High-throughput Investigations of Topological and Nodal Superconductors
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The theory of symmetry indicators has enabled database searches for topological materials in normal conducting phases, which has led to several encyclopedic topological material databases. Here, based on recently developed symmetry indicators for superconductors, we report our comprehensive search for topological and nodal superconductors among nonmagnetic materials in Inorganic Crystal Structure Database. A myriad of topological superconductors with exotic boundary states are discovered. When materials are symmetry-enforced nodal superconductors, positions and shapes of the nodes are also identified. These data are aggregated at Database of Topological and Nodal Superconductors (https://tnsc.nju.edu.cn). We also provide a subroutine Topological Supercon (http://toposupercon.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp/tms), which allows users to examine the topological nature in the superconducting phase of any material themselves by uploading the result of first-principles calculations as an input. Our database and subroutine, when combined with experiments, will help us understand the unconventional pairing mechanism and facilitate realizations of the long-sought Majorana fermions promising for topological quantum computations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ever since heavy-fermion superconductors were discovered, intensive research on unconventional superconductors has been conducted, revealing several candidate materials [1–5]. While the nature of superconducting pairing of materials realized in actual experiments is often controversial, bulk nodes and surface states in the Bogoliubov quasi-particle spectrum are some of the few important experimental signatures of pairing symmetries of Cooper pairs. For example, a power-law behavior of the specific heat at low temperatures indicates the existence of nodes in the Bogoliubov quasi-particle spectrum. The appearance of gapless surface states implies that the superconductor is topological. In particular, Majorana surface states could be leveraged for topological quantum computations [6–8]. Therefore, exploring nodal superconductors (NSCs) and topological superconductors (TSCs) is one of the necessary steps to elucidate the mechanism of unconventional superconductivity and to establish devices utilizing the novel property of TSCs.

The past two decades have witnessed rapid developments in the theoretical understanding of topological phases of matter [9, 10]. The combinations of crystalline and internal symmetries give rise to a large variety of gapped and gapless topological phases, such as higher-order topological insulators [11–14], Weyl/Dirac semimetals [15–18], and nodal-line semimetals [19–21]. The recently established method of symmetry indicators (SIs) [22] and topological quantum chemistry [23] enables efficient diagnosis of topological phases by examining irreducible representations (irreps) of space groups. These theories underlie recent large-scale topological material discoveries based on first-principles calculations [24–27], which have indeed assisted experimentalists to find new topological materials [28]. Very recently, the method of SIs has been extended to superconductors [29–34].

The fundamental distinction between the normal conducting phases and superconducting phases originates from the particle-hole symmetry (PHS), which naturally arises in the mean-field Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian for superconductors. The PHS gives rise to exotic phases, such as Bogoliubov Fermi surfaces [35] and Majorana corner modes [13, 36, 37], with no counterparts in normal conducting phases. However, the number of experimentally confirmed materials of NSCs and TSCs is much less than that of topological insulators and semimetals. One of the main reasons is the absence of an exhaustive database listing topological and nodal nature of superconductors.

In this work, we apply the newly developed SIs for superconductors to materials listed in a database and establish a comprehensive database summarizing the topological and nodal nature of materials in superconducting phases, assuming that the materials are superconductors at low temperatures. Even when the pairing symmetry is not known, computing SIs for each possible pairing symmetry is helpful in elucidating the pairing mechanism of unconventional superconductivity. These results could be compared with experimental results and reduce the candidates of pairing symmetries. We summarize our result of diagnosis of topological and nodal nature for all nonmagnetic materials in superconducting phases in Database of Topological and Nodal Superconductors. We also provide a subroutine Topological Supercon, which can diagnose TSC/NSC in any material synthesized in the future. This program aims for a quick diagnosis of possible TSC/NSC for a given result of first-principles calculations.

