Fourth-Order Exceptional Points in Correlated Quantum Many-Body Systems
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Non-Hermitian (NH) Hamiltonians effectively describing the physics of dissipative systems have become an important tool with applications ranging from classical meta-materials to quantum many-body systems. Exceptional points, the NH counterpart of spectral degeneracies, are among the paramount phenomena unique to the NH realm. While realizations of second-order exceptional points have been reported in a variety of microscopic models, higher-order ones have largely remained elusive in the many-body context, as they in general require fine tuning in high-dimensional parameter spaces. Here, we propose a microscopic model of correlated fermions in three spatial dimensions and demonstrate the occurrence of interaction-induced fourth-order exceptional points that are protected by chiral symmetry. We demonstrate their stability against symmetry breaking perturbations and investigate their characteristic analytical and topological properties.

Exploring new physics relating to the ubiquitous occurrence of non-Hermitian (NH) matrices in dissipative settings has become a broad frontier of current research [1], prominently including the recent discovery of topological phenomena unique to the NH realm [2–19]. In general, an effective NH description may not only model open system scenarios [1, 20, 21], but also account for the dissipative environment seen by the individual constituents of a closed system due to disorder [22–24] or many-body interactions [25–32].

Exceptional points, i.e. spectral degeneracies at which the effective NH Hamiltonian becomes non-diagonalizable [33–35], are certainly among the paramount characteristics of NH systems due to their intriguing topological and non-analytical properties [25, 35–40]. Generally speaking, to obtain n-th order exceptional points (EP\(^n\)), where an n-fold degenerate eigenvalue corresponds to a single eigenstate, 2\(n\) – 2 parameters must be tuned [41]. Thus, even though higher-order exceptional points have been observed [42–44], in systems with up to three spatial dimensions, only EP\(^2\) occur intrinsically, i.e. without adjusting external parameters. However, various symmetries including PT-symmetry and chiral symmetry have been found to cut the number of parameters to be tweaked in half [45–49], thus suggesting the possibility of naturally observing symmetry-protected exceptional points up to fourth order.

Here, we reveal the occurrence of EP\(^4\) induced by electronic correlations in quantum materials that preserve chiral symmetry [14, 28, 50]. To this end, we propose and analyze a microscopic tight-binding model of a crystalline solid with four orbitals per unit cell and an on-site electron-electron interaction that affects predominantly one of the orbitals (see Fig. 1a for an illustration). We obtain an unbiased solution by means of a non-perturbative many-body approach, which is able to account for the temperature-dependent electron-electron scattering of the fermionic quasi-particle excitations. The associated lifetime affects the single-particle levels close to the Fermi energy beyond a standard Boltzmann broadening, leading to the linearized effective NH Hamiltonian

\[
H(k) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{i\gamma}{4} & k_x & 0 & k_z \\
k_x & 0 & k_y & 0 \\
0 & k_y & 0 & -k_z \\
k_z & 0 & -k_x & 0
\end{bmatrix} \overset{\text{EP}^4}{\cong} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{i\gamma}{4} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & i\gamma & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & i\gamma & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & i\gamma
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}
\]

where \(k\) denotes lattice momentum and \(\gamma\) is the anti-Hermitian coupling strength resulting from the interaction-induced self-energy.

The equivalence in (1) refers to a similarity transformation by which \(H(k)\) may be brought into a Jordan normal form that makes the EP\(^4\), occurring at a finite number of momentum space points, manifest. By contrast to linear level crossings familiar from the Hermi-
tian realm, we show that the quasi-particle dispersion emanating from the observed EP indeed exhibits a characteristic fourth root behavior (cf. Fig. 1b). Further, we confirm the robustness of the interaction-induced EP of our three-dimensional lattice model against arbitrary chiral-symmetric perturbations.

Symmetries and stability of exceptional points— Degeneracies of eigenvalues in the spectrum of a Hamiltonian are typically achieved by means of fine-tuning of parameters, including both external ones and intrinsic ones such as lattice momentum. The number of constraints necessary to achieve isolated degeneracies is referred to as the codimension of the problem. Though at first sight it may appear counter-intuitive, for a non-Hermitian system one generally needs less constraints than for its Hermitian counterpart. For example, Weyl points are found in three spatial dimensions (3D) for Hermitian systems [51, 52] but EP are stable already in 2D in the non-Hermitian case [28, 53].

