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#### Abstract

The topic of this paper is a semi-linear, defocusing wave equation $u_{t t}-\Delta u=-|u|^{p-1} u$ in sub-conformal case in the higher dimensional space whose initial data are radical and come with a finite energy. We prove some decay estimates of the the solutions if initial data decay at a certain rate as the spatial variable tends to infinity. A combination of this property with a method of characteristic lines give a scattering result if the initial data satisfy


$$
E_{\kappa}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(|x|^{\kappa}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{0}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{1}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}\left|u_{0}(x)\right|^{p+1}\right) d x<+\infty .
$$

Here $\kappa=\frac{(2-d) p+(d+2)}{p+1}$.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

In this work we consider the defocusing nonlinear wave equation in dimensions $d \geq 3$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t}^{2} u-\Delta u=-|u|^{p-1} u, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}  \tag{CP1}\\
u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} \\
u_{t}(\cdot, 0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The conserved energy is defined by

$$
E\left(u, u_{t}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{t}(x, t)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}|u(x, t)|^{p+1}\right) d x .
$$

Local theory Defocusing nonlinear wave equations

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} u-\Delta u=-|u|^{p-1} u, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

have been extensively studied, especially in the 3 or higher dimensional space. The existence and uniqueness of solutions to semi-linear wave equation like (CP1) follows a combination of suitable Strichartz estimates and a fixed-point argument, Kapitanski [1] and Lindblad-Sogge [2] give more details.

[^0]Conjecture 1.1. Any solution to (CP1) with initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in \dot{H}^{s_{p}} \times \dot{H}^{s_{p}-1}$ must exist for all time $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and scatter in both two time directions.

This is still an open problem. Although there are many related results by different methods.
Scattering results with a priori estimates It has been proved that if a solution $u$ with a maximal lifespan I satisfies an a priori estimate

$$
\sup _{t \in I}\left\|\left(u(\cdot, t), u_{t}(\cdot, t)\right)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{s_{p}} \times \dot{H}^{s_{p}-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}<+\infty
$$

then $u$ is defined for all time $t$ and scatters. In fact, there are many works for different ranges of $d$ and $p$, sometimes with a radial assumption. Different methods were used for different range of $d$ and p. The details can be found in Kenig-Merle 4], Killip-Visan [14] (3 dimension), Killip-Visan 15] (all dimensions) for energy supercritical case and R.Shen [5] , Dodson-Lawrie [6] (3 dimension), Rodriguez [16] (dimension 4 and 5) for energy subcritical case.

Strong Assumption on initial data There are multiple scattering results if we assume that the initial data satisfy stronger regularity and/or decay conditions. These results are usually proved via a suitable global space-time integral estimate. In $d \geq 3$ case, if the initial data ( $u_{0}, u_{1}$ ) satisfy

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}(1+|x|)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{0}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{1}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}\left|u_{0}(x)\right|^{p+1}\right)<+\infty
$$

the conformal conservation law (see Ginibre-Velo [18] and Hidano [19]) leads to the scattering of solutions for $1+4 /(d-1) \leq p<1+4 /(d-2)$. In 3-dimension case, R.Shen [17] proved the scattering result for $3 \leq p \leq 5$ if initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ are radial and satisfy

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|x|^{\kappa}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p+1}\right) d x<+\infty
$$

here $\kappa>\kappa_{0}(p)=\frac{5-p}{p+1}$. In $d=3, p=3$ case, Dodson 10] gives a proof of the conjecture above for (CP1) with radial data.
But most of these results above are in the conformal case or super-conformal case.

### 1.2 Main tools

Next we introduce main tools of this paper. The first tool is still a Morawetz-type estimate, and the second tool is the method of characteristic lines.
Morawetz estimates This kind of estimates were first found by Morawetz [3] for wave/KleinGordon equations. Lin-Strauss [7] then generalized Morawetz estimates to Schröndinger equations. Coliiander-Keel-Staffilani-Takaoka-Tao [8] introduced interaction Morawetz estimates for Schröndinger equations. Nowadays the Morawetz estimate has been one of the most important tools in the study of dispersive equations.

Method of characteristic lines R.Shen [9] generalizes the 3D method to higher dimensions. Let $u$ be a radial solution to (CP1) with a finite energy, reduce the equation to a one-dimensional one by defining $w(r, t)=r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u(r, t)$, and considering the equation that $w$ satisfies

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+\partial_{r}\right)\left(w_{t}-w_{r}\right)=\partial_{t}^{2} w-\partial_{r}^{2} w=-\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4} d r^{\frac{d-5}{2}} u-r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|u|^{p-1} u
$$

This enable us to evaluate the variation of $w_{t} \pm w_{r}$ along characteristic lines $t \mp r=$ Const and obtain plentiful information about the asymptotic behaviour of solutions.

### 1.3 Main Results

Now we give the main results of this work. Throughout this paper we always assume $d \geq 3$ and $p<1+\frac{4}{d-1}$. In this case it is well known that if the initial data come with a finite energy, then the solution exist for all time. Please refer to Ginibre-Velo [21]. Our first result is about the energy distribution of solutions to (CP1).