II. DIAGNOSTIC SCHEME

Here we give a brief summary of our diagnostic scheme illustrated in Fig. 1 (See Method and Supplemental Materials (SM) for more details). In general, the full diagnosis of the topological properties of a material requires (i) the complete
FIG. 1. Illustration of our diagnostic scheme. We work with the material data (the composition and the structure) and an assumed pairing symmetry as inputs. First, we determine band labels for each atomic limit superconductor and list up compatibility relations. Next, we perform first-principles calculations and obtain the number of irreducible representations below the Fermi energy at each high-symmetry point. Then, we compute band labels of the material using the conversion formulas in Method. We check if the obtained band labels satisfy compatibility relations. If some of them are violated, the material must be a nodal superconductor (Case I). When all compatibility relations hold, we compare the band labels of the material with those of atomic limits. If the band labels of material do not coincide with any atomic limit, the material must be topologically nontrivial (Case II). Otherwise, the material does not have any nontrivial topology detected by symmetry indicators (Case III). Sometimes, no band labels can be defined at any high-symmetry momenta to start with and we do not perform any further calculations in that case (Case IV).

information on its wave function over the entire Brillouin zone and (ii) the complete list of topological indices to be computed. However, these are often inaccessible for realistic materials, especially for superconductors. Space group representations of the wave function at high-symmetry momenta enable us to efficiently diagnose topological and nodal nature of the system [22, 32, 33]. Based on this idea, we focus only on zero-dimensional topological invariants of systems, which we call band labels (BLs) in this work. BLs are defined for each irreps at each high-symmetry momentum and they altogether form a list $n_{SC}$.

To realize a gapped Bogoliubov quasi-particle spectrum, BLs in $n_{SC}$ are not fully independent and are subject to symmetry constraints called compatibility relations (CRs). If some CRs are violated, the superconductor is a symmetry-enforced NSC. By inspecting the violations of CRs, we can determine the positions and shapes of nodes such as point, line, and surface [34]. When all CRs are satisfied, the superconductor may be fully gapped or may still exhibit nodes of a topological origin. In this case, we compare the set of BLs $n_{SC}$ with those of atomic limit superconductors that are constructed from localized orbitals at Wyckoff positions and thus are topologically trivial. Since BLs are topological invariants, a superconductor whose $n_{SC}$ is different from any atomic limit must be topologically nontrivial, i.e., the superconductor is a TSC or a topological NSC.

For realistic materials, we can determine $n_{SC}$ from the first-principles calculations based on the weak pairing assumption: the energy scale of the Cooper pair potential is much smaller than that of normal conducting phases [38]. In such a case, BLs for the superconducting phase can be deduced from the numbers of occupied bands characterized by irreps in the normal conducting phase. Using the obtained BLs, we analyze topological and nodal nature as explained in the preceding discussions. After performing the analyses, each material for each pairing falls into one of the following cases: Case I, symmetry-enforced NSC; Case II, symmetry-diagnosable TSC or topological NSC; Case III, topologically trivial or not symmetry-diagnosable TSC; Case IV, the case where no BLs can be defined at any high-symmetry momenta. In particular, as far as the time-reversal symmetry (TRS) is assumed in the normal conducting phase, Case IV occurs if and only if the
trivial pairing symmetry is assumed.

III. MATERIAL INVESTIGATION

We conduct calculations for 27,208 out of more than 180,000 materials listed in Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD) [39], excluding nonstoichiometric materials and those containing typical magnetic ions (see Method). We find 10,822 of them have some sort of Fermi surfaces, and in this section we focus on them.

For each space group, we examine if materials are classified into Case I or II for all nontrivial pairing symmetries. Namely, if the point group of the material has several one dimensional irreps other than the trivial one, we perform the calculation for all of them and see if they are all in Case I or II. In total, 88.9% of computed materials fall into such a category. This is consistent with the empirical rule that a superconductor is likely to be nontrivial when an unconventional pairing is assumed. Complete lists of computed materials and the percentage for each space group are included in SM. It is worth noting that 22 space groups listed in Table I have high percentages, which might guide the search for TSCs or NSCs. For example, once unconventional pairings are formed, 100% of computed materials in space group No. 191 are TSCs or NSCs. Therefore, one can expect better chance of discovering new TSCs and NSCs by exploring the materials in these space groups.

We note that our analysis is sensitive to the Fermi level determined by the first-principles calculation since BLs are obtained from the counts of irreps of occupied bands below the Fermi energy. Furthermore, even when the undoped material does not exhibit superconductivity as is the case in large-gap insulators, doped one sometimes does. For these reasons, we also perform calculations for several different Fermi levels for each of 27,208 materials (see Method for the details).

