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Abstract

In this paper we discuss when a quasi-conformally flat weakly Ricci symmetric manifold (of dimension greater than 3) becomes a manifold of hyper quasi-constant curvature, a quasi-Einstein manifold and a manifold of quasi-constant curvature. Also we discuss when a pseudo-projectively flat weakly Ricci symmetric manifold (of dimension greater than 3) becomes pseudo-quasi constant curvature and a quasi-Einstein manifold, and when a $W_2$-flat weakly Ricci symmetric manifold (of dimension greater than 3) becomes a quasi-Einstein manifold.
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1 Introduction

L.Tamassy and T.Q.Binh\textsuperscript{[11]} introduced weakly symmetric Riemannian manifold. A non-flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n,g)(n > 2)$ is called weakly symmetric if the curvature tensor $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ of type (0,4) satisfies the condition
\begin{equation}
\nabla_X \bar{\mathcal{R}}(Y, Z, U, V) = A(X) \bar{\mathcal{R}}(Y, Z, U, V) + B(Y) \bar{\mathcal{R}}(X, Z, U, V) + C(Z) \bar{\mathcal{R}}(Y, X, U, V) + D(U) \bar{\mathcal{R}}(Y, Z, X, V) + E(V) \bar{\mathcal{R}}(Y, Z, U, X),
\end{equation}

where $A, B, C, D$ and $E$ are 1-forms (non-zero simultaneously) and $\nabla$ is the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to $g$. The 1-forms are called the associated 1-forms of the manifold and an $n$-dimensional manifold of this kind is denoted by $(\mathcal{W}S)_n$. If the associated 1-forms satisfy $B = C$ and $D = E$, the defining condition of a $(\mathcal{W}S)_n$ reduces to the following form\textsuperscript{[4]}
\begin{equation}
\nabla_X \bar{\mathcal{R}}(Y, Z, U, V) = A(X) \bar{\mathcal{R}}(Y, Z, U, V) + B(Y) \bar{\mathcal{R}}(X, Z, U, V) + B(Z) \bar{\mathcal{R}}(Y, X, U, V) + D(U) \bar{\mathcal{R}}(Y, Z, X, V) + D(V) \bar{\mathcal{R}}(Y, Z, U, X),
\end{equation}

Let $\{e_i\} \ i = 1, 2, ..., n$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent spaces in a neighbourhood of a point of the manifold. Then setting $Y = V = e_i$ in (1.7) and taking summation over $i$, \quad $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get
\begin{equation}
\nabla_X S(Z, U) = A(X) S(Z, U) + B(Z) S(X, U) + D(U) S(X, Z) + B(R(X, Z)U) + D(R(X, U)Z),
\end{equation}

where $S$ is the Ricci tensor of type (0,2).

A non-flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n,g)(n > 2)$ is called weakly Ricci-symmetric if the Ricci tensor $S$ of type (0,2) satisfies the condition
\begin{equation}
\nabla_X S(Z, U) = A(X) S(Z, U) + B(Z) S(X, U) + D(U) S(X, Z)
\end{equation}

From (1.3) it follows that a $(\mathcal{W}S)_n(n > 2)$ is weakly Ricci symmetric (briefly $(\mathcal{WRS})_n(n > 2)$) if \textsuperscript{[12]}
\begin{equation}
B(R(X, Z)U) + D(R(X, U)Z) = 0, \quad \forall X, U, Z \in \chi(M^n).
\end{equation}
Putting $Z = U = e_i$ in (1.4) we get,

$$dr(X) = rA(X) + B(QX) + D(QX),$$

where $r$ is the scalar curvature of the manifold.

If a $\text{(WRS)}_n(n > 2)$ is of zero scalar curvature, then from (1.6),

$$B(QX) + D(QX) = 0, \forall X.$$  

(1.7)

From (1.6) we have if a $\text{(WRS)}_n(n > 2)$ is of non-zero constant scalar curvature, then the 1-form $A$ can be expressed as

$$A(X) = -\frac{1}{n}[B(QX) + D(QX)], \forall X.$$  

(1.8)

From (1.4) we obtain,

$$T(QX) = rT(X), \forall X.$$  

(1.9)

where the vector field $\rho$ is defined by

$$T(X) = g(X, \rho) = B(X) - D(X), \forall X.$$  

(1.10)

Also from (1.6) we obtain,

$$T(Z)S(X, U) - T(U)S(X, Z) = 0$$  

(1.11)

$\forall$vector fields $X, Z, U$ and $T$ is a 1-form.

