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Moiré heterobilayer transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) emerge as an ideal system for simulating the single-band Hubbard model and interesting correlated phases have been observed in these systems. Nevertheless, the moiré bands in heterobilayer TMDs were believed to be topologically trivial. Recently, it was reported that both a quantum valley Hall insulating state at filling $\nu = 2$ (two holes per moiré unit cell) and a valley polarized quantum anomalous Hall state at filling $\nu = 1$ were observed in AB stacked moiré MoTe$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterobilayers. However, how the topologically nontrivial states emerge is not known. In this work, we propose that the pseudo-magnetic fields induced by lattice relaxation in moiré MoTe$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterobilayers could naturally give rise to moiré bands with finite Chern numbers. We show that a time-reversal invariant quantum valley Hall insulator is formed at full-filling $\nu = 2$, when two moiré bands with opposite Chern numbers are filled. At half-filling $\nu = 1$, Coulomb interaction lifts the valley degeneracy and results in a valley polarized quantum anomalous Hall state, as observed in the experiment. Our theory identifies a new way to achieve topologically non-trivial states in heterobilayer TMD materials.

Introduction.— Recently, there is an intense study on the moiré superlattices such as in twisted bilayer graphene [1–10] and twisted bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) [11–29]. The narrow moiré bands together with strong electron-electron interactions give rise to various interesting quantum states of matter.

The moiré superlattices formed by TMD heterobilayers are particularly interesting [16–30]. A moiré TMD heterobilayer is formed by stacking two different 2H-structure monolayer TMDs MX$_2$ and MX$_2$. Due to the large energy offset of the valence bands of the two TMDs, the electrons near the Fermi energy are mostly originated from the TMD layer with higher valence band energy. Therefore, a moiré TMD heterobilayer can be approximately treated as a monolayer TMD with an additional moiré potential which is created through interlayer couplings. Moreover, due to the large Ising spin-orbit coupling [31–33], spin degeneracy is lifted while the valley degeneracy plays the role of pseudo-spin. In the presence of Coulomb interactions, a moiré TMD heterobilayer can be treated as a single-band Hubbard model simulator [18, 23] with parameters highly tunable through the twist angle and the displacement field. Several interesting correlated phenomena such as Mott insulating states [24], Wigner crystal states [21], Pomeranchuk effect and continuous Mott transition [29] have been observed in AA stacked moiré TMD heterobilayers. However, so far the moiré bands in heterobilayers are expected to be topologically trivial and topology does not play a role in these correlated phases.

Surprisingly, in a recent experiment with AB stacked moiré MoTe$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterobilayers, a quantum valley Hall insulator state at full-filling $\nu = 2$, i.e., two holes per moiré unit cell, and a quantum anomalous Hall state at half-filling $\nu = 1$ were observed [34]. As the quantized Hall resistance strongly correlates with valley polarization through magnetic circular dichroism measurements, it is strongly suggestive that the quantum anomalous Hall state is a valley polarized anomalous Hall state [34]. Although it was predicted that the quantum valley Hall state can emerge in homobilayer TMDs [14] which can be described by a Kane-Mele model [35], the low energy description of heterobilayers is dramatically different due to the large offset of the energy of the bands which is estimated to be around 300 meV [29, 34] and large differences in interlayer tunnelling strengthens. Therefore, the origin of the topologically non-trivial bands in heterobilayers is not known.

In this work, we point out that a periodically modulated pseudo-magnetic field, which could emerge spontaneously under lattice relaxation, can give rise to topologically nontrivial moiré bands. Specifically, (i) the pseudo-magnetic field can create Chern bands with opposite Chern numbers at opposite valleys. As a result, a quantum valley Hall insulating phase would form at $\nu = 2$, when the topmost moiré bands at two valleys are filled; (ii) Importantly, at half-filling $\nu = 1$, based on a self-consistent Hartree-Fock calculation, we found the Coulomb interactions could lift the degeneracies of the two valleys. It results in an interactions-driven valley polarized quantum anomalous Hall phase as observed in the recent experiments. Our theory identifies a new way to achieve topologically non-trivial states in heterobilayer TMD materials which were considered topologically trivial.

Model.— As pointed out in Ref. [18], due to the...
large Ising spin-orbit coupling which breaks the spin-degeneracy and the layer asymmetry, a TMD heterobilayer can be described by a single-band Hubbard model with the valley degrees of freedom playing the role of pseudo-spins. However, the resulting moiré bands are topologically trivial. One important element which was not considered in the original model of TMD heterobilayer is lattice relaxation. Indeed, it has been shown that local strain can result in lattice relaxation and even lattice reconstructions which are important in twisted bilayer graphene and twisted TMDs. Importantly, the lattice relaxation can generate periodically modulated pseudo-magnetic fields which play an important role in the moiré band structure.

To capture the effects of periodic pseudo-magnetic fields \( B(r) \), we include an additional gauge field \( A \) with \( B(r) = \nabla \times A(r) \) into the previously proposed model Hamiltonian for the moiré TMD heterobilayer as

\[
H = \int d^2r \psi^\dagger_\tau(r) \mathcal{H}_\tau(r) \psi_\tau(r),
\]

where the momentum operator \( \hat{p} = -i\hbar \nabla \), \( m^* \) is the valence band effective mass, \( \tau = \pm \) for \( \pm K \) valley. The moiré potential is \( V(r) = V_0 \sum_{j=1,3,5} \cos(G_j \cdot r + \phi) \) with moiré wave vectors \( G_j = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha L_M}} (\cos((j-1)\pi r), \sin((j-1)\pi r)) \), \( L_M \approx a/\sqrt{\delta^2 + \theta^2} \) is the moiré lattice constant with a lattice constant mismatch \( \delta = (a - a')/a \) and a twist angle \( \theta \). To be specific, we adopted the parameters: \( m^* = 0.6 m_0 \) with \( m_0 \) the electron mass, \( a = 3.565\AA, a' = 3.317\AA \) for the band structure calculations of TMD MoTe2/WSe2 heterobilayers, where the top valence moiré bands originate from MoTe2 layer. The model Hamiltonian \( H \) respects \( C_3 \) symmetry and time-reversal symmetry \( \mathcal{T} = \tau \cdot K \) with \( K \) as complex conjugate operation, and the moiré Hamiltonians of the two valleys are related by time-reversal symmetry: \( \mathcal{T} \mathcal{H}_\tau(r) \mathcal{T}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{-\tau}(r) \).

We first consider the case without the pseudo-magnetic fields \( B(r) \), i.e., \( A = 0 \), the moiré Hamiltonian exhibits a spinless time-reversal symmetry: \( \mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}_\tau(r)\mathcal{T}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{-\tau}(r) \) with \( \mathcal{T} = \hat{K} \). This spinless time-reversal symmetry enforces the Berry curvature to be an odd function: \( \Omega(k) = -\Omega(-k) \) (Supplementary Material (SM) Sec. I[16]). As a result, the Chern number of each moiré band is zero. To break this spinless time-reversal symmetry, an additional periodically modulated pseudo-magnetic field \( B \) is introduced in the moiré Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_\tau(r) \). Evidently, in this case \( \mathcal{T} \mathcal{H}_\tau(r) \mathcal{T}^{-1} \neq \mathcal{H}_{-\tau}(r) \). Hence, moiré bands with finite Chern numbers are allowed.

To be specific, we consider a \( C_3 \)-invariant periodic pseudo-magnetic field \( B(r) = B_0 \sum_{j=1,3,5} \cos(G_j \cdot r) \), which is expected to emerge in an AB stacked moiré TMD bilayer under lattice relaxation as shown in Ref. [29] or can be generated by some out of plane corrugation effects. The topography of this pseudo-magnetic field is shown in Fig. 1(a). It displays the same period as the moiré superlattice, and it is important to note that the net flux in each moiré unit cell is zero, as we will see later the topology of this system can be understood in terms of the Haldane model [49]. The corresponding gauge field of \( B(r) \) is derived as \( A(r) = A_0 [a_2 \sin(G_1 \cdot r) - a_1 \sin(G_3 \cdot r) - a_3 \sin(G_5 \cdot r)] \), where \( a_1 = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} L_M \), \( a_2 = (0, 1) L_M \), \( a_3 = a_2 - a_1 \), and \( A_0 = \sqrt{3} B_0/4 \tau \). This gauge field can be generated by a two-dimensional strain field \( \mathbf{u}(r) = (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = (u_x, u_y, -2u_{xy}) \) with \( \mathbf{A} = J(u_{xx} - u_{yy}, 2u_{xy}) \). The strain displacement field \( \mathbf{u}(r) = \mathbf{u}_0 [a_2 \cos(G_1 \cdot r) - a_1 \cos(G_3 \cdot r) - a_3 \cos(G_5 \cdot r)] \) with \( \mathbf{u}_0 = \sqrt{3} A_0 L_M/4 \pi a \) that gives rise to the periodic \( B(r) \) is plotted in Fig. 1(b). Inserting \( \mathbf{A}(r) \) into Eq. (1), we obtained \( H_\tau(r) = -\frac{\mathbf{A}^2}{2m^*} + V(r) \), where \( \Phi_0 = \frac{\hbar}{e} \) is a flux quantum and \( \Phi = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} B_0 L_M^2 \) has the dimension...
of magnetic flux, $\vec{A} = \frac{4\pi A(r)}{\sqrt{3}b_0 L_M}$. We can then diagonalize $\mathcal{H}_r(r)$ with plane wave basis to obtain the moiré bands (Supplementary Material (SM) Sec. IVA [46]).