IV. MATERIAL EXAMPLES IN THE DATABASE

Here, we pick two materials BaPtP and BaPtSb from our database as examples. Superconductivity of these compounds were observed recently [41, 42]. Interestingly, their constituent elements belong to the same families as those in similar compounds CaPtAs and SrPtAs, which are candidates of unconventional superconductors [5, 43]. The space groups of these materials, $P2_13$ (No. 198) for BaPtP and $P6m2$ (No. 187) for BaPtSb, lack inversion symmetry [39]. In fact, one of the advantages of our method is that it does not require inversion symmetry. The electronic band structures obtained by first-principles calculations are shown in Fig. 2 (a) and (b). The results of our symmetry-based analysis are tabulated in Table II. Technical details to reproduce our results are included in Method. Here we give a brief summary.

Let us start with BaPtP. The point group $T$ has three 1D single-valued representations: $A, \frac{1}{2}E$, and $\frac{3}{2}E$. The latter two break TRS. We find that a superconductor with $\frac{1}{2}E$ or $\frac{3}{2}E$ pairing is a symmetry-enforced NSC, in which CRs along the Γ−R line are violated. The resulting nodes along this line turn out to be part of a surface node.

Next, we discuss BaPtSb. The point group is $D_{3h}$ containing four 1D single-valued representations: $A''_2, A'_{2g}, A''_1$, and $A'_{1g}$, all of which preserve TRS. We find that a superconductor with $A''_2$ or $A'_{2g}$ pairing is a symmetry-enforced NSC. CRs along various lines are violated, as shown in Table II. Since all of the lines are in Γ-A-H-K or M-L-H-K planes, the violations result in line nodes in these planes [34]. In contrast, all CRs are satisfied for the $A''_1$ pairing. Thus we diagnose the topology by SIs. We find that the material belongs to the entry $(0, 1, 0, 0, 5, 5) \in (Z_2)^5 \times (Z_6)^2$ of SIs, which indicates that the mirror Chern number on the $k_z = \pi$ equals $1$ mod $3$ while that on the $k_z = 0$ plane is $0$ mod $3$.

Finally, let us comment on how to utilize our database for studies of unconventional superconductivity in realistic materials. In general, one cannot exclusively conclude that the superconducting phase of a given material is topological or nodal just by referring to our database. This is because the trivial pairing symmetry (the $A$ representation for BaPtP and the $A'_{1g}$ representation for BaPtSb in the above examples) in the presence of TRS always falls into Case IV, where our symmetry-based analysis has no predicting power. Instead, one should go back and forth between experiments and our database. For example, if one obtains an experimental result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Space group No.</th>
<th>$N_{SL}$ proportion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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such as the specific heat and the magnetic penetration depth of the material, then one should refer to the database and compare the results with nodal and topological nature listed in the database. This way one can reduce the possible pairing symmetries of the material consistent with the experiment.

V. EXAMPLE OF SUBROUTINE

While we perform comprehensive computations for materials listed in ICSD, one may often encounter a situation where one wants to vary the composition of materials, to apply strains and pressures, or even to study materials not listed on the database. To this end, we develop a subroutine which enables users to run an automated calculation of our diagnostic scheme by uploading a particularly formatted result of first-principles calculations as the input.

To demonstrate the usage of the subroutine, here we discuss a new kagome metal CsV$_3$Sb$_5$, which has been recently synthesized [44, 45] and attracted much attention because of its various orders such as superconductivity and charge-density wave. As examples, we consider two distorted crystal structures due to a charge-density wave order, “Star of David” [40, 46] and “Tri-Hexagonal” [40] illustrated in Fig. 2 (g) and (h), both of which crystallize into space group P6/mmm (No. 191), and assume that no further symmetry breaking occurs.

