The dynamical exponent of a quantum critical itinerant ferromagnet: a Monte Carlo study
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We consider the effect of the coupling between 2D quantum rotors near an XY ferromagnetic quantum critical point and spins of itinerant fermions. We analyze how this coupling affects the dynamics of rotors and the self-energy of fermions. A common belief is that near a \( q = 0 \) ferromagnetic transition, fermions induce an \( \Omega/q \) Landau damping of rotors (i.e., the dynamical critical exponent is \( z = 3 \)) and Landau overdamped rotors give rise to non-Fermi liquid fermionic self-energy \( \Sigma \propto \omega^{2/3} \). This behavior has been confirmed in previous quantum Monte Carlo studies. Here we show that for the XY case the behavior is different. We report the results of large scale quantum Monte Carlo simulations, which clearly show that at small frequencies \( z \approx 2 \) and \( \Sigma \propto \omega^{1/2} \). We argue that the new behavior is associated with the fact that a fermionic spin is by itself not a conserved quantity due to spin-spin coupling to rotors, and a combination of self-energy and vertex corrections replaces \( 1/q \) in the Landau damping by a constant. We discuss the implication of these results to experiments.

Introduction — In the study of strongly correlated systems, quantum criticality in itinerant fermionic systems is of crucial importance, because it offers a pathway towards non-Fermi liquids and unconventional superconductivity (See for example Refs. 1–3 and references therein). In this study, we focus on ferromagnetic quantum critical points in itinerant fermion systems, where non-Fermi liquid (nFL) behaviors have been observed in the quantum critical region in a variety of materials, such as the Kondo lattice materials UGe₂ [4], URhGe [5], UCGe [6], YbNi₄P₂ [7] and more recently CeRh₅Ge₄ [8, 9], where in the latter a pressure-induced quantum critical point (QCP) with the characteristic power-law nFL specific heat and resistivity is reported. This experimental progress poses a series of theoretical questions on the origin and characterization of these nFL behaviors. In particular, it is of crucial importance to understand the fundamental principles that govern these QCPs and to identify the universal properties that are enforced by these principles.

On the theoretical side, extensive efforts have been devoted to this topic in the past few decades. Based on the Hertz-Millis-Moriya theory [10–12], the dynamic critical exponent of an itinerant ferromagnetic QCP, or indeed any isotropic long-wavelength collective excitation with ordering vector \( q \neq 0 \), is \( z = 3 \). The extension of the theory to study fermionic properties [13–17], predicts that fermions near such QCPs are overdamped, with fermionic self-energy scaling as \( \Sigma \propto \omega_n^{2/3} \), where \( \omega_n \) represents the Matsubara frequency. The fact that this power is less than 1 implies that the system is an nFL at low enough frequencies. Within the one-loop framework, these conclusions and scaling exponents are universal for all itinerant ferromagnetic QCPs. When higher order contributions are taken into account, additional phenomena may appear, e.g. first order behavior, spiral phases, and low-frequency scaling violations [17–25], as well as superconductivity. In particular, if the order parameter (OP) is non-conserved, higher order processes modify the damping of the bosons in the long-wavelength limit, and usually change the value of \( z \) to 2 [26, 27].

With the recent development in quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) techniques, it has become possible to simulate such fermionic systems at large scale in the close vicinity of the quantum critical point [28–31]. Such simulations offer an unbiased and accurate numerical measurement to examine and test these theoretical ideas. In recent QMC studies on the itinerant \( (2 + 1)d \) ferromagnetic Ising quantum critical point, numerical results confirm the universal scaling relation predicted by the \( z = 3 \) theory [32, 33]. The fermionic self-energy, properly extrapolated to \( T = 0 \) [33, 34], agrees with the expected non-Fermi liquid \( \omega^{2/3} \) behavior at low energies.

In this Letter, we study a ferromagnetic QCP in which the spin OP is of XY type. The key difference between an Ising-type and XY ferromagnetic QCP is that in the latter case a spin of an itinerant fermion is not separately a conserved quantity in the presence of a spin-spin interaction with a rotor, and the same is true for a rotor.

