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We prove the Lieb-Schultz-Mattis (LSM) theorem on the energy spectrum of a general two or three-dimensional quantum many-body system with the U(1) particle number conservation and translation symmetry. Especially, it is demonstrated that the theorem holds in a system with long-range interactions and ground state degeneracy is at least q-fold when the system at a particle filling \( \rho = p/q \) with coprime integers \( p,q \) is gapped. To this end, we introduce approximate magnetic translation symmetry under the total magnetic flux \( \Phi = 2\pi \) instead of the exact translation symmetry, and explicitly construct low energy variational states. The energy spectrum at \( \Phi = 2\pi \) is shown to agree with that at \( \Phi = 0 \) in the thermodynamic limit, which concludes the LSM theorem.

**Introduction.**— Understanding the low energy spectrum of a quantum many-body system is a central issue in condensed matter physics [1]. The spectrum can be either gapless in some systems or it can be gapped in other systems with spontaneously broken discrete symmetry and an intrinsic topological order [2–3], in addition to trivial uniquely gapped systems. In this context, the Lieb-Schultz-Mattis (LSM) theorem is a fundamental theorem which can put strong constraints on possible energy spectra and provide a guiding principle for searching exotic quantum states including topological states with long range entanglement [4–17].

The original version of the LSM theorem for a one-dimensional particle system with the periodic boundary condition is proven with use of the two important symmetries, namely, (i) translation symmetry of the Hamiltonian and (ii) global U(1) symmetry of particle numbers [18–20]. Especially, the original LSM theorem for one dimension holds in a system with long-range density-density interactions, and provides a lower bound of ground state degeneracy (GSD), GSD \( \geq q \), for a gapped system with the filling \( \rho = p/q \). The wide applicability of the theorem is fundamentally important, since long-range interactions naturally exist in real materials [18–20] and they can have significant impacts on energy spectra. For example in three dimensions, the Coulomb interaction is known to gap out the collective charge excitations in metabolals and plays a crucial role in the Anderson-Higgs mechanism in superconductors [21, 22]. They can also support exotic gapped quantum phases in various systems such as Coulomb interacting electrons [23] and dipolar spins [24, 26]. Besides, GSD is closely related to the nature of ground states for both broken discrete symmetry [27, 28] and a topological order [29–31], which might be affected by long-range interactions.

Unfortunately, however, the original proof cannot be applied to a higher dimensional system with an isotropic system size, and higher dimensional extensions were made possible more than thirty years after the original work [7–11]. Based on local twist of a short-range Hamiltonian [8–11], it was shown that GSD \( \geq q \) for a gapped system under an assumption on matrix elements of local operators. This may be generalized to some rapidly decaying long-range interacting systems, but exact conditions are not yet known. On the other hand, the higher dimensional LSM theorem was proved also in a different approach under an hypothesis that an excitation gap does not close when a 2\( \pi \)-flux quanta piercing a hole of the torus system is adiabatically inserted [7]. Although this approach is formally applicable to a system with long-range interactions, the adiabatic hypothesis is a subtle issue especially in such a system and its validity is still under debate [7, 10, 32–33]. Therefore, it is still not clear whether or not the LSM thereom holds in a higher dimensional system with long-range interactions.

In this study, we discuss the LSM theorem in higher dimensions, especially focusing on long-range interacting systems. With use of approximate magnetic translation instead of the conventional one, we can prove the theorem without relying on non-trivial assumptions and extend its applicability to a wider class of systems. Technically, our proof may be regarded as a simple generalization of the original one-dimensional LSM argument and therefore long-range interactions can be treated in a straightforward way, which is an advantage of our approach. To be concrete, we consider a simple model of spinless particles (either fermions or bosons) on a two-dimensional square lattice of a linear size \( L_x \approx L_y \approx L = \sqrt{L_x L_y} \) with the periodic boundary condition. Our proof is applicable also to a three dimensional system with a size \( L_z \approx L \). The Hamiltonian is given by

\[
H(\phi) = H_s(\phi) + H_V
\]

\[
= - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{ij}(\phi) c_i^\dagger c_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} V_{ij} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j
\]

