

HIGH FREQUENCY STABILITY ESTIMATES FOR A PARTIAL DATA INVERSE PROBLEM

ANUPAM PAL CHOUDHURY* AND VENKATESWARAN P. KRISHNAN[#]

ABSTRACT. In this article, high frequency stability estimates for the determination of the potential in the Schrödinger equation are studied when the boundary measurements are made on slightly more than half the boundary. The estimates reflect the increasing stability property with growing frequency.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 3$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider the following boundary value problem in Ω :

$$\mathcal{L}_q u := (-\Delta - \omega^2 + q)u = 0, \quad u(x) = f(x) \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

where we assume, without loss of generality, that the real frequency $\omega > 1$ and $q \in H^s(\Omega)$ for an integer $s \geq \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1$.

In order to ensure uniqueness of solution of the boundary value problem (1.1), following the works [1, 2], we assume that

(A) 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta + q$ in Ω ,

and that the frequency ω is such that 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{L}_q$ in Ω . In particular, let Σ_q denote the set of the inverse of eigenvalues of the operator $(-\Delta + q)^{-1}$. We assume that

(B) $\text{dist}(\omega^2, \Sigma_q) > c \omega^{2-n}$, for some $c \ll 1$.

For $M > 0$ and s as above, let us denote the admissible set of potentials:

$$\mathcal{Q}_M := \{q : \|q\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq M\}. \quad (1.2)$$

For $f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ in (1.1), let $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ be the unique solution of (1.1). The Dirichlet to Neumann map (DN) $\Lambda_q : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ is defined as $\partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega}$. We consider DN map restricted to certain open subsets of the boundary. To precisely describe the set-up, let us introduce a few notation.

Let ν denote the unit outer normal to $\partial\Omega$. Given a unit vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\Omega_+ &:= \{x \in \partial\Omega, \alpha \cdot \nu(x) > 0\}, \quad \partial\Omega_- := \partial\Omega \setminus \overline{\partial\Omega_+}, \\ \partial\Omega_{+, \epsilon} &:= \{x \in \partial\Omega, \alpha \cdot \nu(x) > \epsilon\}, \quad \partial\Omega_{-, \epsilon} := \partial\Omega \setminus \overline{\partial\Omega_{+, \epsilon}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

The partial DN map is defined by $\tilde{\Lambda}_q : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega_{-, \epsilon})$, $f \mapsto \partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega_{-, \epsilon}}$.

We are interested in the inverse problem of stable recovery of the potential q from partial DN map $\tilde{\Lambda}_q$. More precisely, we are interested in analyzing the stability estimates as ω becomes large.

Corresponding to the case $\omega = 0$, the inverse problem of unique recovery of q from the DN map Λ_q began with the fundamental work of Calderón [3], and was solved by Sylvester and Uhlmann in dimensions $n \geq 3$ in their groundbreaking work [4]. The stable recovery of the potential from the DN map was addressed by Alessandrini in [5] who showed that under an *a priori* assumption of a uniform bound on the potentials, there is a stability estimate with a modulus of continuity of ln type. That such an estimate is optimal was shown by Mandache [6].

Key words and phrases. Inverse problems, stability estimates, Schrödinger equation, increasing stability

Again in the case $\omega = 0$, the unique recovery of the potential from partial DN map has received significant attention as well. The work [7] showed that one can uniquely recover the potential q from the partial DN map $\tilde{\Lambda}_q$ defined above. This work was significantly improved in another fundamental work [8]. Heck and Wang derived stability estimates of $\ln \ln$ type (see [9]) for the recovery of q from the partial DN map $\tilde{\Lambda}_q$ when the boundary measurements were made on slightly more than half the boundary, and stability estimates of $\ln$ type (see [10]) for partial data problems in certain special type of geometries [11].

For the full data case, the behavior of the stability estimates as the frequency grows was addressed by Isakov [12]. He showed that as the frequency ω gets large, the logarithmic-type stability estimates for the full data case improves to Lipschitz-type stability estimates. For other closely related increasing stability works, we refer the reader to the following works of Isakov and his collaborators [13–15] and also [16–20].

In the current work, we are interested in the question of analyzing the behavior of the stability estimates as the frequency ω grows for the partial data inverse problem; the recovery of q from $\tilde{\Lambda}_q$. Recall from the work of Heck and Wang [9] that the stability estimates are of $\ln \ln$ type. We show that these estimates improve to Lipschitz-type stability estimates as the frequency ω becomes large enough.

We would like to mention that the analysis of the behavior of the stability estimates from partial DN map as the frequency grows has been either known only in certain special type of geometries (see [21, 22]) or with impedance type boundary conditions under the assumption of knowledge of the potential in a neighbourhood of the boundary (see [23]). In our work, we address this question for the partial data case considered by [7] and [9].

