A SPECIAL DEBARRE–VOISIN FOURFOLD
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Abstract. Let \( G \) be the finite simple group \( \text{PSL}(2, F_{11}) \). It has an irreducible representation \( V_{10} \) of dimension 10. In this note, we study a special trivector \( \sigma \in \bigwedge^3 V_{10}^\vee \) which is \( G \)-invariant. Following the construction of Debarre–Voisin, we obtain a smooth hyperkähler fourfold \( X_{\sigma}^6 \subset \text{Gr}(6, V_{10}) \) with many symmetries. We will also look at the associated Peskine variety \( X_{\sigma}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}(V_{10}) \), which is highly symmetric as well and admits 55 isolated singular points. It will help us to understand better the geometry of the special Debarre–Voisin fourfold \( X_{\sigma}^6 \).

1. Introduction

Let \( G \) be the finite simple group \( \text{PSL}(2, F_{11}) \). Mongardi discovered a special Eisenbud–Popescu–Walter sextic with a faithful \( G \)-action, from which one can construct a double EPW sextic that is a smooth hyperkähler fourfold of \( K3^{[2]} \)-type and is highly symmetric (see [M] and [DM]).

One can ask the same question for hyperkähler fourfolds of Debarre–Voisin type. Let \( V_{10} \) be a 10-dimensional complex vector space. A Debarre–Voisin variety \( X_{\sigma}^6 \) is defined inside the Grassmannian \( \text{Gr}(6, V_{10}) \) from the datum of a trivector \( \sigma \in \bigwedge^3 V_{10}^\vee \). By studying the representations of the group \( G \), it is not hard to find a candidate for \( \sigma \): denote by \( V_{10} \) one of the two 10-dimensional irreducible representations of \( G \); there exists a unique (up to multiplication by a scalar) trivector \( \sigma \in \bigwedge^3 V_{10}^\vee \) that is \( G \)-invariant.

Using the general results obtained in [BS] on the geometry of Debarre–Voisin varieties and associated Peskine varieties, one can study in detail the geometry of this special Debarre–Voisin variety \( X_{\sigma}^6 \). We prove the following results.

Theorem 1.1. Let \( \sigma \in \bigwedge^3 V_{10}^\vee \) be the special \( G \)-invariant trivector.

1. (Proposition 3.2) The Debarre–Voisin variety \( X_{\sigma}^6 \subset \text{Gr}(6, V_{10}) \) is smooth of dimension 4.
2. (Proposition 4.2) The associated Peskine variety \( X_{\sigma}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}(V_{10}) \) has 55 isolated singular points. The group \( G \) acts transitively on them.
3. (Proposition 5.6) The group \( \text{Aut}^*_H(X_{\sigma}^6) \) of symplectic automorphisms that fix the polarization \( H \) on \( X_{\sigma}^6 \) is isomorphic to \( G \).
4. One can give an explicit description of the Picard lattice of \( X_{\sigma}^6 \), which has maximal rank 21 (see (4) for the Gram matrix and Proposition 5.9 for the isomorphism type).

Notation. We use regular \( \sigma \) to denote a trivector and bold \( \sigma \) to denote the special \( G \)-invariant trivector.
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2. The special trivector

We first give the construction of the special trivector $\sigma \in \Lambda^3 V_5^\vee$.

The finite simple group $G := PSL(2, F_{11})$ of order 660 admits 8 different irreducible complex representations: two of them are of dimension 5 and will be denoted by $V_5$ and $V_5^\vee$. They are the dual to each other.

A classical result is that the symmetric power $Sym^3 V_5^\vee$—the space of cubic polynomials on $V_5$—admits an irreducible subrepresentation of dimension 1: for a suitable choice of basis $(y_0, \ldots, y_4)$ of $V_5^\vee$, this corresponds to the Klein cubic with equation

$$y_0^2 y_1 + y_1^2 y_2 + y_2^2 y_3 + y_3^2 y_4 + y_4^2 y_0 \in Sym^3 V_5^\vee.$$ 

Adler showed in [A] that the automorphism group of this smooth cubic is precisely the group $G$.

The wedge product $\Lambda^2 V_5$ gives another irreducible representation, of dimension 10, which is self-dual and will be denoted by $V_{10}$. We consider elements of $\Lambda^3 V_{10}$. A computation of characters tells us that this representation of $G$ also admits one irreducible subrepresentation of dimension 1, generated by a $G$-invariant trivector $\sigma$. The characters of all 8 irreducible representations of $G$ as well as the character of $\Lambda^3 V_{10}$ can be found in Appendix B, Table 2. Note that the other irreducible representation $V_{10}'$ of dimension 10 does not provide $G$-invariant trivectors.

We now give a concrete description of the special trivector $\sigma$ in terms of coordinates in a suitable basis. The subgroup $B$ of $G$ of upper triangular matrices can be generated by the elements

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

of respective orders 11 and 5. Write $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/11}$ and $\rho: G \to GL(V_5^\vee)$ for the representation $V_5^\vee$. In a suitable basis $(y_0, \ldots, y_4)$ of $V_5^\vee$, the matrices of $P$ and $R$ are

$$\rho(P) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^9 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta^4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta^5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Note that one can already identify the equation of the $G$-invariant Klein cubic using only these two elements, instead of the whole group $G$.

