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#### Abstract

We consider the two dimensional surface quasi-geostrophic equations with super-critical dissipation. For large initial data in critical Sobolev and Besov spaces, we prove optimal Gevrey regularity with the same decay exponent as the linear part. This settles several open problems in 2 , 3 .


## 1. Introduction

We consider the following two-dimensional dissipative surface quasi-geostrophic equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \theta+(u \cdot \nabla) \theta+\nu D^{\gamma} \theta=0, \quad(t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{2} ;  \tag{1.1}\\
u=R^{\perp} \theta=\left(-R_{2} \theta, R_{1} \theta\right), \quad R_{j}=D^{-1} \partial_{j} \\
\theta(0, x)=\theta_{0}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\nu \geq 0,0<\gamma \leq 2, D=(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, D^{\gamma}=(-\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}$, and more generally the fractional operator $D^{s}=(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}$ corresponds to the Fourier multiplier $|\xi|^{s}$, i.e. $\widehat{D^{s} f}(\xi)=|\xi|^{s} \widehat{f}(\xi)$ whenever it is suitably defined under certain regularity assumptions on $f$. The scalar-valued unknown $\theta$ is the potential temperature, and $u=D^{-1} \nabla^{\perp} \theta$ corresponds to the velocity field of a fluid which is incompressible. One can write $u=\left(-R_{2} \theta, R_{1} \theta\right)$ where $R_{j}$ is the $j^{\text {th }}$ Riesz transform in 2D. The dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation (1.1) can be derived from general quasi-geostrophic equations in the special case of constant potential vorticity and buoyancy frequency [19]. It models the evolution of the potential temperature $\theta$ of a geostrophic fluid with velocity $u$ on the boundary of a rapidly rotating half space. As such it is often termed surface quasi-geostrophic equations in the literature. If $\theta$ is a smooth solution to (1.1), then it obeys the $L^{p}$-maximum principle, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\theta(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}, \quad t \geq 0, \forall 1 \leq p \leq \infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar results hold when the domain $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is replaced by the periodic torus $\mathbb{T}^{2}$. Moreover, if $\theta_{0}$ is smooth and in $\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, then one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\theta(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}, \quad t>0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

More precisely, for the inviscid case $\nu=0$ one has conservation and for the dissipative case $\nu>0$ one has dissipation of the $\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$-Hamiltonian. Indeed for $\nu=0$ by using the identity (below $P_{<J}$ is a smooth frequency projection to $\left\{|\xi| \leq\right.$ constant $\left.\cdot 2^{J}\right\}$ )

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|D^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{<J} \theta\right\|_{2}^{2}=-\int P_{<J}\left(\theta \mathcal{R}^{\perp} \theta\right) \cdot P_{<J} \mathcal{R} \theta d x
$$

one can prove the conservation of $\left\|D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta\right\|_{2}^{2}$ under the assumption $\theta \in L_{t, x}^{3}$. The two fundamental conservation laws (1.2) and (1.3) play important roles in the wellposedness theory for both weak and strong solutions. In [24] Resnick proved the global existence of a weak solution for $0<\gamma \leq 2$ in $L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}$ for any initial data $\theta_{0} \in L_{x}^{2}$. In 18 Marchand proved the existence of a global weak solution in $L_{t}^{\infty} H_{x}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\theta_{0} \in \dot{H}_{x}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ or $L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{p}$ for $\theta_{0} \in L_{x}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), p \geq \frac{4}{3}$, when $\nu>0$ and $0<\gamma \leq 2$. It should be pointed out that in Marchand's result, the inviscid case $\nu=0$ requires $p>4 / 3$ since the embedding $L^{\frac{4}{3}} \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is not compact. On the other hand for the diffusive case one has extra $L_{t}^{2} \dot{H}^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}$ conservation by construction. In recent [8, non-uniqueness of stationary weak solutions were proved for $\nu \geq 0$ and $\gamma<\frac{3}{2}$. In somewhat positive direction, uniqueness of surface quasigeostrophic patches for the non-dissipative case $\nu=0$ with moving boundary satisfying the arc-chord condition was obtained in 6.

The purpose of this work is to establish optimal Gevrey regularity in the supercritical regime $0<\gamma \leq 1$. We begin by explaining the meaning of super-criticality. For $\nu>0$, the equation (1.1) admits a certain scaling
invariance, namely: if $\theta$ is a solution, then for $\lambda>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\lambda}(t, x)=\lambda^{1-\gamma} \theta\left(\lambda^{\gamma} t, \lambda x\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also a solution. As such the critical space for (1.1) is $\dot{H}^{2-\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2$. In terms of the $L^{\infty}$ conservation law, (1.1) is $L^{\infty}$-subcritical for $\gamma>1, L^{\infty}$-critical for $\gamma=1$ and $L^{\infty}$-supercritical for $0<\gamma<1$. Whilst the wellposedness theory for (1.1) is relatively complete for the subcritical and critical regime $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2([1,9,12)$, there are very few results in the supercritical regime $0<\gamma<1$ ( $14,16,23,11$ ). In this connection we mention three representative works:
(1) The pioneering work of H. Miura [17] which establishes for the first time the large data local wellposedness in the critical space $H^{2-\gamma}$.
(2) The remarkable work of H. Dong 9] which via a new set of commutator estimates establishes optimal polynomial in time smoothing estimates for critical and supercritical quasi-geostrophic equations.
(3) The deep work of Biswas, Martinez and Silva [3] which establishes short-time Gevrey regularity with an exponent strictly less than $\gamma$, namely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0<t<T}\left\|e^{\lambda t^{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}} D^{\alpha}} \theta(t, \cdot)\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, q}}^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\gamma} \lesssim\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, q}^{1+\frac{2}{p}}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 \leq p<\infty, 1 \leq q<\infty$ and $\alpha<\gamma$.
Inspired by these preceding works, we develop in this paper an optimal local regularity theory for the supercritical quasi-geostrophic equation. Set $\nu=1$ in (1.1). If we completely drop the nonlinear term and keep only the linear dissipation term, then the linear solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\text {linear }}(t, x)=\left(e^{-t D^{\gamma}} \theta_{0}\right)(t, x) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formally speaking, one has the identity $e^{t D^{\gamma}}\left(\theta_{\text {linear }}(t, \cdot)\right)=\theta_{0}$ for any $t>0$. This shows that the best smoothing estimate one can hope for is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{t D^{\gamma}}\left(\theta_{\text {linear }}(t, \cdot)\right)\right\|_{X} \lesssim\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{X} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is the working Banach space. The purpose of this work, rough speaking, is to show that for the nonlinear local solution to (1.1) (say taking $\nu=1$ for simplicity of notation), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{\left(1-\epsilon_{0}\right) t D^{\gamma}}(\theta(t, \cdot))\right\|_{X} \lesssim \epsilon_{0}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{X} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}>0$ can be taken any small number, and $X$ can be a Sobolev or Besov space. In this sense this is the best possible regularity estimate for this and similar problems.

We now state in more detail the main results. To elucidate the main idea we first showcase the result on the prototypical $L^{2}$-type critical $H^{2-\gamma}$ space. The following offers a substantial improvement of Miura [17] and Dong [9]. To keep the paper self-contained, we give a bare-hand harmonic-analysis-free proof. The framework we develop here can probably be applied to many other problems.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\nu=1,0<\gamma<1$ and $\theta_{0} \in H^{2-\gamma}$. For any $0<\epsilon_{0}<1$, there exists $T=T\left(\gamma, \theta_{0}, \epsilon_{0}\right)>0$ and a unique solution $\theta \in C_{t}^{0} H^{2-\gamma} \cap C_{t}^{1} H^{1-\gamma} \cap L_{t}^{2} H^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ to (1.1) such that $f(t, \cdot)=e^{\epsilon_{0} t D^{\gamma}} \theta(t, \cdot) \in$ $C_{t}^{0} H^{2-\gamma} \cap L_{t}^{2} H^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and

$$
\sup _{0<t<T}\|f(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{2-\gamma}}+\int_{0}^{T}\|f(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}}}^{2} d t \leq C\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{H^{2-\gamma}}
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant depending only on $\left(\gamma, \epsilon_{0}\right)$.
Our next result is devoted to the Besov case. In particular, we resolve the problem left open in 3], namely one can push to the optimal threshold $\alpha=\gamma$. Moreover we cover the whole regime $1 \leq p<\infty$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\nu=1,0<\gamma<1,1 \leq p<\infty$ and $1 \leq q<\infty$. Assume the initial data $\theta_{0} \in B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. There exists $T=T\left(\gamma, \theta_{0}, p, q\right)>0$ and a unique solution $\theta \in C_{t}^{0}\left([0, T], B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}\right)$ to (1.1) such that $f(t, \cdot)=$ $e^{\frac{1}{2} t D^{\gamma}} \theta(t, \cdot) \in C_{t}^{0}\left([0, T], B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}\right)$ and

$$
\sup _{0<t<T}\left\|e^{\frac{1}{2} t D^{\gamma}} \theta(t, \cdot)\right\|_{B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}} \leq C\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}},
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant depending on $(\gamma, p, q)$.

There are some promising evidences that a set of nontrivial multiplier estimates can be generalized from our work. This will be explored elsewhere. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some preliminary materials along with the needed proofs. In Section 3 we give the nonlinear estimates for the $H^{2-\gamma}$ case. In Section 4 we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 5 we give the proof of Theorem 1.2,

## 2. Notation and preliminaries

In this section we introduce some basic notation used in this paper and collect several useful lemmas. We define the sign function $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ as:

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \quad x>0 \\
-1, \quad x<0 \\
0, \quad x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

For any two quantities $X$ and $Y$, we denote $X \lesssim Y$ if $X \leq C Y$ for some constant $C>0$. The dependence of the constant $C$ on other parameters or constants are usually clear from the context and we will often suppress this dependence. We denote $X \lesssim Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{N} Y$ if the implied constant depends on the quantities $Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{N}$. We denote $X \sim Y$ if $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$.

For any quantity $X$, we will denote by $X+$ the quantity $X+\epsilon$ for some sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$. The smallness of such $\epsilon$ is usually clear from the context. The notation $X-$ is similarly defined. This notation is very convenient for various exponents in interpolation inequalities. For example instead of writing

$$
\|f g\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R})}}\|g\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R})}
$$

we shall write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f g\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq\|f\|_{L^{2-}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{L^{2+(\mathbb{R})}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any two quantities $X$ and $Y$, we shall denote $X \ll Y$ if $X \leq c Y$ for some sufficiently small constant $c$. The smallness of the constant $c$ (and its dependence on other parameters) is usually clear from the context. The notation $X \gg Y$ is similarly defined. Note that our use of $\ll$ and $\gg$ here is different from the usual Vinogradov notation in number theory or asymptotic analysis.

We shall adopt the following notation for Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{F} f)(\xi)=\hat{f}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x \\
& \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} g\right)(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(\xi) e^{i x \cdot \xi} d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar notation will be adopted for the Fourier transform of tempered distributions. For Schwartz $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the usual Plancherel takes the form:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) g(x) d x=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(-\xi) d \xi
$$

We shall denote for $s>0$ the fractional Laplacian $D^{s}=(-\Delta)^{s / 2}=|\nabla|^{s}$ as the operator corresponding to the symbol $|\xi|^{s}$. For any $0 \leq r \in \mathbb{R}$, the Sobolev norm $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{r}}$ is then defined as

$$
\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{r}}=\left\|D^{r} f\right\|_{2}=\left\|(-\Delta)^{r / 2} f\right\|_{2}
$$

We will need to use the Littlewood-Paley (LP) frequency projection operators. To fix the notation, let $\phi_{0} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and satisfy

$$
0 \leq \phi_{0} \leq 1, \quad \phi_{0}(\xi)=1 \text { for }|\xi| \leq 1, \quad \phi_{0}(\xi)=0 \text { for }|\xi| \geq 7 / 6
$$

Let $\phi(\xi):=\phi_{0}(\xi)-\phi_{0}(2 \xi)$ which is supported in $\frac{1}{2} \leq|\xi| \leq \frac{7}{6}$. For any $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\widehat{P_{\leq j} f}(\xi)=\phi_{0}\left(2^{-j} \xi\right) \hat{f}(\xi), & P_{>j} f=f-P_{\leq j} f \\
\widehat{P_{j} f}(\xi)=\phi\left(2^{-j} \xi\right) \hat{f}(\xi), & \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
\end{array}
$$

Sometimes for simplicity we write $f_{j}=P_{j} f, f_{\leq j}=P_{\leq j} f$, and $f_{[a, b]}=\sum_{a \leq j \leq b} f_{j}$. Note that by using the support property of $\phi$, we have $P_{j} P_{j^{\prime}}=0$ whenever $\left|j-j^{\prime}\right|>1$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ with $\lim _{j \rightarrow-\infty} P_{\leq j} f=0$, one has the identity

$$
f=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{j}, \quad\left(\text { in } \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and for general tempered distributions the convergence (for low frequencies) should be modulo polynomials.
The Bony paraproduct for a pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ take the form

$$
f g=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{i} g_{[i-1, i+1]}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{i} g_{\leq i-2}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{i} f_{\leq i-2} .
$$

For $s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, the Besov norm $\|\cdot\|_{B_{p, q}^{s}}$ is given by

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p, q}^{s}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|P_{\leq 0} f\right\|_{p}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{s q k}\left\|P_{k} f\right\|_{p}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}, \quad q<\infty ; \\
\left\|P_{\leq 0} f\right\|_{p}+\sup _{k \geq 1} 2^{s k}\left\|P_{k} f\right\|_{p}, \quad q=\infty .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Besov space $B_{p, q}^{s}$ is then simply

$$
B_{p, q}^{s}=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime},\|f\|_{B_{p, q}^{s}}<\infty\right\}
$$

