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Abstract

We analyze nonlinear Maxwell’s equations in vacuum without a linear approximation. The finite-difference time-domain method is extended to include the nonlinearity. In a one-dimensional cavity, numerical calculations and analytically-derived envelope functions show a large self-modulation of electromagnetic waves. An initial linear polarization slowly changes to an orthogonal linear polarization via elliptical polarization, and vice versa. The rotation direction of the elliptical polarization also changes. These behaviors in vacuum are calculated for feasible parameters and the characteristics revealed of nonlinear electromagnetic waves shall be experimentally observed.

In classical electromagnetism, the electromagnetic fields are described by the linear Maxwell’s equations. In modern physics, several corrections have been proposed for the behavior of the electromagnetic fields such as the Heisenberg-Euler theory [1] based on the quantum electrodynamics, the Born-Infeld theory [2] derived by an analogy to the special theory of relativity, and the more generalized Plebański class [3]. The nonlinear correction of electromagnetic fields affects many branches of physics. For example, several calculations are performed for the radiation from pulsars and neutron stars [4][5][6], the Wichmann-Kroll correction [7] to the Lamb shift, a photon-photon scattering [8], an interaction between a nucleus and electrons through the Uehling potential [9][10][11], a correction to the states of a hydrogen atom [12][13][14][15], an electromagnetic effect for black holes [16][17], and a possibility of magnetic monopoles [17].

Various experimental proposals have been considered for the verification of the nonlinear correction, such as an inverse Cotton-Mouton effect [18], four wave mixing [19][20], a refraction of light by light [21], and birefringence [22][23][24][25]. Several experiments have also been performed [26][27][28], but yet confirmed. In most proposals and numerical evaluations, nonlinear effect is calculated via a linear approximation of the nonlinear Maxwell’s equations.
A resonant increase of nonlinear corrective electromagnetic field [29][30][31] is important because it can be observed with an existing experimental apparatus. An analysis without the linear approximation is essential to understand the resonant behavior and possibility of large nonlinear correction.

In this study, we report a self-modulation of electromagnetic waves in vacuum. We extend the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method [32] to solve the nonlinear constitutive relation of the magnetic flux density, electric flux density, and electric field. Further, we analytically derive temporal envelope functions to reproduce the numerical results in a one-dimensional cavity. Numerical and analytical calculations reveal a large and slow appearance of nonlinear correction. For example, we demonstrate that the polarization can change by 90 degrees at a specific time for an experimentally feasible magnetic field.

The electromagnetic fields are normalized by the electric constant \( \varepsilon_0 \) and magnetic constant \( \mu_0 \). As the electric field is multiplied by \( \varepsilon_0^{1/2} \) and electric flux density is divided by \( \varepsilon_0^{1/2} \). Similarly, the magnetic flux density is divided by \( \mu_0^{1/2} \) and magnetic field is multiplied by \( \mu_0^{1/2} \). Using the electric field \( \mathbf{E} \) and magnetic flux density \( \mathbf{B} \), we introduce two Lorentz invariants by \( F = E^2 - B^2 \) and \( G = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \). The Lagrangian density we treat in this study is given by

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} F + C_{2,0} F^2 + C_{0,2} G^2, \tag{1}
\]

where \( C_{2,0} \) and \( C_{0,2} \) are the nonlinear parameters[23]. In Figs. 2, 3, and 4, we use the values \( C_{2,0} = 1.665 \times 10^{-30} \text{ (m}^3/\text{J)} \) and \( C_{0,2} = 7C_{2,0} \) [33] of the Heisenberg-Euler model. A part of electromagnetic field can be calculated by the classical linear Maxwell’s equations, or the “classical term” and express it by a subscript c. The difference from the classical term is the “corrective term” and expressed by a subscript n. Thus, we can express as \( \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_c + \mathbf{E}_n \) and \( \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_c + \mathbf{B}_n \), respectively. The corrective electric flux density and magnetic field are given by

\[
\mathbf{D}_n = \mathbf{E}_n + 4C_{2,0} F \mathbf{E} + 2C_{0,2} G \mathbf{B}, \tag{2a}
\]

\[
\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{B}_n + 4C_{2,0} F \mathbf{B} - 2C_{0,2} G \mathbf{E}. \tag{2b}
\]

The nonlinear Maxwell’s equations for the corrective term are given by \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_n = 0, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_n = 0 \), \( \partial_t \mathbf{B}_n = -c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_n \), and \( \partial_t \mathbf{D}_n = c \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_n \), where \( c \) is the speed of light and \( \partial_t \) expresses the partial differentiation with respect to time \( t \).