The outputs of our subroutine for these structures are summarized in Table II. The predicted results are mostly symmetry-enforced NSCs unless the paring symmetry is $A_{1u}$ or $A_{1g}$. The shapes of the nodes are point type for odd-parity cases and line types for even-parity cases. By contrast, a fully symmetry-enforced NSCs unless the paring symmetry is summarized in Table II. The predicted results are mostly presented in Subroutine Topological Supercon, which can be used by uploading a particularly formatted result of first-principles calculations.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVE

In summary, we applied the theory of SIs for superconductors to high-throughput identifications of TSCs or NSCs in a nonmagnetic materials database. We performed a comprehensive investigation and constructed a database that lists topological and nodal nature of materials (see Database of Topological and Nodal Superconductors). We also developed a subroutine Topological Supercon, which can be used by uploading a particularly formatted result of first-principles calculations.

In this work, we focused only on 1D single-valued representations of point groups. The built database of TSCs and NSCs in this work should be updated to any other kinds of pairings, higher dimensional single-valued representations of...
TABLE II. Results of symmetry-based diagnosis for BaPtP, BaPtSb, and CsV₃Sb₅. The first column represents the ICSD identifying number of the material listed in the second column. The third one is the point group of the space group of the material, and symbols in the fourth column mean assumed irreducible representations of the pairing. Definitions of these representations are included in SM. The fifth column indicates Case I–IV for each pairing. The last column represents the paths where CRs are broken for Case I and the entry of symmetry indicators for Case II. Symbols [S], [L], and [P] specify the shape of the nodes, surface, line, and point, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICSD</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>PG</th>
<th>Irep</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Entry of symmetry indicators or Nodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>059191</td>
<td>BaPtP</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>(\Gamma)</td>
<td>Case I</td>
<td>(\Gamma(0,0,0)) (\rightarrow) (\Sigma(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})) [S]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case I</td>
<td>(\Gamma(0,0,0)) (\rightarrow) (\Sigma(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})) [S]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>059186</td>
<td>BaPtSb</td>
<td>D₃h</td>
<td>(A_1')</td>
<td>Case I</td>
<td>(\Gamma(0,0,0)) (\rightarrow) (\Sigma(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})) [S]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case II</td>
<td>(\Gamma(0,0,0)) (\rightarrow) (\Sigma(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})) [S]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case IV</td>
<td>(\Gamma(0,0,0)) (\rightarrow) (\Sigma(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})) [S]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not listed</td>
<td>CsV₃Sb₅</td>
<td>D₃h</td>
<td>(B_{1u})</td>
<td>Case I</td>
<td>(\Gamma(0,0,0)) (\rightarrow) (\Sigma(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})) [S]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case II</td>
<td>(\Gamma(0,0,0)) (\rightarrow) (\Sigma(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})) [S]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case IV</td>
<td>(\Gamma(0,0,0)) (\rightarrow) (\Sigma(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})) [S]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

point groups or mixed pairings of multiple irreps of point groups, which break crystalline symmetries due to formed Cooper pairs.

Our database and subroutine can aid the determination of the pairing symmetry of superconductors. Results in this work immediately present implications of experimental signatures such as boundary states, specific heat properties, or other measurements. An enormous number of materials predicted in this work are expected to facilitate future explorations of exotic states such as Majorana fermions, newly proposed response of TSCs [49], and Bogoliubov Fermi surfaces.

VII. METHOD

A. First-principles calculations

In our diagnostic scheme, we rely on the first-principles calculations to determine the number of irreps of occupied bands in the normal conducting phase. Our calculations are performed using WIEN2k [50]. We focus on non-magnetic materials and take into account the spin-orbit coupling. The standard GGA with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof realization is used as the exchange-correlation functional [51].

To count the number of irreps of occupied bands, we look at Bloch states below the Fermi energy \(E_F\). The Fermi energy is determined by the total number of electrons \(N(\nu + x)\), where \(N\) is the total number of computed momenta, \(\nu\) is the number of electrons per unit cell, and \(x\) is the doping parameter ranging from \(x = -2\) to +2 in this work. Assuming that doping only alters the Fermi energy without affecting the energy bands, we deduce the numbers of irreps at high-symmetry momenta in doped systems from the calculations for the undoped system. While \(E_F\) continuously increases with \(x\), the counts of irreps change discontinuously. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider several discrete values of \(x\) by dividing the interval \([-2, 2]\) into several smaller intervals in which the counts of irreps do not change.