Note that Schwartz functions are dense in $B_{p, q}^{s}$ when $1 \leq p, q<\infty$.
Lemma 2.1 (Refined heat flow estimate and Bernstein, case $0<\gamma<2$ ). Let the dimension $n \geq 1$. Let $0<\gamma<2$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Then for any $f \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}} P_{j} f\right\|_{q} \leq e^{-c_{1} t 2^{j \gamma}}\left\|P_{j} f\right\|_{q}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}>0$ is a constant depending only on ( $\gamma, n$ ). For $0<\gamma<2,1 \leq q<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla|^{\gamma} P_{j} f\right)\left|P_{j} f\right|^{q-2} P_{j} f d x \geq c_{2} 2^{j \gamma}\left\|P_{j} f\right\|_{q}^{q}, \quad \text { if } 1<q<\infty  \tag{2.3}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla|^{\gamma} P_{j} f\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(P_{j} f\right) d x \geq c_{2} 2^{j \gamma}\left\|P_{j} f\right\|_{1}, \quad \text { if } q=1, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{2}>0$ depends only on $(\gamma, n)$.
The $q=\infty$ formulation of (2.3) is as follows. Let $0<\gamma<2$. For any $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, if $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\left|\left(P_{j} f\right)\left(x_{0}\right)\right|=\left\|P_{j} f\right\|_{\infty}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sgn}\left(P_{j} f\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\Lambda^{\gamma} P_{j} f\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \geq c_{3} 2^{j \gamma}\left\|P_{j} f\right\|_{\infty} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{3}>0$ depends only on $(\gamma, n)$.
Remark. For $1<q<\infty$ the first two inequalities also hold for $\gamma=2$, one can see Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.7 below. On the other hand, the inequality (2.4) does not hold for $\gamma=2$. One can construct a counterexample in dimension $n=1$ as follows. Take $g(x)=\frac{1}{4}(3 \sin x-\sin 3 x)=(\sin x)^{3}$ which only has zeros of third order. Take $h(x)$ with $\hat{h}$ compactly supported in $|\xi| \ll 1$ and $h(x)>0$ for all $x$. Set

$$
f(x)=g(x) h(x)
$$

which obviously has frequency localized to $|\xi| \sim 1$ and have same zeros as $g(x)$. Easy to check that $\|f\|_{1} \sim 1$ but

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{\prime \prime}(x) \operatorname{sgn}(f(x)) d x=0
$$

Remark 2.2. For $\gamma>0$ sufficiently small, one can give a direct proof for $1 \leq q<\infty$ as follows. WLOG consider $g=P_{1} f$ with $\|g\|_{q}=1$, and let

$$
I(\gamma)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla|^{\gamma} g\right)|g|^{q-2} g d x
$$

One can then obtain

$$
I(\gamma)-I(0)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{\gamma} T_{s} g d s\right)|g|^{q-2} g d x, \quad \widehat{T_{s} g}(\xi)=s\left(|\xi|^{s} \log |\xi|\right) \hat{g}(\xi) .
$$

Since $g$ has Fourier support localized in $\{|\xi| \sim 1\}$, one can obtain uniformly in $0<s \leq 1$,

$$
\left\|T_{s} g\right\|_{q} \lesssim n\|g\|_{q}=1
$$

Note that $I(0)=1$. Thus for $\gamma<\gamma_{0}(n)$ sufficiently small one must have $\frac{1}{2} \leq I(\gamma) \leq \frac{3}{2}$.
Remark. The inequality (2.5) was obtained by Wang-Zhang [20] by an elegant contradiction argument under the assumption that $f \in C_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (i.e. vanishing at infinity) and $f$ is frequency localized to a dyadic annulus. Here we only assume $f \in L^{\infty}$ and is frequency localized. This will naturally include periodic functions and similar ones as special cases. Moreover we provide two different proofs. The second proof is self-contained and seems quite short.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. For the first inequality and (2.3), see [15] for a proof using an idea of perturbation of the Lévy semigroup. Since the constant $c_{2}>0$ depends only on $(\gamma, n)$, the inequality (2.4) can be obtained from (2.3) by taking the limit $q \rightarrow 1$. (Note that since $f_{j}=P_{j} f \in L^{1}$ and has compact Fourier support, $f_{j}$ can be extended to be an entire function on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and its zeros must be isolated.)

Finally for (2.5) we give two proofs. WLOG we can assume $j=1$ and write $f=P_{1} f$. By using translation we may also assume $x_{0}=0$. WLOG assume $\|f\|_{\infty}=f\left(x_{0}\right)=1$.

The first proof is to use (2.2) which yields

$$
\left(e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}} f\right)(0) \leq e^{-c t}
$$

where $c>0$ depends only on $(\gamma, n)$. Then since $f=P_{1} f$ is smooth and

$$
f-e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}} f=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-s|\nabla|^{\gamma}}|\nabla|^{\gamma} f d s=t|\nabla|^{\gamma} f-\int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s} e^{-\tau|\nabla|^{\gamma}}|\nabla|^{2 \gamma} f d \tau\right) d s
$$

One can then divide by $t \rightarrow 0$ and obtain

$$
\left(|\nabla|^{\gamma} f\right)(0) \geq c
$$

The second proof is more direct. We note that $\int \psi(y) d y=0$ where $\psi$ corresponds to the projection operator $P_{1}$. Since $1=\left(P_{1} f\right)(0)$, we obtain

$$
1=\int \psi(y)(f(y)-1) d y \leq \sup _{y \neq 0}\left(|\psi(y)| \cdot|y|^{n+\gamma}\right) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1-f(y)}{|y|^{n+\gamma}} d y \lesssim \gamma, n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1-f(y)}{|y|^{n+\gamma}} d y
$$

Thus

$$
\left(|\nabla|^{\gamma} f\right)(0) \gtrsim_{\gamma, n} 1
$$

In what follows we will give a different proof of (2.3) (and some stronger versions, see Proposition 2.5 and Proposition (2.7)) and some equivalent characterization. For the sake of understanding (and keeping track of constants) we provide some details.

Lemma 2.3. Let $0<s<1$. Then for any $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\hat{g}$ being compactly supported, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} C_{2 s, n} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|g(x)-g(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y=\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

where $C_{2 s, n}$ is a constant corresponding to the fractional Laplaican $|\nabla|^{2 s}$ having the asymptotics $C_{2 s, n} \sim_{n} s(1-s)$ for $0<s<1$. As a result, if $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2}<\infty$, then

$$
s(1-s) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|g(x)-g(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y \sim_{n}\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Similarly if $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\int \frac{|g(x)-g(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y<\infty$, then

$$
\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2}^{2} \sim_{n} s(1-s) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|g(x)-g(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla|^{2 s} g\right)(x) g(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} C_{2 s, n} \int_{|y-x|>\epsilon} \frac{g(x)-g(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d y\right) g(x) d x
$$

where $C_{2 s, n} \sim_{n} s(1-s)$. Now for each $0<\epsilon<1$, it is easy to check that (for the case $\frac{1}{2} \leq s<1$ one needs to make use of the regularised quantity $g(x)-g(y)+\nabla g(x) \cdot(y-x))$

$$
\left|\int_{|y-x|>\epsilon} \frac{g(x)-g(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d y\right| \lesssim|g(x)|+|\mathcal{M} g(x)|+|\mathcal{M}(\partial g)(x)|+\left|\mathcal{M}\left(\partial^{2} g\right)(x)\right|
$$

where $\mathcal{M} g$ is the usual maximal function. By Lebesgue Dominated Convergence, we then obtain

$$
\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2}^{2}=C_{2 s, n} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{|y-x|>\epsilon} \frac{g(x)-g(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d y g(x) d x
$$

Now note that for each $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{|y-x|>\epsilon} \frac{|g(x)-g(y)|}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d y|g(x)| d x \lesssim_{\epsilon, n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(|g(x)|+|\mathcal{M} g(x)|)|g(x)| d x<\infty
$$

Therefore by using Fubini, symmetrising in $x$ and $y$ and Lebesgue Monotone Convergence, we obtain

$$
\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} C_{2 s, n} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-y|>\epsilon} \frac{|g(x)-g(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y=\frac{1}{2} C_{2 s, n} \int \frac{|g(x)-g(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y
$$

Now if $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2}<\infty$, then by Fatou's Lemma, we get

$$
s(1-s) \int \frac{|g(x)-g(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y \leq s(1-s) \cdot \liminf _{J \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{\left|P_{\leq J} g(x)-P_{\leq J} g(y)\right|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y \lesssim_{n} s(1-s)\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

On the other hand, note that

$$
\left|P_{\leq J} g(x)-P_{\leq J} g(y)\right| \leq \int|g(x-z)-g(y-z)| 2^{n J}\left|\phi\left(2^{J} z\right)\right| d z \lesssim_{n}\left(\int|g(x-z)-g(y-z)|^{2} 2^{n J}\left|\phi\left(2^{J} z\right)\right| d z\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $\phi \in L^{1}$ is a smooth function used in the kernel $P_{\leq J}$. The desired equivalence then easily follows.
Lemma 2.4. Let $1<q<\infty$. Then for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a-b)\left(|a|^{q-2} a-|b|^{q-2} b\right) \sim_{q}\left(|a|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} a-|b|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} b\right)^{2} \\
& (a-b)\left(|a|^{q-2} a-|b|^{q-2} b\right) \geq \frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}}\left(|a|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} a-|b|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} b\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The first inequality is easy to check. To prove the second inequality, it suffices to show for any $0<x<1$,

$$
\frac{1+x^{q}-x-x^{q-1}}{\left(1-x^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)^{2}} \geq \frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}}=\frac{q^{2}-(q-2)^{2}}{q^{2}}
$$

Set $t=x^{\frac{q}{2}} \in(0,1)$. The inequality is obvious for $q=2$. If $2<q<\infty$, then we need to show

$$
\frac{t^{\frac{1}{q}}-t^{1-\frac{1}{q}}}{1-t}<\frac{q-2}{q}
$$

If $1<q<2$, then we need

$$
\frac{t^{1-\frac{1}{q}}-t^{\frac{1}{q}}}{1-t}<\frac{2-q}{q}
$$

Set $\eta=\min \left\{\frac{1}{q}, 1-\frac{1}{q}\right\} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. It then suffices for us to show the inequality

$$
f(\eta)=1-2 \eta-\frac{t^{\eta}-t^{1-\eta}}{1-t} \geq 0
$$

Note that $f(0)=f(1 / 2)=0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=-\left(t^{\eta}-t^{1-\eta}\right)(\log t)^{2} /(1-t)<0$. Thus the desired inequality follows.

Proposition 2.5. Let $1<q<\infty$ and $0<\gamma \leq 2$. Then for any $f \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla|^{\gamma} P_{j} f\right)\left|P_{j} f\right|^{q-2} P_{j} f d x \sim_{q}\left\||\nabla|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\left(\left|P_{j} f\right|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} P_{j} f\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla|^{\gamma} P_{j} f\right)\left|P_{j} f\right|^{q-2} P_{j} f d x \geq \frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}}\left\||\nabla|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\left(\left|P_{j} f\right|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} P_{j} f\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}}\left\||\nabla|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\left(\left|P_{j} f\right|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently if $\left\|P_{j} f\right\|_{q}=1$, then for any $0<s \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||\nabla|^{s}\left(\left|P_{j} f\right|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} P_{j} f\right)\right\|_{2} \sim_{q, n} 2^{j s} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also for any $0<s \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||\nabla|^{s}\left(\left|P_{j} f\right|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2} \sim_{q, n} 2^{j s} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. In [13, by using a strong nonlocal pointwise inequality (see also Córdoba-Córdoba[5]), Ju Proved an inequality of the form: if $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2,2 \leq q<\infty, \theta,|\nabla|^{\gamma} \theta \in L^{q}$, then

$$
\int\left(|\nabla|^{\gamma} \theta\right)|\theta|^{q-2} \theta d x \geq \frac{2}{q}\left\||\nabla|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\left(|\theta|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

A close inspection of our proof below shows that the inequality (2.6) also works with $P_{j} f$ replaced by $\theta$. Note that the present form works for any $1<q<\infty$. Furthermore in the regime $q>2$, we have $\frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}}>\frac{2}{q}$ and hence the constant here is slightly sharper.
Remark. The inequality (2.7) was already obtained by Chamorro and P. Lemarié-Rieusset in 7. Remarkably modulo a $q$-dependent constant it is equivalent to the corresponding inequality for the more localized quantity $\int\left(|\nabla|^{\gamma} P_{j} f\right)\left|P_{j} f\right|^{q-2} P_{j} f d x$. The inequality (2.8) for $q>2$ was obtained by Chen, Miao and Zhang [4] by using Danchin's inequality $\left\|\nabla\left(\left|P_{1} f\right|^{q / 2}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \sim_{q, n}\left\|P_{1} f\right\|_{q}^{q}$ together with a fractional Chain rule in Besov spaces. The key idea in [4] is to show $\left\|\nabla P_{\left[N_{0}, N_{1}\right]}\left(\left|P_{1} f\right|^{q / 2}\right)\right\|_{2} \gtrsim 1$ and in order to control the high frequency piece one needs the assumption $q>2$ (so as to use $|\nabla|^{1+\epsilon_{0}}$-derivative for $\epsilon_{0}>0$ sufficiently small). Our approach here is different: namely we will not use Danchin's inequality and prove directly $\left\||\nabla|^{s_{0}}\left(\left|P_{1} f\right|^{q / 2}\right)\right\|_{2} \gtrsim 1$ for some $s_{0}$ sufficiently small (depending on $(q, n)$ ). Together with some further interpolation argument we are able to settle the full range $1<q<\infty$. One should note that in terms of lower bound the inequality (2.8) is stronger than (2.7).
Proof. WLOG we can assume $j=1$ and for simplicity write $P_{1} f$ as $f$. Assume first $0<\gamma<2$. Then for some constant $C_{\gamma, n} \sim_{n} \gamma(2-\gamma)$, we have (the rigorous justification of the computation below follows a smilar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\left(|\nabla|^{\gamma} f\right)|f|^{q-2} f d x & =C_{\gamma, n} \int\left(\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y-x|>\epsilon} \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{|x-y|^{n+\gamma}} d y\right)|f|^{q-2} f(x) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} C_{\gamma, n} \int \frac{(f(x)-f(y))\left(|f|^{q-2} f(x)-|f|^{q-2} f(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{n+\gamma}} d x d y \\
& \geq \frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} C_{\gamma, n} \int \frac{\left(|f|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} f(x)-|f|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} f(y)\right)^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+\gamma}} d x d y=\frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}}\left\||\nabla|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\left(|f|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} f\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last two steps we have used Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.3 respectively. One may then carefully take the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 2$ to get the result for $\gamma=2$ (when estimating $\left\||\nabla|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\left(|f|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} f\right)\right\|_{2}$, one needs to split into $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $|\xi|>1$, and use Lebesgue Dominated Convergence and Lebesgue Monotone Convergence respectively). By the simple inequality $\left||f|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} f(x)-|f|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} f(y)\right| \geq\left||f|^{\frac{q}{2}}(x)-|f|^{\frac{q}{2}}(y)\right|$, we also obtain $\left\||\nabla|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\left(|f|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} f\right)\right\|_{2} \geq$ $\left\||\nabla|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\left(|f|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2}$.

