Emergent dissipative quasi-particle picture in noninteracting Markovian open quantum systems
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Correlations between different regions of a quantum many-body system can be quantified through measures based on entropies of (reduced) subsystem states. For closed systems, several analytical and numerical tools, e.g., hydrodynamic theories or tensor networks, can accurately capture the time-evolution of subsystem entropies, thus allowing for a profound understanding of the unitary dynamics of quantum correlations. However, so far, these methods either cannot be applied to open quantum systems or do not permit an efficient computation of quantum entropies for mixed states. Here, we make progress in solving this issue by formulating a dissipative quasi-particle picture—describing the dynamics of quantum entropies in the hydrodynamic limit—for a general class of noninteracting open quantum systems. Our results show that also in dissipative many-body systems, correlations are generically established through the propagation of quasi-particles.

Entropy plays a fundamental role in science\(^1\). In almost all its meanings, it provides a measure of disorder for the state of a “physical” system. In thermodynamics, this provides the direction of the arrow of time while in information theory, it quantifies the uncertainty of the outcome of a random variable\(^2\). Remarkably, entropic functionals can capture correlations, e.g., through the mutual information\(^3\)\(^5\), and for quantum systems they can characterize bipartite entanglement. This aspect is receiving much attention nowadays, due to the growing interest in exploring universal behavior in the spreading of quantum correlations, either under unitary\(^6\)\(^21\), dissipative\(^22\)\(^60\) or stochastic dynamics\(^31\)\(^43\).

In this work, we consider a subsystem embedded in a many-body quantum system, as sketched in Fig. 1(a). In particular, we are concerned with the time-evolution of Rényi and von Neumann entropies of the (reduced) subsystem state. For closed integrable quantum systems, at the hydrodynamic scale of large space-time coordinates, the dynamics of these entropies is captured by a quasi-particle picture\(^6\)\(^8\)\(^13\)\(^18\). In its simplest version, the initial state acts as a source of entangled pairs of quasi-particles, which spread with time through the whole system correlating different parts of it. To explain the basic idea, let’s consider the idealized situation of a many-body system containing a single pair, see Fig. 1(a). The two quasi-particles, labelled by their quasi-momentum\(^q\), propagate ballistically in opposite directions, with velocity\(^±|v_q|\). When they are shared by the subsystem and the remainder of the many-body system, [see star in Fig. 1(a)], these two parts get entangled, as witnessed by the subsystem state entropy assuming a finite value proportional to the entanglement of the pair\(^49\).

While this picture (see Refs.\(^53\)\(^54\) for extensions) has proved valuable for closed systems, it is not clear whether it can account for irreversible effects [cf. Fig. 1(b)]. As such, methods for analysing the open dynamics of quantum correlations remain limited. In this paper, we make progress in this direction. Building on ideas put forward in a specific setting\(^29\), we show that a dissipative quasi-particle picture describes the time-evolution of subsystem entropies, and of quantum correlations, for a general class of noninteracting open quantum systems. This picture is encoded in the formula

\[
S^{(n)}_q(t) = \int dq \frac{d\ell}{2\pi} \left\{ \ell s^{(n),\text{mix}}_q(t) + \min(2|v_q|\ell, [s^{(n),YY}_q(t) - s^{(n),\text{mix}}_q(t)]) \right\},
\]

providing the dynamics of the \(n\)th Rényi (also of the von Neumann) entropy\(^q\)\(^{(n)}\), for a subsystem of length\(\ell\). Here, \(s^{(n),\text{mix}}_q\), \(s^{(n),YY}_q\) are two different entropic contributions. The first, \(s^{(n),\text{mix}}_q\), is the contribution of the \(q\)th quasi-particles to the entropy of the full many-body mixed state, [cf. Fig. 1(b)]. On the other hand, \(s^{(n),YY}_q\)
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\[ [M]_{kk} := \hat{m}_q = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i,j=1}^{L} e^{i q (i-j)} m_{\text{mod}(i-j,L)} \quad (4) \]

We consider generic dissipative processes such as pump and loss of bosonic excitations at rates \( \gamma^+ \) and \( \gamma^- \), diffusion in momentum space at rate \( \gamma_x \) (implemented through operators \( x_i \)) and in position space at rate \( \gamma_p \) (implemented through operators \( p_i \)). We allow for these processes to be non-local, i.e. they do not occur independently from site to site. The matrix \( C \) is determined by the combination of all these processes, \( C = \sum_\alpha C^\alpha \) with \( \alpha = +, -, x, p \), each one contributing with a term specified by the blocks \( [C^\alpha]_{ij} = \gamma^\alpha f^\alpha_{ij} e^{\alpha} \) where

\[ e^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mp i \tau \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

The functions \( f^\alpha_{ij} = f^\alpha(d_{ij}) \) solely depend on the distance \( d_{ij} = \min(\mid i-j \mid, L - \mid i-j \mid) \) between sites.

For gaussian states, the full information about the system is encoded in the covariance matrix \( G_{ij} = \langle \{r_i, r_j\} \rangle / \sqrt{\text{Tr}(\rho)} \) where \( \langle O \rangle = \text{Tr}(\rho O) \) is the expectation value of the operator \( O \) for the quantum state \( \rho \). Under the above dynamics, \( G \) evolves as \( [U]_{i,j} = e^{i \Omega(2H+B)} \).

Each of these blocks describes how the quadrature operators of site \( i \) and of site \( j \) are (either coherently or dissipatively) coupled. All block-circulant matrices \( M \) become block diagonal, under rotation with the Fourier-transform unitary operator \( U \), with \( [U]_{i,j} = e^{i q k / L} \) where \( L \) is the \( 2 \times 2 \) identity and \( q_k = 2 \pi k / L \) the quasi-momenta. That is, \( M := U M U^\dagger \) has only blocks on the diagonal — so-called symbols — given by

\[ [\hat{M}]_{kk} := \hat{m}_q = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i,j=1}^{L} e^{i q (i-j)} m_{\text{mod}(i-j,L)} \quad (4) \]

Noninteracting dissipative dynamics.— We consider translation invariant one-dimensional quantum systems made of \( L \) sites. Each site is occupied by a bosonic mode described by the quadratures \( x_i, p_i \), such that \( [x_i, p_j] = i \delta_{ij} \). We collect these operators in the column vector \( r = (x_1, p_1, x_2, p_2, \ldots, x_L, p_L) \). The commutation relations can be expressed as \( [r_i, r_j] = i \Omega_{ij} \), where \( \Omega \) is a block matrix with blocks given by

\[ [\Omega]_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \sigma, \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

For a given matrix \( M \) we will denote matrix elements as \( M_{ij} \), while we will denote its \( i, j \)th \( 2 \times 2 \) block as \( [M]_{ij} \).