Theorem 1.1. Assume $d \geq 3,1+\frac{4}{d-1}>p>1+\frac{2}{d-1}$. Let $u$ be a solution to (CP1) with a finite energy. Then
(a) The following limits hold as time tends to infinity

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \int_{|x|<|t|} \frac{|t|-|x|}{|t|} e(x, t) d x=0
$$

(b) The inward/outward part of energy vanishes as time tends positive/negative infinity.

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left|u_{r} \pm u_{t}\right|^{2}+|\nmid u|^{2}+|u|^{p+1}\right) d x=0
$$

(c) Furthermore, if the initial data satisfy $E_{\kappa}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)<+\infty$ for a constant

$$
\kappa \in\left(0, \frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{2}\right), \quad \text { if } 1+\frac{4}{d-1}>p>1+\frac{2}{d-1}
$$

then we have the following decay estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \int_{|x|<|t|} \frac{|t|-|x|}{|t|^{1-\kappa}} e(x, t) d x=0 \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty}|t|^{\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left|u_{r} \pm u_{t}\right|^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{p+1}\right) d x=0
\end{gathered}
$$

As an application of the theory on energy distribution, we also prove the following scattering result.
Theorem 1.2. Assume $d \geq 3,1+\frac{4}{d-1}>p>\frac{3-d+2 \sqrt{d^{2}-d+1}}{d-1}$. Let $u$ be a radial solution to (CP1) with a finite energy and the initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ satisfy $E_{\frac{(2-d) p+(d+2)}{p+1}}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)<+\infty$, then the solution $u$ scatters in both two time directions. More precisely, there exist two radial finite-energy free waves $\tilde{u}^{+}, \tilde{u}^{-}$, so that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty}\left\|\left(\tilde{u}^{ \pm}(\cdot, t)-u(\cdot, t), \tilde{u}_{t}^{ \pm}(\cdot, t)-u_{t}(\cdot, t)\right)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{1} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=0
$$

Here $E_{\frac{(2-d) p+(d+2)}{p+1}}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(|x|^{\frac{(2-d) p+(d+2)}{p+1}}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{0}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{1}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}\left|u_{0}(x)\right|^{p+1}\right) d x$.

### 1.4 The Structure of This Paper

This paper is organized as follows. We first give a few preliminary results in section 2 , In section 3 we give a Morewetz identity and a Morawetz inequality, which is the main tool of this paper. Next in section 4 we prove the energy distribution properties of the solutions. Finally we prove the scattering theory of the solution $u$ under an additional decay assumption in the last section.

## 2 Preliminary Results

Notations In this work we will use the notation $e(x, t)$ for the energy density

$$
e(x, t)=\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{t}(x, t)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}|u(x, t)|^{p+1} .
$$

We use $u_{r}, \nabla u$ for the derivative in the radial direction and the covariant derivative on the sphere centred at the origin, respectively;

$$
u_{r}(x, t)=\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla u(x, t) ; \quad \nabla \overrightarrow{ } u=\nabla u-u_{r} \frac{x}{|x|} ; \quad|\nabla u|^{2}=\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}+|\nmid u|^{2} .
$$

We also define the weighted energy

$$
E_{\kappa}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(|x|^{\kappa}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{0}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{1}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}\left|u_{0}(x)\right|^{p+1}\right) d x
$$

In this work $\sigma_{R}$ represents the regular measure of the sphere $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:|x|=R\right\}$. We also define $c_{d}$ to be the area of the united sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Thus we have the following identities for any radial function $f(x)$

$$
\int_{|x|=r} f(x) d \sigma_{r}(x)=c_{d} r^{d-1} f(r) ; \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) d x=c_{d} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(r) r^{d-1} d r
$$

The notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists a constant $c$, so that the inequality $A \leq c B$ holds. We may also put subscript $(s)$ to indicate that the constant $c$ depends on the given subscript $(s)$ but nothing else. In particular, the symbol $\lesssim_{1}$ is used if $c$ is an absolute constant.

Lemma 2.1. (Pointwise Estimate) Assume $d \geq 3$. all radial $\dot{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ functions $u$ satisfy

$$
|u(r)| \lesssim_{d} r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1}}, \quad r>0
$$

If $u$ also satisfy $u \in L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then its decay is stronger as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.

$$
|u(r)| \lesssim_{d} r^{-\frac{2(d-1)}{p+3}}\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1}}^{\frac{2}{p+3}}\|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{\frac{p+1}{p+3}}, \quad r>0
$$

This lemma has been known for many years, more details can be found, for example, in C.E.Kenig and F.Merle [4] for $d=3$ and R.Shen [9 for $d \geq 3$.

Lemma 2.2. (Radiation Field) Assume that $d \geq 3$ and let $u$ be a solution to the free wave equation $\partial_{t}^{2} u-\Delta u=0$ with initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H^{1} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2}-\left|u_{r}(x, t)\right|^{2}+\frac{|u(x, t)|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) d x=0
$$

and there exists a unique function $G_{+} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\left|r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \partial_{t} u(r \theta, t)-G_{+}(r-t, \theta)\right|^{2} d \theta d r=0 \\
& \lim _{\iota \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\left|r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \partial_{r} u(r \theta, t)+G_{+}(r-t, \theta)\right|^{2} d \theta d r=0
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) & \rightarrow \sqrt{2} G_{+} \\
\dot{H}^{1} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) & \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a bijective isometry.
This result was known many years ago, please see Friedlander [11, 12]. Duyckaerts-Kenig-Merle [13] gives a proof for all dimensions $d \geq 3$.

