Spin current injection via equal-spin Cooper pairs in ferromagnet/superconductor heterostructures
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Equal-spin Cooper pairs are pivotal building blocks for superconducting spintronics devices. In recent experiments unusual behavior was observed in ferromagnet/ferromagnet/superconductor devices when a precession of the magnetization was induced by ferromagnetic resonance. By using a non-equilibrium Usadel Green function formalism, we study spin transport for such a setup. We solve for spin-resolved distribution functions and demonstrate that the spin injection process into superconductors is governed by the inverse proximity effect in the superconducting layer. We find that equal-spin Cooper pairs, which are produced by the two misaligned ferromagnetic layers, transport spin inside the S layer. This then results in an increase of the injected spin current below the superconducting critical temperature. Our calculations provide the first evidence of the essential role of equal-spin Cooper pairs on spin-transport properties of S/F devices and pave new avenues for the design of superconducting spintronics devices.

Introduction Spin transport in superconductors (S) is essential for the development of energy safe spin-based logic and memory devices [1–3]. Contrary to conventional singlet Cooper pairs, composed by two electrons with opposite spins, equal-spin Cooper pairs are composed by two electrons with parallel spins, and are able to carry a spin polarized current through strongly spin polarized ferromagnets (F) [1, 2]. Equal-spin Cooper pairs can be produced from singlet Cooper pairs by proximity effect with inhomogeneous exchange fields [1–16] (e.g. two ferromagnets with non collinear magnetizations [17–19] or magnetic domains wall [16, 20–25]), strongly spin polarized ferromagnet [15, 26] or material exhibiting spin-orbit coupling (SOC) [27–31]. Non-equilibrium spin injection techniques can be used together with measurements of transport properties to characterize the presence of equal-spin Cooper pairs in mesoscopic devices [32–38]. They affect spin and charge decoupling in superconductors [36, 37], spin relaxation time [39–41] or effective spin-orbit coupling interaction [42] but their effect on spin current has not been ubiquitously established.

Spin current injection in a superconductor can be achieved either by the injection of a spin-polarized charge current in lateral structures [36] or by injecting pure spin current from ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) technique [43, 44]. In the latter, spin injection efficiency is related to the damping of the F layer magnetization precession i.e. the Gilbert damping [45]. FMR experiments in Nb/Pt/Nb Josephson junctions have shown that the injected spin current magnitude (related to Gilbert damping) decreases below the S layer critical temperature $T_c$ [43]. Andreev reflections occurring at the S/F interfaces imply the transmission of electrons in the S layer as singlet Cooper pairs resulting in the decrease of the injected spin-polarized current [46]. Nevertheless, FMR experiments in Pt/Nb/Pt/Nb/Pt pentalayers have shown an increase of the injected spin current below $T_c$ [44]. This increase has been explained by the presence of equal-spin Cooper pairs originating the strong SOC and Fermi liquid corrections in the Pt layer [47]. Several extrinsic sources of damping have been suggested such as spin-polarized vortices [48] or space-dependent spin susceptibility [49]. Recent experiments in Pt/Co/Pt/Nb/Py/Nb/Co/Pt structure have demonstrated the crucial role of SOC in the increase of spin injection efficiency below $T_c$ [50]. An increase in the Gilbert damping has been proven as possible in weakly coupled ferromagnetic insulator/superconductor bilayers [42] or in presence of pair breaking impurities [46]. In the above-mentioned works, the increase of spin current only exists for temperatures close to $T_c$. While the role of equal-spin Cooper pairs on equilibrium spin current has been demonstrated [47, 50], their precise role on the non-equilibrium pure spin current is still lacking.

In this Letter, we calculate non-equilibrium spin current in F1/F2/S devices (see Fig. 1) induced by the magnetization precession in presence of equal-spin Cooper pairs. In contrast to modelling the injection process for a fixed value of the injected spin current [51, 52], we will take another avenue by directly modelling the precessing ferromagnetic magnetization within a rotating frame scheme. Our calculations provide evidence that i) the inverse proximity effect in the S layer plays a crucial role in the spin injection process, and ii) the injected spin current can increase below $T_c$ in presence of equal-spin Cooper pairs.

