FINITE ELEMENTS FOR DIVDIV-CONFORMING SYMMETRIC TENSORS IN ARBITRARY DIMENSION

LONG CHEN AND XUEHAI HUANG

ABSTRACT. Several div-conforming and divdiv-conforming finite elements for symmetric tensors on simplexes in arbitrary dimension are constructed in this work. The shape function space is first split as the trace space and the bubble space. The later is further decomposed into the null space of the differential operator and its orthogonal complement. Instead of characterization of these subspaces of the shape function space, characterization of the duals spaces are provided. Vector div-conforming finite elements are firstly constructed as an introductory example. Then new symmetric div-conforming finite elements are constructed. The dual subspaces are then used as build blocks to construct divdiv conforming finite elements.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we construct div-conforming and divdiv-conforming finite elements for symmetric tensors on simplexes in arbitrary dimension. A finite element on a geometric domain $K$ was defined as a triple $(K, V, N)$ in [11], where $V$ is the finite-dimensional space of shape functions and the set of degrees of freedom (DoF) $N$ is a basis of the dual space $V^*$ of $V$. The shape functions of the finite element are usually polynomials. The key is to identify an appropriate basis of $V^*$ to enforce the continuity of the functions across the boundary of the elements so that the global finite element space is a subspace of some Sobolev space $H(d, \Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a domain and $d$ is a generic differential operator.

\[
E_0 := \ker(d) \cap \ker(tr^d)
\]

\[
E_0^\perp := \ker(d) \cap \ker(tr^d)
\]

\[
tr^d
\]

\[
\text{Figure 1. Decomposition of a generic finite element space}
\]
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Denote by $\text{tr}^d$ the trace operator associated to $d$ and the bubble function space $\mathbb{B}(d) := \ker(\text{tr}^d) \cap V$. We shall decompose $V = \mathbb{B}(d) \oplus \text{img}(\text{tr}^d)$ and find degrees of freedom of each subspace.

1. Characterization of $(\text{tr}^d)^*$ using the Green’s formula.

2. Characterization of $\mathbb{B}^*(d)$ through the polynomial complexes.

In the characterization of $\mathbb{B}^*(d)$, we will use the differential operator $d$ to further split $\mathbb{B}(d)$ into two subspaces $E_0 := \mathbb{B}(d) \cap \ker(d)$ and $E_0^\perp := \mathbb{B}(d)/E_0$ (see Figure 1).

- A basis of $(E_0^\perp)^*$ is given by $d^*p$, $p \in d\mathbb{B}(d) = dE_0^1$;

- On the other part, we have two approaches:
  - the primary approach: $E_0$ is the image of the previous bubble space,
  - the dual approach: $E_0^*$ is the null space of a Koszul operator.

The dual approach to find a basis of $E_0^*$ is simpler as the characterization of the previous bubble spaces is not easy. For example, for the elasticity complex, the previous symmetric tensor space is related to the second order differential operator $\text{inc}$ [2]. While in the dual approach, we prove that a basis of $(E_0^\perp)^*$ is given by $(\langle \cdot, p \rangle, p \in \ker(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S})$). To simplify notation, we introduce operator $\mathcal{N}: V \to V^*$ as $\mathcal{N}(p) := (\langle \cdot, p \rangle, p \in \ker(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

We first review the construction of the Brezzi-Douglas-Marini (BDM) element [6, 5] and Raviart-Thomas (RT) element [19, 17] for $H(\text{div})$-conforming elements. The trace space $\text{tr}^{\text{div}}(\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)) = \prod_{F \in \partial K} \mathbb{P}_k(F)$. By the aid of the space decomposition $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) = \text{grad}\mathbb{P}_k(K) \oplus \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$ related to the dual complexes, we derive $E_0^\perp = \mathcal{N}(\ker(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d))$. BDM and RT elements share the same trace space and $E_0$, while

$$(E_0^\perp)^* = \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(\text{grad}\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)) & \text{for BDM element,} \\
\mathcal{N}(\text{grad}\mathbb{P}_k(K)) & \text{for RT element.}
\end{cases}$$

The dual space $\mathbb{B}^*_k(\text{div}, K)$ for BDM element can be further merged as

$$\mathbb{B}^*_k(\text{div}, K) = \text{ND}_{k-2}(K) := \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \oplus \mathbb{H}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

In summary, the degrees of freedom for BDM element are

$$\langle v \cdot n, q \rangle_F \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{P}_k(F) \text{ for each } (d - 1)\text{-dimensional face } F \text{ of } K,$n\langle v, q \rangle_K \quad \forall \ q \in \text{ND}_{k-2}(K).$$

We then apply our approach for a more challenging problem: $\text{div}$-conforming finite elements for symmetric tensors, which can be used to discretize the stress-displacement formulation of the elasticity system. Several $\text{div}$-conforming finite elements for symmetric tensors were designed in [4, 2, 16, 13, 15] on simplices, but our elements are new and construction is more systematical. The space of shape functions is $\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S})$ for the first kind, and the degrees of freedom are

1. $\mathbf{t}(\delta) \quad \forall \ \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K),$

2. $\langle n^*_i \mathbf{t} n_j, q \rangle_F \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-d-1}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K),$

$$i, j = 1, \cdots, r, \text{ and } r = 1, \cdots, d - 1,$$

3. $\langle \Pi_F \mathbf{t} n, q \rangle_F \quad \forall \ q \in \text{ND}_{k-2}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K),$

4. $\langle \mathbf{t}, q \rangle_K \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S}).$
The symmetry of the shape function and the trace $\tau n$ on $(d-1)$-dimensional faces lead to the degrees of freedom of $(1)\cdots(2)$, which are motivated by those of the divdiv-conforming element in [9, 8]. The set of degrees of freedom (3) differs from that of Hu-Zhang element in [13, 15] for $d \geq 3$. The bubble function space $B_k(\text{div}, K; S)$ can be decomposed into two parts $E_0(S) := B_k(\text{div}, K; S) \cap \ker(\text{div})$ and $E_0^p(S) := B_k(\text{div}, K; S)/E_0$. And we show that

$$(E_0^p(S))^* = N(\text{def } \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K, \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad E_0^p(S) = N(\ker(x) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; S)).$$

A new $\mathbb{P}_k^p(K; S)$ family $H(\text{div}; S)$-conforming elements is also devised for which $\text{div } \mathbb{P}_k^p(K; S) = \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Motivated by the recent construction [14] in two and three dimensions, the previous div-conforming finite elements for symmetric tensors are then revised to acquire $H(\text{div } \text{div}) \cap H(\text{div } \text{div})$-conforming finite elements for symmetric tensors in arbitrary dimension. Using the building blocks in the BDM element and div-conforming $P_k(K; S)$ element, we construct the following degrees of freedom

$$(5) \quad \tau(\delta) \quad \forall \delta \in V(K),$$

$$(6) \quad (n^j \tau n_j, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-d-1}(F), F \in F^r(K), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, r, \quad \text{and} \quad r = 1, \ldots, d-1,$$

$$(7) \quad (\Pi_F \tau n, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \text{ND}_{k-2}(F), F \in F^1(K),$$

$$(8) \quad (n^j \text{div } \tau, p)_F \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(F), F \in F^1(K),$$

$$(9) \quad (\tau, \text{def } q)_K \quad \forall q \in \text{ND}_{k-3}(K),$$

$$(10) \quad (\tau, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \ker(x) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; S).$$

The degree of freedom (8) is to enforce $\text{div } \tau$ is $H(\text{div })$-conforming and (9)–(10) are from the decomposition of dual spaces.

We then modify the element slightly to get $H(\text{div } \text{div})$-conforming symmetric finite elements. The degrees of freedom are given by

$$(11) \quad \tau(\delta) \quad \forall \delta \in V(K),$$

$$(12) \quad (n^j \tau n_j, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-d-1}(F), F \in F^r(K), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, r, \quad \text{and} \quad r = 1, \ldots, d-1,$$

$$(13) \quad (n^j \text{div } \tau + \text{div } F(\tau n), p)_F \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(F), F \in F^1(K),$$

$$(14) \quad (\Pi_F \tau n, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \text{ND}_{k-2}(F), F \in F^1(K),$$

$$(15) \quad (\tau, \text{def } q)_K \quad \forall q \in \text{ND}_{k-3}(K),$$

$$(16) \quad (\tau, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \ker(x) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; S).$$

The only difference is that degree of freedom (8) is replaced by (13), which agrees with a trace operator of div div-operator and generalize the divdiv-conforming element in two and three dimensions [9, 8]. The degree of freedom (14) is considered as interior to $K$, i.e., it is not single-valued across elements and thus can be eliminated element-wise when solving the linear algebraic equation.

A new family of $\mathbb{P}_k^p(K; S)$ type $H(\text{div div}) \cap H(\text{div } \text{div})$-conforming finite elements are developed similarly. The range space div $\mathbb{P}_k^p(K; S)$ is enriched to $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)$. Such RT type elements for symmetric tensors are new and not easy to construct without exploring the decomposition of the dual spaces.
The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Preliminaries are given in Section 2. We review the construction of div-conforming elements in Section 3. In Section 4, new div-conforming elements for symmetric tensors are designed. And we consider the construction of div-div-conforming elements in Section 5.

2. Preliminary

2.1. Notation. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a simplex. For $r = 1, 2, \cdots, d$, denote by $F^r(K)$ be the set of all $(d-r)$-dimensional faces of $K$. The superscript $r$ in $F^r(K)$ represents the co-dimension of a $(d-r)$-dimensional face $F$. Set $\mathcal{V}(K) := F^d(K)$ as the set of vertices. Similarly, for $F \in F^r(K)$, define

$$F^1(F) := \{e \in F^{r+1}(K) : e \subset \partial F\}.$$ 

For any $F \in F^r(K)$ with $1 \leq r \leq d-1$, let $\mathbf{n}_{F,1}, \cdots, \mathbf{n}_{F,r}$ be its mutually perpendicular unit normal vectors, and $\mathbf{t}_{F,1}, \cdots, \mathbf{t}_{F,d-r}$ be its mutually perpendicular unit tangential vectors. We abbreviate $\mathbf{n}_{F,1}$ as $\mathbf{n}_F$ when $r = 1$. We also abbreviate $\mathbf{n}_{F,i}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{F,i}$ as $\mathbf{n}_i$ and $\mathbf{t}_i$ respectively if not causing any confusion. For any $F \in F^1(K)$ and $e \in F^1(F)$, denote by $\mathbf{n}_{F,e}$ the unit outward normal to $\partial F$.

Given a face $F \in F^1(K)$, and a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, define $\Pi_F \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{n}_F \times \mathbf{v}) \times \mathbf{n}_F = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n}_F \mathbf{n}_F^\top) \mathbf{v}$ as the projection of $\mathbf{v}$ onto the face $F$. Given a scalar function $v$, define the surface gradient on $F \in F^r(K)$ as

$$\nabla_F v := \nabla v - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial v}{\partial n_i} \mathbf{n}_{F,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t_i} \mathbf{t}_{F,i},$$

namely the projection of $\nabla v$ to the face $F$, which is independent of the choice of the normal vectors. And denote by $\text{div}_F$ the corresponding surface divergence.

