

# Symmetric radial decreasing rearrangement can increase the fractional Gagliardo norm in domains

Dong Li<sup>\*</sup>, Ke Wang<sup>†</sup>

August 24, 2021

## Abstract

We show that the symmetric radial decreasing rearrangement can increase the fractional Gagliardo semi-norm in domains.

## 1 Introduction

For any Borel set  $A$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|A| < \infty$  ( $|A|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $A$ ), define  $A^*$ , the symmetric rearrangement of  $A$  as the open ball

$$A^* = \{x : |x| < (|A|/\alpha_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}\},$$

where  $\alpha_n = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}/\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$  is the volume of the unit ball. If  $|A| = 0$ , then  $A^* = \emptyset$  and for later purposes we conveniently define  $\chi_{\emptyset} \equiv 0$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{U}_0$  the space of Borel measurable functions  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mu_u(t) = |\{x : |u(x)| > t\}| \text{ is finite for all } t > 0.$$

Observe that  $\mu_u(\cdot)$  is right-continuous, non-increasing and (by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_u(t) = 0$ . For any  $u \in \mathcal{U}_0$ , define the symmetric decreasing rearrangement  $u^*$  as

$$u^*(x) = \int_0^\infty \chi_{\{|u|>t\}^*}(x) dt = \sup\{t : |\{|u| > t\}| > \alpha_n |x|^n\}.$$

Since  $\mu_u$  decays to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $0 \leq u^*(x) < \infty$  for any  $x \neq 0$ , whereas  $u^*(0)$  may be  $\infty$ . Evidently, the function  $u^*$  is radial, non-increasing in  $|x|$ , and satisfy

$$\{|u| > t\}^* = \{u^* > t\}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>DL is partially supported by Hong Kong RGC grant GRF 16307317.

<sup>†</sup>KW is partially supported by HKUST Initiation Grant IGN16SC05.

From this one can deduce  $|\{ |u| > t \}| = |\{ u^* > t \}|$ ,  $\forall t > 0$  and  $\|u^*\|_p = \|u\|_p$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Note that it follows from the level set characterisation that any uniform translation of  $u$  does not change  $u^*$ , namely if for any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define  $u_{x_0}(x) = u(x - x_0)$ , then

$$(u_{x_0})^* = u^*. \quad (1)$$

This simple property will be used without explicit mentioning later. On the other hand, the effect of rearrangement on the gradient of the function is more complex and interesting. Let  $u$  be a nonnegative smooth function that vanishes at infinity. The Pólya-Szegő [10] inequality states that for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u^*|^p.$$

Brothers-Ziemer [2] gave a characterization of the equality case under the assumption that the distribution function of  $u$  is absolutely continuous. This Pólya-Szegő inequality also holds for every bounded open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . That is, for every nonnegative  $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ , we also have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \geq \int_{\Omega^*} |\nabla u^*|^p.$$

As a matter of fact, one can show that for every  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , one has  $u^* \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega^*)$  and the above inequality holds.

We are interested in the effect of symmetric decreasing rearrangement for fractional Sobolev inequalities. For  $0 < \sigma < 1$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we define the space  $\dot{W}^{\sigma,p}(\Omega)$  as the completion of  $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  under the norm

$$\|u\|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma,p}(\Omega)} = \left( \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It was shown in Theorem 9.2 in Almgren-Lieb [1] that

$$\|u\|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \geq \|u^*\|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Characterizations of the equality case have been given in Burchard-Hajaiej [3] and Frank-Seiringer [7]. Motivated by the Pólya-Szegő inequality in domains, we would like to investigate whether the above inequality holds for bounded open sets  $\Omega$ . That is, do we have

$$\|u\|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma,p}(\Omega)} \geq \|u^*\|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma,p}(\Omega^*)}? \quad (2)$$

Another motivation of the above question comes from Frank-Jin-Xiong [6], where the authors study the best constants of fractional Sobolev inequalities on domains. A classical result of Lieb [8] implies that

$$S(n, \sigma, \mathbb{R}^n) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} \leq \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{W}^{\sigma,2}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (3)$$

where  $S(n, \sigma, \mathbb{R}^n) = \frac{2^{1-2\sigma} \omega_n^{\frac{2\sigma}{n}} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(2-\sigma)}{\sigma(1-\sigma)\Gamma(\frac{n-2\sigma}{2})}$  and  $\omega_n$  is the volume of the unit  $n$ -dimensional sphere.