From a mathematical standpoint, the exceptional points are identified with the degenerate roots of the characteristic polynomial of the Hamiltonian matrix, \( P(E) = \det(H - E) \). In particular, for EP to be present at a certain position in the parameter space, \( P(E) \) and its first \( n - 1 \) derivatives have to simultaneously vanish at that point. These conditions translates into \( 2n - 2 \) constraints, already mentioned above, corresponding to the number of complex-valued coefficients \([41]\). Hence, EP are generally stable in 2D, EP in 4D, EP in 6D, and so on. The situation is however greatly simplified if anti-unitary symmetries that are local in momentum space are present. These symmetries relate the Hamiltonian with its complex or Hermitian conjugate, and hence force the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial to be either real or purely imaginary \([47]\). Therefore, the codimension is reduced to \( n - 1 \), which is the reason why in the presence of such symmetries, an EP can exist in 3D.

In our case, the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) is three-dimensional in the \( k \)-space and satisfies the chiral symmetry \( U_{ch} H(k) U_{ch}^{-1} = -H^\dagger(k) \) with the \( 4 \times 4 \) unitary matrix \( U_{ch} = \Gamma_5 = \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1, -1) \). Since both the dimensionality of the parameter space and the codimension are equal to 3, the system can support, at most, a finite set of EP. Indeed, as already mentioned, the Hamiltonian becomes non-diagonalizable at \( k_{EP} = [\pm v/2, \pm \sqrt{2}, 0], [\pm v/4, -v/4, 0], [\pm \sqrt{2}, 0, 0] \), where \( v = \gamma/\sqrt{2} \). At these points, all the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian are degenerate, as it can also be seen in the right panel of Fig. 1. Further, a small but finite generic perturbation that respects the chiral symmetry requirement with \( \Gamma_5 \), does not affect the presence of the EP but only their positions, simply causing a shift in the \( k \)-space.

Tight-binding realization— The non-Hermitian Hamiltonian (1) can be seen as a sum of a real symmetric matrix, which is completely off-diagonal, and a diagonal imaginary one. This, along with the fact that the model is three-dimensional, suggests the possibility of finding EP protected by the chiral symmetry, in the realm of strongly correlated electron systems. We propose a realization based on the Hermitian non-interacting Hamiltonian of a suitable lattice model, augmented by electron-electron interactions. The dimensionality of the parameter space coincides with that of the lattice, the \( k \) variables being the components of the crystal momentum.

Our interacting microscopic Hamiltonian in real space reads

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{i,j} c_{i\alpha\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\beta\sigma} - \sum_{i\alpha\sigma} \mu_{\alpha} n_{i\alpha\sigma} + \sum_{i\alpha} U_{\alpha} n_{i\alpha\uparrow} n_{i\alpha\downarrow},
\]

where neighboring sites are represented by \( \langle i,j \rangle \), the indices \( \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) denote the orbitals on each site and \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \) refers to spin. Our specific hopping structure is schematically shown in Fig. 1a: nearest-neighbor orbital-diagonal hopping is absent; each orbital supports hopping in two spatial directions, 1 and 4 along \( x \) and \( z \), and 2 and 3 along \( x \) and \( y \); \( t \) is assumed to be uniform in modulus with opposite sign for orbitals 1 and 2 along the \( x \) direction. Different spins remain completely decoupled, such that the single-particle part for each spin block—apart from an orbital dependent shift of the chemical potential term—can be written in momentum space as:

\[
H_\sigma(k) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -\epsilon \cos k_x & 0 & -\epsilon \cos k_z \\
-\epsilon \cos k_x & 0 & -\epsilon \cos k_y & 0 \\
0 & -\epsilon \cos k_y & 0 & -\epsilon \cos k_x \\
-\epsilon \cos k_z & 0 & \epsilon \cos k_x & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( \epsilon = 2|t| \) is chosen henceforth as our unit of energy. At half-filling this model features gap-closing points at the Fermi level, denoted in the following by \( \omega = 0 \). Linearized around the \( k \)-points \( \pm\pi/2, \pm\pi/2, \pm\pi/2 \) one obtains the Hermitian part of (1). Since the two spin blocks are identical and decoupled, we will from now on only consider one of the two and omit the \( \sigma \) index. Via the third term in Eq. (2) we introduce electronic interactions into the picture. As is apparent from its form, we consider the simplest realization of a local Hubbard repulsion, active only when two electrons with opposite spins occupy the same orbital.