(1)

where \( \phi = \phi_{plaquette} \) is a site position and \( \langle i,j \rangle \) represents a nearest neighbor pair of sites. The hopping integral includes the vector potential \( t_{ijk}(\phi) = te^{iA_{ijk}} \) with \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) corresponding to a uniform magnetic flux per plaquette \( \phi = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} A_{ij} \). The second term \( H_V \) describes...
the density-density interaction with \( \tilde{n}_{ij} = c_j^\dagger c_j - \rho \) at the filling \( \rho = p/q \) and the potential \( V_{ij} = V_{[i-j]} \) can include long-range interactions in addition to short-range interactions. The Hamiltonian possesses translation symmetry when \( A_{ij} = 0 \). We consider a class of general systems with stability of the Hamiltonian and extensive-ness of energy eigenvalues [18 [19]. Then, we prove the following statement in the present paper.

**Theorem.** Consider the Hamiltonian \( H(\phi = 0) \). When the filling per unit cell is \( \rho = p/q \) with coprime \( p, q \in \mathbb{N} \), either there exist gapless excitations or the ground states are at least q-fold degenerate in the thermodynamic limit.

The proof consists of two steps. (i) We firstly construct approximate magnetic translation operators \( T_{x,y} \) in presence of \( \phi_L = 2\pi/L_x L_y = 2\pi/L^2 \) and show that the low energy states of \( H(\phi_L) \) are nearly q-fold degenerate in a finite size system as a consequence of a non-trivial commutation relation of \( T_{x,y} \) corresponding to a projective representation of \( \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \). (ii) Next, we demonstrate that the energy difference \( \delta E_n(\Phi_0) = [E_n(\Phi_0) - E_n(0)] \) vanishes in the thermodynamic limit, where \( E_n(\Phi_0) \) is the n-th eigenvalue of \( H(\phi_L) \) with the total magnetic flux, \( \Phi_0 = L_x L_y \times \phi_L = 2\pi \). By combining these two results, we can complete the proof of the main theorem. In the following, we discuss these two steps.

**Step (i) approximate magnetic translation and low energy states.**— Firstly, we give an explicit construction of the approximate magnetic translation operators for the Hamiltonian Eq. [1] and also of low energy variational states under the small magnetic field \( \phi_L \). We consider the string gauge with the period \( L_x, L_y \) which realizes the smallest flux per plaquette \( \phi = \phi_L = 2\pi/L^2 \) and the total flux in the system \( \Phi = \Phi_0 = 2\pi \) under the periodic boundary condition [31 38]. In this study, the gauge configuration is fixed as in Fig. [1] and straightforwardly generalized for arbitrary \( L_x, L_y \). Compared to the minimum flux per plaquette \( \phi = O(L^{-1}) \) in the Landau gauge corresponding to the magnetic length \( l_B = 1/\sqrt{\phi} \sim \sqrt{L} \) [31 38], \( \phi_L = O(L^{-2}) \) in the string gauge corresponding to \( l_B \sim L \) is even smaller and essentially important in the later discussions.

One can define an approximate magnetic translation operator in the string gauge by introducing appropriate scalar functions \( X_j, Y_j \),

\[
T_x = T_x U_y = T_x \exp \left( i \sum_j Y_j \tilde{n}_j \right), \quad (2)
\]

\[
T_y = T_y U_x = T_y \exp \left( i \sum_j X_j \tilde{n}_j \right), \quad (3)
\]

where \( T_{x,y} \) are the conventional translation operators without a magnetic field. We can determine the functions \( X_j, Y_j \) by trying to require translational symmetry of the Hamiltonian as follows. The hopping Hamiltonian is transformed as

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{\mu} c_j^\dagger e^{iA_{j\mu}^i} c_k T_{\mu}^{-1} &= c_j^\dagger e^{i\tilde{Z}_j^\mu} e^{i\bar{A}_{j\mu}^i} e^{-i\tilde{Z}_k^\mu} c_k + \bar{\mu} \\
&= c_j^\dagger e^{iA_{j\mu}^i} e^{i\bar{A}_{j\mu}^i} e^{-i\tilde{Z}_k^\mu} c_k + \bar{\mu} \\
&= e^{iA_{j\mu}^i} \bar{A}_{j\mu}^i + \tilde{Z}_j^\mu \tilde{Z}_k^\mu \tag{4}
\end{align*}
\]