B.Das and A.Bhattacharyya studied some types of weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds in 2011[3]. In 2000, U.C.De et al. discussed about weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric K-contact manifolds[5] and in 2012, A.A.Kundu discussed about weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric warped product manifolds[10]. Various types of works on weakly Ricci symmetric manifolds is done by S.Jana, A.Shaikh[7] and U.C.De and G.C.Ghosh[6]. Motivated from their work we have established some new results on weakly Ricci symmetric manifolds. In this paper we study quasi-conformally flat, pseudo projectively flat and $W_2$-flat weakly Ricci symmetric manifolds. Here we prove a quasi-conformally flat $(\text{WRS})_n(n > 3)$ of non-zero constant scalar curvature is a manifold of hyper quasi-constant curvature and this manifold of non-vanishing scalar curvature is a quasi-Einstein manifold and a manifold of quasi-constant curvature with respect to the 1-form $T$ defined by $T(X) = B(X) - D(X) \neq 0 \forall X$, where $B, D$ are 1-forms(non-zero simultaneously). Also we obtain that a pseudo projectively flat $(\text{WRS})_n(n > 3)$ with non-zero constant scalar curvature is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature and with non-vanishing scalar curvature is a quasi-Einstein manifold with respect to $T$. In the last section we prove that a $W_2$-flat $(\text{WRS})_n(n > 3)$ of non-vanishing scalar curvature is a quasi-Einstein manifold with respect to $T$.

A quasi-conformal curvature tensor $C^*$ is defined by[14]

$$C^*(X, Y, Z) = aR(X, Y)Z + b[S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY]$$

$$- \frac{2}{n}[\alpha + 2b][g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y],$$

(1.12)

where $a, b$ are constants, $g$ is the Riemannian metric and $R, Q, \gamma$ are the Riemannian curvature tensor of type (1,3), the Ricci operator defined by $g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$ and the scalar curvature respectively.

Chen and Yano[2] introduced a Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ of quasi-constant curvature which is conformally flat and its curvature tensor $\hat{R}$ of type (0,4) is of the form

$$\hat{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = a[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] + b[g(X, W)A(Y)A(Z) - g(X, Z)A(Y)A(W)]$$

$$+ g(Y, Z)A(X)A(W) - g(Y, W)A(X)A(Z)],$$

(1.13)

where $a, b$ are non-zero scalars.

A Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ is said to be of hyper quasi-constant curvature if it is conformally flat and its curvature tensor $\hat{R}$ of type (0,4) satisfies the condition[9]

$$\hat{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = a[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] + g(X, W)P(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)P(Y, W)$$

$$+ g(Y, Z)P(X, W) - g(Y, W)P(X, Z),$$

(1.14)
where $a$ is a non-zero scalar and $P$ is a tensor of type $(0,2)$.

A pseudo projective curvature tensor $P$ is defined by[13]

$$P(X, Y) = aR(X, Y)Z + b(S(X, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y) - \frac{a}{n+1}b[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y], \quad (1.15)$$

where $a, b$ are constants such that $a, b \neq 0$; $R, S, r$ are the Riemannian curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature respectively.

A Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ is said to be of pseudo quasi-constant curvature if it is pseudo projectively flat and its curvature tensor $\hat{R}$ of type $(0,4)$ satisfies[3]

$$\hat{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = a[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] + P(Y, Z)g(X, W) - P(X, Z)g(Y, W), \quad (1.16)$$

where $a$ is a constant and $P$ is a tensor of type $(0,2)$.

Pokhriyali and Mishra have defined the $W_2$-curvature tensor on a differential manifold of dimension $n$ is given by[8]

$$W_2(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + \frac{1}{n+1}[g(X, Z)QY - g(Y, Z)QX]. \quad (1.17)$$

All these notions will be required in the next sections.

## 2 Quasi-conformally flat $(WRS)_n(n > 3)$

In this section we prove that a quasi-conformally flat weakly Ricci symmetric manifold $(WRS)_n(n > 3)$ of non-zero constant scalar curvature is a manifold of hyper quasi constant curvature and this manifold of non-vanishing scalar curvature is a quasi-Einstein manifold and a manifold of quasi-constant curvature with respect to the 1-form $T$ defined by $T(X) = B(X) - D(X) \neq 0 \forall X$, where $B, D$ are 1-forms (non-zero simultaneously).