2.2. Polynomial. We recall some results about polynomial spaces on a bounded and topologically trivial domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\mathbf{0} \in D$. Given a non-negative integer $k$, let $\mathbb{P}_k(D)$ stand for the set of all polynomials in $D$ with the total degree no more than $k$, and $\mathbb{P}_k(D; X)$ denote the tensor or vector version. Let $\mathbb{H}_k(D) := \mathbb{P}_k(D)/\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D)$ be the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $k$. Recall that

$$\dim \mathbb{P}_k(D) = \binom{k+d}{d}, \quad \dim \mathbb{H}_k(D) = \binom{k+d-1}{d-1} = \binom{k+d-1}{k}$$

for a $d$-dimensional domain $D$.

By Euler’s formula, we have

$$x \cdot \nabla q = kq \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{H}_k(D), \tag{17}$$

$$\text{div}(xq) = (k+1)q \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{H}_k(D) \tag{18}$$

for integer $k \geq 0$.

2.3. Dual spaces. Consider a Hilbert space $V$ with the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Define $\mathcal{N} : V \to V^*$: for any $p \in V$, $\mathcal{N}(p) \in V^*$ is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{N}(p), \cdot \rangle = (p, \cdot).$$

When $V$ is a subspace of an ambient Hilbert space $W$, we use the inclusion $\mathcal{I} : V \hookrightarrow W$ to denote the embedding of $V$ into $W$. Then the dual operator $\mathcal{I}^* : W^* \to V^*$ is onto. That is for any $N \in W^*$, $\mathcal{I}^* N \in V^*$ is defined as $(\mathcal{I}^* N, v) = \langle N, v \rangle$. 


\[ \langle N, I v \rangle . \] On the other side, assume there is a surjective mapping \( B : V \rightarrow U, \) e.g., the trace operator, then \( B^* : U^* \rightarrow V^* \) is an injection, which embeds \( U^* \) into \( V^* \).

Consider the case \( V \subseteq W \) and a subspace \( P^* \subseteq W^* \), then to prove \( V^* = I^* P^* \), it suffices to show

\[ (19) \quad \text{for any } v \in V, \text{ if } N(v) = 0, \forall N \in P^*, \text{ then } v = 0. \]

Note that in general \( I^* \) is onto. That is \( \dim P^* \) might be larger than \( \dim V^* \). When \( I^* : P^* \rightarrow V^* \) is a bijection, we shall skip \( I^* \) and write as \( V^* = P^* \). To prove \( V^* = P^* \), besides (19), dimension count is applied to verify \( \dim V^* = \dim P^* \).

The art of designing conforming finite element spaces is indeed identifying an appropriate basis of the dual space to enforce the continuity of the function across the boundary of the elements. Take \( V = \mathbb{P}_k(K) \) as an example. A naive choice is \( N(\mathbb{P}_k(K)) = V^* \) but such basis enforces no continuity of the function in \( V \) on \( \partial K \).

To be \( H^1 \)-conforming we need to identify a basis for \( (\text{tr}(\mathbb{P}_k(K)))^* \) to ensure the continuity of the trace on lower-dimensional faces of an element \( K \). Note that as the shape function is a polynomial inside the element, the trace is usually smoother than its Sobolev version, which is known as super-smoothness [12]. And the choice of bases is not unique. For example, for \( H^1 \)-conforming finite elements, \( V = \mathbb{P}_k(K) \), Lagrange element and Hermite element will have different bases for \( V^* \).

When counting the dimensions, we often use the following simple fact: for a linear operator \( T \) defined on a finite dimensional linear space \( V \), it holds

\[ \dim V = \dim \ker(T) + \dim \text{im}(T). \]

### 2.4. Simplex and barycentric coordinates

For \( i = 1, \cdots, d \), denote by \( e_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \) the \( d \)-dimensional vector whose \( j \)-th component is \( \delta_{ij} \) for \( j = 1, \cdots, d \). Let \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be a non-degenerated simplex with vertices \( x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_d \). Let \( F_i \in F^1(K) \) be the \((d-1)\)-dimensional face opposite to vertex \( x_i \), and \( \lambda_i \) be the barycentric coordinate of \( x \) corresponding to vertex \( x_i \), for \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, d \). Then \( \lambda_i(x) \) is a linear polynomial and \( \lambda_i|_{F_i} = 0 \). For any sub-simplex \( S \) not containing \( x_i \), and thus \( S \subseteq F_i \), \( \lambda_i|_{S} = 0 \). On the other hand, for a polynomial \( p \in \mathbb{P}_k(K) \), if \( p|_{F_i} = 0 \), then \( p = \lambda_i q \) for some \( q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K) \). As \( F_i \) is contained in the zero level set of \( \lambda_i \), \( \nabla \lambda_i \) is orthogonal to \( F_i \) and a simple scaling calculation shows the relation

\[ \nabla \lambda_i = -\nabla \lambda_i |_{n_i}. \]

Let \( n_i \) be the unit outward normal to the face \( F_i \) of the simplex \( K \) for \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, d \). Clearly \( \{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_d\} \) spans \( \mathbb{R}^d \). We will identify its dual basis \( \{l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_d\} \), i.e. \( (l_i, n_j) = \delta_{ij} \) for \( i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, d \). Here the index 0 is single out for the ease of notation.

Set \( t_{i,j} := x_j - x_i \) for \( 0 \leq i \neq j \leq d \). By computing the constant directional derivative \( t_{i,j} \cdot \nabla \lambda_{l} \) by values on the two vertices, we have

\[ (20) \quad t_{i,j} \cdot \nabla \lambda_{l} = \delta_{j,l} - \delta_{i,l} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l = j, \\ -1, & \text{if } l = i, \\ 0, & \text{if } l \neq i, j. \end{cases} \]

Then it is straightforward to verify \( \{l_i := \nabla \lambda_i |_{t_{i,0}}\} \) is dual to \( \{n_i\} \). Note that in general neither \( \{n_i\} \) nor \( \{l_i\} \) is an orthonormal basis unless \( K \) is a scaling of the reference simplex \( K \) with vertices \( 0, e_1, \cdots, e_d \). By using the basis \( \{n_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, d\} \), we avoid the pull back from the reference simplex.
Following notation in [3], denote by \( \mathbb{N}^d \) the set of all multi-indices \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d) \) with integer \( \alpha_i \geq 0 \), and \( \mathbb{N}_0^d \) the set of all multi-indices \( \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d) \) with integer \( \alpha_i \geq 0 \). For \( \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_d) \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d \), define \( \mathbf{x}^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d} \) and \( |\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i \). Similarly, for \( \mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_d) \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \), define \( \mathbf{x}^\alpha := x_0^{\alpha_0} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d} \) and \( |\alpha| := \sum_{i=0}^{d} \alpha_i \). The Berstein basis for the space \( \mathbb{P}_k(K) \) consists of all monomials of degree \( k \) in the variables \( \lambda_i \), i.e., the basis functions are given by

\[
\{ \lambda^\alpha := \lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \lambda_d^{\alpha_d} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\alpha| = k \}.
\]

Then \( \mathbb{P}_k(K) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\alpha| = k} c_\alpha \lambda^\alpha : c_\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \).

2.5. **Tensors.** Denoted by \( \mathcal{S} \) and \( \mathbb{K} \) the subspace of symmetric matrices and skew-symmetric matrices of \( \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \), respectively. The set of tensors \( \{ T_{i,j} := t_{i,j} t_{j,i} \} \) is dual to \( \{ N_{i,j} \} \) with

\[
N_{i,j} := \frac{1}{2(n_i^t t_{i,j})(n_j^t t_{i,j})} (n_i n_j^t + n_j n_i^t).
\]

That is, by direct calculation [7, (3.2)],

\[
T_{i,j} : N_{k,\ell} = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell}, \quad 0 \leq i < j \leq d, \quad 0 \leq k < \ell \leq d,
\]

where \( : \) is the Frobenius inner product of matrices. Assuming \( \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} c_{i,j} T_{i,j} = 0 \), then apply the Frobenius inner product with \( N_{k,\ell} \) to conclude \( c_{k,\ell} = 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq k < \ell \leq d \). Therefore both \( \{ T_{i,j} \} \) and \( \{ N_{i,j} \} \) are bases of \( \mathcal{S} \). The basis \( \{ T_{i,j} \} \) is firstly introduced in [13, Lemma 2.1] and \( \{ N_{i,j} \} \) is in [7].

3. **Divergence-Conforming Finite Elements**

In this section we shall construct the well-known \( \text{div} \)-conforming finite elements: Brezzi-Douglas-Marini (BDM) [6, 5, 18] and Raviart-Thomas (RT) elements [19, 17]. We start with this simple example to illustrate our approach and build some elementary blocks.

3.1. **Div operator.** By the Euler’s formulae (17) and (18), we have the following result on the div operator.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let integer \( k \geq 0 \). The mapping \( \text{div} : \mathbf{x} \mathbb{H}_k(D) \to \mathbb{H}_k(D) \) is bijective. Consequently \( \text{div} : \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{P}_k(D) \) is surjective.

3.2. **Trace space.** The trace operator for \( H(\text{div}, K) \) space

\[
\text{tr}^{\text{div}} : H(\text{div}, K) \to H^{1/2}(\partial K)
\]

is a continuous extension of \( \text{tr}^{\text{div}} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}|_{\partial K} \) defined on smooth functions. We then focus on the restriction of the trace operator to the polynomial space. Denoted by \( \mathbb{P}_k(F^1(K)) := \{ q \in L^2(\partial K) : q|_F \in \mathbb{P}_k(F) \text{ for each } F \in F^1(K) \} \), which is a Hilbert space with inner product \( \sum_{F \in F^1(K)} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_F \). Obviously \( \text{tr}^{\text{div}}(\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k(F^1(K)) \). We prove it is indeed surjective.
Lemma 3.2. For integer $k \geq 1$, the mapping $\text{tr}^{\text{div}} : \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{P}_k(F^1(K))$ is onto. Consequently
\[
\dim \text{tr}^{\text{div}}(\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)) = \dim \mathbb{P}_k(F^1(K)) = (d + 1)\binom{k + d - 1}{k}.
\]

Proof. By the linearity of the trace operator, it suffices to prove that: for any $F_i \in F^1(K)$ and any $p \in \mathbb{P}_k(F_i)$, we can find a $v \in \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $v \cdot n|_{F_i} = p$ and $v \cdot n|_{F_j} = 0$ for other $F_j \in F^1(K)$ with $j \neq i$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $i = 0$.

For any $p \in \mathbb{P}_k(F_0)$, it can be expanded in Bernstein basis $p = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, |\alpha| = k} c_\alpha \lambda^\alpha$, which can be naturally extended to the whole simplex by the definition of barycentric coordinates. Again by the linearity, we only need to consider one generic term still denoted by $p = c_\alpha \lambda^\alpha$ for a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, |\alpha| = k$. As $\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i = k > 0$, there exists an index $1 \leq i \leq d$ s.t. $\alpha_i \neq 0$. Then we can write $p = \lambda_i q$ with $q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)$.

