INTRODUCTION

Entanglement is a key element of quantum technologies, such as quantum teleportation, quantum communication, and quantum sensing. It takes advantages of quantum correlation that cannot be revealed in classical systems. Quantum illumination (QI), which belongs to quantum sensing, takes quantum advantages over classical illumination (CI), with no output entanglement [1, 2]. QI is to discriminate the presence and absence of a low-reflectivity target using entangled states that consist of signal and idler modes. To detect the target, we send the signal mode towards the target while keeping the idler mode. Then the reflected signal mode is measured together with the idler mode in a receiver. In continuous variable systems, a typical entangled state is a two-mode squeezed vacuum (TMSV) state which can be represented in terms of a number basis, \( \text{TMSV} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{n!}{(1+N_S)^{n+1}}} |n\rangle_S |n\rangle_I \), where \( N_S \) is the mean photon number of the signal (or idler) mode. The TMSV state is nearly optimal in QI [3–5]. CI is to detect the target using unentangled states, e.g., coherent or thermal states. QI was compared with CI under a few measurement setups proposed [6–13] and implemented [14–21]. To detect a long distance target, it was studied on microwave QI [22], where initially we prepare microwave signal and optical idler. Even if we prepare optical entangled states, we can convert it to micro-optical entangled states by frequency conversion [23–25].

The performance of Gaussian illumination is quantified with the error probability that is a sum of miss probability \( P(\text{off}|\text{on}) \) and false alarm probability \( P(\text{on}|\text{off}) \). Given a positive operator valued measure, the error probability is lower bounded by the Helstrom bound (HB) and upper bounded by quantum Chernoff bound (QCB) [26–28] which is also upper bounded by the Bhattacharyya bound. It is not known how to achieve the HB with implementable setups, but the QCB can be achieved with feasible ones. A single-mode coherent state attains its QCB by homodyne detection, and a TMSV state approaches its QCB asymptotically by sum frequency generation with feedforward [8]. Based on the QCB, QI improves the error probability exponent by a factor of 4 over CI [2].

Given two Gaussian distributions, the error probability is derived as \( P_{\text{err}}(M) = \frac{1}{2} P(\text{off}|\text{on}) + P(\text{on}|\text{off}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}[\sqrt{\text{SNR}(M)}] \leq e^{-\text{SNR}(M)} \), where \( \text{erfc} \) means the complementary error function and the inequality represents an asymptotic bound. Minimizing the error probability corresponds to maximizing the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) which is explicitly given by

\[
\text{SNR}(M) \equiv \frac{M \langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\text{on}} - \langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\text{off}}^2}{2(\sqrt{\Delta^2 O_{\text{on}}} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_{\text{off}}})^2},
\]

where \( \langle \hat{O} \rangle_i \) is the mean value of an observable, \( \Delta^2 O_i \equiv \langle \hat{O}^2 \rangle_i - \langle \hat{O} \rangle_i^2 \) is its variance, and \( M \) is the number of modes. There are four known receivers, such as PC (phase conjugate) receiver, OPA (optical parametric amplifier) receiver [6], double homodyne receiver [12], and heterodyne receiver on each mode [16]. We exclude the sum frequency generation with feedfoward, which remains hard to implement due to its complicated structure requiring a sequence of nonlinear processes [8].

In this letter, we propose optimal observables for Gaussian illumination, which maximizes the SNR. In the quantum regime, we consider a TMSV state which is described with a 4-by-4 covariance matrix \( V_{SI} = \langle [\hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I]^T [\hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I \hat{a}_I \hat{a}_S] \rangle \), where \( S(I) \) represents the signal (idler) mode. In the classical regime, an input two-mode state is prepared by impinging a coherent or thermal state into a beam splitter, which is described with the first moment and the covariance matrix. The input states interact with a target which is represented by a low-reflectivity beam splitter, where thermal noise effect is simulated by impinging a thermal state into the beam splitter. Since both input states and interaction process are in the Gaussian regime, we describe the output state with the covariance matrix and first moment.
OPTIMAL OBSERVABLE FOR QI