Next to show (2.7), we can use Remark 2.2 to obtain $\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2} \sim_{q, n} 1$ for any $0<s \leq s_{0}(n)$ and $g=|f|^{\frac{q}{2}-1} f$. Since $\|g\|_{2}=1$ and $\|\nabla g\|_{2} \lesssim_{q, n} 1$, a simple interpolation argument then yields $\left\||\nabla|^{s} g\right\|_{2} \sim_{q, n} 1$ uniformly for $0<s \leq 1$.

Finally to show (2.8), we first use the simple fact that $\|\nabla(|g|)\|_{2} \leq\|\nabla g\|_{2}$ to get

$$
\left\||\nabla|\left(|f|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2} \lesssim_{q} 1
$$

It then suffices for us to show $\left\||\nabla|^{s}\left(|f|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2} \gtrsim q, n 1$ for $0<s \leq s_{0}(q, n)$ sufficiently small. To this end we consider the quantity

$$
I(s)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla|^{s}(|f|)|f|^{q-1} d x
$$

For $0<s<1$ this is certainly well defined since $\left\||\nabla|^{s}(|f|)\right\|_{q} \lesssim\|f\|_{q}+\|\nabla(|f|)\|_{q} \lesssim 1$. To circumvent the problem of differentiating under the integral, one can further consider the regularized expression (later $N \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$
I_{N}(s)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla|^{s} P_{\leq N}(|f|)|f|^{q-1} d x
$$

Then

$$
I_{N}(s)-I_{N}(0)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{0}^{s}\left(T_{\tilde{s}} P_{\leq N}(|f|)\right) d \tilde{s}|f|^{q-1} d x, \quad \widehat{T_{\tilde{s}}}(\xi)=\tilde{s}|\xi|^{\tilde{s}} \log |\xi|
$$

Define $\widehat{T_{\tilde{s}}^{(1)}}(\xi)=\tilde{s}|\xi|^{\tilde{s}}(\log |\xi|) \cdot \chi_{|\xi|<1 / 10}$ and $T_{\tilde{s}}^{(2)}=T_{\tilde{s}}-T_{\tilde{s}}^{(1)}$. It is not difficult to check that uniformly in $0<\tilde{s} \leq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\sup _{\xi \neq 0} \max _{|\alpha| \leq[n / 2]}\left(|\xi|^{|\alpha|}\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\left(\widehat{T_{\tilde{s}}^{(1)}}(\xi)\right)\right|\right) \lesssim n 1 .
$$

Thus by Hörmander we get $\left\|T_{\tilde{s}}^{(1)} P_{\leq N}(|f|)\right\|_{q} \lesssim n, q\|f\|_{q}=1$. For $T_{\tilde{s}}^{(2)}$ one can use $\|\nabla f\|_{q} \lesssim 1$ to get an upper bound which is uniform in $0<\tilde{s} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore $\left\|T_{\tilde{s}} P_{\leq N}(|f|)\right\|_{q} \lesssim_{q, n} 1$ for $0<\tilde{s} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. One can then obtain for $0<s \leq s_{0}(q, n)$ sufficiently small that $\frac{1}{2} \leq I(s) \leq \frac{3}{2}$. Finally view $I(s)$ as

$$
I(s)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla|^{s}\left(Q_{\leq N}(|f|)\right)\left(Q_{\leq N}(|f|)\right)^{q-1} d x
$$

where $\widehat{Q_{\leq N}}(\xi)=\hat{q}\left(2^{-N} \xi\right)$, and $\hat{q} \in C_{c}^{\infty}$ satisfies $q(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ (such $q$ can be easily constructed by taking $q(x)=\phi(x)^{2}$ which corresponds to $\left.\hat{q}=\hat{\phi} * \hat{\phi}\right)$. By using the integral representation of the operator $|\nabla|^{s}$ and a symmetrization argument (similar to what was done before), we can obtain

$$
I(s) \sim_{q, n}\left\||\nabla|^{\frac{s}{2}}\left(|f|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

and the desired result follows.

Lemma 2.6. Let the dimension $n \geq 1$ and $0<\gamma \leq 2$. Suppose $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and for some $N_{0}>0, \epsilon_{0}>0$

$$
\|\hat{g}\|_{L^{2}\left(|\xi| \geq N_{0}\right)}^{2} \geq \epsilon_{0}\|\hat{g}\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}
$$

Then there exists $t_{0}=t_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}, N_{0}, \gamma\right)>0$ such that for all $0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$, we have

$$
\left\|e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}} g\right\|_{2} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0} N_{0}^{\gamma} t}\|g\|_{2}
$$

Consequently if $\tilde{g} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfies $\|\tilde{g}\|_{2}=C_{1}>0,\left\||\nabla|^{s} \tilde{g}\right\|_{2}=C_{2}>0$ for some $s>0$, then for any $0<\gamma \leq 2$, there exists $t_{0}=t_{0}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, \gamma, n, s\right)>0, c_{0}=c_{0}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, \gamma, n, s\right)>0$, such that

$$
\left\|e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}} \tilde{g}\right\|_{2} \leq e^{-c_{0} t}\|\tilde{g}\|_{2}
$$

Proof. WLOG assume $\|\hat{g}\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}}=1$. Then
$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-2 t|\xi|^{\gamma}}|\hat{g}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi \leq 1-\|\hat{g}\|_{L^{2}\left(|\xi| \leq N_{0}\right)}^{2}+e^{-2 t N_{0}^{\gamma}}\|\hat{g}\|_{L^{2}\left(|\xi|>N_{0}\right)}^{2} \leq 1-\epsilon_{0}+e^{-2 t N_{0}^{\gamma}} \epsilon_{0} \leq 1-\epsilon_{0}+\left(1-\frac{3}{2} t N_{0}^{\gamma}\right) \epsilon_{0} \leq e^{-\epsilon_{0} N_{0}^{\gamma} t}$,
where in the last two steps we used the fact $e^{-x} \sim 1-x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}$ for $x \rightarrow 0+$. The inequality for $\tilde{g}$ follows from the observation that $\left\||\xi|^{s} \hat{\tilde{g}}(\xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}\left(|\xi| \leq N_{0}\right)} \ll 1$ for $N_{0}$ sufficiently small.
Proposition 2.7. Let the dimension $n \geq 1,0<\gamma \leq 2$ and $1<q<\infty$. Then for any $f \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $t>0$, we have

$$
\left\|e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}} P_{j} f\right\|_{q} \leq e^{-c 2^{j \gamma} t}\left\|P_{j} f\right\|_{q}
$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending on $(\gamma, n, q)$.
Proof. WLOG assume $j=1$ and write $P_{1} f$ simply as $f$. In view of the semigroup property of $e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}}$ it suffices to prove the inequality for $0<t \lll \gamma, q, n^{1}$. Denote $e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}} f=K * f$ and observe that $K$ is a positive kernel with $\int K(z) d z=1$. Consider first $2 \leq q<\infty$. Clearly

$$
\left|\int K(x-y) f(y) d y\right|^{\frac{q}{2}} \leq \int K(x-y)|f(y)|^{\frac{q}{2}} d y
$$

By Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 2.5, we then get

$$
\left\|e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}} f\right\|_{q}^{q} \leq\left\|e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}}\left(|f|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq e^{-c t}\|f\|_{q}^{q}
$$

For the case $1<q<2$, we observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int K(x-y)|f(y)| d y\right\|_{L_{x}^{q}} & \leq\left\|\left(\int K(x-y)|f(y)|^{\frac{q}{2}} d y\right)^{\frac{2(q-1)}{q}} \cdot\left(\int K(x-y)|f(y)|^{q} d y\right)^{\frac{2-q}{q}}\right\|_{L_{x}^{q}} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\int K(x-y)|f(y)|^{\frac{q}{2}} d y\right)^{\frac{2(q-1)}{q}}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}\left\|\left(\int K(x-y)|f(y)|^{q} d y\right)^{\frac{2-q}{q}}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\frac{q}{2-q}}} \\
& \leq \| e^{-t|\nabla|^{\gamma}\left(|f|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)\left\|_{2}^{2} \cdot\right\| f \|_{q}^{2-q}} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus this case is also OK.
For the next lemma we need to introduce some terminology. Consider a function $F:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We shall say $F$ is admissible if $F \in C^{\infty}$ and

$$
\left|F^{(k)}(x)\right| \lesssim_{k, F} x^{-k}, \quad \forall k \geq 0,0<x<\infty
$$

It is easy to check that $\tilde{F}(x)=x F^{\prime}(x)$ is admissible if $F$ is admissible. A simple example of admissible function is $F(x)=e^{-x}$ which will show up in the bilinear estimates later.
Lemma 2.8. Let $0<\gamma<1$ and $\sigma(\xi, \eta)=|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}$ for $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n \geq 1$. Then for $0<|\xi| \ll 1$, $|\eta| \sim 1$, the following hold:
(1) $\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} \sigma(\xi, \eta)\right| \lesssim_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, n}|\xi|^{\gamma-|\alpha|}$, for any $\alpha$, $\beta$.
(2) $\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\left(\sigma^{-m}\right)\right| \lesssim \alpha, \gamma, m, n|\xi|^{-m \gamma-|\alpha|}$ for any $m \geq 1$ and any $\alpha$.
(3) $\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}(F(t \sigma))\right| \lesssim \alpha, \gamma, n, F|\xi|^{-|\alpha|}$ for any $\alpha, t>0$, and any admissible $F$.
(4) $\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(F(t \sigma))\right| \lesssim_{\alpha, \gamma, \beta, n, F}|\xi|^{-|\alpha|}$, for any $\alpha$, $\beta$, and any $t>0$, $F$ admissible.

Remark 2.9. The condition $|\xi| \ll 1,|\eta| \sim 1$ can be replaced by $0<|\xi| \lesssim 1,|\eta| \sim 1,|\xi+\eta| \sim 1$.
Remark. This lemma also highlights the importance of the assumption $0<\gamma<1$. For $0<\gamma<1$, note that the function $g(x)=1+x^{\gamma}-(1+x)^{\gamma} \sim \min \left\{x^{\gamma}, 1\right\}$. By the triangle inequality, this implies $\sigma(\xi, \eta) \geq$ $|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-(|\xi|+|\eta|)^{\gamma} \gtrsim \min \left\{|\xi|^{\gamma},|\eta|^{\gamma}\right\}$ which does not vanish as long as $|\xi|>0$ and $|\eta|>0$. However for $\gamma=1$, the phase $\sigma(\xi, \eta)=|\xi|+|\eta|-|\xi+\eta|$ no longer enjoys such a lower bound since $\sigma \equiv 0$ on the one-dimensional cone $\xi=\lambda \eta, \lambda \geq 0$.

Proof. WLOG we consider dimension $n=1$. The case $n>1$ is similar except some minor changes in numerology.
(1) Note that for $|\xi| \ll 1,|\eta| \sim 1$, we have

$$
\sigma(\xi, \eta)=|\xi|^{\gamma}-\gamma \int_{0}^{1}|\eta+\theta \xi|^{\gamma-2}(\eta+\theta \xi) d \theta \cdot \xi
$$

Observe that

$$
\partial_{\eta} \sigma(\xi, \eta)=(\mathrm{OK}) \cdot \xi
$$

where we use the notation OK to denote any term which satisfy

$$
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(\mathrm{OK})\right| \lesssim 1, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta
$$

This notation will be used throughout this proof. Thus for any $\beta \geq 1, \alpha \geq 0$, we have

$$
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} \sigma(\xi, \eta)\right| \lesssim|\xi|^{1-|\alpha|}
$$

On the other hand, if $\beta=0$ and $\alpha \geq 1$, then clearly

$$
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \sigma(\xi, \eta)\right|=\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)\right| \lesssim|\xi|^{\gamma-|\alpha|}
$$

(2) Observe that for $|\xi| \ll 1$ and $|\eta| \sim 1$, we always have $\sigma(\xi, \eta) \gtrsim|\xi|^{\gamma}$. One can then induct on $\alpha$.
(3) One can induct on $\alpha$. The statement clearly holds for $\alpha=0$. Assume the statement holds for $\alpha \leq m$ and any admissible $F$. Then for $\alpha=m+1$, we have

$$
\partial_{\xi}^{m+1}(F(t \sigma))=\partial_{\xi}^{m}\left(\tilde{F}(t \sigma) \cdot \sigma^{-1} \cdot \partial_{\xi} \sigma\right)
$$

where $\tilde{F}(x)=x F^{\prime}(x)$ is again admissible. The result then follows from the inductive assumption, Leibniz and the estimates obtained in (1) and (2).
D. LI
(4) Observe that $\partial_{\eta}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)=-\sigma^{-2} \partial_{\eta} \sigma=\sigma^{-2} \xi \cdot(\mathrm{OK})$, and in general for $\beta \geq 0$,

$$
\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq m \leq \beta} \sigma^{-m-1} \xi^{m} \cdot(\mathrm{OK})
$$

Note that for $\beta \geq 1$ the summand corresponding to $m=0$ is actually absent (this is allowed in our notation since we can take the term (OK) to be zero). Similarly one can check for any admissible $F$ and $t>0$,

$$
\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}(F(t \sigma))=\sum_{0 \leq m \leq \beta} F_{m}(t \sigma) \cdot\left(\frac{\xi}{\sigma}\right)^{m} \cdot(\mathrm{OK})
$$

where $F_{m}$ are admissible functions. This then reduce matters to the estimate in (3). The result is obvious.

