10	0.181591	0.003434		10	0.156472	0.002416
	15	0.191238	0.002627		15	0.163420	0.001822
	30	0.200941	0.001507		30	0.170268	0.001034
	50	0.204774	0.000956		50	0.172941	0.000653
0.75	5	0.116302	0.002472	1.5	5	0.066611	0.000968
	10	0.132732	0.001734		10	0.077849	0.000712
	15	0.138160	0.001304		15	0.081549	0.000538
	30	0.143500	0.000738		30	0.085119	0.000306
	50	0.145593	0.000466		50	0.086481	0.000194

Example 3.2. Consider a random sample T from a Weibull population with CDF $K(x) = 1 - e^{-\theta x^2}$, $x > 0$, $\theta > 0$. Using simple transformation theory, it can be established that T_i^2 , $i = 1, \dots, n$ follow exponential distribution with mean $1/\theta$. Further, let $\psi(x) = x$. Under the present set up, the sample spacings $T_{l+1:n}^2 - T_{l:n}^2$, $l = 1, \dots, n-1$ are independent and exponentially distributed with mean $1/(\theta(n-l))$ (see Pyke (1965)). Thus, from (3.2), we obtain

$$E[CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2\theta(n-l)} \left(\frac{l}{n}\right) \left[-\ln \frac{l}{n}\right]^\gamma \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\text{Var}[CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n)] = \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\gamma+1))^2} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{4\theta^2(n-l)^2} \left(\frac{l}{n}\right)^2 \left[-\ln \frac{l}{n}\right]^{2\gamma}. \quad (3.7)$$

Example 3.3. Let T be a random sample from a population with absolutely continuous CDF K and PDF k . Let $\psi(x) = k(x)$. Then, $Z_l = K(T_{l+1:n}) - K(T_{l:n})$, $l = 1, \dots, n-1$ are independent and beta distributed random variables with parameters 1 and n . We refer to Pyke (1965) for details. Thus, similar to (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$E[CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(1+n)} \left(\frac{l}{n}\right) \left[-\ln \frac{l}{n}\right]^\gamma \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\text{Var}[CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n)] = \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\gamma+1))^2} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{(1+n)^2(2+n)} \left(\frac{l}{n}\right)^2 \left[-\ln \frac{l}{n}\right]^{2\gamma}. \quad (3.9)$$

Hereafter, we provide central limit theorems for the empirical WFGCPE when the random samples are drawn from (i) a Weibull distribution with $\psi(x) = x$ and (ii) a general CDF $K(x)$ with $\psi(x) = k(x) = \frac{d}{dx}K(x)$.

Theorem 3.2. Consider a random sample T from a population with PDF $k(x) = 2\lambda x e^{-\lambda x^2}$, $x > 0$, $\lambda > 0$. Then, for $\gamma > 0$ and $\psi(x) = x$,

$$\frac{CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n) - E(CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n))}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n))}} \rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.1 of Kayal and Moharana (2019). Thus, it is omitted. \square

Theorem 3.3. Consider a random sample T from a population with CDF $K(x)$. Then, for $\gamma > 0$ and $\psi(x) = k(x)$,

$$\frac{CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n) - E(CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n))}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(CPE_\gamma^\psi(\hat{K}_n))}} \rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 15 of Tahmasebi et al. (2020). Thus, it is omitted. \square

4 Concluding remarks and some discussions

In this paper, we have proposed a weighted fractional generalized cumulative past entropy of a nonnegative random variable having bounded support. A number of results for the proposed weighted fractional measure have been obtained when the weight is a general nonnegative function. It is noticed that WFGCPE is shift-dependent and can be written as the expectation of a decreasing function of the random variable. Some ordering results and bounds are established. Based on the properties, it can be seen that the proposed measure is a variability measure. Further, a connection between the proposed weighted fractional measure and the fractional calculus is provided. The weighted fractional generalized cumulative past entropy measure is studied for the proportional reversed hazards model. A nonparametric estimator of the weighted fractional generalized cumulative past entropy is introduced based on the empirical cumulative distribution function. Few examples are considered to compute mean and variance of the estimator. Finally, a large sample property of the estimator is studied.

The proposed measure is not appropriate when uncertainty is associated with past. Suppose a system has started working at time $t = 0$. At a pre-specified inspection time say $t \in (0, s)$, the system is found to be down. Then, the random variable $X_{(t)} = X|X \leq t$, where $t \in (0, s)$ is known as the past lifetime. The dynamic weighted fractional generalized cumulative past entropy of $X_{(t)}$ is defined as

$$CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi}(X; t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^t \psi(x) \frac{K(x)}{K(t)} \left(-\ln \frac{K(x)}{K(t)} \right)^{\gamma} dx, \quad \gamma > 0, \psi(x) \geq 0. \quad (4.10)$$

One can prove most of the similar properties for $CPE_{\gamma}^{\psi}(X; t)$ as established for the proposed measure given by (2.1).

Acknowledgements: The author Suchandan Kayal acknowledges the partial financial support for this work under a grant MTR/2018/000350, SERB, India.

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interests.

Data availability statement: NA

References

- Abouammoh, A., Abdulghani, S. and Qamber, I. (1994). On partial orderings and testing of new better than renewal used classes, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*. **43**(1), 37–41.
- Bagai, I. and Kochar, S. C. (1986). On tail-ordering and comparison of failure rates, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*. **15**(4), 1377–1388.