## 3 Morawetz identity and Morewetz inequality

### 3.1 Morawetz identity

Proposition 3.1. (Morawetz identity) Let $u$ be a solution to (CP1) with a finite energy E. Then the following identity holds for any $R>0$ and time $t_{1}<t_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 R} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{|x|<R}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right) d x d t+\frac{d-1}{4 R^{2}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{|x|=R}|u|^{2} d \sigma_{R}(x) d t \\
+ & \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{|x|>R}\left(\frac{\left.|\nabla| u\right|^{2}}{|x|}+\frac{(d-1)(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \cdot \frac{|u|^{p+1}}{|x|}+\frac{(d-3)(d-1)}{4} \cdot \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{3}}\right) d x d t \\
+ & \left.\sum_{i=1,2} \int_{|x|<R}\left(\frac{R^{2}-|x|^{2}}{2 R^{2}}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{|x|}{R} u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2 R}+(-1)^{i} u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left(d^{2}-1\right)|u|^{2}}{8 R^{2}}+\frac{\mid \nabla \overrightarrow{\left.\right|^{2}}}{2}+\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right)\right|_{t=t_{i}} d x \\
+ & \left.\sum_{i=1,2} \int_{|x|>R}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{r}+\frac{d-1}{2} \frac{u}{|x|}+(-1)^{i} u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}+\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}+\frac{(d-1)(d-3)\left|u\left(x, t_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}{8|x|^{2}}\right)\right|_{t=t_{i}} d x=2 E .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. we follow a similar argument to the given by Perthame and Vega in the final section of their work [20]. Let us first consider solutions with compact support. Given a positive constant $R$, we define two radical function $\Psi$ and $\varphi$ by

$$
\nabla \Psi=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x, & \text { if }|x| \leq R ; \\
R x /|x|, & \text { if }|x| \geq R ;
\end{array} \quad \varphi= \begin{cases}1 / 2, & \text { if }|x| \leq R ; \\
0, & \text { if }|x|>R .\end{cases}\right.
$$

Since $u$ is defined for all time $t$, we may also define a function on $R$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u_{t}(x, t)\left(\nabla u(x, t) \cdot \nabla \Psi+u(x, t)\left(\frac{\Delta \Psi}{2}-\varphi\right)\right) d x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may differentiate $\mathcal{E}$, utilize the equation

$$
u_{t t}-\Delta u=-|u|^{p-1} u
$$

apply integration by parts and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
-\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(t)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} u_{i} \Psi_{i j} u_{j}-\varphi|\nabla u|^{2}+\varphi\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}\right) d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \nabla\left(|u|^{2}\right) \cdot \nabla(\Delta \Psi-2 \varphi) d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|u|^{p+1}\left(\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \Delta \Psi-\varphi\right) d x  \tag{2}\\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{i j}, & \text { if }|x|<R ; \\
\frac{R \delta_{i j}}{|x|}-\frac{R x_{i} x_{j}}{|x|^{3}}, & \text { if }|x|>R ;
\end{array} \quad \Delta \Psi= \begin{cases}d, \\
R(d-1) /|x|, & \text { if }|x|<R \\
\text { if }|x|>R\end{cases} \right. \\
& \Delta \Psi-2 \varphi=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
d-1, & \text { if }|x| \leq R ; \\
R(d-1) /|x|, & \text { if }|x| \geq R ;
\end{array} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

When $|x|>R$, we may calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} u_{i} \Psi_{i j} u_{j}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} u_{i}\left(\frac{R \delta_{i j}}{|x|}-\frac{R x_{i} x_{j}}{|x|^{3}}\right) u_{j}=\frac{R}{|x|}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{R|\nabla u \cdot x|^{2}}{|x|^{3}}=\frac{R}{|x|}|\not \nabla u|^{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{|x|<R}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}\right) d x+R \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{|x|} d x . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term in the equality above, a basic computation shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{2(p+1)} \int_{|x|<R}|u|^{p+1} d x+\frac{(p-1)(d-1) R}{2(p+1)} \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u|^{p+1}}{|x|} d x . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us calculate the left hand carefully

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2} & =\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \nabla\left(|u|^{2}\right) \cdot \nabla(\Delta \Psi-2 \varphi) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \int_{|x|>R} \nabla\left(|u|^{2}\right) \cdot \frac{-R(d-1) x}{|x|^{3}} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \int_{|x|>R}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|u|^{2} \cdot \frac{-R(d-1) x}{|x|^{3}}\right)+(d-3)(d-1) \frac{R}{|x|^{3}}|u|^{2}\right] d x  \tag{6}\\
& =\frac{d-1}{4 R} \int_{|x|=R}|u|^{2} d \sigma_{R}(x)+\frac{(d-3)(d-1)}{4} \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{3}} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $-\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(t)=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}\right) d t=\mathcal{E}\left(t_{1}\right)-\mathcal{E}\left(t_{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite in the form of