To study the stability estimates in our set-up, following [9], we shall use a more regular Sobolev space. We shall assume that $f \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ and hence the solution u to (1.1) is in $H^2(\Omega)$. The partial DN map $\tilde{\Lambda}_q$, therefore, now maps $H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ to $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega_{-, \epsilon})$.

We now state the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider (1.1) for two potentials q_1 and q_2 belonging to the admissible set (1.2) and satisfying assumption (A). Then there exist constants $K > 1$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $C = C(\Omega, n, M, K, \theta, \epsilon, s)$ and $\eta = \eta(s, n)$, such that for all $\omega > 1$ satisfying the assumption*

$$\text{dist}(\omega^2, \Sigma_{q_i}) > c \omega^{2-n} \text{ (for some } c \ll 1), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

we have

$$\|q_1 - q_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \left[\omega^7 \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| + \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{K} \ln(\ln \omega + |\ln \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\||) \right]^{\frac{2}{\theta}}} \right]^{\frac{\theta\eta}{2(1+\eta)}}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}$ denote the partial DN maps (corresponding to q_1 and q_2 respectively) measured on the open subset $\partial\Omega_{-, \epsilon} \subset \partial\Omega$. The constants K, θ, C and η are independent of the frequency ω .

The estimate (1.4) clearly shows that as the frequency ω grows, the second term in the right-hand side decays to zero and the first term, which is the Lipschitz part, dominates. Thus the property of increasing stability is exhibited in this case.

In Section 2, we discuss some preliminary results that we shall need in the proof of the estimates. Section 3 deals with the proof of the stability estimate (1.4).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect some preliminary results that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin with the derivation of the following boundary Carleman estimate. The proof closely follows [7] but the main point to note here is that the constants appearing in the estimate are independent of the frequency ω .

Theorem 2.1. *Let q in (1.1) belong to $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and α be a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Define $\varphi(x) = \alpha \cdot x$. Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$ (both independent of ω and depending only on the domain Ω and $\|q\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$) such that for all $\lambda > \lambda_0$ and for all $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$,*

$$-\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\partial\Omega_-} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \overline{\partial_\nu u} dS + C \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|e^{\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (2.1)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\partial\Omega_+} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \overline{\partial_\nu u} dS,$$

Proof. We prove the estimate for $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. The general case follows from a standard approximation argument.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\lambda\varphi} (-\Delta - \omega^2) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \|(\Delta + \lambda^2 + \omega^2) u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4\lambda^2 \|\alpha \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - \underbrace{2\lambda \langle \alpha \cdot \nabla u, (\Delta + \lambda^2 + \omega^2) u \rangle}_I - \underbrace{2\lambda \langle (\Delta + \lambda^2 + \omega^2) u, \alpha \cdot \nabla u \rangle}_{II}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the third and fourth terms on the right from the above equation, and split it as

$$I + II = -2\lambda \left(\underbrace{\langle \alpha \cdot \nabla u, \Delta u \rangle}_A + \underbrace{\langle \Delta u, \alpha \cdot \nabla u \rangle}_B + \underbrace{\langle \alpha \cdot \nabla u, (\lambda^2 + \omega^2) u \rangle}_C + \underbrace{\langle (\lambda^2 + \omega^2) u, \alpha \cdot \nabla u \rangle}_D \right).$$

The third and the fourth expression on the right combine to give

$$\begin{aligned} -2\lambda \cdot (C + D) &= -2\lambda (\lambda^2 + \omega^2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{x_i} u \overline{u} + u \partial_{x_i} \overline{u}) dx \right) \\ &= -2\lambda (\lambda^2 + \omega^2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i} (|u|^2) dx \right) \\ &= -2\lambda (\lambda^2 + \omega^2) \int_{\partial\Omega} (\alpha \cdot \nu) |u|^2 dS. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have that $C + D = 0$.

Now let us consider the first term:

$$\begin{aligned} -2\lambda \cdot A &= -2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i} u \Delta \overline{u} dx \\ &= 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_{\Omega} u \partial_{x_i} (\Delta \overline{u}) dx - 2\lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} (\alpha \cdot \nu) u \Delta \overline{u} dS. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second term on the right above is 0 and the Laplacian and the partial derivative on the first integral can be interchanged. We get

$$\begin{aligned} -2\lambda \cdot A &= 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_{\Omega} u \Delta (\partial_{x_i} \overline{u}) dx \\ &= 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \partial_{x_i} \overline{u} dx + 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_{\partial\Omega} u \partial_\nu (\partial_{x_i} \overline{u}) dS - 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u \partial_{x_i} \overline{u} dS. \end{aligned}$$

The second expression on the right is 0 since $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Therefore we have

$$I + II = -2\lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_\nu u) (\alpha \cdot \nabla \bar{u}) \, dS.$$

Now at each point $x \in \partial\Omega$, let us write

$$\alpha = (\alpha \cdot \nu(x)) \nu(x) + T(x),$$

where $T(x)$ is a vector field tangent to $\partial\Omega$ at x . Since $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have that $T(x) \cdot \nabla \bar{u}(x) = 0$. Hence we get

$$\|e^{\lambda\varphi} (-\Delta - \omega^2) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \|(\Delta + \lambda^2 + \omega^2) u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4\lambda^2 \|\alpha \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2\lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS.$$

Using Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\geq 4\lambda^2 \|\alpha \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2\lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS \\ &\geq C\lambda^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2\lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C is independent of the frequency ω .