- Dahmani, Z., Tabharit, L. and Taf, S. (2010). New generalizations of Grüss inequality using Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals, *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. **2**(3), 93–99.
- Das, S. (2017). On weighted generalized entropy, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*. **46**(12), 5707–5727.
- Di Crescenzo, A. (1999). A probabilistic analogue of the mean value theorem and its applications to reliability theory, *Journal of Applied Probability*. **36**(3), 706–719.
- Di Crescenzo, A. (2000). Some results on the proportional reversed hazards model, *Statistics & Probability Letters*. **50**(4), 313–321.
- Di Crescenzo, A., Kayal, S. and Meoli, A. (2021). Fractional generalized cumulative entropy and its dynamic version, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*. **102**, 105899.
- Di Crescenzo, A. and Longobardi, M. (2007). On weighted residual and past entropies, *arXiv preprint math/0703489*. .
- Di Crescenzo, A. and Longobardi, M. (2009). On cumulative entropies, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*. **139**(12), 4072–4087.
- Di Crescenzo, A. and Toomaj, A. (2017). Further results on the generalized cumulative entropy, *Kybernetika*. **53**(5), 959–982.
- Dong, K. and Zhang, X. (2020). Multiscale fractional cumulative residual entropy of higher-order moments for estimating uncertainty, *Fluctuation and Noise Letters*. **19**(04), 2050038.
- Ferrari, F. (2018). Weyl and Marchaud derivatives: A forgotten history, *Mathematics*. **6**(1), 6.
- Golomb, S. (1966). The information generating function of a probability distribution, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*. **12**(1), 75–77.
- Gorenflo, R. and Mainardi, F. (2008). Fractional calculus: integral and differential equations of fractional order, *arXiv preprint arXiv:0805.3823*. .
- Guiasu, S. (1971). Weighted entropy, *Reports on Mathematical Physics*. **2**(3), 165–179.
- Gupta, R. C., Gupta, P. L. and Gupta, R. D. (1998). Modeling failure time data by Lehman alternatives, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and methods*. **27**(4), 887–904.
- Gupta, R. C. and Gupta, R. D. (2007). Proportional reversed hazard rate model and its applications, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*. **137**(11), 3525–3536.
- Kayal, S. (2016). On generalized cumulative entropies, *Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences*. **30**(4), 640–662.

- Kayal, S. (2018). On weighted generalized cumulative residual entropy of order n , *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability*. **20**(2), 487–503.
- Kayal, S. and Moharana, R. (2017a). On weighted cumulative residual entropy, *Journal of Statistics and Management Systems*. **20**(2), 153–173.
- Kayal, S. and Moharana, R. (2017b). On weighted measures of cumulative entropy, *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*. **18**(3), 26–46.
- Kayal, S. and Moharana, R. (2019). A shift-dependent generalized cumulative entropy of order n , *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*. **48**(6), 1768–1783.
- Kharazmi, O. and Balakrishnan, N. (2021). Jensen-information generating function and its connections to some well-known information measures, *Statistics & Probability Letters*. **170**, 108995.
- Kharazmi, O., Tamandi, M. and Balakrishnan, N. (2021). Information generating function of ranked set samples, *Entropy*. **23**(11), 1381.
- Miller, K. S. and Ross, B. (1993). *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, USA.
- Mirali, M., Baratpour, S. and Fakoor, V. (2017). On weighted cumulative residual entropy, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*. **46**(6), 2857–2869.
- Misagh, F. (2016). On shift-dependent cumulative entropy measures, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*. **2016**.
- Misagh, F., Panahi, Y., Yari, G. and Shahi, R. (2011). Weighted cumulative entropy and its estimation, *IEEE International Conference on Quality and Reliability*. pp. 477–480.
- Nourbakhsh, M. and Yari, G. (2017). Weighted Renyi’s entropy for lifetime distributions, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*. **46**(14), 7085–7098.
- Oja, H. (1981). On location, scale, skewness and kurtosis of univariate distributions, *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*. **8**(3), 154–168.
- Pyke, R. (1965). Spacings, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*. **27**(3), 395–436.
- Rao, M., Chen, Y., Vemuri, B. C. and Wang, F. (2004). Cumulative residual entropy: a new measure of information, *IEEE transactions on Information Theory*. **50**(6), 1220–1228.
- Rényi, A. (1961). On measures of entropy and information, *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 1: Contributions to the Theory of Statistics*, The Regents of the University of California.

- Romero, L. G., Luque, L. L., Dorrego, G. A. and Cerutti, R. A. (2013). On the k -Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*. **8**(1), 41–51.
- Samko, S. G., Kilbas, A. A. and Marichev, O. I. (1993). *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science, Yverdon, Switzerland.
- Shaked, M. and Shanthikumar, J. G. (2007). *Stochastic Orders*, Springer, New York.
- Shannon, C. E. (1948). A note on the concept of entropy, *Bell System Technical Journal*. **27**(3), 379–423.
- Suhov, Y. and Sekeh, S. Y. (2015). Weighted cumulative entropies: an extension of CRE and CE, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.07051*. .
- Tahmasebi, S. (2020). Weighted extensions of generalized cumulative residual entropy and their applications, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*. **49**(21), 5196–5219.
- Tahmasebi, S., Longobardi, M., Foroghi, F. and Lak, F. (2020). An extension of weighted generalized cumulative past measure of information, *Ricerche di Matematica*. **69**(1), 53–81.
- Tsallis, C. (1988). Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics, *Journal of Statistical Physics*. **52**(1), 479–487.
- Tunc, M. (2013). On new inequalities for h -convex functions via Riemann-Liouville fractional integration, *Filomat*. **27**(4), 559–565.
- Ubriaco, M. R. (2009). Entropies based on fractional calculus, *Physics Letters A*. **373**(30), 2516–2519.
- Wang, Q. A. (2003). Extensive generalization of statistical mechanics based on incomplete information theory, *Entropy*. **5**(2), 220–232.
- Xiong, H., Shang, P. and Zhang, Y. (2019). Fractional cumulative residual entropy, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*. **78**, 104879.