The elements $y_{ij} := y_i \wedge y_j$ form a basis of $V_5^\vee$. In this basis, we see that $P$ acts diagonally and $R$ as a permutation (see Table 1). Note that we have chosen a particular order in which the action of $R$ is very simple). We may easily verify that the space of trivectors invariant under the action of $P$ and $R$ is of dimension 2 and is spanned by the $B$-invariant trivectors

$$\sigma_1 := y_{01} \wedge y_{23} \wedge y_{02} + y_{12} \wedge y_{34} \wedge y_{13} + y_{23} \wedge y_{40} \wedge y_{24} + y_{34} \wedge y_{01} \wedge y_{30} + y_{40} \wedge y_{12} \wedge y_{41},$$
$$\sigma_2 := y_{01} \wedge y_{41} \wedge y_{24} + y_{12} \wedge y_{02} \wedge y_{30} + y_{23} \wedge y_{13} \wedge y_{41} + y_{34} \wedge y_{24} \wedge y_{02} + y_{40} \wedge y_{30} \wedge y_{13}.$$
To identify the unique $G$-invariant trivector, we must look at some elements in $G \setminus B$. Since the explicit description for the representation $V_5$ is known [ATLAS], we will pick one such element and compute explicitly its matrix.

The group $G$ admits a presentation with two generators $a, b$ and relations $a^2 = b^3 = (ab)^{11} = [a, babab]^2 = 1$, which can be take to be $a = (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix})$ and $b = (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})$. One may check that $ab = P$ while $bbabababababab = R$. Matrices for $\rho(a)$ and $\rho(b)$ are provided by [ATLAS] so the representation is completely determined. Choose a suitable basis of $V_5^\vee$ consisting of eigenvectors of $\rho(ab) = \rho(P)$. In this basis, the matrices of $P$ and $R$ are as in (1).

Since the element $a$ does not lie in the subgroup $B$, we use its matrix in this new basis to verify that the unique (up to multiplication by a scalar) $G$-invariant trivector is $\sigma := \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$.

From now on, we will rewrite the basis $(y_{ij})$ as $(x_0, \ldots, x_9)$ in the order chosen in Table 1 so the trivector $\sigma$ is given by

$$\sigma = x_0 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_5 + x_1 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_6 + x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge x_7 + x_3 \wedge x_0 \wedge x_8 + x_4 \wedge x_1 \wedge x_9$$

or more succinctly,

$$\sigma = [025] + [136] + [247] + [308] + [419] + [097] + [158] + [269] + [375] + [486].$$

We have therefore shown the following result.

**Proposition 2.1.** Up to multiplication by a scalar, the trivector $\sigma$ in (2) is the unique $G$-invariant trivector in $\bigwedge^3 V_9^{\vee}$, where $V_9$ is the 10-dimensional irreducible $G$-representation given in Table 2.

### 3. The Debarre–Voisin Fourfold

The Debarre–Voisin variety associated with a non-zero trivector $\sigma$ is the scheme

$$X_6^\sigma := \{[V_6] \in \text{Gr}(6, V_{10}) \mid \sigma|_{V_6} = 0\}$$

in the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(6, V_{10})$ parametrizing those $[V_6]$ on which $\sigma$ vanishes. Its expected dimension is 4. For $\sigma$ general, it is shown in [DV] that $X_6^\sigma$ is a smooth hyperkähler fourfold of $K3^{[2]}$-type. The Plücker polarization on $\text{Gr}(6, V_{10})$ induces a polarization $H$ on $X_6^\sigma$, which is primitive and of Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki square 22 and divisibility 2.

The variety

$$X_3^\sigma := \{[V_3] \in \text{Gr}(3, V_{10}) \mid \sigma|_{V_3} = 0\}$$

is the Plücker hyperplane section associated with $\sigma$. It has dimension 20. We have the following criterion for the smoothness of $X_3^\sigma$ and $X_6^\sigma$ [BS, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 3.1.** The Debarre–Voisin $X_6^\sigma$ is not smooth of dimension 4 at a point $[V_6]$ if and only if there exists $V_3 \subset V_6$ satisfying the degeneracy condition

$$\sigma(V_3, V_3, V_{10}) = 0.$$
In particular, $X_6^\sigma$ is smooth of dimension 4 if and only if $X_3^\sigma$ is smooth.

In the case of $\sigma$, the smoothness of $X_3^\sigma$ can be verified directly using computer algebra (thanks to Frédéric Han for his help with this computation).

**Proposition 3.2.** For the special trivector $\sigma$ defined in [2], the hyperplane section $X_3^\sigma$ and hence the special Debarre–Voisin $X_6^\sigma$ are both smooth.