As an illustration, in Fig. 1(c) to (e), we show the energy spectrum of $+K$ valley ($\tau = +1$) at a commensurate angle $\theta = 0.53^\circ$ [27] but with different strength of pseudo-magnetic field: $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0, 0.45, 0.8$. The corresponding Berry curvature distribution of top moiré bands at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0$ and $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0.8$ are shown in Fig. 1(f) and Fig. 1(g). Without the pseudo-magnetic field, the moiré Brillouin zone corners connected by the reciprocal lattice vectors for the moiré pattern (SM Sec. IVA [46]). The resulting effective Hamiltonian is

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\pm \ell}_{\pm \kappa}(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_0 + 2V_0 \cos \phi & \pm \frac{1}{2}v(k_x + ik_y) \\
\pm \frac{1}{2}v(k_x - ik_y) & \epsilon_0 + 2V_0 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} + \phi\right) + \epsilon_B
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where $k$ is expanded near $+\kappa$, $\epsilon_0 = -\kappa^2/2m^*$, $v = \kappa/m^*$, $\epsilon_B = \sqrt{3}e^2 \Phi_0 = \frac{\hbar eB_0}{4m^*}$ is a magnetic energy due to the presence of pseudo-magnetic fields. Interestingly, this three-band Hamiltonian exhibits as a Dirac Hamiltonian at every two-band subspace. Moreover, the $\epsilon_B$ shifts the Dirac mass in opposite way at $+\kappa$ and $-\kappa$. This feature maps the effective Hamiltonian back to the Hal-dane model [49] and moiré bands with finite Chern number would thus be created when the Dirac mass term changes sign at $+\kappa$ or $-\kappa$. The topological phase transition boundary lines are obtained as:

$$
L_1 : \frac{\epsilon_B}{V_0} = \pm 2[\cos(\frac{2\pi}{3} + \phi) - \cos \phi];
$$

$$
L_2 : \frac{\epsilon_B}{V_0} = \pm 2[\cos \phi - \cos(\frac{4\pi}{3} + \phi)];
$$

$$
L_3 : \frac{\epsilon_B}{V_0} = \pm 2[\cos(\frac{2\pi}{3} + \phi) - \cos(\frac{4\pi}{3} + \phi)].
$$

Surprisingly, the topological phase transition boundary lines $L_1$ only rely on the ratio $\frac{\epsilon_B}{V_0}$ and the phase $\phi$ of the moiré potential in the perturbative regime, where the moiré bandwidth $\epsilon_B$ is much larger than the magnetic energy $\epsilon_B$.

To determine the possible nontrivial topological regions, the Chern number $C$ of the top moiré band with various $\phi$ and ratio $\epsilon_B/V_0$ is calculated numerically (SM Sec. IVB [46]). The typical topological phase diagram within ($V_0 = 1$ meV) and beyond ($V_0 = 10$ meV) the perturbation region are displayed in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b), respectively, where $C$ is found to be integer. The phase boundaries given by the effective Hamiltonian (2) are also depicted in Fig. 2 as red dashed lines. In Fig. 2(a), impressively, most of the phase boundaries in numerical results match the results from the effective Hamiltonian. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the phase boundaries become more complicated beyond the perturbative regime. Nevertheless, there is still a large proportion of parameter space that exhibits Chern number $C = \pm 1$.

We now discuss the accessibility of parameter space with finite Chern numbers. As shown in Fig. 2, the optimal $\phi$ is near $\pi/3$ and $\pi$, and a large magnetic energy $\epsilon_B$ at the order of $V_0$ is desired. Note that the magnitude of $\epsilon_B = \frac{\hbar eB_0}{4m^*} \sim 0.05B_0$ meV/T is determined by the strength of pseudo-magnetic fields $B_0$. Considering a $B_0$ of tens of T, the magnetic energy $\epsilon_B$ is estimated to be several meV which is achievable in heterobilayer TMDs and other moiré materials [38]. As shown in Fig. 2, this $\epsilon_B$ is certainly sufficient to drive the system to be topological for $\phi$ near $\pi/3$ and $\pi$, while for $\phi$ far from these regions, it would depend on the magnitude of $V_0$. A large
V0 would tend to make the system trivial since it would enhance the trivial energy gap between moiré bands. In the case of a large V0, the topological region may still be achievable through a displacement field [29], because the displacement field effectively tunes the interlayer tunneling so that V0 could be effectively changed.

Valley polarized quantum anomalous Hall states at half-filling ν = 1.—After demonstrating the formation of Chern bands in moiré TMD heterobilayers with periodic pseudo-magnetic fields, we now study the interaction induced topological phases, as schematically depicted in Fig. 3a. We consider the case where the Chern number C = ±1 at the ±K valley. Due to the spin-valley locking, the moiré TMD heterobilayer is a quantum valley Hall insulating phase at the full-filling ν = 2 (see Fig. 3k). When the chemical potential is tuned to half-filling ν = 1, as we will demonstrate later, the Coulomb interaction could lift the valley degeneracy and thus gives rise to a valley polarized quantum anomalous Hall insulator. In moiré TMD heterobilayer, due to the spin-valley locking, the Coulomb interaction is simply

$$H_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{k,k',q} V(q) c_{\tau}^\dagger (k + q) c_{\tau'}^\dagger (k' - q) c_{\tau'} (k') c_\tau (k),$$

where S is the sample area, V(q) = \frac{e^2}{2\varepsilon_0 \sqrt{q^2 + \lambda^2}} is the screened Coulomb interaction with ε, ε0, λ−1 denoting the dielectric constant, vacuum permittivity and a screened length. Within the Hartree-Fock mean-field analysis, we define the order parameter as \langle \psi_G | c_{\tau}^\dagger (k) c_{\tau'}^\dagger (k') | \psi_G \rangle = \Delta_{\tau \tau'} (k) \delta_{k,k'}, where | \psi_G \rangle denotes the ground state. Unlike moiré superlattices of graphene [9 52 55], here due to the spin-valley locking, the possible gapped correlated ground states for moiré TMD heterobilayer can be simply grouped into two categories at half-filling: (i) the spin-valley-polarized (SVP) state | \psi_G \rangle = \Pi_{|k|<|k'_L|} c_{\tau}^\dagger (k) | 0 \rangle, where only τ-valley is occupied; (ii) the spin-valley-locked intervalley coherent (IVC) state | \psi_G \rangle = \Pi_{|k|<|k'_L|} [\sin \frac{\theta_k}{2} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} c_{\tau}^\dagger (k) + \cos \frac{\theta_k}{2} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} c_{\tau}^\dagger (k)] | 0 \rangle, where θ_k = π - τ_0k and φ_k = φ_{-k} to preserve the time-reversal symmetry. The SVP state breaks time-reversal symmetry, while the spin-valley-locked IVC state breaks the U(1) valley-charge conservation. Importantly, as a result of a single valley occupancy, a SVP ground state at half-filling ν = 1 could lead to the valley polarized quantum anomalous Hall insulating state.

To study the stabilized ground state at ν = 1, we performed the Hartree-Fock mean-field calculations. In the calculations, we projected the interactions onto the topmost moiré bands [30 52 55]. The details of the calculations can be found in SM Sec. III and Sec. IV [40]. Here, we summarize the numerical results in Fig. 3b) to Fig. 3f). Fig. 3b) displays the energy difference of the IVC and SVP state E_{IVC} - E_{SVP} as a function of twist angle θ and dielectric constant ε. It is shown that in a wide parameter range, the SVP state exhibits lower energy than the IVC state, being compatible with previous results in moiré superlattices of graphene [52 55] [56]. Indeed, E_{IVC} - E_{SVP} > 0 can be shown analytically in the long-wave limit qL_M < 1 as shown in SM Sec. III [40]. To obtain the observed insulating QAH state, a gapped SVP state is needed, which happens when the strength of Coulomb interaction overcomes the band dispersion as highlighted in Fig. 3b).