B. More detailed concept of symmetry indicators

To make our discussions self-contained, here we provide the theoretical background of our diagnostic scheme.
TABLE III. Definition of EAZ symmetry classes and corresponding band labels. Depending on the values of \((W^c_k(T), W^c_k(C), W^c_k(\Gamma))\), the \(Z_2\)- and \(Z\)-valued band labels are defined for each irrep, denoted by \(p^\beta_k\) and \(N^\alpha_k\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EAZ</th>
<th>((W^c_k(T), W^c_k(C), W^c_k(\Gamma)))</th>
<th>classification</th>
<th>index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>((0, 0, 0))</td>
<td>(Z)</td>
<td>(N^c_k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AII</td>
<td>((0, 0, 1))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>((1, 0, 0))</td>
<td>(Z)</td>
<td>(N^c_k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>((1, 1, 1))</td>
<td>(Z_2)</td>
<td>(p^\beta_k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>((0, 1, 0))</td>
<td>(Z_2)</td>
<td>(p^\beta_k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIII</td>
<td>((-1, 1, 1))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AII</td>
<td>((-1, 0, 0))</td>
<td>(2Z)</td>
<td>(N^c_k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CII</td>
<td>((-1, -1, 1))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>((0, -1, 0))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>((-1, 1, 1))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Emergent Altland-Zirnbauer classes

In our diagnostic scheme, we characterize a superconductor by BLs. Here we introduce a useful notion, so-called emergent Altland-Zirnbauer (EAZ) class [52], which determines the types of BLs defined for a given combination of a HSP and an irrep [32, 33].

Suppose that the full symmetry of the superconductor at work is \(G\), which always includes PHS \(C\). The group \(G\) can always be decomposed into \(G = \bar{G} + G\mathcal{T} + G\mathcal{C} + G\mathcal{T} \mathcal{C}\) or \(G = \bar{G} \mathcal{C}\), where \(\bar{G}\) is the space group in the superconducting phase, \(\mathcal{T}\) is TRS, \(\mathcal{C}\) is PHS, and \(\mathcal{T} C\mathcal{C}\) is chiral symmetry (CS). For each momentum \(k\), we define a subgroup \(G_k\) of \(\bar{G}\), called the little group, which is composed of elements that leave \(k\) invariant, i.e., \(G_k = \{ g \in \bar{G} | gK = k + B \} \). The little group \(G_k\) can also be divided into \(G_k = \bar{G}_k + \mathcal{T}_k + \mathcal{C}_k + \mathcal{G}_k\), where \(\bar{G}_k, \mathcal{T}_k, \mathcal{C}_k, \) and \(\mathcal{G}_k\) are subsets of \(\bar{G}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}, \) and \(\mathcal{T} C\mathcal{C}\), respectively. Note that \(\mathcal{T}_k\), for example, is not simply given by \(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{G}_k\) when TRS by itself is not an element of \(\mathcal{G}_k\).

The space group part \(\mathcal{G}_k\) of \(G_k\) defines irreps labeled by \(\alpha\) at \(k\). In practice, one deals with the quotient group (called the little co-group) \(G_k/T\) [53], where \(T\) is the translation subgroup of \(\bar{G}\). In the following, we denote by \(\chi^\alpha_k(g)\) the character of an irrep of \(\mathcal{G}_k/T\). The role of other parts \(\mathcal{Z}_k (Z = \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{G})\) of \(G_k\) is to induce a map among the irreps of \(\mathcal{G}_k/T\). Such actions are characterized by the following indices [52, 53]:

\[
\begin{align*}
W^c_k(C) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}_k/T|} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_k/T} z^c_k \chi^\alpha_k(c^2) \in \{0, \pm 1\}, \\
W^c_k(T) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_k/T|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}_k/T} z^a_k \chi^\alpha_k(a^2) \in \{0, \pm 1\}, \\
W^c_k(\Gamma) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}_k/T|} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_k/T} z^{g^{-1}gT}_k \chi^\alpha_k(\Gamma^{-1}g\Gamma) \chi^\alpha_k(g) \in \{0, 1\},
\end{align*}
\]

where and \(z^c_k\) is a projective factor of \(\mathcal{G}_k/T\). These indices determine the EAZ class as summarized in Table III. Once the EAZ class is identified, the following BL is assigned to each irrep: a \(Z\)-valued BL for irreps in A, AI, and AII classes and a \(Z_2\)-valued BL for irreps in D and BDI classes. For irreps in other classes, no BLs are defined.