Now we let $v = \lambda_i q l_i/(l_i, n_0)$. By construction,
\[
(v \cdot n_0) = \lambda_i q = p,
\]
\[
(v \cdot n_j)|_{F_i} = \lambda_i q|_{F_i} (l_i, n_j)/(l_i, n_0) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, d.
\]
That is we find $v$ s.t. $\text{tr}^{\text{div}} v = p$. \qed

With this identification of the trace space, we clearly have $N(\mathbb{P}_k(F^1(K))) = (\text{tr}^{\text{div}}(\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)))^*$, and through $(\text{tr}^{\text{div}})^*$, we embed $N(\mathbb{P}_k(F^1(K)))$ into $\mathbb{P}_k^*(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let integer $k \geq 1$. The space $\mathbb{P}_k^*(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$ includes the degrees of freedom
\[
(p, v \cdot n)_F \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_k(F), F \in F^1(K).
\]
If the degrees of freedom (21) vanish for any $v \in \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$, that is
\[
(p, v \cdot n)_F = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_k(F), F \in F^1(K),
\]
then $\text{tr}^{\text{div}} v = 0$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 3.2, the dual operator $(\text{tr}^{\text{div}})^* : \mathbb{P}_k^*(F^1(K)) \to \mathbb{P}_k^*(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is injective. Taking $N = (p, \cdot)_F \in \mathbb{P}_k^*(F^1(K))$ for any $F \in F^1(K)$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}_k(F)$, we have
\[
((\text{tr}^{\text{div}})^* N)(v) = N(\text{tr}^{\text{div}} v) = (p, \text{tr}^{\text{div}} v)_F = (p, n \cdot v)_F.
\]
Hence the space $\mathbb{P}_k^*(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$ includes the degrees of freedom (21). If the degrees of freedom (21) vanish for any $v \in \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we have $v \perp \text{img}((\text{tr}^{\text{div}})^*)$, which indicates $v \in \ker(\text{tr}^{\text{div}})$. \qed

3.3. Bubble space. After we characterize the range of the trace operator, we focus on its null space. Define the polynomial bubble space
\[
\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) = \ker(\text{tr}^{\text{div}}) \cap \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d).
\]
As $\{n_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, d\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$, it is obviously that for $k = 0$, $\mathbb{B}_0(\text{div}, K) = 0$. As a direct consequence of dimension count, see Lemma 3.4 below, $\mathbb{B}_1(\text{div}, K)$ is also zero.
Lemma 3.4. Let integer $k \geq 1$. It holds
\[
\dim \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) = d \binom{k+d}{k} - (d+1) \binom{k+d-1}{k} = (k-1) \binom{k+d-1}{k}.
\]

Proof. By the characterization of the trace space, we can count the dimension as
\[
\dim \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) = \dim \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) - \dim \text{tr}^\text{div}(\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)).
\]

Next we find different bases of $\mathbb{B}_k^*(\text{div}, K)$. The primary approach is to find a basis for $\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)$, which induces a basis of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K))$. For example, one can show
\[
\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} \lambda_i \lambda_j \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) t_{i,j} \quad \text{for } k \geq 2.
\]
Verification $\lambda_i \lambda_j \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) t_{i,j} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)$ is from the fact
\[
\lambda_i \lambda_j t_{i,j} \cdot n_{|F} = 0, \quad \ell = 0, 1, \ldots, d.
\]
Indeed if $\ell = i$ or $\ell = j$, then $\lambda_i \lambda_j t_{i,j} = 0$. Otherwise $t_{i,j} \cdot n_{|F} = 0$ by (20). To show every function in $\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)$ can be written as linear combination of $\lambda_i \lambda_j t_{i,j}$ is tedious and will be skipped. Obviously $\dim \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) \neq d(d+1)/2 \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K)$ as $\{\lambda_i \lambda_j \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) t_{i,j}, 0 \leq i < j \leq d\}$ is linearly dependent. One can further expand the polynomials in $\mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K)$ in the Bernstein basis and $t_{i,j}$ in terms of $d \lambda$ and add constraint on the multi-index to find a basis from this generating set; see [3].

Fortunately we are interested in the dual space, which can let us find a basis of $\mathbb{B}_k^*(\text{div}, K)$ without knowing one for $\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)$. We first find a larger space containing $\mathbb{B}_k^*(\text{div}, K)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let integer $k \geq 1$. We have
\[
\mathbb{B}_k^*(\text{div}, K) = \mathcal{I}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)).
\]

Proof. It suffices to show that: for any $v \in \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)$ satisfying
\[
(v, q)_K = 0 \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d),
\]
then $v = 0$.

Expand $v$ in terms of $\{l_i\}$ as $v = \sum_{i=1}^{d} v_i l_i$. Then $v \cdot n_{|F_i} = 0$ implies $v_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, i.e., $v_i = \lambda_i p_i$ for some $p_i \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)$. Choose $q = \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i n_i \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$ in (22) to get $\int_K v \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i n_i \right) dx = \int_K \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i p_i^2 dx = 0$, which implies $p_i = 0$, i.e. $v_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$. Thus $v = 0$. \qed

Again the dimension count $\dim \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) \neq \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$ implies $\mathcal{I}^*$ is not injective. We need to further refine our characterization. We use div operator to decompose $\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)$ into
\[
E_0 := \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) \cap \text{ker}(\text{div}), \quad E_0^\perp := \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)/E_0.
\]
We can characterize the dual space of $E_0^\perp$ through the dual operator of div, which is $-\text{grad}$ restricting to the bubble function space.
Lemma 3.6. Let integer \( k \geq 1 \). The mapping
\[
\text{div} : E^+_0 \to \mathbb{P}^+_{k-1} := \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)/\ker(\text{grad})
\]
is a bijection and consequently
\[
\dim E^+_0 = \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K) - 1 = \binom{k-1+d}{d} - 1.
\]

Proof. The inclusion \( \text{div}(\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^+_{k-1} \) is proved through integration by parts
\[
(\text{div} \, \mathbf{v}, p)_K = -(\mathbf{v}, \text{grad} \, p)_K = 0 \quad \forall \, p \in \ker(\text{grad}) = \mathbb{R}.
\]

If \( \text{div}(\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)) \neq \mathbb{P}^+_{k-1} \), then there exists a \( p \in \mathbb{P}^+_{k-1} \) and \( p \perp \text{div}(\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)) \), which is equivalent to \( \text{grad} \, p \perp \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) \). Expand the vector \( \nabla \mathbf{p} \) in the basis \( \{ \mathbf{n}_i, i = 1, \ldots, d \} \) as \( \nabla \mathbf{p} = \sum_{i=1}^d q_i \mathbf{n}_i \) with \( q_i \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \). Then set \( \mathbf{v}_p = \sum_{i=1}^d q_i \lambda_0 \mathbf{n}_i = \sum_{i=1}^d \nabla \lambda_i |q_i \lambda_0 \mathbf{n}_i, t_{i,0} \in \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) \). We have
\[
(\text{grad} \, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}_p)_K = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_K q_i^2 \lambda_0 \mathbf{n}_i \, dx = 0,
\]
which implies \( q_i = 0 \) for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, d \), i.e., \( \text{grad} \, p = 0 \) and \( p = 0 \) as \( p \in \mathbb{P}^+_{k-1} \).

We have proved \( \text{div}(\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)) = \mathbb{P}^+_{k-1} \), and thus \( E^+_0 \to \mathbb{P}^+_{k-1} \) is a bijection as \( E^+_0 = \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)/\ker(\text{div}) \).

Then \( \text{div}^*(\mathbb{P}^+_{k-1})^* = (E^+_0)^* \). Restricted the bubble space \( \text{div}^* = -\text{grad} \) and leads to the following characterization of \( (E^+_0)^* \).

Corollary 3.7. Let integer \( k \geq 1 \). We have
\[
(E^+_0)^* = \mathcal{N}(\text{grad} \, \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)).
\]
That is a function \( \mathbf{v} \in E^+_0 \) is uniquely determined by DoFs
\[
(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q})_K \quad \forall \, \mathbf{q} \in \text{grad} \, \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K).
\]

Proof. For \( \mathbf{v} \in E^+_0 \), \( (\mathbf{v}, \text{grad} \, p)_K = 0 \) for all \( p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K) \) implies \( \text{div} \, \mathbf{v} = 0 \), i.e., \( \mathbf{v} \in E_0 \). Then \( \mathbf{v} \in E_0 \cap E^+_0 = \{ \mathbf{0} \} \). This proves \( (E^+_0)^* = \mathcal{I}^* \mathcal{N}(\text{grad} \, \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)) \). As the dimensions match, \( \mathcal{I}^* \) is a bijection.

After we know the dimensions of \( \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) \) and \( E^+_0 \), we can calculate the dimension of \( E_0 \).

Lemma 3.8. Let integer \( k \geq 1 \). It holds
\[
\dim E_0 = \dim \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) - \dim E^+_0 = d \left( \binom{k+d-1}{d} - \binom{k+d}{d} \right) + 1.
\]

The most difficult part is to characterize \( E_0 \). Using the de Rham complex, we can identify the null space of \( \text{div} : \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K) \to \mathbb{P}^+_{k-1} \) as the image of another polynomial bubble spaces. For example,
\[
E_0 = \begin{cases} 
\text{curl} \, (\mathbb{P}_{k+1}(K) \cap H^1_0(K)) & \text{for } d = 2, \\
\text{curl} \, (\mathbb{P}_{k+1}(K; \mathbb{R}^3) \cap H_0(\text{curl}, K)) & \text{for } d = 3.
\end{cases}
\]
Generalization of the curl operator can be done for de Rham complex, but it will be hard for elasticity complex and \text{divdiv} complex.
Instead, we take the dual approach. To identify the dual space of $E_0$, we resort to a polynomial decomposition of $P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$. We first list the polynomial de Rham complex

$$
\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\nabla} P_k(K) \xrightarrow{\nabla} P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \xrightarrow{\text{skew}} P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K})
$$

and the Koszul complex

$$
P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}) \xrightarrow{\tau^\top} P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \xrightarrow{\nabla} P_k(K) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \mathbb{R},
$$

where $\pi_0 v := v(0)$. They can be merged into the following double-directional complex

$$
\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\nabla \tau^\top \text{skew}} P_k(K) \xrightarrow{\nabla \text{skew}} P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \xrightarrow{\text{skew}} P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}).
$$

Here we only list them without proofs since what we need is the following space decomposition, which we will prove it directly.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let integer $k \geq 1$. We have the polynomial space decomposition

$$
P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) = \text{grad} P_k(K) \oplus P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}) x
$$

and

$$
= \text{grad} P_k(K) \oplus (\ker(x) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)).
$$

**Proof.** By (17) and $P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}) x \subseteq (\ker(x) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d))$, it follows

$$\text{grad} P_k(K) \cap (\ker(x) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)) = \{0\}.
$$

Clearly it holds

$$\text{grad} P_k(K) \cap P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}) x \subseteq \text{grad} P_k(K) \oplus (\ker(x) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)) \subseteq P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d).
$$

Hence it suffices to prove

$$
P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \text{grad} P_k(K) \oplus P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}) x.
$$

Take $q \in P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Without loss of generality, by linearity, it is sufficient to assume $q = x^\alpha e_\ell$ with $|\alpha| = k - 1$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq d$. Let

$$
p = \frac{1}{k} x \cdot q = \frac{1}{k} x^{\alpha + e_\ell} \in P_k(K), \quad \tau = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i x^{\alpha - e_i} (e_i e_\ell^T - e_\ell e_i^T) \in P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}).
$$

Then

$$\text{grad } p + \tau x = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (\alpha_i + \delta_{i\ell}) x^{\alpha + e_i - e_\ell} e_i + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i x^{\alpha - e_i} (e_\ell x_i - e_i x_\ell)
$$

$$= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (\alpha_i + \delta_{i\ell}) x^{\alpha + e_i - e_\ell} e_i + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i x^{\alpha} e_\ell - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i x^{\alpha + e_\ell - e_i} e_i
$$

$$= \frac{1}{k} x^\alpha e_\ell + \frac{|\alpha|}{k} x^\alpha e_\ell = x^\alpha e_\ell.
$$

Therefore it follows $q = \text{grad } p + \tau x$, i.e. (26) holds.