in \( \mu \)-direction, where \( Z_j^\mu = x_j, Z_j^\mu = Y_j \). In the second equality, we have required the magnetic translation symmetry. This leads to the condition \( A_{i+\bar{\mu}, j+\bar{\mu}} = A_{ij} + \tilde{Z}_j^\mu \) with \( d\tilde{Z}_j^\mu = Z_j^\mu - Z_j^\mu \). This is basically a gauge transformation \( A_{ij} \rightarrow A'_{ij} = A_{ij} + \bar{\mu} \phi_L \) and \( \tilde{Z}_j^\mu \) by the unknown scalar function \( Z_j^\mu \). Unfortunately, however, there is no solution for \( X_j \) that satisfies the simple periodic boundary condition, \( X_j = X_{j+L_y, \bar{\mu}} \), and the same for \( Y_j \). We have to introduce a singular gauge transformation to satisfy Eq. [1] for \( \mu = y \), unless the flux is \( \phi = L_y \phi_L \) corresponding to a Landau gauge. Indeed, if we introduce a multi-valued singular function \( X_j^\mu = \phi_x \bar{x}_j \) with \( \phi_x = -\phi_L \) and \( \bar{x}_{j+L_x} = x_j + L_x \neq x_j \), the function \( X_j \neq X_j^\mu \) with an appropriately chosen single-valued regular function \( X_j^\mu \) satisfies Eq. [1]. Similarly, we have \( Y_j = Y_j^\mu + Y_j^\nu \) where \( Y_j^\nu = \phi_y y_j \) with \( \phi_y = \phi_L \) and \( y_{j+L_y} = y_j + L_y \neq y_j \), and an appropriate regular part \( Y_j^\nu \). An example of \( X_j \) and \( Y_j \) for \( L_x = L_y = 3 \) is shown in Fig. [2] and they are obtained in a similar way for other general system sizes. A singular gauge transformation is often treated with an introduction of a branch cut and it can be explicitly implemented in our system, but we will take a different approach in this study.

Here, instead of the full magnetic translation symmetry, we consider only the regular parts \( X_j^\mu, Y_j^\mu \) which approximate realize the magnetic translation, and neglect the singular parts \( X_j^\nu, Y_j^\nu \). For simplicity, the same notation \( T_{x,y} \) is used for the approximated magnetic translation operator. We stress that the regular parts alone satisfy a desired commutation relation of \( T_{x,y} \), even when we ignore the singular parts correspond to a uniform singular vector potential \( A_{ij}^\nu = \phi_L \) with \( \phi_x = -\phi_L, \phi_y = \phi_L \) which does not contribute to the out-of-plane flux. Indeed, one can easily derive the commutation relation of the approximate magnetic translation operator \( T_{x,y} \),

\[
T_y^{-1} T_x^{-1} T_y T_x = e^{i\phi_L N}, \tag{5}
\]
where \( N = \sum_j n_j = \rho L_x L_y \) at the filling \( \rho \). Therefore these operators give a projective representation of \( \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \), which is a key in our discussion.

Now we consider the ground state of the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) and low energy variational states. In constructing the variational states, we use the following relations which are derived straightforwardly,

\[
T_x H(\phi_L; 0, 0) T_x^{-1} = H(\phi_L; 0, -\phi_y), \tag{6}
\]

\[
T_y H(\phi_L; 0, 0) T_y^{-1} = H(\phi_L; -\phi_x, 0), \tag{7}
\]

where \( H(\phi_L; \phi_x, \phi_y) \) is the Hamiltonian with the magnetic field \( \phi_L \) along the \( z \)-direction and the constant vector potential \( A_{\mu}^i \) along the \( \mu \)-direction. These equations mean that \( T_x \) and \( T_y \) describe magnetic translation symmetry up to the small quantity \( \phi_{\mu} = O(L^{-2}) \), and \( \mu \) and \( H T_{\mu}^{-1} T_{\mu} \) are unitary equivalent with the same spectra. In the following, we regard \( T_x \) as a twist operator and \( T_y \) as a near symmetry operator. Given the ground state which satisfies \( H(\phi_L; 0, 0) |\Psi_0\rangle = E_0 |\Psi_0\rangle \) for the total flux \( \phi_0 = 2\pi \), the variational states are defined by \( \ket{\Psi_{0k}} = \text{Tr}_y^k |\Psi_0\rangle \) with \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then, it follows from Eq. (7) that \( E_{01} = \langle \Psi_{01} | H(\phi_L; 0, 0) |\Psi_{01}\rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | H(\phi_L; \phi_x, 0) |\Psi_0\rangle \) is evaluated as