2. Compatibility relations

As explained in the main text, BLs of gapped Bogoliubov spectrum are constrained by CRs. The CRs can be compactly expressed as \(C \mathcal{N}_{\text{BC}} = 0\) using an integer-valued matrix \(C\). Conversely, \(C \mathcal{N}_{\text{BC}} \neq 0\) implies that some CRs are violated. The matrix \(C\) is constructed in the following way. Let \(k'\) be a momentum slightly away from a high-symmetry momentum \(k\). When the system is fully gapped, the relations among BLs at \(k\) and \(k'\) are given by [33]

\[
\begin{align*}
p^\beta_{k'} &= \sum_{\alpha} c^{\alpha \beta}_{k,k'} p^\alpha_k + \sum_{\gamma} c^{\gamma \beta}_{k,k'} N^\gamma_k, \mod 2, \\
N^{\beta}_{k'} &= \sum_{\alpha} c^{\alpha \beta}_{k,k'} N^\alpha_k, \\
N^{\beta}_{k'} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(c^{\alpha \beta}_{k,k'}\)’s are the coefficients appearing in the irreducible decomposition \(U^\alpha_k(g) = \bigoplus c^{\beta}_{k,k'} U^\beta_{k'}(g)\). Collecting all of these relations for every inequivalent high-symmetry momentum, one obtains the matrix \(C\).

3. Symmetry indicator group X\(_{\text{BS}}\)

The theory of SIs allows us to easily determine whether the set of BLs characterizing a Bogoliubov quasi-particle spectrum is topological or not. Given the matrix \(C\), we construct the solution space of \(C \mathcal{N}_{\text{SC}} = 0\), whose bases span any set of BLs satisfying all CRs. We refer to the solution space as \{BS\}. We can view \{BS\} as an abelian group, which takes the form \(\{BS\} = (Z_2)^{d-n} \times Z^n (0 \leq n \leq d)\) [33].

Meanwhile, we systematically construct trivial sets of BLs originating from atomic-limit Hamiltonians and we regard them as an abelian group \{AI\}. It takes the form \(\{AI\} = (Z_2)^{d_{AI}-n} \times Z^n (n \leq d_{AI} \leq d)\) [32, 33]. Since \{AI\} is always a subset of \{BS\}, we quantify the mismatch between \{BS\} and \{AI\} by the quotient group \(X_{\text{BS}} = \{BS\}/\{AI\} = Z^n_{s_1} \times Z^n_{s_2} \times \cdots \times Z^n_{s_d}\), where \(s_i\)’s are positive integers. We refer to \(X_{\text{BS}}\) as SI group. It is worth noting that \(X_{\text{BS}}\) contains only torsion factors for any symmetry setting.

It is always possible to construct basis vectors \(\{b_i\}_{i=1}^d\) of \{BS\} and factors \(S = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^d\ (s_i \in \mathbb{N})\) in such a way that (i) any \(n_{\text{SC}}\) satisfying all CRs can be expanded as \(n_{\text{SC}} = \sum_{i=1}^d r_i b_i, \quad r_i \in \mathbb{Z}\) and (ii) any \(n_{\text{SC}}\) corresponding to an atomic limit can be expanded as \(\sum_{i=1}^d r_i s_i b_i (r_i \in \mathbb{Z})\). It follows that \(b_i\) is not an
atomic limit when \( s_i \geq 2 \), and that each basis \( b_i \) corresponds to a generator of \( \mathbb{Z}_{s_i} \)-part in the SI group.

For each space group \( G \) and for each possible pairing symmetry, we determine the EAZ classes for each HSP, the matrix \( C \) of CRs, the bases of \( \{ BS \} \), and the factors \( S \). These data are material independent and we compute them once and recycle them in the diagnosis of materials' properties.