Let $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ be a quasi-conformally flat $(WRS)_n$. Then from (1.12) we obtain,

$$\hat{R}(X, Y, Z, U) = -\frac{a}{n}S(Y, Z)g(X, U) - S(X, Z)g(Y, U) + g(Y, Z)S(X, U) - g(X, Z)S(Y, U)]$$

$$+ \frac{a}{n+1}b[g(Y, Z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U)]$$

where $g(\hat{R}(X, Y)Z, U) = \hat{R}(X, Y)Z, U). \quad (2.1)$

Putting $X = U = e_i$ in (2.1) where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold and taking the summation over $i$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$S(Y, Z) = \alpha g(Y, Z), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{2}{1+\frac{a}{n}(n-2)}\left[-\frac{a}{n} + \frac{1}{n}(1 + \frac{2(n-1)}{a})\right]$.

From (2.2) we get

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = \alpha' d\gamma(X)g(Y, Z), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\alpha' = \frac{2}{1+\frac{a}{n}(n-2)}\left[-\frac{a}{n} + \frac{1}{n}(1 + \frac{2(n-1)}{a})\right]$.

Using (2.3) and (1.4) we get,

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X, Y)$$

$$= S(Y, Z)[A(X) - D(X)] - S(X, Y)[A(Z) - D(Z)]$$

$$= \alpha'[d\gamma(X)g(Y, Z) - d\gamma(Z)g(Y, X)]. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3$ be the associated vector fields corresponding to the 1-forms $A, B, D$ respectively, i.e., $g(X, \rho_1) = A(X), g(X, \rho_2) = B(X), g(X, \rho_3) = D(X)$.

Putting $Z = \rho_2$ in (2.4) we get,

$$[A(X) - D(X)]B(Y) - S(X, Y)[\rho_2 - D(\rho_2)]$$

$$= \alpha'[d\gamma(X)B(Y) - d\gamma(\rho_2)g(Y, X)].$$
\[ \alpha'[B(Y)\gamma A(X) + B(QX) + D(QX)] - g(X,Y)\{\gamma A(p_2) + B(Qp_2) + D(Qp_2)\} \]  

(2.5)

If the manifold has non-zero constant scalar curvature, then by virtue of (1.8), (2.5) yields that

\[ [A(X) - D(X)]B(QY) - S(X,Y)[A(p_2) - D(p_2)] = 0 \]

(2.6)

where \( \bar{A}(Y) = B(QY) \) and \( \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{A(p_2) - D(p_2)}, \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{A(p_2) - D(p_2)}. \)

This leads to the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1:** In a quasi-conformally flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) of non-zero constant scalar curvature, the Ricci tensor \( S \) has the form (2.7).

Again using (1.8) in (2.7) we get,

\[ S(X, Z) = \alpha_1 \bar{B}(Z) - \frac{1}{2}[\bar{B}(X) + \bar{D}(X)] + \alpha_2 \bar{D}(X) \bar{B}(Z), \]

(2.8)

where \( \bar{D}(X) = D(QX) \).

Using (2.8) in (2.1) we obtain,

\[ \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = \alpha[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] + g(X, W)P(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)P(Y, W) + g(Y, Z)P(X, W) - g(Y, W)P(X, Z), \]

(2.9)

where \( \alpha \) is a non-zero scalar and \( P(Y, Z) = -\frac{1}{4} \gamma(B(Y) \bar{B}(Z) + \alpha_2 D(Y) \bar{B}(Z) - \frac{1}{4} \gamma \bar{B}(Z) \bar{D}(Y)). \)

Hence comparing (2.9) with (1.14) we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.2:** A quasi-conformally flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) of non-zero constant scalar curvature is a manifold of hyper quasi-constant curvature.

Now putting \( U = \rho \) in (1.11) and then using (1.19) we get,

\[ T(Z)S(X, \rho) - T(\rho)S(X, Z) = 0 \]

\[ \Rightarrow T(Z)T(QX) - T(\rho)S(X, Z) = 0 \]

\[ \Rightarrow \gamma T(Z)T(X) - T(\rho)S(X, Z) = 0. \]

(2.10)

Let us suppose that a \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) is quasi-conformally flat and of non-zero scalar curvature.