In a numerical time evolution, only \( \mathbf{B}_n \) and \( \mathbf{D}_n \) are directly obtained, but not \( \mathbf{E}_n \) unless
it is determined a priori, such as boundary conditions. In classical electromagnetism, the electric field and electric flux density are proportional and the usual FDTD method is available just as it is. However, in nonlinear electromagnetism, the proportional relation is broken and $E_n$ is only implicitly given to satisfy Eq. (2a) for obtained $B_n$ and $D_n$. Here, a special procedure for calculating $E_n$ is required. First, we calculate

$$B = B_c + B_n$$

and

$$D = D_c + D_n.$$ 

If $B \neq 0$, let $D_1 = D \cdot B/B$ and $D_2 = |D - D_1B|/B$, we obtain

$$\frac{D_1^2}{(1 + 4C_{2,0}F + 2C_{0,2}B^2)^2} + \frac{D_2^2}{(1 + 4C_{2,0}F)^2} - B^2 = F.$$ 

If $B = 0$, we can use $D^2$ instead of $D_1^2 + D_2^2$. Since $B$ and $D$ are already calculated, this equation can be regarded as a problem of one variable $F$. Figure 1 shows both sides as functions of $F$. In the case of $D_2 \neq 0$, the left-hand side monotonically decreases at $F > -1/(4C_{2,0})$ and converges to $-B^2 \leq 0$. The right-hand side obviously increases monotonically. Therefore, if $B^2 < 1/(4C_{2,0})$, in the domain of $F > -1/(4C_{2,0})$, there is a unique $F$ that satisfies Eq. (3). Then, we can calculate $c = D_n - 4C_{2,0}FE_c - 2C_{0,2}(E_c \cdot B)B$ and

$$\Lambda = (1 + 4C_{2,0}F)I_3 + 2C_{0,2}BB^T,$$ 

where $I_3$ is the identity matrix of size three, as independent values of $E_n$. Because $|\Lambda| \neq 0$, $E_n$ is uniquely obtained by

$$E_n = \Lambda^{-1}c.$$ 

In the case of $D_1 \neq 0$ and $D_2 = 0$, unique $F$ and $E_n$ are obtained in a similar way. If $D_1 = 0$ and $D_2 = 0$, $E_n = -E_c$ is clear.

We demonstrate numerical calculations in a one-dimensional cavity system with length $L$ in the $x$ direction, i.e., $0 \leq x \leq L$. The mirrors are supposed to be perfect conductors and the boundary conditions are given as the $y, z$ components of the electric field and the $x$ component of the magnetic flux density to be zero. The classical term at $t \geq 0$ is given as the sum of a standing wave and static magnetic flux density:

$$E_c = A \sin \omega t \sin kxe_y,$$

$$B_c = A \cos \omega t \cos kxe_z + B_s,$$ 

where $A$ is the amplitude of the standing wave, the wave number $k$ and frequency $\omega$ are connected to the wave length $\lambda$ via $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ and $\omega = ck$; and $e_{y,z}$ are the unit vectors of the $y, z$ directions, respectively. We employ $\lambda = 400$ (nm) and $L = 100\pi/k$ for numerical
FIG. 1. Typical distributions of both sides of Eq. (3) in the case of $D_1 \neq 0$ and $D_2 \neq 0$. The red curves are the left-hand side and the blue line is the right-hand side. The vertical axis is the value of each side. The unique intersection expresses the unique solution. The intersection exists at $F < 0$ if $E^2 < B^2$.

calculations. $B_s = (0, B_{sys}, B_{sz})$ is a constant static magnetic flux density and its magnitude is expressed by $B_s$. This external field is highly valuable because it can vary the behavior of the nonlinear correction. For this system and classical term, the $x$ component of all fields are always zero and we do not mention hereinafter. We suppose $(C_{2,0} + C_{0,2})(A^2 + B_s^2) \ll 1$ because of the applicable range of the Lagrangian in Eq. (1). The condition of $B^2 < 1/(4C_{2,0})$ always holds for the present calculation. For the given classical term, we calculate the corrective term at $t \geq 0$. The initial values of both corrective electric field and magnetic flux density are set to be zero everywhere because they should be much smaller than $A$.

If $A$ and $B_s$ are too small, a huge calculation time is required to see the nonlinear effect beyond the linear approximation. Because of this numerical limitation, we first calculate with unrealistic large parameters. An evaluation for realistic values is performed later in Fig. 4. The amplitude is set to $A = 10^{-6}/\sqrt{C_{2,0}}$ and the corresponding intensity $cA^2$ is about $1.80 \times 10^{22}$ (W/cm$^2$). For the static magnetic flux density, $B_{sys} = 10^{-2}/\sqrt{C_{2,0}}$ and $B_{sz} = 0$ are used in Fig. 2 and $B_{sys} = B_{sz} = 0.5 \times 10^{-2}/\sqrt{C_{2,0}}$ are used in Fig. 3. The value $10^{-2}/\sqrt{C_{2,0}}$ corresponds to $10^{-2}\mu_0/C_{2,0} \approx 8.7 \times 10^9$ (T). While these are too large for experiments, the calculation itself is consistent because $(C_{2,0} + C_{0,2})(A^2 + B_s^2) \ll 1$ holds.