The system undergoes a quasi-free (gaussian) Markovian open quantum dynamics \([77][78]\). The time-evolution of operators \( O \) is implemented by the master equation \( \dot{O}_i = \mathcal{L}[O_i] \), with (Lindblad) generator \([73][74]\)

\[ \mathcal{L}[O] = i[E, O] + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2L} C_{ij} \left( r_i \delta O_{rj} - \frac{1}{2} \{ O_i, r_i r_j \} \right) \quad (2) \]

This map effectively encodes the presence of an external bath affecting the otherwise closed system dynamics. In the above equation, \( E = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2L} H_{ij} r_i r_j \) is a quadratic Hamiltonian with \( H = H^T \), and \( T \) denotes transposition. The positive semi-definite matrix \( C \) accounts for irreversible effects and decomposes as \( C = A + iB \), with \( A \) being real symmetric and \( B \) real anti-symmetric.

Translation invariance requires \( H \) and \( C \) to be block-circulant (see also Supplemental Material \([79]\)), i.e. with

\[ [H]_{ij} = h_{\text{mod}(i-j,L)} \quad \text{and} \quad [C]_{ij} = c_{\text{mod}(i-j,L)} \quad (3) \]

Entropy from mixedness of the state.— We first derive an expression for the entropy associated with the state of the quasi-particles being mixed, \( s_q^{(n), \text{mix}} \). To this end, we consider entropies for the full many-body state.
The Rényi-$n$ entropy is defined as $S^{(n)} = (1 - n)^{-1} \ln Tr \rho^n$ and the von Neumann entropy is included as the limiting case $n \rightarrow 1$, yielding $S^{\text{VN}} = - Tr \rho \log \rho$. For gaussian states, these can be computed from the covariance matrix $G$. One constructs the matrix $\Sigma = i\Omega G$, whose eigenvalues come into pairs $\pm \lambda_i$, with $\lambda_i \geq 0$. Defining the function $y_\pm(x) = x \pm 1/2$, one has \cite{78}

$$S^{(n)} = - \frac{1}{1 - n} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \ln \left[ y_+^n(\lambda_i) - y_-^n(\lambda_i) \right],$$

$$S^{\text{VN}} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} [y_+(\lambda_i) \ln y_+(\lambda_i) - y_-(\lambda_i) \ln y_-(\lambda_i)].$$

(6)

To find the contributions $s_{q_k}^{(n),\text{mix}}$, we move to Fourier space, where the covariance matrix for the quasi-momenta become explicit. Such a matrix, $G(t)$, is indeed block-diagonal, with blocks $g_{q_k}(t)$ related to quasi-momentum $q_k$ \cite{79}. Since $[\hat{U}, \Omega] = 0$, the eigenvalues of $\Sigma$ coincide with those of $\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{U} \Sigma \hat{U}^\dagger = i\Omega G$. Thus, the entropy of the full system state is the sum of all the contributions due to the different quasi-momenta. These are determined from the eigenvalues $\pm \lambda_{q_k}(t)$ ($\lambda_{q_k}(t) \geq 0$) of $i\sigma \hat{g}_{q_k}(t)$ as

$$s_{q_k}^{(n),\text{mix}}(t) = - \frac{1}{1 - n} \ln \left[ y_+^n(\lambda_{q_k}(t)) - y_-^n(\lambda_{q_k}(t)) \right],$$

(7)

and analogously for the von Neumann entropy. The entropy of the full system state is then

$$S^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{L} s_{q_k}^{(n),\text{mix}}(t) \approx \frac{L}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dq s_{q_k}^{(n),\text{mix}}(t).$$

(8)

The approximate behavior holds for $L \gg 1$, in the continuum limit for the quasi-momenta. From now on, we will express quantities in this limit.

**Entropy from quasi-particle densities.**— We now discuss the entropy contribution associated with the densities of quasi-particles $\hat{q}_q$. For bosonic system this reads

$$s_{q}^{(n),\text{YY}}(t) = - \frac{1}{1 - n} \ln \left[ (\hat{q}_q(t) + 1)^n - \hat{q}_q^n(t) \right].$$

(9)

Contrary to the case of closed systems, for open quantum dynamics densities are not conserved [cf. Fig. 4(b)] and we thus need to understand their evolution. The aim is to find the “eigenmodes” $\beta_q^\dagger \beta_q$ of the Lindblad dynamics, and determine the rate equation for the operator $n_q = \beta_q^\dagger \beta_q$, through which densities are defined, $\hat{q}_q = \langle n_q \rangle$.

To this end, we first compute the action of the generator on quadrature operators, $\mathcal{L}[r_j] = \sum_j [\Omega(2H + B)]_{ij} r_j$ \cite{79}. In Fourier space, finding the eigenmodes for such relation reduces to solving $L$ independent problems, one per each quasi-momentum. In general, one can obtain operators $\beta_q$ such that

$$\mathcal{L} [\beta_q] = - \left( \frac{\gamma_q}{2} + i e_q \right) \beta_q.$$

(10)

Here, $\gamma_q$ is the “decay” rate (it can also be negative for bosons) for the $q$th quasi-particles. As we show in \cite{79}, for the considered dissipative processes, the eigenmodes in Eq. (10) coincide with the eigenmodes of the Hamiltonian. The function $e_q$ is thus the dispersion relation for the model without dissipation and provides the quasi-particle velocity $v_q = e_q'$. The number operator $n_q$ obeys $\dot{n}_q = \mathcal{L}[n_q] = -\gamma_q n_q + \alpha_q$, with $\alpha_q \geq 0$ \cite{79}. By integrating this equation, the time-evolution of the density $\hat{q}_q(t) = \langle n_q \rangle_t$ reads

$$\hat{q}_q(t) = e^{-t\gamma_q} \hat{q}_q(0) + \frac{\alpha_q}{\gamma_q} (1 - e^{-t\gamma_q}),$$

(11)

where $\hat{q}_q(0)$ is the density in the initial state. This dynamics affects $s_{q_k}^{(n),\text{YY}}$ as shown in Eq. (9).