In QI, the signal mode is reflected from a target with reflectance $\kappa$ while the idler mode is kept ideally. The output covariance matrix that represents target-on is given by

$$V_{SI}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} A + 1 & 0 & C \\ 0 & N_S + 1 & C \\ 0 & C & A + 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

(2)

where $A = \kappa N_S + N_B$, $C = \sqrt{\kappa N_S(N_S + 1)}$, and $N_B$ is the mean photon number of thermal noise. When the target is off, the covariance matrix becomes $V_{SI}(0)$. Since the information of the covariance matrices is obtained by measuring the four different components, $\langle \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I \rangle$, $\langle \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I \rangle$, $\langle \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_S \rangle$, and $\langle \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_I \rangle$, we propose an observable

$$\hat{O}_{pr} = \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I + \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I + \alpha \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_S + \beta \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_I,$$

(3)

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real values. It is optimized by maximizing the SNR of Eq. [1], such that we obtain the optimal observable

$$\hat{O}_{opt} = \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I + \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I - |\beta| \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_I,$$

(4)

and the SNR

$$\text{SNR}_{opt}^{(M)} = \frac{2MC^2}{\left[ \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_{\kappa} + m(\kappa)} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_0 + m(0)} \right]^2},$$

(5)

where $\Delta^2 O_{\kappa} = (A + 1)(N_S + 1) + 2C^2 + AN_S$, $m(\kappa) = |\beta|^2 N_S(N_S + 1) - 2|\beta| C(2N_S + 1)$. Since the component $\langle \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_S \rangle$ measures the mean photon number which is constituted with a small amount of the reflected signal and a large amount of the transmitted thermal noise, it increases the variance dominantly rather than the mean value. However, the component $\langle \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_I \rangle$ measures only the idler mode so that it can help reducing the variance. Thus, the value of $\alpha$ goes to zero and the $\beta$ survives with variance contribution $m(\kappa)$. We present an analytic formula of $|\beta|$ with a plot in the supplemental material. When $N_S$ is much smaller than $N_B$, we can also ignore the $|\beta|$, resulting in a nearly optimal observable as $\hat{O}_{opt} = \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I + \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I$ which measures only the off-diagonal components. By taking the nearly optimal observable, we obtain the SNR

$$\text{SNR}_{opt}^{(M)} = \frac{2MC^2}{\left[ \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_{\kappa}} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_0} \right]^2}. $$

(6)

In Fig. 1 we observe that the SNR of Eq. [6] is overlapped with the SNR of Eq. [5]. Quantitatively, the optimal observable receiver exhibits approximately 3% higher SNR than the nearly optimal observable receiver. It outperforms the SNRs of other observable receivers, such as PC receiver, OPA receiver, and double homodyne receiver, while beating the coherent state QCB. Analytic formulas of the SNRs are given in the supplemental material. At a low input power $N_S < 0.01$, the PC receiver is also overlapped with the optimal and nearly optimal observable receivers, as shown in the inset of Fig. 1. In the limit of $N_S, \kappa \ll 1$ and $N_B \gg 1$, the $\text{SNR}_{opt}^{(M)}$ asymptotically approaches $2M\kappa N_S$ and its error probability becomes $P_{err}^{(M)} = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}\left[ \frac{\sqrt{MxN_S}}{2N_B} \right] \leq e^{-\frac{MxN_S}{2N_B}}$. It improves the error probability exponent by a factor of 2 over the coherent state QCB, which is a half of exponent of the TMSV state QCB [2].

