Nuclear spin-lattice relaxation time in UCoGe
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The NMR measurements performed on a single crystal of superconducting ferromagnet UCoGe (Y. Ihara et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 206403 (2010)) demonstrate strongly anisotropic magnetic properties of this material. The presented calculations allow to establish the dependence of longitudinal spin-lattice relaxation rate from temperature and magnetic field.

I. INTRODUCTION

The uranium ferromagnetic superconducting compounds UGe$_2$, URhGe and UCoGe discovered more than decade ago still continue attract attention of condensed matter community. The superconducting properties of these materials originate from the unusual pairing mechanism induced by magnetic fluctuations (see the recent experimental [1] and theoretical [2] reviews and references therein).

The nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is one of the main tools for study magnetic properties of metals in normal and superconducting state. The direction-dependent $^{59}$Co NMR measurements [3] of the Knight-shift and nuclear spin-lattice relaxation time provide microscopic knowledge of static and dynamic susceptibility components in this orthorhombic metal. In particular, there was shown that the critical fluctuations in the vicinity of FM transition are easily suppressed by fields pointing along the easy magnetisation directed along the $c$-axis, while in the other field directions these fluctuations growth up.

The magnetic properties of actinide intermetallics are determined by the orbital band magnetism of electronic states of not completely filled f-shells [7]. In concrete case of UCoGe this is confirmed by the band structure ab initio calculations [8] and by x-ray magnetic circular dichroism measurements [9]. The NMR and $^{59}$Co nuclear quadrupole resonance studies on YCoGe compound free of uranium f-electrons shows that d-electron of Co atoms play no role in ferromagnetism of UCoGe originating from the U-5f electrons [3, 10].

To interpret NMR observations one need to know the wave-vector - frequency dependent magnetic susceptibility tensor in the orthorhombic UCoGe compound that is currently not available. However, the wave vector dependence of static susceptibility components has been established from phenomenological consideration [2]. One can obtain the frequency dependence of susceptibility by simple generalisation of corresponding static expression. This allows us to calculate the NMR longitudinal relaxation rate $1/T_1$ and establish its temperature and field dependence.

II. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE RELAXATION RATE

A. $1/T_1$ in isotropic itinerant ferromagnets

Before the discussion the anisotropic NMR properties of UCoGe it is pertinent remind first the theoretical results obtained by T. Moriya and K. Ueda [3, 5] in the application to the isotropic itinerant ferromagnets. In this case nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate measured in a field $H$ along the spontaneous magnetisation chosen as $z$-direction is determined by correlation function of field fluctuations in the direction perpendicular to the external field. The latter according to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem is expressed trough the imaginary part of susceptibility $\chi''(k,\omega)$ of electron gas in the direction perpendicular to the external field

$$\left(\frac{1}{T_1 T}\right)_z \propto \lim_{\omega \to 0} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} |A_{k j}|^2 \chi''(k,\omega).$$

The calculations have been done in the paper [3] yield

$$\left(\frac{1}{T_1 T}\right)_z \propto \begin{cases} \chi_z(T) & - \text{paramagnetic state,} \\ M^{-2} & - \text{ferromagnetic state.} \end{cases}$$

where $\chi_z(T)$ is the temperature dependent static susceptibility in the field direction and $M = M(T)$ is the magnetisation in ferromagnetic state. Thus, the summation of perpendicular susceptibility over reciprocal space gives rise the
longitudinal susceptibility! The origin of this result is that $\chi^{\sigma_{-}}(k, \omega)$ is determined through the spin-up spin-down band splitting expressed through the static longitudinal susceptibility in paramagnetic state and through the $M^{-2}$ in ferromagnetic state. One can find modification of this result in finite external magnetic field in the paper [6], where in particular shown that

$$\lim_{H \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{T_1 T} \right) \to 0$$

as it should be in presence of the strong band splitting.

Another important point essential for results Eq.(2) is that the $\chi''^{\sigma_{-}}(k, \omega) \propto \omega/kv_F$ both in the noninteracting and interacting Fermi gas such that the integration over reciprocal space in Eq.(1) is in fact two-dimensional.