From (2.10) we have,

\[ S(X, Z) = \tilde{\alpha} T(X)T(Z), \]

(2.11)

where \( \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\gamma}{T(\rho)} \).

Again, a Riemannian manifold is called quasi-Einstein if its Ricci tensor is of the form[1] –

\[ S = pg + q\omega \otimes \omega, \]

(2.12)

where \( p, q \) are scalars of which \( q \neq 0 \) and \( \omega \) is a 1-form.

Comparing (2.11) and (2.12) we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.3:** A quasi-conformally flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) of non-vanishing scalar curvature is a quasi-Einstein manifold with respect to the 1-form \( T \) defined by \( T(X) = B(X) - D(X) \neq 0 \ \forall X. \)

Again using (2.11) in (2.1) we obtain,

\[ \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = l[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] + \delta[g(X, W)T(Y)T(Z) - g(X, Z)T(Y)T(W) + g(Y, Z)T(X)T(W) - g(Y, W)T(X)T(Z)], \]

(2.13)

where \( l = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma}{T(\rho)} \) and \( \delta = -\frac{1}{4} \tilde{\alpha}. \)

Hence comparing (2.13) with (1.13) we have the following theorem:
Theorem 2.4: A quasi-conformally flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) of non-vanishing scalar curvature is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature with respect to the 1-form \(T\) defined by \(T(X) = B(X) - D(X) \neq 0\) \(\forall X\).

Using the expression of \(T\) in (2.13) we have,
\[
\bar{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = [g(Y,Z)g(X,W) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W)] + g(X,Z)\{\delta BD\}(Y,Z) - g(X,Z)\{\delta BD\}(Y,W) + g(Y,Z)\{\delta BD\}(X,W) - g(Y,W)\{\delta BD\}(X,Z),
\]
where \{\delta BD\} = \(\delta(BB - BD - DB + DD)\).

Comparing the above relation with (1.14) we can state:

Corollary 2.1: A quasi-conformally flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) of non-zero scalar curvature is a manifold of hyper quasi-constant curvature.

3 Pseudo-projectively flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\)

In this section we obtain that a pseudo-projectively flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) with non-zero constant scalar curvature is a manifold of pseudo-quasi constant curvature and with non-vanishing scalar curvature is a quasi-Einstein manifold with respect to the 1-form \(T\) defined by \(T(X) = B(X) - D(X) \neq 0\) \(\forall X\), where \(B, D\) are 1-forms (non-zero simultaneously).

Let \((M^n, g)(n > 3)\) be a pseudo-projectively flat \((WRS)_n\). Then from (1.15) we obtain,
\[
\bar{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = -\frac{\alpha}{3}[S(Y,Z)g(X,U) - S(X,Z)g(Y,U)] + \frac{\alpha}{3}[\frac{\alpha - n}{-n} + b][g(Y,Z)g(X,U) - g(X,Z)g(Y,U)],
\]
(3.1)

Putting \(X = U = e_i\) in (3.1) where \(\{e_i\}\) is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold and taking summation over \(i, 1 \leq i \leq n\), we get,
\[
S(Y,Z) = \alpha g(Y,Z),
\]
(3.2)

where \(\alpha = \frac{\tau}{n}\).

(3.2) indicates that a pseudo-projectively flat manifold is an Einstein manifold.

Now from (3.2) we get,
\[
(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = \alpha_1 dr(X)g(Y,Z),
\]
(3.3)

where \(\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{n}\).

Similarly \((\nabla_Z S)(Y,X) = \alpha_1 dr(Z)g(Y,X)\).

(3.4)

Subtracting (3.4) from (3.3) we have,
\[
(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Z S)(Y,X) = \alpha_1 [dr(X)g(Y,Z) - dr(Z)g(Y,X)].
\]
(3.5)

Interchanging \(X, U\) in (1.4) and then subtracting the resultant from (1.4) we obtain by virtue of (3.5) we obtain,
\[
[A(X) - D(X)]S(U,Z) - [A(U) - D(U)]S(X,Z) = \alpha_1 [dr(X)g(Z,U) - dr(U)g(Z,X)].
\]
(3.6)

Let \(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3\) be the associated vector fields corresponding to the 1-forms \(A, B, D\) respectively, i.e., \(g(X, \rho_1) = A(X), g(X, \rho_2) = B(X), g(X, \rho_3) = D(X)\).