We can rewrite the above inequality as

$$\begin{aligned} -2\lambda \int_{\partial\Omega_-} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS + C\lambda^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \|e^{\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\lambda \int_{\partial\Omega_+} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\|e^{\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|e^{\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|qu\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq 2\|e^{\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\|qu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq 2\|e^{\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\|q\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing λ large enough, we derive

$$-2\lambda \int_{\partial\Omega_-} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS + C\lambda^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2\|e^{\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\lambda \int_{\partial\Omega_+} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS.$$

Now the estimate

$$-\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\partial\Omega_-} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS + C\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|e^{\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) e^{-\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\partial\Omega_+} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS$$

follows. $\square$

Remark 2.2. Note that we can use the linear Carleman weight $-\varphi$ instead of φ in the previous inequality which would give us

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\partial\Omega_+} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS + C\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) e^{\lambda\varphi} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\partial\Omega_-} (-\alpha \cdot \nu) \partial_\nu u \, \overline{\partial_\nu u} \, dS. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\tilde{u} = e^{\lambda\varphi} u$, and using the fact that $\tilde{u} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we derive the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\lambda} \left\langle \sqrt{\alpha \cdot \nu} \, e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}, \sqrt{\alpha \cdot \nu} \, e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u} \right\rangle_{\partial\Omega_+} + C\|e^{-\lambda\varphi} \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\langle \sqrt{-(\alpha \cdot \nu)} \, e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}, \sqrt{-(\alpha \cdot \nu)} \, e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u} \right\rangle_{\partial\Omega_-}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The following version of Green's identity can be derived following [5] and we skip the proof here.

Lemma 2.3. *Let u_1, u_2 satisfy (1.1) with q_1, q_2 respectively and v satisfy $\mathcal{L}_{q_1}^* v = 0$. Then*

$$\int_{\Omega} (q_1 - q_2) u_2 \bar{v} \, dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\nu}(u_1 - u_2) \bar{v} \, dS. \quad (2.3)$$

We shall also use the following result due to Sylvester and Uhlmann (see [4] and also [13, 14]) on the existence of CGO solutions for (1.1).

Theorem 2.4. *Let $s > \frac{n}{2}$ be an integer and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfy $\zeta \cdot \zeta = \omega^2$. Then there exist constants C_1 and C_2 (independent of ω and only depending on s and Ω) such that if $|\zeta| > C_2 \|q\|_{H^s(\Omega)}$, then there exists a solution to (1.1) of the form*

$$u(x) = e^{ix \cdot \zeta} (1 + r(x, \zeta)),$$

with r satisfying the following estimate:

$$\|r\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_1}{|\zeta|} \|q\|_{H^s(\Omega)}.$$

The idea is to choose ζ suitably and use the above result to infer the existence of CGO solutions u with the remainder term r satisfying the above estimates.

Since we are dealing with the partial data case, suitable analytic continuation results need to be used to derive the stability estimates. We shall use the following analytic continuation result due to Vessella (see [24] and also [9]).

Theorem 2.5. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open connected set such that for a positive number r_0 the set $D_r = \{x \in D : d(x, \partial D) > r\}$ is connected for every $r \in [0, r_0]$. Let $E \subset D$ be an open set such that $d(E, \partial D) \geq d_0 > 0$. Let f be an analytic function on D with the property that*

$$|D^\alpha f(x)| \leq \frac{C\alpha!}{\mu^{|\alpha|}} \text{ for } x \in D, \alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n,$$

where μ, C are positive numbers. Then

$$|f(x)| \leq (2C)^{1-\gamma_1(\frac{|E|}{|D|})} \left(\sup_E |f(x)| \right)^{\gamma_1(\frac{|E|}{|D|})},$$

where $|E|$ and $|D|$ denote the Lebesgue measure of E and D , respectively, $\gamma_1 \in (0, 1)$ and γ_1 depends only on $d_0, \text{diam}(D), n, r_0, \mu$ and $d(x, \partial D)$.

3. STABILITY ESTIMATES

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. We introduce suitable CGO solutions as follows.