Assuming thermal equilibrium, the Hermitian many-body Hamiltonian (2) fully characterizes our system as a whole. Hence, one may wonder where the non-Hermitian description comes into play. In this respect, it is important to note that, even though the two-body term is invariant with respect to lattice translations, the crystalline momenta of the individual electrons are not conserved at each scattering event. They are thus entangled because of the presence of the electron-electron interaction. This is formally accounted for in the context of Landau-Fermi
van Hove singularities in the noninteracting density of states [61] guarantees that the system has a weak tendency towards Fermi surface instabilities, which may instead potentially mask the many-body physics of the EP4 presented here.

Figure 2. (color online) a: Real and imaginary part of the local self-energy for the correlated orbital on the real frequency axis \( \omega \). b: Orbital-resolved local spectral function obtained from \( \text{Im}G_{\alpha\alpha}(\omega) \) at \( U = 2 \). Upon increasing \( U \), the spectral weight of the correlated orbital gets progressively transferred to the high-energy Hubbard bands (see for reference the dashed yellow line at \( U = 6 \)).

Together, all these observations strongly speak in favor of dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) as the ideal tool for solving our many-body lattice problem. DMFT is an extension of the usual mean-field approach [65–67] which, by introducing a frequency dependence in the otherwise constant Weiss field, takes all local quantum many-body fluctuations into account. As such, this method is particularly well-suited to describe nonperturbative regimes of interacting fermionic systems in more than 2 spatial dimensions and at finite temperatures. DMFT goes well beyond Hartree-Fock, in that it is able to describe lifetime effects and temporal, albeit local, many-body fluctuations. In our specific case, the absence of \( k \)-dependence in the DMFT self-energy does not however affects any of the non-Hermitian topological properties. This means that for what concerns this specific aspect, DMFT yields a solution of our many-body problem which is de facto equivalent to the exact one.

In Fig. 2 we show the DMFT \( \Sigma_{11}(\omega) \) (upper panel) and the local spectral function of each orbital. The spectral features for orbital 1 are strongly sensitive to the value of \( U_1 \), while the feedback onto the Green’s function of the other flavors is less pronounced [61]. An increase in the value of \( U_1 \) leads to a progressive spectral weight...
transfer to high frequencies of the yellow spectrum. This can eventually reach a Mott insulating phase at strong-coupling, but this regime is of no interest to us in this context. Therefore, in the following we fix $U_1$ to a relatively small value compared to the bandwidth ($\approx 2$) and work at $T \approx 1$. Given this choice of parameters, the iterated perturbation theory (IPT) [68–70] solver for DMFT is reasonably accurate and conveniently allows for a direct access to quantities on the real-frequency axis. As anticipated above, $\text{Im}\Sigma_{11}(\omega = 0)$ is finite at $T \neq 0$, while the real part vanishes (red circles).

The DMFT self-energy gives rise to $E\!P^4$ in the band structure of the effective Hamiltonian, as illustrated in Fig. 3a and b, featuring respectively the real and imaginary part of the energy eigenvalues of $H_{\text{eff}}(k)$ on the $k_y = \pi/2$ plane. In total, we have four quadruplets of $E\!P^4$ centered around $[k_x, k_z] = [\pm \pi/2, \pm \pi/2]$. The insets show the positions of the $E\!P^4$ around $k = [\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi/2]$.
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**Figure 3.** (color online) a: real and b: imaginary part of the energy eigenvalues at $k_y = \pi/2$. Four quadruplets of $E\!P^4$ located around $[k_x, k_z] = [\pm \pi/2, \pm \pi/2]$ are visible; the band dispersion in the vicinity of one of those is represented in the insets. c: dispersion along the $k_z$ direction of the real part of the positive-energy eigenvalues close to one $E\!P^4$, compared with a quartic root behavior (dashed line).