**Applications.**— So far, we have discussed the different terms in Eq. (1), and we have shown how these can be computed. We now can test the validity of the dissipative quasi-particle picture against numerical simulations.

As a first example, we consider a tight-binding bosonic hopping model, with Hamiltonian

$$E = J \sum_{i=1}^{L} (a_i a_{i+1}^\dagger + a_{i+1} a_i^\dagger),$$

where $a_i = (x_i + ip_i)/\sqrt{2}$ is the annihilation operator. Concerning the dissipative processes, we take $f^{\alpha}(d) = e^{-d/\xi_\alpha}$, where $\xi_\alpha$ encode how the different non-local dissipative processes are “correlated” in space. The initial state is the (product) squeezed vacuum state, with squeezing parameter $\chi = 1$, see \cite{79} for details.

As a second example, we consider the harmonic chain,

$$E = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L} (p_i^2 + m^2 x_i^2 + (x_i - x_{i+1})^2).$$

The initial state is the ground state of the Hamiltonian for $m = m_0$ \cite{79}. The dynamics is characterized by non-local dissipative terms, parametrized as for the previous model, and by a quenched value of $m \neq m_0$.

In Fig. 3(a-b), we show a comparison between the prediction obtained through Eq. (1), and exact numerical results for the dynamics of the Rényi-2 entropy, for both models. The agreement is remarkable also in regimes in which $\gamma_q = 0$ and the entropy increases logarithmically with time, $S^{(2)}_t \approx \ell \ln t$ [shown in Fig. 3(b)].

We note that the subsystem entropies $S_q^{(n)}$ are dominated by the contribution due to the mixedness of the
many-body state, i.e. the first contribution in Eq. (1). Subtracting this term from Eq. (1), we can define
\[ S_{\ell, \text{pairs}}^{(n)} = \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \min(2|v_q|^2, t, \ell) \left[ s_q^{(n), \text{YY}}(t) - s_q^{(n), \text{mix}}(t) \right] . \]
This contribution is due to the propagating quasi-particles which are shared between the subsystem and the remainder of the system. As such, it is expected to be symmetric under exchange of subsystem and remainder, i.e. \( S_{\ell, \text{pairs}}^{(n)} = S_{\ell^{-1}, \text{pairs}}^{(n)} \), at least at leading order in \( \ell \). This was shown numerically in [28, 29]. Here, we see how such a result has a natural physical interpretation in terms of the quasi-particle picture. Furthermore, using this relation, the mutual information, defined as \( I^{(n)} = S_{\ell}^{(n)} + S_{L-\ell}^{(n)} - S^{(n)} \), becomes \( I^{(n)} = 2S_{\ell, \text{pairs}}^{(n)} \). This makes clear that also in open quantum many-body systems, correlations are established by the ballistic propagation of correlated quasi-particles. In Fig. 3(a), we report results on \( S_{\ell, \text{pairs}}^{(n)} \) for the harmonic chain. As shown in the inset, the extrapolation of the numerical results (bullets) converges to our prediction (square).

**Noninteracting fermionic system.**—Our dissipative quasi-particle picture is also valid for fermionic systems. In this case, the vector \( r \) contains Majorana operators \( r = (w_1^1, w_1^2, w_2^1, w_2^2, \ldots, w_{n}^1, w_{n}^2) \), obeying the anticommutation relations \( \{r_i, r_j\} = 2\delta_{ij} \). For gaussian states, the full information is encoded in the (fermionic) covariance matrix \( G_{ij} = \langle [r_i, r_j] \rangle / 2 \). The two entropic contributions \( s_q^{(n), \text{mix}} \) and \( s_q^{(n), \text{YY}} \) can be determined following the steps presented above and using fermionic relations for the dynamics of the covariance matrix [65] and the analogue of Eqs. (4), (7), (9) for fermions [29]. The generator \( L \) can be written as in Eq. (2) [22], with \( H \) complex anti-symmetric, and exchanging the pump and loss dissipative matrices, i.e. \( c^\dagger \leftrightarrow c \).

As an example, we consider the transverse field Ising model with [11, 75, 81, 82]
\[ E = -iJ \sum_{i=1}^{L} w_i^2 w_{i+1}^1 + iJh \sum_{i=1}^{L} w_i^2 w_i^1 . \]
As initial state we take the ground state for \( h = h^0 \). We consider a dynamics generated by a quenched value \( h \neq h^0 \) and by the presence of non-local decay with \( \xi^- = 2 \). In Fig. 3(b), we compare our prediction for the contribution to the subsystem (von Neumann) entropy due to the propagation of quasi-particles [see Eq. (12)] against numerical results. Simulations (bullets) tend to the prediction (square) as the subsystem size is increased.

**Discussion.**—We have presented a dissipative quasi-particle picture describing the time-evolution of subsystem entropies in open quantum systems. This allowed us to show that also for dissipative time-evolutions, the spreading of correlations, as quantified through the mutual information, is generically associated with the propagation of quasi-particles [see discussion of Eq. (12)]. Our approach is valid in the hydrodynamic limit of large space-time scales and weak dissipation. For bosonic systems, we have considered a general class of dissipation, for which, interestingly, the eigenmodes of the Lindblad dynamics are fully determined by the Hamiltonian term. For fermionic systems, we discussed the case of the Ising chain with non-local decay. However, also for fermions, the quasi-particle picture holds as well whenever the

**FIG. 2. Rényi-2 entropy.** Prediction of the Rényi entropy, for both the tight-binding model (dotted line) and the harmonic chain (dashed line), obtained from Eq. (1). Red circles are exact numerical results. (a) Dissipative rates are \( \gamma^+ = \ell^{-1}/4, \gamma^- = \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 = \ell^{-1} \), while the correlation lengths of the processes (see main text) are \( \xi^+ = 1, \xi^- = 2, \xi^0 = 3 \) and \( \xi^0 = 4 \). (b) We see the “critical” regime for which there is no steady state for the bosonic system. We achieve this by taking \( \gamma^\pm = \ell^{-1} \) and \( \gamma^0 = 0 \). We have further set \( \xi^\pm = 1 \). The subsystem entropy, in this case, grows logarithmically. Both plots are for \( \ell = 10 \) and \( L = 100 \).