## 3. Nonlinear estimates: $H^{2-\gamma}$ CASE FOR $0<\gamma<1$

Lemma 3.1. Set $A=D^{\gamma}$ and $s=2-\gamma$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} D^{s}\left(e^{-t A} R^{\perp} g \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f\right) D^{s} e^{t A} f d x\right| \lesssim_{\gamma}\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s}}\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}}}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{g}) \subset B\left(0, N_{0}\right)$ for some $N_{0}>0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} D^{s}\left(e^{-t A} R^{\perp} g \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f\right) D^{s} e^{t A} f d x\right| \lesssim_{\gamma} N_{0}^{2 s+2}\|f\|_{2}^{2}\|g\|_{2}+\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s}} \cdot\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}}} \cdot\|g\|_{2} \cdot N_{0}^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} D^{s}\left(e^{-t A} R^{\perp} f \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} g\right) D^{s} e^{t A} f d x\right| \lesssim \gamma\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s}}^{2} \cdot N_{0}^{2}\|g\|_{2}+N_{0}^{2 s+2}\|f\|_{2}^{2}\|g\|_{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} D^{s}\left(e^{-t A} R^{\perp} g \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} g\right) D^{s} e^{t A} f d x\right| \lesssim_{\gamma} N_{0}^{2 s+2}\|g\|_{2}^{2}\|\hat{f}\|_{L^{2}\left(|\xi| \leq 2 N_{0}\right)} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.2. Note that if $\hat{f}$ is localized to $|\xi| \gtrsim N_{0}$, then the term $N_{0}^{2 s+2}\|f\|_{2}^{2}\|g\|_{2}$ can be dropped in (3.2) and (3.3).

Proof. We first show (3.1). For simplicity of notation we shall write $R^{\perp} g$ as $g$. Note that in the final estimates the operator $R^{\perp}$ can be easily discarded since we are in the $L^{2}$ setting. On the Fourier side we have the expression of the LHS as (up to a multiplicative constant)

$$
\int|\xi|^{2 s} e^{-t\left(|\eta|^{\gamma}+|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi|^{\gamma}\right)} \hat{g}(\eta) \cdot(\xi-\eta) \hat{f}(\xi-\eta) \hat{f}(-\xi) d \xi d \eta
$$

Observe that by a change of variable $\xi \rightarrow \eta-\tilde{\xi}$ (and dropping the tildes), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int(\xi-\eta)|\xi|^{2 s} e^{-t\left(|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi|^{\gamma}\right)} \hat{f}(\xi-\eta) \hat{f}(-\xi) d \xi \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int\left((\xi-\eta)|\xi|^{2 s} e^{-t\left(|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi|^{\gamma}\right)}-\xi|\xi-\eta|^{2 s} e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}-|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}\right)}\right) \hat{f}(\xi-\eta) \hat{f}(-\xi) d \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\sigma}(\xi, \eta)=e^{-t|\eta|^{\gamma}}\left((\xi-\eta)|\xi|^{2 s} e^{-t\left(|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi|^{\gamma}\right)}-\xi|\xi-\eta|^{2 s} e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}-|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}\right)}\right) \\
& N\left(g^{1}, g^{2}, g^{3}\right)=\int \tilde{\sigma}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{g^{1}}(\eta) \widehat{g^{2}}(\xi-\eta) \widehat{g^{3}}(-\xi) d \xi d \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

We just need to bound $N(g, f, f)$. By frequency localization, we have

$$
N(g, f, f)=\sum_{j}\left(N\left(g_{<j-9}, f_{j}, f\right)+N\left(g_{>j+9}, f_{j}, f\right)+N\left(g_{[j-9, j+9]}, f_{j}, f\right)\right)
$$

Rewriting $\sum_{j} N\left(g_{>j+9}, f_{j}, f\right)=\sum_{j} N\left(g_{j}, f_{<j-9}, f\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(g, f, f) & =\sum_{j}\left(N\left(g_{<j-9}, f_{j}, f\right)+N\left(g_{j}, f_{<j-9}, f\right)+N\left(g_{[j-9, j+9]}, f_{j}, f\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j}\left(N\left(g_{<j-9}, f_{j}, f_{[j-2, j+2]}\right)+N\left(g_{j}, f_{<j-9}, f_{[j-2, j+2]}\right)+N\left(g_{[j-9, j+9]}, f_{j}, f_{\leq j+11}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j}\left(N\left(g_{\ll j}, f_{\sim j}, f_{\sim j}\right)+N\left(g_{\sim j}, f_{\ll j}, f_{\sim j}\right)+N\left(g_{\sim j}, f_{\sim j}, f_{\lesssim j}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{\ll j}$ corresponds to $|\eta| \ll 2^{j}, g_{\sim j}$ means $|\eta| \sim 2^{j}$, and $g_{\lesssim j}$ means $|\eta| \lesssim 2^{j}$. These notations are quite handy since only the relative sizes of the frequency variables $\eta, \xi$ and $\xi-\eta$ will play some role in the estimates. Note that we should have written $g_{\ll j}$ as $g_{\ll 2^{j}}$ according to our convention of the notation $\ll$ but we ignore this slight inconsistency here for the simplicity of notation.

1. Estimate of $N\left(g_{\ll j}, f_{\sim j}, f_{\sim j}\right)$. Note that $|\eta| \ll 2^{j},|\xi-\eta| \sim|\xi| \sim 2^{j}$. It is not difficult to check that in this regime

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{\sigma}(\xi, \eta)| & \leq\left.|(\xi-\eta)| \xi\right|^{2 s}-\xi|\xi-\eta|^{2 s}\left|\cdot e^{-t\left(|\eta|^{\gamma}+|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi|^{\gamma}\right)}+|\xi|\right| \xi-\left.\eta\right|^{2 s} \cdot\left|e^{-t\left(|\eta|^{\gamma}+|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi|^{\gamma}\right)}-e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}\right)}\right| \\
& \lesssim 2^{2 j s} \cdot|\eta|+|\xi||\xi-\eta|^{2 s}\left|e^{-t\left(|\eta|^{\gamma}+|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi|^{\gamma}\right)}-e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}\right)}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

To bound the second term, we shall use Lemma 2.8. More precisely, denote $\tilde{\xi}=-2^{-j} \eta, \tilde{\eta}=2^{-j} \xi, T=2^{j \gamma} t$, $F(x)=e^{-x}$. Clearly (recall in Lemma 2.8, $\sigma(\xi, \eta)=|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-t\left(|\eta|^{\gamma}+|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi|^{\gamma}\right)}=e^{-T\left(|\tilde{\xi}|^{\gamma}+|\tilde{\xi}+\tilde{\eta}|^{\gamma}-|\tilde{\eta}|^{\gamma}\right)}=F(T \sigma(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}-\tilde{\xi})) \\
& e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi-\eta|^{\gamma}\right)}=F(T \sigma(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta})) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, the function $G(\theta)=F(T \sigma(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}-\theta \tilde{\xi}))$. By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
|G(1)-G(0)| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|\partial_{\tilde{\eta}}(F(T \sigma(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}-\theta \tilde{\xi})))\right| d \theta \cdot|\tilde{\xi}| \lesssim|\tilde{\xi}|=2^{-j}|\eta|
$$

Thus

$$
|\tilde{\sigma}(\xi, \eta)| \lesssim 2^{2 j s} \cdot|\eta| .
$$

Then by taking $\tilde{\epsilon}=\gamma$ below (note that $0<\gamma<1$ ), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{j} N\left(g_{\ll j}, f_{\sim j}, f_{\sim j}\right)\right| & \left.\lesssim \sum_{j} 2^{2 j s} \cdot\left\|f_{\sim j}\right\|_{2} \cdot\left(\|\left|\widehat{D g_{\ll j}}\right| *\left|\widehat{f_{\sim j}}\right|\right) \|_{2}\right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j} 2^{2 j s} \cdot\left\|f_{\sim j}\right\|_{2} \cdot\left(\left\|D g_{\ll j}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{1-\epsilon}} \cdot\left\|f_{\sim j}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\epsilon}}\right) \\
& \lesssim\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s}}\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here in the second inequality above, we have used the simple fact that

$$
\|\hat{A} * \hat{B}\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \lesssim\|\hat{A}\|_{L_{\xi}^{1}}\|\hat{B}\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \lesssim\left\||\xi|^{\theta} \hat{A}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}}\|\hat{B}\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}}
$$

if $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{A}) \subset\{|\xi| \lesssim 1\}, \operatorname{supp}(\hat{B}) \subset\{|\xi| \sim 1\}$, and $\theta<1$.
2. Estimate of $N\left(g_{\sim j}, f_{\ll j}, f_{\sim j}\right)$. In this case $|\eta| \sim|\xi| \sim 2^{j},|\xi-\eta| \ll 2^{j}$. Since $s=2-\gamma \in(1,2)$, in this regime we have

$$
|\tilde{\sigma}(\xi, \eta)| \lesssim|\xi-\eta| 2^{2 j s}+2^{j}|\xi-\eta|^{2 s} \lesssim 2^{2 j s}|\xi-\eta|
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{j} N\left(g_{\sim j}, f_{\ll j}, f_{\sim j}\right)\right| & \lesssim \sum_{j} 2^{2 j s}\left\|\left|\widehat{g_{\sim j}}\right| *\left|\widehat{D f_{\ll j}}\right|\right\|_{2} \cdot\left\|f_{\sim j}\right\|_{2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j} 2^{2 j s}\left\|g_{\sim j}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} \cdot\left\|D f_{\ll j}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}}\left\|f_{\sim j}\right\|_{2} \lesssim\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s}}\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Estimate of $N\left(g_{\sim j}, f_{\sim j}, f_{\lesssim j}\right)$. In this case $|\eta| \sim|\xi-\eta| \sim 2^{j},|\xi| \lesssim 2^{j}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{j} N\left(g_{\sim j}, f_{\sim j}, f_{\lesssim j}\right)\right| & \lesssim \sum_{j} 2^{2 j s}\left\|\left|\widehat{g_{\sim j}}\right| *\left|\widehat{f_{\sim j}}\right|\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}}\left\|\widehat{D f_{\lesssim j}}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{1}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j} 2^{2 j s}\left\|g_{\sim j}\right\|_{2}\left\|f_{\sim j}\right\|_{2} \cdot\left\|\left.\xi\right|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \widehat{D f_{\lesssim j}}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} \cdot 2^{j \frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s}}\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s}+\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we turn to (3.2). Choose $J_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^{J_{0}-1} \leq N_{0}<2^{J_{0}}$. Clearly by frequency localization,

$$
N(g, f, f)=N\left(g, f_{\lesssim J_{0}}, f_{\lesssim J_{0}}\right)+\sum_{j: 2^{j} \gg N_{0}} N\left(g, f_{\sim j}, f_{\sim j}\right) .
$$

For the first term we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|N\left(g, f_{\lesssim J_{0}}, f_{\lesssim J_{0}}\right)\right| & \lesssim N_{0}^{2 s+1}\left\|\widehat{g}|*| \widehat{f_{\gtrsim J_{0}}}\right\|\left\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}}\right\| \widehat{f_{\lesssim J_{0}}} \|_{L_{\xi}^{1}} \\
& \lesssim N_{0}^{2 s+2}\|g\|_{2}\|f\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second term we can use the estimate of $N\left(g_{\ll j}, f_{\sim j}, f_{\sim j}\right)$ and take $\tilde{\epsilon}=\frac{\gamma}{2}$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{j: 2^{j} \gg N_{0}} N\left(g, f_{\sim j}, f_{\sim j}\right)\right| & \lesssim \sum_{j: 22^{j} \gg N_{0}} 2^{2 j s} \cdot\left\|f_{\sim j}\right\|_{2} \cdot\left(\|D g\|_{\dot{H}^{1-\epsilon}}\left\|f_{\sim j}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\epsilon}}\right) \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s}} \cdot\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}}} \cdot\|g\|_{2} \cdot N_{0}^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimates of (3.3) and (3.4) are much simpler. We omit details.