**Proof.** A direct check of the smoothness of $X_3^\sigma$ using its ideal is not feasible, since there are too many variables and equations. Instead, we can check the smoothness on each chart of $\text{Gr}(3,V_{10})$ where it is defined by one single cubic polynomial in an affine space $\mathbb{A}^{21}$, using the Jacobian criterion. See Section A.1 for the Macaulay2 code. 

The action of $G$ on $V_{10}$ induces an action of $G$ on $X_6^\sigma$ that preserves the polarization $H$, and hence a homomorphism of groups $G \to \text{Aut}_H(X_6^\sigma)$. Since $G$ is simple and non-abelian, we may deduce that the image is contained in the subgroup $\text{Aut}_H^s(X_6^\sigma)$ of symplectic automorphisms. We shall see that this is an isomorphism onto $\text{Aut}_H^s(X_6^\sigma)$.

4. The Peskine Variety

With a trivector $\sigma$, we can associate yet another variety: the Peskine variety

$$X_1^\sigma := \{ [V_1] \in \mathbb{P}(V_{10}) | \text{rk} \sigma|_{V_1} \leq 6 \}.$$

More precisely, for each $[V_1] \in \mathbb{P}(V_{10})$, the skew-symmetric 2-form $\sigma(V_1, -, -)$ generically has rank 8, and the Peskine variety $X_1^\sigma$ is the locus where this rank drops to 6 or less. Equivalently, given a basis $(e_i)$ of $V_{10}$, we can identify $\sigma$ with a $10 \times 10$ skew-symmetric matrix with entries $f_{ij} := \sigma(e_i, e_j, -)$. Then $X_1^\sigma$ is defined in $\mathbb{P}(V_{10})$ by all the $8 \times 8$-Pfaffians of this matrix. It has expected dimension 6 and degree 15. Its smoothness is characterized by the following lemma [BS, Lemma 2.8].

**Lemma 4.1.** If the Peskine variety $X_1^\sigma$ is not smooth of dimension 6 at a point $[V_1]$, then either $\text{rk} \sigma|_{V_1} \leq 4$ or there exists a $V_3 \supset V_1$ such that $\sigma(V_3, V_3, V_{10}) = 0$.

In the case of the special trivector $\sigma$, since $X_3^\sigma$ was shown to be smooth, the second case does not happen by Lemma 3.1. Therefore, the singular locus of $X_1^\sigma$ is precisely the locus where the rank of $\sigma$ drops even less. Equivalently, it is defined by all the $6 \times 6$-Pfaffians of $\sigma$ seen as a skew-symmetric matrix. This allows us to explicitly compute the ideal of the singular subscheme using Macaulay2 (see Section A.2). In particular, we may verify that the rank-4 locus $\text{Sing}(X_1^\sigma)$ is a subscheme of dimension 0 and length 55, while the rank-2 locus is empty. Also, the rank-6 locus $X_1^\sigma$ is indeed of expected dimension 6 and degree 15. We now show that $\text{Sing}(X_1^\sigma)$ is reduced.

**Proposition 4.2.** For the special trivector $\sigma$, the singular locus of the Peskine variety $X_1^\sigma$ consists of the 55 distinct points

$$(p_{i,j})_{0 \leq i \leq 4, 0 \leq j \leq 10}$$

where the rank of $\sigma$ is equal to 4 instead of 6. The group $G$ acts transitively on these 55 points.

**Proof.** Since we have already obtained the ideal of the rank-4 locus, a Gröbner bases computation allows us to obtain the explicit coordinates for the underlying points all at once and verify that there are 55 distinct solutions (the author wrote a Macaulay2 package, RationalPoints2, that can perform this computation easily). But to better understand
the action of the group $G$ on these points, we will explain another step-by-step procedure to solve the system using this group action.

We first consider the hyperplane $x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0$. The intersection of this hyperplane with the singular locus is a subscheme of length 5. To compute the coordinates of these 5 points, we can use elimination and obtain a degree-5 equation for $X := x_1/x_0$

$$1 - 4X + 2X^2 + 5X^3 - 2X^4 - X^5 = 0.$$ 

This polynomial splits in the cyclotomic field $Q(\zeta)$ and all the roots are real, so its splitting field is the real subextension of $Q(\zeta)/Q$ of degree 5. We take one real root $\zeta^7 + \zeta^6 + \zeta^5 + \zeta^4$, which allows us to recover the coordinates of one point $p_{0,0}$. The action of the order-5 element $R$ now recovers all the five points on the hyperplane. We denote these by $p_{0,0}, \ldots, p_{4,0}$. They are all real points.

We then consider the action of the order-11 element $P$, which acts as in Table 1. This allows us to recover the other 50 points which have coordinates in $Q(\zeta)$ and are complex points. We write $p_{i,j}$ for $P^j(p_{i,0})$. One may then verify that all 55 points are distinct and thus the subgroup $B$ generated by $P$ and $R$ acts transitively on them.