**FIG. 3. Contribution from propagation of quasi-particles.** Comparison between prediction and numerical results for the term in Eq. (12), with \( n = 1 \) (von Neumann entropy). (a) For the harmonic chain, we consider non-local decay with \( \gamma^- = \ell^{-1}/2, \gamma^- = 2 \) and a quenched parameter \( m^0 = 2 \). The inset shows how the extrapolation to \( \ell \to \infty \) of the numerical results (bullets) matches with the prediction (square), for \( Jt/\ell = 2 \). (b) For the Ising model, we consider, as before, non-local decay and a quenched parameter \( h = 5 \). The initial state is the Hamiltonian ground state for \( h^0 = 3 \). The inset shows the agreement between extrapolation of numerical data and our prediction for \( Jt/\ell = 0.3 \). For each curve \( L \) is taken to be sufficiently large to avoid finite-size effects.
Hamiltonian eigenmodes are preserved by the dissipation (see also Ref. [29]). Furthermore, it seems natural to assume that our approach remains valid for all those instances in which exact eigenmodes of the Lindblad dynamics can be found. We will discuss this aspect through a detailed analysis of the Ising model in a future work.

Acknowledgements.—F.C. acknowledges support from the “Wissenschaftler-Rückkehrprogramm GSO/CZS” of the Carl-Zeiss-Stiftung and the German Scholars Organization e.V., as well as through the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Project No. 435696605. V.A. acknowledges support from the European Research Council under ERC Advanced grant No. 743032 DYNAMINT.

[27] William T B Malouf, John Goold, Gerardo Adesso, and Gabriel T Landi, “Analysis of the conditional mu-
tual information in ballistic and diffusive non-equilibrium steady-states,” [Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and
[28] Somnath Maity, Souvik Bandyopadhyay, Sourav Bhat-
tacharjee, and Amit Dutta, “Growth of mutual information in a quenched one-dimensional open quantum many-
[29] Vincenzo Alba and Federico Carollo, “Spreading of corre-
[30] Davide Rossini and Ettore Vicari, “Coherent and
dissipative dynamics at quantum phase transitions,”
[31] Yaodong Li, Xiao Chen, and Matthew P. A. Fisher,
“Quantum zero effect and the many-body entanglement
[32] Marko ˇZnidariˇc, “Entanglement growth in diffusive sys-
tems,” Communications Physics 3, 100 (2020).
[33] Brian Skinner, Jonathan Ruhman, and Adam Nahum,
“Measurement-induced phase transitions in the dynamics
[34] Bruno Bertini, Maurizio Fagotti, Lorenzo Piroli, and
Amos Chan, Rahul M. Nandkishore, Michael Pretko,
and Graeme Smith, “Unitary-projective entanglement
[35] Vincenzo Alba and Pasquale Calabrese, “Entangle-
dment evolution and generalised hydrodynamics: noninteracting systems,” Journal of
Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 51, 39LT01
(2018).
[36] Bruno Bertini, Elena Tartaglia, and Pasquale Calabrese,
“Entanglement and diagonal entropies after a quench
with no pair structure,” Journal of Statistical Mechan-
[37] Lorenzo Piroli, Christoph Sunderhauf, and Xiao-Liang
Qi, “A random unitary circuit model for black hole
evaporation,” Journal of High Energy Physics 2020, 63
(2020).
[38] Matteo Ippoliti, Michael J. Gullans, Sarang Gopalakrish-
nan, David A. Huse, and Vedika Khemani, “Entangle-
ment phase transitions in measurement-only dynamics,”
[39] Adam Nahum, Shitadhi Roy, Brian Skinner, and
Jonathan Ruhman, “Measurement and entanglement
phase transitions in all-to-all quantum circuits, on quan-
tum trees, and in landau-ginsburg theory,” PRX Quan-
tum 2, 010352 (2021).
[40] Denis Bernard and Lorenzo Piroli, “Entanglement distri-
bution in the quantum symmetric simple exclusion pro-
[41] O. Alberton, M. Buchhold, and S. Diehl, “Entangle-
ment transition in a monitored free-fermion chain: From
extended criticality to area law,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 126,
170602 (2021).
[42] Ali Lavasani, Yahya Alavirad, and Maissam Barkeshli,
“Measurement-induced topological entanglement transi-
tions in symmetric random quantum circuits,” Nature
[43] Fabian Bastianello and Pasquale Calabrese, “Entangle-
moment statistics in markovian open quantum systems: A
matter of mutation and selection,” Phys. Rev. E 102,
030104 (2020).
[44] Alvise Bastianello and Pasquale Calabrese, “Spreading of
correlations in markovian open quantum systems,”
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114,
[45] Vincenzo Alba and Pasquale Calabrese, “Entanglement
and thermodynamics after a quantum quench in inte-
grable systems,” Proceedings of the National Academy
[46] Vincenzo Alba and Pasquale Calabrese, “Quench action
and r é n y entropies in integrable systems,” Phys. Rev. B
96, 115421 (2017).
[47] Vincenzo Alba and Pasquale Calabrese, “Entanglement
dynamics after quantum quenches in generic integrable
[48] Vincenzo Alba and Pasquale Calabrese, “Quantum in-
formation dynamics in multipartite integrable systems,”
EPL (Europhysics Letters) 126, 60001 (2019).
[49] O. Alberton, M. Buchhold, and S. Diehl, “Entangle-
dment following a quantum quench: An-
alytic results for the xy chain in a transverse magnetic
[50] Davide Rossini and Ettore Vicari, “Coherent and
dissipative dynamics at quantum phase transitions,”
[51] Bruno Bertini, Elena Tartaglia, and Pasquale Calabrese,
“Entanglement and diagonal entropies after a quench
with no pair structure,” Journal of Statistical Mechan-
[52] Alvise Bastianello and Pasquale Calabrese, “Spreading of
correlations after a quench with inter-
[53] Vincenzo Alba, Bruno Bertini, and Maurizio Fagotti,
“Entanglement evolution and generalised hydrodynamics:
interacting integrable systems,” SciPost Phys. 7, 5
(2019).
[54] Vincenzo Alba and Mario Collura, “Entanglement
spreading and quasiparticle picture beyond the pair
one-dimensional system of bosons with repulsive delta-
function interaction,” Journal of Mathematical Physics
[56] Anatoli Polkovnikov, Krishnendu Sengupta, Alessan-
dro Silva, and Mukund Vengalattore, “Colloquium: Nonequilibrium dynamics of closed interacting quantum
[57] Pasquale Calabrese, Fabian H L Essler, and Giuseppe
Mussardo, “Introduction to ‘quantum integrability in out
ensemble in integrable lattice models,” Journal of Statis-
tical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2016, 064001
(2016).
[58] Fabian H L Essler and Maurizio Fagotti, “Quench dy-
namics and relaxation in isolated integrable quantum
spin chains,” Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory
and Experiment 2016, 064002 (2016).
[59] Lev Sidormur and Marcos Rigol, “Generalized gibbs en-
semble in integrable lattice models,” Journal of Statis-
tical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2016, 064007
(2016).
[60] Jean-Sébastien Caux and Fabian H. L. Essler, “Time evo-
lution of local observables after quenching to an inte-
[61] Jean-Sébastien Caux, “The quench action,” Journal of
Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2016,
064006 (2016).
solve master equations for quadratic open fermi systems,”


SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Emergent dissipative quasi-particle picture in noninteracting Markovian open quantum systems

Federico Carollo\textsuperscript{1} and Vincenzo Alba\textsuperscript{2}

\textsuperscript{1}Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 14, 72076 Tübingen, Germany
\textsuperscript{2}Institute for Theoretical Physics, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Science Park 904, Postbus 94485, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands

LINDBLAD GENERATOR

In this section, we provide details on the Lindblad generator, with particular focus on the form of the matrices $H, A, B$ — completely identifying the generator — in Fourier space. Recall that we are considering translation invariant many-body system, so that all these matrices are block-circulant. These are of the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix}
  m_0 & m_1 & m_2 & \cdots & m_{L-1} \\
  m_{L-1} & m_0 & m_1 & m_2 & \cdots \\
  m_{L-1} & m_0 & m_1 & m_2 & \cdots \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  m_1 & \cdots & \cdots & m_{L-1} & m_0
\end{pmatrix},$$

which can also be compactly written as

$$M = \sum_{i,j=1}^{L} |i\rangle\langle j| \otimes m_{\text{mod}(i-j,L)},$$

with $m_\alpha$ being $2 \times 2$ matrices.

We consider the following rather general class of Hamiltonians

$$H = \begin{pmatrix}
  h_0 & h_1 & 0 & \cdots & h_1 \\
  h_1 & h_0 & h_1 & 0 & \cdots \\
  h_1 & h_0 & h_1 & \cdots & 0 \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  h_1 & \cdots & \cdots & h_1 & h_0
\end{pmatrix},$$

which accounts for nearest-neighboring “interactions”. In Fourier space, $UHU^\dagger$, the Hamiltonian reads as

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} |k\rangle\langle k| \otimes \hat{h}_{q_k}, \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{h}_{q_k} = h_0 + 2 \cos(q_k) h_1,$$

where $q_k = 2\pi k/L$ is the quasi-momentum. For more general Hamiltonians, e.g., with long-range “interactions”, the Fourier transform can be found exactly as we do, in what follows, for the dissipative contributions.

The dissipative terms that we consider are described by matrices of the form

$$C^\alpha = \sum_{i,j=1}^{L} f_{ij}^\alpha |i\rangle\langle j| \otimes c^\alpha;$$
here, \( f_{ij}^\alpha = f_{ji}^\alpha = f^\alpha(d_{ij}) \) solely depends on the distance \( d_{ij} \), and \( c \) is a 2 \times 2 matrix. Assuming an even number of sites \( L = 2n \), the Fourier transform of these matrices is given by

\[
\hat{C}^\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{L} |k\rangle \langle k| \otimes \hat{c}^\alpha_{q_k}, \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{c}^\alpha_{q_k} = e^{i \mathcal{S}_k[f^\alpha]},
\]

where \( \mathcal{S}_k[f] \) is a (functional) quantity determined by \( f \) and the parameter \( k \) as

\[
\mathcal{S}_k[f^\alpha] = \left( f^\alpha(0) + (-1)^k f^\alpha(n) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f^\alpha(j) \cos(q_k j) \right).
\]

(S3)

We use the above result to obtain the Fourier transforms of the symmetric and anti-symmetric part of the complete dissipative matrix \( C = C^+ + C^- + C^x + C^p \). For the symmetric matrix \( A = (C + C^T)/2 \) we have

\[
\hat{A} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} |k\rangle \langle k| \otimes \hat{a}_{q_k},
\]

with

\[
\hat{a}_{q_k} = \frac{\gamma^+ \mathcal{S}_k[f^+] + \gamma^- \mathcal{S}_k[f^-]}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) + \gamma^x \mathcal{S}_k[f^x] \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) + \gamma^p \mathcal{S}_k[f^p] \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).
\]

(S4)

The Fourier transform of the anti-symmetric component \( B = (C - C^T)/2 \) is, instead, given by

\[
\hat{B} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} |k\rangle \langle k| \otimes \hat{b}_{q_k},
\]

with

\[
\hat{b}_{q_k} = \frac{\gamma_{q_k}}{2} \sigma, \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma_{q_k} = \gamma^- \mathcal{S}_k[f^-] - \gamma^+ \mathcal{S}_k[f^+] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right).
\]

(S5)

TIME-EVOLUTION OF THE COVARIANCE MATRIX

For completeness, we illustrate here the main steps to obtain the time-dependence of the covariance matrix in Eq. (5). This is completely established by the dynamics of all possible quadratic operators \( r_i r_h \).