## 4. Proof of theorem 1.1

To simplify numerology we conduct the proof for the case $\epsilon_{0}=1 / 2$.
Step 1. A priori estimate. Denote $A=\frac{1}{2} D^{\gamma}$ and $f=e^{t A} \theta$ (It will be clear from Step 2 below that $f$ is smooth and well-defined, and the following computations can be rigorously justified.) Then $f$ satisfies the equation

$$
\partial_{t} f=-\frac{1}{2} D^{\gamma} f-e^{t A}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} f \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f\right) .
$$

Take $J_{0}>0$ which will be made sufficiently large later. Set $N_{0}=2^{J_{0}}$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|D^{s} P_{>J_{0}} f\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|D^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}} P_{>J_{0}} f\right\|_{2}^{2}=-\int D^{s}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} f \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f\right) D^{s} e^{t A} P_{>J_{0}}^{2} f d x
$$

Now for convenience of notation denote

$$
N\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)=\int D^{s}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} g_{1} \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} g_{2}\right) D^{s} e^{t A} g_{3} d x
$$

Denote $f_{h}=P_{>J_{0}}^{2} f$ and $f_{l}=f-f_{h}$. Then clearly

$$
N\left(f, f, f_{h}\right)=N\left(f_{h}, f_{h}, f_{h}\right)+N\left(f_{l}, f_{h}, f_{h}\right)+N\left(f_{h}, f_{l}, f_{h}\right)+N\left(f_{l}, f_{l}, f_{h}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 3.1 and noting that $\left\|f_{l}(t)\right\|_{2} \lesssim e^{N_{0}^{\gamma} t}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{2}$, we get (see Remark (3.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|N\left(f, f, f_{h}\right)\right| \lesssim & \left\|f_{h}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{s}}\left\|f_{h}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}}}^{2}+\left\|f_{h}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{s}}\left\|f_{h}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}}} \cdot N_{0}^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}} e^{N_{0}^{\gamma} t}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|f_{h}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{s}}^{2} \cdot N_{0}^{2} e^{N_{0}^{\gamma} t}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{2} \\
& +N_{0}^{2 s+2} e^{4 N_{0}^{\gamma} t}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{2}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies for $0<t \leq N_{0}^{-\gamma}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|D^{s} P_{>J_{0}} f\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-c_{1}\left\|D^{s} P_{>J_{0}} f\right\|_{2}\right) \cdot\left\|D^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}} P_{>J_{0}} f\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq c_{2} \cdot\left(N_{0}^{2}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{2}+N_{0}^{4-\gamma}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \cdot\left\|D^{s} P_{>J_{0}} f\right\|_{2}^{2}+c_{3} N_{0}^{2 s+2}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{2}^{3},
\end{array}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}>0$ are constants depending only on $\gamma$.
Step 2. Approximation system. For $n=1,2,3, \cdots$, define $\theta^{(n)}$ as solutions to the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \theta^{(n)}=-P_{<n}\left(R^{\perp} P_{<n} \theta^{(n)} \cdot \nabla P_{<n} \theta^{(n)}\right)-D^{\gamma} \theta^{(n)}, \\
\left.\theta^{(n)}\right|_{t=0}=P_{<n} \theta_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The solvability of the above regularized system is not an issue thanks to frequency cut-offs. It is easy to check that $\theta^{(n)}$ has frequency supported in $|\xi| \lesssim 2^{n}$. For any integer $J_{0}$ to be fixed momentarily, it is not difficult to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|D^{s} P_{>J_{0}} e^{t A} \theta^{(n)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|D^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}} P_{>J_{0}} e^{t A} \theta^{(n)}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
= & -\int D^{s}\left(R^{\perp} P_{<n} \theta^{(n)} \cdot \nabla P_{<n} \theta^{(n)}\right) D^{s} e^{t A} P_{>J_{0}}^{2} e^{t A} P_{<J_{0}} \theta^{(n)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Now fix $J_{0}$ sufficiently large such that

$$
c_{1}\left\|D^{s} P_{>J_{0}} \theta_{0}\right\|_{2}<1 / 10
$$

By using the nonlinear estimate derived in Step 1 (easy to check that these estimates hold for $\theta^{(n)}$ with slight changes of the constants $c_{i}$ if necessary), one can then find $T_{0}=T_{0}\left(\gamma, \theta_{0}\right)>0$ sufficiently small such that uniformly in $n$ tending to infinity, we have

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T_{0}}\left\|e^{t A} D^{s} \theta^{(n)}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{2}+\int_{0}^{T_{0}}\left\|e^{t A} D^{s+\frac{\gamma}{2}} \theta^{(n)}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t \lesssim 1
$$

By slightly shrinking $T_{0}$ further if necessary and repeating the argument for $\tilde{A}=\frac{4}{3} A=\frac{2}{3} D^{\gamma}$, we have uniformly in $n$ tending to infinity,

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T_{0}}\left\|e^{\frac{4}{3} t A} D^{s} \theta^{(n)}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{2} \lesssim 1
$$

Step 3. Strong contraction of $\theta^{(n)}$ in $C_{t}^{0} L_{x}^{2}$. Denote $\eta_{n+1}=\theta_{n+1}-\theta_{n}$. Then (below for simplicity of notation we write $-R^{\perp}$ as $R$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \eta_{n+1}= & P_{<n+1}\left(R P_{<n+1} \eta_{n+1} \cdot \nabla P_{<n+1} \eta_{n+1}\right)-D^{\gamma} \eta_{n+1} \\
& +P_{<n+1}\left(R P_{<n+1} \theta_{n} \cdot \nabla P_{<n+1} \theta_{n}\right)-P_{<n}\left(R P_{<n} \theta_{n} \cdot \nabla P_{<n} \theta_{n}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
& +P_{<n+1}\left(R P_{<n+1} \theta_{n} \cdot \nabla P_{<n+1} \eta_{n+1}\right) \\
& +P_{<n+1}\left(R P_{<n+1} \eta_{n+1} \cdot \nabla P_{<n+1} \theta_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By using divergence-fred

$$
\int(4.1) \cdot \eta_{n+1} d x=-\int\left(P_{<n+1}\left(R P_{<n+1} \theta_{n} P_{<n+1} \theta_{n}\right)-P_{<n}\left(R P_{<n} \theta_{n} P_{<n} \theta_{n}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla \eta_{n+1} d x
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|P_{<n+1}\left(R P_{<n+1} \theta_{n} P_{<n+1} \theta_{n}\right)-P_{<n}\left(R P_{<n} \theta_{n} P_{<n} \theta_{n}\right)\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq\left\|P_{<n+1}\left(R\left(P_{<n+1}-P_{<n}\right) \theta_{n} P_{<n+1} \theta_{n}\right)\right\|_{2}+\left\|P_{<n+1}\left(R P_{<n} \theta_{n}\left(P_{<n+1}-P_{<n}\right) \theta_{n}\right)\right\|_{2} \\
& \quad+\left\|\left(P_{<n+1}-P_{<n}\right)\left(R P_{<n} \theta_{n} P_{<n} \theta_{n}\right)\right\|_{2} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-n(2-\gamma)}\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|_{H^{2-\gamma}}^{2}+2^{-n}\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|_{H^{2-\gamma}}^{2} \lesssim 2^{-n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the uniform Sobolev estimates in Step 2. Note that $\left\|\nabla \eta_{n+1}\right\|_{2} \lesssim\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|_{H^{2-\gamma}}+\left\|\theta_{n+1}\right\|_{H^{2-\gamma}} \lesssim 1$.
It follows that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\eta_{n+1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|D^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \eta_{n+1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq & \int\left(R P_{<n+1} \eta_{n+1} \cdot \nabla P_{<n+1} \theta_{n}\right) P_{<n+1} \eta_{n+1} d x+\text { const } \cdot 2^{-n} \\
\leq & \mathrm{const} \cdot 2^{-n}+\int\left(R P_{<n+1} \eta_{n+1} \cdot \nabla P_{>J_{0}} P_{<n+1} \theta_{n}\right) P_{<n+1} \eta_{n+1} d x \\
& +\int\left(R P_{<n+1} \eta_{n+1} \cdot \nabla P_{\leq J_{0}} P_{<n+1} \theta_{n}\right) P_{<n+1} \eta_{n+1} d x \\
\lesssim & 2^{-n}+\left\|\eta_{n+1}\right\|_{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{4}\right)^{-1}}^{2} \cdot\left\|\nabla P_{>J_{0}} P_{<n+1} \theta_{n}\right\|_{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \\
& +\left\|\eta_{n+1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \cdot 2^{2 J_{0}}\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|_{2} \\
\lesssim & 2^{-n}+\left\|D^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \eta_{n+1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \cdot\left\|D^{s} P_{>J_{0}} \theta_{n}\right\|_{2}+2^{2 J_{0}}\left\|\eta_{n+1}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]By using the nonlinear estimates in Step 2, one can choose $J_{0}$ sufficiently large (one can slightly shrink $T_{0}$ further if necessary) such that the term $\left\|D^{s} P_{>J_{0}} \theta_{n}\right\|_{2}$ becomes sufficiently small (to kill the implied constant pre-factors in the above inequality) and close the $L^{2}$ estimate. It is then easy to establish the desired strong contraction of $\theta^{(n)} \rightarrow \theta$ in $C_{t}^{0} L_{x}^{2}$.

Step 4. Higher norms. By using the estimates in previous steps, we have for any $0 \leq t \leq T_{0}$,

$$
\left\|D^{s} e^{\frac{4}{3} t A} \theta\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|D^{s} e^{\frac{4}{3} t A} P_{\leq N} \theta\right\|_{2}^{2}=\limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|D^{s} e^{\frac{4}{3} t A} P_{\leq N} \theta^{(n)}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}<B_{1}<\infty
$$

where the constant $B_{1}>0$ is independent of $t$.
It follows easily that for any $0 \leq s^{\prime}<s$,

$$
\left\|D^{s^{\prime}} e^{t A}\left(\theta^{(n)}(t)-\theta(t)\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

This implies $f(t)=e^{t A} \theta(t) \in C_{t}^{0} H_{x}^{s^{\prime}}$ for any $s^{\prime}<s$. To show $f \in C_{t}^{0} H_{x}^{s}$ it suffices to consider the continuity at $t=0$ (for $t>0$ one can use the fact $e^{\frac{1}{3} t A} f \in L_{t}^{\infty} H_{x}^{s}$ which controls frequencies $|\xi| \gg t^{-1 / \gamma}$, and for the part $|\xi| \lesssim t^{-1 / \gamma}$ one uses $\left.C_{t}^{0} L_{x}^{2}\right)$. Since we are in Hilbert space setting with weak continuity in time, the strong continuity then follows from norm continuity at $t=0$ which is essentially done in Step 1.

## 5. Nonlinear estimates for Besov case: $0<\gamma<1$

For $\sigma=\sigma(\xi, \eta)$ we denote the bilinear operator

$$
T_{\sigma}(f, g)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\eta}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{d}} \sigma(\xi, \eta) \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) e^{i x \cdot(\xi+\eta)} d \xi d \eta
$$

Lemma 5.1. Suppose $\operatorname{supp}(\sigma) \subset\left\{(\xi, \eta):|\xi|<1, \frac{1}{C_{1}}<|\eta|<C_{1}\right\}$ for some constant $C_{1}>0$. Let $n_{0}=$ $2 d+[d / 2]+1$ and $\Omega_{0}=\left\{(\xi, \eta): 0<|\xi|<1, \frac{1}{C_{1}}<|\eta|<C_{1}\right\}$. Suppose $\sigma \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{n_{0}}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ and for some $A_{1}>0$

$$
\sup _{\substack{|\alpha| \leq[d / 2]+1 \\|\beta| \leq 2 d}} \sup _{\substack{\xi, \eta) \in \Omega_{0}}}|\xi|^{|\alpha|}|\eta|^{|\beta|}\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} \sigma(\xi, \eta)\right| \leq A_{1}
$$

Then for any $1<p_{1}<\infty, 1 \leq p_{2} \leq \infty, f, g \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\left\|T_{\sigma}(f, g)\right\|_{r} \lesssim_{d, C_{1}, A_{1}, p_{1}, p_{2}}\|f\|_{p_{1}}\|g\|_{p_{2}}
$$

where $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}$.
Similarly if $\operatorname{supp}(\sigma) \subset\left\{(\xi, \eta): \frac{1}{\tilde{C}_{1}}<|\xi|<\tilde{C}_{1}, \frac{1}{\tilde{C}_{2}}<|\eta|<\tilde{C}_{2}\right\}=\Omega_{1}$ for some constants $\tilde{C}_{1}, \tilde{C}_{2}>0$. Suppose $\sigma \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{4 d+1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and for some $\tilde{A}_{1}>0$

$$
\sup _{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 4 d+1} \sup _{(\xi, \eta) \in \Omega_{1}}|\xi|^{|\alpha|}|\eta|^{|\beta|}\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} \sigma(\xi, \eta)\right| \leq \tilde{A}_{1} .
$$

Then for any $1 \leq p_{1} \leq \infty, 1 \leq p_{2} \leq \infty, f, g \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\left\|T_{\sigma}(f, g)\right\|_{r} \lesssim_{d, \tilde{C}_{1}, \tilde{C}_{2}, \tilde{A}_{1}, p_{1}, p_{2}}\|f\|_{p_{1}}\|g\|_{p_{2}}
$$

where $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}$.
Proof. For the first case see Theorem 3.7 in [3]. The idea is to make a Fourier expansion in the $\eta$-variable:

$$
\sigma(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} L^{-d} \int_{\left[-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}\right]^{d}} \sigma(\xi, \tilde{\eta}) e^{-2 \pi i \frac{k \cdot \tilde{\eta}}{L}} d \tilde{\eta} e^{2 \pi i \frac{k \cdot \eta}{L}} \chi(\eta)
$$

where $L=8 C_{1}$ and $\chi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\left(-\frac{L}{4}, \frac{L}{4}\right)^{d}\right)$ is such that $\chi(\eta) \equiv 1$ for $1 / C_{1}<|\eta|<C_{1}$. A rough estimate on the number of derivatives required is $n_{0}=2 d+[d / 2]+1$. Note that $r>1 / 2$ and (by paying $2 d$ derivatives) $2 d r>d$ so that the resulting summation in $k$ converges in $l^{r}$-norm. For the second case, one can make a Fourier expansion in $(\xi, \eta)$.