From large scale QMC simulations, we show that instead of \( z = 3 \) and \( \Sigma \propto \omega_n^{2/3} \), the scaling exponent becomes \( z = 2 \), and fermion self-energy becomes \( \Sigma \propto \omega_n^{1/2} \). The mechanism driving this change is the form of the boson damping \( \propto \Lambda_\Omega/\Gamma(q, \Omega_n) \). As we discuss below, \( \Gamma(q, \Omega_n) \) for conserved and non-conserved OPs is very different, as \( \Gamma \) is constrained by a Ward identity in the conserved case only [26, 27, 35, 36]. In our case we find \( \Gamma(q, \Omega_n) \approx \Gamma_0 \) is constant over a wide range of temperatures, frequencies and momenta, similar to that in an antiferromagnet. Such damping arises from scattering processes beyond the one-loop order
Model and Phase Diagram — We simulate lattice system composed of two identical fermion layers and one rotor layer as shown in Fig. 1 (a), and the Hamiltonian is $H = H_f + H_{fgr} + H_{int}$. The fermion part of the Hamiltonian is

$$H_f = -t_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sigma_i \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} - t_2 \sum_{\langle\langle i,j \rangle\rangle} \sigma_i \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + h.c.,$$

where $t_1 = 1$, $t_2 = 0.2$, $\langle \rangle$ denote (next) nearest neighbor, $\sigma = \uparrow$ or $\downarrow$ is the spin index, and $\lambda = 1$ or 2 labels the two fermion layers. For the rotor layer, we define a quantum rotor model (QRM) on the same square lattice with $L_i$ and $\theta_i$ are the angular momentum and polar angle of the rotor at site $i$ respectively. In the simulations, we set $t_b = 1$ and use the ratio of $U/t_b$ to tune the system through the QCP. Without fermions, the phase diagram of the rotor model is well-known. It contains two phases — paramagnetic and ferromagnetic. At finite temperatures, the ferromagnetic phase is located at $\lambda = 2$, and ferromagnetic (quasi) long-range order below the $\lambda = 2$ boundary.

Results and Analysis — To study the scaling behavior of critical fluctuation, we measure the dynamical exponent of the rotor propagator. In return, coupling to soft rotor tends to make the fermions incoherent, with non-Fermi liquid self-energy.

FIG. 1. Model and phase diagram. (a) The lattice model. The two identical layers of fermions ($\lambda = 1, 2$) with nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor hoppings $t_1 = 1$ and $t_2 = 0.2$ couple to the quantum rotor model in the middle layer with on-site coupling $K = 1$. As one tunes the rotors towards QCP, the entire system develops nFL behavior. (b) $T - U$ phase diagram. The QCP is located at $U_c = 4.30(1)$, and when $U < U_c$ the system acquires ferromagnetic (quasi) long-range order below the $T_{\text{BKT}}$ boundary which extrapolates to $U_c$, as denoted by the black solid line. The dashed line marks a crossover between a non-Fermi liquid and a Fermi liquid. Panels near the top part of (b) shows the Fermi surfaces, obtained from the dynamical Green’s function $G(k, \tau = \beta/2)$ of $12 \times 12$ size lattice with $\beta = 1/T = 24$, which correspond to the I, II and III regions of the phase diagram. In the ferromagnetic phase, the Fermi surface splits. In the vicinity of the QCP, an nFL phase emerges due to strong quantum critical fluctuations, and the Fermi surface smears out. In the disordered region, the Fermi surface is close to that of the free system.
namic susceptibility of quantum rotors, $\chi(q, \Omega_n) = \frac{1}{\Omega_n} \int d\tau \sum_{ij} e^{i\Omega_n \tau - i q r_j} \langle \theta_i(\tau) \theta_j(0) \rangle$ at $U_c = 4.30(1)$ and low temperatures, where $\Omega_n = 2\pi n T$ is the bosonic Matsubara frequency. At small $\Omega_n$ and $q$, we find the momentum dependence of $\chi^{-1}$ to scale with $q^2$ (See supplemental material (SM) [37]) as expected. However, in the frequency dependence, we observe a completely different behavior from the prediction of Hertz-Millis-Moriya theory. In the QMC simulation, we find that $\chi^{-1}(\Omega_n) - \chi^{-1}(0)$ scales linearly with $\Omega_n$ at low frequency for the various $q$. We show two representative QMC results for $q = (0, 0)$ and $(4\pi/L, 0)$ in Fig. 2. This linear $\Omega$ behavior is the key observation of this work, which is in direct contrast to the Hertz-Millis-Moriya theory as well as numerical results in the Ising-spin-fermion model [32]. For a system with a conserved OP, it is well-known that the Landau damping takes a singular form of $\frac{\Omega_n}{\sqrt{\omega_n^2 + \Omega_n^2}}$, and the $q = 0$ susceptibility exhibits a discontinuity at zero frequency, i.e., $\lim_{\Omega_n \to 0} [\chi^{-1}(q = 0, \Omega_n) - \chi^{-1}(q = 0, \Omega_n = 0)]$ is finite. This form is the base for $z = 3$ dynamical critical exponent in the the Hertz-Millis-Moriya theory. The $z = 3$ exponent has been observed in QMC studies of the Ising QCP [32]. However, in our model, no such discontinuity is observed. Instead, the scaling behavior of the dynamic susceptibility indicates that $z = 2$, analogous to an itinerant QCP’s in which an order breaks the translational symmetry (e.g. anti-ferromagnetic QCPs) [34, 38]