To visualize the nonlinear effect, we define a temporal function that expresses the mag-
FIG. 2. The degree of nonlinearity $N$ for $A = 10^{-6}/\sqrt{C_{2,0}}$, $B_{xy} = 10^{-2}/\sqrt{C_{2,0}}$, and $B_{sz} = 0$. In (a), $N^{(mct)}$ is also shown. (b,c) are enlarged graphs at the first peak and zero, respectively. The envelope $N^{(en)}$ in Eq. (9) is also shown.

We first pay attention to the short time scale. Figures 2(a) and 3(a) show that $N$ increases almost linearly. In the short time scale, the nonlinear correction can be calculated with a magnitude of the corrective term throughout the cavity. Let

$$E^{(sq)}_{ny}(t) = \max_{0 \leq x \leq L} E^2_{ny}(x, t),$$

and defining $E^{(sq)}_{nz}(t)$, $B^{(sq)}_{ny}(t)$, and $B^{(sq)}_{nz}(t)$ in a similar way, we introduce “the degree of nonlinearity” as

$$N(t) = \frac{1}{2A} \left[ E^{(sq)}_{ny}(t) + E^{(sq)}_{nz}(t) + B^{(sq)}_{ny}(t) + B^{(sq)}_{nz}(t) \right]^\frac{1}{2},$$

to indicate the strength of the nonlinear effect.
FIG. 3. The degree of nonlinearity $N$ for $A = 10^{-6}/\sqrt{C_{2,0}}$ and $B_{sy} = B_{sz} = 0.5 \times 10^{-2}/\sqrt{C_{2,0}}$. In (a), $N^{(mct)}$ is also shown. (b,c) are enlarged graphs at the first peak and zero, respectively. The envelope $N^{(en)}$ in Eq. (10) is also shown.

linear approximation and the corresponding minimum corrective term shows the resonant increase[30]. Let $N^{(mct)}$ be the degree of nonlinearity for the minimum corrective term. $N^{(mct)}$ behaves almost linear and is consistent with $N$ in the short time scale. As the time becomes larger, the linear approximation becomes an overestimation and $N$ becomes smaller than $N^{(mct)}$.

Both Figs. 2 and 3 indicate that $N$ has a slowly varying component as in both panels (a), as well as an oscillation with a period of about $2\pi/\omega$, as in panels (b) and (c). The slower variation is clearly a characteristic of nonlinear electromagnetic waves. In addition, Fig. 3(a) shows more complicated behavior than Fig. 2(a). This may arise from the energy transfer between two polarization modes through $B_{sy}$ and $B_{sz}$. 
The numerical results indicate that the spatial distributions of the corrective electric field are almost always proportional to \( \sin kx \) and high-harmonic components are vanishing. These distributions might be attributed to the resonant behavior in the linear approximation: where the resonant increase is proportional to \( \omega t \cos \omega t \sin kx \) \cite{30}. We can expect then that the leading part of the electric field will be approximated by a product of a temporal function and \( \sin kx \). The temporal function is calculated in the Appendix. As a result, the “envelope functions” of the corrective electric field \( E_n^{(en)} \), magnetic flux density \( B_n^{(en)} \), and degree of nonlinearity \( N^{(en)} \) are calculated. \( N^{(en)} \) does not oscillate rapidly but well reproduces a rough behavior of \( N \): the difference is almost indistinguishable in the scale of panel (a) of both figures. Thus, it is shown only in panels (b) and (c). The slight differences are attributed to the discarded terms in the analytic calculation. Furthermore, \( E_n^{(en)} \) and \( B_n^{(en)} \) in a short time scale in Eq. (A.36) agree with the resonant increase of the minimum corrective term \cite{30}. These results confirm the validity of the envelope functions in the present time scale.