We start with some considerations on the Lindblad operator in Eq. (2). This is equivalent to

\[
\mathcal{L}[X] = i[E, X] + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2L} C^\alpha_{ij} \frac{1}{2} \left( \left[ r_i, X \right] r_j + r_i \left[ X, r_j \right] \right),
\]

and one can show that

\[
\mathcal{L}[XY] = X\mathcal{L}[Y] + \mathcal{L}[X]Y + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2L} C^\alpha_{ij} \left[ r_i, X \right] \left[ X, r_j \right].
\]

(S6)

This is useful to evaluate the action of the Lindblad operator on quadratic operators. To this end, we first compute the action of the Lindblad on linear operators,

\[
\mathcal{L}[r_i] = \sum_{j=1}^{2L} \left[ \Omega(2H + B) \right]_{ij} r_j.
\]

(S7)

Furthermore, the last term in Eq. (S6) is proportional to the identity and reads as

\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^{2L} C^\alpha_{ij} \left[ r_i, r_k \right] \left[ r_h, r_j \right] = \left[ \Omega C^T \right]_{kh}.
\]
We now define the $2L \times 2L$ matrix $\Gamma_{kh} = \langle r_k r_h \rangle$. Its derivative is determined by the Lindblad generator as $d/dt \Gamma_{kh}(t) = \langle \mathcal{L}[r_k r_h]\rangle_t$. Using the above results, we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Gamma(t) = [\Omega(2H + B)] \Gamma(t) + \Gamma(t) [\Omega(2H + B)]^T + \Omega C \Omega^T.$$  

Noticing that the covariance matrix $G$ is nothing but $G = (\Gamma + \Gamma^T)/2$, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} G(t) = [\Omega(2H + B)] G(t) + G(t) [\Omega(2H + B)]^T + \Omega A \Omega^T,$$

whose solution is the one reported in Eq. (5).

**THE COVARIANCE MATRIX IN FOURIER SPACE**

From the dynamics of the covariance matrix in Eq. (5), we can obtain the covariance matrix in the space of quasi-momenta, just by applying the Fourier transform implemented by $U$. Using that $U$ is unitary, from Eq. (5) we obtain

$$\hat{G}(t) = \hat{X}(t) \hat{G}(0) \hat{X}^\dagger(t) + \int_0^t du \hat{X}(u) \Omega \hat{A} \Omega^T \hat{X}^\dagger(u)$$  

where we have $\hat{X}(t) = e^{i \Omega (2H + \hat{B}) t}$. Since the Fourier matrices $\hat{H}$, $\hat{A}$, $\hat{B}$ are all block-diagonal we can write

$$\hat{G}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^L |k\rangle \langle k| \otimes \hat{g}_{qk}(t).$$

Recalling Eqs. (S2), (S4), (S5), the time-evolution of $\hat{g}_{qk}(t)$ is thus given by

$$\hat{g}_{qk}(t) = \hat{x}_{qk}(t) \hat{g}_{qk}(0) \hat{x}_{qk}^\dagger(t) + \int_0^t du \hat{x}_{qk}(u) \sigma \hat{a}_{qk} \sigma^T \hat{x}_{qk}^\dagger(u)$$  

where $\hat{x}_{qk}(t) = e^{i \sigma (2\hat{H}_{qk} + \hat{b}_{qk})}$ is the symbol of the matrix $X(t)$.

**EIGENMODES OF THE LINDBLAD DYNAMICS**

In this section, we discuss how to find the eigenmodes of the Lindblad dynamics and we demonstrate that, for the dissipative contributions considered here, the eigenmodes are solely determined by the Hamiltonian term.

To find the eigenmodes, one should find annihilation and creation operators, $[\beta_q, \beta^\dagger_p] = \delta_{qp}$, such that

$$\mathcal{L}[\beta_q] = \lambda_q \beta_q,$$

which implies $\mathcal{L}[\beta^\dagger_q] = \lambda_q^* \beta^\dagger_q$. The starting point is relation (S7). We transform the operators $r$ in Fourier space, defining the vector $\hat{r} = U r$. This needs to be understood as the vector of elements $\hat{r}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2L} U_{ij} r_j$. Using the form of $U$, we have that

$$\hat{r}_{2k-1} = \varphi_{qk} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j=1}^L e^{iq_k j} x_j,$$

as well as

$$\hat{r}_{2k} = \pi_{qk} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j=1}^L e^{iq_k j} p_j,$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots L$. Recall that $q_k = 2\pi k/L$ is the quasi-momentum. Exploiting Eq. (S7), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}[\hat{r}_i] = \sum_{j=1}^{2L} \{ U [\Omega (2H + B)] U^\dagger \}_{ij} \hat{r}_j = \sum_{j=1}^{2L} \left[ \Omega \left( 2\hat{H} + \hat{B} \right) \right]_{ij} \hat{r}_j.$$  

For the last equality, remember that we have $[U, \Omega] = 0$ as well as that $\hat{H} = UHU^\dagger$ and $\hat{B} = UB\hat{U}^\dagger$. Since $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{B}$ are block diagonal, we can unravel Eq. (S11) into $L$ relations involving $2 \times 2$ matrices. These read as

$$\mathcal{L} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{qk} \\ \pi_{qk} \end{pmatrix} \right] = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \hat{h}_{qk} + \hat{b}_{qk} \\ \varphi_{qk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{qk} \\ \pi_{qk} \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(S12)
and with $\hat{h}_{q_k}, \hat{b}_{q_k}$ being the symbols of $H, B$ [cf. Eqs. (S2), (S5)]. To find the eigenmodes, we need to find a linear combination $\beta_{q_k}$ of the operators $\varphi_{q_k}^+, \pi_{q_k}^+$, such that $L[\beta_{q_k}^+] \propto \beta_{q_k}^+$ and $[\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}^+] = \delta_{k,k'}$. Since Eq. (S12) contains the term $\hat{b}_{q_k}$, in general, the presence of dissipation is expected to modify the structure of the eigenmodes due to the Hamiltonian contribution only. However, for the dissipation considered here, the matrix $\hat{b}_{q_k}$ is proportional to the identity [see definition of $\hat{b}_{q_k}$ in Eq. (S5)], so that the eigenmodes of the Hamiltonian are also eigenmodes of the Lindblad generator.

**EXAMPLE SYSTEMS**

**Bosonic tight-binding chain**

As a first example we consider a tight-binding model subject to dissipation. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$E = J \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left( a_{i}^\dagger a_{i+1} + a_{i+1}^\dagger a_{i} \right) ,$$

where $a_i^\#$ are creation and annihilation operators, with $a_i = (x_i + i p_i)/\sqrt{2}$. Expanding in the quadrature operators, this Hamiltonian gives rise to a matrix $H$ of the form in Eq. (S1), with just $h_1$ different from zero and equal to

$$h_1 = \frac{J}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

For this case, we consider as initial state the squeezed vacuum characterized by the covariance matrix

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{L} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^\chi & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\chi} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where $\chi$ is the squeezing parameter.