We also performed the Hartree-Fock mean-field calculations with finite pseudo-magnetic fields (Φ = 2\sqrt{2} B_0 L^2_M ≠ 0) which enables the moiré bands to carry finite Chern numbers. We found that the SVP state is still more stable than the IVC state in this case. As shown in the upper panel of Fig. 3c), the energy difference of E_{IVC} - E_{SVP} is almost insensitive to the increase in the strength of pseudo-magnetic fields. This is because the corresponding magnetic energy ε_B is much smaller than the Coulomb interacting strength (~ 100 meV). In contrast with the stability of the SVP state, the topology of the moiré bands is determined by spe-
cific pseudo-magnetic fields. As shown in the lower panel of Fig. 3(c), the SVP states acquired finite Chern numbers at some range of pseudo-magnetic fields. Therefore, by considering the effects of pseudo-magnetic fields and Coulomb interactions, we demonstrated the degeneracy of the two moiré bands $\xi_{\pm}(k)$ can be lifted (see Fig. 3(d)) and a single moiré band carrying a finite Chern number appears at half-filling (see Fig. 3(e)). As a result, moiré TMD heterobilayers can exhibit valley polarized quantum anomalous Hall states.

**Discussion.**—It is important to note that another natural way to create nontrivial Chern bands is by reducing the energy offset of the valence bands of MoTe$_2$ and WSe$_2$ by applying a displacement field, such that the moiré bands of the two TMD materials can hybridize to open a topologically non-trivial gap [14, 48]. However, it is not certain if the relatively small displacement field (≈0.5 V/nm) used in the experiment [34] can hybridize the moiré bands from MoTe$_2$ and WSe$_2$, which are expected to have an energy offset of 300 meV [29, 34]. In the case of QAH effect observed at 3/4 filling in twisted bilayer graphene, the non-trivial Chern bands are generated by the coupling between the aligned graphene moiré superlattices and the boron nitride substrate [47–50]. In this work, we propose a new mechanism that pseudo-magnetic fields induced by lattice relaxation can cause topological band inversion for moiré bands originated from a single layer (such as the MoTe$_2$ layer). Our results in principle can be applicable to other TMD materials with pseudo-magnetic fields [35–37, 60]. Our model also provides a basis for the study of other strongly interacting phases such as fractional Chern insulating states [61–64] in heterobilayer TMDs.
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[46] See supplementary Material for (1) Spinless time-reversal


**I. SPINLESS TIME-REVERSAL SYMMETRY AND THE VANISHING OF CHERN NUMBER**

If there is no pseudo-magnetic field, as we discussed in the main text, there is an emergent spinless time-reversal symmetry \( T' = \hat{K} \) with \( T' \mathcal{H}_r(r) T'^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_r(r) \). In this section, we show such spinless time-reversal symmetry would enforce the Chern number of a moiré band to be zero.

We define the wavefunction as \( \psi_{n,\tau,k}(r) = u_{n,\tau,k}(r)e^{ik\cdot r} \), where \( n \) labels the band index, and \( \tau \) is the valley index. The Berry curvature

\[
\Omega(k) = -i\langle \partial_{k_i}u(k)|\partial_{k_i}u(k)\rangle - \langle \partial_{k_v}u(k)|\partial_{k_v}u(k)\rangle
\]

and the Chern number \( C = \int_{k \in B.Z.} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^2} \Omega(k) \). Under the spinless time-reversal symmetry \( T' : u_{n,\tau}(k) \rightarrow u_{n,\tau}(-k) \) so that \( T' : \Omega(k) \rightarrow -i\langle \partial_{k_i}u(-k)|\partial_{k_i}u(-k)\rangle - \langle \partial_{k_v}u(-k)|\partial_{k_v}u(-k)\rangle = -\Omega(-k) \). As the Hamiltonian is invariant under this spinless time-reversal symmetry, we thus obtain

\[
\Omega(k) = -\Omega(-k).
\]

Therefore, we have shown this emergent time-reversal symmetry \( T' \) enforces the Berry curvature to be odd in the Brillouin zone. As a result, the Chern number of a moiré band that is equal to the integral of the Berry curvature over the whole Brillouin zone vanishes.

**II. DERIVATION OF EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN THROUGH THE PERTURBATION THEORY**

**A. Three-band effective model Hamiltonian near moiré Brillouin zone corners**

The moiré Hamiltonian is

\[
\mathcal{H}_r(r) = -\frac{(\hat{p} + \tau e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m^*} + V(r),
\]

where the moiré potential is

\[
V(r) = V_0 \sum_{j=1,3,5} \cos(G_j \cdot r + \phi) = \sum_j V(G_j)e^{iG_j \cdot r},
\]

where \( G_j = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}L_M} (\cos(\frac{(j-1)\pi}{3}), \sin(\frac{(j-1)\pi}{3})) \). As discussed in the main text, we can take the corresponding gauge field of \( B(r) \) as \( \mathbf{A}(r) = A_0[a_2 \sin(G_1 \cdot r) - a_1 \sin(G_3 \cdot r) - a_3 \sin(G_5 \cdot r)] \), where we chose the Coulomb gauge \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \), and define \( a_1 = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})L_M, a_2 = (0, 1)L_M, a_3 = a_2 - a_1, \) and \( A_0 = \sqrt{3}B_0/4\pi \). This gauge field can be generated by a strain displacement field \( \mathbf{u} = u_0[a_2 \cos(G_1 \cdot r) - a_1 \cos(G_3 \cdot r) - a_3 \cos(G_5 \cdot r)] \) with \( u_0 = \sqrt{3}A_0 L_M/4\pi \). It gives rise to a strain field \( u_j(r) = (\partial_j u_j(r) + \partial_i u_i(r))/2 \), which leads to the gauge field \( \mathbf{A} = \alpha(u_{xx} - u_{yy} - 2u_{xy}) \) as desired. Note unlike the constant pseudo-magnetic fields considered in \[38\], here the pseudo-magnetic fields we consider are periodic, which take the same periodicity as the moiré potential, being motivated by a recent lattice relaxation calculation for moiré transition metal dichalcogenides \[38\].

It can be found in the presence of gauge potential

\[
\mathcal{H}_r(r) = -\frac{(\hat{p} + \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}L_M}\hat{A})^2}{2m^*} + V(r) \approx -\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \tau \gamma \hat{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} + V(r),
\]
where the quantum flux \( \Phi_0 = \frac{\hbar}{2} \), the flux \( \Phi = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} B_0 L_M^2 \), \( \tilde{A} = 4\pi A(r)/B_0 \sqrt{3} L_M \) and \( \gamma = -\frac{h^2}{m L_M \Phi_0} \), and we dropped \( A^2 \) term here but it will be added in numerical calculations. In the following, we consider the moiré Hamiltonian at \(+K\) valley: \( \mathcal{H}_+(r) \), and the results for \(-K\) valley is directly obtained with the time-reversal operation.

First, let us consider the case \( A = 0 \). Because the three corners of moiré Brillouin are connected by the superlattice reciprocal vectors, using the plane waves \( |k\rangle = e^{ik\cdot r} \), the effective Hamiltonian near \( \pm \kappa \) is written as

\[
H_{\pm\kappa}(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_{\pm\kappa} \pm v k_y & V_0 e^{i\gamma k_x} \\
V_0 e^{-i\gamma k_x} & \epsilon_{\pm\kappa} \pm v (-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} k_x - \frac{1}{2} k_y) \\
V_0 e^{i\gamma k_x} & \epsilon_{\pm\kappa} \pm v (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} k_x - \frac{1}{2} k_y)
\end{pmatrix},
\]  

(S6)

where \( \epsilon_{\pm\kappa} = \epsilon_0 = -\kappa^2/2m^* \), \( v = \kappa/m^* \). At the Brillouin zone corners, the eigenenergies and eigenwavefunctions are

\[
\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_0 + 2V_0 \cos \phi, |\epsilon_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|\pm \kappa_1\rangle + |\pm \kappa_2\rangle + |\pm \kappa_3\rangle)
\]  

(S7)

\[
\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_0 + 2V_0 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} + \phi\right), |\epsilon_2\rangle = \frac{e^{i\pi}}{\sqrt{3}}(|\pm \kappa_1\rangle + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi}{3}}|\pm \kappa_2\rangle + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi}{3}}|\pm \kappa_3\rangle)
\]  