Since thermal noise is independent of a target reflectance, in the above, we simulated the thermal noise $N_B$ by injecting a thermal state with mean photon number $N_B/(1 - \kappa)$ into a beam splitter with reflectance $\kappa$. We can raise a question what if we designate the thermal mean photon number as not $N_B/(1 - \kappa)$ but $N_B$ which is natural in experiment. Thus, the thermal contribution depends on the target reflectance as $(1 - \kappa)N_B$. Since the thermal noise contains the target information as $\kappa N_B$ which is not ignorable, therefore, the optimal observable should include the last component $\langle \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_S \rangle$ of

FIG. 1. SNR for QI as a function of $N_S$ at $\kappa = 0.01$, $N_B = 30$, $M = 10^7$ under constant thermal noise: coherent state bound, receivers with optimal observable, nearly optimal observable, PC, OPA, and double homodyne.
We propose how to implement the optimal observable as well as the nearly optimal one, in terms of linear optics and heterodyne detection (HTD) that performs homodyne detection (HD) on each mode after dividing a signal by a 50:50 beam splitter. HD measures a quadrature operator as follows: A signal and a local oscillator (LO) are impinged on a 50:50 beam splitter, and then we measure the intensity difference between output ports. Thus, we obtain the mean value of a quadrature operator as \( \langle \hat{a}_\alpha - \hat{a}_\beta \rangle = |\alpha_L| \langle \hat{X}(\phi) \rangle = |\alpha_L| \langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\phi} \rangle \). The phase (or amplitude) of the LO controls \( \phi \) (or \( \alpha_L \)). At \( \phi = 0 \) (or \( \pi/2 \)), the quadrature operator corresponds to the position (or momentum) operator. Both position and momentum operators on the signal can be measured by HTD, as shown in Fig. 3(a).

The proposed observable can be implemented by performing coincidence HTD which includes the following observables, \( \hat{X}_s \hat{X}_d, \hat{P}_c \hat{P}_d, \hat{X}^2_{s(d)}, \) and \( \hat{P}^2_{c(d)} \). After combining the reflected and idler modes by the 50:50 beam splitter, \( \hat{a}^+_S \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{c}^d + \hat{d}^i) \) and \( \hat{a}^+_I \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{d}^I - \hat{c}^I) \), we obtain the output observable

\[
\hat{M}_{prs}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \right) + 1 \right] (\hat{X}^2_d + \hat{P}^2_d) + \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} - 1 \right) (\hat{X}^2_c + \hat{P}^2_c) - (\alpha + \beta) + (\alpha - \beta) (\hat{X}_s \hat{X}_d + \hat{P}_c \hat{P}_d),
\]

which demands coincidence measurements on position and momentum operators, together with the square of each operator. The mean value of a square quadrature operator is obtained by calculating the squared outcomes of HD, \( \langle \hat{X}^2(\phi) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x^2 \hat{P}(x, \phi) \), where the marginal distribution \( \hat{P}(x, \phi) \) is obtained by repeated measurements. In Fig. 3(b), we present a measurement setup for the coincidence HTD on both output modes.

The nearly optimal observable can be implemented by performing HTDs without coincidence measurements, which measures position and momentum operators on both modes. The corresponding output observable is given by \( \hat{M}_{prs}(0, 0) = \frac{1}{2} [\hat{X}^2_d - \hat{P}^2_d] - (\hat{X}^2_c - \hat{P}^2_c) = \hat{X}_d(\frac{\pi}{2}) \hat{X}_d(-\frac{\pi}{2}) - \hat{X}_c(\frac{\pi}{2}) \hat{X}_c(-\frac{\pi}{2}) \), where square quadrature operators can be simplified by rotated quadrature spaces with the angles of \( \pm \pi/4 \).

**OPTIMAL OBSERVABLE FOR CI**

In CI, a single-mode coherent state asymptotically attains its QCB by performing homodyne detection. Instead of single-mode input state, we consider a two-mode input state which is produced by impinging a thermal
FIG. 3. Measurement setups for QI: (a) HTD, and (b) coincidence HTDs after combining the reflected and idler modes. BS represents a 50 : 50 beam splitter. $\phi$ is a phase shifter. LO means a local oscillator.

state into a beam splitter, resulting in a classically correlated thermal (CCT) state. The output covariance matrix that represents target-on is given by

$$C_{SI}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} B+1 & D & 0 & 0 \\ D & N_I+1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & D \\ 0 & 0 & D & N_I \end{pmatrix},$$

