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Optical cavities find diverse uses in lasers, frequency combs, optomechanics, and optical signal processors. Complete reconfigurability of the cavities enables development of generic field programmable cavities for achieving the desired performance in all these applications. We propose and demonstrate a simple and generic “interferometer in a cavity” structure that enables periodic modification of the internal cavity loss and the cavity resonance to reconfigure the Q-factor, transmission characteristics, and group delay of the hybrid cavity, with simple engineering of the interferometer. We also demonstrate methods to decouple the tuning of the loss from the resonance-shift, for resonance-locked reconfigurability. Such devices can be implemented in any guided-wave platform (on-chip or fiber-optic) with potential applications in programmable photonics and reconfigurable optomechanics.

Optical cavities are ubiquitous in modern technology such as laser, frequency combs, nonlinear optics, optomechanics, sensing, signal processing, computing, and communication [1–17]. Reconfigurable optical cavities are desirable not only for extracting optimal performance from the cavity, but also for applications in cavity optomechanics, optical delay lines and memories, programmable optical circuits, and photonic computing [18–30]. Tuning the wavelength of the cavity-resonance is readily achieved by phase-tuning (or refractive-index-tuning) methods like the simple and cost-effective thermo-optic tuning or by more complex electro-optic and all-optical methods [31–37]. However, reconfiguration of the optical loss in the cavity is relatively more challenging. The conventional method for loss-tuning is tuning the absorption in the medium using electro-optic absorption, magneto-optic effect or nonlinear-optical phenomena such as two-photon absorption [34–37]. The thermo-optic approach can be used only by integrating special materials such as phase-change materials [38, 39]. These methods involve integration of active elements, special materials, or operation at high optical intensities, that are challenging to implement especially in integrated-photonic circuits, and also limit the applications of the optical cavities. Integration of other materials is often inherently lossy and significantly alters the optical mode in the cavity [38, 39]. Furthermore, most of these approaches are not generic to all photonic platforms.

An alternate approach is to tune the input/output coupling rate to the cavity using a thermo-optically or optomechanically tunable coupler, which alters the external losses and reconfigures the loaded quality factor (Q) of the cavity [19, 28, 40–44]. In these methods involving a single coupler, precise control over the tuning is challenging. A simpler and more efficient solution is to use an interferometer as a distributed coupler into the cavity [21–24]. In this structure, tuning the phase-difference between the two arms of the interferometer creates an artificial change in the effective power coupled into (or out of) the cavity, thus modifying the coupling rate and the loaded Q, although the energy lost by the cavity simply leaks to the output. This structure has been successfully employed in photonic integrated circuits for various signal-processing applications, where significant changes in the cavity transmission is required [21–24]. However, for many applications in cavity optomechanics and quantum optics, standalone cavities or cavities with a standard coupled waveguide are preferred [6–11]. The presence of the interferometer at the coupler limits its usage in such applications. Furthermore, in most of these applications, the internal Q is more important than the loaded Q. A generic phase-tuning approach for both internal loss and resonance-shift, that can be applied on any photonic platform, would be extremely useful to achieve full reconfigurability of an optical cavity. Over and above, independent reconfigurability of the optical loss and the resonant wavelength of the cavity in such an approach would be a significant advance for all

Figure 1: (a) Phasor illustration of phase-tuning in an ordinary optical cavity, (b) Phasor illustration of phase-tuning in an interferometer-embedded optical cavity, (c) Optical micrograph of the interferometer-embedded micro-ring resonator (highlighted section shows the MZI).
applications of optical cavities. This work demonstrates such an approach.