See Section A.3 for the Macaulay2 code. \square

5. Automorphism group and Picard group

We consider again the general case. In [BS] Section 4, it is shown that for a trivector $\sigma$ such that $X_6^\sigma$ is smooth, each isolated singular point $p = [V_1]$ of $X_1^\sigma$ where $\sigma(V_1, -, -)$ has rank 4 leads to a divisor

$$D := \{ [U_6] \in X_6^\sigma \mid U_6 \supset V_1 \}$$

in $X_6^\sigma$. We have the following result [BS] Lemma 4.9 and Corollary 4.10.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $\sigma$ be a trivector such that $X_6^\sigma$ is a smooth hyperkähler fourfold. Let $p = [V_1]$ be an isolated singular point of $X_1^\sigma$ and let $D$ be the induced divisor. Write $H$ for the Plücker polarization on $X_6^\sigma$. Then the intersection matrix between $H$ and $D$ with respect to the Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form $q$ on $H^2(X_6^\sigma, Z)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 22 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The class $D$ has divisibility 1.

Divisors induced by distinct isolated singular points are also distinct. This can be proved by computing their intersection numbers as follows.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $\sigma$ be a trivector such that $X_6^\sigma$ is a smooth hyperkähler fourfold. Let $p = [V_1]$ and $p' = [V'_1]$ be two different isolated singular points on $X_1^\sigma$. Write $D$ and $D'$ for the divisors on $X_6^\sigma$ that they define. If $\sigma(V_1, V'_1, -) = 0$, then $q(D, D') = 1$; otherwise we have $q(D, D') = 0$. In particular, the classes $D$ and $D'$ are distinct.

**Proof.** The Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form $q$ has the property

$$H^2 \cdot D \cdot D' = q(H, H)q(D, D') + 2q(H, D)q(H, D') = 22q(D, D') + 8.$$ 

So we shall calculate the degree of the intersection $D \cap D'$ with respect to the polarization $H$.

If $\sigma(V_1, V'_1, -) = 0$, the intersection $D \cap D'$ can be identified with the locus in $\text{Gr}(2, V_6/(V_1 + V'_1)) \times \text{Gr}(2, V_6/(V_1 + V'_1))$ where $\sigma$ vanishes. A simple computation with Macaulay2 shows that its degree is 30: in fact when $D \cap D'$ is smooth it is a K3 surface admitting (at least) two
polarizations with intersection matrix \((\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix})\) and the class \(H\) is their sum which has degree 30. We may then conclude that \(q(D, D') = 1\) using the relation \([3]\).

If \(\sigma(V_1, V'_1, -) \neq 0\), the kernel of this linear form is a subspace \(V_6\) such that \(V_6 + V'_6 \subset V_6\). We first show that we have \(V_6 + V'_6 = V_6\). If the inclusion were strict, we would get a subspace \(V_6 \cap V'_6\) of dimension \(\geq 4\) which satisfies the vanishing condition \(\sigma(V_1 + V'_1, V_6 \cap V'_6, V_{10}) = 0\). But the condition \(\sigma(V_1, V'_1, -) \neq 0\) shows that \(V_1 \not\subset V'_6\) and \(V'_1 \not\subset V_6\) so the intersection of \(V_1 + V'_1\) and \(V_6 \cap V'_6\) is 0. This means that for every \(V''_1\) contained in \(V_1 + V'_1\), the kernel of \(\sigma(V''_1, - , -)\) contains both \(V''_6\) and \(V_6 \cap V'_6\) and is therefore of dimension at least 5. In particular, we have \(\dim \sigma[V''_1] \leq 4\) so the entire line \(P(V_1 + V''_1)\) is singular in \(X'^6\), contradicting the hypothesis that \([V_1]\) and \([V''_1]\) are isolated singular points.

So we get \(V_6 + V'_6 = V_6\) and therefore \(\dim V_6 \cap V'_6 = 3\). A point \([U_6]\) in the intersection \(D \cap D'\) can be given by the following data: first choose a 2-plane \(U_2\) in \(V_6 \cap V'_6\), then choose a 1-dimensional subspace of \(V_6/(V_1 + U_2)\) and another 1-dimensional subspace of \(V'_6/(V'_1 + U_2)\). In other words, the intersection \(D \cap D'\) can be identified as a certain zero-locus in the fiber product of two projective bundles over \(\text{Gr}(2, V_6 \cap V'_6)\). A computation with \text{Macaulay2}\ shows that this is a surface of degree 8 with respect to the polarization \(H\). We can thus conclude that \(q(D, D') = 0\) in the second case, again using the relation \([3]\).

Since \(q(D, D) = -2\), we immediately see that different isolated singular points \(p\) induce different divisor classes \(D\).

In the case of the special trivector \(\sigma\), we get 55 distinct divisors \(D_{i,j}\) on \(X'^6\), where \(D_{i,j}\) is induced by the isolated singular point \(p_{i,j}\) as given in \text{Proposition 4.2}. Since the subgroup \(B\) acts transitively on the 55 singular points, we see that \(B\) injects into \(\text{Aut}^s_H(X'^6)\). By the simplicity of \(G\), this holds for the whole group \(G\).