Let

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{1}{2}\xi + i\lambda\alpha - \left(\omega^2 + \lambda^2 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\zeta_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\xi - i\lambda\alpha - \left(\omega^2 + \lambda^2 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta, \quad (3.2)$$

for $\omega^2 + \lambda^2 > \frac{|\xi|^2}{4}$. Then $\zeta_j \cdot \zeta_j = \omega^2$. Using theorem 2.4, provided $|\zeta_j| > C_2 \|q_j\|_{H^s(\Omega)}$, we have solutions v and u_2 to $\mathcal{L}_{q_1}^* v = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{q_2} u_2 = 0$ of the form

$$v(x) = e^{i\zeta_1 \cdot x} (1 + r_1(x, \zeta_1; \lambda)), \quad u_2(x) = e^{i\zeta_2 \cdot x} (1 + r_2(x, \zeta_2; \lambda)),$$

where the remainder terms r_j , $j = 1, 2$ satisfy the estimates

$$\|r_j\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_1}{|\zeta_j|} \|q_j\|_{H^s(\Omega)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that $|\zeta_j| = (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore provided $(\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} > C_2 M$, we have the estimate

$$\|r_j\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_1}{|\zeta_j|} \|q_j\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_1 M}{C_2 M} \leq C. \quad (3.4)$$

We rewrite (2.3) as

$$\int_{\Omega} (q_1 - q_2) u_2 \bar{v} \, dx = \int_{\partial\Omega_{-,\epsilon}} \partial_{\nu}(u_1 - u_2) \bar{v} \, dS + \int_{\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon}} \partial_{\nu}(u_1 - u_2) \bar{v} \, dS. \quad (3.5)$$

We can estimate the H^1 and H^2 norms of v and u_2 in the following manner.

Let $R \geq 1$ be such that $\Omega \subset B(0, R)$. Then since $|e^{i\zeta_j \cdot x}| \leq e^{|\operatorname{Im} \zeta_j| |x|} \leq e^{\lambda R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} &\leq \|e^{i\zeta_1 \cdot x} (1 + r_1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \|e^{i\zeta_1 \cdot x} \partial_{x_k} r_1 + i\zeta_{1k} e^{i\zeta_1 \cdot x} (1 + r_1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C |\zeta_1| \|e^{i\zeta_1 \cdot x}\| \|1 + r_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\lambda R} \|1 + r_1\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\lambda R} \quad (\text{using (3.4)}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and similarly

$$\|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2) e^{\lambda R}. \quad (3.7)$$

Using these, we estimate the terms in the right-hand side of (3.5) as follows. For the integral over $\partial\Omega_{-,\epsilon}$ we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_{-,\epsilon}} \partial_{\nu}(u_1 - u_2) \bar{v} \, dS \right| &\leq \|\partial_{\nu}(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{-,\epsilon})} \|v\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{-,\epsilon})} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_{\nu}(u_1 - u_2)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega_{-,\epsilon})} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega_{-,\epsilon})} \\ &\leq C \|(\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2})(f)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| \|f\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| \|u_2\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{2\lambda R} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

To estimate the integral over $\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon}$, we shall use the boundary Carleman estimate (2.2). First of all, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon}} \partial_{\nu}(u_1 - u_2) \bar{v} \, dS \right| &= \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon}} e^{-\lambda\alpha \cdot x} \partial_{\nu}(u_1 - u_2) e^{\lambda\alpha \cdot x} \bar{v} \, dS \right| \\ &\leq \|e^{-\lambda\alpha \cdot x} \partial_{\nu}(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon})} \|e^{\lambda\alpha \cdot x} \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\xi + i\lambda\alpha - \left(\omega^2 + \lambda^2 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta \\ \bar{\zeta}_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\xi - i\lambda\alpha - \left(\omega^2 + \lambda^2 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta \\ i\bar{\zeta}_1 &= -i \left[\frac{1}{2}\xi - i\lambda\alpha - \left(\omega^2 + \lambda^2 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta \right] = -\lambda\alpha + i \left[-\frac{1}{2}\xi + \left(\omega^2 + \lambda^2 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$e^{\lambda\alpha\cdot x}\overline{v} = e^{\lambda\alpha\cdot x}\overline{e^{i\zeta_1\cdot x}(1+\overline{r}_1(x,\zeta_1;\lambda))} = e^{i\left[-\frac{1}{2}\xi + \left(\omega^2 + \lambda^2 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\beta\right]\cdot x}(1+\overline{r}_1(x,\zeta_1;\lambda)),$$

and

$$\|e^{\lambda\alpha\cdot x}\overline{v}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon})} = \|1+\overline{r}_1(x,\zeta_1;\lambda)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon})}.$$

Using (3.3) and trace theorem,

$$\|\overline{r}_1\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon})} \leq \|\overline{r}_1\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)} \leq C\|\overline{r}_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C}{|\zeta_1|} \cdot M \leq C,$$

where we use the fact that $|\zeta_1| = (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} > 1$.