In our work, we embed a balanced Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZI) within the optical cavity (a micro-ring resonator). In contrast with the interferometric coupler,

this implementation tunes the internal loss (or internal Q) of the cavity by modulating the power lost to the radiation modes during interference within the cavity. We show that this interferometer-embedded micro-ring resonator (IMRR) structure readily achieves similar desirable changes in the cavity-transmission as the interferometric coupler [21–24]. In addition, we comprehensively observe a wide variety of periodic alterations of both the resonant wavelength and the cavity loss at different interferometer configurations. Such reconfigurability allows for extraordinary phenomena such as both red and blue shifts of the resonance with the same phase-tuning technique in the same photonic platform and reconfiguration of the cavity loss without shifting the resonance for resonance-locked operation [18]. The simple reconfiguration from an under-coupled cavity to an over-coupled cavity allows for tuning and switching the cavity-delay between optical delay (negative) and optical advance (positive). These fully reconfigurable optical cavities open unexplored avenues in optical memories and cavity optomechanics. The cavity structure is general to any traveling wave optical cavity implemented in optical fibers or integrated photonic platforms and any phase-tuning technique can be used to achieve the desired reconfigurability.

Phase-tuning involves, tuning the refractive index of the optical medium. The resultant change in the phase of the electric field of the propagating wave is illustrated in fig. 1a; the magnitude of the transmitted field does not change. On the other hand, phase-tuning in one arm of an MZI, while leaving the phase of the other arm unchanged, tunes both the magnitude and phase of the resultant field, as shown in fig. 1b. Thus, an MZI converts pure phase-tuning to loss-tuning in the transmitted wave. We utilize this effect to realize phase-reconfigurable loss in an optical cavity.

We demonstrate the working of this modified optical cavity, using the IMRR structure implemented on a silicon-on-insulator (SOI) platform as shown in fig. 1c. The MZI (highlighted) is embedded in the closed path of the micro-ring resonator (MRR). The waveguides have a cross-section of 450 nm × 220 nm and operate in the fundamental quasi-TE mode in 1550 nm–1600 nm wavelength range. The bus waveguide is directionally coupled to the cavity. The geometrical round-trip length of the cavity $L = 184 \mu m$, of which the MZI covers $l = 63 \mu m$; both arms of the MZI are equal in length. The splitter of the MZI is realized using a directional coupler with power splitting ratio $\gamma : (1-\gamma)$. The combiner is realized using a Y-bend structure, that combines an equal power-fraction $\gamma$ from each arm with an overall loss of 0.5 dB in our design [45]. We note that this loss is a limitation of our implementation, but not of the IMRR structure. The phase-tuning is implemented using Ti/Pt heaters that are above the waveguides with an SiO$_2$ spacer of 1 $\mu m$ thickness in between to avoid unwanted optical absorption by the metal. We study many such devices with multiple bus-cavity coupling ratios and multiple splitting ratios of the MZI.

The field-transmission ($t(\omega)$) at a frequency $\omega$ to the through-port of an optical cavity is given by [14]

$$ t(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\kappa_{\text{coup}}}{j(\omega - \omega_0)} + \frac{\kappa_{\text{loss}}/2 + \kappa_{\text{coup}}/2}{}, $$

(1)

where $\kappa_{\text{coup}}$ is the bus-cavity coupling rate and $\kappa_{\text{loss}}$ is the internal loss rate; the resonant frequency $\omega_0 = 2\pi c/\lambda_{\text{res}}$, where $\lambda_{\text{res}}$ is the resonant wavelength and $c$ is speed of light in vacuum. In our modified cavity, the loss rate and the resonant frequency can be expressed as (see supplementary information for the derivation)

$$ \kappa_{\text{loss}} = \frac{\nu_g}{L} \left[ \zeta L - \ln \gamma - \ln (1 + 2\sqrt{\gamma(1-\gamma)\sin \phi}) \right], $$

(2)

$$ \lambda_{\text{res}} = \frac{m + 1/2}{2\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{n_{\text{eff}} L \cos \phi}{\sqrt{(1-\gamma)/\gamma + \sin \phi}} \right), $$

(3)

where $\zeta$ is the fractional propagation loss per unit length in the cavity and $\phi$ is the tunable phase-difference between the top and bottom arms of the MZI; $m$ is the
resonance order. It can be seen from the second lines in eqs. (2) and (3) that both cavity-loss and resonant wavelength can be reconfigured with \( \phi \), which is the result of embedding the MZI in the cavity. We tune \( \phi \) thermally using the Ti/Pt heaters \([32]\) and record the input-output transmission spectra of the devices using a tunable laser source at the input and a photodetector at the output.