**Corollary 5.3.** The automorphism group \(\text{Aut}^s_H(X'^6)\) admits \(G\) as a subgroup.

In particular, the element \(P \in G\) gives a symplectic automorphism of \(X'^6\) of order 11.

We now study the Picard group of \(X'^6\). We will write \(\text{Pic}(X'^6)\) for the Picard group and \(\text{Tr}(X'^6)\) for the transcendental lattice, which is the orthogonal complement of \(\text{Pic}(X'^6)\) in \(H^2(X'^6, \mathbb{Z})\). We mention that Mongardi studied the Picard group of a hyperkähler fourfold of \(K3^{[2]}\)-type that admits a symplectic birational automorphism of order 11 and proved the following general result (see \text{DM [Theorem A.3]}).

**Theorem 5.4** (Mongardi). Let \(X\) be a hyperkähler fourfold of \(K3^{[2]}\)-type that admits a symplectic birational automorphism \(g\) of order 11. The Picard rank of such a fourfold is equal to the maximal value 21. There are two possibilities for the \(g\)-invariant sublattice of \(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 6 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 22
\end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{or} \quad
\begin{pmatrix}
6 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 8 & -3 \\
2 & -3 & 8
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

However, we will not use this result directly. Instead, we will compute explicitly the Picard group of \(X'^6\) and confirm these statements.

Since we have the explicit coordinates for the 55 singular points, \text{Proposition 5.2} allows us to compute the Gram matrix between their corresponding divisors. In fact, it suffices to consider the first 21 singular points \(p_{0,0}, \ldots, p_{0,10}, p_{1,0}, \ldots, p_{1,9}\), that is, the entire \((P)\)-orbit of \(p_{0,0}\) plus another 10 points in the \((P)\)-orbit of \(p_{1,0}\). We compute the \(21 \times 21\) Gram matrix for
We get homomorphisms

\[ \text{Proposition 5.2} \]

(see Section A.4 for the code) and obtain the following

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

This matrix is of determinant 22, a square-free integer, hence the given 21 classes are linearly independent and generate the whole Picard group. So \( X_6^s \) indeed has maximal Picard rank 21. Using the condition \( q(H, D) = 2 \), we may express \( H \) in terms of the classes \( D_{i,j} \): we obtain

\[ H = D_{0,0} + \cdots + D_{0,10}. \]

In other words, the polarization \( H \) is the sum of the class of 11 divisors obtained using the cyclic action of \( P \).

Write \( H^\perp \) for the orthogonal complement of \( H \) in \( \text{Pic}(X_6^s) \), which is of rank 20. Its Gram matrix can be explicitly computed. Using the functionalities for integral lattices in \textit{Sage}, we can verify the following.

\textbf{Lemma 5.5.} The lattice \( H^\perp \) is of discriminant 121 and \( \tilde{O}(H^\perp) \)—the subgroup of isometries of \( H^\perp \) acting trivially on the discriminant group \( D(H^\perp) \)—is isomorphic to \( G \).

\textit{Proof.} By \textit{Sage} computation, see Section A.5 \( \square \)

We now show that the group \( \text{Aut}_H^s(X_6^s) \) of symplectic automorphisms fixing the polarization \( H \) is exactly \( G \).

\textbf{Proposition 5.6.} We have \( \text{Aut}_H^s(X_6^s) \simeq G \).

\textit{Proof.} The second cohomology group \( \Lambda := H^2(X_6^s, \mathbb{Z}) \) is a lattice with discriminant 2. The Picard group is a primitive sublattice of \( \Lambda \) of discriminant 22, which contains the sublattice \( H^\perp \) of discriminant 121. The orthogonal complement \( T \) of \( H^\perp \) in \( \Lambda \) must then have discriminant 242. It is the saturation lattice of the direct sum \( \text{Tr}(X_6^s) \oplus \langle H \rangle \). In particular, we have \( |\Lambda/(T \oplus H^\perp)| = 121 = |D(H^\perp)| \).

The transcendental lattice \( \text{Tr}(X_6^s) \) is of rank 2 and is contained in \( H^{2,0}(X_6^s) \oplus H^{0,2}(X_6^s) \). Therefore, each symplectic automorphism of \( X_6^s \) fixes \( \text{Tr}(X_6^s) \), and an element of \( \text{Aut}_H^s(X_6^s) \) fixes the sublattice \( T \). Denote by \( O(\Lambda, T) \) the subgroup of isometries of \( \Lambda \) fixing the sublattice \( T \), that is,

\[ O(\Lambda, T) := \{ \phi \in O(\Lambda) \mid \phi|_T = \text{Id}_T \}. \]

We get homomorphisms

\[ \begin{align*}
G & \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_H^s(X_6^s) \hookrightarrow O(\Lambda, T) \overset{\text{res}}{\longrightarrow} O(H^\perp).
\end{align*} \]
In the last homomorphism, since we have the equality \( \| \Lambda / (T \oplus H^\perp) \| = |D(H^\perp)| \), we may apply [GHS, Corollary 3.4] to show that the image is contained in the subgroup \( \tilde{O}(H^\perp) \), which is isomorphic to \( G \). So all the inclusions are equalities. \( \square \)