B. $1/T_1$ in UCoGe

In the orthorhombic metal the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ measured in a field along the $l$ direction is expressed in terms of the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility along the $m$ and $n$ directions, perpendicular to $l$, $\chi''^{m,n}(k, \omega)$ as

$$\left( \frac{1}{T_1 T} \right)_l \propto \sum_k \left[ |A_{hf}^{m}|^2 \frac{\chi''^{m}(k, \omega)}{\omega} + |A_{hf}^{n}|^2 \frac{\chi''^{n}(k, \omega)}{\omega} \right].$$

At low temperatures the relaxation rate $(1/T_1 T)$ for $H$ parallel to $c$ crystallographic direction is more than order magnitude smaller those measured in the other two field directions parallel to $a$ and $b$ axes [3] (see Fig1). So, one can use the expressions for

$$\left( \frac{1}{T_1 T} \right)_b \propto \sum_k |A_{hf}^{c}|^2 \frac{\chi''^{c}(k, \omega, H_b)}{\omega},$$

$$\left( \frac{1}{T_1 T} \right)_a \propto \sum_k |A_{hf}^{c}|^2 \frac{\chi''^{c}(k, \omega, H_a)}{\omega},$$

neglecting the terms, originating from the imaginary part of susceptibilities $\chi''^{a}$ and $\chi''^{b}$.

The static susceptibility along the $c$-axis is

$$\chi_c(k) = \frac{1}{(\chi_c(H_l))^{-1} + 2\gamma_{ij}k_i k_j},$$

where $\chi_c(H_l) = \frac{\partial M}{\partial H_c}$ is the homogeneous static susceptibility along $c$-axis at fixed value of magnetic field $H_l$ along $l = a, b$ direction. The simplest generalisation for dynamic case is [2]

$$\chi_c(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{-\frac{\omega}{A} + (\chi_c(H_l))^{-1} + 2\gamma_{ij}k_i k_j},$$

where $A$ is a constant. Thus, to estimate the sum over momenta we can work with [2]

$$\frac{\chi''^{c}(k, \omega, H_l)}{\omega} = \frac{A}{\omega^2 + A^2 [(\chi_c(H_l))^{-1} + 2\gamma_{ij}k_i k_j]^2}.$$  

The field dependence of $\chi_c(H_l)$ can be determined as follows [2]. The Landau expansion of the free energy near the Curie temperature in presence of magnetic field

$$F = \alpha_c M_c^2 + \beta_c M_c^2 + \alpha_a M_a^2 + \alpha_b M_b^2 + \beta_{ac} M_a^2 M_c^2 + \beta_{bc} M_b^2 M_c^2 - HM,$$

where

$$\alpha_c = \alpha_c(T - T_c), \quad \alpha_a > 0, \quad \alpha_b > 0.$$
In constant magnetic field perpendicular to the spontaneous magnetisation $\mathbf{H} = H_b \hat{b}$ the equilibrium magnetisation projection along the $b$ direction

$$M_b \approx \frac{H_b}{2(\alpha_a + \beta_{bc} M_c^2)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$
is obtained by minimisation of free energy $\{\text{10}\}$ in respect of $M_b$. Substituting this expression back to $\{\text{10}\}$ we obtain

$$F = \alpha_c M_c^2 + \beta_c M_c^4 - \frac{1}{4} \frac{H_b^2}{\alpha_b + \beta_{bc} M_c^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$
that gives after expansion of the denominator in the last term,

$$F = -\frac{H_b^2}{4\alpha_b} + \tilde{\alpha}_c M_c^2 + \tilde{\beta}_c M_c^4 + \ldots ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$
where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_c = \alpha_{a0} (T - T_{c0}) + \frac{\beta_{b0} H_b^2}{4 \alpha_c^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$
$$\tilde{\beta}_c = \beta_c - \frac{\beta_{b0} \beta_{bc} H_b^2}{4 \alpha_c^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