We write down the envelopes of the total electric field \( E^{(en)} = E_c + E_n^{(en)} \) and \( N^{(en)} \) for both Figs. 2 and 3. In Fig. 2, Eq. (A.24) gives

\[
E_y^{(en)} = A \sin(1 - \mathcal{X}_1)\omega t \sin kx, E_z^{(en)} = 0,
\]

and

\[
N^{(en)}(t) = \pm \left| \sin \frac{\mathcal{X}_1\omega t}{2} \right|, \tag{9}
\]

where \( \mathcal{X}_1 = C_{2,0}(2A^2 + 7B_s^2) \). The envelope \( N^{(en)} \) becomes zero when \( \omega t \) is an integer multiple of \( 2\pi/\mathcal{X}_1 \approx 8.976 \times 10^3 \), in accordance with the numerical result as in Fig. 2(c). Equations (A.25) and (A.26) are used for Fig. 3. In the time scale of \( C_{2,0}A^2 \omega t \ll 1 \), we obtain

\[
E_y^{(en)} \approx A \cos \xi \omega t \sin(1 - 11\xi/3)\omega t \sin kx, E_z^{(en)} \approx -A \sin \xi \omega t \cos(1 - 11\xi/3)\omega t \sin kx,
\]

and

\[
N^{(en)}(t) \approx \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \cos \xi \omega t \cos \frac{11}{3} \xi \omega t \right)}, \tag{10}
\]

where \( \xi = (3/2)C_{2,0}B_s^2 \). In this case, the envelope \( N^{(en)} \) becomes zero when \( \omega t \) is an integer multiple of \( 2\pi/(C_{2,0}B_s^2) = 4\pi \times 10^4 \approx 1.2566 \times 10^5 \), reproducing the numerical result as in Fig. 3(c).

These results suggest that the nonlinear effect easily appears as changes of the phase and polarization. In contrast, a change in wavelength or frequency must be discrete because of the fixed boundary conditions and high-harmonic components are scarcely generated in the viewpoint of energy conservation.

In the present system, the maximum of \( N \) is about unity. It can be understood from Eq.
(A.28) as it shows $N^{(en)} \leq 1$. When $N^{(en)} \approx 1$, we can see that $E_y^{(en)} \approx -A \sin \omega t \sin kx$ is necessary, \textit{i.e.}, the whole nonlinear electromagnetic wave is exactly the antiphase to the classical electromagnetic wave, and the phase shift becomes maximum. In Fig. 2, $N^{(en)} = 1$ is realized when $\omega t$ is an odd integer multiple of $\pi/\mathcal{D}_1 \approx 4.488 \times 10^3$, as in Fig. 2(b).

Using the analytic envelopes, we perform a realistic calculation of the classical amplitude to be $1.94 \times 10^6$ (V/m), corresponding to $10^6$ (W/cm$^2$) \cite{34} and the static magnetic flux density to be $5\sqrt{2}$ (T) for both $y$ and $z$ components \cite{35}\cite{36}. Because $A$ and $B_s$ are realistic, \textit{i.e.}, much smaller than the above values, the envelopes will be sufficiently precise approximations. Note that the FDTD method requires an unrealistic long calculation time to yield a similar result.

We demonstrate the time evolution of the polarization. For this purpose, we calculate the intensity ratio of the $y$ component of the electric field to the total electric field and the relative phase. Using Eqs. (A.21) and (A.30), the intensity ratio is given as

$$I_y = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \text{cn}(p \omega t, iq) \text{dn}(p \omega t, iq)],$$

(11)

where $p$ and $q$ are given in Eq. (A.20). The relative phase $\Psi_{y-z}$ is defined in Eq. (A.33). Figure 4 shows a result at a fixed point of $\sin kx = 1$. Figure 4(a) is a typical time evolution of the polarization mode. It varies between two orthogonal linear polarizations. During the transition, the polarization is almost elliptic because the magnitude and phase of each component of electric field scarcely change in a cycle of $2\pi/\omega$. $I_y$ and $\Psi_{y-z}$ are shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c), respectively. The value of $I_y$, well approximated by $\cos^2 \xi \omega t$, determines the shape of the ellipse of polarization and the sign of $\Psi_{y-z}$ determines the rotation direction. In particular, the polarization changes by 90 degrees from $y$ to $z$ at $t \approx \pi/(2\xi \omega) = \pi/(3C_{2,0}B_s^2\omega) \approx 1.68 \times 10^6$ seconds. This result does not contradict a conventional evaluation of the birefringence because the time scales considered are completely different \cite{29}\cite{30}.

Knowing that the unit of the nonlinear parameters $C_{2,0}$ and $C_{0,2}$ is the inverse of the energy density, the nonlinear effect in vacuum can be enhanced by a strong electromagnetic field. In the present nonlinear analysis for one-dimensional cavity, the nonlinear effect is found to contribute as a slow time evolution through dimensionless values such as $C_{2,0}A^2$. As a result, we can obtain the maximum changes in phase and polarization even with a small electromagnetic field.
FIG. 4. The time evolution of the polarization at a position of $\sin kx = 1$. (a) The shapes and rotation directions of the elliptical polarization. The axes of ellipses are almost coincident with the $y$ and $z$ axes. (b) The intensity ratio $I_y$ in Eq. (11). (c) The relative phase $\Psi_{y-z}$ in Eq. (A.33). Its sign determines the rotation direction.