**Corollary 3.10.** Let integer $k \geq 1$. We have

$$E_0^* = \mathcal{N}(\ker(x) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)).
$$

That is a function $v \in E_0$ is uniquely determined by

$$v(q)_K \quad \forall q \in \ker(x) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d).$$
Proof. Take any \( \mathbf{v} \in E_0 \) satisfying
\[
(v, q)_K = 0 \quad \forall \ q \in \ker(\mathbf{x} \cdot) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d).
\]
Due to \( \mathbf{v} \in E_0 \), \( \text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \) and \( \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \), which imply \( (\mathbf{v}, \text{grad} \phi)_K = 0 \) for all \( \phi \in H^1(K) \). In particular \( (\mathbf{v}, \text{grad} p)_K = 0 \) for all \( p \in P_k(K) \).

Together with (27) and the decomposition (25) implies \( (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{p})_K = 0 \) for all \( p \in P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \). Then by Lemma 3.5, we know \( \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \). So we have proved \( E_0^* = T^* \mathcal{N}(\ker(\mathbf{x} \cdot) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)) \).

By the decomposition (25), we count the dimension
\[
\dim(\ker(\mathbf{x} \cdot) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)) = \dim P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) - \dim P_k(K) + 1
= d \binom{k + d - 1}{d} - \binom{k + d}{d} + 1
= \dim E_0,
\]
where the last step is based on (23). The desired result then follows. \( \square \)

Remark 3.11. A computational approach to find an explicit basis of \( \ker(\mathbf{x} \cdot) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \) is as follows. First find a basis for \( P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \) and one for \( P_k(K) \). Then form the matrix representation \( \mathbf{X} \) of the operator \( x \cdot \). Afterwards the null space \( \ker(X) \) can be found algebraically. Another characterization of \( \ker(\mathbf{x} \cdot) \cap P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \) is shown in [17, Proposition 1], that is \( \mathbf{v} \in \ker(\mathbf{x} \cdot) \cap \mathbb{H}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \) is equivalent to \( \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \) such that the symmetric part of \( \nabla^{k-1} \mathbf{v} \) vanishes.

Define
\[
\text{ND}_{k-2}(K) := P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \oplus \mathbb{H}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}) \mathbf{x},
\]
which is the shape function space of the first kind Nedélec edge element in arbitrary dimension.

Corollary 3.12. Let integer \( k \geq 2 \). We have
\[
\mathbb{B}^*_k(\text{div}, K) = \mathcal{N}(\text{grad} P_{k-1}(K) \oplus P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}) \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\text{ND}_{k-2}(K)).
\]
Proof. The first identity is a direct consequence of Corollaries 3.7 and 3.10. We then write \( \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{P}_{k-3}(K; \mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathbb{H}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}) \) and use the decomposition (24) to conclude the second identity. \( \square \)

Remark 3.13. The space \( \text{ND}_{k-2}(K) \) can be abbreviate as \( \text{P}_{k-1}^\circ \Lambda^1 \) in the terminology of FEEC [1]. The characterization of \( \mathbb{B}^*_k(\text{div}, K) \) in (28) can be written as
\[
(\text{P}_k \Lambda^{n-1}(K))^\circ = \text{P}_{k-1}^\circ \Lambda^1(K),
\]
which is well documented for de Rham complex [3] but not easy for general complexes. Therefore we still stick to the vector/matrix calculus notation.

Hence we acquire the uni-solvence of BDM element from Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.12.

Theorem 3.14 (BDM element). Let integer \( k \geq 1 \). Choose the shape function space \( V = P_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \). We have the following set of degrees of freedom for \( V \)
\[
(v \cdot n, q)_F \quad \forall \ q \in \text{P}_k(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K),
\]
\[
(v, q)_K \quad \forall \ q \in \text{ND}_{k-2}(K) = \text{grad} P_{k-1}(K) \oplus P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{K}) \mathbf{x}.
\]
Define the global BDM element space
\[ V_h := \{ v \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) : v|_K \in \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \] 
the degrees of freedom (29) are single-valued\}. 

Since \( v \cdot n|_F \in \mathbb{P}_k(F) \), the single-valued degrees of freedom (29) imply \( v \cdot n \) is continuous across the boundary of elements, hence \( V_h \subset H(\text{div}, \Omega) \).

### 3.4. RT space

In this subsection, we assume integer \( k \geq 0 \). The shape of space functions for the Rivart-Thomas (RT) element is enriched to
\[ V_{RT} := \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \oplus \mathbb{H}_k(K)x. \]

The degrees of freedom are
\[ (v \cdot n, q)_F \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_k(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), \]
\[ (v, q)_K \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K, \mathbb{R}^d) = \text{grad} \mathbb{P}_k(K) \oplus \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{R})x. \]

Note that the DoFs to determine the trace remain the same and only the interior moments are increased from \( \text{ND} = 0 \) to \( \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \). The range space is also increased, i.e., \( \text{div} V_{RT} = \mathbb{P}_k(K, \mathbb{R}^d) \) and therefore the approximation of \( \text{div} u \) will be one order higher.

We follow our construction procedure to identify the dual spaces of each block.

**Lemma 3.15.** Let integer \( k \geq 0 \). It holds
\[ \text{tr} \text{div}(V_{RT}) = \mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{F}^1(K)). \]

**Proof.** When \( k \geq 1 \), by Lemma 3.2 and the fact that \( n \cdot x|_{F_i} \) is a constant, it follows
\[ \text{tr} \text{div}(V_{RT}) = \text{tr} \text{div}(\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)) = \mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{F}^1(K)). \]

Consider the case \( k = 0 \). It is clear that \( \text{tr} \text{div}(V_{RT}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_0(\mathcal{F}^1(K)) \). To prove the other side, by the linearity, assume \( q \in \mathbb{P}_0(\mathcal{F}^1(K)) \) such that \( q|_{F_i} = c \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( q|_{F_i} = 0 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, d \). Set \( v = \frac{c}{(x_1-x_0)\cdot n_0}(x-x_0) \in V_{RT} \), then \( \text{tr} \text{div} v = q \). \( \square \)

Define the bubble space
\[ B^+_k(\text{div}, K) := \ker(\text{tr} \text{div}) \cap V_{RT}. \]

By (33), \( \dim B^+_k(\text{div}, K) = \dim V_{RT} - \dim \text{tr} \text{div}(V_{RT}) = d(k+d-1) \) for \( k \geq 1 \) and \( \dim B^+_0(\text{div}, K) = 0 \). We show the intersection of the null space of \( \text{div} \) operator and \( B^+_k(\text{div}, K) \) remains unchanged.

**Lemma 3.16.** Let integer \( k \geq 0 \). It holds
\[ B^+_k(\text{div}, K) \cap \ker(\text{div}) = E_0, \]
where \( E_0 := \{ 0 \} \) for \( k = 0 \).

**Proof.** For \( v \in V_{RT} \), if \( \text{div} v = 0 \), then \( v \in \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \) as \( \text{div} : \mathbb{H}_k(K)x \to \mathbb{H}_k(K) \) is bijective. Then the desired result follows. \( \square \)

Define \( E_0^{1,+} := B^+_1(\text{div}, K)/E_0 \). We give a characterization of \( (E_0^{1,+})^* \).

**Lemma 3.17.** Let integer \( k \geq 0 \). It holds
\[ (E_0^{1,+})^* = \mathcal{N}(\text{grad} \mathbb{P}_k(K)). \]
Proof. We first prove: given a \( \mathbf{v} \in E^\perp_{0,^+} \), i.e. \( \text{tr} \text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \) and \( \mathbf{v} \perp E_0 \), if

\[
(v, \text{grad} p) = 0 \quad \forall \ p \in \mathbb{P}_k(K),
\]

then \( \mathbf{v} = 0 \). Indeed integration by parts of (36) and the fact \( \text{div} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_k(K) \) imply \( \text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \), i.e. \( \mathbf{v} \in E_0 \). Then the only possibility to have \( \mathbf{v} \perp E_0 \) is \( \mathbf{v} = 0 \).

Then the dimension count gives

\[
\dim E^\perp_{0,^+} = \dim \mathbb{H}^*_k(\text{div}, K) - \dim E_0 = \binom{k+d}{d} - 1 = \dim \text{grad} \mathbb{P}_k(K),
\]

which indicates (35).

Hence we acquire the uni-solvence of RT element from (33), (34), (35) and Corollary 3.10. Global version of finite element space can be defined similarly.

**Theorem 3.18** (Uni-solvence of RT element). Let integer \( k \geq 0 \). The degrees of freedom (31)-(32) are uni-solvent for \( V^\text{RT} \).

### 4. Symmetric Div-Conforming Finite Elements

In this section we shall construct div-conforming finite elements for symmetric matrices. For space \( V = \mathbb{P}_k(K, \subseteq) \), our element is different from Hu-Zhang element in [13]. A new family of \( \mathbb{P}_k^{+}(K, \subseteq) \) type finite elements is also constructed. The trace space for symmetric div-conforming element seems hard to characterize, instead we identify the bubble function space and then only need to work on the dual of the trace space.

#### 4.1. Div operator.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let integer \( k \geq 0 \). The operator \( \text{div} : \text{sym}(\mathbb{H}_k(D; \mathbb{R}^d)\mathbf{x}^T) \to \mathbb{H}_k(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \) is bijective and consequently \( \text{div} : \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \subseteq) \to \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \) is surjective.

**Proof.** Noting that

\[
\text{div} (\text{sym}(\mathbb{H}_k(D; \mathbb{R}^d)\mathbf{x}^T)) \subseteq \mathbb{H}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d),
\]

\[
\dim (\text{sym}(\mathbb{H}_k(D; \mathbb{R}^d)\mathbf{x}^T)) = \dim \mathbb{H}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d),
\]

it is sufficient to prove \( \text{sym}(\mathbb{H}_k(D; \mathbb{R}^d)\mathbf{x}^T) \cap \ker(\text{div}) = \{0\} \). That is: for any \( \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{H}_k(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \) satisfying \( \text{div} \text{sym}(\mathbf{q} \mathbf{x}^T) = 0 \), we are going to prove \( \mathbf{q} = 0 \).