Thus, in a magnetic field $\mathbf{H} = H_b \hat{b}$ perpendicular to the direction of spontaneous magnetisation the Curie temperature decreases as

$$T_c(H_b) = T_{c0} - \frac{\beta_{b0} H_b^2}{4 \alpha_c^2 \alpha_{a0}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$
The corresponding formula for field parallel to $a$-axis is

$$T_c = T_c(H_a) = T_{c0} - \frac{\beta_{a0} H_a^2}{4 \alpha_a^2 \alpha_{c0}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)$$
The coefficient $\alpha_a \gg \alpha_b$ that is the $a$-direction is much harder magnetically than the $b$-direction. Hence, the Curie temperature is practically independent from magnetic field in $a$-direction $T_c(H_a) \approx T_{c0}$. The susceptibility along $c$-axis

$$\chi_c(H_b) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4 \alpha_{c0} (T_{c0} - T)} & \text{if } T < T_c(H_b), \\
\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{c0} (T - T_{c0})} & \text{if } T > T_c(H_b),
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)$$
increases with magnetic field along $b$-axis but keeps the constant value in magnetic field parallel to $a$-axis

$$\chi_c(H_a) \approx \frac{1}{4 \alpha_{a0} (T_{a0} - T)}; \hspace{1cm} T < T_{a0}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (20)$$

Now, let us make the integration in the Eqs. $\{5\}$, $\{6\}$. For the simplicity one can calculate the converging integral in the spherical approximation

$$\left( \frac{1}{T_1 T} \right) \propto \int \frac{4 \pi k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^3 \omega^2 + A^2 [(\chi_c(H_1))^{-1} + 2 \gamma k^2]^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{32 \pi A^3/2} \frac{\sqrt{\chi_c(H_1)}}{(1 + \omega^2 \chi_c^2(H_1) A^2)^{1/4}} \cos \left( \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{\omega \chi_c(H_1)}{A} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)$$
We see, that the function

$$\frac{1}{T_1(H_b) T} \propto \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\chi_c(H_b)}; & \chi_c(H_b) \ll \frac{A}{\omega}, \\
\frac{A}{\omega}; & \chi_c(H_b) \gg \frac{A}{\omega},
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)$$
reaches maximum in vicinity of Curie temperature. In particular at fixed temperature it increases nearing to the Curie temperature at magnetic fields $H_b$ so long $T < T_c(H_b)$ and then it drops at higher fields such that $T > T_c(H_b)$. The corresponding function in field parallel to $a$-axis at fixed temperature is field independent constant

$$\frac{1}{T_1(H_a) T} = \text{const.}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)$$
In field parallel to $c$-axis $\frac{1}{T_{1(H_c)} T}$ in vicinity of critical temperature is practically temperature independent.
III. CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated that in contrast with the isotropic weak ferromagnets the longitudinal spin-lattice relaxation rate in UCoGe is expressed through the static susceptibility in the perpendicular to magnetic field direction. The value \((1/T_1 T)\) in field perpendicular to spontaneous magnetization has maximum in vicinity of Curie temperature whereas it does not reveal similar behaviour in field parallel to the direction of spontaneous magnetisation. Also there was shown that the longitudinal spin-lattice relaxation rate is strongly field dependent when the field directed in \(b\)-crystallographic direction but field independent if magnetic field is oriented along \(a\)-axis.

The presented calculations have been done using isotropic wave vector dispersion of susceptibilities. The real dispersion laws can be quite different and the simple relationship between the relaxation rate and the susceptibility will be lost. However, the field-temperature dependence of \(1/T_1\) will qualitatively remain.

Another observation which possibly can be useful for the future theoretical efforts is that the calculations were performed taking the kinetic coefficient \(A = \text{const.}\) However, taking \(A \sim kv\), where \(v\) is a constant with dimensionality of velocity, the integration over reciprocal space becomes two-dimensional and we come to \(1/T_1 b \propto \chi_c(T, H_b)\). A theoretical description of wave vector - frequency dependence of magnetic susceptibility of actinide intermetallics is still not at hand.
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FIG. 1: (Color online) Nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate ($1/T_1$) measured in three different field directions. The results of $^{59}$Co NQR on YCoGe, a reference compound without f electrons, are also displayed. The graph shows the temperature ($T$) on the x-axis and the inverse of the spin-lattice relaxation time ($1/T_1$) on the y-axis.