**Remark 5.7.**

1. By viewing \( \Lambda_Q := H^2(X^\sigma_6, \mathbb{Q}) \) as a rational \( G \)-representation, we just saw that it decomposes into
   \[
   \Lambda_Q = \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6)_Q \oplus \mathbb{Q}H \oplus (H^\perp)_Q,
   \]
   where \( G \) acts trivially on the first two components. For the third component, again by a computation of characters, it is the direct sum of two copies of \( V_1^{10} \) (the other irreducible \( G \)-representation of dimension 10; see Table 2 and Section A.5). A geometric interpretation of this fact would be interesting.

2. Mongardi defined a rank-20 lattice \( S \) with explicit Gram matrix and he showed that for a hyperkähler fourfold of K3\(^2\)-type admitting a symplectic birational automorphism \( g \) of order 11, the orthogonal of the \( g \)-invariant sublattice is isomorphic to \( S \) (see [M, Example 2.5.9] or [DM, Appendix A.3]). One may verify that the lattice \( H^\perp \) in our case is indeed isomorphic to \( S \).

3. Mongardi also showed that the fixed locus of such an automorphism \( g \) consists of 5 isolated points, by using the holomorphic Lefschetz–Riemann–Roch formula. As an example, for the automorphism on \( X^\sigma_6 \) given by the element \( P \), using the eigenvalues of \( \wedge^2 \rho(P) \) given in Table 1, we can explicitly determine the fixed locus as the 5 points
   \[ [024568], [235679], [125689], [015789], [046789], \]
   where the symbol \([abcdef]\) means the 6-dimensional subspace \( V_6 = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_6 \rangle \) of \( V_{10} \).

We would now like to determine the structures of the various lattices: the Picard group \( \text{Pic}(X^\sigma_6) \), the transcendental lattice \( \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \) and the \( G \)-invariant sublattice \( T \) which is the saturation of the direct sum \( \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \oplus \langle H \rangle \). We recall the following results from lattice theory [N, Corollary 1.13.3 and Corollary 1.13.5].

**Proposition 5.8** (Nikulin). Let \( L \) be an even lattice of signature \((p, q)\). Let \( l \) be the minimal number of generators of the discriminant group \( D(L) \).

1. If \( p \geq 1, q \geq 1, \) and \( p + q \geq l + 2 \), then \( L \) is uniquely determined by its discriminant form.
2. If \( p \geq 1, q \geq 1, \) and \( p + q \geq l + 3 \), then \( L \) decomposes into \( U \oplus L' \).
3. If \( p \geq 1, q \geq 8, \) and \( p + q \geq l + 9 \), then \( L \) decomposes into \( E_8(-1) \oplus L' \).

Here, \( U \) denotes the hyperbolic plane, and \( E_8(-1) \) denotes the \( E_8 \)-lattice with negative definite form.

**Proposition 5.9.** Consider the lattice
\[
L_{11} := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have the following isomorphisms of lattices
\[
\text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \simeq L_{11}, \quad T = \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \oplus \langle H \rangle \simeq L_{11} \oplus (22),
\]
\[
\text{Pic}(X^\sigma_6) \simeq U \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus L(-1),
\]
where we can take the component \( L \) to be \(
abla \begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 8
\end{array}
\) or \( L_{11} \oplus (2) \) (by this we mean the isomorphism holds for both values of \( L \)).

**Proof.** Since \( \text{Pic}(X^\sigma_6) \) has discriminant 22, its orthogonal \( \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \) has discriminant either 11 or 44. In the second case, the direct sum \( \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \oplus \langle H \rangle \) would have index 2 in its saturation \( T \), so there would exist a class \( x \in \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \) such that \( \frac{1}{2}(H + x) \) is integral. But then we would have \( q(H, \frac{1}{2}(H + x)) = 11 \), contradicting the fact that \( \text{div}(H) = 2 \).

So \( \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \) has discriminant 11. Every rank-2 positive definite lattice has a reduced form (see for instance [CS, Chapter 15.3.2]). For discriminant 11, the lattice \( L_{11} \) is the only one that is even. Thus we may conclude that \( \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \) is isomorphic to \( L_{11} \). Since the direct sum \( \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \oplus \langle H \rangle \) is primitive, we have \( T = \text{Tr}(X^\sigma_6) \oplus \langle H \rangle \simeq L_{11} \oplus (22) \).