By (18), we have

\[
2 \text{div} \text{sym}(\mathbf{q} \mathbf{x}^T) = \text{div}(\mathbf{q} \mathbf{x}^T) + \text{div}(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{q}^T) = (k+d)\mathbf{q} + (\text{grad} \mathbf{x})\mathbf{q} + (\text{div} \mathbf{q})\mathbf{x}
\]

\[
= (k+d+1)\mathbf{q} + (\text{div} \mathbf{q})\mathbf{x}.
\]

It follows from \( \text{div} \text{sym}(\mathbf{q} \mathbf{x}^T) = 0 \) that

\[
(k+d+1)\mathbf{q} + (\text{div} \mathbf{q})\mathbf{x} = 0.
\]

Applying the divergence operator \( \text{div} \) on both side of (37), we get from (18) that

\[
2(k+d) \text{div} \mathbf{q} = 0.
\]

Hence \( \text{div} \mathbf{q} = 0 \), which together with (37) gives \( \mathbf{q} = 0 \). \qed
4.2. Bubble space. Define an $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}; \mathbb{S})$ bubble function space of polynomials of degree $k$ as

$$\mathbb{B}_k(\operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) := \{ \tau \in \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S}) : \tau n|_{\partial K} = 0 \}.$$ 

It is easy to check that $\mathbb{B}_1(\operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$ is merely the zero space. The following characterization of $\mathbb{B}_k(\operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$ is given in [13, Lemma 2.2].

**Lemma 4.2.** For $k \geq 2$, it holds

$$\mathbb{B}_k(\operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} \lambda_i \lambda_j \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) T_{i,j}. \tag{38}$$

Consequently

$$\dim \mathbb{B}_k(\operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) = \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S}) = \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \binom{d+k-2}{d}.$$ 

**Lemma 4.3.** For $k \geq 2$, it holds

$$\mathbb{B}_k(\operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S})).$$

That is $\tau \in \mathbb{B}_k(\operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$ is uniquely determined by

$$(\tau, \varsigma)_K \quad \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S}).$$

**Proof.** Given $\tau \in \mathbb{B}_k(\operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$, by (38), there exist $q_{ij} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K)$ with $0 \leq i < j \leq d$ such that

$$\tau = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} \lambda_i \lambda_j q_{ij} T_{i,j}.$$ 

Note that symmetric tensors $\{N_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq i < j \leq d}$ be dual to $\{T_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq i < j \leq d}$ with respect to the Frobenius inner product (cf. [7, Section 3.1] and also §2.5). Choosing $\varsigma = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} q_{ij} N_{i,j} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S})$, we get

$$(\tau, \varsigma)_K = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} (\lambda_i \lambda_j, q_{ij})_K = 0.$$ 

Hence $q_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j$, and then $\tau = 0$. As the dimensions match, we conclude the result.

4.3. Trace spaces. The mapping $\operatorname{tr}^{\operatorname{div}} : \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S}) \to \mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{F}^1(K; \mathbb{R}^{d-1}))$ is not onto due to the symmetry. Some compatible conditions should be imposed on lower dimensional simplices. So far we can calculate its dimension.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let integer $k \geq 1$. It holds

$$\dim \operatorname{tr}^{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S})) = \dim \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S}) - \dim \mathbb{B}_k(\operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$$

$$= \dim \mathbb{H}_k(K; \mathbb{S}) + \dim \mathbb{H}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{S})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} d(d+1) \left[ \binom{d+k-1}{d-1} + \binom{d+k-2}{d-1} \right].$$

We show the super-smoothness induced by the symmetry for $H(\operatorname{div}; \mathbb{S})$ element. For a $(d-r)$-dimensional face $e \in \mathcal{F}^r(K)$ with $r = 2, \cdots, d$ shared by two $(d-1)$-dimensional faces $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)$, by the symmetry of $\tau$, $(n_i^e, \tau n_{F'})|_e$ is concurrently determined by $(\tau n_F)|_F$ and $(\tau n_{F'})|_{F'}$. This implies the degrees of freedom $n_i^e \tau n_j$ on $e$ for all $i, j = 1, \cdots, r$. In particular, for a 0-dim vertex $\delta$, $(\tau_{ij}(\delta))_{d \times d}$ is taken as a degree of freedom.
The trace $\tau n$ restricted to a face $F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)$ can be further split into two components: 1) the normal-normal component $n^T \tau n$ will be determined by $n^T \tau n_j$; 2) the tangential-normal component $\Pi_F \tau n$ will be determined by the interior moments relative to $F$ after the trace $\text{tr}^{\text{div}}(\Pi_F \tau n) = n^T_{F,e} \tau n$ has been determined.

Lemma 4.5. A basis of
\[(\text{tr}^{\text{div}}(\mathbb{P}_k(K;\mathbb{S})))^*)\]
is given by the degrees of freedom
\begin{align}
\tau(\delta) & \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K), \\
(n^T_i \tau n_j, q)_F & \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-d-1}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K), \\
& i, j = 1, \ldots, r, \text{ and } r = 1, \ldots, d-1,
\end{align}
\begin{align}
(\Pi_F \tau n, q)_F & \forall q \in \text{ND}_{k-2}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K).
\end{align}

Proof. We first prove that if all the degrees of freedom (39)-(41) are vanished, then $\tau = 0$. As $n^T_i \tau n_j|_F \in \mathbb{P}_k(F)$, by the vanishing degrees of freedom (39)-(40) and the uni-solvence of the BDM element, we get
\[n^T_i \tau n_j|_F = 0 \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, d, \text{ and } r = 1, \ldots, d-1.
\]
This implies
\[n^T \tau n|_F = 0, \quad n^T_{F,e} \tau n|_e = 0 \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), e \in \mathcal{F}^1(F).
\]
Notice that $\Pi_F \tau n|_F \in \mathbb{P}_k(F;\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$. Due to the uni-solvence of the BDM element on $F$, cf. Theorem 3.14, we acquire from the second identify in (42) and the vanishing degrees of freedom (41) that $\Pi_F \tau n|_F = 0$, which together with the first identify in (42) yields $\tau n|_F = 0$.

We then count the dimension to finish the proof. The number of degrees of freedom (39)-(40) is
\[
\sum_{r=1}^d \frac{1}{2} r(r+1) \binom{k-1}{d-r} \binom{d+1}{r} = \sum_{r=1}^d \frac{1}{2} r(r-1) \binom{k-1}{d-r} \binom{d+1}{r} + \sum_{r=1}^d \frac{1}{d-r} \binom{k-1}{d-r} \binom{d+1}{r}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} d(d+1) \sum_{r=2}^d \binom{k-1}{d-r} \binom{d-1}{r-2} + (d+1) \sum_{r=1}^d \binom{k-1}{d-r} \binom{d}{r-1}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} d(d+1) \binom{k+d-2}{k} + (d+1) \binom{k+d-1}{k}.
\]
By (25), the number of degrees of freedom (41) is
\[
(d+1) \left[ \binom{k+d-2}{k-1} - 1 + (d-1) \binom{k+d-2}{k-1} - \binom{k+d-1}{k} + 1 \right]
\]
\[
= (d^2 + d) \binom{k+d-2}{k-1} - (d+1) \binom{k+d-1}{k}.
\]
Through summing the last two expressions, we acquire the number of degrees of freedom (39)-(41)

\[
\frac{1}{2} d(d+1) \left( \binom{k+d-2}{k} + (d^2 + d) \binom{k+d-2}{k-1} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{2} d(d+1) \left[ \binom{d+k-1}{d-1} + \binom{d+k-2}{d} \right] \\
= \dim \text{tr}^{\text{div}}(\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S})).
\]

\[\square\]

**Remark 4.6.** As a comparison, the degrees of freedom of Hu-Zhang element on boundary in [13] are

\[
\tau(\delta) \quad \forall \, \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K),
\]

\[\langle n_i^T \tau n_j, q \rangle_F \quad \forall \, q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-d-1}(F), \quad F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K),\]

\[i, j = 1, \cdots, r, \text{ and } r = 1, \cdots, d-1,\]

\[\langle t_i^T \tau n_j, q \rangle_F \quad \forall \, q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-d-1}(F), \quad F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K),\]

\[i = 1, \cdots, d-r, \quad j = 1, \cdots, r, \text{ and } r = 1, \cdots, d-1.\]

The difference is the way to impose the tangential-normal component.

**4.4. Split of the bubble space.** To construct \( H(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) \) elements, the interior degrees of freedom given by \( \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S})) \) are enough. For the construction of \( H(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) \) element, we use div operator to decompose \( \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) \) into

\[E_0(\mathbb{S}) := \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) \cap \ker(\text{div}), \quad E_0^1(\mathbb{S}) := \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) / E_0(\mathbb{S}).\]

As before we can characterize the dual space of \( E_0^1(\mathbb{S}) \) through the dual operator of div, which is \( -\text{div} := \text{sym grad} \) restricting to the bubble space.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let integer \( k \geq 2 \). The mapping

\[\text{div} : E_0^1(\mathbb{S}) \to \mathbb{P}_{k-1,\text{RM}}^+ := \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K, \mathbb{R}^d) / \ker(\text{def})\]

is a bijection and consequently

\[(E_0^1(\mathbb{S}))^* = \mathcal{N}(\ker(\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K, \mathbb{R}^d))), \]

\[\dim E_0^1(\mathbb{S}) = d \binom{k+d-1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{2}(d^2 + d).\]

**Proof.** The fact \( \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{P}_{k-1,\text{RM}}^+ \) has been proved in [13, Theorem 2.2]. Here we recall it for the completeness.

The inclusion \( \text{div} (\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S})) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{k-1,\text{RM}}^+ \) can be proved through integration by parts

\[(\text{div} \, \tau, v)_K = - (\tau, \text{div} \, v)_K = 0, \quad \forall \, v \in \ker(\text{def}).\]

If \( \text{div} (\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S})) \neq \mathbb{P}_{k-1,\text{RM}}^+ \), then there exists a function \( v \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1,\text{RM}}^+ \) satisfying \( v \perp \text{div} (\mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S})) \), which is equivalent to \( \text{def} \, v \perp \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) \). Expand the symmetric matrix \( \text{def} \, v \) in the basis \( \{ N_{ij}, 0 \leq i < j \leq d \} \) as \( \text{def} \, v = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} q_{ij} N_{ij} \) with \( q_{ij} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \). Then set \( \tau_v = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} q_{ij} \lambda_i \lambda_j T_{ij} \in \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) \).

We have

\[(\text{def} \, v, \tau_v)_K = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} \int_K q_{ij}^2 \lambda_i \lambda_j \, dx = 0,\]
Lemma 4.8. Let integer $k \geq 0$. If $q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $(\text{def } q)x = 0$, then $q \in RM$.