Moreover, the equality in (3) holds if and only if  $u(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}$  up to translating and

scaling. These follow from the fact that the sharp fractional Sobolev inequality is a dual inequality of the sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. For an open set  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $\sigma \in (1/2, 1)$  and  $n \geq 2$ , then there exists a positive constant  $\underline{S}(n, \sigma)$  depending only on  $n, \sigma$  but *not* on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\underline{S}(n, \sigma) \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} \leq \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n+2\sigma}} dx dy \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{W}^{\sigma, 2}(\Omega). \quad (4)$$

This inequality is called the fractional Sobolev inequality in domain  $\Omega$ . It is included in Theorem 1.1 in Dyda-Frank [5]. It actually follows from (3) and a fractional Hardy inequality of Dyda [4], Loss-Sloane [9] and Dyda-Frank [5] (by using similar arguments to the proof of Theorem 1.2 here; see the remark in the end of this paper).

In Frank-Jin-Xiong [6], they studied the best constant in (4):

$$S(n, \sigma, \Omega) := \inf \left\{ \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n+2\sigma}} dx dy \mid u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

It was proved in [6] that this best constant  $S(n, \sigma, \Omega)$  actually depends on the domain  $\Omega$ , and can be achieved in many cases such as in the half spaces  $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_n > 0\}$  or some smooth bounded domains, which is in contrast to the classical Sobolev inequalities in domains. Let  $B_r$  be the ball of radius  $r$  centered at the origin, and  $B_1^+ = B_1 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Suppose  $\sigma \in (1/2, 1)$ , and  $\Omega$  is a  $C^2$  bounded open set such that  $B_1^+ \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , then it was proved in [6] that both  $S(n, \sigma, \mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and  $S(n, \sigma, \Omega)$  are achieved, and there holds the inequality

$$S(n, \sigma, \Omega) < S(n, \sigma, \mathbb{R}_+^n) < S(n, \sigma, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

On the other hand, from (4), we have that for  $\sigma \in (1/2, 1)$ ,  $S(n, \sigma, \Omega) \geq \underline{S}(n, \sigma) > 0$  for every open set  $\Omega$ . An interesting question left open is to find the value of  $\inf_{\Omega} S(n, \sigma, \Omega)$  for  $\sigma \in (1/2, 1)$ , where the infimum is taken over all bounded open sets  $\Omega$ . A conjecture is that  $\inf_{\Omega} S(n, \sigma, \Omega)$  is achieved by a ball, which could follow from (2). However, we show in this paper that (2) is false.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $\Omega$  be any nonempty open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|\Omega| < \infty$ . Let  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in (0, \infty)$ . There exists a nonnegative  $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  such that*

$$\iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy < \iint_{\Omega^* \times \Omega^*} \frac{|u^*(x) - u^*(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy.$$

We will prove this theorem in the next section by using an explicit computation.

**Remark.** *Theorem 1.1 holds in particular when  $\Omega$  is an open ball centered at the origin (so that  $\Omega^* = \Omega$ ).*

**Remark.** *In [1] (see Corollary 2.3 therein), a general rearrangement inequality is shown to hold for convex integrands. Namely, if  $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is convex with  $\Psi(0) = 0$ , then for every nonnegative  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  function  $W$ , every nonnegative  $f, g \in \mathcal{U}_0$  with  $\Psi \circ f, \Psi \circ g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , one has*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(|f(x) - g(y)|) W(x - y) dx dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(|f^*(x) - g^*(y)|) W^*(x - y) dx dy.$$

*Our Theorem 1.1 shows that such a general result cannot hold if  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is replaced by a domain  $\Omega$  of finite measure on the left-hand side (and correspondingly by  $\Omega^*$  on the right-hand side).*

On the other hand, we have the following estimate.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in (0, \infty)$  be such that  $\sigma p > 1$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  depending only on  $n, \sigma$  and  $p$  such that*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u^*(x) - u^*(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy \leq C \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy$$

for all open sets  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and all nonnegative  $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ . In particular,

$$\iint_{\Omega^* \times \Omega^*} \frac{|u^*(x) - u^*(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy \leq C \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy.$$

## 2 Proofs

We begin with the following simple lemma. Recall that for any two sets  $A$  and  $B$ , their symmetric difference  $A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ .

**Remark.** For an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|\Omega^* \triangle \Omega| = 0$  if and only if  $\Omega = \Omega^*$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open and bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

(i). *Suppose  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is radial and strictly decreasing, i.e.  $f(x) > f(y)$  if  $|x| < |y|$ . Then*

$$\int_{\Omega^*} f(x) dx > \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx \quad \text{if } |\Omega^* \triangle \Omega| > 0. \quad (5)$$

(ii). *Suppose  $\overline{B}_\delta \subset \Omega$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B}_\delta)$  be radial and strictly decreasing. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} f(x) dx > \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega^*} f(x) dx \quad \text{if } |\Omega^* \triangle \Omega| > 0. \quad (6)$$

**Remark.** The main example is  $f(x) = |x|^{-\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Similar proof as below can show the well-known inequality that for any Borel measure  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|A| < \infty$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\delta > 0$ , one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus A} |x - x_0|^{-n-\delta} dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus A^*} |x|^{-n-\delta} dx = \text{const} \cdot |A|^{-\frac{\delta}{n}}.$$

This inequality can be used to establish fractional Sobolev embedding. We should stress that in our case one needs strict inequality and for this reason we impose strict monotonicity on  $f$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be the radius of  $\Omega^*$ .