Remark 5.2. For $t>0,0<\gamma<1, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider

$$
\sigma_{0}(\xi, \eta)=e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)} \chi_{|\xi| \sim 2^{j}} \chi_{|\eta| \sim 2^{j}} \chi_{|\xi+\eta| \ll 2^{j}}
$$

By using the estimates $\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{t|\xi|^{\gamma}} \chi_{|\xi| \ll 1}\right)\right\|_{1}=\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{|\xi|^{\gamma}} \chi_{|\xi| \ll t^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}\right)\right\|_{1} \lesssim e^{c t}(c \ll 1),\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{-t|\xi|^{\gamma}} \chi_{|\xi| \sim \sim}\right)\right\|_{1} \lesssim$ $e^{-C t}(C \sim 1)$, we have for any $1 \leq r, p_{1}, p_{2} \leq \infty$ with $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}$,

$$
\left\|T_{\sigma_{0}}(f, g)\right\|_{r} \lesssim \gamma, d e^{-c 2^{j \gamma} \gamma}\|f\|_{p_{1}}\|g\|_{p_{2}} \lesssim\|f\|_{p_{1}}\|g\|_{p_{2}},
$$

where $c>0$ is a small constant. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}(\xi, \eta)=e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)} \chi_{|\xi|<2^{j}} \chi_{|\eta| \sim 2^{j}}, \\
& \sigma_{2}(\xi, \eta)=e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)} \chi_{|\xi| \sim \sim^{j} \chi_{|\eta|<2^{j}},} \\
& \sigma_{3}(\xi, \eta)=e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)} \chi_{|\xi| \sim \sim^{j}} \chi_{|\eta| \sim 2^{j}} \chi_{|\xi+\eta| \sim 2^{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Lemma 5.1 Lemma 2.8 and some elementary computations, it is not difficult to check that for any $\frac{1}{2}<r<\infty, 1<p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$, with $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}$,

$$
\left\|T_{\sigma_{l}}(f, g)\right\|_{r}{\lesssim \gamma, p_{1}, p_{2}, d}^{\|f\|_{p_{1}}\|g\|_{p_{2}}, \quad \forall l=1,2,3 .}
$$

We shall need to use these inequalities (sometimes without explicit mentioning) below.
Fix $t>0, j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0<\gamma<1$, and denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{j}(f, g) & =\left[P_{j} e^{t D^{\gamma}}, e^{-t D^{\gamma}} R^{\perp} f\right] \cdot \nabla e^{-t D^{\gamma}} g \\
& =P_{j} e^{t D^{\gamma}}\left(e^{-t D^{\gamma}} R^{\perp} f \cdot \nabla e^{-t D^{\gamma}} g\right)-e^{-t D^{\gamma}} R^{\perp} f \cdot \nabla P_{j} g .
\end{aligned}
$$

For integer $J_{0} \geq 10$ which be made sufficiently large later, we decompose

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{j}(f, g) \\
& =B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, g_{\leq J_{0}+4}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, g_{>J_{0}+4}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{>J_{0}+2}, g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{>J_{0}+2}, g_{>J_{0}+2}\right) \\
& =B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, g_{\leq J_{0}+4}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{\left[J_{0}+2, J_{0}+4\right]}, g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, g_{>J_{0}+4}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{>J_{0}+4}, g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{>J_{0}+2}, g_{>J_{0}+2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.3. $B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, g_{\leq J_{0}+4}\right)=0$ and $B_{j}\left(f_{\left[J_{0}+2, J_{0}+4\right]}, g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right)=0$ for $j>J_{0}+6$. For $j \leq J_{0}+6$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$,

$$
\left\|B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, g_{\leq J_{0}+4}\right)\right\|_{p}+\left\|B_{j}\left(f_{\left[J_{0}+2, J_{0}+4\right]}, g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim e^{c_{1}(1+t)}\left(\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+10} f\right\|_{p}^{2}+\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+10} g\right\|_{p}^{2}\right),
$$

where $c_{1}>0$ depends on $\left(J_{0}, p, \gamma\right)$.
Proof. We only deal with $B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, g_{\leq J_{0}+4}\right)$ as the estimate for $B_{j}\left(f_{\left[J_{0}+2, J_{0}+4\right]}, g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right)$ is similar and therefore omitted. Clearly for $j \leq J_{0}+6$ (below the notation $\infty-, p+$ is defined in the same way as in (2.1)),
$\left\|e^{-t D^{\gamma}} R^{\perp} f_{\leq J_{0}+2} \cdot \nabla P_{j} g_{\leq J_{0}+4}\right\|_{p} \lesssim\left\|R^{\perp} f_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right\|_{p+} 2^{J_{0}}\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+10} g\right\|_{\infty-} \lesssim 2^{J_{0}\left(1+\frac{2}{p}\right)}\left(\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+10} f\right\|_{p}^{2}+\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+10} g\right\|_{p}^{2}\right)$.
Here $p+$ is needed for $p=1$ so that the Riesz transform can be discarded. On the other hand for $j \leq J_{0}+6$,

$$
\left\|P_{j} e^{t D^{\gamma}}\left(e^{-t D^{\gamma}} R^{\perp} f_{\leq J_{0}+2} \cdot \nabla e^{-t D^{\gamma}} g_{\leq J_{0}+4}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim e^{c_{1}(1+t)}\left(\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+10} f\right\|_{p}^{2}+\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+10} g\right\|_{p}^{2}\right)
$$

Lemma 5.4. For $j \leq J_{0}+6$ and $0<t \leq 1$,

$$
\left\|B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, g_{>J_{0}+4}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim c_{2}\left\|e^{-t D^{\gamma}} f_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right\|_{p}\left\|g_{>J_{0}+4}\right\|_{p} .
$$

For $j>J_{0}+6, t>0$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$,

$$
\left\|B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, g_{>J_{0}+4}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim c_{2} \cdot 2^{j 0+}\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+2} f\right\|_{p}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p}+c_{2}\left(t+t^{2}\right)\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+2} f\right\|_{p} \cdot 2^{j \gamma}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p}
$$

where $c_{2}>0$ depends on $\left(p, J_{0}\right)$, and the notation $0+$ is defined in the paragraph preceding (2.1).
Proof. The first inequality for $j \leq J_{0}+6$ is obvious. Consider now $j>J_{0}+6$. Observe that by frequency localization $B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, g_{>J_{0}+4}\right)=B_{j}\left(f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, P_{>J_{0}+4} g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right)$. We just need to consider $T_{\sigma}\left(R^{\perp} f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, \nabla P_{>J_{0}+4} g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right)$ with $|\xi| \ll 2^{j},|\eta| \sim 2^{j}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(\xi, \eta)= {\left[\phi\left(2^{-j}(\xi+\eta)\right) e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)}-\phi\left(2^{-j} \eta\right) e^{-t|\xi|^{\gamma}}\right] \chi_{|\xi| \ll 2^{j}} \chi_{|\eta| \sim 2^{j}} } \\
&=\left(\phi\left(2^{-j}(\xi+\eta)\right)-\phi\left(2^{-j} \eta\right)\right) e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)} \chi_{|\xi| \ll 2^{j}} \chi_{|\eta| \sim 2^{j}} \\
&-\phi\left(2^{-j} \eta\right)\left(e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)}-e^{-t|\xi|^{\gamma}}\right) \chi_{|\xi| \ll 2^{j}} \chi_{|\eta| \sim 2^{j}} \\
& \sigma_{1}(\xi, \eta)+\sigma_{2}(\xi, \eta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 5.1, it is easy to check that for some $c_{2}>0$ depending on $\left(J_{0}, p\right)$,

$$
\left\|T_{\sigma_{1}}\left(R^{\perp} f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, \nabla P_{>J_{0}+4} g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim\left\|\partial R^{\perp} f_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right\|_{\infty-}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p+} \lesssim c_{2} \cdot 2^{j 0+}\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+2} f\right\|_{p}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p} .
$$

On the other hand for $\sigma_{2}$, we introduce for $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$

$$
F(\tau)=e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+\tau\left(|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)\right)}, \quad F_{1}(\tau)=|\eta+\tau \xi|^{\gamma} .
$$

Then observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(1)-F(0) & =F^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime \prime}(\tau)(1-\tau) d \tau \\
& =e^{-t|\xi|^{\gamma}} t\left(|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}-|\eta|^{\gamma}\right)+\int_{0}^{1} F(\tau) t^{2}\left(|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)^{2}(1-\tau) d \tau \\
& =\gamma t e^{-t|\xi|^{\gamma}}|\eta|^{\gamma-2}(\eta \cdot \xi)+t e^{-t|\xi|^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{1} F_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\tau)(1-\tau) d \tau+\int_{0}^{1} F(\tau) t^{2}\left(|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)^{2}(1-\tau) d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is then clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{\sigma_{2}}\left(R^{\perp} f_{\leq J_{0}+2}, \nabla P_{>J_{0}+4} g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim & t\left\|e^{-t D^{\gamma}} \partial R^{\perp} f_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right\|_{\infty} \cdot 2^{j \gamma}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p} \\
& +2^{j(\gamma-1)} \cdot t\left\|e^{-t D^{\gamma}} \partial^{2} R^{\perp} f_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right\|_{\infty-}\left\|g_{[j-2 . j+2]}\right\|_{p+} \\
& +2^{j(2 \gamma-1)} \cdot t^{2}\left\|e^{-t D^{\gamma}} \partial^{2} R^{\perp} f_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right\|_{\infty-}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p+} \\
\lesssim & c_{2} \cdot\left(t+t^{2}\right)\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+2} f\right\|_{p} \cdot 2^{j \gamma}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.5. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$. For $j \leq J_{0}+6,0<t \leq 1$, we have

$$
\left\|B_{j}\left(f_{>J_{0}+4}, g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim c_{2}\left\|e^{-t D^{\gamma}} f_{>J_{0}+4}\right\|_{p}\left\|g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right\|_{p} .
$$

For $j>J_{0}+6$ and any $t>0$, we have

$$
\left\|B_{j}\left(f_{>J_{0}+4}, g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim c_{2} \cdot 2^{j 0+}\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+2} g\right\|_{p}\left\|f_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p}
$$

In the above $c_{2}>0$ depends on $\left(\gamma, p, J_{0}\right)$.
Proof. The estimate for $j \leq J_{0}+6$ is obvious. Observe that for $j>J_{0}+6$,

$$
B_{j}\left(f_{>J_{0}+4}, g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right)=P_{j} e^{t D^{\gamma}}\left(e^{-t D^{\gamma}} R^{\perp} P_{>J_{0}+4} f_{[j-2, j+2]} \cdot \nabla e^{-t D^{\gamma}} P_{\leq J_{0}+2} g\right) .
$$

Thus by Lemma 5.1

$$
\left\|B_{j}\left(f_{>J_{0}+4}, g_{\leq J_{0}+2}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim\left\|R^{\perp} f_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p+}\left\|\nabla P_{\leq J_{0}+2} g\right\|_{\infty-} \lesssim c_{2} 2^{j 0+}\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+2} g\right\|_{p}\left\|f_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p} .
$$

Lemma 5.6. Denote $f^{h}=f_{>J_{0}+2}, g^{h}=g_{>J_{0}+2}$. Then for $j \geq J_{0}, 1 \leq p<\infty, 0<\gamma<1$, we have

$$
\left\|B_{j}\left(f^{h}, g^{h}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim 2^{j \gamma}\left\|f^{h}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\gamma}}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}^{h}\right\|_{p}+2^{j \gamma}\left\|g^{h}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\gamma}}\left\|f_{[j-2, j+9]}^{h}\right\|_{p}+2^{j} \sum_{k \geq j+8} 2^{k \cdot \frac{2}{p}}\left\|f_{k}^{h}\right\|_{p}\left\|g_{k}^{h}\right\|_{p} .
$$

Proof. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{j}\left(f^{h}, g^{h}\right) & =B_{j}\left(f_{<j-2}^{h}, g^{h}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{[j-2, j+9]}^{h}, g^{h}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{>j+9}^{h}, g^{h}\right) \\
& =B_{j}\left(f_{<j-2}^{h}, g_{[j-2, j+2]}^{h}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{[j-2, j+9]}^{h}, g_{<j-4}^{h}\right)+B_{j}\left(f_{[j-2, j+9]}^{h}, g_{[j-4, j+12]}^{h}\right)+\sum_{k \geq j+10} B_{j}\left(f_{k}^{h}, g^{h}\right) \\
& =:(1)+(2)+(3)+(4) .
\end{aligned}
$$


$\sigma(\xi, \eta)=\left(\phi\left(\frac{\xi+\eta}{2^{j}}\right)-\phi\left(\frac{\eta}{2^{j}}\right)\right) e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)} \chi_{|\xi|<2^{j}} \chi_{|\eta| \sim 2^{j}}-\phi\left(\frac{\eta}{2^{j}}\right)\left(e^{-t\left(|\xi|^{\gamma}+|\eta|^{\gamma}-|\xi+\eta|^{\gamma}\right)}-e^{-t|\xi|^{\gamma}}\right) \chi_{|\xi| \ll 2^{j}} \chi_{|\eta| \sim 2^{j}}$.