Proof. Since $x^\top(x \cdot \nabla)q = x^\top(\nabla q)x = x^\top(\text{def } q)x = 0$, we get

$$(x \cdot \nabla)(x^\top q) = x^\top(x \cdot \nabla)q + x^\top q = x^\top q.$$ 

By (17), this indicates $x^\top q \in \mathbb{P}_1(D)$. Noting that $(\nabla q)x = \nabla(x^\top q) - q$, we obtain

$$(x \cdot \nabla)q + (\nabla(x^\top q) - q) = (\nabla q)x + (\nabla q)x = 2(\text{def } q)x = 0,$$

which implies $(x \cdot \nabla)q - q = -\nabla(x^\top q) \in \mathbb{P}_0(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence $q \in \mathbb{P}_1(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Assume $q = Nx + C$ with $N \in \mathbb{M}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$x^\top(\text{sym } N)x + x^\top C = x^\top Nx + x^\top C = x^\top q \in \mathbb{P}_1(D),$$

which implies $\text{sym } N = 0$. Therefore $N \in \mathbb{K}$ and $q \in RM$. □

Lemma 4.9. Let integer $k \geq 0$. We have

$$\text{(def } \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d))x = \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S})x = \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap \ker(\pi_{RM}).$$
Proof. For any \( \tau \in \mathbb{P}_k(D; S) \), it follows
\[
\pi_{RM}(\tau x) = (\text{skw}(\nabla(\tau x)))\mathbf{0} = \text{skw}(\tau(0))\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.
\]
Thus \( \mathbb{P}_k(D; S)\mathbf{x} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap \ker(\pi_{RM}) \). On the other hand, we obtain from Lemma 4.8 that
\[
\dim(\def\mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)\mathbf{x}) = \dim\mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d) - \dim RM,
\]
which equals to the dimension of \( \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap \ker(\pi_{RM}) \). Thus (43) follows. \( \square \)

We denote by \( \cdot : \mathbb{P}_k(D; S) \to \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \) the mapping \( \tau \to \tau x \) as the matrix-vector product \( \tau x \) is applying row-wise inner product with vector \( \mathbf{x} \).

**Corollary 4.10.** Let integer \( k \geq 0 \). We have the space decomposition
\[
\mathbb{P}_k(D; S) = \def\mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \oplus (\ker(\cdot) \cap \mathbb{P}_k(D; S)).
\]

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 4.8 that \( \def\mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap (\ker(\cdot) \cap \mathbb{P}_k(D; S)) = \{0\} \). Due to (43),
\[
\dim \def\mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^d) + \dim(\ker(\cdot) \cap \mathbb{P}_k(D; S)) = \dim \mathbb{P}_k(D; S) - \dim RM + \dim \mathbb{P}_k(D; S) - \dim(\mathbb{P}_k(D; S)\mathbf{x})
\]
which means (44). \( \square \)

In two and three dimensions, we have (cf. [8, 10])
\[
\ker(\cdot) \cap \mathbb{P}_k(D; S) = \begin{cases}
\mathbf{x}^+(\mathbf{x}^+)\mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D), & \text{for } d = 2, \\
\mathbf{x} \times \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; S) \times \mathbf{x}, & \text{for } d = 3,
\end{cases}
\]
where \( \mathbf{x}^+ := \left( \begin{array}{c} x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{array} \right) \), but generalization to arbitrary dimension is not easy and not necessary. A computation approach to find an explicit basis of \( \ker(\cdot) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; S) \) is as follows. Find a basis for \( \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; S) \) and one for \( \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \). Then form the matrix representation \( X \) of the operator \( \cdot x \). Afterwards the null space \( \ker(X) \) can be found algebraically.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let integer \( k \geq 2 \). We have
\[
E_0^*(S) = \mathcal{N}(\ker(\cdot) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; S)).
\]
That is a function \( \tau \in E_0(S) \) is uniquely determined by
\[
(\tau, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \ker(\cdot) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; S).
\]

And
\[
\dim E_0(S) = \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} k-2+d \\ d \end{array} \right) - d \left( \begin{array}{c} d+k-1 \\ d \end{array} \right) + \frac{d(d+1)}{2}.
\]

**Proof.** Notice that \( \tau \in \mathbb{P}_k(K; S) \) satisfying \( \text{tr} \text{div} \tau = 0 \) and \( \text{div} \tau = 0 \). Then through integration by parts, it holds
\[
(\tau, q)_K = 0 \quad \forall q \in \def\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d).
\]
If further
\[
(\tau, q)_K = 0 \quad \forall q \in \ker(\cdot) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; S),
\]
then we get from the space decomposition (44) that
\[(\tau, q)_K = 0 \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S}).\]
Then by Lemma 4.3, it follows \(\tau = 0\). Thus \(E_0^q(\mathbb{S}) = \mathcal{I}^* N(\ker(\cdot x) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S})).\)
Again by the space decomposition (44), Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.7,
\[
\dim(\ker(\cdot x) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S})) = \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S}) - \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)
= \dim \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) - \dim E_0^\perp(\mathbb{S}) = \dim E_0(\mathbb{S}),
\]
as required. \qed

Combining Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.11 and the space decomposition (44) yields the degrees of freedom of \(H(\text{div}; \mathbb{S})\)-conforming elements.

**Theorem 4.12** (\(\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S})\)-type \(H(\text{div}; \mathbb{S})\)-conforming elements). Choose the shape function space \(V(\mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S})\) with \(k \geq d + 1\). The degrees of freedom
\[
(\tau, \delta)_{V(K)},
(\mathbf{n}_i^\top \mathbf{n}_j, q)_F \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-d-1}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K),
i, j = 1, \cdots, r, \text{ and } r = 1, \cdots, d - 1,
(\Pi_F \mathbf{n}, q)_F \quad \forall \ q \in \text{ND}_{k-2}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K),
(\tau, q)_K \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S})
\]
are uni-solvent for \(\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S})\). The last degree of freedom (49) can be replaced by
\[
(\tau, \text{def} q)_K \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d),
(\tau, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \ker(\cdot x) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S}).
\]
The global finite element space \(V_h(\text{div}; \mathbb{S}) \subset H(\text{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})\), where
\[
V_h(\text{div}; \mathbb{S}) := \{ \tau \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) : \tau|_K \in \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S}) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \text{ the degrees of freedom (46)-(49) are single-valued} \}.
\]
Clearly \(V_h(\text{div}; \mathbb{S}) \subset H(\text{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})\) follows from the proof of Lemma 4.5.

For the most important three dimensional case, the degrees of freedom (46)-(49) become
\[
(\tau(\delta))_{V(K)},
(\mathbf{n}_i^\top \mathbf{n}_j, q)_e \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(e), e \in \mathcal{F}^2(K), i, j = 1, 2,
(\mathbf{n}_i^\top \mathbf{n}, q)_F \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-3}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K),
(\Pi_F \mathbf{n}, q)_F \quad \forall \ q \in \text{ND}_{k-2}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K),
(\tau, q)_K \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{S})
\]
which are different from Hu-Zhang element in three dimensions [15, 13].

The requirement \(k \geq d + 1\) ensures the degrees of freedom \((\mathbf{\tau n}, q)_F\) for all \(q \in \mathbb{P}_1(F; \mathbb{R}^{d-1})\) on each face \(F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)\), by which the divergence of the global \(H(\text{div}; \mathbb{S})\)-conforming element space will include the piecewise \(\text{RM}\) space.
4.5. $\mathbb{P}_k^+(K; S)$-type elements. Let $k \geq d + 1$. The space of shape functions is taken as

$$\mathbb{P}_k^+(K; S) := \mathbb{P}_k(K; S) \oplus \text{sym}(\mathbb{H}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \mathbf{x}^\top).$$

The degrees of freedom are

\begin{align*}
&\mathbf{t}(\delta) \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K), \\
&(n_\tau^i \tau_{nj}, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-d-1}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K), \quad i,j = 1, \ldots, r, \text{ and } r = 1, \ldots, d-1, \\
&(\Pi_F \mathbf{t}, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(F; \mathbb{R}^{d-1}), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), \\
&(\mathbf{t}, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \ker(\mathbf{x}) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; S), \\
&(\mathbf{t}, \text{def } q)_K \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \oplus \text{div sym}(\mathbb{H}_k(\text{div}, K) \mathbf{x}^\top),
\end{align*}

where $\mathbb{H}_k(\text{div}, K) := \mathbb{H}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathbb{B}_k(\text{div}, K)$.

Since $\text{div} \mathbb{P}_k^+(K; S) = \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\text{div} \mathbb{P}_k(K; S) = \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$, it is expected that using $\mathbb{P}_k^+(K; S)$ to discretize the mixed elasticity problem will possess one-order higher convergence rate of the discrete displacement than that of $\mathbb{P}_k(S)$ symmetric element.

We first study the enrichment of traces due to the addition of $\text{sym}(\mathbb{H}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \mathbf{x}^\top)$.

Unlike the vector RT element, where the trace remains unchanged, now the tangential-normal component is enriched.

**Lemma 4.13.** Given $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$, let $\mathbf{t} = \text{sym}(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{x}^\top)$. Then

1. $n_\tau^i \tau_{nj} \in \mathbb{P}_k(F)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K)$, $r = 1, \ldots, d-1$, and $i,j = 1, \ldots, r$;
2. $\Pi_F \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{RT}_k(F) := \mathbb{P}_k(F; \mathbb{R}^{d-1}) + \mathbf{x}_F \mathbb{H}_k(F)$ with $\mathbf{x}_F = \Pi_F \mathbf{x}$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)$.

**Proof.** Although $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{H}_{k+1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $2n_\tau^i \tau_{nj} = n_\tau^i \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{n}_j) + (n_\tau^i \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{n}_j \in \mathbb{P}_k(F)$ as $n_\tau^i \mathbf{x}|_F$ is constant. For the tangential-normal component,

$$2\Pi_F \mathbf{t} = \Pi_F \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{n}) + (\Pi_F \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{P}_k(F; \mathbb{R}^{d-1}) + \mathbf{x}_F \mathbb{H}_k(F).$$

\[\square\]

**Corollary 4.14.** Given $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{P}_k^+(K; S)$, expand it as $\mathbf{t} = \tilde{\mathbf{t}} + \text{sym}(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{x}^\top)$ with $\tilde{\mathbf{t}} \in \mathbb{P}_k(K; S)$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$. If $\text{tr}^\text{div } \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}$, then $\text{tr}^\text{div } \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.

**Proof.** By (57), $\Pi_F \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}$ implies $\mathbf{v} \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}$ on each face $F \in \partial K$.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 4.15.** For a function $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{P}_k^+(K; S)$, if degrees of freedom (52)-(54) are vanished, then $\text{tr}^\text{div } \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}$.