$$
\begin{align*}
R \mathcal{E}\left(t_{1}\right)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R u_{t}(x, t)\left(\nabla u(x, t) \cdot \nabla \Psi+u(x, t)\left(\frac{\Delta \Psi}{2}-\varphi\right)\right) d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(R^{2}\left|u_{t}\left(x, t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla u\left(x, t_{1}\right) \cdot \nabla \Psi+u\left(x, t_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta \Psi}{2}-\varphi\right)\right|^{2}\right) d x  \tag{8}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nabla u\left(x, t_{1}\right) \cdot \nabla \Psi+u\left(x, t_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta \Psi}{2}-\varphi\right)-R u_{t}\left(x, t_{1}\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
= & J_{1}-J_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we calculate $J_{1}, J_{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(R^{2}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+|\nabla u \cdot \nabla \Psi|^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta \Psi}{2}-\varphi\right) \nabla\left(|u|^{2}\right) \cdot \nabla \Psi+\left(\frac{\Delta \Psi}{2}-\varphi\right)^{2}|u|^{2}\right) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left[R^{2}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+|\nabla u \cdot \nabla \Psi|^{2}-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\frac{\Delta \Psi}{2}-\varphi\right) \nabla \Psi\right)|u|^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta \Psi}{2}-\varphi\right)^{2}|u|^{2}\right] d x . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

A basic calculation shows

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\frac{\Delta \Psi}{2}-\varphi\right) \nabla \Psi\right)= \begin{cases}d(d-1) / 2, & \text { if }|x|<R ;  \tag{10}\\ \frac{(d-1)(d-2) R^{2}}{2|x|^{2}}, & \text { if }|x|>R .\end{cases}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1}= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x|<R}\left[R^{2}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+|x \cdot \nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1-d^{2}}{4}|u|^{2}\right] d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{|x|>R}\left[R^{2}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+R^{2}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}+\frac{R^{2}(d-1)(3-d)|u|^{2}}{4|x|^{2}}\right] d x \\
= & R^{2} E-R^{2} \int_{|x|<R}\left[\frac{R^{2}-|x|^{2}}{2 R^{2}}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}+\frac{d^{2}-1}{8 R^{2}}|u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \| u|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right] d x  \tag{11}\\
& +\frac{(d-1)(3-d) R^{2}}{8} \int_{|x|>R} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x-R^{2} \int_{|x|>R}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

In addition we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{|x|<R}\left|x \cdot \nabla u+\frac{d-1}{2} u-R u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{R^{2}}{2} \int_{|x|>R}\left|\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla u+\frac{d-1}{2} \frac{u}{|x|}-u_{t}\right|^{2} d x \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining $J_{1}, J_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R \mathcal{E}\left(t_{1}\right)= & R^{2} E-R^{2} \int_{|x|>R}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{r}+\frac{d-1}{2} \frac{u}{|x|}-u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{|\nabla \overrightarrow{ }|^{2}}{2}+\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}+\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{8} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) d x \\
& -R^{2} \int_{|x|<R}\left[\frac{R^{2}-|x|^{2}}{2 R^{2}}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{|x|}{R} u_{r}+\frac{d-1}{2 R} u-u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{|\not \nabla u|^{2}}{2}+\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}+\frac{\left(d^{2}-1\right)|u|^{2}}{8 R^{2}}\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we find a similar expression of $-R \mathcal{E}\left(t_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-R \mathcal{E}\left(t_{2}\right)= & R^{2} E-R^{2} \int_{|x|>R}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2|x|}+u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{|\nabla \mathrm{\nabla u}|^{2}}{2}+\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}+\frac{(d-1)(d-3)|u|^{2}}{8|x|^{2}}\right) d x \\
& -R^{2} \int_{|x|<R}\left[\frac{R^{2}-|x|^{2}}{2 R^{2}}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{|x|}{R} u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2 R}+u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{|\nabla \overline{ }|^{2}}{2}+\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}+\frac{\left(d^{2}-1\right)|u|^{2}}{8 R^{2}}\right] d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then plug all the expressions of $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ and $-R \mathcal{E}\left(t_{2}\right),-R \mathcal{E}\left(t_{2}\right)$ in to the integral identity to finish the proof if $u$ is compactly supported. In order to deal with the general solution $u$, we fix a smooth radial cut-off function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ so that

$$
\phi(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }|x| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text { if }|x|>2\end{cases}
$$

define initial data $\left(u_{0, R^{\prime}}(x), u_{1, R^{\prime}}(x)\right)=\phi\left(x / R^{\prime}\right)\left(u\left(x, t_{1}\right), u_{t}\left(x, t_{1}\right)\right)$ and consider the corresponding solution $u_{R^{\prime}}$ to (CP1). The argument above shows that $u_{R^{\prime}}$ satisfies Morawetz identity. We observe

1) The identity $u_{R \prime}(x, t)=u(x, t)$ holds if $|x|<R^{\prime}+t_{1}-t$ by finite speed of propagation;
2) $E\left(u_{0, R^{\prime}}, u_{1, R^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow E$ as $R^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$.
3) The energies of $u_{R^{\prime}}$ and $u$ in the region where $u_{R^{\prime}} \neq u$ both converge to zero as $R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty$ by finite speed of propagation and energy conservation law.
These facts enable us to take the limit $R^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty$ and prove Morawetz identity for general solutions $u$.