We argue that this discrepancy is due to the non-conservation of the OP in the XY-spin-fermion model. At one-loop level, the correction to a bosonic propagator comes from a polarization bubble of free fermions, and the result is the classic Landau damping $\propto \frac{\Omega_n}{\sqrt{\omega_n^2 + \Omega_n^2}}$. For free fermions, the Landau damping arises whether or not the OP is conserved. Thus, at weak enough coupling, a discontinuity exists even for a nonconserved OP, as seen in e.g. simulations of nematic QCPs [39]. For a conserved OP, this form holds at all orders in perturbation theory due to a Ward identity [23, 27, 40, 41]. However, as we mentioned, in our XY-spin-fermion model, neither $\sigma^x$ nor $\sigma^y$ component of the fermion spin is conserved. In this situation, vertex and self-energy corrections to fermion polarization due to spin-spin coupling to rotons replace $1/\sqrt{\omega_F^2 + \Omega_n^2}$ by a constant $\Gamma_0$, giving rise to damping $\propto \Omega_n/\Gamma_0 + \text{corrections}$ [26, 27]. This changes the dynamical critical exponent to $z = 2$.

The change in $z$ has an important consequence for the non-Fermi liquid fermion self-energy, which now must scale as $\omega^{1/2}$, in analogy to that for fermions at the hotspots of an anti-ferromagnetic QCP [16, 38]. We verify this in our QMC data. Because simulations are performed at finite temperature with discrete Matsubara frequencies, a thermal contribution (corresponding to processes with zero internal bosonic Matsubara frequency) needs to be deducted from the fermion self-energy, in order to expose the nFL behavior. Procedures for this deduction of thermal background have been developed in Refs. [33, 34], which we follow here (See SM [37] for details). In the temperature range of our QMC simulations the fermionic self energy remains small, and the fermions remain in a Fermi liquid state, so that the thermal contribution to fermion self-energy can be computed within Fermi liquid theory. It is

$$\Sigma(k_F, \omega_n) = \Sigma_T(\omega_n) + \Sigma_Q(\omega_n) = \frac{\alpha}{\omega_n} + \Sigma_Q(\omega_n),$$

where $\omega_n$ is the Matsubara frequency and $\Sigma_T(\Sigma_Q)$ is the thermal (quantum) part of the self-energy. The thermal part scales as $\Sigma_T \propto 1/\omega_n$, while the quantum part is the $T = 0$ fermion self-energy,

$$\Sigma_Q = \frac{\bar{g}}{\alpha} \sigma(\omega_n) \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_c}^{1/2} u(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_c})$$

with $\sigma(\omega_n)$ being the sign function and

$$u(z) = \int_0^\infty dx dy \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \left( \frac{\sigma(y + 1)}{1 + (\frac{y - 1}{x})^2 z^2} - \frac{\sigma(y - 1)}{1 + (\frac{y + 1}{x})^2 z^2} \right)$$

where the $\omega_c = kv_F^2$ and $u(z) \to \frac{1}{z^2}$ when $z \to 0$. The value of the coefficient $\bar{g}$ is given in the SM [37]. At small $\omega$, the quantum part scales as $\Sigma_Q \propto \omega^{1/2}$.