Model We focus on spin-valve systems with a geometry shown in Fig.1 where magnetization precession is induced in the F1 layer only. We consider spin precession occurring in the x-y plane while magnetization is tilted in the y-z plane by the angle $\theta_{F1}$. We model the time-dependence of the F1 layer magnetization by [53]

$$J_{F1}(t) = |J_{F1}| (\sin \theta_{F1} \sin \Omega t, \sin \theta_{F1} \cos \Omega t, \cos \theta_{F1})$$

(1)
where $J_{F1}$ is the F1 layer exchange-field strength, and $\Omega$ is the precession frequency. To obtain non-equilibrium and non-stationary properties in diffusive superconductors, we use time-dependent non-equilibrium Usadel equations [53–56] which are derived from quasi-classical equations [57, 58]. The exchange field time dependency in Eq. (1) allows to transform non-stationary Usadel equations [57, 58] into stationary Usadel equations in the rotating frame [53]. Usadel formalism is formulated in terms of Green function $\hat{G}(x, E)$ depending on the coordinate $x$ and the energy $E$. The Green function $\hat{G}$ is a $8 \times 8$ matrix in the Keldysh⊗spin⊗particle-hole space where ⊗ is the tensorial product and Keldysh, spin and particle-hole are $2 \times 2$ subspaces [59]. The stationary non-equilibrium Usadel equations in the rotating frame are [53]

$$\frac{D}{\pi} \partial_z (\hat{G} \partial_z \hat{G}) + \left[ E\hat{\tau}_3 - \frac{\Omega}{2}\sigma_z - \Sigma, \hat{G} \right] = 0 \quad (2)$$

where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, $\sigma^Z(\tau_3)$ the third Pauli matrix acting on the spin (particle-hole) subspace, $[,]$ is the commutator and $\Sigma$ describes the normal and anomalous self-energies in the Keldysh space [59]. We rotate the axis such that the F1 layer magnetization points in the $z$-axis direction implying a reduced non-equilibrium exchange field $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega' = \Omega \cos(\theta_{F1})$ [53]. The identity matrices are not written for simplicity. The Usadel equations (2) must be supplemented by suitable boundary conditions [60–63]. For inner interfaces, we assume current conserving Nazarov boundary conditions [61, 62]:

$$\sigma_i \hat{G}_i \partial_z \hat{G}_i = \sigma_s \hat{G}_s \partial_z \hat{G}_s \quad 2\pi^2 \tau \left[ \{\hat{G}_i, \hat{G}_s\} \right]$$

$$\sigma_i \hat{G}_i \partial_z \hat{G}_i = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{\Omega}{\tau} \left[ \{\hat{G}_i, \hat{G}_s\} + 2\pi^2 \right]$$

where $G^{(r)}$ is the Green function on the left (right) side of the interface, $\sigma$ is the normal state electrical conductivity, S is the area of the junction, $R_0$ is the interface resistivity and $0 < \tau < 1$ the interface transparency [62, 63]. For the outer F1 interface at $x = 0$, we impose $\hat{G}(x = 0) = G^{F1}$ where $G^{F1}$ is the Green function for a bulk ferromagnetic material with a spin-resolved non-equilibrium distribution function $f_{\uparrow(\downarrow)} = f_{FD}(E + (-)^{\uparrow(\downarrow)} \frac{\Omega}{2})$ with $f_{FD}$ the Fermi Dirac distribution. At the outer S interface ($x = L$), we impose a vanishing-current boundary condition $\partial_x \hat{G}_1|_{x=L} = 0$. The singlet-superconducting order parameter is fixed by the self-consistency equation

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE f^K_s(E, x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \tanh(\frac{\sqrt{2}E}{\tau}) + \ln(\frac{\sqrt{2}E}{\tau})}$$

where $f^K_s$ is the singlet part of the Keldysh anomalous Green function [59]. In the following, we calculate spin currents from the following formula:

$$I_s = I^0_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE Tr \left[ \hat{\tau}_3 \sigma \left( \hat{G} \partial_x \hat{G} \right)^K \right]$$

with $I^0_s = \frac{N_0 e}{16 \pi^2}$, $N_0$ the Fermi level density of state, $\epsilon$ the electrical charge, $h$ the reduced Planck constant, and $\sigma = (\sigma^X, \sigma^Y, \sigma^Z)$ the Pauli matrix vector. The spin current vector $I_s$ is given by $I_s = (I^X_s, I^Y_s, I^Z_s)$ in the Pauli matrix basis. A spin-dependent distribution function $f_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$ at the outer F1 interface implies the onset of a pure spin current to flow in the trilayer while the charge current vanishes since no electric potential is applied [36]. The pure spin current is polarized along the F1 layer magnetization $I^Z_s$. In absence of magnetization precession ($\Omega = 0$), we recover the equilibrium solution and $I^Z_{s, eq} = 0$.