### 3.2 Morawetz inequalities

A combination of Morawetz identity and finite speed of propagation gives a few useful inequalities, which is the main tool of this paper. The key observation here is that if $R$ is large, the first term in the Morawetz identity is almost $2 E$ when $t_{1} \leq-R$ and $t_{2} \leq R$, thus all other terms must be small.

Corollary 3.2. Let $u$ be a solution to (CP1) with initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(\dot{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right) \times$ $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Given any $R>0, r \geq 0$ we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{6} M_{j} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \{|x| / R, 1\}\left(\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{p+1}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p+1}\right) d x
$$

The notations $M_{j}$ are defined by
$M_{1}=\frac{1}{2 R} \int_{R<|t|<R+r} \int_{|x|<R}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right) d x d t ;$
$M_{2}=\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-4}{2(p+1) R} \int_{-R}^{R} \int_{|x|<R}|u|^{p+1} d x d t ;$
$M_{3}=\frac{d-1}{4 R^{2}} \int_{-R-r}^{R+r} \int_{|x|=R}|u|^{2} d \sigma_{R}(x) d t ;$
$M_{4}=\int_{-R-r}^{R+r} \int_{|x|>R}\left(\frac{\mid \overline{\left.\nabla u\right|^{2}}}{|x|}+\frac{(d-1)(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \frac{|u|^{p+1}}{|x|}+\frac{(d-3)(d-1)}{4} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{3}}\right) d x d t ;$
$M_{5}=\left.\sum_{ \pm} \int_{|x|<R}\left(\frac{R^{2}-|x|^{2}}{2 R^{2}}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{|x|}{R} u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2 R} \pm u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left(d^{2}-1\right)|u|^{2}}{8 R^{2}}+\frac{\left||\nabla u|^{2}\right.}{2}+\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right)\right|_{t= \pm(R+r)} d x$;
$M_{6}=\left.\sum_{ \pm} \int_{|x|>R}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2|x|} \pm u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{|\nabla \| u|^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}+\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{8} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)\right|_{t= \pm(R+r)} d x$.
Proof. We first choose $t_{1}=-R-r, t_{2}=R+r$ in the Morawetz identity, the first term above can be written as a sum of three terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 R} \int_{-R-r}^{R+r} \int_{|x|<R}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right) d x \\
= & M_{1}+\frac{1}{2 R} \int_{-R}^{R} \int_{|x|<R}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right) d x \\
= & M_{1}+M_{2}+\frac{1}{2 R} \int_{-R}^{R} \int_{|x|<R}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 R} \int_{-R}^{R} \int_{|x|<R}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right) d x d t+\sum_{j=1}^{6} M_{j}=2 E \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove the first inequality we only need to show

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =2 E-\frac{1}{2 R} \int_{-R}^{R} \int_{|x|<R}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right) d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \{|x| / R, 1\}\left(\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{p+1}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p+1}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

This follows energy conservation law and finite speed of propagation of energy

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\frac{1}{R} \int_{-R}^{R} \int_{|x|>R}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right) d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{R} \int_{-R}^{R} \int_{|x|>R-|t|}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p+1}\right) d x d t \\
& =\frac{1}{R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \{|x|, R\}\left(\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{p+1}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p+1}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Energy Distribution

In this section we prove Theorem1.1. It suffices to consider the positive time direction $t>0$, since the wave equation is time-reversible.
We choose $R=t, r=0$ in corollary 3.3. The following inequalities hold for large time $t>0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{ \pm} \int_{|x|<t}\left(\frac{t^{2}-|x|^{2}}{2 t^{2}}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{|x|}{t} u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2 t} \pm u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left(d^{2}-1\right)|u|^{2}}{8 t^{2}}+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}+\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right) d x \\
& +\sum_{ \pm} \int_{|x|>t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2|x|} \pm u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{|\nabla x u|^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}+\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{8} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) d x \\
\leq & \frac{4-(d-1)(p-1)}{2(p+1) t} \int_{-t}^{t} \int_{|x|<t}\left|u\left(x, t^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p+1} d x d t^{\prime}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \{|x| / R, 1\}\left(\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{p+1}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p+1}\right) d x . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that

$$
|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} \lesssim_{1}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{|x|}{t} u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2 t}+u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{d^{2}-1}{8} \frac{|u|^{2}}{t^{2}}+|\not \forall u|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|u_{r}+u_{t}\right|^{2} \lesssim 1 \frac{(t-|x|)^{2}}{t^{2}}\left|u_{r}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{|x|}{t} u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2 t}+u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{d^{2}-1}{8} \frac{|u|^{2}}{t^{2}}, & \text { if }|x|<t \\
\left|u_{r}+u_{t}\right|^{2} \lesssim_{1}\left|u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2|x|}+u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{8} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}, & \text { if }|x|>t .
\end{array}
$$