We plot the fermion self-energy obtained from the QMC simulation at the QCP for the Fermi wavevector $k_F$ along the diagonal direction (Fig. 3). Because $\Sigma_T = \alpha/\omega_n$ and $\Sigma_Q \propto \sqrt{\omega_n}$, at low frequency, the self-energy is dominated by the thermal part. In Fig. 3 (a), indeed the low frequency data exhibits $1/\omega_n$ scaling (solid line), and the value of $\alpha$ can be obtained via numerical fitting. In Fig. 3 (b), we subtract the thermal part, utilizing this numerical fitted $\alpha$, and obtain $\Sigma_Q$. We also show the theoretical prediction for $\Sigma_Q$ [Eq. (3)],
ferromagnetic fluctuations have critical scaling in Fig. 3 (b).

As mentioned early on, the quantum part is the fermion self-energy \( \Sigma \), and the dashed line is its low-frequency asymptotic form of \( \omega^{1/2} \).

which agrees nicely with the QMC data. We emphasize that the data analysis only utilizes one fitting parameter (\( \alpha \)), which is determined using only low frequency data points, while good agreement is obtained for a large frequency window. As mentioned early on, the quantum part is the fermion self-energy at \( T = 0 \), and it scales as \( \omega^{1/2} \) at low frequency, and this \( \Sigma_{\omega_{1/2}} \) asymptotic form is shown as the dashed line in Fig. 3 (b).

Discussion — In our work, we showed numerically that the ferromagnetic fluctuations have critical scaling \( z = 2 \) and linear in frequency damping, giving rise to \( \omega^{1/2} \) fermionic self energy. The deviation from the expected \( z = 3 \) scaling and \( \omega^{3/2} \) self energy, is because Landau damping results from a delicate cancellation between scattering processes with different numbers of collective excitations, i.e. different loop order in a diagrammatic expansion [35, 40, 42]. Such cancellations occur only for a conserved OP, which in our system is the total spin, not separately the rotor spin or the fermion spin. As a result, the damping term in the rotor propagator is \( \Gamma_{0}/\Gamma_{0} \). For a purely fermionic system with a non-conserved OP (e.g., a nematic one), the dominant contribution to \( \Gamma_{0} \) comes from thermally broadened fermions, in which case \( \Gamma_{0} \approx 2\Sigma_{\omega} \). Such behavior has been seen in previous QMC studies [31, 34]. In the present case, a finite \( \Gamma_{0} \) likely arises from the non-cancellation between scattering processes involving different numbers of rotor propagators.

On the experimental side, whether the OP is conserved (Ising like) or not (XY like), depends on the structure (e.g. strength and sign) of the spin-orbit (SO) coupling. Thus, our results indicate that SO couplings play a crucial role here and dictate the scaling exponents of such QCPs, as well as the associated non-Fermi liquids. In materials with strong XY anisotropy, we expect, near a QCP, \( z = 2 \) and \( \Sigma \propto \omega^{1/2} \).

The values of critical exponent have direct impact on the bosonic contribution to the specific heat. In 2D, for QCPs with conserved OPs, critical fluctuations generates a sub-linear specific heat \( C_{V} \propto T^{2/3} \) (with \( z = 3 \))[15], which dominates over the linear \( T \) contribution from the fermions. For non-conserved OPs, because \( z = 2 \), this specific heat scales as \( C_{V} \propto T \) (up to logarithmic corrections), same as the fermion contribution. Thus, experimentally, these two universality classes can be distinguished. For 3D systems, this specific heat anomaly is \( C_{V} \propto T \ln(1/T) \) for QCPs with conserved OPs [11] and \( C_{V} \propto T^{3/2} \) if the OP is non-conserved. Notice that \( C_{V} \propto T^{3/2} \) is a subleading correction to the fermion contributions of \( C_{V} \propto T \), and thus it can be easily distinguished from the \( C_{V} \propto T \ln(1/T) \) anomaly of the conserved case. In addition to specific heat, critical fluctuations and non-Fermi-liquid behavior generate other experimental signatures as well, such as transport, spectroscopy, X-ray/neutron scattering, magnetic resonance, etc. In QMC simulations, these physical observables can all be measured, utilizing analytic continuations to convert imaginary time and real Matsubara frequencies to real time and frequencies [31, 43, 44]. Such calculations will be performed in future studies, which can provide important guidance and insights for experimental studies in variety of quantum magnets, such as UGe\(_{2} \) [4], URhGe [5], UC\(_{2}\)Ge [6] and YbNi\(_{4}\)P\(_{2} \) [7] and CeRh\(_{6}\)Ge\(_{4} \) [8, 9].
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[37] QMC implementation of the model and the fitting of the QMC data are given in this Supplemental Material.