**Proof.** Since $n_\tau^i \tau_{nj}|_F \in \mathbb{P}_k(F)$, the vanishing degrees of freedom (52)-(53) imply

$$n_\tau^i \tau_{nj}|_F = 0, \quad n_\tau^i \tau_{nj}|_E = 0 \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), e \in \mathcal{F}^1(F).$$

Notice that $\Pi_F \mathbf{n}|_F \in \mathbb{P}_k(F; \mathbb{R}^{d-1}) + (\Pi_F \mathbf{x}) \mathbb{P}_k(F)$. Due to the uni-solvence of the RT element on $F$, we acquire from the second identify in (58) and the vanishing degrees of freedom (54) that $\Pi_F \mathbf{n}|_F = \mathbf{0}$, which together with the first identify in (58) yields $\mathbf{t} \mathbf{n}|_F = \mathbf{0}$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)$, i.e. $\text{tr}^\text{div } \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}$.

\[\square\]
We then define the bubble space
\[ B^+_k(\text{div}, K; S) := \{ \tau \in P^+_k(K; S) : \text{tr}^\text{div} \tau = 0 \} . \]
Noting that \( B_k(\text{div}, K; S) \subset B^+_k(\text{div}, K; S) \subset B_{k+1}(\text{div}, K; S) \), by Lemma 4.5 and Corollary 4.15, we have
\[ B^+_k(\text{div}, K; S) = \{ \tau \in P^+_k(K; S) : \text{all degrees of freedom (52)-(54) vanish} \} . \]
and thus
\[ \dim B^+_k(\text{div}, K; S) \geq \dim P^+_{k-1}(K; S) + \dim \overset{\circ}{H}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) - \sum_{F \in F^1(K)} \dim \overset{\circ}{H}_k(F) \]
(59)
Similarly as (34), it follows from Lemma 4.1 that
\[ B^+_k(\text{div}, K; S) \cap \ker(\text{div}) = E_0(S) . \]
Namely there is no change of \( \ker(\text{div}) \cap \ker(\text{tr}) \). The addition in \( P^+_k(K; S) \) only affects the range of div operator. Next, define \( E^\bot_0(S) := B^+_k(\text{div}, K; S)/E_0(S) \).
The readers are referred to Figure 2 for an illustration of the space decomposition.

**Figure 2.** Space decomposition for \( P^+_k(S) \)-type \( H(\text{div}; S) \)-conforming finite element.

**Lemma 4.16.** For any \( \tau \in B^+_k(\text{div}, K; S) \), if (56) is vanished, then \( \tau \in E_0(S) \).

**Proof.** Indeed by Corollary 4.14, \( \tau \in B^+_k(\text{div}, K; S) \) implies \( \tau \in P_k(K; S) \oplus \text{sym} \overset{\circ}{H}_k(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \). Applying the integration by parts to (56), we get from the fact \( \text{div} P_k(K; S) = P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \) that \( \text{div} \tau = 0 \), i.e. \( \tau \in E_0(S) \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.17.** We have
\[ (E^\bot_0(S))^* = N(\text{def } (P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) \oplus \text{div} \text{sym} \overset{\circ}{H}_k(\text{div}, K; S^\top))) \]
and consequently
\[ \dim B^+_k(\text{div}, K; S) = \dim P^+_{k-2}(K; S) + \dim \overset{\circ}{H}_k(\text{div}, K) . \]

**Proof.** Take any \( \tau \in E^\bot_0(S) \) and assume (56) vanishes. It follows from Lemma 4.16 that \( \tau = 0 \). And thus
\[ \dim E^\bot_0(S) \leq \dim \text{def } P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) + \dim \overset{\circ}{H}_k(\text{div}, K) . \]
On the other side, by (59), Lemma 4.11 and (44),
\[
\dim E_{0}\perp_{+}(S) = \dim B_{k}(\text{div}; K; S) - \dim E_{0}(S)
\]
\[
\geq \dim P_{k-2}(K; S) + \dim \mathbb{H}_{k}(\text{div}, K) - \dim(\ker(x) \cap P_{k-2}(K; S))
\]
\[
= \dim \text{def} P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^{d}) + \dim \mathbb{H}_{k}(\text{div}, K),
\]
which implies \( \dim E_{0}\perp_{+}(S) = \dim \text{def} P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^{d}) + \dim \mathbb{H}_{k}(\text{div}, K) \). Therefore both (61) and (62) are true. \( \square \)

The precise characterization of either \( B_{+}(\text{div}, K; S) \) or \( \text{tr}^{\text{div}}(P_{+}(K; S)) \) seems very involved. The following characterization on the dual spaces is relatively easy.

**Lemma 4.18.** We have the following characterization:

1. The degrees of freedom (55)-(56) form a basis of \( (B_{k}(\text{div}, K; S))^{*} \).
2. The degrees of freedom (52)-(54) form a basis of \( (\text{tr}^{\text{div}}(P_{k}(K; S)))^{*} \).

**Proof.** The first result is due to (60), (45) and (61).

By Corollary 4.15, we only need to count the dimension. It follows from (62) that

\[
\dim \text{tr}^{\text{div}}(P_{k}^{+}(K; S)) = \dim P_{k}^{+}(K; S) - \dim B_{k}(\text{div}, K; S)
\]
\[
= \dim P_{k}(K; S) + \dim \mathbb{H}_{k}(K; \mathbb{R}^{d}) - \dim B_{k}(\text{div}, K; S)
\]
\[
= \dim P_{k}(K; S) - \dim P_{k-2}(K; S) + \sum_{F \in F^{1}(K)} \dim \mathbb{H}_{k}(F),
\]
which equals to the number of degrees of freedom (52)-(54). \( \square \)

Hence we acquire the uni-solvence of \( P_{k}^{+}(S) \)-type element for symmetric tensors.

**Theorem 4.19** (Uni-solvence of \( P_{k}^{+}(S) \)-type element for symmetric tensors). The degrees of freedom (52)-(56) are uni-solvent for \( P_{k}^{+}(K; S) \).

The global finite element space \( \mathbf{V}_{h}^{+}(\text{div}; S) \subset H(\text{div}, \Omega; S) \), where
\[
\mathbf{V}_{h}^{+}(\text{div}; S) := \{ \mathbf{\tau} \in L^{2}(\Omega; S) : |_{K} \in P_{k}^{+}(K; S) \text{ for each } K \in T_{h}, \text{ the degrees of freedom (52)-(54) are single-valued} \}.
\]

5. **Symmetric DivDiv-Conforming Finite Elements**

We use the previous building blocks to construct divdiv-conforming finite elements in arbitrary dimension. Motivated by the recent construction [14] in two and three dimensions, we first construct \( H(\text{div} \text{div}) \cap H(\text{div}) \)-conforming finite elements for symmetric tensors and then apply a simple modification to construct a \( H(\text{div} \text{div}) \)-conforming finite elements. We also extend the construction to obtain a new family of \( P_{k}^{+}(S) \)-type elements.

5.1. **Divdiv operator and Green’s identity.**

**Lemma 5.1.** For integer \( k \geq 1 \), the operator
\[
\text{div div} : x x^{T} \mathbb{H}_{k-1}(D) \to \mathbb{H}_{k-1}(D)
\]
is bijective. Consequently \( \text{div div} : P_{k+1}(D; S) \to P_{k-1}(D) \) is surjective.
Proof. By (18), it follows
\[ \text{div div}(x^\top q) = \text{div}((k + d)xq) = (k + d)(k + d - 1)q \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{H}_{k-1}(D), \]
which ends the proof. □

Next recall the Green’s identity for operator divdiv in [9].

Lemma 5.2. We have for any \( \tau \in C^2(K; \mathbb{S}) \) and \( v \in H^2(K) \) that
\[ (\text{div div} \tau, v)_K = (\tau, \nabla^2 v)_K - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^1(F)} (n_{F,e}^\top \tau n, v)_e \]
\[ - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} [(n^\top \tau n, \partial_n v)_F - (n^\top \tau + \text{div}_F(\tau n), v)_F]. \]  (63)

Proof. We start from the standard integration by parts
\[ (\text{div div} \tau, v)_K = - (\text{div} \tau, \nabla v)_K + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} (n^\top \tau + \text{div}_F(\tau n), v)_F. \]
We then decompose \( \nabla v = \partial_n v n + \nabla_F v \) and apply the Stokes theorem to get
\[ (\tau n, \nabla v)_F = (\tau n, \partial_n v n + \nabla_F v)_F \]
\[ = (n^\top \tau n, \partial_n v)_F - (\text{div}_F(\tau n), v)_F + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^1(F)} (n_{F,e}^\top \tau n, v)_e. \]
Thus the Green’s identity (63) follows from the last two identities. □

5.2. \( H(\text{div div}; \mathbb{S}) \cap H(\text{div}; \mathbb{S}) \)-conforming elements. Based on (63), it suffices to enforce the continuity of both \( \tau n \) and \( n^\top \text{div} \tau \) so that the constructed finite element space is \( H(\text{div div}, \mathbb{S}) \cap H(\text{div}, \mathbb{S}) \)-conforming. Such an approach is recently proposed in [14] to construct two and three dimensional finite elements. The readers are referred to Fig. 3 for an illustration of the space decomposition.

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
E_0(\mathbb{S}) & F_0(\mathbb{S}) & \text{div} & \text{def} & \text{RM} \\
\text{tr} \text{div}(P_k(K, \mathbb{S})) & & & \mathbb{B}_{k-1}(\text{div}, K) \cap \text{RM}^ot & \text{RM} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\mathbb{B}_{k-1}(\text{div}, K) \cap \text{RM}^ot & \text{RM} \\
\text{tr} \text{div}(P_{k-1, \text{RM}}) & \text{ND}_{k-3}(K)/\text{RM} & \text{RM} \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure 3. Decomposition of \( P_k(K, \mathbb{S}) \) for an \( H(\text{div div}) \cap H(\text{div}) \) conforming finite element.

The subspaces \( \text{tr} \text{div}(P_k(K, \mathbb{S})) \) and \( E_0(\mathbb{S}) \) are unchanged. The space \( E_0^\perp(\mathbb{S}) = P_{k-1, \text{RM}} \) will be further split by the trace operator. Define
\[ F_0(\mathbb{S}) \subset E_0^\perp(\mathbb{S}), \text{ and } \text{div } F_0(\mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{B}_{k-1}(\text{div}, K) \cap \text{RM}^\perp, \]
Lemma 5.3. For integer $k \geq 3$, it holds
\[ \text{tr}^{\text{div}}(\text{div} E_0^+(S)) = \text{tr}^{\text{div}}(P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)). \]

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, $\text{tr}^{\text{div}}(\text{div} E_0^+(S)) = \text{tr}^{\text{div}}(P_{k-1}^\perp(K; \mathbb{R}^d)) \subseteq \text{tr}^{\text{div}}(P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d))$. On the other hand, given a trace $p \in \text{tr}^{\text{div}}(P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d))$, by the uni-solvence of BDM element, cf. Theorem 3.14, we can find a $v \in P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $v \cdot n = p$ on $\partial K$ and $v \perp \text{RM}$ as $\text{RM} = \text{ND}_0(K) \subseteq \text{ND}_{k-3}(K)$ when $k \geq 3$.