Substituting \(U\) by \(\rho_2\) in (3.6) and then using (1.6) we get,
\[
[A(X) - D(X)]B(QZ) - [A(\rho_2) - D(\rho_2)]S(X,Z) = \alpha_1 [B(Z)\{rA(X) + B(QX) + D(QX)\} - DR(U,Z)].
\]
Theorem 3.3: \( g(X, Z) \{ rA(p_1) + B(p_2) + D(p_2) \} \). (3.7)

If the manifold has non-zero constant scalar curvature, then by virtue of (1.8), (3.7) yields that \( [A(p_1) - D(p_1)]S(X, Z) - [A(X) - D(X)]B(QZ) = 0 \) (3.8)

\[ \Rightarrow S(X, Z) = \frac{\alpha_2 A(X)B(Z) + \alpha_3 D(X)B(Z)}{ \alpha_2 - D(p_2)}, \alpha_3 = - \frac{1}{ \alpha_2 - D(p_2)} \] (3.9)

This leads to the following:

**Theorem 3.1:** In a pseudo-projectively flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) of non-zero constant scalar curvature, the Ricci tensor \( S \) has the form (3.9).

Again using (1.8) in (3.9) we obtain,

\[ S(X, Z) = - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2 - D(p_2)} B(Z) [B(X) + D(X)] + \alpha_3 D(X)B(Z). \] (3.10)

Using (3.10) in (3.1) we have,

\[ \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = \alpha \left[ g(Y, Z) g(X, W) - g(X, Z) g(Y, W) + g(X, W)P(Y, Z) - g(Y, W)P(X, Z) \right], \] (3.11)

where \( \alpha = \frac{a}{\alpha n [\frac{a}{n - 1} + b]} \) and \( P(Y, Z) = -\frac{b}{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha n - D(p_2)} B(Z) [B(Y) + D(Y)] + \alpha_3 D(Y)B(Z) \).

Comparing (3.11) with (1.16) we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.2:** A pseudo-projectively flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) of non-zero constant scalar curvature is a manifold of pseudo quasi-constant curvature.

Now putting \( U = \rho \) in (3.11) and then using (1.9) we get,

\[ rT(X)T(Z) - T(\rho)S(X, Z) = 0. \] (3.12)

From (3.12) we have,

\[ S(X, Z) = \frac{1}{T(\rho)} T(X)T(Z). \] (3.13)

Now \( T(\rho) \neq 0 \) for if \( T(\rho) = 0 \), then (3.13) implies that \( rT(X)T(Z) = 0 \) which implies that \( r = 0 \) (as \( T(X) \neq 0 \) \( \forall X \)), which is a contradiction.

Hence \( S(X, Z) = \alpha_1 T(X)T(Z) \), (3.14)

where \( \alpha_1 \) is a non-zero scalar.

Hence comparing (3.14) with (2.12) we can state that:

**Theorem 3.3:** A pseudo-projectively flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) of non-vanishing scalar curvature is a quasi-Einstein manifold with respect to the 1-form \( T \) defined by \( T(X) = B(X) - D(X) \neq 0 \) \( \forall X \).

Again using (3.14) in (3.1) we obtain,

\[ \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = \gamma_1 [g(Y, Z) g(X, W) - g(X, Z) g(Y, W)] + \delta_1 [T(Y)T(Z)g(X, W) \]

\[ - T(X)T(Z)g(Y, W)], \] (3.15)

where \( \gamma_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha n [\frac{\gamma}{n - 1} + b]} \) and \( \delta_1 = -\frac{b}{\alpha} \alpha_1 \).

Comparing (3.15) with (1.16) we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.4:** A pseudo-projectively flat \((WRS)_n(n > 3)\) of non-vanishing scalar curvature
is a manifold of pseudo quasi-constant curvature with respect to 1-form $T$ defined by $T(X) = B(X) - D(X) \neq 0 \ \forall X$.