4. Diagnosis

Suppose that the count of irreps, \( n_{k}^{a} \), in occupied bands for every HSP and irrep are determined by first-principles calculations for the target material. Our next task is to determine \( n_{SC} \) based on the weak pairing assumption.

Under the weak-pairing assumption [30, 31, 54–56], \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-valued BLs are assigned by \( p_k^a = n_k^a \mod 2 \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-valued BLs are given by \( N^0_k = n_k^alpha - n_k^gamma \). Here an irrep \( U^n_k \) of \( G_{-k} \) is defined by

\[
U^n_k(h') = \frac{z_{h'c}}{z_{hc}} U^n_k ((C^{-1}h'c)) ,
\]

for all \( h' \in G_{-k} \), where \( z_{g,h} \in U(1) \) is a projective factor of \( G \). When TRS exists in the normal conducting phase, an irrep at \( -k \) is related to another irrep at \( k \) by TRS. As a result, we have \( n_{-k}^{alpha} = n_k^{alpha} \), where

\[
U^n_k(h) = \frac{z_{h'T}}{z_{T'h}} U^n_{-k}(T^{-1}h'T),
\]

for all \( h \in G_k \). Thus, we find \( N_{k}^{alpha} = n_{k}^{alpha} - n_{k}^{gamma} \), which is particularly useful as it give the BL only in terms of two irreps at \( k \).

With these preparations, we finally diagnose the topological nature of the superconductor. When \( Cn_{SC} \neq 0 \), the material is a symmetry-enforced NSC. By inspecting the violations of CRs corresponding to the nonzero entries of \( Cn_{SC} \), we can determine the positions and types of nodes such as point- and line-nodes [34]. In contrast, when \( Cn_{SC} = 0 \), we expand \( n_{SC} \) as \( n_{SC} = \sum_{i=1} n_i b_i \) and we examine whether \( r_i \mod s_i = 0 \) for all \( i \). If \( r_i \mod s_i \neq 0 \) for some \( i \), the material cannot be topologically trivial and is a candidate of TSCs. Otherwise the material is a topologically trivial superconductor or a not symmetry-diagnosable TSC.

C. Details of analyses of material examples

Here, we demonstrate our computation process using BaPtP with \( \Gamma \) pairing and BaPtSb with \( A_{1u}^7 \) pairing as examples.

1. BaPtP with \( \Gamma \) pairing

First, we identify the EAZ class for each irrep. The EAZ class for \( \Gamma_1 \) is class C; those for \( M_5 \), \( R_5 \), and \( R_7 \) are class D; those for the rest of irreps are class A. In other words, there are no BLs for \( \Gamma_6 \); \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-valued BLs are assigned to \( M_5 \), \( R_5 \), and \( R_7 \); integer-valued BLs are defined for the rest of irreps.

Next, we perform the first-principles calculation and find counts of irreps at each high-symmetry momenta

\[
(n_1^5, n_1^0, n_1^7, n_1^2, n_1^3, n_1^4, n_1^5, n_1^6, n_1^7) = (31, 30, 30, 45, 45, 45, 90, 14, 16, 16, 46).
\]

Using the formulas \( p_k^a = n_k^a \mod 2 \) and \( N_k^0 = n_k^alpha - n_k^gamma \), we obtain the following \( n_{SC} \) based on weak-pairing assumption:

\[
n_{SC} = (N_1^5, N_1^0, N_1^2, N_1^3, N_1^4, N_1^5, N_1^6, N_1^7, N_1^8, N_1^9, N_1^{10}, N_1^{11}, N_1^{12}),
\]

\[
= (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -2, 0, 2, 0).
\]

Finally, we check whether the above BLs satisfy all CRs. We find that \( Cn_{SC} \neq 0 \), which implies that the superconductor is a NSC. From nonzero elements in \( Cn_{SC} \), we find that CRs in the \( \Gamma - R \) line are violated. More concretely, BLs should satisfy \( N_{1}^2 = N_{1}^4 = N_{1}^6 = 0 \) when the Bogoliubov quasi-particle spectrum is fully gapped. One can explicitly see that the first and second CRs are violated, which guarantees that two gapless points exist in this line. Furthermore, by checking the CRs between this line and its neighborhood, we find that the gapless points in this line are actually part of a surface node. The analysis is the same for the \( \Gamma \) pairing.