Lemma 5.4. For integer $k \geq 3$, we have
\[ F_0^+(S) = \mathcal{N}(\text{def}(\text{ND}_{k-3}(K))). \]

Proof. We pick a $\tau \in F_0(S)$, i.e., $\tau$ satisfies
\[ (\tau n)|_{\partial K} = 0, \quad n^T \tau|_{\partial K} = 0, \quad \tau \perp E_0(S). \]

Assume
\[ (\tau, \text{def} q)_K = 0, \quad \forall q \in \text{ND}_{k-3}(K). \]

Note that $v = \text{div} \tau \in \mathbb{B}_{k-1}(\text{div}, K)$, and $(v, q)_K = 0$ for all $q \in \text{ND}_{k-3}(K)$, then $v = 0$ by Theorem 3.14. Therefore $\text{div} \tau = 0$, i.e., $\tau \in E_0(S)$. As $\tau \perp E_0(S)$, the only possibility is $\tau = 0$.

Then the dimension count will finish the proof:
\[ \dim F_0(S) = \dim \mathbb{B}_{k-1}(\text{div}, K) - \dim \text{RM} = \dim \text{ND}_{k-3}(K) - \dim \ker(\text{def}). \]

We summarize the construction in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. Let $V(\text{div} \cap \text{div}; S) := P_k(K, S)$ with $k \geq \max\{d, 3\}$. Then the following set of degrees of freedom determines a $H(\text{div} \cap \text{div}; S) \cap H(\text{div}; S)$ conforming finite element
\begin{align*}
(64) & \quad \tau(\delta) \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K), \\
(65) & \quad (n^T \tau n_j, q)_F \quad \forall q \in P_{k+r-d-1}(F), F \in F^r(K), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, r, \text{ and } r = 1, \ldots, d - 1, \\
(66) & \quad (\Pi_F n \tau n, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \text{ND}_{k-2}(F), F \in F^1(K), \\
(67) & \quad (n^T \text{div} \tau, q)_F \quad \forall q \in P_{k-1}(F), F \in F^1(K), \\
(68) & \quad (\tau, \text{def} q)_K \quad \forall q \in \text{ND}_{k-3}(K) = \nabla P_{k-2}(K) + P_{k-3}(K; \mathbb{R}) x, \\
(69) & \quad (\tau, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \ker(\cdot x) \cap P_{k-2}(K; S). 
\end{align*}

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, the vanishing degrees of freedom (64)-(66) imply $\tau n|_{\partial K} = 0$. Then applying Lemma 5.4, we get from the vanishing degrees of freedom (67)-(68) that $\tau \in E_0(S)$. Finally combining (45) and (69) implies $\tau = 0$.

We then count the dimensions. Compared to the degrees of freedom of BDM-type $H(\text{div}; S)$ element, cf. Theorem 4.12, the difference is (50) v.s. (67)-(68). Then from the uni-solvence of BDM div-conforming element, cf. Theorem 3.14, we have
\[ \dim P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^d) = \dim \text{ND}_{k-3}(K) + \sum_{F \in F^1(K)} \dim P_{k-1}(F), \]

and consequently the number of degrees of freedom (64)-(69) is $\dim \mathbb{P}_k(K;\mathbb{S})$. □

The global finite element space $V_h(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \cap \operatorname{div};\mathbb{S}) \subset H(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}, \Omega;\mathbb{S}) \cap H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega;\mathbb{S})$ is defined as follows

$$V_h(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \cap \operatorname{div};\mathbb{S}) := \{ \tau \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{S}) : \tau|_K \in \mathbb{P}_k(K,\mathbb{S}) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \text{ the degrees of freedom (64)-(67) are single-valued} \}. $$

The requirement $k \geq d$ ensures the degrees of freedom $(n^T \tau n, q)_F$ for all $q \in \mathbb{P}_0(F)$ on each face $F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)$, by which space $\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} V_h(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \cap \operatorname{div};\mathbb{S})$ will include all the piecewise linear functions.

5.3. $\mathbb{P}_k^+(\mathbb{S})$-type $H(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div};\mathbb{S}) \cap H(\operatorname{div};\mathbb{S})$-conforming elements. The space of shape functions is taken as

$$V^+(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \cap \operatorname{div};\mathbb{S}) := \mathbb{P}_k(K;\mathbb{S}) + xx^T\mathbb{H}^{k-1}(K)$$

with $k \geq \max\{d,3\}$. The degrees of freedom are

$$
\begin{align*}
(70) & \quad \tau(\delta) \quad \forall \delta \in V(K), \\
(71) & \quad (n^T \tau n_j, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-d-1}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K), \\
& \quad i, j = 1, \cdots, r, \text{ and } r = 1,\cdots,d-1, \\
(72) & \quad (\Pi_F \tau n, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{N}^{d}_{k-2}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), \\
(73) & \quad (n^T \operatorname{div} \tau, p)_F \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), \\
(74) & \quad (\tau, \operatorname{def} q)_K \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K;\mathbb{R}^d), \\
(75) & \quad (\tau, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \ker(x) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K;\mathbb{S}). 
\end{align*}
$$

Due to added component $xx^T\mathbb{H}^{k-1}(K)$, the range of $\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}$ operator is increased to $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)$ instead of $\mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K)$. The degree of freedom $(\tau, \operatorname{def} q)_K$ is increased from $q \in \mathbb{N}^{d}_{k-3}(K) = \operatorname{grad} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \oplus \mathbb{P}_{k-3}(K;\mathbb{K})x$ to $\mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K;\mathbb{R}^d) = \operatorname{grad} \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K) \oplus \mathbb{P}_{k-3}(K;\mathbb{K})x$. Hence the number of degrees of freedom (70)-(75) equals to $\dim V^+(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \cap \operatorname{div};\mathbb{S})$. The boundary DoFs, however, remains the same. It is expected that using the $\mathbb{P}_k^+(\mathbb{S})$-type symmetric element to discretize the biharmonic problem will possess one-order higher convergence rate of the discrete deflection than that of the $\mathbb{P}_k(\mathbb{S})$-type symmetric element while the computational cost is not increased significantly. When solving the linear algebraic equation, all interior degrees of freedom can be eliminated element-wisely.

Lemma 5.6. Let $\tau \in V^+(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \cap \operatorname{div};\mathbb{S})$. If the degrees of freedom (70)-(74) vanish, then $\tau \in E_0(\mathbb{S})$.

Proof. Since $x \cdot n$ is constant on each $(d-1)$-dimensional face, the trace $\tau n|_F \in \mathbb{P}_k(F;\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ and $(n^T \operatorname{div} \tau)|_F \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(F)$ remains unchanged. Then we conclude $\operatorname{tr}^{\operatorname{div}} \tau = 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}^{\operatorname{div}}(\operatorname{div} \tau) = 0$ from Theorem 5.5.

Applying the Green’s identity (63), we get from the vanishing degrees of freedom (74) that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \tau, v)_K &= (\tau, \nabla^2 v)_K \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K), \\
\text{as } \nabla^2 v &= \operatorname{def} \nabla v \in \operatorname{def} \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K;\mathbb{R}^d). \text{ Hence } \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \tau = 0, \text{ which combined with Lemma 5.1 implies } \tau \in \mathbb{P}_k(K;\mathbb{S}). \\
\text{Finally we achieve from Lemma 5.4 and the vanishing degrees of freedom (74) that } \tau \in E_0(\mathbb{S}). \quad \square
\end{align*}
$$
Combining Lemma 5.6, (45) and the degree of freedom (75) shows the uni-solvence of the $\mathbb{P}_k^+(\mathbb{S})$-type $H(\text{div div};\mathbb{S}) \cap H(\text{div};\mathbb{S})$-conforming elements.

Theorem 5.7. The degrees of freedom (70)-(75) are uni-solvent for the space $V^+(\text{div div} \cap \text{div};\mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{P}_k(K;\mathbb{S}) \oplus xx^T H_{k-1}(K)$.

The finite element space $V^h_\text{div div} := \{ \tau \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{S}) : \tau|_K \in \mathbb{P}_k(K,\mathbb{S}) \oplus xx^T H_{k-1}(K) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\},$ the degrees of freedom (70)-(73) are single-valued

5.4. divdiv conforming element. The requirement both $\tau n$ and $n^T \text{div } \tau$ are continuous is sufficient but not necessary for a function to be in $H(\text{div div},\mathbb{S})$. Indeed their combination $n^T \text{div } \tau + \text{div}_F(\tau n)$ to be continuous is enough due to the Green's identity (63).

Theorem 5.8. Take $V(\text{div div};\mathbb{S}) := \mathbb{P}_k(K;\mathbb{S})$ with $k \geq \max\{d,3\}$, as the space of shape functions. The degrees of freedom are given by

\begin{align}
\text{div}(\delta) & \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K), \\
(n^T \tau n_{ij} q)_F & \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-d-1}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), \\
(n^T \tau + \text{div}_F(\tau n), p)_F & \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), \\
(\Pi_{F} \tau n, q)_F & \quad \forall q \in \text{ND}_{k-2}(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), \\
(\tau, \text{def } q)_K & \quad \forall q \in \text{ND}_{k-3}(K), \\
(\tau, q)_K & \quad \forall q \in \ker(x) \cap \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K;\mathbb{S}).
\end{align}

The degree of freedom (79) is considered as interior to $K$, i.e., it is not single-valued across elements.

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, the $\text{div}_F(\tau n)$ can be determined by (76), (77), and (79). A linear combination with (78), the trace $n^T \text{div } \tau$ can be determined. Then the uni-solvence is obtained from Theorem 5.5. \hfill \Box

The finite element space $V^h(\text{div div};\mathbb{S})$ is defined as follows

$$V^h(\text{div div},\mathbb{S}) := \{ \tau \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{S}) : \tau|_K \in \mathbb{P}_k(K;\mathbb{S}) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \text{ the degrees of freedom (76)-(78) are single-valued}\}.$$}

Finally we present a $\mathbb{P}_k^+(\mathbb{S})$-type $H(\text{div div};\mathbb{S})$-conforming element. The uni-solvence can be proved similarly.

Theorem 5.9. Let integer $k \geq \max\{d,3\}$. Take the space of shape functions as

$$V^+(\text{div div},\mathbb{S}) := \mathbb{P}_k(K;\mathbb{S}) \oplus xx^T H_{k-1}(K).$$
The degrees of freedom are

\[ (n^\top \tau n_j, q)_F \quad \forall \ q \in P_{k+r-\delta-1}(F), \ F \in \mathcal{F}'(K), \]

\[ i, j = 1, \cdots, r, \text{ and } r = 1, \cdots, d - 1, \]

\[ (\mathbf{n}^\top \text{div } \tau + \text{div}_F(\tau n), p)_F \quad \forall \ p \in P_{k-1}(F), \ F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), \]

Again the degree of freedom \( (82)-(84) \) are single-valued across elements.

The global finite element space \( V_h^+ (\text{div div}) \subset H(\text{div div}; \Omega; \mathbb{S}) \), where

\[ V_h^+ (\text{div div}; \Omega; \mathbb{S}) := \{ \tau \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) : \tau|_K \in V^+(\text{div div}; \mathbb{S}) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \}

the degrees of freedom \( (82)-(84) \) are single-valued.
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