\[
E_{01} = E_0 + \phi_x h_1 + \phi_y^2 h_2 + \cdots, \tag{8}
\]

where we have Taylor expanded \( H(\phi_L; \phi_x, 0) \) with respect to \( \phi_x = O(L^{-2}) \) and \( h_1 = \langle \Psi_0 | \partial_{\phi_x} H(\phi_L; 0, 0) |\Psi_0\rangle \). Clearly, the second correction term behaves as \( \phi_y^2 h_2 = O(L^{-4}) \times O(L^2) = O(L^{-2}) \) in two dimensions. The first correction term \( \phi_x h_1 \) is odd in \( \phi_x \) and its sign can be flipped by considering another variational state \( T_x^{-1} |\Psi_0\rangle \) in addition to \( T_y |\Psi_0\rangle \). Since any variational energy for \( H(\phi_L; 0, 0) \) must be greater than or equal to \( E_0 \), the first correction term should be \( \phi_x h_1 = O(L^{-2}) \) or equivalently \( h_1 = O(1) \), which is a variant of Bloch’s theorem for the persistent current. The higher order corrections are even smaller, and we end up with \( E_{01} = E_0 + O(L^{-2}) \). One also obtains \( E_{0k} = E_0 + O(L^{-1}) \) in three dimensions.

Next, we discuss approximate orthogonality of these states based on Eq. (6) which is now regarded as a near symmetry of \( H(\phi_L; 0, 0) \). We first consider a case where the ground state is uniquely gapped and later move on to a multiply degenerate case. Following the previous study [7], we introduce a unitary evolution operator \( F_y \) which adiabatically inserts a flux \( \Phi_y = \sum_{j} A^y_{ij} = L_y \phi_y \) through the non-contractible hole of the torus in \( y \)-direction. The unitary operator \( F_y |\Psi_0\rangle \) can be written as \( F_y |\Psi_0\rangle = 1 - i \int_0^\phi y Q(\Phi_y') d\Phi_y' + \cdots \), where \( Q(\Phi_y) \) is given by \( Q = i \sum_n \Phi_n P_n \) with the projection operator \( P_n(\Phi_y) = \langle \Psi_n | \Psi_n \rangle \) for the \( n \)-the eigenstate of \( H(\Phi_y) = H(\phi; 0, \Phi_y/L_y) \) [11, 12]. In this expression, the Hermitian operator \( Q \) is an \( O(1) \) operator whose operator norm is simply \( \| Q \| = O(1) \) and nearly independent of the system size, which implies \( F_y = 1 + O(\Phi_y) = 1 + O(L^{-1}) \). Since \( |\Psi_n(\Phi_y)\rangle = F_y |\Psi_n(0)\rangle \) [11, 12, Eq. (6)] leads to \( H(0) \cdot T_x F_x |\Psi_0(0)\rangle = E_0 |\Psi_0\rangle \cdot T_x F_y |\Psi_0(0)\rangle \), where \( E_0 |\Psi_0\rangle \) is the ground state energy with the flux, \( H(\Phi_y) |\Psi_0(\Phi_y)\rangle = E_0 |\Psi_0(\Phi_y)\rangle \) for all fluxes in \( [0, \Phi_y] \). When the spectrum of \( H(0) \) has a gap \( \Delta = O(L^0) = O(1) \) above the unique ground state, the gap does not close for a flux \( F_y \) in \( [0, \Phi_y] \) essentially because the inserted flux \( F_y = O(L^{-1}) \) is vanishingly small [13], which implies that \( E_0(0 \leq F_y \leq \Phi_y) \) stays at the lowest energy. Because the spectra of \( H(0) \) and \( H(\Phi_y) \) are unitary equivalent, this means \( E_0(0) = E_0(\Phi_y) \) and hence \( |\Psi_0(\Phi_y)\rangle \) is an eigenstate of the combined unitary operator \( T_x F_y \). Therefore, with use of the commutation relation Eq. (3), \( \langle \Psi_0(0)| \Psi_{01}(0) \rangle = e^{i\phi_y L_y} \langle \Psi_0(0)| \sum_{n=0}^{L^d - 1} T_y^\phi |\Psi_0(0)\rangle \) and \( + O(L^{-1}) \), we obtain in two dimensions