The Gilbert damping $G_t$ can be expressed in the form

$$G_t = G_0 + G \equiv G_0 + \beta I^Z_s$$

where $G_0$ describes an intrinsic Gilbert damping independent of temperature and superconducting properties, and $G$ describes the additional Gilbert damping due to spin injection; the latter is proportional to the dissipative part of the spin current [52], which in itself is proportional to $I^Z_s$ at $x = 0$ with a coefficient depending on the tip angle. The quantity $\delta G/G_0 T > T_s = \delta I^Z_s/I^Z_{s,eq} T > T_s$ with $\delta I^Z_s = I^Z_s - I^Z_{s,eq} T > T_s$ is independent of the tip-angle dependent quantity $\beta$ and therefore quantifies the additional Gilbert damping in our system.

We assume that spin diffusion originates from spin-orbit scattering processes which only affect spin-triplet
correlations without affecting spin-singlet superconductivity [42, 46, 47, 64–66]. We further assume that the FMR process in the F1 layer compensates spin diffusion processes i.e. the spin diffusion length in the F1 layer is infinite, $\lambda_{F1} \to \infty$. Unless otherwise stated, the magnitude of the F1 and F2 layer exchange fields is $J_{F1} = J_{F2} = 20\Delta_0$, the spin-diffusion length of the F2 and S layer is $\lambda_{F2} = \lambda_S = \xi_0$ with $\xi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_0}}$ the superconducting coherence length in bulk S at zero temperature, and we consider $\xi_0 = 30\text{nm}$ in Niobium. In the following, we set the resonance frequency at the experimentally measured value $f_{res} = 20\text{GHz}$ [43, 44] implying that $\hbar \Omega \approx 0.1\Delta_0$.

Results The spin current profile in the F1/F2/S trilayer for collinear magnetizations (assuming that $\theta_{F1} \approx 0$ and $\theta_{F2} = 0$) is presented in Fig. 2. The magnitude of the spin current decays in the F2 and S layers because of spin-orbit scattering processes. The magnitude of the spin current is higher in the normal state than in the superconducting state because of the opening of the superconducting gap. The spin current is constant at the inner interfaces as expected from boundary conditions (3). The magnitude of the spin current strongly depends on the inverse proximity effect and the S layer thickness $d_S$, which affects the Gilbert damping parameter $\delta G/G_{T>T_c}$ as shown in Fig. 2 b). For small S layer thicknesses, $d_S < \xi_0$, the Gilbert damping parameter is the same above and below $T_c$ since in both cases the superconducting gap vanishes at the F2/S interfaces (except in the regime $\sigma_S/\sigma_{F1} = 10$) as shown in Fig. 2 c).

Note that for $d_S = 0$, the Gilbert damping parameter does not vanish since spins are absorbed in the F2 layer. For a thick S layer, $d_S \gg \xi_0 = \lambda_S$, the Gilbert damping parameter above and below $T_c$ becomes constant since the spin is massively absorbed in the S layer close to the F2/S interface on the spin diffusion length scale $\lambda_S$. In the intermediate regime, $d_S \approx \xi_0$, the Gilbert damping parameter below $T_c$ becomes slightly different from above $T_c$ in conjunction with the superconducting gap opening at the F2/S interfaces as shown in Fig. 2 c).

The inverse proximity effect can be tuned theoretically by changing the normal state conductivity ratio $\sigma_S/\sigma_{F1}$ (with $\sigma_{F2} = \sigma_{F1}$) [47]. For a weak inverse proximity effect i.e. $\sigma_S/\sigma_{F1} = 10$, the superconducting gap $\Delta$ is fully established at the F2/S interfaces. In the regime $\hbar \Omega < \Delta$, spin currents cannot find any states to propagate further in the S layer. Only singlet Andreev reflections occur at the F2/S interface implying a decay of the Gilbert damping below $T_c$ [43, 46]. For a strong inverse proximity effect i.e. $\sigma_S/\sigma_{F1} = 0.1$, the superconducting gap is strongly suppressed at the F2/S interface implying that non-equilibrium spin current can be injected in the S layer. Therefore, the Gilbert damping recovers the same magnitude above and below $T_c$. The dependence of the Gilbert damping on the inverse proximity effect explains why it does not necessarily vanishes at zero temperature [43, 46].