For this model the eigenmodes $\beta_{q_k}$ are given by

$$\beta_{q_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{L} e^{i q_k} a_i ,$$

and the dispersion relation and velocities of quasi-particles are

$$e_{q_k} = 2 \cos(q_k) , \quad \text{and} \quad v_{q_k} = -2 \sin(q_k) .$$

The action of the Lindblad on the density of quasi-particles $n_{q_k} = \beta_{q_k}^\dagger \beta_{q_k}$ is given by

$$L[n_{q_k}] = -\gamma_{q_k} n_{q_k} + \alpha_{q_k} ,$$

with $\gamma_{q_k}$ given in Eq. (S5) and

$$\alpha_{q_k} = \gamma^+ S_k[f^+] + \frac{\gamma^x}{2} S_k[f^x] + \frac{\gamma^p}{2} S_k[f^p] .$$

**Bosonic) Harmonic chain**

As a second example we consider the harmonic chain. This model is characterized by the Hamiltonian

$$E = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[ p_i^2 + m^2 x_i^2 + (x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 \right] ,$$

where $K, m$ are adimensional parameters. Such a Hamiltonian gives rise to a matrix $H$ of the form in Eq. (S1) with

$$h_0 = J \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m^2}{2} + 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} , \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 = -\frac{J}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
For this case we take as initial state the ground state of the above Hamiltonian $E$ for $m = 1$. This is completely specified by its covariance matrix. For the time-evolution we consider the above Hamiltonian with a value of $m \neq 1$.

The eigenmodes of the above Hamiltonian are given in terms of the Fourier operators

$$
\beta_{qk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2e_{qk}}} (e_{qk} \varphi_{qk} + i \pi_{qk}), \quad \beta_{qk}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2e_{qk}}} (e_{qk} \varphi_{-qk} + i \pi_{-qk})
$$

where $\varphi_{-qk} = \varphi_{qk}^\dagger$, $\pi_{-qk} = \pi_{qk}^\dagger$. The dispersion relation $e_{qk}$ and the velocities are

$$
e_{qk} = J \sqrt{m^2 + 2[1 - \cos(q_k)]}, \quad v_{qk} = \frac{J \sin(q_k)}{\sqrt{m^2 + 2[1 - \cos(q_k)]}}.
$$

The action of the full Lindblad generator on the eigenmode $\beta_{qk}$ gives

$$
\mathcal{L}[\beta_{qk}] = - \left( \frac{\gamma_{qk}}{2} + ie_{qk} \right) \beta_{qk},
$$

where $\gamma_{qk}$ is given in Eq. (S5). On the number operator $n_{qk} = \beta_{qk}^\dagger \beta_{qk}$ it gives

$$
\mathcal{L}[n_{qk}] = - \gamma_{qk} n_{qk} + \alpha_{qk},
$$

with

$$
\alpha_{qk} = \gamma^{-} S_k[f^-] \frac{(1 - e_{qk})^2}{4e_{qk}} + \gamma^+ S_k[f^+] \frac{(1 + e_{qk})^2}{4e_{qk}} + \frac{\gamma^x}{2e_{qk}} S_k[f^x] + \frac{\gamma^y}{2} S_k[f^y].
$$

**Fermionic Transverse field Ising chain**

As an example for fermionic systems, we consider the transverse field Ising model in its formulation through Majorana operators, $w_1^i, w_2^i$, such that $\{w_1^n, w_2^m\} = 2 \delta_{n,m}$. We collect these operators in a $2L$-dimensional (column) vector $r = (w_1^1, w_2^1, w_1^2, w_2^2, \ldots, w_1^L, w_2^L)$, so that the anti-commutation relations read $\{r_i, r_j^\dagger\} = 2 \delta_{ij}$. The Hamiltonian is

$$
E = -iJ \sum_{i=1}^{L} w_1^i w_1^{i+1} + iJh \sum_{i=1}^{L} w_2^i w_1^i = i \sum_{i,j=1}^{2L} H_{ij} r_i r_j.
$$

The matrix $H$ is an anti-symmetric matrix (differently to what stated in the main text we take it here to be real, since we have factored out the imaginary unit $i$) and has the form

$$
H = \begin{pmatrix}
  h_0 & h_1 & 0 & \cdots & -h_1^T \\
  -h_1^T & h_0 & h_1 & 0 & \vdots \\
  \vdots & -h_1^T & h_0 & h_1 & \ddots \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
  h_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -h_1^T & h_0 & h_1 \\
  \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -h_1^T & h_0 & h_1 & -h_1^T & h_0
\end{pmatrix},
$$

with

$$
h_0 = \frac{Jh}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 = \frac{J}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