Supplemental Material for "The dynamical exponent of a quantum critical itinerant ferromagnet: a Monte Carlo study"

QUANTUM ROTOR MODEL

We start the Monte Carlo simulation for quantum rotor model (QRM), the Hamiltonian is written as

\[ H_{qr} = \hat{T} + \hat{U} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_i (-i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \theta) e^{-t_b \sum_{(i,j)} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j)}. \] (4)

The Hamiltonian is shown in the \( \hat{\theta} \) representation which the \( \theta \) variable is located in each site, ranging between \([0, 2\pi]\) and the partition function is

\[ Z = \text{Tr} \left[ \exp(-\beta(-\frac{U}{2} \sum_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i^2} - t_b \sum_{(i,j)} \cos(\hat{\theta}_i - \hat{\theta}_j))) \right] \] (5)

Under the Trotter decomposition. The \( \beta \) is divided into \( M \) slices with step \( \Delta \tau = \beta/M \) and insert the complete sets of the \{\( \theta_i \)\} on each time slice. We have

\[ Z = \int \mathcal{D}\theta \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} \langle(\theta(l+1))|e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{T}}e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{U}}|(\theta(l))\rangle \] (6)

The periodic boundary condition is \{\( \theta(M) \) = \{\( \theta(0) \)\}. The potential part is easily calculated due to the eigenstate \( \theta_i(l) \). For the kinetic part, a complete basis of \( J_i(l) \) as angular momentum is inserted at site \( i \) and time slice \( l \), the kinetic part is

\[ T(l) = \sum_{\{J\}} \prod_i e^{-\frac{\Delta \tau U J_i(l)}{2}} \delta_1(l+1) \langle J_i(l+1)|J_i(l)\rangle\langle J_i(l)|\theta_i(l)\rangle, \] (7)

The term \( \langle J_i(l)|J_i(l)\rangle \) equals to a complex value \( e^{iJ_i(l)\theta_i(l)} \). With the Poisson summation formula, we write

\[ T(l) = \prod_i \sum_J e^{-\frac{\Delta \tau U J^2}{2} e^{iJ(\theta_i(l) - \theta_i(l+1))}} \]

\[ = \prod_i \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dJ e^{2\pi i J m} e^{-\Delta \tau U J^2} e^{iJ(\theta_i(l) - \theta_i(l+1))} \]

\[ = \prod_i \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\Delta \tau U}} e^{-\frac{1}{\Delta \tau U}(\theta_i(l) - \theta_i(l+1) - 2\pi m)^2} \] (8)

with Villian approximation

\[ T(l) \approx \prod_i e^{-\frac{\Delta \tau U}{2} \cos(\theta_i(l) - \theta_i(l+1))} \] (9)

In this way, we map the 2d-QRM to 2d + 1 anisotropic XY model [28] with the partition function

\[ Z = \text{Tr} \left\{ \int \mathcal{D}\theta \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} \langle(\theta(l+1))|e^{-\Delta \tau H_{qr}}|(\theta(l))\rangle \right\} \]

\[ = \int \mathcal{D}\theta \left( \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} \prod_i e^{\frac{1}{\Delta \tau U} \cos(\theta_i(l) - \theta_i(l+1))} \right) \left( \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{\Delta \tau U \sum_{i,j} \cos(\theta_i(l) - \theta_j(l))} \right) \] (10)