The Gilbert damping varies with temperature as shown in Fig. 3 a). This dependency strongly depends on the misalignment angle between the F1 and F2 layer magnetization $\theta_{F2}$. The Gilbert damping magnitude decreases below the critical temperature for $\theta_{F2} = 0$ and $\theta_{F2} = \pi/4$ while it increases for $\theta_{F2} = \pi/2$ as shown in Fig. 3 a). For $\theta_{F2} = \pi/2$, the Gilbert damping increases below the critical temperature as shown in Fig. 3 a). In this case, an additional damping torque appears below $T_c$ by the onset of equal-spin Cooper pairs. The angle dependency of the Gilbert damping is shown in Fig. 3.
For small transparencies and exchange field $J \leq 10\Delta_0$, the Gilbert damping amplitude is increased below the critical temperature $\delta G/G_{T>T_c} > 0$ while it decreases $\delta G/G_{T>T_c} < 0$ for transparencies close to 1 (see Fig. 4 a). For higher exchange field amplitude, $J = 20\Delta_0$, the injected spin current is increased for all transparencies. This non-monotonic behavior depends on the inverse proximity effect as shown in Fig. 4 b). For an exchange field $J = 10\Delta_0$, the Gilbert damping is reduced for transparency close to 1 when the inverse proximity effect is weak $\sigma_S/\sigma_{F_1} = 10$ while it increases for strong inverse proximity effect $\sigma_S/\sigma_{F_1} = 0.1$

**Discussion** In a S/F/S Josephson junction, the precession of the F layer magnetisation should produce equal-spin Cooper pairs originating the misalignment between the non-equilibrium magnetization and the F layer magnetization [53]. This effect strongly depends on the misalignment angle value and must be negligible when it tends to zero. In our calculation, this effect is negligible since $\theta_{F_1} \to 0$.

The behavior of the Gilbert damping below $T_c$ can be understood as a competition between a decrease originating from standard Andreev reflection processes [43, 46] and spin-flip Andreev reflection processes [31, 32]. In the latter, one electron is transmitted in the S layer as an equal-spin Cooper pair while a hole with the same spin is retro-reflected [31, 32]. We expect this process to exist at interfaces with SOC [31] or spin-polarization [32, 63]. For small angle $\theta_{F_2} < \theta_{F_2}$, the standard Andreev reflection process dominates leading to a decrease of the injected spin current while for $\theta_{F_2} > \theta_{F_2}$ the spin-flip Andreev reflection process is dominant.

Experimentally, we expect this effect to be observable in interfaces with inhomogeneous spin-polarisation as in Fe/Cr interfaces [67–70].

**Conclusion** We provide the first theoretical evidence that equal-spin Cooper pairs can enhance the injected
spin current in superconducting nanostructures below the superconducting critical temperature. We anticipate such proof will play a crucial role in the interpretation of forthcoming experiments and influence developments in superconducting spintronics.
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GREEN FUNCTION AND SELF-ENERGY STRUCTURE IN THE KELDYSH FORMALISM

Green function and self-energy general structure in Keldysh space

In the 8×8 spin\times particle-hole\times Keldysh space, the Green’s functions exhibit the following symmetries \cite{5, 56}:

\begin{equation}
\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\hat{G}_R & \hat{G}_K \\
0 & \hat{G}_A
\end{array} \right), \quad \hat{G}^{R,A} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
g^{R,A} & f^{R,A} \\
g^{R,A} & -\bar{f}^{R,A}
\end{array} \right), \quad \hat{G}^K = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
g^K & f^K \\
-\bar{f}^K & -g^K
\end{array} \right)
\end{equation}

(S1)

where \(\hat{\cdot}\) corresponds to functions written in the full 8×8 Keldysh⊗spin⊗particle-hole space (\(\otimes\) is the tensorial product), \(\hat{\cdot}\) corresponds to the 4×4 spin⊗particle-hole space. The symbol \(\hat{\cdot}\) defines a transformation composed by a complex conjugaison together with the change \(E\rightarrow -E\), \(\hat{f}(E) = f^*(E)\). In the quasiclassical approach in both ballistic regime (Eilenberger formalism) or diffusive regime (Usadel formalism), the Green’s function fulfills the normalization condition:

\begin{equation}
\hat{\mathcal{G}}.\hat{\mathcal{G}} = -\frac{\pi}{2}I
\end{equation}

(S2)

In both Eilenberger and Usadel equations, there are self-energies whose symmetry in the Keldysh space is:

\begin{equation}
\hat{\Sigma} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\hat{\Sigma}_R & \hat{\Sigma}_K \\
0 & \hat{\Sigma}_A
\end{array} \right), \quad \hat{\Sigma}^{R,A} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma^{R,A} & \Delta^{R,A} \\
\Delta^{R,A} & \bar{\Sigma}^{R,A}
\end{array} \right), \quad \hat{\Sigma}^K = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma^K & \Delta^K \\
-\Delta^K & -\bar{\Sigma}^K
\end{array} \right)
\end{equation}