Then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(|u(x, t)|^{p+1}+|u(x,-t)|^{p+1}\right) d x+c_{1} \sum_{ \pm} \int_{|x|<t} \frac{t-|x|}{t}\left(|\nabla u(x, \pm t)|^{2}+\left|u_{t}(x, \pm t)\right|^{2}\right) d x \\
& \quad+c_{2} \sum_{ \pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left|\left(u_{r} \pm u_{t}\right)(x, \pm t)\right|^{2}+|\not \nabla u(x, \pm t)|^{2}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{4-(d-1)(p-1)}{2(p+1) t} \int_{-t}^{t} \int_{|x|<t}\left|u\left(x, t^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p+1} d x d t^{\prime}+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \{|x| / t, 1\} e(x, 0) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ are absolute constants. For convenience we introduce the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(t)= & \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(|u(x, t)|^{p+1}+|u(x,-t)|^{p+1}\right) d x+c_{1} \sum_{ \pm} \int_{|x|<t} \frac{t-|x|}{t}\left(|\nabla u(x, \pm t)|^{2}+\left|u_{t}(x, \pm t)\right|^{2}\right) d x \\
& +c_{2} \sum_{ \pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left|\left(u_{r} \pm u_{t}\right)(x, \pm t)\right|^{2}+|\nabla \psi u(x, \pm t)|^{2}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the inequality above implies that $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{t})$ satisfies the recurrence formula

$$
Q(t) \leq \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_{0}^{t} Q\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \{|x| / t, 1\} e(x, 0) d x
$$

Here

$$
0<\lambda=\frac{4-(d-1)(p-1)}{2}<1
$$

Proof of part (a)(b) We may rewrite the recurrence formula as

$$
Q(t) \leq \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_{0}^{t} Q\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}+o(1)
$$

We may take upper limits of both sides and obtain an inequality

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} Q(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_{0}^{t} Q\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime} \leq \lambda \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} Q(t)
$$

We recall the fact $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and observe that $Q(t) \lesssim E$ is uniformly bounded, therefore we have

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} Q(t)=0
$$

This verifies (a)(b).
Proof of part (c) Now we assume that initial data satisfy additional decay assumption. We start by multiplying both sides by $t^{k-1}$ and integrate from $t=1$ to $t=T$, utilize our assumption on initial data, then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{1}^{T} t^{\kappa-1} Q(t) d t & \leq \int_{1}^{T} t^{\kappa-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{t} \int_{0}^{t} Q\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}\right) d t+C_{\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \left\{|x|,|x|^{\kappa}\right\} e(x, 0) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\kappa} \int_{0}^{T} \min \left\{\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{\kappa-1}, 1\right\} Q\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}+C_{\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \left\{|x|,|x|^{\kappa}\right\} e(x, 0) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\kappa} \int_{1}^{T}\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{\kappa-1} Q\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}+C_{\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \left\{|x|,|x|^{\kappa}\right\} e(x, 0) d x+C_{k} E .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\frac{\lambda}{1-\kappa}=\frac{4-(d-1)(p-1)}{2(1-\kappa)}<1,
$$

since we have assumed $\kappa<\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{2}$. Therefore we have

$$
\int_{1}^{T} t^{\kappa-1} Q(t) d t \lesssim_{p, \kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \left\{|x|,|x|^{\kappa}\right\} e(x, 0) d x+E .
$$

Because neither the right hand side nor the implicit constant here depends on $T$, we make $T \rightarrow+\infty$ to conclude

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{\kappa-1} Q(t) d t<+\infty
$$

Combining this with the fact $Q(t) \lesssim E$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\kappa-1} Q(t) d t<+\infty
$$

We may multiply both sides of the recurrence formula by $t^{\kappa}$ :

$$
t^{\kappa} Q(t) \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{t} t^{\kappa-1} Q\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \left\{|x| / t^{\kappa-1}, t^{\kappa}\right\} e(x, 0) d x
$$

Finally we apply dominated convergence theorem to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 4.1. When $d>3$, we have

$$
\left|u_{r}+u_{t}\right|^{2} \lesssim_{1}\left|u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2|x|}+u_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{8} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}, \quad \text { if }|x|>t
$$

But the term $\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{8} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}=0$ when $d=3$, so the inequality above does not hold. We can redefine

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(t)= & \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(|u(x, t)|^{p+1}+|u(x,-t)|^{p+1}\right) d x+c_{1} \sum_{ \pm} \int_{|x|<t} \frac{t-|x|}{t}\left(|\nabla u(x, \pm t)|^{2}+\left|u_{t}(x, \pm t)\right|^{2}\right) d x \\
& +c_{2} \sum_{ \pm} \int_{|x|<t}\left(\left|\left(u_{r} \pm u_{t}\right)(x, \pm t)\right|^{2}+|\nabla \| u(x, \pm t)|^{2}\right) d x \\
& +c_{2} \sum_{ \pm} \int_{|x|>t}\left(\left|u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2|x|} \pm u_{t}\right|^{2}+|\nabla \nabla u(x, \pm t)|^{2}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} Q(t)=0
$$

and

$$
t^{\kappa} Q(t) \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{t} t^{\kappa-1} Q\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min \left\{|x| / t^{\kappa-1}, t^{\kappa}\right\} e(x, 0) d x
$$