Using this in (3.9), we have

$$\left| \int_{\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon}} \partial_\nu(u_1 - u_2)\overline{v} \, dS \right| \leq C \|e^{-\lambda\alpha\cdot x} \partial_\nu(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon})}. \quad (3.10)$$

From the boundary Carleman estimate (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda} \|\sqrt{\alpha\cdot\nu} e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)}^2 + C \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \|\sqrt{-(\alpha\cdot\nu)} e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This gives,

$$\|\sqrt{\alpha\cdot\nu} e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\sqrt{-(\alpha\cdot\nu)} e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)}^2$$

from which, we have

$$\|\sqrt{\alpha\cdot\nu} e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\sqrt{-(\alpha\cdot\nu)} e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Now on $\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon}$, we have $\alpha\cdot\nu > \epsilon$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\epsilon} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon})} &\leq \|\sqrt{\alpha\cdot\nu} e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\sqrt{-(\alpha\cdot\nu)} e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\|e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon})} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q) \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sqrt{-\inf_{\partial\Omega_-} (\alpha\cdot\nu)} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)} \right). \quad (3.12)$$

Choosing $\tilde{u} = u_1 - u_2$ and $q = q_1$ in (3.12), from (3.10) we can infer

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_{+,\epsilon}} \partial_\nu(u_1 - u_2)\overline{v} \, dS \right| &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon\lambda}} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q_1) (u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \sqrt{-\inf_{\partial\Omega_-} (\alpha\cdot\nu)} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Using the facts $(\Delta + \omega^2 - q_1) (u_1 - u_2) = (q_1 - q_2)u_2$, and

$$e^{-\lambda\alpha\cdot x}u_2 = e^{i\left[-\frac{1}{2}\xi - \left(\omega^2 + \lambda^2 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\beta\right]\cdot x}(1+r_2(x,\zeta_2;\lambda)),$$

we observe that

$$\|e^{-\lambda\varphi} (\Delta + \omega^2 - q_1) (u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} (q_1 - q_2) u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|(q_1 - q_2) (1 + r_2(x, \zeta_2; \lambda))\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

and using this in (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_{+, \epsilon}} \partial_\nu(u_1 - u_2) \bar{v} \, dS \right| &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon\lambda}} + \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \sqrt{-\inf_{\partial\Omega_-} (\alpha \cdot \nu)} \|e^{-\lambda\varphi} \partial_\nu(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{-, \epsilon})} \\ \Rightarrow \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_{+, \epsilon}} \partial_\nu(u_1 - u_2) \bar{v} \, dS \right| &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + Ce^{R\lambda} \|\partial_\nu(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{-, \epsilon})} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + Ce^{2\lambda R} (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2) \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where the constant now depends on ϵ .

We extend q_1 and q_2 to be 0 outside Ω . Using these inequalities in (3.5), we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (q_1 - q_2) e^{-i\xi \cdot x} \, dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_{+, \epsilon}} \partial_\nu(u_1 - u_2) \bar{v} \, dS \right| + \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_{-, \epsilon}} \partial_\nu(u_1 - u_2) \bar{v} \, dS \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\Omega} (q_1 - q_2) e^{-i\xi \cdot x} (\bar{r}_1 + r_2 + \bar{r}_1 r_2) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + Ce^{2\lambda R} (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2) \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| + C (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{2\lambda R} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{(\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{2\lambda R} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| + \frac{1}{(\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left((\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{2\lambda R} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where we use the fact that $\lambda \leq (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^{1/2}$ for $\lambda \geq 1$. We perturb α in a small enough conic neighborhood. Correspondingly, the vector ξ chosen perpendicular to α would vary in a small conic neighborhood denoted by V . Now for all $\xi \in V$, with the condition that $|\xi| \leq 2\sqrt{\omega^2 + \lambda^2}$, estimate (3.15) holds. We will consider those ξ such that $|\xi| < \lambda$. This would obviously imply that $|\xi| \leq 2\sqrt{\omega^2 + \lambda^2}$. Hence for all ξ such that $|\xi| \leq \lambda$, (3.15) holds.

Denoting $q = q_1 - q_2$, let us split $\|q\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$ as

$$\|q\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \left(\int_{|\xi| < \rho} \frac{|\hat{q}(\xi)|^2}{1 + |\xi|^2} \, d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq \rho} \frac{|\hat{q}(\xi)|^2}{1 + |\xi|^2} \, d\xi \right)$$

with ρ to be chosen later.