In summary, we have demonstrated two methods, i.e., a straightforward procedure to calculate $E_n$ from $B$ and $D$ and an analytic derivation of an envelope function of a nonlinear electromagnetic wave. The numerical procedure is applicable for general systems such as radiation from focusing. Moreover, if the spatial distribution of the leading term is expected, the envelopes make extensive analysis possible. These methods enable the discovery of even more noble properties of nonlinear electromagnetic waves, resulting to new and optimized verification experiments of nonlinear electromagnetism. For example, if one wishes to see a phase shift, it is optimum to measure when $N \approx 1$. If the polarization change is of interest, the best result may be obtained when the ratio in Eq. (11) becomes zero.
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Appendix: Derivation of envelope functions

Maxwell’s equations and assumption of temporal envelope function

The Maxwell’s equations considered in the present system are written as

\[
\begin{align*} 
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_z + c^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B_y &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_y + c^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B_z &= 0, \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_z - c^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_y &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_y - c^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_z &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.1)

For the classical term given by

\[
\begin{align*} 
E_{cy} &= A \sin \omega t \sin kx, \\
E_{cz} &= 0, \\
B_{cy} &= B_{sy}, \\
B_{cz} &= A \cos \omega t \cos kx + B_{sz},
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.2)

the corrective electric field and magnetic flux density are approximated to be

\[
\begin{align*} 
E_{ny}(x, t) &= [f \cos \omega t + (g - A) \sin \omega t] \sin kx, \\
E_{nz}(x, t) &= (l \cos \omega t + m \sin \omega t) \sin kx, \\
B_{ny}(x, t) &= (-\tilde{m} \cos \omega t + \tilde{l} \sin \omega t) \cos kx, \\
B_{nz}(x, t) &= [(\tilde{g} - A) \cos \omega t - \tilde{f} \sin \omega t] \cos kx.
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.3)

In the following calculations, \( T = \omega t \) is used as a new variable. All the functions of \( f, g, l, m, \) and tilde-added ones depend only on \( T \) and supposed to vary slower than \( \cos T \) and \( \sin T \).

1st and 2nd lines of Maxwell’s equations

The first line of the Maxwell’s equations gives

\[
(l - \tilde{l} + \tilde{m}') \cos T + (m - \tilde{m} - \tilde{l}') \sin T = 0.
\]  

(A.4)

Each parenthesis varies slowly and is supposed to be zero, \( i.e., \)

\[
l - \tilde{l} + \tilde{m}' = 0, \quad m - \tilde{m} - \tilde{l}' = 0.
\]  

(A.5)
Similarly, the second line gives

\[(f - \tilde{f} + \tilde{g}') \cos T + (g - \tilde{g} - \tilde{f}') \sin T = 0,\]  

(A.6)

yielding

\[f - \tilde{f} + \tilde{g}' = 0, \quad g - \tilde{g} - \tilde{f}' = 0.\]  

(A.7)

Because \(f\) and the other functions vary relatively slowly, their derivatives will be comparably small if they are not identically zero. Combining with above suppositions, it will be adequate to suppose the following:

\[f \approx \tilde{f}, \quad g \approx \tilde{g}, \quad l \approx \tilde{l}, \quad m \approx \tilde{m},\]

\[f' \approx \tilde{f}', \quad g' \approx \tilde{g}', \quad l' \approx \tilde{l}', \quad m' \approx \tilde{m}'.\]  

(A.8)

Equations (A.5), (A.7), and (A.8) enable us to approximate as

\[\tilde{f} = f + g',\]

\[\tilde{g} = g - f',\]

\[\tilde{l} = l + m',\]

\[\tilde{m} = m - l',\]  

(A.9)

and we erase the four tilde-added functions from our calculation.

3rd and 4th lines of Maxwell’s equations

Substituting the classical and corrective terms into the third and fourth lines of the Maxwell’s equations shows a dependence on \(x\) by \(\sin kx\) and \(\sin 3kx\). We only retain the part of \(\sin kx\). For the temporal part, the terms which oscillate faster than \(\cos T\) and \(\sin T\) are discarded, such as \(\sin 2T\). Finally, the terms multiplied by \(\cos T\) and \(\sin T\) are regarded as zero independently, as in the above postulates, we obtain four differential equations on the slowly varying functions. Each of \(f, g, l,\) and \(m\) is expected to be at most of the order of \(A\). The products of a nonlinear parameter and two functions such as \(C_{2,0}fl\) will be much smaller than unity. Therefore, the product of such values and the derivatives are excluded.
Differential equations to be solved