Finally we determine the structure of \( \text{Pic}(X^\sigma_6) \). By using (2) and (3) of Proposition 5.8 it decomposes into a direct sum

\[
\text{Pic}(X^\sigma_6) \simeq U \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus L(-1),
\]

where \( L \) is positive definite of rank 3 and discriminant 22 and also even. There are two possibilities: either \( L \) is indecomposable, then by [CS, Table 15.6] it is unique and is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 8
\end{pmatrix};
\]

or \( L \) is decomposable, then it must be the direct sum \( L_{11} \oplus (2) \). By comparing discriminant forms and using (1) of Proposition 5.8 we may conclude that the isomorphism \( (5) \) holds for both values of \( L \). □

**Remark 5.10.**

1. We determined the sublattice \( T \) that is \( G \)-invariant. In particular, for a given element \( g \in G \) of order 11, \( T \) is contained in the \( g \)-invariant sublattice. Notice that this is indeed one of the two possibilities listed in Theorem 5.4.

2. In [DM], it is shown that for a double EPW sextic with a symplectic birational automorphism of order 11, its transcendental lattice is isomorphic to \( (22)^{\oplus 2} \). So the special Debarre–Voisin fourfold \( X^\sigma_6 \) is not isomorphic to the special double EPW studied in [DM].

3. When \( \sigma \) is such that \( X^\sigma_1 \) admits a unique singular point \( [V_i] \), there is an associated K3 surface \( S \) of degree 6, and the divisor \( D \) admits a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-fibration over \( S \). In the case of \( \sigma \), if the K3 surface associated to one \( D_{i,j} \) is still smooth of dimension 2 (which we were not able to prove), then all 55 are isomorphic under the action of \( G \). Moreover, the Picard number of this K3 surface would be the maximal value 20, and we can obtain its Gram matrix using \( \text{Pic}(X^\sigma_6) \) (in particular, the period of this K3 surface is uniquely determined).

**Appendix A. Macaulay2 and Sage code**

We provide the computer algebra code used in the note. Only the last computation for the group of isometries is done in Sage, all the others are in Macaulay2.
A.1. **Proposition 3.2:** the smoothness of $X_\sigma^3$. The following verifies the smoothness of $X_\sigma^3$ by using the Jacobian criterion on each affine chart $A^{21}$ of $\text{Gr}(3, V_{10})$.

```
-- the trivector has ten components
comps = {{0,2,5},{1,3,6},{2,4,7},{3,0,8},{4,1,9},
         {0,9,7},{1,5,8},{2,6,9},{3,7,5},{4,8,6}};
sigma = (u,v,w) -> sum(for idx in comps list det submatrix(u||v||w, idx));
-- check that the hyperplane section is smooth on each chart of $\text{Gr}(3,10)$
S = QQ[g_0..g_20]; -- each chart has 21 coordinates
I3 = id(S^3); M = genericMatrix(S,3,7);
-- generate the coordinates matrix for the chart ijk
chart = (i,j,k) ->
  M_{0..i-1}|I3_{0}|M_{i..j-2}|I3_{1}|M_{j-1..k-3}|I3_{2}|M_{k-2..6};
isSmooth = true;
for ijk in subsets(10,3) do (Mijk = chart toSequence ijk;
  if dim singularLocus ideal sigma(Mijk^{0},Mijk^{1},Mijk^{2}) >= 0
    then isSmooth = false;);
assert(isSmooth);
```

A.2. **Ideals generated by Pfaffians.** The following computes the ideals generated by Pfaffians of $\sigma$ seen as a $10 \times 10$ skew-symmetric matrix.

```
-- we study the singular locus of the Peskine X1 in $P^9$
S = QQ[x_0..x_9];
-- compute the skew-symmetric matrix of sigma
delta = (x,y,ex) -> (table(10,10,(i,j) -> if i==x and j==y then ex else 0));
skew = (i,j,k) -> (delta(i,j,x_k)+delta(j,k,x_i)+delta(k,i,x_j)
                   -delta(j,i,x_k)-delta(k,j,x_i)-delta(i,k,x_j));
sigmaskew = matrix sum(for idx in comps list skew(idx));
I2 = trim pfaffians_4 sigmaskew; -- irrelevant ideal
I4 = trim pfaffians_6 sigmaskew; -- 55 points
I6 = trim pfaffians_8 sigmaskew; -- the Peskine X1
<< "\{\text{rank}<=2\}: \dim = \" << dim I2-1 << ", \deg = \" << degree I2 << endl;
<< "\{\text{rank}<=4\}: \dim = \" << dim I4-1 << ", \deg = \" << degree I4 << endl;
<< "\{\text{rank}<=6\}: \dim = \" << dim I6-1 << ", \deg = \" << degree I6 << endl;
```

The output is the following.

```
\{\text{rank}<=2\}: \dim = -1, \deg = 11
\{\text{rank}<=4\}: \dim = 0, \deg = 55
\{\text{rank}<=6\}: \dim = 6, \deg = 15
```

A.3. **Proposition 4.2:** the 55 isolated singular points. We first compute the coordinates of the 55 isolated singular points directly using the `RationalPoints2` package.

```
needsPackage "RationalPoints2``; 
assert(#unique rationalPoints(I4, Projective=>true, Split=>true) == 55);
```