(S3)

where \(\hat{\Sigma}\) is the self energy written in the full 8×8 Keldysh⊗spin⊗particle times space while \(\hat{\Sigma}\) are self-energies written in the spin⊗particle-hole space. \(\Sigma\) and \(\hat{\Sigma}\) are normal self-energies in the 2×2 spin space while \(\Delta\) and \(\bar{\Delta}\) are anomalous self-energies in the spin space. In the following, we refer to the 2×2 spin space through the unitary matrix \(\sigma_0\) and the Pauli matrices \(\sigma^X, \sigma^Y, \sigma^Z\) while we refer to the 2×2 particle-hole space via the unitary matrix \(\tau_0\) and the Pauli matrices \(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\).

Self energies structure in the Usadel equation

In the following, we consider the total self-energy \(\hat{\Sigma}\) that writes in the full Keldysh space:

\(\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{\Sigma}^{imp} + \hat{\Sigma}^{ex} + \hat{\Delta}\)

where \(\hat{\Sigma}^{imp} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\hat{\Sigma}^{imp,R} & \hat{\Sigma}^{imp,K} \\
0 & \hat{\Sigma}^{imp,A}
\end{array} \right)\) is the self-energy produced by the spin-flip on magnetic impurities and spin-orbit scattering, \(\hat{\Sigma}^{ex} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\hat{\Sigma}^{ex,R} & \hat{\Sigma}^{ex,K} \\
0 & \hat{\Sigma}^{ex,A}
\end{array} \right)\) is the self energy produced by the exchange field in the F layer and \(\hat{\Delta} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\Delta^R & \Delta^K \\
0 & \Delta^A
\end{array} \right)\) is the self energy describing singlet superconductivity. Note that in general \(\hat{\Sigma}^{ex,K} = \Delta^K = 0\) while in general \(\hat{\Sigma}^{imp,K} \neq 0\). In the following, we work only in the retarded space where \(\hat{\Sigma}^R = \hat{\Sigma}^{imp,R} + \hat{\Sigma}^{ex,R} + \hat{\Delta}^R\) with

\(\hat{\Sigma}^{imp,R} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma^{imp} & \Delta^{imp} \\
0 & \Sigma^{imp}
\end{array} \right), \quad \hat{\Sigma}^{ex,R} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma^{ex} & 0 \\
0 & \Sigma^{ex}
\end{array} \right), \quad \hat{\Delta}^R = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
0 & \Delta^{SC} \\
\Delta^{SC} & 0
\end{array} \right)\)
Spin-flip impurities self-energy

The spin flip over magnetic impurities

The spin flip scattering self-energy over magnetic impurities writes in the Keldysh space as:

\[ \tilde{\Sigma}^m = \frac{1}{8\tau_m} \hat{\sigma}_1 \hat{\sigma}_1 \]

where \( \hat{\sigma} \) is the spin Pauli matrice vector in the Nambu-spin space \( \hat{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^* \end{pmatrix} \).

The spin flip with the spin-orbit process

The spin orbit scattering self-energy writes in the Keldysh space as:

\[ \tilde{\Sigma}^{SO} = \frac{1}{8\tau_{so}} \hat{\sigma}_1 \hat{\tau}_3 \hat{G} \hat{\tau}_3 \hat{\sigma}_1 \]

Exchange field self-energy

In the ferromagnet, we consider an exchange field oriented along the z axis which can be included inside the equation by writing the self-energy as:

\[ \tilde{\Sigma}^{ex,R} = \begin{pmatrix} J\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & J\sigma^* \end{pmatrix} \]

where \( J \) is the exchange field and \( \sigma \) is the vector of Pauli matrices.

Regarding the symmetries between advanced and retarded function, note that the advanced self energies writes

\[ \tilde{\Sigma}^{ex,A} = \left( \tilde{\Sigma}^{ex,R} \right)^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} J\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & J\sigma^* \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\Sigma}^{ex} \]

Superconducting self-energy

In the spin-singlet Superconductor, the order parameter writes:

\[ \Delta^R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta^{SC} \\ \Delta^{SC} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

where \( \Delta^{SC} = i\sigma_y \Delta e^{i\phi} \) with \( \phi \) the superconducting phase.