Using

\[ X = f^2 + g^2 + l^2 + m^2, \]
\[ \mathcal{X}_1 = 4C_{2,0}B_{sz}^2 + C_{0,2}B_{sy}^2 + 2C_{2,0}X, \]
\[ \mathcal{X}_2 = 4C_{2,0}B_{sy}^2 + C_{0,2}B_{sz}^2 + 2C_{2,0}X, \]
\[ \xi = -(4C_{2,0} - C_{0,2})B_{sy}B_{sz}, \]
\[ a = -2C_{2,0} + \frac{1}{2}C_{0,2}, \]

we obtain the following nonlinear differential equations:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  f' \\
  g' \\
  l' \\
  m'
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  0 & -\mathcal{X}_1 - al^2 & 0 & -\xi + af \xi \\
  \mathcal{X}_1 + am^2 & 0 & \xi - agm & 0 \\
  0 & -\xi + af \xi & 0 & -\mathcal{X}_2 - af^2 \\
  \xi - agm & 0 & \mathcal{X}_2 + ag^2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  f \\
  g \\
  l \\
  m
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.11}
\]

Solution

We solve the equations in Eq. (A.11) given the initial conditions of \( f(0) = 0, g(0) = A, l(0) = 0, \) and \( m(0) = 0. \) First, we can see \( X' = 0. \) Therefore, \( X = A^2 \) is constant and \( \mathcal{X}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{X}_2 \) are also constant. In particular, all of \( f, g, l, \) and \( m \) are bounded and at most of the order of \( A. \) We introduce three variables by

\[ \alpha = f^2 + g^2, \; \beta = fl + gm, \; \gamma = -fm + gl. \tag{A.12} \]

Defining a new constant as

\[ \Delta = \mathcal{X}_1 - \mathcal{X}_2, \tag{A.13} \]

we obtain differential equations for \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \gamma \) as

\[ \alpha' = 2\gamma(\xi - a\beta), \]
\[ \beta' = \gamma[-\Delta + a(2\alpha - X)], \tag{A.14} \]
\[ \gamma' = \Delta\beta + \xi(X - 2\alpha), \]

with the initial conditions of \( \alpha(0) = A^2, \beta(0) = 0, \) and \( \gamma(0) = 0. \) Because \( f^2 + g^2 + l^2 + m^2 = A^2, \) the range of \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \gamma \) are bounded. Hence, the set of differential equations is Lipschitz continuous and the solution for the initial value problem is unique.
We first calculate for $\xi \neq 0$. Let

$$Z = \Delta(-\Delta + aX) - 4\xi^2,$$  
(A.15)

and also

$$p = \sqrt{-\frac{-Z + \sqrt{Z^2 + 4\xi^2a^2X^2}}{2}}, \quad q = \frac{-\xi aX}{p^2},$$  
(A.16)

we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{X}{4\xi^2 + \Delta^2} \left\{ \Delta^2 + \Delta \xi \text{sn}^2(pT, iq) + 2\xi^2 [1 + \text{cn}(pT, iq)\text{dn}(pT, iq)] \right\},$$

$$\beta = \frac{\xi X}{4\xi^2 + \Delta^2} \left\{ 2\xi \text{sn}^2(pT, iq) + \Delta [1 - \text{cn}(pT, iq)\text{dn}(pT, iq)] \right\},$$  
(A.17)

$$\gamma = -\frac{\xi X}{p} \text{sn}(pT, iq).$$

We give $f, g, l, \text{ and } m$ for individual cases. In any case, their derivatives are sufficiently smaller than the maximum value of the original function and consistent with the discussion and assumptions around Eq. (A.8).

**f, g, l, and m for $\xi \neq 0$ and $\Delta \neq 0$**

The case of $\xi \neq 0$, and $\Delta \neq 0$ corresponds to $B_{sy} \neq 0, B_{sz} \neq 0$, and $B_{sy} \neq B_{sz}$. In this case, $\alpha > 0$ always holds and $\sqrt[4]{\alpha}$ is always differentiable. Therefore, let

$$\Theta = \mathcal{Z}_1 T + \int_0^T \frac{\xi \beta(\tau) + a\gamma(\tau)^2}{\alpha(\tau)} d\tau,$$  
(A.18)

we obtain

$$f = -\sqrt[4]{\alpha} \sin \Theta,$$

$$g = \sqrt[4]{\alpha} \cos \Theta,$$

$$l = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\alpha}} (-\beta \sin \Theta + \gamma \cos \Theta),$$

$$m = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\alpha}} (\beta \cos \Theta + \gamma \sin \Theta).$$  
(A.19)