\[
\langle \Psi_0 |\Psi_{01}\rangle = e^{i2\pi \rho} \langle \Psi_0 |\Psi_{01}\rangle + O(L^{-1}). \tag{9}
\]

To be consistent with the presumed unique gapped ground state, \( \rho \) must be an integer. The contraposition corresponds to a part of the LSM theorem. In three dimensions, the corresponding factor is \( e^{i2\pi \rho} \), which also requires an integer \( \rho \) for suitably chosen \( L_z \) similarly to the previous study [7].

The above discussions can be extended to a gapped system with general degeneracy \( D \), from which we can conclude \( D \geq q \) for \( \rho = p/q \). A fractionally filled system is either gapless or gapped with \( D > 1 \) as shown above, and here we consider the latter case with a gap \( \Delta = O(1) \) from the \( D \)-dimensional ground state sector to excited states for \( H(\phi_L; 0, 0) \). The ground state sector consists of the states \( \{ |\Psi_n\rangle \}_{n=0}^{D-1} \) whose energies agree in the thermodynamic limit and we neglect possible vanishingly small energy differences for brevity. Then we construct variational states \( |\Psi_{nk}\rangle = (T_y^k |\Psi_n\rangle \) for \( k = 1, \cdots, K \) and evaluate their energy expectation values \( E_{nk} \). We can just repeat the same argument as above.
and obtain $E_{nk} = E_0 + O(L^{d-4})$ in $d$-dimensions. To discuss their (near) orthogonality, we introduce a vector $I = (I_0, \cdots, I_{p-1})^T$ with $I_n = \langle \Psi_n | \Psi_{nk} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{nk} | T^k_y | \Psi_n \rangle$. After the adiabatic time evolution where the gap remains non-zero similarly to the case with $D = 1$, a state $|\Psi_n\rangle$ may change to another state $\sum_{n=0}^{D-1} P_{mn} |\Psi_n\rangle$ in the ground state sector with a unitary matrix $P$. So, we change the basis states such that the unitary matrix $P$ is diagonalized, and rewrite them as $|\Psi_n\rangle$ for the simplicity. In this basis, one obtains $I = e^{ix_k} I$ in two dimensions similarly to Eq. (9). Although we cannot discuss orthogonality for $\langle \Psi_{nk} | \Psi_{n'k} \rangle$ with $n \neq n'$, a necessary condition for consistency is derived from this equation. It does not lead to any constraint when the number of the variational states is $K < D$, while constraints arise for $K \geq D$ and particularly $K = D$ suggests that $1 \leq 3k_0 \leq K$ s.t. $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ since the number of linearly independent variational states must be smaller than or equal to $D$. This implies $D \geq q$.

In the next step, we extend this statement to $\phi \to 0$ in order to complete the proof of the theorem.

**Step (ii) stability of many-body eigenvalues to magnetic fields.**—Here, we discuss stability of eigenvalues $E_n(\Phi = 0)$ of $H(\phi = 0)$ to a small magnetic field in $z$-direction, and show that $\delta E_n(\Phi) = \left[E_n(\Phi) - E_n(0)\right] \to 0$ as $L_x, L_y \to \infty$. One of the difficulties in discussing such stability is that the uniform magnetic field $\phi_L$ is not a small perturbation in the usual sense, and $|e^{iA_{L,k}} - 1|$ is not vanishing for a large number of bonds, which prevents us from Taylor expanding the Hamiltonian only up to a small finite order in $\phi_L$. Although eigenvalues are generally analytic with respect to a continuum parameter for any finite size Hamiltonian except when they are singular [14], it is non-trivial whether or not $\phi_L = 2\pi/L^2$ can be simply regarded as the $\phi \to 0$ limit, since the corresponding total flux $\Phi_0 = 2\pi$ is $O(1)$, which could potentially lead to $\delta E_n(\Phi_0) = O(1)$ especially in a long-range interacting system.