2. BaPtSb with \( A_{1u}^7 \) pairing

In the same way as BaPtP, we analyze the topological and nodal nature. The EAZ classes for \( \Gamma_7 \), \( M_5 \), \( A_7 \), and \( A_5 \) are class BDI; those for the rest of irreps at high-symmetry momenta are class AI. That is, \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-valued BLs are assigned to \( \Gamma_7 \), \( M_5 \), \( A_7 \), and \( L_5 \); integer-valued BLs are defined for the rest of irreps. Again, from the first-principle calculation, we find

\[
(n_1^7, n_1^8, n_1^9, n_1^5, n_1^7, n_1^8, n_1^9, n_1^{10}, n_1^{11}, n_1^{12}, n_1^{13}, n_1^{14}, n_1^{15}, n_1^{16}, n_1^{17})
\]

\[
= (8, 9, 28, 9, 10, 8, 9, 10, 8, 8, 8, 10, 28, 10, 9, 9, 9, 9, 10).
\]

Based on the above counts of irreps in the normal conducting phase, we obtain \( n_{SC} \) as

\[
n_{SC} = (p_1^7, N_1^8, N_1^9, p_1^5, N_1^7, N_1^8, N_1^9, N_1^{10}, N_1^{11}, N_1^{12}, p_1^7, N_1^8, N_1^9, p_1^5, N_1^7, N_1^8, N_1^9, N_1^{10}, N_1^{11}, N_1^{12}),
\]

\[
= (0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1, -1, 1, 2, -2, 0, -2, 2, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, -1, 1).
\]

We can quickly find that \( n_{SC} \) satisfies all CRs from the fact that we can expand \( n_{SC} \) as \( n_{SC} = 3b_2 - 4b_3 - 7b_4 - 10b_5 + \ldots \).
\[3b_6 + 2b_7 - b_9 + 5b_{10}, \text{ where } \{b_i\}_{i=1}^{10} \text{ are shown in}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccccccccc}
\text{Sl} & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 & b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 & b_9 & b_{10} \\
\hline
p_1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 6 \\
N_{5} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
N_{6} & -1 & 4 & 7 & -3 & 3 & -3 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
N_{7} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
N_{8} & 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
N_{9} & 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
N_{10} & 0 & 1 & -5 & 12 & -6 & 0 & 6 & 6 & -2 & -2 \\
N_{11} & 0 & -1 & 5 & -12 & 6 & 0 & -6 & 6 & -2 & 2 \\
N_{12} & 0 & -1 & 3 & -11 & 6 & 0 & -6 & 6 & -2 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

As explained above, \( \{r_i \mod s_i\}_{i=1}^{10} \) tell us the entry of SIs. Then, the entry of SIs can be easily found to be \((0, 1, 0, 0, 5, 5) \in (Z_2)^4 \times (Z_6)^2 \) in our choice of bases. Note that the entry of SIs depends on the choice of a basis set of \( \{BS\} \).

We can compute the mirror Chern numbers \( C_{M}^{k_s = 0, \pi} \) by the following formulas [31, 57]:

\[
C_{M}^{k_s = 0} = N_{8} - N_{9} + \frac{3}{2} N_{K} - \frac{3}{2} N_{H}^{3} + \frac{3}{2} N_{K}^{3} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} N_{K} - \frac{1}{2} N_{K}^3 - \frac{1}{2} N_{K}^{11} + \frac{1}{2} N_{K}^{12} \mod 3, \\
C_{M}^{k_s = \pi} = N_{A}^{2} - N_{A}^{2} + \frac{3}{2} N_{A}^7 - \frac{3}{2} N_{H}^{3} + \frac{3}{2} N_{A}^{3} + \frac{1}{2} N_{H} - \frac{1}{2} N_{H}^3 - \frac{1}{2} N_{H}^{11} + \frac{1}{2} N_{H}^{12} \mod 3.
\]

Applying the formulas to \( s_{SC} \), one can see \( (C_{M}^{k_s = 0}, C_{M}^{k_s = \pi}) = (0, 1) \mod 3 \).
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