The second integral can be estimated as

$$\int_{|\xi| \geq \rho} \frac{|\hat{q}(\xi)|^2}{1 + |\xi|^2} \, d\xi \leq \frac{1}{1 + \rho^2} \int |\hat{q}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \leq \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int |\hat{q}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\rho^2}.$$

The first integral, we estimate using the result by Vessella (see Theorem 2.5 above) following the arguments in [9]. We have the following estimate for $\hat{q}(\xi)$ from [9] for $\xi \in B(0, \rho)$:

$$|\hat{q}(\xi)| \leq Ce^{n\rho(1-\theta)} \|\hat{q}\|_{L^\infty(V)}^\theta,$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is a positive constant independent of ω . Using the above estimate, we have

$$\int_{|\xi| < \rho} \frac{|\widehat{q}(\xi)|^2}{1 + |\xi|^2} d\xi \leq \|\widehat{q}\|_{L^\infty(B(0, \rho))}^2 \int_{|\xi| < \rho} \frac{1}{1 + |\xi|^2} d\xi \leq C \rho^n e^{2n\rho(1-\theta)} \|\widehat{q}\|_{L^\infty(V \cap B(0, \rho))}^{2\theta}.$$

Now

$$\|q\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{2}{\theta}} \leq C \left(\rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \|\widehat{q}\|_{L^\infty(V \cap B(0, \rho))}^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{2}{\theta}}} \right).$$

Using the estimate for the Fourier transform of q from (3.15), we get,

$$\leq C \left[\rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} (\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^3 e^{4\lambda R} \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2 + \rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{2}{\theta}}} \right].$$

Using the inequality $(\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2)^3 \leq C(\omega^6 + \lambda^6)$, we get,

$$\leq C \left[\rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \omega^6 e^{4\lambda R} \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2 + \rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \lambda^6 e^{4\lambda R} \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2 + \rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{2}{\theta}}} \right].$$

Using $\lambda^6 \leq e^{\lambda R}$, we have,

$$\leq C \left[\rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \omega^6 e^{4\lambda R} \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2 + \rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} e^{5\lambda R} \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2 + \rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{2}{\theta}}} \right].$$

Combining the first two expressions, using the fact that $\omega > 1$, we get,

$$\leq C \left[\underbrace{\omega^6 \rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} e^{5\lambda R} \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2}_{\text{I}} + \underbrace{\rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda}}_{\text{II}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{2}{\theta}}}}_{\text{III}} \right]. \quad (3.16)$$

Let us choose λ such that the terms II and III in (3.16) are equal. Then

$$\lambda = \rho^{\frac{n+2}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}. \quad (3.17)$$

Note that λ depends on ρ . We need to choose ρ suitably since the choice of λ must satisfy certain conditions to apply the Carleman estimate and the CGO solutions guaranteed by theorem 2.4.

With this in mind, let us estimate the first term in (3.16) with the choice of λ from (3.17) above. We have

$$\text{I} \leq \omega^6 e^{\frac{n}{\theta}\rho} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} e^{5R} \left[\rho^{\frac{n+2}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \right] \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2, \text{ where we use the fact that } \rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} \leq e^{\frac{n}{\theta}\rho}. \quad (3.18)$$

Again using, $\frac{n}{\theta}\rho + 2n\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\rho \leq \exp\left(\frac{n}{\theta}\rho + 2n\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\rho\right)$, $5R \leq e^{5R}$ and $\rho^{\frac{n+2}{\theta}} \leq \exp\left(\frac{n+2}{\theta}\rho\right)$, we get,

$$\leq \omega^6 \left[\exp \left\{ \exp \left(\frac{n}{\theta}\rho + 2n\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\rho \right) + \exp \left(5R + \frac{n+2}{\theta}\rho + 2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \right) \right\} \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2 \right]. \quad (3.19)$$

Since ρ is chosen greater than 1,

$$\leq \omega^6 \left[\exp \left\{ \exp \left(\frac{n}{\theta}\rho + 2n\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\rho \right) + \exp \left(5R\rho + \frac{n+2}{\theta}\rho + 2n\rho \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \right) \right\} \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2 \right]. \quad (3.20)$$

Using $e^A + e^B \leq 1 + e^{A+B}$, we have,

$$\leq C \omega^6 \exp \left(\exp \left(\left(\frac{n}{\theta} + 4n\frac{1-\theta}{\theta} + 5R + \frac{n+2}{\theta} \right) \rho \right) \right) \|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \widetilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2. \quad (3.21)$$

Denoting $K = \left(\frac{n}{\theta} + 4n\frac{1-\theta}{\theta} + 5R + \frac{n+2}{\theta}\right)$, we rewrite

$$= C\omega^6 \exp(\exp(K\rho)) \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2. \quad (3.22)$$

We make the following choice for ρ :

$$\rho = \frac{1}{K} \ln \left(\ln \omega + |\ln \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|| \right). \quad (3.23)$$