Because

$$
\left|u_{r}+u_{t}\right|^{2} \lesssim_{1}\left|u_{r}+\frac{(d-1) u}{2|x|}+u_{t}\right|^{2}+c \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}, \quad \quad \text { if }|x|>t
$$

We can finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 by an estimate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x|>t} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x & \lesssim\left(\int_{|x|>t}\left(|u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}\left(\int_{|x|>t}\left(|x|^{-2}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} \\
& \lesssim p t^{\frac{-2 k}{p+1}+\frac{p-5}{p+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $d=3$, we have $p \in(2,3)$ and the inequality

$$
t^{\frac{-2 \kappa}{p+1}+\frac{p-5}{p+1}} \ll t^{-\kappa}
$$

thus

$$
\int_{|x|>t} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \ll t^{-\kappa}
$$

## 5 Scattering Theory

### 5.1 Transformation to 1D

In order to take full advantage of our radial assumption, we use the following transformation: if $u$ is a radial solution to (CP1), then $w(r, t)=r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u(r, t)$, where $|x|=r$, is a solution to one-dimensional wave equation

$$
\left(\partial_{t}+\partial_{r}\right)\left(w_{t}-w_{r}\right)=\partial_{t}^{2} w-\partial_{r}^{2} w=-\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4} r^{\frac{d-5}{2}} u-r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|u|^{p-1} u
$$

We define

$$
v_{+}(r, t)=w_{t}(r, t)-w_{r}(r, t) ; \quad v_{-}(r, t)=w_{t}(r, t)+w_{r}(r, t)
$$

A simple calculation shows that $v_{ \pm}$satisfy the equation

$$
\left(\partial_{t} \pm \partial_{r}\right) v_{ \pm}(r, t)=\partial_{t}^{2} w-\partial_{r}^{2} w=-\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4} r^{\frac{d-5}{2}} u-r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|u|^{p-1} u
$$

This gives variation of $v_{ \pm}$along characteristic lines $t \pm r=$ Const.

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{+}\left(t_{2}-\eta, t_{2}\right)-v_{+}\left(t_{1}-\eta, t_{1}\right)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} f(t-\eta, t) d t, \quad t_{2}>t_{1}>\eta  \tag{15}\\
& v_{-}\left(s-t_{2}, t_{2}\right)-v_{-}\left(s-t_{1}, t_{1}\right)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} f(s-t, t) d t, \quad t_{1}<t_{2}<s \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the function $f(r, t)$ is defined by

$$
f(r, t)=-\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4} r^{\frac{d-5}{2}} u(r, t)-r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|u|^{p-1} u(r, t)
$$

Then we give the upper bounds of the integral above. According to Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(t-\eta)^{\frac{d-5}{2}}|u(t-\eta, t)| d t \\
\leq & \left\{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left[(t-\eta)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}|u(t-\eta, t)|\right]^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left[(t-\eta)^{-1}\right]^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
\leq & \left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty}(t-\eta)^{d-3}|u(t-\eta, t)|^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2}\left(t_{1}-\eta\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
\lesssim & E^{1 / 2}\left(t_{1}-\eta\right)^{-1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(t-\eta)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|u(t-\eta, t)|^{p} d t \\
\leq & \left\{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left[(t-\eta)^{\frac{(d-1) p}{p+1}}|u(t-\eta, t)|^{p}\right]^{\frac{p+1}{p}} d t\right\}^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left\{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left[(t-\eta)^{-\frac{(d-1)(p-1)}{2(p+1)}}\right]^{p+1} d t\right\}^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \\
\leq & \left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty}(t-\eta)^{d-1}|u(t-\eta, t)|^{p+1} d t\right\}^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left\{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(t-\eta)^{-\frac{(d-1)(p-1)}{2}} d t\right\}^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \\
\lesssim & d E^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(t_{1}-\eta\right)^{-\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{2(p+1)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

we combine these estimates above with (15) and (16) to obtain
Lemma 5.1. Let $u$ be a radial solution wave equation with a finite energy $E$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|v_{+}\left(t_{2}-\eta, t_{2}\right)-v_{+}\left(t_{1}-\eta, t_{1}\right)\right| \lesssim{ }_{d} E^{1 / 2}\left(t_{1}-\eta\right)^{-1 / 2}+E^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(t_{1}-\eta\right)^{-\beta(d, p) / 2} \\
& \left|v_{-}\left(s-t_{2}, t_{2}\right)-v_{-}\left(s-t_{1}, t_{1}\right)\right| \lesssim_{d} E^{1 / 2}\left(s-t_{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}+E^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(s-t_{2}\right)^{-\beta(d, p) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\beta(d, p)=\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{p+1}$.