**Hallmarks of the exceptional points** – A 4$^{\text{th}}$-root scaling behavior of the energy eigenvalues, close to the $E\!P^4$, is visible in Fig. 3c. The imaginary part (not plotted) displays an analogous behavior. The situation is identical in every $k$-direction and around each of the $E\!P^4$ of the model. Even though it does not represent a necessary condition for their existence [71], this property clearly marks the presence of $E\!P^4$.

Other considerations further strengthen the evidence for fourth-order exceptional points; as previously mentioned and as it can be seen in the right panel of Fig. 1, at the exceptional points, real and imaginary parts of all eigenvalues coincide and the Hamiltonian matrix becomes non-diagonalizable. A way to clearly visualize this is to plot the overlap between the eigenvectors of the effective Hamiltonian, that become degenerate at the $E\!P^4$. This is shown in Fig. 4 for the linearized model (1) on three different $k_y$ planes. The color scale indicates the average value of the overlap between all possible couples of eigenvectors: as expected, it takes precisely the value of 1 at the eight $E\!P^4$, denoted by black circles, where all eigenvectors coincide.
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**Figure 4.** (color online) average value of the overlap of all possible couples of eigenvectors of Eq. (1) in the $k_y = 0$ (a), $k_y = -\pi/4$ (b) and $k_y = +\pi/4$ (c) respectively. $\chi$ is set to $1/\sqrt{2}$. The black circles mark the position of the $E\!P^4$. The black dotted lines separate the different domains of the sign of the discriminant.

A quantity that further characterizes the non-Hermitian effective system is $\Delta$, namely the sign of the discriminant of the characteristic polynomial $P(-\!iE) = \text{det}(H(k) + iE)$. This has been suggested as an extension of the topological invariant $\text{sgn}(\text{det}H)$ in system with $E\!P^2$ [9, 46]. The change of variable $E \rightarrow iE$ guarantees that $P$ has real coefficients only [47] so that a relation between the energy eigenvalues and the value of $\Delta$ can be established. As shown in Fig. 4, the sign of the discriminant delimits different regions in $k$-space. The borders (dotted contours) mark transition lines between different regimes in the eigenvalue spectrum. To characterize them, consider an eigenvector of $H$, $|v\rangle$, with eigenvalue $E_v$; then its chiral-transformed is still an eigenvector with eigenvalue $-E_v$ [14]. Hence, the two eigenvectors will coincide if the corresponding eigenvalues are purely imaginary. When $\Delta = -1$ (see for example the central region of Fig. 1b) $E_1$ and $E_3$ are indeed purely imaginary; the corresponding eigenmodes are then completely evanescent and invariant under chiral symmetry. On the contrary, when $\Delta = +1$, $E_1 = -E_2$ and $E_3 = -E_4$ all have nonzero real parts, and hence there are no chiral-invariant eigenvectors. Therefore, at the transition line, on which the $E\!P^4$ are bound to be located [61], the chiral symmetry of the eigenvectors is spontaneously broken.

**Conclusions** – We have constructed a microscopic chiral symmetry preserving tight-binding model in three spatial dimensions that, in the presence of electronic interactions features fourth-order exceptional points. This has interesting observable implications regarding novel topologi-
cal and analytical properties of the quasi-particle spectra found in correlated quantum materials. While EP\(^1\) have previously been observed in the context of waveguides, in this work we suggest the possibility of realizing them in chiral-symmetric electronic materials without any fine-tuning of parameters. A possible route to realize the required – purely orbital off-diagonal – hopping structure in a solid is to consider ligand-mediated hopping between \(d\)-orbitals, as obtained from first principles in some iron-based superconducting materials \([72]\). There, the orbital-diagonal nearest-neighbor hopping between transition-metal atoms arises from the superposition of the processes mediated by the pnictogen atom that, for specific geometries, can lead to perfectly destructive interference. In this situation, only the off-diagonal iron-iron hopping is finite, similar to what we suggest in our microscopic Hamiltonian.
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