Regarding the symmetries between advanced and retarded function, note that the advanced self energies writes

\[ \Delta^A = -\left( \Delta^R \right)^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta^{SC} \\ \Delta^{SC} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \Delta^R \]. The singlet superconductivity order parameter is fixed by the self-consistency equation:

\[ \Delta(x) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE}{4\pi} f^K_s(E, x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE}{4\pi} \tanh \left( \frac{E}{2T} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{T}{T_c} \right)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (S4)

where \( f^K_s \) is the singlet part of the Keldysh anomalous Green function.

DESCRIPTION OF THE FMR PROCESS WITH TIME DEPENDENT USADEL EQUATIONS: FROM THE LABORATORY FRAME TO THE ROTATING FRAME

The time dependent Usadel equation

The time-dependent Usadel equation writes in the Keldysh space as:

\[ i \left( \hat{\tau}_3 \partial_t \hat{G} + \partial_t \hat{G} \hat{\tau}_3 \right) + \frac{D}{\pi} \nabla_R \left[ \hat{G} \circ \nabla_R \left[ \hat{G} \right] \right] - \left[ \tilde{\Sigma}, \circ \hat{G} \right] = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (S5)
where \( \tilde{G} = \tilde{G} (t_1, t_2, \mathbf{R}) \) with \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) the time coordinates and \( \mathbf{R} \) the space coordinate. The symbol \( \circ \) denotes the time convolution product defined as:

\[
A \circ B(t_1, t_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' A(t_1, t')B(t', t_2)
\]

The resolution of Usadel equation considering the time dependency is made difficult by the evaluation of these time convolution product. Note that in the above equation, the self-energy \( \tilde{\Sigma} \) is time-dependent. In our case, we consider the case where \( \tilde{\Sigma}(t_1, t_2) = \Sigma(t_1) \delta(t_1 - t') \).

**The exchange field time dependency in the laboratory frame**

Considering the ferromagnetic resonance process, we have to take into account the time dependency of the F layer exchange field. Close to the resonance, we consider that the magnetization is in precession around the effective field direction. Assuming an effective field directed along the \( z \)-axis, the time-dependency of the exchange field writes:

\[
h(t) = |h| (\sin(\theta) \sin(\Omega t), \sin(\theta) \cos(\Omega t), \cos(\theta))
\]

where \( t \) is the time, \( \theta \) is the tilting angle from the \( z \) axis and \( \Omega \) is the precession rate. Note that for \( t = 0 \), the magnetization is tilted from the \( z \) axis by the angle \( \theta \). In the Usadel equation (S5), the exchange field exists in the self-energy term. One can separate the self-energy \( \tilde{\Sigma}(t) \) in a time-dependent and time-independent part \( \tilde{\Sigma}(t) = \tilde{h}(t) + \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \), where \( \tilde{h}(t) \) is the self-energy associated with the exchange field. The self-energy \( \tilde{h} \) exhibits the symmetry in the Keldysh space:

\[
\tilde{h} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{h} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{h} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{h} = \begin{pmatrix} h\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & h\sigma^* \end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the Pauli matrices vector in the spin space. The Usadel equation (S5) then writes:

\[
i(\hat{\tau}_3 \partial_t \hat{G} + \partial_t \hat{G} \hat{\tau}_3) + \frac{D}{\pi} \nabla_R [\hat{G} \nabla_R [\hat{G}]] - [\tilde{h}(t), \circ \hat{G}] - [\tilde{\Sigma}_0, \hat{G}] = 0
\]

Note that the convolution product disappear from the last term of the equation because the self-energy \( \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \) is time-independent.

**From the laboratory frame to the rotating frame**

Assuming the time-dependency of the exchange field described in Eq. (S6), we can define a unitary transformation which can transform the time-dependent Usadel equation in the laboratory frame in a time-independent Usadel equation in the rotating frame. This transformation is possible only if the exchange field exhibits the time dependency shown in the formula (S6). For another time-dependency, one must solve the time dependent Usadel equation. We can define the unitary transformation through the unitary operator \( \tilde{U} \) which has the following structure in the Keldysh space:

\[
\tilde{U}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{U}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{U} = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & U^* \end{pmatrix}
\]

where the operator \( U = e^{-i\sigma_z \frac{\omega t}{2}} \) where \( \sigma_z \) is the third Pauli matrix. In the spin space, the transformation operator writes: \( U = \cos(\frac{\omega t}{2}) - i\sigma_z \sin(\frac{\omega t}{2}) \). The unitarity of the transformation imposes that \( \tilde{U}(t_1)\tilde{U}^\dagger(t_2) = \delta(t_2 - t_1) \) where \( \delta \) is the Dirac distribution. From this transformation, one can relate the Green’s function in the rotating frame \( \tilde{G} \) to the Green’s function in the laboratory frame \( \hat{G} \) via the transformation \( \tilde{U} \) as:

\[
\tilde{G}(t_1, t_2, \mathbf{R}) = \tilde{U}(t_1) \hat{G}(t_1, t_2, \mathbf{R})\tilde{U}^\dagger(t_2)
\]