When the cavity is reconfigured from an under-coupled condition \( (K_{\text{coup}} < K_{\text{loss}}) \) or over-coupled condition \( (K_{\text{coup}} > K_{\text{loss}}) \) to critical coupling \( (K_{\text{coup}} \approx K_{\text{loss}}) \), the extinction ratio \( (ER) \) reaches a maximum \([14, 46]\). Figure 2a shows the phase-reconfigured transmission of an IMRR with splitting ratio \( 1:1 \) \( (\gamma = 0.5) \); the legends show the values of \( \phi \) (proportional to the heater power). The cavity is reconfigured from an initially under-coupled condition to critically coupled and over-coupled conditions. The extracted \( ER \) and \( Q \)-factor are shown in the inset of fig. 2a. At critical coupling, the \( ER \) is maximum as expected, with an overall change from \( 0 \) \( dB \) to \( 30 \) \( dB \). An overall five-fold change in \( Q \)-factor

\[
Q = \frac{\omega_0}{(K_{\text{loss}} + K_{\text{coup}})}
\]

from 2000 to 10000 is observed, which is due to change in \( K_{\text{loss}} + K_{\text{coup}} \). The extracted values of \( K_{\text{loss}} \) and \( K_{\text{coup}} \) (using eq. (1)) are shown in fig. 2b; all values are extracted by fitting the data to the model given in eqs. (1) to (3). Since \( K_{\text{coup}} \) is not altered with \( \phi \), the \( Q \)-factor follows the change in \( K_{\text{loss}} \) as a function of \( \phi \). The crossing between \( K_{\text{loss}} \) and \( K_{\text{coup}} \) indicates the critical coupling condition. Using the estimated phase responses \( (\Phi(\omega) = \angle \tau(\omega)) \) from eq. (1), the group delay \( (\tau_g = -d\Phi/d\omega) \) at resonance \( (\omega = \omega_0) \) is shown in fig. 2b. At critical coupling, the phase response is the sharpest, resulting in maximal group delay, where negative sign indicates delay \([47–50]\). The red-shifted resonant wavelength \( (\lambda_{\text{res}}) \) is shown in fig. 2b;

![Figure 3: (a)-(c) Periodic variations in \( ER, Q, K_{\text{loss}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{res}} \) for \( \gamma = 0.5, 0.3, 0.2 \) respectively with \( \phi \) (extracted from experimental data); the blue-shift is more gradual for smaller \( \gamma \). (d)-(e) Input-normalized transmitted power response (measured) and phase response (extracted from experimental data) for \( \gamma = 0.2 \) at different thermally-optically tuned \( \phi \) (responses vertically offset for clarity).](image)

Similar responses for an initially over-coupled cavity are shown in fig. 2c-d. In this case, it is observed that \( K_{\text{loss}} \) is tuned from slight over-coupling to large over-coupling (causing degradation in \( ER \)) and then to a crossover indicating critical coupling (maximal \( ER \)) and finally to under-coupling (\( ER \) degrades from the maximum). The observations at critical coupling remain unchanged. However, the over-coupled region has an opposite slope of the phase response, resulting in positive values of \( \tau_g \), indicating group-advance instead of delay \([47–50]\). Thus the IMRR can be used to reconfigure the cavity between under-coupled and over-coupled conditions through a critical coupling point, to achieve the desired reconfiguration of ER, \( Q \)-factor, and \( \tau_g \).