(9)

where $B = \kappa N_S + N_B$, $D = \sqrt{\kappa N_S N_I}$. $N_S$ ($N_I$) is the mean photon number of the signal (idler) mode that is controlled by the beam splitting ratio. The off-diagonal component $D$ produces classical correlation. When the target is off, the covariance matrix becomes $C_{SI}(0)$. By comparing the covariance matrices of the target-on and off, we propose an observable $\hat{O}_{\text{off}} = \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I + \hat{a}_I \hat{a}_S$, consisting of the off-diagonal components. The corresponding SNR is given by

$$\text{SNR}_{\text{th}}^{(M)} = \frac{2M\kappa N_S N_I}{\sqrt{4\kappa N_S N_I + \kappa N_S + y + \sqrt{y}}},$$

(10)

where $y = N_I + N_B(1+2N_I)$. The SNR with the observable attains the QCB, as shown in Fig. 4. Under a fixed $N_S$, the amount of the classical correlation is proportional to $N_I$, resulting in enhanced SNR. However it cannot beat the coherent state QCB. Replacing the thermal state by a coherent state, we obtain the similar SNR that is as high as amount of removing the term $4\kappa N_S N_I$ in the denominator of Eq. (10). But the coherent state with the observable cannot attain its QCB. In Fig. 4 the coherent state shows higher SNR by QCB than the thermal state. The tendencies maintain regardless of constant or non-constant thermal noise. In the limit of $N_S, \kappa \ll 1$ and $N_B, N_I \gg 1$, the SNR$^{(M)}_{\text{th}}$ asymptotically approaches $\frac{M\kappa N_S}{4N_B}$ and its error probability becomes $P_{\text{err}}^{(M)} = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc} [\sqrt{\frac{M\kappa N_S}{4N_B}}] \leq e^{-\frac{M\kappa N_S}{4N_B}}$, resulting in the coherent state QCB.

Measurement setup

The off-diagonal components represent the optimal observable. It is implemented by photon number difference measurement (PNDM) after interfering the reflected and idler modes by a 50 : 50 beam splitter. The PNDM ob-

![FIG. 4. For $N_B = 30$, $M = 10^7$, (a) SNR for CI as a function of target reflectance $\kappa$; CCT state by QCB (blue) and by $\hat{O}_{\text{off}}$ (black) at $N_S = N_I = 1$ (solid lines) and $N_S = 1, N_I = 2$ (dashed lines). (b) SNR for CI as a function of $N_S$ at $\kappa = 0.01$; CCT states at $N_S = N_I$ by QCB (blue curve) and $\hat{O}_{\text{off}}$ (black curve) that are overlapped. Coherent state by QCB is described with red dot-dashed lines.](image-url)
served is described with \( \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c} - \hat{d}^\dagger \hat{d} \), and it is inversely transformed to \( \hat{a}^\dagger_S \hat{a}_I + \hat{a}^\dagger_S \hat{a}_S \) by the 50 : 50 beam splitter. Given a general beam splitting transformation of \( \hat{c}^\dagger \rightarrow \hat{a}^\dagger_S \hat{a}_I - ie^{-i\varphi} \hat{r}^\dagger_S \) and \( \hat{d}^\dagger \rightarrow \hat{a}^\dagger_I - ie^{i\varphi} \hat{r}^\dagger_S \), the transformed observable is derived as

\[
\hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c} - \hat{d}^\dagger \hat{d} \\
= (t^2 - r^2)(\hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_S - \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_I) \tag{11} \\
- 2rt(\hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I + \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_S) \sin \varphi - i(\hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I - \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_S) \cos \varphi.
\]

At \( t = r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \) and \( \varphi = (2n + 1) \frac{\pi}{2} \) (\( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)), the transformed observable becomes \( \pm (\hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I + \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_S) \). The phase component \( \varphi \) includes a phase shifter in one arm that plays a role of a path length difference. In the limit of \( N_I \gg 1 \), the PNDM converges to homodyne detection as \( \langle \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I + \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_S \rangle \rightarrow \sqrt{2N_I} X_S(\hat{\phi}) \). It is the same as CI using a single-mode coherent state under homodyne detection.