Applying this transformation to the Usadel equation (S8) (multiplying on the left by \( \tilde{U}(t_1) \) then on the right by \( \tilde{U}^\dagger(t_2) \) and considering the unitary relation of \( \tilde{U} \)), one can find:

\[
i\left( \left[ \partial_t \tilde{G} \hat{\tau}_3 + \hat{\tau}_3 \partial_t \hat{G} \right] \right) + \frac{D}{\pi} \nabla_R [\tilde{G} \circ \nabla_R [\tilde{G}]] - \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \sigma_z 1, \tilde{G} \right] - [\tilde{h}_{\text{eff}}, \tilde{G}] - [\tilde{\Sigma}_0, \tilde{G}] = 0
\]
where \( \hat{h}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{U} \hat{h} \hat{U}^\dagger \) is the exchange field self-energy in the rotating frame with the same structure as described in Eq. (S7) with a time-independent exchange field \( h_{\text{eff}} = |h| (0, \sin(\theta), \cos(\theta)) \). Working in the rotating frame imposes an additional exchange field along the \( z \) direction whose intensity is proportional to \( \Omega/2 \). This additional term is produced by the transformation of the time derivative term of Eq. (S5). This term writes:

\[
\hat{U} (t_1) \left[ i (\hat{t}_3 \partial_{t_1} \hat{G} + \partial_{t_1} \hat{G} \hat{t}_3) \right] \hat{U}^\dagger (t_2)
\]

and reduces to

\[
i \left( \hat{t}_3 \partial_{t_1} \hat{G} + \partial_{t_1} \hat{G} \hat{t}_3 \right) - \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \sigma_z \hat{1}, \hat{G} \right]
\]

The source term in the Usadel equation (S11) (the commutator term) does not depend on time which implies that the Green’s function only depends on the time difference \( \delta t = t_1 - t_2 \), \( \hat{G} (t_1, t_2, \mathbf{R}) = \hat{G} (\delta t, \mathbf{R}) \). We then consider the Fourier transform:

\[
\hat{G} (\delta t, \mathbf{R}) = \int dE G(E, \mathbf{R}) e^{iE\delta t}.
\]

Applying this Fourier transform on the Usadel equation (S11), one find:

\[
\frac{D}{\pi} \nabla \mathbf{R} \left[ \hat{G} \nabla \mathbf{R} [\hat{g}] \right] + \left[ E\hat{t}_3 - \frac{\Omega}{2} \sigma_z \hat{1} - \hat{h}_{\text{eff}} - \hat{\Sigma}_0, \hat{G} \right] = 0 \tag{S12}
\]

This time-independent Usadel equation (S12) describes the superconducting physics in the rotating frame. In order to come back to the physics in the laboratory frame, one has to apply the inverse transformation onto the Green’s function.

### The Usadel Equations in the Gamma Parametrization

In this section, we derive the Usadel equation for the matrices \( \gamma \) and \( \tilde{\gamma} \). We consider the Usadel equation:

\[
D \nabla \mathbf{R} \left[ \hat{g} \nabla \mathbf{R} [\hat{g}] \right] + i \left[ E\hat{t}_3 - \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{g} \right] = 0 \tag{S13}
\]

which is the same than the equation (S12) where for simplicity we write \( \hat{G} = \hat{G}, \hat{\Sigma} = -i\pi \hat{g} \) and \( \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{\Omega}{2} \sigma_z \hat{1} + \hat{h}_{\text{eff}} + \hat{\Sigma}_0 \).

The Usadel equation (S13) is divided in two distinct terms : the spatial derivative term \( \nabla \mathbf{R} \left[ \hat{g} \nabla \mathbf{R} [\hat{g}] \right] \) and the non-derivative term \( \left[ \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{g} \right] \). It is convenient to rewrite the Green’s function in a form that already fulfill the normalisation condition (S2). Here, we present the Riccatti matrices parametrization” [56] where the Green’s functions write in the 4×4 spin×particle-hole space as:

\[
\hat{G}^K = -2i\pi \hat{N}^R \left( \left( x - \gamma^R \hat{z} \hat{z}^A \right) - \left( \gamma^R \hat{z} - x \gamma^A \right) \right) \hat{N}^A
\]

and

\[
\hat{G}^{R,A} = \mp i\pi \hat{N}^{R,A} \left( \frac{1 + \gamma^R \hat{z} \hat{z}^A}{2\gamma^R \hat{A}} - \frac{2\gamma^R \hat{A}}{1 + \gamma^R \hat{A}} \right)
\]