By applying the Hamiltonian part of the generator on linear operators, we obtain

$$
i[E, r_i] = 4 \sum_{j=1}^{2L} H_{ij} r_j.
$$
In Fourier space, we define the vector \( \hat{r} = U \hat{r} \), and analogously to Eq. [S10], we have
\[
\hat{r}_{2k-1} = \varphi_{q_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j=1}^{L} e^{i q_k j} u_j^1, \quad \text{as well as} \quad \hat{r}_{2k} = \pi_{q_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j=1}^{L} e^{i q_k j} u_j^2 ,
\]
(S13)
for \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots L \). Recall that \( q_k = 2 \pi k / L \). The action of the Hamiltonian generator on these operators gives
\[
i[E, \hat{r}] = 4 \sum_{j=1}^{2L} \hat{H}_{ij} \hat{r}_j ,
\]
where \( \hat{H} = \sum_k |k\rangle \langle k| \otimes \hat{h}_{q_k} \) is block-diagonal with \( 2 \times 2 \) blocks \( \hat{h}_{q_k} \),
\[
\hat{h}_{q_k} = h_0 + h_1 e^{-i q_k} - h_1^T e^{i q_k} = \frac{J}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -h + e^{i q_k} \\ h - e^{-i q_k} & 0 \end{array} \right)
\]
To find the eigenmodes of the Hamiltonian we consider the following problem (for each \( k \))
\[
i \left[ E, \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{q_k} \\ \pi_{q_k} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 4 \hat{h}_{q_k} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{q_k} \\ \pi_{q_k} \end{pmatrix} .
\]
(S14)
We define \( s_{12} = 2J(e^{i q_k} - h) \), and \( \beta_{q_k} \) as the linear combination \( \beta_{q_k} = u_1 \varphi_{q_k} + i u_2 \pi_{q_k} \). We require \( \{ \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}^\dagger \} = |u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 = 1/2 \). Using the result in Eq. [S14] and the definition of \( s_{12} \) we find
\[
i[E, \beta_{q_k}] = u_1 s_{12} \pi_{q_k} - i u_2 s_{12}^* \varphi_{q_k} = -i e^{i q_k} \beta_{q_k} .
\]
The last equality is what needs to be imposed to find \( \beta_{q_k} \) as an eigenmode of the Hamiltonian. The function \( e_{q_k} \) is the dispersion relation, which also needs to be determined. This gives two further equations
\[
e_{q_k} u_1 = u_2 s_{12}^* , \quad u_2 e_{q_k} = u_1 s_{12} .
\]
(S15)
From the second equation we find \( u_1 = u_2 e_{q_k} / s_{12} \) which, once inserted in the first one, gives
\[
e_{q_k} = \sqrt{|s_{12}|^2} = 2J \sqrt{h^2 + 1 - 2h \cos(q_k)} .
\]
This is the well-known dispersion relation for the Ising chain. Given that \( e_{q_k} = |s_{12}| \), we can write
\[
s_{12} = e_{q_k} e^{i \theta_k} ,
\]
and thus, from the second equation above, we find \( u_2 = u_1 e^{i \theta_k} \). Since we need to have \( |u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 = 1/2 \) and since an overall phase is not important in \( \beta_{q_k} \), we take \( u_1 = 1/2 \) and \( u_2 = e^{i \theta_k} / 2 \), where for the sake of clarity we have that
\[
e^{i \theta_k} = \frac{s_{12}}{e_{q_k}} = \frac{e^{i q_k} - h}{\sqrt{h^2 + 1 - 2h \cos(q_k)}} .
\]
It can then be straightforwardly checked that \( i[E, \beta_{q_k}] = -i e_{q_k} \beta_{q_k} \).
In the same spirit, we can find the eigenmodes starting from the Fourier operators \( \varphi_{-q_k}, \pi_{-q_k} \). This is simply done by defining the operators \( \hat{r} = U^\dagger \hat{r} \). Rotating the matrix \( \hat{H} \) into this representation, the problem becomes
\[
i \left[ E, \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{-q_k} \\ \pi_{-q_k} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 4 \left( \hat{h}_{q_k} \right)^* \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{q_k} \\ \pi_{q_k} \end{pmatrix} .
\]
(S16)
One can obtain the eigenmodes \( \beta_{-q_k} \), as before. We collect all these operators and their Hermitean conjugates together:
\[
\beta_{q_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi_{q_k} + i \frac{s_{12}}{e_{q_k}} \pi_{q_k} \right) , \quad \beta_{q_k}^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi_{q_k} - i \frac{s_{12}}{e_{q_k}} \pi_{q_k} \right) ,
\]
\[
\beta_{-q_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi_{-q_k} + i \frac{s_{12}}{e_{q_k}} \pi_{-q_k} \right) , \quad \beta_{-q_k}^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi_{-q_k} - i \frac{s_{12}}{e_{q_k}} \pi_{-q_k} \right) .
\]
(S17)
Inverting these relations, we find the expression for the Fourier operators $\varphi_{q_k}, \pi_{q_k}$ and their Hermitean conjugates $\varphi_{-q_k}, \pi_{-q_k}$:

$$\varphi_{q_k} = (\beta_{q_k} + \beta_{q_k}^\dagger), \quad \pi_{q_k} = i(\beta_{-q_k}^\dagger - \beta_{q_k}),$$

$$\varphi_{-q_k} = (\beta_{-q_k} + \beta_{q_k}^\dagger), \quad \pi_{-q_k} = i(\beta_{q_k} - \beta_{-q_k}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (S18)

For fermionic systems, the covariance matrix is defined as $G_{mn} = \langle [r_m, r_n]\rangle / 2 = \langle r_m r_n\rangle - \delta_{mn}$. In Fourier space, this becomes

$$\hat{G}_{kh} = \langle \hat{r}_{q_k} \hat{r}_{-q_k}\rangle - \delta_{kh}.$$

We consider as initial state the ground state of the Ising Hamiltonian for $h = 0$. The quantities $e_{q_k}^0, s_{12}^0$ (notice that also $s_{12}$ depends on $q_k$ even if this is not written explicitly) are associated to the value $h_0$ of the transverse field. It can be checked that the covariance matrix in Fourier space, for the ground state of $E$, is

$$\hat{G} = \sum_{k=1}^L \langle k | \langle k | \otimes \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & i e_{q_k}^0 \\ -i e_{q_k}^0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right).$$

The real space covariance matrix is obtained as $G = U \hat{G} U^\dagger$ and determines the initial state system. For the time-evolution, we consider a quenched Hamiltonian field $h \neq 0$ and the presence of non-local decay. The eigenmodes are the ones in Eq. (S17), and the velocities of the quasi-particles as

$$v_{q_k} = \frac{4 J^2 h \sin(q_k)}{e_{q_k}}.$$

To enable prediction from our method, we finally need to compute the action of the Lindblad generator on the density operator for quasi-particles $n_{q_k} = \beta_{q_k}^\dagger \beta_{q_k}$. This provides the rate equation for the densities of quasi-particles. We find, for non-local decay characterized by the function $f_{ij}$, the relation

$$\mathcal{L}[n_{q_k}] = -4 \gamma_{q_k} n_{q_k} + 2 \gamma_{q_k} + \gamma_{q_k} \frac{s_{12} + s_{12}^*}{e_{q_k}}, \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma_{q_k} = \gamma S_k[f]^{-}. $$

The densities of the quasi-particle for the quenched Hamiltonian in the initial state can be compute from the initial covariance matrix in Fourier space, as

$$\langle n_{q_k}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{e_{q_k}^0 s_{12}}{e_{q_k} s_{12}} + \frac{e_{q_k}^0 s_{12}^*}{e_{q_k} (s_{12}^*)} \right].$$