We prove (i) first. Notice that

$$\int_{\Omega^*} f(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx = \int_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega} f(x) dx - \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega^*} f(x) dx.$$

Since  $|\Omega^*| = |\Omega|$ , we have  $|\Omega \setminus \Omega^*| = |\Omega^* \setminus \Omega| = \frac{1}{2}|\Omega^* \triangle \Omega| > 0$ . Since  $f$  is radial and strictly decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega} f(x) \, dx &> f(r)|\Omega^* \triangle \Omega|, \\ \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega^*} f(x) \, dx &< f(r)|\Omega^* \triangle \Omega|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the inequality (5) follows.

To prove (ii), we notice  $\overline{B}_\delta \subset \Omega^*$  by the assumption, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} f(x) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega^*} f(x) \, dx = \int_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega} f(x) \, dx - \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega^*} f(x) \, dx.$$

Hence, the inequality (6) follows the same as above.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Our proof of the general case in Theorem 1.1 is inspired by that of the special case  $\Omega$  being a ball. So we will provide the proof of Theorem 1.1 for  $\Omega = B_1$  first.

Let  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_1)$  be a radially decreasing function such that  $\eta(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1/2$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  which will be chosen very small,

$$x_\varepsilon = (1 - \varepsilon, 0, \dots, 0),$$

and

$$u_\varepsilon = \eta \left( \frac{x - x_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Since we assumed that  $\eta$  is smooth, nonnegative, and radially decreasing, it is clear that

$$u_\varepsilon^* = \eta \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} \, dx \, dy = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u_\varepsilon^*(x) - u_\varepsilon^*(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} \, dx \, dy. \quad (7)$$

Since  $B_1^* = B_1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{B_1 \times B_1} \frac{|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} \, dx \, dy - 2 \int_{B_1} u_\varepsilon^p(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} \, dy \right) \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

we only need to show that

$$\int_{B_1} u_\varepsilon^p(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} \, dy \right) \, dx > \int_{B_1} (u_\varepsilon^*(x))^p \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} \, dy \right) \, dx. \quad (8)$$

First, since  $u^*$  is supported in  $B_\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1} (u_\varepsilon^*(x))^p \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \right) dx &= \int_{B_\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon^*(x))^p \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \right) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{B_\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon^*(x))^p dx = C\varepsilon^n \int_{B_1} \eta^p(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where (as well as in the below)  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

Secondly, since  $u$  is supported in  $B_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1} u_\varepsilon^p(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \right) dx &\geq \int_{B_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)} u_\varepsilon^p(x) \left( \int_{\{y: y_1 \geq 1\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \right) dx \\ &\geq C \int_{B_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)} \frac{u_\varepsilon^p(x)}{(1-x_1)^{\sigma p}} dx \\ &\geq C\varepsilon^{-\sigma p} \int_{B_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)} u_\varepsilon^p(x) dx = C\varepsilon^{n-\sigma p} \int_{B_1} \eta^p(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality, we used that for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $x_1 < 1$ ,

$$\int_{\{y: y_1 \geq 1\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy = C(1-x_1)^{-\sigma p}.$$

This proves (8), and thus Theorem 1.1 for  $\Omega = B_1$ , if we choose  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small.

Now let us consider the general case where  $\Omega$  is not a ball. Since  $\Omega$  is an open set and the Gagliardo semi-norm is translation invariant and dilation invariant (and also by (1)), without loss of generality, we may assume  $\Omega$  contains  $B_1$ . Again, let  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_1)$  be a radially decreasing function such that  $\eta(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1/2$ . Define for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \eta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Hence,

$$u_\varepsilon^*(x) = \eta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

and thus, (7) also holds. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy - 2 \int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon^p(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

we only need to check the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon^p(x) F(x) dx > \int_{\Omega^*} (u_\varepsilon^*(x))^p \tilde{F}(x) dx, \quad (9)$$

where

$$F(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+p\sigma}} dy \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{F}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega^*} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+p\sigma}} dy. \quad (10)$$

Noticing the support of  $\eta$ , this reduces to checking the inequality

$$\int_{B_1} \eta^p(x) F(\varepsilon x) dx > \int_{B_1} \eta^p(x) \tilde{F}(\varepsilon x) dx. \quad (11)$$

Since  $\Omega$  is an open and is not a ball, we have  $|\Omega^* \setminus \Omega| = |\Omega \setminus \Omega^*| > 0$ . Then it follows from (6) in Lemma 2.1 that  $F(0) > \tilde{F}(0)$ . Hence, the inequality (11) holds for all  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small by using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Theorem 1.1 is proved.