**DISCUSSION**

In Gaussian illumination, we proposed optimal observables with feasible measurement setups to maximize the SNR. In QI, the measurement setup consists of heterodyne detections after combining the reflected and idler modes. The SNR using the optimal observable outperforms the SNRs using other observables for any number of \( N_S, N_B, \kappa \), where it asymptotically improves the error probability exponent by a factor of 2 over the classical state QCB. It guarantees a half exponent of the TMSV state QCB by measuring the components of the output covariance matrix. Since our measurement setup belongs to a class of local operations assisted with classical communication\[^{29, 30}\], it cannot approach the QCB that requires collective protocols\[^8\]. In CI, the measurement setup consists of PNDM after combining the reflected and idler modes. The SNR using the optimal observable can asymptotically approach the coherent state QCB, while the SNR with classically correlated thermal state cannot beat the SNR with coherent state.
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For the SNR using the optimal observable, Eq. (5), \[ |\beta| = \sqrt{\kappa \left[ f - \sqrt{f(f - \kappa(N_S + 1))} \right]} \]
where \( f = 1 + N_S + N_B + 2N_S N_B \). It is shown in Fig. 5 which corresponds to the values of \(|\beta|\) in Fig. 1. With increasing \( N_S \), the value of \(|\beta|\) converges to \( \sqrt{\kappa} \).

We analytically derive the SNRs of three different receivers under constant thermal noise after the target interaction. The PC receiver[6] measures the observable \( \hat{O}_{PC} = \nu(\hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I^\dagger + \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I) + \mu(\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_S^\dagger + \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I) \), where \(|\mu|^2 - |\nu|^2 = 1\) and \( \hat{a}_S \) is a vacuum state operator. The corresponding SNR is given by

\[
\text{SNR}_{PC}^{(M)} = \frac{2MC^2}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 O_\kappa + \frac{\mu^2}{\nu^2} N_S}} \left[ \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_\kappa + \frac{\mu^2}{\nu^2} N_S} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_0 + \frac{\mu^2}{\nu^2} N_S} \right]^2
\]

which is always smaller than the SNR of Eq. (6). The parameters are designated as \( \mu = \sqrt{2} \) and \( \nu = 10^3 \).

The OPA receiver[7] measures the observable \( \hat{O}_{OPA} = \sqrt{G(G-1)}(\hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I^\dagger + \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I) + (G-1)\hat{a}_S \hat{a}_S^\dagger + G\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_I \), where \( G > 1 \) is a gain of the OPA. Its SNR is given by

\[
\text{SNR}_{OPA}^{(M)} = \frac{2M \left( C + \frac{G-1}{G} \kappa N_S \right)^2}{\left[ \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_\kappa + q(\kappa)} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_0 + q(0)} \right]^2}
\]

where \( q(\kappa) = \frac{G-1}{G} A(A+1) + \frac{G}{G-1} N_S(N_S+1) + \frac{C}{\sqrt{G(G-1)}} \left[ (G-1)(A+2) + G(4N_S+1) \right] + 2C^2 \). The gain is implementable as \( G-1 = 7.4 \times 10^{-5} \). Since the additional denominator terms \( q(\kappa) \) and \( q(0) \) are much larger than the additional numerator term \( \sqrt{G-1}N_S^2 \), the SNR with the OPA is always smaller than SNR of Eq. (6).

The double homodyne(DH) receiver[12] measures the observable \( \hat{O}_{DH} = (X_S^2 + P_S^2) = -\langle \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I^\dagger + \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I \rangle + \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_S + \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_I \). The SNR is given by

\[
\text{SNR}_{DH}^{(M)} = \frac{2M \left( C - \frac{\kappa N_S}{2} \right)^2}{\left[ \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_\kappa + p(\kappa)} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_0 + p(0)} \right]^2}
\]

where \( p(\kappa) = A(A+1) + N_S(N_S+1) + 2C^2 - 4C(A + N_S + 1) \). Since all the additional terms diminish the SNR, the SNR with the DH is always smaller than SNR of Eq. (6).