Then we perform the step-by-step procedure as explained in the proof of **Proposition 4.2**.

```
-- use the hyperplane $x_0+x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4$ to identify 5 points
fivePts = I4 : (I4 : (x_0+x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4));
-- get a degree 5 polynomial in $x_0$ and $x_1$ using elimination
pol5 = (gens gb sub(fivePts, QQ[x_0..x_9, Weights=>(2:0)|(0:1)])_(0,0));
```
-- the polynomial will split in Q(\(\zeta\)) so we take a field extension
\(F = \text{toField}(\mathbb{Q}[z]/((z^{11} - 1)/(z - 1)));\) \(S' = F[x_0 \ldots x_9];\)
\(\text{root} = \text{ideal}(x_1 - (z^7 + z^6 + z^5 + z^4) * x_0);\) -- take a root
\(\text{assert zero(sub(pol5, \(S'\)) % root); -- verify that it is a root}\n\(\text{fivePts'} = \text{sub(fivePts, \(S'\));}\)
\(\text{Ip = saturate(fivePts', saturate(fivePts', root)); -- ideal of one of the points}\n\(\text{-- we recover the coordinates of \(p\) by solving a linear system in \(x_0, \ldots, x_9\)}\)
\(\text{coeffs = \(f->\text{first entries transpose(coefficients(\(f, Monomials=>\text{gens}(\(S'\)))})\cdot\text{1};}\)
\(\text{mat = \text{matrix}([\{1,9:0\}])*apply(\text{first entries gens Ip, coeffs});}\)
\(\text{p = first entries transpose(sub((\text{inverse mat})_{0}, F));}\)
\(\text{-- finally compute the orbit of \(p\)}\)
\(\text{coord = p -> apply(p, x->((x-1);}\)
\(\text{\(\text{Peigen = (for a in \((10,2,7,8,6,5,1,9,4,3)\) list z^a);}\)
\(\text{P = (j, p) -> coord apply(10, i->p->j*Peigen_i^j)); -- P acts by scaling}\)
\(\text{R = p -> \text{coord}\{p, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8, p_9, p_5\}; -- R acts by permuting}\)
\(\text{pts = flatten apply(\{p, R p, R R p, R R R p, R R R R p\}, p->apply(11, j -> P_j p));}\)
\(\text{assert(\#unique pts == 55); -- G acts transitively on all 55 singular points}\)

A.4. The Picard group. The following computes the Gram matrix for the 21 classes \(D_0, \ldots, D_{19}\) using Proposition 5.2

\[
\text{M21 = matrix table(21,21,(i,j)->(if i == j then -2 else if sigma(matrix\{pts_i\},matrix\{pts_j\},\text{genericMatrix}(S',1,10))==0 then 1 else 0));}
\]

A.5. Lemma 5.5. The group of isometries. The Gram matrix of \(H^\perp\) is

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-6 & -2 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 \\
-4 & -2 & -6 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 \\
-3 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 \\
-4 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 \\
-4 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 \\
-4 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 \\
-4 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -4 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

which has determinant 121. The following verifies that the group of isometries \(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(H^\perp)\) is isomorphic to \(G\). The variable \(M\) in the code stands for the above Gram matrix.

\[
\text{\text{L = IntegralLattice(M)}}
\text{\text{OL = L.automorphisms()}}
\text{\text{D = L.discriminant_group()}}
\text{\text{OD = D.orthogonal_group()}}
\text{\text{a, b = L.dual_lattice().gens()\{0:2\}}}\]
\text{\text{u, v = [4*a+9*b, 9*a+8*b]}}
\text{\text{r = L.dual_lattice().hom(D)}}
\text{\text{i = OL.Hom(OD)(\{matrix((r(u*g), r(v*g))) for g in OL.gens()\})}}
\text{\text{G = i.kernel()}}
\text{\text{print(G.structure_description()) \# PSL(2,11)}}
\]
One may then proceed to compute the character of this $G$-representation and find that

$$(H^\perp)_G = (V'_10) \boxtimes 2$$

(which is in fact defined over $\mathbb{Q}$).

```python
ch = G.character(matrix(x).trace() for x in G.conjugacy_classes_representatives())
[(m,c.values()) for (m,c) in ch.decompose()]
```

# [(2, [10, 2, 1, 0, 0, -1, -1, -1])]

## Appendix B. Representation theory of $G$

Below is the character table for the irreducible complex representations of $G$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conj. class</th>
<th>[Id] $[(\frac{0}{1} 1)]$</th>
<th>$[(\frac{1}{0} 1)]$</th>
<th>$[(\frac{1}{1} -1)]$</th>
<th>$[(\frac{-1}{0} 1)]$</th>
<th>$[(\frac{0}{1} -1)]$</th>
<th>$[(\frac{0}{0} 0)]$</th>
<th>$[(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} 0)]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_5$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-11} - \frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-11} + \frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_5^\vee$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-11} - \frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-11} + \frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{10}$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{10}^\vee$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{11}$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{12}$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5} - \frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5} - \frac{1}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{12}^\vee$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5} - \frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5} + \frac{1}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda^3 V_{10}^\vee$</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Character table of $G = \text{PSL}(2, F_{11})$
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