We assume that $\|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|$ satisfies the following:

$$\|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| \leq \exp \left(-\exp \left(K\tilde{\lambda}^{1/L} \right) \right),$$

where $\tilde{\lambda} > \max(1, \lambda_0, C_2M)$ and $L = \frac{3n-2n\theta+2}{\theta}$. Note that, with this choice, $\|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| < 1$. Then

$$\rho = \frac{1}{K} \left(\ln \left(\ln \omega + |\ln \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|| \right) \right) \geq \frac{1}{K} \left(\ln \left(|\ln \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|| \right) \right) \geq \tilde{\lambda}^{1/L}.$$

Now

$$\lambda = \rho^{\frac{n+2}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \geq \rho^{\frac{n+2}{\theta}} \rho^{2n\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} = \rho^{\frac{3n-2n\theta+2}{\theta}} = \rho^L, \text{ where } L \text{ was defined above.}$$

Then by the above $\lambda \geq \rho^L \geq \tilde{\lambda} > \lambda_0$. This choice of λ is required in the Carleman estimate. Then with the inequalities, $\lambda \geq \rho^L \geq \tilde{\lambda} \geq \lambda_0$, we have $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$.

Also note that if ξ is chosen such that $|\xi| \leq \rho$, since L defined above satisfies $L \geq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 1$, we have

$$|\xi| \leq \rho \leq \lambda^{1/L} \leq \lambda \leq 2(\lambda^2 + \omega^2).$$

Hence the vectors (3.1) and (3.2) are well defined, as well as the estimate in (3.15) can be applied.

Finally, in Theorem 2.4, we require $|\zeta| \geq C_2\|q\|_{H^s(\Omega)}$. Recall that $|\zeta| = \sqrt{\omega^2 + 2\lambda^2}$. Since we have taken $\tilde{\lambda} \geq C_2M$, where M is the bound on the potentials, our choice of λ satisfies this inequality as well.

Now going back to the proof of the theorem, we have

$$\omega^6 \rho^{\frac{n}{\theta}} e^{2n\rho\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} e^{5\lambda R} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^2 \leq C\omega^7 \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|$$

and using this in (3.16) we obtain

$$\|q\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{\theta}} \leq \|q\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{2}{\theta}} \leq C \left[\omega^7 \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| + \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{K} \ln \left(\ln \omega + |\ln \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|| \right) \right]^{\frac{2}{\theta}}} \right], \quad (3.24)$$

whenever $\|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| < \delta := \exp \left(-\exp \left(K\tilde{\lambda}^{1/L} \right) \right)$.

The estimate for the case $\|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| \geq \delta$ can be easily deduced as follows. Recall that δ is independent of ω . We use the continuous inclusions $L^\infty(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ to derive

$$\|q_1 - q_2\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \leq C\|q_1 - q_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \frac{2CM}{\delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}}} \delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \leq \frac{2CM}{\delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}}} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|^{\frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (3.25)$$

The L^∞ norm of $q_1 - q_2$ can now be estimated using interpolation. We recall that given k_0, k, k_1 satisfying $k_0 < k_1$ and $k = (1-p)k_0 + pk_1$, where $p \in (0, 1)$, the interpolation theorem gives the following estimate for the H^k norm of a function f :

$$\|f\|_{H^k(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{H^{k_0}(\Omega)}^{1-p} \cdot \|f\|_{H^{k_1}(\Omega)}^p.$$

To apply this in our case, let $\eta > 0$ be such that $s = \frac{n}{2} + 2\eta$. We choose $k_0 = -1, k_1 = s$ and $k = \frac{n}{2} + \eta = s - \eta$. Then

$$k = (1-p)k_0 + pk_1, \text{ where } p = \frac{1+s-\eta}{1+s}.$$

Using the Sobolev embedding and the interpolation theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q_1 - q_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq C\|q_1 - q_2\|_{H^{\frac{\eta}{2}+\eta}(\Omega)} \leq C\|q_1 - q_2\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{1-p} \cdot \|q_1 - q_2\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^p \\
&\leq C\|q_1 - q_2\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{\frac{\eta}{1+s}} \\
&\leq C \left[\omega^7 \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\| + \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{K} \ln \left(\ln \omega + |\ln \|\tilde{\Lambda}_{q_1} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{q_2}\|| \right) \right]^{\frac{2}{\theta}}} \right]^{\frac{\theta\eta}{2(1+s)}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where C is a generic constant that does not depend on ω . This gives the required stability estimate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Arpit Babbar for the discussions regarding this problem. The research of the second author was supported by SERB Matrices grant MTR/2017/000837.