The Usadel equation for retarded Green’s function

In this section, we focus on the Usadel equation for retarded component which writes:

\[
D \nabla \left[ \hat{g}^R \nabla \left[ \hat{g}^R \right] \right] + i \left[ E\hat{t}_3 - \hat{\Sigma}^R, \hat{g}^R \right] = 0 \tag{S16}
\]

It yields the equation

\[
D \left[ \nabla^2 \gamma^R + 2 \nabla^R \hat{t}_3 \hat{N}^{R,A} \nabla \gamma^R \right] + i \left( 2E \gamma^R - \Sigma^R \gamma^R + \gamma^R \hat{\Sigma}^R + \Delta R - \gamma^R \hat{\Delta}^R \gamma^R \right) = 0 \tag{S17}
\]

which corresponds to the differential equations for \( \gamma^R \). The equation for \( \gamma^R \) can be deduced by applying the \( \hat{\Sigma} \) transformation to the equation (S17). Solving the equations for \( \gamma^R \) and \( \hat{\gamma}^R \) can be achieved by numerical method as relaxation methods. From the solution, one can use the formulas (S15) to build the retarded Green’s function. From the symmetries between retarded and advanced Green’s functions described in Eq. (S19), we can derive the expression for \( \gamma^A \) and \( \hat{\gamma}^A \) and construct the advanced Green’s function.
To calculate the properties of a diffusive superconducting nanostructures, we have to consider the equation for non-equilibrium distribution function i.e. the kinetic equation. In this section, we derive the kinetic equation for the distribution function \( x \) and \( \tilde{x} \) from Eqs.(S14).

**Distribution function in the Riccatti matrices parametrization**

The choice for the the distribution functions \( x \) and \( \tilde{x} \) is not unique [56]. Here, the choice of the functions \( x \) and \( \tilde{x} \) gives the simpler for the kinetic equations. These distribution functions are related to the distribution function \( h \) and \( \tilde{h} \) introduced by Larkin and Ovchinikov as [56]:

\[
h = \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} \left[ (\gamma^R \tilde{\gamma}^R)^n \circ (x - \gamma^R \circ \tilde{x}^A) \circ (\gamma^A \tilde{\gamma}^A)^n \right]
\]

where \( \tilde{h} \) can be deduced by applying the \( \tilde{\circ} \) transformation to the function \( h \). The distribution function \( h \) can be related to the distribution functions for electrons and holes \( f \) and \( \tilde{f} \) by the relation:

\[
f = \frac{1}{2} (1 - h) \\
\tilde{f} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \tilde{h})
\]

From the resolution of the kinetic equation, we can calculate the space dependency of the distribution function in the system.

**The kinetic equation for the distribution functions \( x \) and \( \tilde{x} \)**

We calculate the kinetic equation from the Keldysh part of the Usadel equation (S12).

The full kinetic equation We find the kinetic equation in the form [5, 56]:

\[
D \left\{ \nabla^2 x^K - 2 \nabla \gamma^R \tilde{N}^R \left[ \tilde{\gamma}^R - \gamma^R x^K \gamma^A \right] \tilde{N}^A \nabla \tilde{\gamma}^A + i \left( -\Sigma^R - \gamma^R \tilde{\Delta}^R \right) x^K + i x^K (\Sigma^A - \Delta^A \tilde{\gamma}^A) + i \left( \Sigma^K - \Delta^K \tilde{\Delta}^A - \gamma^R \tilde{\Delta}^K + \gamma^R \Sigma^K \tilde{\gamma}^A \right) \right\} = 0
\]

(S18)

The equation for \( \tilde{x}^K \) can be deduced by applying the \( \tilde{\circ} \) transformation to the equation (S18).

**SYMMETRIES IN THE KELDYSH-SPACE**

The following symmetries connect retarded component with advanced component and express the symmetries of the Keldysh component [56] :

\[
\gamma^A = (\tilde{\gamma}^R)^\dagger \\
\Delta^A = - (\tilde{\Delta}^R)^\dagger \\
\Sigma^A = (\tilde{\Sigma}^R)^\dagger
\]

\[
x = (x)^\dagger \\
\Delta^K = (\tilde{\Delta}^K)^\dagger \\
\Sigma^K = - (\Sigma^K)^\dagger
\]

(S19)