By an argument similar to that in Lemma 5.4, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(1)\|_{p} \lesssim & \left\|\partial R^{\perp} f_{<j-2}^{h}\right\|_{\infty-}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}^{h}\right\|_{p+}+t\left\|e^{-t D^{\gamma}} \partial R^{\perp} f_{<j-2}^{h}\right\|_{\infty} \cdot 2^{j \gamma}\left\|g_{j}^{h}\right\|_{p}+2^{j(\gamma-1)} \cdot t\left\|e^{-t D^{\gamma}} \partial^{2} R^{\perp} f_{<j-2}^{h}\right\|_{\infty-}\left\|g_{j}^{h}\right\|_{p+} \\
& +2^{j(2 \gamma-1)} \cdot t^{2}\left\|e^{-t D^{\gamma}} \partial^{2} R^{\perp} f_{<j-2}^{h}\right\|_{\infty-}\left\|g_{j}^{h}\right\|_{p+} \\
\lesssim & 2^{j \gamma}\left\|f^{h}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\gamma}}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}^{h}\right\|_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimate of (2). Clearly

$$
(2)=P_{j} e^{t D^{\gamma}}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t D^{\gamma}} f_{[j-2, j+9]}^{h} \cdot \nabla e^{-t D^{\gamma}} g_{<j-4}^{h}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\|(2)\|_{p} \lesssim\left\|f_{[j-2, j+9]}^{h}\right\|_{p+}\left\|\nabla g_{<j-4}^{h}\right\|_{\infty-} \lesssim\left\|g^{h}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}} 2^{j \gamma}\left\|f_{[j-2, j+9]}^{h}\right\|_{p}
$$

Estimate of (3). Clearly

$$
\|(3)\|_{p} \lesssim 2^{j \gamma}\left\|g^{h}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}}\left\|f_{[j-2, j+9]}^{h}\right\|_{p}
$$

$\underline{\text { Estimate of (4). We first note that }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq j+10}\left\|e^{-t D^{\gamma}} R^{\perp} f_{k}^{h} \cdot \nabla P_{j} g\right\|_{p} \lesssim 2^{j \gamma}\left\|f^{h}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\gamma}}\left\|g_{[j-2, j+2]}^{h}\right\|_{p} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand by using that $R^{\perp} f$ is divergence-free, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \geq j+10}\left\|P_{j} e^{t D^{\gamma}} \nabla \cdot\left(\left(e^{-t D^{\gamma}} R^{\perp} f_{k}^{h}\right)\left(e^{-t D^{\gamma}} g_{[k-2, k+2]}^{h}\right)\right)\right\|_{p} \\
\lesssim & \sum_{k \geq j+10} 2^{j}\left\|f_{k}^{h}\right\|_{2 p}\left\|g_{[k-2, k+2]}^{h}\right\|_{2 p} \\
\lesssim & 2^{j} \sum_{k \geq j+8} 2^{k \cdot \frac{2}{p}}\left\|f_{k}^{h}\right\|_{p} \cdot\left\|g_{k}^{h}\right\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall that initial data $\theta_{0} \in B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}, 1 \leq p<\infty, 0<\gamma<1$ and $1 \leq q<\infty$.
Lemma 6.1. Let $\chi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $\theta_{0} \in B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with $1 \leq p<\infty, 1 \leq q<\infty, s>0$. Let $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be $a$ sequence of positive numbers such that $\inf _{n} \lambda_{n}>0$. Then

$$
\lim _{J_{0} \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|P_{>J_{0}}\left(\chi\left(\lambda_{n}^{-1} x\right) P_{\leq n+2} \theta_{0}\right)\right\|_{B_{p, q}^{s}}=0
$$

Proof. Write $f=\chi\left(\lambda_{n}^{-1} x\right), g=P_{\leq n+2} \theta_{0}$, then

$$
f g=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(f_{j} g_{<j-2}+g_{j} f_{<j-2}+f_{j} \tilde{g}_{j}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{g}_{j}=g_{[j-2, j+2]}$. Clearly

$$
\left(2^{j s}\left\|f_{j} g_{<j-2}\right\|_{p}\right)_{l_{j}^{q}\left(j \geq J_{0}\right)} \lesssim\|g\|_{p}\left\|\partial^{2[s]+2} f\right\|_{\infty}\left(2^{-j(2[s]+2-s)}\right)_{l_{j}^{q}\left(j \geq J_{0}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

uniformly in $n$ as $J_{0} \rightarrow \infty$. A similar estimate also shows that the diagonal piece $f_{j} \tilde{g}_{j}$ is OK. On the other hand

$$
\left(2^{j s}\left\|f_{<j-2} g_{j}\right\|_{p}\right)_{l_{j}^{q}\left(j \geq J_{0}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\infty}\left(2^{j s}\left\|P_{j} \theta_{0}\right\|_{p}\right)_{l_{j}^{q}\left(j \geq J_{0}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

uniformly in $n$ as $J_{0} \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 1. Definition of approximating solutions. Define $\theta^{(0)} \equiv 0$. For $n \geq 0$, define the iterates $\theta^{(n+1)}$ as solutions to the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \theta^{(n+1)}=-R^{\perp} \theta^{(n)} \cdot \nabla \theta^{(n+1)}-D^{\gamma} \theta^{(n+1)}, \quad(t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
\left.\theta^{(n+1)}\right|_{t=0}=\chi\left(\lambda_{n}^{-1} x\right) P_{\leq n+2} \theta_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\chi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ satisfies $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ for all $x, \chi(x) \equiv 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, and $\chi(x)=0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. Here we introduce the spatial cut-off $\chi$ so that $\theta^{(n+1)}(t=0) \in H^{k}$ for all $k \geq 0$ when we only assume $\theta_{0}$ lies in $L^{p}$ type spaces. The scaling parameters $\lambda_{n} \geq 1$ are inductively chosen such that $\lambda_{n}>\max \left\{4 \lambda_{n-1}, 2^{n}\right\}$ and

$$
\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(|x|>\frac{1}{100} \lambda_{n}\right)}<2^{-100 n}
$$

Easy to check that

$$
\left\|\theta^{(n+1)}(0)-\theta^{(n)}(0)\right\|_{p} \lesssim 2^{-n\left(1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}\right)}
$$

and by interpolation for $0<\tilde{s}<1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}, \tilde{s}=0+$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\theta^{(n+1)}(0)-\theta^{(n)}(0)\right\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\tilde{s}}} \lesssim 2^{-n\left(1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}\right)+} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also by Lemma 6.1, we have

$$
\left\|\theta^{(n+1)}(0)-\theta_{0}\right\|_{B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

These estimates will be needed for the contraction estimate later.
Clearly we have the uniform boundedness of $L^{p}$ norm:

$$
\sup _{n \geq 0} \sup _{0 \leq t<\infty}\left\|\theta^{(n+1)}(t)\right\|_{p} \leq \sup _{n \geq 0}\left\|P_{\leq n+2} \theta_{0}\right\|_{p} \lesssim\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{p}
$$

This will be often used without explicit mentioning below.
Step 2. Denote $A=\frac{1}{2} D^{\gamma}, f^{(n+1)}(t)=e^{t A} \theta^{(n+1)}(t)$. Then

$$
\partial_{t} f^{(n+1)}=-A f^{(n+1)}-e^{t A}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} f^{(n)} \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f^{(n+1)}\right)
$$

One can view $f^{(n+1)}$ as the unique limit of the sequence of solutions $\left(f_{m}^{(n+1)}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ solving the reguarized system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} f_{m}^{(n+1)}=-A f_{m}^{(n+1)}-e^{t A} P_{\leq m}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} f^{(n)} \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} P_{\leq m}^{2} f_{m}^{(n+1)}\right) \\
\left.f_{m}^{(n+1)}\right|_{t=0}=\chi\left(\lambda_{n}^{-1} x\right) P_{\leq n+2} \theta_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using the estimates in Section 2 (and the inductive assumption that $f^{(n)} \in C_{t}^{0} H^{k}$ for all $k \geq 0$ ), we can then obtain $f^{(n+1)} \in C_{t}^{0}\left([0, T], H^{k}\right)$ for all $T>0, k \geq 0$. Write

$$
f^{(n+1)}(t)=e^{-t A} f^{(n+1)}(0)-\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s) A} e^{s A}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-s A} f^{(n)} \cdot \nabla e^{-s A} f^{(n+1)}\right) d s
$$

By using the fact that $f^{(n)}, f^{(n+1)} \in C_{t}^{0} H^{k}$, it is not difficult to check that

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|\partial^{k} f^{(n+1)}(t)\right\|_{p}<\infty, \quad \forall T>0, k \geq 0
$$

It follows that for any $T>0$

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|\partial_{t} f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p} \leq \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|D^{\gamma} f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}+\left\|e^{t A}\left(R e^{-t A} f^{(n)} \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f^{(n+1)}\right)\right\|_{p}<\infty
$$

This together with interpolation implies $f^{(n+1)} \in C_{t}^{0}\left([0, T], W^{k, p}\right)$ for any $T>0, k \geq 0$. These estimates establish the (a priori) finiteness of the various Besov norms and associated time continuity needed in the following steps.

Step 3. Besov norm estimates. Denote $f_{j}^{(n+1)}=P_{j} f^{(n+1)}$. For any $\epsilon_{0}>0$, we show that there exists $J_{1}$ sufficiently large, and $T_{1}>0$ sufficiently small, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq 0}\left(2^{j\left(1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}\right)}\left\|f_{j}^{(n)}\right\|_{p}\right)_{l_{j}^{q} L_{t}^{\infty}\left(t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right], j \geq J_{1}\right)}<\epsilon_{0} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\partial_{t} f_{j}^{(n+1)}=-\frac{1}{2} D^{\gamma} f_{j}^{(n+1)}-P_{j} e^{t A}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} f^{(n)} \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f^{(n+1)}\right)
$$

Then by using Lemma 2.1] we get for some constants $\tilde{C}_{1}>0, \tilde{C}_{2}>0$,

$$
\partial_{t}\left(\left\|f_{j}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}\right)+\tilde{C}_{1} 2^{j \gamma}\left\|f_{j}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p} \leq \tilde{C}_{2}\left\|\left[P_{j} e^{t A}, e^{-t A} R^{\perp} f^{(n)}\right] \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}
$$

Take an integer $J_{0} \geq 10$ which will be made sufficiently large later. By using the nonlinear estimates derived before (see Lemma 5.3 5.6), we then obtain

$$
\left\|f_{j}^{(n+1)}(t)\right\|_{p} \leq e^{-\tilde{C}_{1} 2^{j \gamma} t}\left\|f_{j}^{(n+1)}(0)\right\|_{p}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\tilde{C}_{1} 2^{j \gamma}(t-s)} N_{j} d s
$$

where for some constants $\tilde{C}_{3}>0, \tilde{C}_{4}>0, \tilde{C}_{5}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{j}= & 1_{j \leq J_{0}+6} \cdot \tilde{C}_{3} \cdot\left(\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+10} f^{(n)}\right\|_{p}^{2}+\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+10} f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}^{2}+\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{p}^{2}\right)+1_{j>J_{0}+6} \cdot \tilde{C}_{4} \cdot 2^{j 0+}\left(\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+2} f^{(n)}\right\|_{p}\left\|f_{[j-1, j+2]}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}\right) \\
& +1_{j>J_{0}+6} \cdot \tilde{C}_{4} \cdot\left(2^{j 0+}\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+2} f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}\left\|f_{[j-1, j+2]}^{(n)}\right\|_{p}+s\left\|P_{\leq J_{0}+2} f^{(n)}\right\|_{p} \cdot 2^{j \gamma}\left\|f_{[j-2, j+2]}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}\right) \\
& +\tilde{C}_{5} 2^{j \gamma}\left(\left\|P_{>J_{0}+2} f^{(n)}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\gamma}}\left\|P_{>J_{0}+2} f_{[j-2, j+2]}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}+\left\|P_{>J_{0}+2} f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\gamma}}\left\|P_{>J_{0}+2} f_{[j-2, j+9]}^{(n)}\right\|_{p}\right) \\
& +\tilde{C}_{5} 2^{j} \sum_{k \geq j+8} 2^{k \frac{2}{p}}\left\|P_{>J_{0}+2} f_{k}^{(n)}\right\|_{p}\left\|P_{>J_{0}+2} f_{k}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote

$$
\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{T, J_{0}}=\left(2^{j\left(1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}\right)}\left\|f_{j}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}\right)_{l_{j}^{q} L_{t}^{\infty}\left(t \in[0, T], j \geq J_{0}\right)}
$$

One should note that by the estimates derived in Step 2, the above norm of $f^{(n+1)}$ is finite. Then for $0<T \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{T, J_{0}} \leq & \left(2^{j\left(1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}\right)}\left\|f_{j}^{(n+1)}(0)\right\|_{p}\right)_{l_{j}^{q}\left(j \geq J_{0}\right)}+C_{J_{0}}^{(1)} T\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{p}^{2}+C_{1} \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{2} J_{0} \gamma} \cdot e^{C_{3} \cdot 2^{J_{0} \gamma} T}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{p} \cdot\left(\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{T, J_{0}}+\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{T, J_{0}}\right) \\
& +C_{J_{0}}^{(2)} \cdot T\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{p}\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{T, J_{0}}+C_{2}\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{T, J_{0}}\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{T, J_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{J_{0}}^{(1)}, C_{J_{0}}^{(2)}>0$ are constants depending on $\left(J_{0}, \gamma, p, q\right), C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ are constants depending only on $(\gamma, p, q)$, and $C_{3}>0$ depends only on $\gamma$.