When \( \gamma \approx 0.5 \), the tuned \( \lambda_{\text{res}} \) has monotonic redshift with \( \phi \) (with expected discontinuities at \( \Delta \phi = (2m - 1)\pi/2 \) for integer values of \( m \)). This can be readily understood by rotating one of the phasors (of equal length) in fig. 1b. On the other hand, cavities with \( \gamma < 0.5 \) (phasors of unequal length in fig. 1b) allow for continuous periodic tuning of both \( K_{\text{loss}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{res}} \). We show the periodic tuning of the loss
and resonant wavelength of three cavities ($\gamma = 0.5, 0.3, 0.2$) at various values of $\varphi$ in fig. 3. For the three cavities we observe multiple transitions between under-coupled and over-coupled conditions through critical points, whose effect, similar to fig. 2, is evident in fig. 3a-c. A peculiar observation in the cavities with $\gamma = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.2$ is that $\lambda_{res}$ has a tunable red-shift followed by blue-shift. Such blueshift does not occur for $\gamma = 0.5$; instead a sudden jump in resonant wavelength is observed in fig. 3. This can be explained by rotating the phasors as shown in fig. 3. This is more clearly illustrated with the normalized transmission responses for $\gamma = 0.2$ in fig. 3d; the corresponding phase responses are shown in fig. 3e. This provides a method to achieve both thermo-optic blue-shift and redshift in conventional photonic platforms such as silicon and silicon nitride, where the thermo-optic coefficient is positive [31]. Since the IMRR structure is generic to all phase-tuning methods such as electro-optic, all-optic, thermo-optic, etc., any phase-tuning in any material platform can result in regions of both red-shift and blue-shift. Such previously unexplored tuning is extremely useful in reconfigurable photonics and photonic signal processing.

For applications such as photonic computing and signal processing, it is desirable to selectively tune the cavity-loss without shifting the resonant wavelength [18]. In the IMRR, this can be achieved by phase-tuning both the arms of the MZI. We illustrate in fig. 4a how there can be multiple combinations of $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ where the resultant field has same phase but different magnitudes. The required angle $\delta$ can be computed using trigonometry in fig. 4a. The structure used for achieving this is shown in fig. 4b, where there are heaters on each arm of the MZI. The spectra acquired by tuning only the top heater and only the bottom heater are shown in fig. 4c-d respectively; the legends state the applied power at the respective heater. We observe that while phase-tuning in the top arm changes both $\lambda_{res}$ and $\kappa_{loss}$ only $\lambda_{res}$ is tuned using the bottom arm (like an ordinary MRR). This is because this device has $\gamma = 0.2$ and hence, most of the field travels along the bottom arm (like an ordinary MRR); the same can be understood by rotating only the longer phasor in fig. 1b. When both the heaters are in operation, the resonance can be locked at a particular $\lambda_{res}$ while $\kappa_{loss}$ can be tuned to achieve change in ER, Q-factor, and transmitted phase response. Figure 4ef shows the normalized transmission and phase response when the resonance-shift is suppressed; the heater powers of the top and bottom heater respectively are mentioned in the legends. It is observed that the heater powers have a near linear relationship (in the limits of small angles $\delta$ and $\delta$ in fig. 4a). Hence, a feedback control-loop can be used to lock the cavity-resonance, while reconfiguring the internal loss. Furthermore, the linear relation can also be used to control both the heaters using a single voltage supply for simpler operation.

This provides us a unique method to tune the Q-factor, group delay, and ER of the cavity without any undesired shift in the resonance, which is made possible by the embedded interferometer. We note that another method to nullify the resonance shift is to compensate the blueshift induced by the top heater (see fig. 3) with a red shift of the bottom heater. However, the blue-shift generally occurs at large values of $\varphi$ and can be accessed in our implementation only by large heater powers or a geometric length offset in the MZI. Moreover, unless there is a critical-coupling point in this regime, the overall change in ER and group delay are not significant.