REFERENCES

- [1] Beretta E, de Hoop MV, Faucher F, Scherzer O. Inverse boundary value problem for the Helmholtz equation: quantitative conditional Lipschitz stability estimates. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 48 (2016), no. 6, 3962–3983. DOI: 10.1137/15M1043856 .
- [2] Garcia-Ferrero MA, Rüland A, Zaton W. Runge Approximation and Stability Improvement for a Partial Data Calderón Problem for the Acoustic Helmholtz Equation. arXiv:2101.04089 .
- [3] Calderón AP. On an inverse boundary value problem. In *Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics (Rio de Janeiro, 1980)*, pages 65–73. Soc. Brasil. Mat., Rio de Janeiro, 1980.
- [4] Sylvester J, Uhlmann G. A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 125(1):153–169, 1987. DOI: 10.2307/1971291 .
- [5] Alessandrini G. Stable determination of conductivity by boundary measurements. *Appl. Anal.*, 27(1-3):153–172, 1988. DOI: 10.1080/00036818808839730 .
- [6] Mandache N. Exponential instability in an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation, *Inverse Problems*, 17 (5), 1435–1444, 2001. DOI: 10.1088/0266-5611/17/5/313 .
- [7] Bukhgeim AL, Uhlmann G. Recovering a potential from partial Cauchy data. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 27(3-4):653–668, 2002. DOI: 10.1081/PDE-120002868 .
- [8] Kenig C, Sjöstrand J, Uhlmann G. The Calderón problem with partial data. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 165 (2007), no. 2, 567–591. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2007.165.567 .
- [9] Heck H, Wang JN. Stability estimates for the inverse boundary value problem by partial Cauchy data. *Inverse Problems*, 22(5):1787–1796, 2006. DOI: 10.1088/0266-5611/22/5/015 .
- [10] Heck H, Wang JN. Optimal stability estimate of the inverse boundary value problem by partial measurements. *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste*, 48: 369–383, 2016. DOI: 10.13137/2464-8728/13164 .
- [11] Isakov V. On uniqueness in the inverse conductivity problem with local data. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 1(1): 95–105, 2007. DOI: 10.3934/ipi.2007.1.95 .
- [12] Isakov V. Increasing stability for the Schrödinger potential from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, 4 (3): 631–640, 2011. DOI: 10.3934/dcdss.2011.4.631 .
- [13] Isakov V, Lai RY, Wang JN. Increasing stability for the conductivity and attenuation coefficients. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 48 (2016), no. 1, 569–594. DOI: 10.1137/15M1019052 .
- [14] Isakov V, Nagayasu S, Uhlmann G, Wang JN. Increasing stability of the inverse boundary value problem for the Schrödinger equation. *Inverse problems and applications*, 131–141, Contemp. Math., 615, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014. DOI: 10.1090/conm/615 .
- [15] Isakov V, Wang JN. Increasing stability for determining the potential in the Schrödinger equation with attenuation from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 8(4): 1139–1150, 2014. DOI: 10.3934/ipi.2014.8.1139 .
- [16] Isaev MI. Exponential instability in the Gel’fand inverse problem on the energy intervals, *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.*, 19 (3), 453–472, 2011. DOI: 10.1515/jiip.2011.039 .
- [17] Isaev MI. Instability in the Gel’fand inverse problem at high energies, *Appl. Anal.*, 92 (11), 2262–2274, 2013. DOI: 10.1080/00036811.2012.731501 .
- [18] Isaev MI, Novikov RG. Energy and regularity dependent stability estimates for the Gel’fand inverse problem in multi-dimensions, *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.*, 20 (3), 313–325, 2012. DOI: 10.1515/jip-2012-0024 .
- [19] Isaev MI, Novikov RG. Effectivized Hölder-logarithmic stability estimates for the Gel’fand inverse problem, *Inverse Problems*, 30 (9), 18 pp., 2014. DOI: 10.1088/0266-5611/30/9/095006 .

- [20] Nagayasu S, Uhlmann G, Wang JN. Increasing stability in an inverse problem for the acoustic equation, *Inverse Problems*, 29 (2), 11 pp., 2013. DOI: 10.1088/0266-5611/29/2/025012 .
- [21] Choudhury AP, Heck H. Increasing stability for the inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 41 (2018), no. 2, 606–614. DOI: 10.1002/mma.4632 .
- [22] Liang L. Increasing stability for the inverse problem of the Schrödinger equation with the partial Cauchy data. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 9 (2): 469–478, 2015. DOI: 10.3934/ipi.2015.9.469 .
- [23] Krupchyk K, Uhlmann G. Stability estimates for partial data inverse problems for Schrödinger operators in the high frequency limit. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 126 (2019), 273–291. DOI: 10.1016/j.matpur.2019.02.017 .
- [24] Vessella S. A continuous dependence result in the analytic continuation problem. *Forum Math.*, 11 (6), 695–703, 1999. DOI: 10.1515/form.1999.020 .

*SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR 752050, INDIA, AND HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, TRAINING SCHOOL COMPLEX, ANUSHAKTINAGAR, MUMBAI 400094, INDIA. EMAIL: anupampcmath@gmail.com

‡ TIFR CENTRE FOR APPLICABLE MATHEMATICS, BANGALORE, INDIA. EMAIL: vkrishnan@tifrbng.res.in