(S8)

\[
\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_0 + 2V_0 \cos \left(\frac{4\pi}{3} + \phi\right), |\epsilon_3\rangle = \frac{e^{i\pi}}{\sqrt{3}}(|\pm \kappa_1\rangle + e^{\pm \frac{4\pi}{3}}|\pm \kappa_2\rangle + e^{\pm \frac{4\pi}{3}}|\pm \kappa_3\rangle)
\]  

(S9)

When the gauge field is added, the gauge term \( H'_{\pm\kappa}(A) = \gamma \tilde{A} \cdot p \) becomes

\[
H'_{\pm\kappa}(\tilde{A}) \approx \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \pm \gamma \tilde{A}(\pm G_3) \cdot \kappa_2 & \pm \gamma \tilde{A}(\pm G_0) \cdot \kappa_3 \\
\pm \gamma \tilde{A}(\pm G_2) \cdot \kappa_2 & 0 & \pm \gamma \tilde{A}(\pm G_1) \cdot \kappa_3 \\
\pm \gamma \tilde{A}(\pm G_3) \cdot \kappa_3 & \pm \gamma \tilde{A}(\pm G_4) \cdot \kappa_3 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]  

(S10)

where

\[
\kappa_1 = \kappa(0, -1), \kappa_2 = \kappa\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \kappa_3 = \kappa\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right),
\]  

(S11)

and

\[
\tilde{A}(G) = \frac{1}{S} \int d\tilde{r} \tilde{A}(r)e^{-iG\cdot \tilde{r}}
\]  

(S12)

with \( \tilde{A}_x(r) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin(G_3 \cdot r) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \sin(G_5 \cdot r), \tilde{A}_y(r) = \sin(G_1 \cdot r) - \frac{1}{2} \sin(G_3 \cdot r) - \frac{1}{2} \sin(G_5 \cdot r) \), \( S \) denoting the sample area. As \( \tilde{A}(G) = A^*(G) \), \( H'_{\pm\kappa}(A) \) will have the same form. We can further obtain

\[
H'_{\pm\kappa}(A) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{\kappa \gamma}{3} & -\frac{\kappa \gamma}{4} \\
-\frac{\kappa \gamma}{3} & 0 & \frac{\kappa \gamma}{4} \\
-\frac{\kappa \gamma}{4} & \frac{\kappa \gamma}{4} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(S13)

After projecting \( H'_{\pm\kappa}(A) \) into the basis formed by \( \{|\epsilon_1\rangle, |\epsilon_2\rangle, |\epsilon_3\rangle\} \), we find

\[
H'_{\pm\kappa}(A) = \frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa \gamma}{4} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(S14)

Hence, in the basis spanned by \( \{|\epsilon_1\rangle, |\epsilon_2\rangle, |\epsilon_3\rangle\} \), we can write it as

\[
H'_{\pm\kappa}(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_0 + 2V_0 \cos \phi & \pm \frac{1}{2} v(k_x + ik_y) & \pm \frac{1}{2} v(k_x - ik_y) \\
\pm \frac{1}{2} v(k_x - ik_y) & \epsilon_0 + 2V_0 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} + \phi\right) & \pm \epsilon_B \\
\pm \frac{1}{2} v(k_x + ik_y) & \pm \frac{1}{2} v(k_x - ik_y) & \epsilon_0 + 2V_0 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} + \phi\right) + \epsilon_B
\end{pmatrix},
\]  

(S15)

where the magnetic energy that gives rise to the Dirac mass gap is given by

\[
\epsilon_B = \frac{\sqrt{3} \Phi}{4L_M \Phi_0} = \frac{\hbar e B_0}{4m^*}
\]  

(S16)

In the main text, we determined the topological phase transition boundaries with above three-band model and compared with the topological phase diagram from numerical calculations. The details for numerical calculations, including the diagonalization of full moiré Hamiltonian and Chern number, are summarized in Sec. IV of Supplementary Material (SM).
B. Four-band effective model Hamiltonian near moiré Brillouin zone boundary

In the main text of Fig. 2, it can be seen the phase transition boundaries that separates $C = \pm 1$ phase and $C = \mp 2$ phase, where Chern number changes $\pm 3$, are not captured by above three-band model. As shown in Fig. S2 where we calculated the moiré bands along moiré Brillouin zone boundary numerically (see black solid lines), we found it is gap closings at general points of moiré Brillouin zone boundary that drives this topological phase transition. Due to $C_3$ symmetry, there are three gap closing points at three $m\kappa$ lines related by $C_3$ symmetry, which enables the change of Chern number to be $\pm 3$.

To capture all the topological transitions, we derived an effective continuum model at the whole moiré boundary, denoting as $B_j$, instead of near only $\pm \kappa$. In the space $\langle | k_{B_2} \rangle, | k_{B_2} + G_3 \rangle, | k_{B_2} + G_4 \rangle, | k_{B_2} + G_5 \rangle$, after a similar derivation shown in previous part, we can obtain a four by four effective Hamiltonian for the whole moiré boundary:

$$H_{eff}^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix}
  \epsilon(k_{B_2}) & V_0 e^{i \phi} & V_0 e^{-i \phi} & 0 \\
  V_0 e^{i \phi} & V_0 e^{-i \phi} & V_0 e^{i \phi} & 0 \\
  V_0 e^{-i \phi} & V_0 e^{i \phi} & \epsilon(k_{B_2} + G_3) & 0 \\
  V_0 e^{-i \phi} & V_0 e^{i \phi} & 0 & \epsilon(k_{B_2} + G_5)
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
  0 & \frac{2}{\Phi_0} k_y & \frac{2}{\Phi_0} (k_y + \frac{2}{3} \kappa) & \frac{2}{\Phi_0} (k_y - \frac{2}{3} \kappa) \\
  -\frac{2}{\Phi_0} k_y & 0 & \frac{2}{\Phi_0} (k_y + \frac{2}{3} \kappa) & \frac{2}{\Phi_0} (k_y - \frac{2}{3} \kappa) \\
  \frac{2}{\Phi_0} (k_y + \frac{2}{3} \kappa) & \frac{2}{\Phi_0} (k_y + \frac{2}{3} \kappa) & 0 & 0 \\
  \frac{2}{\Phi_0} (k_y - \frac{2}{3} \kappa) & \frac{2}{\Phi_0} (k_y - \frac{2}{3} \kappa) & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

(S17)

The band dispersions given by this four-band continuum model are plotted in Fig. S2 as red dashed lines. It can be seen that it roughly captures the topology of numerically calculated moiré bands, although the bands gradually deviates from directly numerically calculated moiré bands at large pseudo-magnetic fields such as the case $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0.8$ shown in Fig. S2.

III. COULOMB INTERACTION AND HARTREE-FOCK CALCULATIONS

The Coulomb interaction is written as

$$H_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \int dr \int dr' c_{\sigma}^\dagger(r) c_{\sigma'}^\dagger(r') V(r - r') c_{\sigma'}(r') c_{\sigma}(r),$$  

(S18)

where $\sigma$ is the spin index. Here, the screened Coulomb interaction $V(r) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon\epsilon_0} \frac{e^{-\lambda r}}{|r|}$ and the electron creation operator

$$c_{\sigma}(r) = \sum_{n, k \in B.Z.} \psi_{n, \sigma, k}(r) c_{n, \sigma}(k),$$  

(S19)
with \( \psi_{n,\sigma,k}(r) = u_{n,\sigma,k}(r)e^{i(k \cdot r)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}} \sum_G e^{i(G+\mathbf{k}) \cdot r} u_{n,\sigma,k}(\mathbf{G}) \) as the Bloch wave function, \( n \) is the band index, \( k \) is defined in the moiré Brillouin zone. Then Coulomb interaction in the momentum space reads

\[
H_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4} V_{nn'm'm'}(k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4)c_{n,\sigma,1}^\dagger(k_1)c_{n',\sigma',1}^\dagger(k_2)c_{n',\sigma',1}(k_3)c_{n',\sigma'}(k_4)
\]  

(S20)

and

\[
V_{nn'm'm'}(k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4) = \frac{1}{S} \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{r}' \psi_{n,\sigma,1}^\dagger(r)\psi_{n',\sigma,1}^\dagger(r)r V(r - r')\psi_{m,\sigma',1}^\dagger(k_2)\psi_{m',\sigma',1}(k_3)
\]  

(S21)

\[
\begin{align*}
&= \sum_{q,G,G',G''} V(q)\Lambda_{nn'}^\dagger(k_1,k_4)\Lambda_{mm'}^\dagger(k_2,k_3)\delta_{k_1+G',k_2+q+G'\delta_{k_2+G',k_3+G''-q}}
\end{align*}
\]  