In conclusion, we show that embedding an interferometer within a traveling-wave optical cavity enables complete reconfiguration of the internal loss, resonant wavelength, transmission characteristics, and group delay of the cavity. We also show how the interferometer can be engineered to achieve the desired periodic modifications in the loss and the resonant wavelength. This structure provides a unique method to achieve both blue and red shifts of the cavity-resonance by the same phase-tuning mechanism. In the context of thermo-optic tuning, it implies that irrespective of the sign of the thermo-optic coefficient of the waveguide material.
(such as positive for silicon), one can observe both thermo-optic blue-shift and red-shift of the resonance, which is an important asset for universal reconfigurable cavities. Another advantage of the interferometer-embedded cavity is that the internal loss can be tuned independent of the resonance shift by simultaneously tuning the phases of both the arms of the interferometer. This offers the practical advantage of tuning the parameters related to loss such as Q-factor, ER, and group-delay while still operating at the same resonant frequency (wavelength). The generic structure can be implemented in any guided-wave platform such as integrated photonics or fiber-optics. While it can also be implemented in free-space, the reflections induced by destructive interference in the MZI need to be carefully addressed. The versatility of the IMRR makes it a promising candidate for in the MZI need to be carefully addressed. The versatility of the IMRR makes it a promising candidate for reconfigurable photonics and photonic signal processing and opens up a new set of tools for cavity optomechanics and optomechanical memories.
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\section*{S1 Time domain analysis of an optical cavity}

The lumped mode amplitude of an optical cavity evolves in time as given by [1]

\[
\frac{da}{dt} = \left( j\omega_0 - \frac{\kappa_{\text{loss}}}{2} - \frac{\kappa_{\text{coup}}}{2} \right) a - j\sqrt{\kappa_{\text{coup}}} E_{\text{in}}(t),
\]

(S1)

where $\kappa_{\text{loss}}$ and $\kappa_{\text{coup}}$ are the internal loss rate of the cavity and the coupling rate of the cavity with the bus waveguide. Considering the input field to have the form $E_{\text{in}}(t) = \bar{E}_{\text{in}} \exp(j\omega t)$ and the time-evolved mode amplitude to have the form $a(t) = \tilde{a} \exp(j\omega t + \phi)$, we get the frequency-domain expression for the mode-amplitude as

\[
\tilde{a}(\omega) = \frac{-j\sqrt{\kappa_{\text{coup}}} \bar{E}_{\text{in}}(\omega)}{j(\omega - \omega_0) + \kappa_{\text{loss}}/2 + \kappa_{\text{coup}}/2}.
\]

(S2)

The field-transmission ($t$) at a frequency $\omega$ to the through-port of a cavity is given by

\[
t(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\kappa_{\text{coup}}}{j(\omega - \omega_0) + \kappa_{\text{loss}}/2 + \kappa_{\text{coup}}/2}.
\]

(S3)

The phase response ($\Phi(\omega)$) and group delay ($\tau_g$) of the cavity are given by

\[
\Phi(\omega) = \angle t(\omega),
\]

(S4)

\[
\tau_g = -\frac{d\Phi}{d\omega},
\]

(S5)

where the negative sign implies that optical delay has negative values and optical advance has positive values.
S2 Transfer function of the interferometer-embedded optical cavity

S2.1 Micro-ring resonator

The fields in the micro-ring resonator (MRR) shown in fig. S1, with round-trip length $L$ and bus-ring power coupling coefficient $\Gamma_{coup}$, can be modelled as [2]

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{t2} &= -j\sqrt{\Gamma_{coup}}E_{i1} + \sqrt{1-\Gamma_{coup}}E_{i2} \\
E_{i2} &= E_{t2}e^{-\tilde{\beta}L} \\
E_{t1} &= -j\sqrt{\Gamma_{coup}}E_{i2} + \sqrt{1-\Gamma_{coup}}E_{i1} \\
\therefore \frac{E_{t1}}{E_{i1}} &= \frac{\sqrt{1-\Gamma_{coup}} - e^{-\tilde{\beta}L}}{1 - \sqrt{1-\Gamma_{coup}}e^{-\tilde{\beta}L}} \quad (S6)
\end{align*}
\]

where $\tilde{\beta} = \zeta/2 - j2\pi n_{eff}/\lambda$ denotes the effective complex propagation constant (includes effects of both dispersion and propagation loss) and $n_{eff}$ is the effective index of the waveguide. The fraction $\zeta$ is a measure of the propagation loss per unit length (including bend loss of the MRR), such that $\exp(-\zeta L)$ is the round-trip power loss in the cavity.
S2.2 Mach-Zehnder interferometer

The spatial transfer function of the Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZI), shown in fig. S2, is given by

$$\frac{\hat{E}_{i2}}{\hat{E}_{t2}} = \sqrt{\gamma} (-j\sqrt{\gamma} e^{i\phi} + \sqrt{1-\gamma}) e^{-\beta t} = G e^{-\beta t},$$

(S7)

where $\phi$ is the applied phase in the upper arm of the MZI, $\gamma : (1 - \gamma)$ is the optical power splitting ratio of the input splitter, $\gamma$ is the power-combining coefficient of the Y-combiner.