DETERMINANTAL QUANTUM MONTE CARLO

The determinantal quantum Monte Carlo (DQMC) is designed to deal with the interacting fermion lattice with quartic interactions and to decouple the quartic interactions into auxiliary bosonic fields coupled with fermion bilinears [31, 32, 45].
We start DQMC with the path integral of partition function

\[ Z = \text{Tr} \left\{ \prod_{m=1}^{M} e^{-\Delta \tau H} \right\} \]  

(12)

with \( \hat{H} = \hat{H}_{qr} + \hat{H}_f + \hat{H}_{qr-f} \), when \( \Delta \tau \to 0 \), we make

\[ Z = \text{Tr} \left\{ \int \mathcal{D}\theta \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} \{ \{\theta(l+1)\}\} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_q} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_f} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_{qr-f}} \{ \{\theta(l)\}\} \right\} \]  

(13)

\[ = \int \mathcal{D}\theta \left( \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{\frac{\Delta \tau}{\beta} \cos(\theta_l(l)-\theta_{l+1}(l+1))} \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{\Delta \tau \theta_{x,ij} \cos(\theta_l(l)-\theta_{j}(l))} \right) \text{Tr} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_f} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_{qr-f}} \right) \]  

(14)

we decompose the \( Z \) into bosonic and fermionic part.

\[ W_b = \left( \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{\frac{\Delta \tau}{\beta} \cos(\theta_l(l)-\theta_{l+1}(l+1))} \right) \text{Tr} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_f} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_{qr-f}} \right) \]  

(15)

\[ W_f = \text{Tr} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_f} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_{qr-f}} \right) \]  

(16)

\[ = \det(1 + \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_f} e^{-\Delta \tau \hat{H}_{qr-f}(\theta_{l}(l))}) \]  

(17)

\[ = \det(1 + B(\beta, 0)(\theta)) \]  

(18)

So we get the

\[ Z = \int \mathcal{D}\theta W_b(\{\theta\}) W_f(\{\theta\}) \]  

(19)

we sample the configuration of \( \{\theta\} \) and implement local update and Wolff update scheme to avoid critical slowing down [28].

**FITTING OF QMC DATA**

We present the detail the comparison of QMC data with analytical theory. First of all, for a ferromagnetic boson-fermion model near the QCP, we predict the following fermionic and bosonic form [27]:

\[ D^{-1}(q, \Omega_n) = D_0^{-1}(M^2 + |q|^2 + \kappa |\Omega_n|) \]  

(20)

The bosonic propagator is per real-space component \( D_{ij} = \frac{1}{N_b} \delta_{ij} \langle S_i S_j \rangle \) with \( N_b = 2 \) being the number of bosons. The susceptibility is defined the \( \chi_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \langle S_i S_j \rangle \). So we have the relation:

\[ \chi^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} D^{-1} \]  

(21)

From the relation of Eq. S17, The parameter of \( D_0 \) and \( \kappa \) can be fitted by QMC data as Fig.4. The \( D_0^{-1} \kappa \) is obtained by Fig.4(a) and \( D_0^{-1} \) can be observed by Fig.4(b) which have the following results

\[ D_0^{-1} \kappa = 0.2639 \times 2 = 0.5278 \]  

(22)

\[ D_0^{-1} = 0.4765 \times 2 = 0.9530 \]  

(23)

\[ \kappa = 0.5538 \]  

(24)
We derive the self energy $\Sigma(k, \omega)$ from the fermionic Green function and modified Eliashberg theory (MET) [33, 34]. Fermion Green function $G(k, \omega)$ appears

$$G(k, \omega) = (i\omega_n + i\Sigma(k, \omega) - \epsilon(k))^{-1}$$

At the QCP, we focus on the momentum point $k_F$ on the Fermi surface. The energy band appears the linear behavior near the $k_F$. So we have

$$G^{-1}(k, \omega_n) = i\omega_n + i\Sigma(k, \omega_n) - v_F \cdot (k - k_F)$$

where $v_F$ is Fermi velocity at Fermi surface. The self energy can be calculated by the MET as the following form [33, 34]

$$-i\Sigma(k) = \bar{g} N_f T \sum_n \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} G(p+k) D(p)$$