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

(i) SNR under constant thermal noise

For the SNR using the optimal observable, Eq. (5), we derive the corresponding optimal formula \(|\beta| = \sqrt{\kappa N_S(N_S+1)} \left[ f - \sqrt{f(f - \kappa(N_S + 1))} \right] \), where \( f = 1 + N_S + N_B + 2N_S N_B \). It is shown in Fig. 5 which corresponds to the values of \(|\beta|\) in Fig. 1. With increasing \( N_S \), the value of \(|\beta|\) converges to \( \sqrt{\kappa} \).

We analytically derive the SNRs of three different receivers under constant thermal noise after the target interaction. The PC receiver[6] measures the observable \( \hat{O}_{PC} = \nu(\hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I^\dagger + \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I) + \mu(\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_S^\dagger + \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I) \), where \(|\mu|^2 - |\nu|^2 = 1\) and \( \hat{a}_S \) is a vacuum state operator. The corresponding SNR is given by

\[
\text{SNR}_{PC}^{(M)} = \frac{2MC^2}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 O_\kappa + \frac{\mu^2}{\nu^2} N_S}} \left[ \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_\kappa + \frac{\mu^2}{\nu^2} N_S} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_0 + \frac{\mu^2}{\nu^2} N_S} \right]^2
\]

which is always smaller than the SNR of Eq. (6). The parameters are designated as \( \mu = \sqrt{2} \) and \( \nu = 10^3 \).

The OPA receiver[7] measures the observable \( \hat{O}_{OPA} = \sqrt{G(G-1)}(\hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I^\dagger + \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I) + (G-1)\hat{a}_S \hat{a}_S^\dagger + G\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_I \), where \( G > 1 \) is a gain of the OPA. Its SNR is given by

\[
\text{SNR}_{OPA}^{(M)} = \frac{2M \left( C + \frac{G-1}{G} \kappa N_S \right)^2}{\left[ \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_\kappa + q(\kappa)} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_0 + q(0)} \right]^2}
\]

where \( q(\kappa) = \frac{G-1}{G} A(A+1) + \frac{G}{G-1} N_S(N_S+1) + \frac{C}{\sqrt{G(G-1)}} \left[ (G-1)(A+2) + G(4N_S+1) \right] + 2C^2 \). The gain is implementable as \( G-1 = 7.4 \times 10^{-5} \). Since the additional denominator terms \( q(\kappa) \) and \( q(0) \) are much larger than the additional numerator term \( \sqrt{G-1}N_S^2 \), the SNR with the OPA is always smaller than SNR of Eq. (6).

The double homodyne(DH) receiver[12] measures the observable \( \hat{O}_{DH} = (X_S^2 + P_S^2) = -\langle \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_I^\dagger + \hat{a}_S \hat{a}_I \rangle + \hat{a}_S^\dagger \hat{a}_S + \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_I \). The SNR is given by

\[
\text{SNR}_{DH}^{(M)} = \frac{2M \left( C - \frac{\kappa N_S}{2} \right)^2}{\left[ \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_\kappa + p(\kappa)} + \sqrt{\Delta^2 O_0 + p(0)} \right]^2}
\]

where \( p(\kappa) = A(A+1) + N_S(N_S+1) + 2C^2 - 4C(A + N_S + 1) \). Since all the additional terms diminish the SNR, the SNR with the DH is always smaller than SNR of Eq. (6).

(ii) SNR under non-constant thermal noise

Initially, we assign the thermal mean photon number as \( N_B \) which is natural in experiment. After the target interaction, the transmitted thermal noise becomes \((1 - \kappa)N_B\), and then the matrix component \( A \) is transformed as \( \kappa(N_S + N_B - \kappa N_S + (1 - \kappa)N_B) \). For the SNR of Eq. (7) using the optimal observable, we obtain the values of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) numerically, as shown in Fig. 6. At \( N_S = 0.01 \), the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) correspond to \(-0.54\) and \(-9.08\), respectively. In Eqs. (13) and (14), due to the observable shapes, a component of the numerator is transformed as \( \kappa N_S / 2 \rightarrow \kappa(N_S - N_B) / 2 \).