(S22)

where \( V(r) = \frac{1}{2} \sum q V(q) e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot r} \) with \( V(q) = \frac{e^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0 \sqrt{q^2 + \mathbf{A}^2}} \). \( \Lambda_{nn'}^\dagger(k_i,k_j) = u_{k_i}^\dagger(G_i')u_{k_j}(G_j') \). Due to giant Ising spin-orbit coupling (SOC \( \sim 100 \text{ meV} \)) near the valence band top of 2H-type transition metal dichalcogenide, the spin and valley are locked together, and thus we can replace the spin index \( \sigma \) with valley index \( \tau \). Then we obtain the Coulomb interaction as

\[
H_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{k,k',q} V(q)\Lambda_{nn'}^\dagger(k+q,k)\Lambda_{mm'}^\dagger(k'-q,k')c_{n,\tau}(k+q)c_{m,\tau}(k'-q)c_{m',\tau'}(k')c_{n',\tau'}^\dagger(k).
\]  

(S23)
Note \( q \) is defined in \( R^2 \) so that \( k \pm q \) can exceed the first Brillouin and in the calculation, it needs to be projected back to the first Brillouin zone as \( k \pm q = G'(k \pm q) + p(k \pm q) \), being equivalent to adjust \( \mathbf{G}_j \) in Eq. (S22). Especially, after this projection, the form factor is given by

\[
\Lambda_{nn'}^\tau(k \pm q, k) = \sum_G u_{p(k \pm q), \tau}^\dagger(G + G'(k \pm q)) u_{n, \tau}(G) \equiv \langle u_{n, \tau, k \pm q} | u_{n, \tau, k} \rangle. \tag{S24}
\]

It can be seen that the inter valley Hund’s coupling \cite{22} is suppressed by the Ising SOC. From the definition, \( \Lambda_{nn'}^\tau(k_1, k_2) = (\Lambda_{n'n}^\tau(k_2, k_1))^* \) and the time-reversal symmetry requires \( \Lambda_{nn'}^\tau(k_1, k_2) = \Lambda_{n'n}^\tau(-k_2, -k_1) \).

### A. Hartree-Fock mean-field approximation with a simple form of Coulomb interaction

Next, let us perform the Hartree-Fock mean-field approximation. We first assume a simple form of interaction as

\[
H_{\text{int}} = \frac{g}{2N} \sum_{k, k', q} c_{\tau}(k + q) c_{\tau}(k') c_{\tau}(k') c_{\tau}(k), \tag{S25}
\]

where the interaction effects on the top moiré bands is studied and the the interaction strength is taken as \( g \), \( N \) is the number of moiré unit cells. A more complete form will be discussed later. Then we define the expectation value

\[
\Delta_{\tau\tau'}(k, k') = \langle c_{\tau}(k) c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle, \tag{S26}
\]

which is assumed to be diagonal in momentum space, i.e., \( \Delta_{\tau\tau'}(k, k') = \Delta_{\tau\tau'}(k) \delta_{k, k'} \).

The constraint for the order parameters are

\[
\text{filling} : \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \text{tr}[\Delta(k)] = \nu, \tag{S27}
\]

\[
\text{Symmetry : } \quad C_3 : \Delta(k) \mapsto \Delta(3k) \tag{S28}
\]

\[
T : \Delta(k) \mapsto \tau_x \Delta^*(\mathbf{-k}) \tau_x \tag{S29}
\]

where \( C_3 = \tau_0 \) is the three-fold rotational symmetry, \( T = \tau_x K \) is the time-reversal symmetry.

We expand the \( H_{\text{int}} \) in a mean-field manner:

\[
H_{\text{int}}^{MF} \approx \frac{g}{2N} \sum_{k, k', q} c_{\tau}(k + q) c_{\tau'}(k) \langle c_{\tau'}(k') - q c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle + \langle c_{\tau}(k + q) c_{\tau}(k) \rangle c_{\tau'}(k') - \langle c_{\tau}(k + q) c_{\tau'}(k) \rangle c_{\tau'}(k') - \langle c_{\tau}(k + q) c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle c_{\tau'}(k') c_{\tau'}(k) - \langle c_{\tau}(k + q) c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle c_{\tau'}(k') c_{\tau}(k) - \langle c_{\tau}(k + q) c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle c_{\tau'}(k') c_{\tau'}(k) - \langle c_{\tau}(k + q) c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle c_{\tau'}(k') c_{\tau'}(k) . \tag{S30}
\]

The first three terms are the Hartree contributions, which is assumed to be finite only at \( q = 0 \), and the last three terms are the Fock contributions. In a homogeneous electron gas, the Hartree contributions are canceled by the direct interaction with the positive background, and such contributions are determined by the local density of electrons and should not be sensitive to the specific order. Thus, the Fock terms are usually kept for our purpose. Then we obtain

\[
H_{\text{int}}^{MF} \approx -g \sum_k c_{\tau}(k) \Delta_{\tau\tau'}^*(k, k) + \frac{gN}{2} \text{tr}(\Delta^2). \tag{S31}
\]

(1) the spin-valley polarized (SVP) state. The time-reversal symmetry is broken.

The order parameter for the valley polarized states are

\[
\Delta(k) = \Delta_0(k) \tau_0 + \Delta_z(k) \tau_z. \tag{S32}
\]

We can define the macroscopic mean-field order parameters as

\[
\Delta_0 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \Delta_0(k), \Delta_z = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \Delta_z(k). \tag{S33}
\]
As a result, $\Delta_0 = 1/2$ at half-filling. And we consider interaction is much larger than bandwidth so that only one band is filled in near half-filling. In this case, the self-consistent equation reads

\[
\Delta_0 + \Delta_z = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \Delta_{++}(k) = 1 \tag{S34}
\]

\[
\Delta_0 - \Delta_z = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \Delta_{--}(k) = 0. \tag{S35}
\]

This gives $\Delta_z = 1/2$. The energy for the spin valley polarized states are assumed to be

\[
E_{SV} = \sum_k \xi_s(k) - gN/2. \tag{S36}
\]

(2) the spin-valley-locked intervalley coherent (IVC) state (we will simply refer it as IVC state in the later discussions). The valley $U_v(1)$ symmetry is broken.

The order parameter for the valley polarized states are assumed to be

\[
\Delta(k) = \left( \frac{\Delta_0(k)}{\Delta_+(k)} \Delta_1(k) \right). \tag{S37}
\]

Here, $\Delta_0(k)$ is real, while $\Delta_1(k)$ is complex. We define $\Delta_1 = |\Delta_1|e^{i\varphi} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \Delta_1(k)$. The mean-field Hamiltonian becomes

\[
H_{MF} = \sum_k (\xi_\tau(k) - g\Delta_0)\xi_\tau(k) - g \sum_k (\Delta_1^\dagger(k)c_-(k) + \Delta_1 c_+(k)) + gN(\Delta_0^2 + |\Delta_1|^2). \tag{S38}
\]

This mean-field Hamiltonian is diagonalized with a unitary transformation:

\[
c_+(k) = \cos \frac{\theta_k}{2} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} \gamma_+(k) + \sin \frac{\theta_k}{2} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} \gamma_-(k),
\]

\[
c_-(k) = -\sin \frac{\theta_k}{2} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} \gamma_+(k) + \cos \frac{\theta_k}{2} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} \gamma_-(k), \tag{S39}
\]

where

\[
\cos \theta_k = \frac{\xi_\alpha(k)}{\sqrt{\xi^2_\alpha(k) + g^2N^2|\Delta_1|^2}}, \quad \sin \theta_k = \frac{gN|\Delta_1|}{\sqrt{\xi^2_\alpha(k) + g^2N^2|\Delta_1|^2}}, \tag{S40}
\]

and we denote $\xi_{s(a)}(k) = (\xi_+(k) \pm \xi_-(k))/2$. After this transformation, the mean field Hamiltonian becomes

\[
H_{MF} = \sum_k E_+(k)\gamma_\dagger_+(k)\gamma_+(k) + E_-(k)\gamma_\dagger_-(k)\gamma_-(k) + gN(\Delta_0^2 + |\Delta_1|^2). \tag{S41}
\]

Here, the eigenenergies $E_{\pm}(k)$ are given by

\[
E_{\pm}(k) = \xi_s(k) - gN\Delta_0 \pm \sqrt{(\xi_s(k))^2 + g^2N^2|\Delta_1|^2}. \tag{S42}
\]