On the other hand, one may naively expect the stability of the many-body eigenvalues, $\delta E_n(\Phi = 0), 0$, as has been assumed in numerical calculations [14]. To explicitly demonstrate it, we use the stability of single-particle eigenvalues $\varepsilon_{n}(\phi = 0)$ to a magnetic field, which was mathematically proved in the literature [15][17]. To use this result, we have to appropriately modify our Hamiltonian by introducing an on-site potential term $H_u = \sum_i U_i n_i$ which can lift the degeneracy of the single-particle eigenvalues. Here, we choose $U_j$ to be a fixed random potential in $[-u, u]$ for a given system size so that the degeneracy of $\varepsilon_n(\phi = 0)$ due to spatial (rotation, inversion, and translation) symmetries is lifted. Besides, the corresponding single-particle eigenfunctions will be non-zero anywhere in the system, because of the random potential which suppresses accidental zeros. Then, one has $\delta \varepsilon_n(\phi_L) = \left[\varepsilon_n(\phi_L) - \varepsilon_n(0)\right] \sim \phi_L^2 = O(L^{-4})$ possibly with a $u$-dependent coefficient [15][17].

This immediately leads to eigenvalue stability of the non-interacting Hamiltonian $H_u(\phi_L, u) = H(\phi_L) + H_v(u)$, namely, $\delta E_n(\Phi_0, u, V = 0) \sim \phi_L^2 N = O(L^{-4})$ in $d$-dimensions. We keep $u > 0$ to show $\delta E_n(\Phi_0, u) \to 0$ in the thermodynamic limit, and then turn off the random potential, $u \to 0$ [28], which eventually implies $\delta E_n \to 0$ in absence of the artificial potential $U_j$. We can also see that corresponding changes in eigenvectors of $H_u(\phi_L, u)$ is vanishingly small; a direct calculation gives $||\delta \Psi_n(\phi_L, u) ||^2 = ||\Psi_n(\phi_L, u) - \Psi_n(0, u)||^2 \approx \sum_{n'=0}^N |\alpha_{nn'}|^2 = O(\phi_L^4 N) = O(L^{-4})$, where $\alpha_{nn'} = \langle \psi_n | \delta \psi_{n'} \rangle$ and $\delta \psi_n$ is the change of the single-particle eigenfunction $\psi_n$. Therefore, the eigenvalue stability implies that the resolvent $R_{U}(\phi_L, u; E) = [H_u(\phi_L, u) - E]^{-1}$ approaches $R_{U}(0, 0; E)$ in the above mentioned limit.

Now we consider eigenvalue stability of the interacting Hamiltonian $H(\phi_L, u) = H_u(\phi_L, u) + H_v$. We can see that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $H(\phi_L, u)$ approach those at $\phi = 0$ in a similar manner. This follows from the resolvent equation

$$[H_u(\phi_L, u) + H_v - E]^{-1} = [H_U - E]^{-1}[1 + H_v[H_u - E]^{-1}]^{-1}, \tag{10}$$

where $H_u(\phi_L, u) - E^{-1} \to H_U(0, u) - E^{-1}$ as already discussed. Therefore, we conclude $[H_u(\phi_L, u) + H_v - E]^{-1} \to [H_U(0, u) + H_v]^{-1}$, which means stability of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $H(\phi_L, u) = H_u(\phi_L, u) + H_v$ to the small magnetic field $\phi_L$ at $u \neq 0$. Finally, we take the limit $u \to 0$ and conclude that the eigenvalues of the clean many-body Hamiltonian for the sufficiently large system approach $E_n(\Phi = 0)$. Since the eigenvectors of $H(\phi_L)$ also converge to those of $H(0)$, the (near) orthogonality Eq. (9) is kept down to $\phi = 0$. This completes our proof of the LSM theorem.

In summary, with use of the approximate magnetic translation symmetry, we have extended the LSM theorem to higher dimensional long-range interacting systems and derived the lower bound, $\text{GSD} \geq q$, for gapped ground state degeneracy at a fractional filling $\rho = p/q$.
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