Using the expression of $T$ in (3.15), it can be easily seen that

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = \gamma_1 [g(Y, Z) g(X, W) - g(X, Z) g(Y, W)] + \{\delta_1 B D\} (Y, Z) g(X, W)$$

$$- \{\delta_1 BD\} (X, Z) g(Y, W),$$

where $\{\delta_1 BD\} = \delta_1 (BB - BD - DB + DD)$.

Comparing the above relation with (1.16) we can state:

**Corollary 3.1:** A pseudo-projectively flat $(WRS)_n (n > 3)$ of non-zero scalar curvature is a manifold of pseudo quasi-constant curvature.

### 4 $W_2$-flat $(WRS)_n (n > 3)$

In this section we prove that a $W_2$-flat $(WRS)_n (n > 3)$ of non-vanishing scalar curvature is a quasi-Einstein manifold with respect to the 1-form $T$ defined by $T(X) = B(X) - D(X) \neq 0 \ \forall X$, where $B, D$ are 1-forms (non-zero simultaneously).

Let $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ be a $W_2$-flat $(WRS)_n$. Then from (1.17) we have,

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = \frac{1}{n-1} [g(Y, Z) S(X, W) - g(X, Z) S(Y, W)].$$

(4.1)

Putting $X = W = e_i$ in (4.1), where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold and taking summation over $i$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, we obtain,

$$S(Y, Z) = \alpha g(Y, Z),$$

(4.2)

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}$.

From (4.2) we get,

$$\nabla X S)(Y, Z) = \alpha' dr(X) g(Y, Z),$$

(4.3)

where $\alpha' = \frac{1}{n}.

Using (4.3) and (1.4) we get,

$$\nabla Y S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla Z S)(Y, X)$$

$$= S(Y, Z) [A(X) - D(X)] - S(X, Y) [A(Z) - D(Z)]$$

$$= \alpha' [dr(X) g(Y, Z) - dr(Z) g(Y, X)].$$

(4.4)

Let $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3$ be the associated vector fields corresponding to the 1-forms $A, B, D$ respectively, i.e., $g(X, \rho_1) = A(X), g(X, \rho_2) = B(X), g(X, \rho_3) = D(X)$.

Putting $Z = \rho_2$ in (4.4) we get,

$$[A(X) - D(X)] B(QY) - [a(\rho_2) - D(\rho_2)] S(X, Y)$$

$$= \alpha' [dr(X) B(Y) - dr(\rho_2) g(Y, X)]$$

$$= \alpha' [B(Y) \{rA(X) + B(QX) + D(QX)] - g(X, Y) \{rA(\rho_2) + B(Q\rho_2) + D(Q\rho_2)]].$$

(4.5)

If the manifold has non-zero constant scalar curvature, then by virtue of (1.8), (4.5) yields that

$$[A(X) - D(X)] B(QY) - [A(\rho_2) - D(\rho_2)] S(X, Y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow S(X, Y) = \alpha_1 A(X) B(Y) + \alpha_2 D(X) B(Y),$$

where $B(Y) = B(QY), \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{A(\rho_2) - D(\rho_2)}$, $\alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{A(\rho_2) - D(\rho_2)}$. 


This leads to the following:

**Theorem 4.1:** In a $W^2$-flat $(WRS)_n(n > 3)$ of non-zero constant scalar curvature, the Ricci tensor $S$ has the form (4.6).

Putting $U = \rho$ in (1.11) and then using (1.9) we get,

$$rT(X)T(Z) = T(\rho)S(X, Z).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

Let us now suppose that a $(WRS)_n(n > 3)$ is $W^2$-flat and of non-zero scalar curvature.

From (4.7) we have,

$$S(X, Z) = \frac{r}{T(\rho)}T(X)T(Z).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

Now $T(\rho) \neq 0$ as if $T(\rho) = 0$ then (4.8) implies that $rT(X)T(Z) = 0 \Rightarrow r = 0$ (as $T(X) \neq 0 \forall X$), which is a contradiction.

Hence $S(X, Z) = \tilde{\alpha}_1 T(X)T(Z)$, \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

where $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ is a non-zero scalar.

Hence comparing (4.9) with (2.12) we can state that:

**Theorem 4.2:** A $W^2$-flat $(WRS)_n(n > 3)$ of non-vanishing scalar curvature is a quasi-Einstein manifold with respect to the 1-form $T$ defined by $T(X) = B(X) - D(X) \neq 0 \forall X$.
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