S2.3 Interferometer-embedded micro-ring resonator

Using eqs. (S6) and (S7), the fields in the interferometer-embedded micro-ring resonator (IMRR) of round-trip length $L$, shown in fig. S3, can be expressed as

$$\hat{E}_{i2} = \hat{E}_{t2} e^{-\beta (L-l)/2},$$
$$\hat{E}_{i1} = \hat{E}_{t1} G e^{-\beta t},$$
$$\hat{E}_{i2} = \hat{E}_{t2} e^{-\beta (L-l)/2},$$
$$\hat{E}_{t2} = -j \sqrt{\Gamma_{\text{coup}}} E_{i1} + \sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{\text{coup}}} E_{i2},$$
$$\hat{E}_{t1} = -j \sqrt{\Gamma_{\text{coup}}} E_{i2} + \sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{\text{coup}}} E_{i1},$$
$$\hat{E}_{i1} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{\text{coup}}} - G e^{-\beta L}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{\text{coup}}} G e^{-\beta L}}.$$

(S8)
Comparing eqs. (S6) and (S8), we observe that $G = 1$ is the case of an ordinary MRR, while $G$ is a complex function of $\varphi$ in the case of the IMRR. The field propagation coefficient in the cavity changes from $\exp(-\beta L)$ in an MRR to $G\exp(-\beta L)$ in an IMRR.

Figure S4. Phasor representation of the MZI.

S3  
Phase-tunable loss rate and resonant wavelength

S3.1 Loss-rate

Comparing both the time and space formalisms of the MRR described in sections S1 and S2.1, the decayed energy in the cavity after one round-trip can be expressed as

$$U_{\text{initial}} \exp(-\zeta L) = U_{\text{initial}} \exp(-\kappa_{\text{loss}} T_{\text{round}}),$$

where $T_{\text{round}}$ is the round-trip time given by $T_{\text{round}} = L/v_g$. $v_g$ is the group velocity related to group index ($n_g$) as $v_g = c/n_g$, $c$ being the speed of light in vacuum. Therefore, $\kappa_{\text{loss}}$ for an MRR can be expressed as $\kappa_{\text{loss}} = v_g \zeta$. A similar expression can be derived for an IMRR by replacing $\exp(-\zeta L)$ with $|G|^2 \exp(-\zeta L)$, resulting in the expression

$$\kappa_{\text{loss}} = v_g \zeta - \frac{v_g}{L} \ln |G|^2$$

$$= v_g \left[ \zeta - \frac{1}{L} \ln \gamma - \frac{1}{L} \ln \left( 1 + 2 \sqrt{\gamma(1-\gamma)(1-\sin \phi)} \right) \right]$$

(S9)

S3.2 Resonant wavelength

The resonance condition of an ordinary MRR is given by $\angle \exp(-\beta L) = 2\pi m$, where $m$ is the resonance order. Following the substitution discussed in section S2.3, for an IMRR, the condition gets modified to $\angle [G \exp(-\beta L)] = 2\pi m$. Considering $\theta = -\angle G$, we show the phasor diagram representing the interferometer corresponding to $G$ in fig. S4 (as described in section S2.2). Using trigonometry, we arrive at the following expression for $\theta$:

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\cos \phi}{\sqrt{(1-\gamma)/\gamma + \sin \phi}}.$$  

(S10)

Therefore, using the resonance condition for the IMRR, we derive the resonant wavelength $\lambda_{\text{res}}$ as
\[
\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_{res}} n_{eff} L - \theta = 2\pi m \\
\therefore \lambda_{res} = \frac{n_{eff} L}{m + \frac{\theta}{2\pi}}.
\]
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