**f, g, l, and m for $\xi \neq 0$ and $\Delta = 0$**

The case of $\xi \neq 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ corresponds to $|B_{sy}| = |B_{sz}| \neq 0$. In this case,

$$p = \sqrt{2\xi^2 + |\xi|\sqrt{4\xi^2 + a^2X^2}}, \quad q = \frac{-\text{sgn}(\xi)aX}{2|\xi| + \sqrt{4\xi^2 + a^2X^2}},$$  
(A.20)
and in particular, \(|q| < 1\). The double-angle formula of Jacobi elliptic function yields
\[
\frac{\alpha}{X} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \text{cn}(pT, iq)\text{dn}(pT, iq) \right] = \frac{\text{cn}^2(pT/2, iq)\text{dn}^2(pT/2, iq)[1 - q^2 \text{sn}^4(pT/2, iq)]}{[1 + q^2 \text{sn}^4(pT/2, iq)]^2}. \quad (A.21)
\]
Because \(|q| < 1\), \(\alpha = 0\) holds if and only if \(\text{cn}(pT/2, iq) = 0\). Then, using
\[
\Theta = \mathcal{X}_1 T - q\xi \int_0^T \frac{\text{sn}(p\tau, iq)^2}{1 + \text{cn}(p\tau, iq)\text{dn}(p\tau, iq)} d\tau, \quad (A.22)
\]
we obtain
\[
f = -A \frac{\text{cn}(pT/2, iq)\text{dn}(pT/2, iq)\sqrt{1 - q^2 \text{sn}^4(pT/2, iq)}}{1 + q^2 \text{sn}^4(pT/2, iq)} \sin \Theta,
g = A \frac{\text{cn}(pT/2, iq)\text{dn}(pT/2, iq)\sqrt{1 - q^2 \text{sn}^4(pT/2, iq)}}{1 + q^2 \text{sn}^4(pT/2, iq)} \cos \Theta,
l = -\frac{2A\xi \text{sn}(pT/2, iq)}{p\sqrt{1 - q^2 \text{sn}^4(pT/2, iq)}} \left[ -\frac{aX}{2p} \text{sn}(pT, iq) \sin \Theta + \cos \Theta \right],
m = -\frac{2A\xi \text{sn}(pT/2, iq)}{p\sqrt{1 - q^2 \text{sn}^4(pT/2, iq)}} \left[ \frac{aX}{2p} \text{sn}(pT, iq) \cos \Theta + \sin \Theta \right]. \quad (A.23)
\]

\(f, g, l, \text{ and } m\) for \(\xi = 0\)

This case corresponds to \(B_{sy} = 0\) or \(B_{sz} = 0\). We immediately see \(\alpha = A^2, \beta = 0, \gamma = 0\), and obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
f &= -A \sin \mathcal{X}_1 T, 
g &= A \cos \mathcal{X}_1 T, 
l &= 0, 
m &= 0.
\end{align*}
\quad (A.24)
\]
The result contains the case of \(\Delta = 0\), i.e., \(B_s = 0\).

**Approximation for \(A \ll |B_{sy}| = |B_{sz}|\)**

In the case of \(A \ll |B_{sy}| = |B_{sz}|\), the solution given in Eqs. (A.22) and (A.23) can be approximated in a simple form. \(p \approx 2|\xi|\), and \(q \approx 0\) hold because \(aX \ll |\xi|\). Therefore,
\[
\begin{align*}
f &\approx -A \cos \xi T \sin \Theta, 
g &\approx A \cos \xi T \cos \Theta, 
l &\approx -A \sin \xi T \cos \Theta, 
m &\approx -A \sin \xi T \sin \Theta, \quad (A.25)
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\Theta \approx \left( \mathcal{R}_1 + \frac{aX}{4} \right) T - \frac{aX}{8\xi} \sin 2\xi T \approx \left( \mathcal{R}_1 + \frac{aX}{4} \right) T. \tag{A.26}
\]

The oscillating term can be discarded because its absolute value is much smaller than unity.