### 5.2 Scattering by energy decay

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Let us recall the lemma 5.1, we obtain there exists a function $g_{+}(\eta) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\left\|g_{+}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq E / c_{d}$, so that

$$
v_{+}(t-\eta, t) \rightarrow 2 g_{+}(\eta) \quad \text { in } L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty
$$

The asymptotic behaviour of $v_{-}$is similar as $t \rightarrow-\infty$

$$
v_{-}(s-t, t) \rightarrow 2 g_{-}(s) \quad \text { in } L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow-\infty,
$$

let $t_{2} \rightarrow+\infty$ in the first inequality of lemma 5.1 , we have

$$
\left|2 g_{+}(\eta)-v_{+}(t-\eta, t)\right| \lesssim_{d, p, E}(t-\eta)^{-\beta(d, p) / 2}, \quad \eta<t-1 .
$$

We apply a change of variable $r=t-\eta$ and rewrite this in the form

$$
\left|v_{+}(r, t)-2 g_{+}(t-r)\right| \lesssim d, p, E r^{-\beta(d, p) / 2}, \quad r>1 .
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\left|v_{-}(r, t)-2 g_{-}(t+r)\right| \lesssim_{d, p, E} r^{-\beta(d, p) / 2}, \quad r>1 .
$$

These gives the following upper limits

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{\beta(d, p)}}^{t+R}\left(\left|v_{+}(r, t)-2 g_{+}(t-r)\right|^{2}+\left|v_{-}(r, t)-2 g_{-}(t+r)\right|^{2}\right) d r \lesssim_{d, p, E} c . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We ignore $g_{-}(t+r)$ in the upper limits above because

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|g_{-}(t+r)\right|^{2} d r=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{t}^{\infty}\left|g_{-}(s)\right|^{2} d s=0
$$

We recall $v_{ \pm}=w_{t} \mp w_{r}$ and rewrite the upper limits above in term of $w$

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{t-c t^{\beta(d, p)}}^{t+R}\left(\left|w_{r}(r, t)+g_{+}(t-r)\right|^{2}+\left|w_{t}(r, t)-g_{+}(t-r)\right|^{2}\right) d r \lesssim_{d, p, E} c .
$$

Next we utilize the identities $r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_{r}=w_{r}-(d-1) r^{\frac{d-3}{2}} u / 2, r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_{t}=w_{t}$ and a direct consequence of the pointwise estimate $|u(r, t)| \lesssim_{d, E} r^{-\frac{2(d-1)}{p+3}}$ ( lemma 2.1) to conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{\beta(d, p)}}^{t+R}\left(\left|r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_{r}(r, t)+g_{+}(t-r)\right|^{2}+\left|r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u_{t}(r, t)-g_{+}(t-r)\right|^{2}\right) d r \lesssim_{d, p, E} c . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By lemma 1.2 (radiation fields), there exists a radial free wave $\tilde{u}^{+}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\left|r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \tilde{u}_{r}^{+}(r, t)+g_{+}(t-r)\right|^{2}+\left|r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \tilde{u}_{t}^{+}(r, t)-g_{+}(t-r)\right|^{2}\right) d r=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{\beta(d, p)}}^{t+R} r^{d-1}\left(\left|u_{t}(r, t)-\tilde{u}_{t}^{+}(r, t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{r}(r, t)-\tilde{u}_{r}^{+}(r, t)\right|^{2}\right) d r \lesssim_{d, p, E} c . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finite speed of propagation of energy implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t+R}^{\infty} r^{d-1}\left(\left|u_{t}(r, t)-\tilde{u}_{t}^{+}(r, t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{r}(r, t)-\tilde{u}_{r}^{+}(r, t)\right|^{2}\right) d r=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We combine (20) with (21) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{t-c \cdot t^{\beta(d, p)}}^{+\infty} r^{d-1}\left(\left|u_{t}(r, t)-\tilde{u}_{t}^{+}(r, t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{r}(r, t)-\tilde{u}_{r}^{+}(r, t)\right|^{2}\right) d r \lesssim_{d, p, E} c . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we consider the region $\left\{x:|x|<t-c \cdot t^{\beta(p)}\right\}$. We utilize the conclusion of Theorem 1.1.(c), and obtain

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{|x|<t-c \cdot t^{\beta(d, p)}} e(x, t) d x \lesssim c \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{\frac{(2-d) p+(d+2)}{p+1}} \int_{|x|<t} \frac{t-|x|}{t} e(x, t) d x=0 .
$$

Please note that in the sub-conformal range our assumption $p>\frac{3-d+2 \sqrt{d^{2}-d+1}}{d-1}$ guarantees that $\frac{(2-d) p+(d+2)}{p+1}<\frac{(d-1)(p-1)-2}{2}$.
We also have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{|x|<t-c t^{\beta(p)}}\left(\left|\nabla \tilde{u}^{+}(x, t)\right|^{2}+\left|\tilde{u}_{t}^{+}(x, t)\right|^{2}\right) d x=0
$$

Combining these two limits we obtain

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{|x|<t-c t^{\beta(d, p)}}\left(\left|\nabla \tilde{u}^{ \pm}(x, t)-\nabla u(x, t)\right|^{2}+\left|\tilde{u}_{t}^{ \pm}(x, t)-u_{t}(x, t)\right|^{2}\right) d x=0
$$

We combine this with stronger exterior scattering to conclude

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left|\nabla \tilde{u}^{ \pm}(x, t)-\nabla u(x, t)\right|^{2}+\left|\tilde{u}_{t}^{ \pm}(x, t)-u_{t}(x, t)\right|^{2}\right) d x \lesssim_{d, p, E} c
$$

We make $c \rightarrow 0^{+}$and finish the proof.
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