The self-consistent equation is

\[
\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \langle c_\dagger_+(k)c_-(k) \rangle = \frac{\Delta_1}{2N} \sum_k \sin \theta_k \langle \gamma_\dagger_+(k)\gamma_+(k) + \gamma_\dagger_-(k)\gamma_-(k) \rangle \tag{S43}
\]

At half-filling, only the $E_-(k)$ bands are filled. The self-consistent equation is simplified as

\[
|\Delta_1| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \frac{g|\Delta_1|}{\sqrt{\xi^2_\alpha(k) + g^2N^2|\Delta_1|^2}}. \tag{S44}
\]

When the interaction is much larger than bandwidth, we can obtain $|\Delta_1| \approx 1/2$. The filling constraint further gives $\Delta_0 = 1/2$. Hence, the energy for the IVC state is approximated as

\[
E_{IVC} = \sum_k \xi_s(k) - \sqrt{\xi^2_\alpha(k) + g^2N^2/4}. \tag{S45}
\]

The energy difference between the SVP states and the IVC states is

\[
\delta E = E_{SV} - E_{IVC} = \sum_k \sqrt{\xi^2_\alpha(k) + g^2N^2/4} - gN/2 > 0 \tag{S46}
\]

Therefore, without considering the form factor, which encodes the information of the nontrivial wave-function, the states are tend to form the IVC states instead of SVP state.
B. Hartree-Fock mean-field approximation with a more general form of Coulomb interaction

Next, let us consider a more general form of Coulomb interaction:

$$H_{int} = \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_{k, k', q} v_q \Lambda^\tau(k + q, k) \Lambda^{\tau'}(k' - q, k') c_{\tau}^\dagger(k + q)c_{\tau'}(k')c_{\tau'}(k),$$  \hspace{1cm} (S47)

where $V_0 = \frac{e^2}{2\epsilon r_{0}|\Omega|}$, the dimensionless screened Coulomb interaction is $v_q = |\epsilon|/\sqrt{q^2 + \lambda^2}$, and only the top moiré band is considered. In a mean-field manner, the interaction is expanded as

$$H_{MF}^{H} \approx = \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_{k, k', q} v_q \Lambda^\tau(k + q, k) \Lambda^{\tau'}(k' - q, k') [c_{\tau}^\dagger(k + q)c_{\tau'}(k') + c_{\tau'}^\dagger(k + q)c_{\tau'}(k') - c_{\tau}^\dagger(k + q)c_{\tau'}(k')c_{\tau'}(k'c_{\tau'}(k))] + (c_{\tau}^\dagger(k + q)c_{\tau'}(k')c_{\tau'}(k)).$$ \hspace{1cm} (S48)

To make the Coulomb interaction Hamiltonian more compact, let us define the Hartree and Fock order parameters:

$$\Delta^H_{\tau\tau'}(G) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k'} v_G \Lambda^\tau(k' + G, k') \langle c_{\tau}^\dagger(k')c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle \delta_{\tau\tau'}, \hspace{1cm} (S49)$$

$$\Delta^F_{\tau\tau'}(k, G) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k'} \langle v_{k' - k + G} \Lambda^\tau(k' + G, k) \Lambda^{\tau'}(k - G, k') \rangle \langle c_{\tau}^\dagger(k')c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle \langle c_{\tau'}^\dagger(k) - c_{\tau'}(k) \rangle.$$ \hspace{1cm} (S50)

With these definitions, we rewrite the first three Hartree terms as

$$H_{MF}^H \approx V_0 \sum_k \sum_G \langle \Lambda^H(G) \rangle \Lambda^\tau(k - G, k)c_{\tau}^\dagger(k - G)c_{\tau'}(k) - \frac{NV_0}{2} \sum_G \langle \Lambda^H(G) \rangle \langle \Lambda^H(-G) \rangle v_G.$$ \hspace{1cm} (S51)

Note the Hartree terms at $G = 0$ are still considered to be canceled by some positive charge background. The next three terms are Fock terms:

$$H_{MF}^F \approx -V_0 \sum_k \sum_G \langle \Lambda^F(G) \rangle \langle c_{\tau}^\dagger(k - G)c_{\tau'}(k) \rangle \Lambda^\tau(k - G, k)c_{\tau'}(k)$$

$$+ \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_{k, k', G} v_{k' - k + G} \Lambda^\tau(k' + G, k) \Lambda^{\tau'}(k - G, k') \langle c_{\tau}^\dagger(k')c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle \langle c_{\tau'}^\dagger(k) - c_{\tau'}(k) \rangle.$$ \hspace{1cm} (S52)

Since we always do the calculation in the first Brillouin zone, $c_{\tau}^\dagger(k \pm G)$ needs to be projected back to the first Brillouin zone as mentioned previously. After this projection, we arrive at a mean-field Hamiltonian as

$$H_{MF} \approx \sum_k c_{\tau}^\dagger(k)\langle \xi_{\tau}(k) \rangle + V_0 \sum_G \langle \Lambda^H(G) \rangle \Lambda^\tau(k - G, k)c_{\tau'}(k) - V_0 \sum_k \sum_{G} c_{\tau}^\dagger(k) \langle \Lambda^F(G) \rangle \Lambda^\tau(k - G, k)c_{\tau'}(k)$$

$$- \frac{NV_0}{2} \sum_{G} \langle \Lambda^H(G) \rangle \langle \Lambda^H(-G) \rangle v_G + \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_{k, k', G} v_{k' - k + G} \langle \Lambda'(k' + G, k) \Lambda'(k - G, k') \rangle \Lambda'(k - G, k').$$ \hspace{1cm} (S54)

where

$$\Delta^H_{\tau\tau'}(G) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k'} v_G \Lambda^\tau(k' + G, k') \langle c_{\tau}^\dagger(k')c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle \delta_{\tau\tau'}, \hspace{1cm} (S55)$$

$$\Delta^F_{\tau\tau'}(k, G) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k'} \langle v_{k' - k + G} \Lambda^\tau(k' + G, k) \Lambda^{\tau'}(k - G, k') \rangle \langle c_{\tau}^\dagger(k')c_{\tau'}(k') \rangle \langle c_{\tau'}^\dagger(k) - c_{\tau'}(k) \rangle.$$ \hspace{1cm} (S56)

and the form factor is given by

$$\Lambda^\tau(k \pm G, k) = \sum_{G'} u_{\tau, \tau'}(G' \pm G) u_{\tau, \tau'}(G') \hspace{1cm} (S57)$$

$$\Lambda^\tau(k' \pm G, k) = \sum_{G'} u_{\tau', \tau'}(G' \pm G) u_{\tau', \tau'}(G') \hspace{1cm} (S58)$$
Let us consider the long-wave limit $qL_M \ll 1$ so that only $G = 0$ in the sum needs to be considered. A more general case will be evaluated numerically as we will present later. In the long-wave limit case,

$$H_{MF} \approx \sum_k c_\uparrow^+(k)c_\uparrow(k)c_\downarrow^+(k) - V_0 \sum_k c_\uparrow^+(k)[\Delta^F(k)]^T_{\uparrow\uparrow}c_\downarrow(k) + \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_{k,q} v_q \text{Tr}[\Lambda(k + q, k)\Delta(k + q, k)\Lambda(k, k + q)\Delta(k)],$$

(S59)

where

$$\Delta^F_{\uparrow\uparrow}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_q v_q \Lambda^+(k + q, k)\Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow}(k + q)\Lambda^-(k, k + q).$$

(S60)

For the SVP states, only one valley is occupied. Without loss of generality, we assume the + valley is occupied, which gives the mean-field order parameter: $\Delta(k) = 1/2(1 + \tau_3)$. The total energy of this SVP state is obtained as

$$E_{SVP} = \sum_k [\xi_+(k) - \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_q v_q |\Lambda^+(k + q, k)|^2].$$

(S61)

Let us further consider the IVC states. In this case, the valley is not a good index. In this case, we take a general form of the order parameter:

$$\Delta(k) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \langle c_\uparrow^+(k)c_\uparrow(k) \rangle \langle c_\downarrow^+(k)c_\downarrow(k) \rangle \\
\langle c_\uparrow^+(k)c_\downarrow(k) \rangle \langle c_\downarrow^+(k)c_\uparrow(k) \rangle \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Delta_{++}(k) & \Delta_{+-}(k) \\
\Delta_{-+}(k) & \Delta_{--}(k) \end{array}\right).$$

(S62)