**Envelope of degree of nonlinearity**

We call the leading part in Eq. (A.3) as the temporal “envelope” and express by a superscript (en). They are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
E^{(en)}_{ny}(x, t) &= [f \cos \omega t + (g - A) \sin \omega t] \sin kx, \\
E^{(en)}_{nz}(x, t) &= (l \cos \omega t + m \sin \omega t) \sin kx, \\
B^{(en)}_{ny}(x, t) &= (-m \cos \omega t + l \sin \omega t) \cos kx, \\
B^{(en)}_{nz}(x, t) &= [(g - A) \cos \omega t - f \sin \omega t] \cos kx.
\end{align*}
\tag{A.27}
\]

The corresponding envelope of the degree of nonlinearity \(N^{(en)}(t)\) is expressed as
\[
N^{(en)}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{g}{A} \right)}. \tag{A.28}
\]

In the main text, we show this envelope can reproduce the original \(N(t)\) which is obtained by a numerical calculation. The envelope of the electric field as a whole nonlinear electromagnetic wave is obtained by adding the classical term in Eq. (A.2) as
\[
\begin{align*}
E^{(en)}_y(x, t) &= E^{(en)}_{ny}(x, t) + E_{cy}(x, t) = (f \cos \omega t + g \sin \omega t) \sin kx, \\
E^{(en)}_z(x, t) &= E^{(en)}_{nz}(x, t) + E_{cz}(x, t) = (l \cos \omega t + m \sin \omega t) \sin kx.
\end{align*}
\tag{A.29}
\]

**Calculation of the polarization**

In the calculation for Fig. 4 using realistic parameters, we consider the change of polarization. We define the intensity ratio of the \(y\) component to the entire electric field. Each amplitude of the \(y\) and \(z\) component is given by \(\sqrt{f^2 + g^2}\) and \(\sqrt{l^2 + m^2}\), respectively, and the ratio is given by
\[
\frac{f^2 + g^2}{f^2 + g^2 + l^2 + m^2} = \frac{\alpha}{A^2}. \tag{A.30}
\]
Using Eq. (A.23) for $f, g, l$, and $m$ and Eq. (A.22) for $\Theta$, we can rewrite Eq. (A.29) as

\[
E_{y}^{(en)}(x, t) = A \sqrt{\frac{1 + \text{cn}(pT, iq)\text{dn}(pT, iq)}{2}} \sin(T - \Theta + \theta_c) \sin kx,
\]

\[
E_{z}^{(en)}(x, t) = A \sqrt{\frac{1 - \text{cn}(pT, iq)\text{dn}(pT, iq)}{2}} \sin(T - \Theta - \Psi_0 + \theta_s) \sin kx,
\]

where the phase factors $\Psi_0 \in (0, \pi)$, $\theta_c$, and $\theta_s$ are defined as

\[
\sin \Psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + [aX\text{sn}(pT, iq)/(2p)]^2}}, \quad \cos \Psi_0 = -\frac{aX\text{sn}(pT, iq)/(2p)}{\sqrt{1 + [aX\text{sn}(pT, iq)/(2p)]^2}},
\]

\[
\theta_c = \begin{cases} 
0 & (\text{cn}(pT/2, iq) \geq 0) \\
\pi & (\text{cn}(pT/2, iq) < 0)
\end{cases},
\]

\[
\theta_s = \begin{cases} 
0 & (\text{sn}(pT/2, iq) \geq 0) \\
\pi & (\text{sn}(pT/2, iq) < 0)
\end{cases}.
\]

The phases of both components $\Psi_y$ and $\Psi_z$ can be defined as $\Psi_y = -\Theta + \theta_c$ and $\Psi_z = -\Theta - \Psi_0 + \theta_s$, respectively. Then, the relative phase $\Psi_{y-z}$ can be defined by

\[
\Psi_{y-z} = \Psi_0 - \theta_{cs},
\]

where

\[
\theta_{cs} = \begin{cases} 
0 & (\text{sn}(pT/2, iq)\text{cn}(pT/2, iq) \geq 0) \\
\pi & (\text{sn}(pT/2, iq)\text{cn}(pT/2, iq) < 0)
\end{cases}.
\]

We have defined $\Psi_{y-z}$ and $\theta_{cs}$ as above so that $\Psi_{y-z}$ ranges in $-\pi < \Psi_{y-z} < \pi$. The sign of $\Psi_{y-z}$ changes before and after at a time when $\text{sn}(pT/2, iq) = 0$ or $\text{cn}(pT/2, iq) = 0$ holds.

**Comparison to minimum corrective term**

We calculate for a short time scale. All of Eqs. (A.19), (A.23), and (A.24) express $f, g, l$, and $m$ give

\[
f = -A\bar{x}_1T + O(T^2), \quad g = A + O(T^2), \quad l = -A\xi T + O(T^2), \quad m = O(T^2),
\]

(A.35)
Therefore, the main part of the corrective term in the short time scale are

\[ E_{ny} \approx -AX_1\omega t \cos \omega t \sin kx, \]
\[ E_{nz} \approx -A\xi \omega t \cos \omega t \sin kx, \]
\[ B_{ny} \approx -A\xi \omega t \sin \omega t \cos kx, \]
\[ B_{nz} \approx AX_1\omega t \sin \omega t \cos kx. \]  

(A.36)