which $\bar{g}$ is the effective coupling and $T$ is the temperature. The bare fermion-boson vertex coupling is $\lambda = K/2 = 0.5$ in our model which the effective coupling is given by form

$$\bar{g} = \lambda^2 D_0 = 0.2623$$

Combining the QMC data for self energy, we can assume the $|\Sigma| \ll \omega_n$. So the bare fermionic green function $G(k, \omega_n) = (i\omega_n - v_F(k_k - k_F))^{-1}$ can be used in the Eq.S22 which the self energy $\Sigma(k)$ appears

$$\Sigma(k_F, \omega_n) \approx N_b \bar{g} T \sum_l \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\sigma(\omega_l)}{\sqrt{\omega_l^2 + v_F^2(\theta_k)p^2}} \frac{1}{M^2 + p^2 + \kappa|\omega_n - \omega_l|}$$

where $\sigma(\omega_l)$ is the sign function, Plugging $\omega = v_F(\theta_k)p$ into Eq.S24 yields

$$\Sigma(k_F, \omega_n) \approx N_b \bar{g} T \sum_l \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\sigma(\omega_l)}{\sqrt{\omega_l^2 + \omega^2 + \theta_k^2 + \omega^2 + \omega |\omega_n - \omega_l|}} \frac{1}{M^2 v_F^2 + \omega^2 + \kappa v_F^2 |\omega_n - \omega_l|}$$

we set $\omega_c = \kappa v_F^2$ and the self energy $\Sigma(k_F, \omega_n)$ is transformed into

$$\Sigma(k_F, \omega_n) \approx N_b \bar{g} T \sum_l \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\sigma(\omega_l)}{\sqrt{\omega_l^2 + \omega^2 + \theta_k^2 + \omega^2 + \omega c |\omega_n - \omega_l|}} \frac{1}{M^2 v_F^2 + \omega^2 + \omega c |\omega_n - \omega_l|}$$

According to the conclusion of previous paper, $|\Sigma(\omega_n)| \ll \omega_n$ will lead to the $\Sigma(\omega_n)$ splitting for thermal and quantum part. The quantum part is the zero-temperature fermionic self-energy but the thermal part carry on a very simple form as $1/\omega_n$ which is
hard to detect the quantum part in the small $\omega_n$ range. Thus we separate the quantum part by cutting off the thermal part which the self energy can be transformed into

$$\Sigma(\omega_n) = \Sigma_T(\omega_n, T \neq 0) + \Sigma_Q(\omega_n, T)$$

(32)

where $\Sigma_T$ is the $\omega_f = \omega_n$ piece of the sum in Eq.S26. namely

$$\Sigma_T(\omega_n) \approx \frac{\bar{g}T}{2\pi \omega_n} S\left(\frac{\upsilon_F M}{|\omega_n|}\right)$$

(33)

where

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\text{acosh}(1/x)}{\sqrt{x^2-1}} & x < 1 \\ \frac{\text{acosh}(1/x)}{\sqrt{x^2-1}} & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

(34)

As $S(x)$ vanishes rapidly at large $x$, it predicts that $\Sigma_T$ only contributes significantly at finite temperature and close enough to the QCP. $\alpha(T, \omega) = \omega_n \Sigma_T(\omega_n)$ depends on frequency at the small $\omega_n$. $\alpha(T, \omega_n) \approx \alpha(T)$

The quantum part includes all the terms in the Matsubara sum when $T \to 0$. The sum can be replaced by the integral which the form of self energy is

$$\Sigma_Q = \bar{g}\sigma(\omega_n) \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_c}\right)^{1/2} u\left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_c}\right)$$

(35)

with

$$u(z) = \int_0^\infty dx dy \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{\sigma(y+1)}{x^2+y} \left(\frac{\sigma(y-1)}{\sqrt{1+(\frac{\sigma-1}{x})^2z^2}} - \frac{\sigma(y-1)}{\sqrt{1+(\frac{\sigma-1}{x})^2z^2}}\right)$$

(36)

we successfully split the Quantum part from the self energy. Because the $u(z) \to \frac{1}{2\pi}$ when $z \to 0$, the Quantum part have $\omega_n^{1/2}$ asymptotic behavior at the smallest $\omega_n$.