The mean-field Hamiltonian Eq. [S59] becomes

$$H(k) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \xi_+(k) - \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_q v_q |\Lambda^+(k + q, k)|^2\Delta_{++}(k + q) & -\frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_q v_q \Lambda^-(k + q, k)\Delta_{--}\Lambda^+(k, k + q) \\
-\frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_q v_q \Lambda^+(k + q, k)\Delta_{-+}(k + q + k) & \xi_-(k) - \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_q v_q |\Lambda^-(k + q, k)|^2 \Delta_{--}(k + q) \end{array}\right).$$

(S63)

Here, the basis is $(c_+(k), c_-(k))^T$. The last term in Eq. [S59] is a potential energy which will be added later. We can further parameterize $H(k)$ as

$$H(k) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} h_0(k) + h_1(k) & -h_2^*(k) \\
h_1(k) & h_0(k) - h_1(k) \end{array}\right).$$

(S64)

According to Eq. [S39], we can take the following transform to diagonalize $H(k)$:

$$c_+(k) = \cos \frac{\theta_k}{2} e^{-i\frac{\varphi_k}{2}} \gamma_+(k) + \sin \frac{\theta_k}{2} e^{-i\frac{\varphi_k}{2}} \gamma_-(k),$$

$$c_-(k) = -\sin \frac{\theta_k}{2} e^{-i\frac{\varphi_k}{2}} \gamma_+(k) + \cos \frac{\theta_k}{2} e^{-i\frac{\varphi_k}{2}} \gamma_-(k).$$

(S65)

After the unitary transform, we obtain the mean-field Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_k E_{\pm}(k) \gamma_\uparrow^\dagger(k) \gamma_\pm(k).$$

(S66)

The eigenenergies $E_{\pm}(k) = h_0(k) \pm \sqrt{h_1(k)^2 + |h_2(k)|^2}$. In the half-filling, only $E_-(k)$ is occupied. This also means $\langle \gamma_\uparrow^\dagger(k) \gamma_\uparrow(k) \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \gamma_\downarrow^\dagger(k) \gamma_\uparrow(k) \rangle = 0$. The parameters $\theta_k$ and $\varphi_k$ are determined by the following equations

$$\sin \theta_k = \frac{|h_2(k)|}{\sqrt{h_1^2(k) + |h_2(k)|^2}}, \cos \theta_k = \frac{h_1(k)}{\sqrt{h_1^2(k) + |h_2(k)|^2}}, \tan \varphi_k = \frac{\text{Im}(h_2(k))}{\text{Re}(h_2(k))}$$

(S67)

Here, the relations

$$h_0(k) = \frac{1}{2} (\xi_+(k) + \xi_-(k)) - \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_q v_q [\Lambda^+(k + q, k)]^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{k+q}}{2} + |\Lambda^-(k + q, k)|^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta_{k+q}}{2},$$

$$h_1(k) = \frac{1}{2} (\xi_+(k) - \xi_-(k)) - \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_q v_q [\Lambda^+(k + q, k)]^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{k+q}}{2} - |\Lambda^-(k + q, k)|^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta_{k+q}}{2},$$

$$h_2(k) = \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_q v_q \sin \theta_{k+q} \Lambda^+(k + q, k) \Lambda^-(k, k + q) e^{i\varphi_{k+q}}.$$
Note we have replaced $\Delta(k)$ in the Hamiltonian as
\[
\Delta(k) = \left( \frac{\sin^2 \theta_k}{2} - \frac{\sin \theta_k e^{-i\varphi_k}}{2} \right), \tag{S71}
\]
which is obtained by substituting Eq. (S65) in Eq. (S62), and $\theta_k = \pi - \theta_{-k}$, $\varphi_k = \varphi_{-k}$ due to the the constraint of time reversal symmetry $T = \tau_x K$ given in Eq. (S29). It can be seen that the half-filling constraint $\frac{1}{2} \sum_k \text{tr}[\Delta(k)] = 1$ is satisfied. Moreover, there exhibits a gauge degree of freedom: $c_{\pm}(k) \rightarrow c_{\pm}(k)e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{2}}$. Under this gauge transform, $\Lambda^\pm(k + q, k) \rightarrow \Lambda^\pm(k + q, k)e^{\pm i(\varphi_k - \varphi_{k+q})/2}$. This gauge phase will affect the $h_2(k)$, but will not affect the total energy.

If we approximate $\theta_k \approx \theta_{k+q}$ considering $qL_M \ll 1$, the form of $E_{\pm}(k)$ can be simplified with the first equation in Eq. (S67), which is rewritten as
\[
\sqrt{\hbar^2 E_{\pm}(k)} = \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_q v_q \Lambda^+(k + q, k) \Lambda^-(k, k + q) e^{i(\varphi_{k+q} - \varphi_k)}. \tag{S72}
\]
As only the band with energy $E_-(k)$ is filled in, we can obtain the total energy for the IVC states as
\[
E_{IVC} = \sum_k h_0(k) - \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_k \sum_q v_q \Lambda^+(k + q, k) \Lambda^-(k, k + q) e^{i(\varphi_{k+q} - \varphi_k)} + \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_k \sum_q v_q \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_{k+q}}{2}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_k}{2}\right).
\]

The last potential term in Eq. (S59) is added. Using the aforementioned approximation $\theta_k \approx \theta_{k+q}$, we obtain
\[
E_{IVC} = \sum_k \xi_+(k) - \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_k \sum_q \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_k}{2}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_{k+q}}{2}\right) \left[|\Lambda^+(k + q, k)|^2 + |\Lambda^-(k + q, k)|^2\right] \tag{S74}
\]
\[
- \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_k \sum_q v_q \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\theta_k)\right) |\Lambda^+(k + q, k)\Lambda^-(k, k + q) e^{i(\varphi_{k+q} - \varphi_k)}| \tag{S75}
\]

The lowest value of $E_{IVC}$ is obtained as
\[
E_{IVC}^{(0)} = \sum_k \xi_+(k) - \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_k \sum_q \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_k}{2}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_{k+q}}{2}\right) \left[|\Lambda^+(k + q, k)|^2 + |\Lambda^-(k + q, k)|^2\right] \tag{S76}
\]
\[
- \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_k \sum_q v_q \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\theta_k)\right) |\Lambda^+(k + q, k)|^2|\Lambda^-(k, k + q)|. \tag{S77}
\]

Therefore, the smallest energy difference between the SVP state and IVC state is
\[
E_{IVC}^{(0)} - E_{SVP} \approx \frac{V_0}{4N} \sum_{k,q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\theta_k)\right) \left(|\Lambda^+(k + q, k)| - |\Lambda^-(k, k + q)|\right)^2 > 0, \tag{S78}
\]
where $\sum_k \sum_q |\Lambda^+(k + q, k)|^2 = \sum_k \sum_q |\Lambda^-(k + q, k)|^2$ is used. It is found that the SVP states are favorable in this case, which is compatible with the result given in $[52][53]$. Actually, we will present a more general formalism with finite $G$ later and we found the SVP state is still more stable than the IVC state.

IV. DETAILS FOR NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS

A. Diagonalization of the moiré Hamiltonian

The moiré Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_\tau(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2 e^2}{2m}\frac{a^2}{4\pi} + V(r)$ is diagonalized with the plane wave bases $\{|k + G\rangle\}$, where $k$ is defined within the moiré Brillouin zone, $G = mG_2 + nG_3$ are the reciprocal lattice vectors for the moiré pattern, $m, n$ are integers. If this basis, the representation of the Hamiltonian is
\[
\hat{H}_k(G', G) = \langle k + G'|\hat{H}_\tau(r)|k + G\rangle. \tag{S79}
\]
There are four different terms in the moiré Hamiltonian, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(r) = -\hat{p}^2/2m^* - \frac{e}{m} \mathbf{A} \cdot \hat{p} - e^2 \mathbf{A}^2/2m^* + V(r) \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}^2} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A} \cdot \hat{p}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^2} + \mathcal{H}_V$. It is straightforward to obtain

$$\langle k + G' | \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}^2} | k + G \rangle = \frac{-(k + G)^2}{2m^*} \delta_{G,G'},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S80)

$$\langle k + G' | \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A} \cdot \hat{p}} | k + G \rangle = V(G' - G),$$  \hspace{1cm} (S81)

$$\langle k + G' | \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^2} | k + G \rangle = \frac{\tau \gamma}{4i} \mathbf{A}(G' - G) \cdot (k + G),$$  \hspace{1cm} (S82)

$$\langle k + G' | \mathcal{H}_V | k + G \rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^* L_M^2} \Phi_0^2 \mathbf{A}^2(G' - G).$$  \hspace{1cm} (S83)