We remark that the above proof for the general case where  $\Omega$  is not a ball can also be used to prove the case when  $\Omega = B_1$ , which is as follows. Let  $\eta$  be the same as before,  $|\bar{x}| = 1/2$  and define

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \eta\left(\frac{x - \bar{x}}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Hence,

$$u_\varepsilon^*(x) = \eta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

As above, we only need to check the inequality (9). Since  $\Omega = B_1$ , we have  $\Omega^* = \Omega$  and  $F = \tilde{F}$ . Thus, by change of variables and noticing the support of  $\eta$ , this reduces to checking the inequality

$$\int_{B_1} \eta^p(x) F(\bar{x} + \varepsilon x) dx > \int_{B_1} \eta^p(x) F(\varepsilon x) dx. \quad (12)$$

Since

$$F(\bar{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{1}{|\bar{x} - y|^{n+p\sigma}} dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1(\bar{x})} \frac{1}{|z|^{n+p\sigma}} dz > \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{1}{|z|^{n+p\sigma}} dz = F(0),$$

where we used (6) in the last inequality (noticing  $(B_1(\bar{x}))^* = B_1$ ), the inequality (12) holds for all  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small by using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.  $\square$

We now give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We only need to consider the case where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open set that satisfies  $|\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega| > 0$ . Let  $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  be a nonnegative function.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u^*(x) - u^*(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy \\ & \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy \\ & = \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy + 2 \int_{\Omega} u^p(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

As in Loss-Sloane [9] and Dyda-Frank [5], we denote

$$d_\omega(x) = \inf\{|t| : x + t\omega \notin \Omega\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

where  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere, and

$$m_\alpha(x) = \left( \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{1+\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N+\alpha}{2})} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{d_\omega(x)^\alpha} d\omega \right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy &\leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} d\omega \int_{d_\omega(x)}^\infty \frac{1}{r^{n+\sigma p}} dr = (n+\sigma p-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{d_\omega(x)^{\sigma p}} d\omega \\ &= \frac{C(n, \sigma, p)}{(m_{\sigma p}(x))^{\sigma p}} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C(n, \sigma, p)$  depending only on  $n, \sigma$  and  $p$ , but *not* on  $\Omega$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u^p(x) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \right) dx &\leq C(n, \sigma, p) \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^p(x)}{(m_{\sigma p}(x))^{\sigma p}} dx \\ &\leq C(n, \sigma, p) \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where we use Theorem 1.2 (fractional Hardy inequality) of Loss-Sloane [9] in the last inequality. Therefore, combining (13) and (14), we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u^*(x) - u^*(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy \leq C(n, \sigma, p) \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy.$$

Theorem 1.2 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark.** The above proof of Theorem 1.2 can be used to prove (4). Indeed, if  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  and  $1 < \sigma p < n$ , then for every open set  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{\frac{np}{n-\sigma p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-\sigma p}{n}} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^{\frac{np}{n-\sigma p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-\sigma p}{n}} \\ &\leq C(n, \sigma, p) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy \\ &\leq C(n, \sigma, p) \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we used the classical fractional Sobolev inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and in the second inequality we used (13) and (14).



## References

- [1] F. J. Almgren Jr. and E. H. Lieb. Symmetric decreasing rearrangement is sometimes continuous. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2(4): 683–773, 1989.
- [2] J. E. Brothers and W. P. Ziemer. Minimal rearrangements of Sobolev functions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 384:153–179, 1988.
- [3] A. Burchard and H. Hajaiej. Rearrangement inequalities for functionals with monotone integrands. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 233(2):561–582, 2006.
- [4] B. Dyda. A fractional order Hardy inequality. *Illinois J. Math.*, 48(2):575–588, 2004.
- [5] B. Dyda and R. L. Frank. Fractional Hardy-Sobolev-Maz’ya inequality for domains. *Studia Math.*, 208(2):151–166, 2012.
- [6] R. L. Frank, T. Jin, and J. Xiong. Minimizers for the fractional Sobolev inequality on domains. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 57(2):57:43, 2018.
- [7] R. L. Frank and R. Seiringer. Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255(12):3407–3430, 2008.
- [8] E. H. Lieb. Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 118(2):349–374, 1983.
- [9] M. Loss and C. Sloane. Hardy inequalities for fractional integrals on general domains. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 259(6):1369–1379, 2010.
- [10] G. Pólya and G. Szegő. Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, no. 27, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1951.

D. Li

Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology  
Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Email: madli@ust.hk

K. Wang

Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology  
Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Email: kewang@ust.hk