By Lemma 6.1 one can find $J_{0}$ sufficiently large such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{n \geq 0}\left(2^{j\left(1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}\right)}\left\|f_{j}^{(n+1)}(0)\right\|_{p}\right) l_{j}^{q}\left(j \geq J_{0}\right)<\frac{1}{100 C_{2}}, \\
& C_{1} \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{2} J_{0} \gamma} \cdot 10\left(1+\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{p}\right)<\frac{1}{20} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix such $J_{0}$ and then choose $T=T_{0} \leq 1$ such that

$$
C_{J_{0}}^{(1)} T_{0}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{p}^{2}<\frac{1}{100 C_{2}}, \quad C_{3} \cdot 2^{J_{0} \gamma} \cdot T_{0}<\frac{1}{100}, \quad C_{J_{0}}^{(2)} T_{0}\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{p}<\frac{1}{20}
$$

The inductive assumption is $\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{T_{0}, J_{0}}<\frac{1}{4 C_{2}}$. Then clearly

$$
\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{T_{0}, J_{0}} \leq \frac{1}{100 C_{2}}+\frac{1}{100 C_{2}}+\frac{1}{20}\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{T_{0}, J_{0}}+\frac{1}{20} \cdot \frac{1}{4 C_{2}}+\frac{1}{20}\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{T_{0}, J_{0}}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{T_{0}, J_{0}}
$$

This easily implies $\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{T_{0}, J_{0}}<\frac{1}{4 C_{2}}$ which completes the argument.
The statement (6.2) clearly follows by a slight modification of the above argument.
Step 4. Contraction in $B_{p, \infty}^{s_{0}}$ where $s_{0}>0$ is a sufficiently small number.
Remark. We chose the space $C_{t}^{0} B_{p, \infty}^{0+}$ since it contains $L^{p}$ and its norm coincides with the usual Chemin-Lerner space $\tilde{L}_{t}^{\infty} B_{p, \infty}^{0+}$ (see (6.3)). This way one can make full use of the smoothing effect of the linear semigroup on each dyadic frequency block which is needed for this critical problem.

Set $\eta^{(n+1)}=f^{(n+1)}-f^{(n)}$. Then

$$
\partial_{t} \eta^{(n+1)}=-A \eta^{(n+1)}-e^{t A}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} \eta^{(n)} \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f^{(n+1)}\right)-e^{t A}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} f^{(n-1)} \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} \eta^{(n+1)}\right)
$$

It is easy to check for $0 \leq t \leq T_{0}, J_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t}\left\|P_{\leq 2 J_{1}} \eta^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p} & \lesssim J_{1}, T_{0}\left\|\eta^{(n)}\right\|_{p}\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|_{\infty-}+\left\|f^{(n-1)}\right\|_{\infty-}\left\|\eta^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq C_{T_{0}, J_{1}} \cdot\left(\left\|\eta^{(n)}\right\|_{p}+\left\|\eta^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{T_{0}, J_{1}}$ is a constant depending only on $\left(\theta_{0}, J_{1}, T_{0}, \gamma, p, q\right)$. Here we used the estimates obtained in Step 3. On the other hand for $j \geq J_{1}$, denoting $\eta_{j}^{(n+1)}=P_{j} \eta^{(n+1)}$, we have
$\partial_{t}\left\|\eta_{j}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}+\tilde{C}_{1} 2^{j \gamma}\left\|\eta_{j}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p} \lesssim\left\|P_{j} e^{t A}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} \eta^{(n)} \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f^{(n+1)}\right)\right\|_{p}+\left\|\left[P_{j} e^{t A}, R^{\perp} e^{-t A} f^{(n-1)}\right] \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} \eta^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}$.
We now need a simple lemma.
Lemma 6.2. Let $0<t \leq 1,1<p<\infty, J_{1} \geq 10$. We have for any $j \geq J_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|P_{j} e^{t A}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} \eta \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} f\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim 2^{j\left(1+\frac{2}{p}-s_{0}\right)}\left\|f_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p}\|\eta\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{s_{0}}}+2^{j \gamma}\left\|\eta_{[j-2, j+3]}\right\|_{p} \cdot\left(2^{j\left(1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}\right)}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p}\right)_{l_{j}^{\infty}\left(j \geq 2 J_{1}\right)} \\
& \quad+2^{3 J_{1}\left(1+\frac{2}{p}\right)}\left\|P_{\leq 3 J_{1}} f\right\|_{p} \cdot 2^{j 0+}\left\|\eta_{[j-2, j+3]}\right\|_{p}+2^{j}\|\eta\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{s_{0}}} \sum_{k \geq j+4} 2^{k\left(\frac{2}{p}-s_{0}\right)}\left\|f_{[k-2, k+2]}\right\|_{p} ; \\
&\left\|P_{j} e^{t A}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} f \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} \eta\right)-R^{\perp} e^{-t A} f \cdot \nabla P_{j} \eta\right\|_{p} \lesssim 2^{j \gamma}\left\|f_{>2 J_{1}}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\gamma}}\left\|\eta_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p}+C_{J_{1}} \cdot\left\|f_{\leq 2 J_{1}}\right\|_{p} \cdot 2^{j 0+}\left\|\eta_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p} \\
& \quad+C_{J_{1}} \cdot t\left\|f_{\leq 2 J_{1}}\right\|_{p} \cdot 2^{j \gamma}\left\|\eta_{j}\right\|_{p}+\|\eta\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{s_{0}}} \cdot 2^{j\left(1+\frac{2}{p}-s_{0}\right)}\left\|P_{>2 J_{1}} f_{[j-2, j+3]}\right\|_{p}+2^{j}\|\eta\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{s_{0}}} \sum_{k \geq j+4} 2^{k\left(\frac{2}{p}-s_{0}\right)}\left\|f_{[k-2, k+2]}\right\|_{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{J_{1}}$ is a constant depending on $J_{1}$.
Proof of Lemma 6.2. For the first inequality we denote $N_{j}(g, h)=P_{j} e^{t A}\left(R^{\perp} e^{-t A} g \cdot \nabla e^{-t A} h\right)$. By frequency localization, we write

$$
N_{j}(\eta, f)=N_{j}\left(\eta_{<j-2}, f_{[j-2, j+2]}\right)+N_{j}\left(\eta_{[j-2, j+3]}, f_{\leq j+5}\right)+\sum_{k \geq j+4} N_{j}\left(\eta_{k}, f_{[k-2, k+2]}\right)
$$

Clearly

$$
\left\|N_{j}\left(\eta_{<j-2}, f_{[j-2, j+2]}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim 2^{j}\left\|f_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p+}\left\|\eta_{<j-2}\right\|_{\infty-} \lesssim 2^{j\left(1+\frac{2}{p}-s_{0}\right)}\left\|f_{[j-2, j+2]}\right\|_{p}\|\eta\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{s_{0}}} .
$$

For the second term we split $f$ as $f=f_{\leq 3 J_{1}}+f_{>3 J_{1}}$. Then
$\left\|N_{j}\left(\eta_{[j-2, j+3]}, f_{\leq j+5}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim 2^{j \gamma}\left\|\eta_{[j-2, j+3]}\right\|_{p} \cdot\left(2^{j\left(1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}\right)}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p}\right)_{l_{j}^{\infty}\left(j \geq 2 J_{1}\right)}+2^{3 J_{1}\left(1+\frac{2}{p}\right)}\left\|P_{\leq 3 J_{1}} f\right\|_{p} \cdot 2^{j 0+}\left\|\eta_{[j-2, j+3]}\right\|_{p}$.
For the diagonal piece, we have

$$
\sum_{k \geq j+4}\left\|N_{j}\left(\eta_{k}, f_{[k-2, k+2]}\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim 2^{j} \sum_{k \geq j+4} 2^{k \frac{2}{p}}\left\|\eta_{k}\right\|_{p}\left\|f_{[k-2, k+2]}\right\|_{p} \lesssim 2^{j}\|\eta\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{s_{0}}} \sum_{k \geq j+4} 2^{k\left(\frac{2}{p}-s_{0}\right)}\left\|f_{[k-2, k+2]}\right\|_{p}
$$

The second inequality is similar to Lemma 5.6. We omit repetitive details.
It is clear that for any $T>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\eta^{(n+1)}\right\|_{C_{t}^{0} B_{p, \infty}^{s_{0}}([0, T])} & \sim\left\|P_{\leq 1} \eta^{(n+1)}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{p}([0, T])}+\left(2^{j s_{0}}\left\|\eta_{j}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}\right)_{l_{t}^{\infty} l_{j}^{\infty}(t \in[0, T], j \geq 2)} \\
& =\left\|P_{\leq 1} \eta^{(n+1)}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{p}([0, T])}+\left(2^{j s_{0}}\left\|\eta_{j}^{(n+1)}\right\|_{p}\right)_{l_{j}^{\infty} l_{t}^{\infty}(t \in[0, T], j \geq 2)} \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the implied constant (in the notation " $\sim$ ") depends only on $\left(s_{0}, p\right)$.
By this simple observation, using Lemma 6.2, (6.2), (6.1), and choosing first $J_{1}$ sufficiently large and then $T_{1}$ sufficiently small, we obtain

$$
\left\|\eta^{(n+1)}\right\|_{C_{t}^{0} B_{p}^{s_{0}}\left(\left[0, T_{1}\right]\right)}<\frac{1}{2}\left\|\eta^{(n)}\right\|_{C_{t}^{0} B_{p, \infty}^{s_{0}}\left(\left[0, T_{1}\right]\right.}+C_{\theta_{0}} \cdot 2^{-n \sigma_{0}}
$$

where $C_{\theta_{0}}$ is a constant depending on $\left(\theta_{0}, s_{0}, p, \gamma, q\right)$ and $\sigma_{0}>0$ depends on $\left(s_{0}, \gamma, p\right)$. This clearly yields the desired contraction in the Banach space $C_{t}^{0}\left(\left[0, T_{1}\right], B_{p, \infty}^{s_{0}}\right)$.

Step 5. Time continuity in $B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}$. By the previous step and interpolation, we get $f^{(n)}$ converges strongly to the limit $f$ also in $C_{t}^{0} B_{p, 1}^{s^{\prime}}\left(\left[0, T_{1}\right]\right)$ for any $0<s^{\prime}<1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}$. We still have to show $f \in C_{t}^{0} B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}\left(\left[0, T_{1}\right]\right)$. Since $f^{(n)} \rightarrow f$ in $C_{t}^{0} L_{x}^{p}$ we only need to consider the high frequency part. Denote $s=1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}$. By using the estimates in Step 3 and strong convergence in each dyadic frequency block, we have for any $M \geq 10$,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq M} 2^{j s q}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{p}\left(\left[0, T_{1}\right]\right)}^{q}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq M} 2^{j s q}\left\|f_{j}^{(n)}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{p}\left(\left[0, T_{1}\right]\right)}^{q}<A_{1}<\infty,
$$

where $A_{1}>0$ is a constant independent of $M$. Thus $\left\|\left(f_{j}\right)\right\|_{l_{j}^{q} L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{p}\left(j \geq 1, t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right]\right)}<\infty$. Since $P_{\leq M} f \in C_{t}^{0} B_{p, q}^{s}$ for any $M$, and

$$
\left\|P_{\leq M} f-P_{\leq M^{\prime}} f\right\|_{C_{t}^{0} B_{p, q}^{s}} \lesssim\left(\sum_{M-2 \leq j \leq M^{\prime}+2} 2^{j s q}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{p}}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } M^{\prime}>M \rightarrow \infty
$$

we obtain $f \in C_{t}^{0} B_{p, q}^{s}$.
Remark. An alternative argument to show time continuity is to use directly (6.2) to get time continuity at $t=0$. For $t>0$ one can proceed similarly as the last part of Section 3 and show $e^{\epsilon_{0} A t} f \in L_{t}^{\infty} B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}$ for some $\epsilon_{0}>0$ small and use it to "damp" the high frequencies.

Step 6. Set $\theta(t)=e^{-t A} f(t, \cdot)$. Clearly $\theta \in C_{t}^{0} B_{p, q}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}$. Recall $\theta_{n}=e^{-t A} f_{n}(t, \cdot)$. In view of strong convergence of $f_{n}$ to $f$, we have $\theta_{n} \rightarrow \theta$ strongly in $C_{t}^{0} B_{p, 1}^{s^{\prime}}$ for any $0<s^{\prime}<1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}$. Since for any $0 \leq t_{0}<t \leq T_{0}$ we have

$$
\theta^{(n+1)}(t)=e^{-\left(t-t_{0}\right) D^{\gamma}} \theta^{(n+1)}\left(t_{0}\right)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \nabla \cdot e^{-(t-s) D^{\gamma}}\left(R^{\perp} \theta^{(n)} \theta^{(n+1)}\right)(s) d s .
$$

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(t)=e^{-\left(t-t_{0}\right) D^{\gamma}} \theta\left(t_{0}\right)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \nabla \cdot e^{-(t-s) D^{\gamma}}\left(R^{\perp} \theta(s) \theta(s)\right) d s \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be mentioned that the above equality holds in the sense of $L_{x}^{p}$ and even stronger topology. It is easy to check the absolute convergence of the integral on the RHS since

$$
\left\|\nabla \cdot e^{-(t-s) D^{\gamma}}\left(R^{\perp} \theta(s) \theta(s)\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim(t-s)^{-1+}\left\|D^{(1-\gamma)+}\left(R^{\perp} \theta(s) \theta(s)\right)\right\|_{p} \lesssim(t-s)^{-1+}\|\theta(s)\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}}^{2}
$$

Thus $\theta$ is the desired local solution. One can regard (6.4) (together with some regularity assumptions) as a variant of the usual mild solution. Note that $\theta_{j}=P_{j} \theta$ is smooth, and one can easy deduce from the integral formulation (6.4) the point-wise identity:

$$
\partial_{t} \theta_{j}=-D^{\gamma} \theta_{j}-\nabla \cdot P_{j}\left(\theta R^{\perp} \theta\right)
$$

From this one can proceed with the localized energy estimates and easily check the uniqueness of solution in $C_{t}^{0} B_{p, \infty}^{1-\gamma+\frac{2}{p}}$. We omit details.

Remark. Much better uniqueness results can be obtained by exploiting the specific form of $R^{\perp} \theta$ in connection with the $H^{-1 / 2}$ conservation law for inviscid SQG. Since this is not the focus of this work, we will not dwell on this issue here.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ One can avoid using the incompressibility and directly derive contraction in $L^{2}$ by using slightly more complicated arguments. For simplicity of presentation we do not pursue this generality here.