where the Fourier components $V(G' - G)$ and $\mathbf{A}(G' - G)$ are obtained straightforwardly according to Eq. (1) and Eq. (S12), while $\mathbf{A}^2(G' - G) = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{A}^2(r) + \mathbf{A}^2 \rangle | e^{-iG \cdot r} \rangle$. Specifically, we can obtain

$$\langle k + G' | \mathcal{H}_V | k + G \rangle = V_0e^{i\phi} \sum_{j=1,3,5} \delta_{G',-G,G_j} + V_0e^{-i\phi} \sum_{j=2,4,6} \delta_{G',-G,G_j};$$  \hspace{1cm} (S84)

$$\langle k + G' | \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A} \cdot \hat{p}} | k + G \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{3\tau \gamma}}{4i} (-\delta_{G',-G,G_3} + \delta_{G',-G,G_4} + \delta_{G',-G,G_5} + \delta_{G',-G,G_6})(k + G)_x$$

$$+ \frac{\tau \gamma}{4i} (2\delta_{G',-G,G_1} - 2\delta_{G',-G,G_2} - \delta_{G',-G,G_3} + \delta_{G',-G,G_4} - \delta_{G'-G,G_5} + \delta_{G'-G,G_6})(k + G)_y;$$  \hspace{1cm} (S85)

$$\langle k + G' | \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^2} | k + G \rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^* L_M^2} \Phi_0^2 \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \delta_{G,G'} \right\} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\pm} \left\{ \delta_{G',\pm(G_1+G_2)} + \delta_{G',\pm(G_1-G_2)} - \delta_{G',\pm(G_1+G_3)} - \delta_{G',\pm(G_2-G_3)} \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S86)

$$- \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\pm} \left\{ \delta_{G',\pm(G_1+G_2)} - \delta_{G'-G',\pm(G_1-G_2)} + \delta_{G'-G',\pm(G_1+G_3)} - \delta_{G'-G',\pm(G_2-G_3)} - \delta_{G'-G',\pm(G_1+G_3)} - \delta_{G'-G',\pm(G_1-G_3)} \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S87)

Using above relations, we can obtain the matrix representation of $\tilde{H}_k(G',G)$ with $G = mG_2 + nG_3$. The moiré bands are calculated by diagonalizing $\tilde{H}_k(G',G)$ numerically with a momentum cut-off of $-N \leq m,n \leq N$.

**B. The Chern number of moiré bands**

In the Fig.2 of main text, the Chern numbers of moiré bands were evaluated with various of phase $\phi$ and pseudo-magnetic field strength. To make the calculation more efficient, we used the method proposed in Ref. [66] to evaluate
the Chern number, where the Brillouin zone is discretized. To be convenient, as shown in Fig. S3, we discretized the Brillouin zone formed with reciprocal lattice vector \( \mathbf{G}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{G}_3 \), where the \( \mathbf{k} \) is spanned as discretized \( \mathbf{k} \)-points \( k_{i,j} = \frac{i}{N_t} \mathbf{G}_1 + \frac{j}{N_v} \mathbf{G}_3 \). The Chern number of \( n \)-th band is given by

\[
C = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_v-1} \ln \left| U_n(k_{i,j}, k_{i+1,j}) U_n(k_{i+1,j+1}, k_{i,j}) U_n(k_{i,j+1}, k_{i+1,j+1}) U_n(k_{i+1,j+1}, k_{i,j+1}) \right|, \tag{S88}
\]

where \( U_n(k_{i,j}, k_{i',j'}) = \langle \psi_n(k_{i,j}) | \psi_n(k_{i',j'}) \rangle / \langle \psi_n(k_{i,j}) | \psi_n(k_{i',j'}) \rangle \) and \( \psi_n(k_{i,j}) \) denote the eigen wavefunction obtained by diagonalizing the moiré Hamiltonian at momentum \( k = k_{i,j} \). In the calculation, we took \( N_t = 21 \).

### C. Hartree-Fock mean-field calculations

In the main text, we have presented the numerical results of Hartree-Fock mean-field calculations. In this section, we sketch the essential formalisms and processes to evaluate the energies of the SVP state and IVC states numerically. Here we consider a more general case, where the full mean-field Hamiltonian is given in Eq. (S54). As discussed, the order parameter is \( \Delta(k) = 1/2(1 + \tau_z) \) for the SVP state. The energy for the SVP state can thus be straightforwardly obtained as

\[
E_{SVF} = \sum_k \xi_+(k) + \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_{G} v_G \sum_{k'} \lambda^+(k' + G, k')^2 - \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_{k, k', G} v_{k' - k + G} |\lambda^+(k' + G, k)|^2. \tag{S89}
\]

For the IVC state, by using the IVC order parameter given in Eq. (S71), we can obtain a similar mean-field Hamiltonian \( H(k) \) as Eq. (S64) with

\[
h_0(k) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_+(k) + \xi_-(k)) + \frac{V_0}{2} \sum_G \text{tr}[\Delta^H(G)](\lambda^+(k - G, k) + \lambda^-(k - G, k)) - \frac{V_0}{2} \sum_G (\Delta^F_+ + \Delta^F_-)(G, k), \tag{S90}
\]

\[
h_1(k) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_+(k) - \xi_-(k)) + \frac{V_0}{2} \sum_G \text{tr}[\Delta^H(G)](\lambda^+(k - G, k) - \lambda^-(k - G, k)) - \frac{V_0}{2} \sum_G (\Delta^F_+ - \Delta^F_-)(G, k), \tag{S91}
\]

\[
h_2(k) = -V_0 \sum_G \Delta^F_-(G, k). \tag{S92}
\]

Here, the Hartree order parameter is given by

\[
\Delta^H(G) = \frac{v_G}{N} \sum_{k'} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\lambda^+(k' + G, k') \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{k'}}{2} \\
0 \\
\lambda^-(k' + G, k') \cos^2 \frac{\theta_{k'}}{2}
\end{array} \right)
\]

and Fock order parameter is given by

\[
\Delta^F(G, k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k'} v_{k' - k + G} \left( \begin{array}{c}
|\lambda^+(k' + G, k)|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{k'}}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \lambda^+(k' + G, k) \lambda^- (k - G, k') \sin \theta_{k'} e^{i\varphi_{k'}} \\
\frac{1}{2} \lambda^+(k' + G, k) \lambda^- (k - G, k') \sin \theta_{k'} e^{-i\varphi_{k'}}
\end{array} \right).
\]

The self-consistent equation reads

\[
\cos \theta_k = \frac{h_1(k)}{\sqrt{h_1^2(k) + |h_2(k)|^2}} \quad \tan \varphi_k = \frac{\text{Im}(h_2(k))}{\text{Re}(h_2(k))}. \tag{S93}
\]

This self-consistent equation is solved iteratively. Specifically, we choose discrete \( \mathbf{k} \) points with \( N \times N \) grid in the Brillouin Zone and set some initial values for \( \theta_k \) and \( \varphi_k \) with \( \theta_k = \pi - \theta_{-k} \) and \( \varphi_k = \varphi_{-k} \). In the Fig.3 of main text, we set \( N = 11 \). Then we can evaluate \( \theta_k \) and \( \varphi_k \) with Eq. (S95). This can be done iteratively until the difference \( \sum_k |\theta_k^{m+1} - \theta_k^m| \) is smaller than a critical value such as \( 10^{-3} \), where \( m \) labels the \( m \)-th iterative step.

After adding the potential term back, the total energy for the IVC state is obtained as

\[
E_{IVC} = \sum_k E_+(k) - \frac{NV_0}{2} \sum_G \text{tr}[\Delta^H(G)]|\text{tr}[\Delta^H(-G)]| + \frac{V_0}{2N} \sum_{k, k', G} v_{k' - k + G} |\lambda(k' + G, k)| \Delta(k') \Lambda(k - G, k') \Delta(k). \tag{S96}
\]
Note we need to further subtract a large density-like Hartree term from $\Delta^H(G = 0)$, i.e., $E^H(G = 0) = \frac{NV_0}{2}v_{G=0}$, which does not affect the order but gives a large charge background and should be canceled with positive ion background. In other words, the total energy for the SVP state and IVC state are $\tilde{E}_{\text{SVP}} = E_{\text{SVP}} - E^H(G = 0)$ and $\tilde{E}_{\text{IVC}} = E_{\text{IVC}} - E^H(G = 0)$. The gap of the SVP states are defined as $\Delta_{\text{SVP}} = \min[\tilde{E}_{\text{SVP}}(k)] - \max[\tilde{E}_{\text{SVP}}(k)]$ and $\tilde{E}_{\text{SVP}, \tau}(k)$ represents the mean-field energy dispersion of the SVP state at momentum $k$. 