The mbsts package: Multivariate Bayesian Structural Time Series Models in R

by Ning Ning and Jinwen Qiu

Abstract The multivariate Bayesian structural time series (MBSTS) model (Qiu et al., 2018) is a general machine learning model that deals with inference and prediction for multiple correlated time series, where one also has the choice of using a different candidate pool of contemporaneous predictors for each target series. The MBSTS model has wide applications and is ideal for feature selection, time series forecasting, nowcasting, inferring causal impact, and others. This paper demonstrates how to use the R package **mbsts** for MBSTS modeling, establishing a bridge between user-friendly and developer-friendly functions in the package and the corresponding methodology. Object-oriented functions in the package are explained in the way that enables users to flexibly add or deduct some components, as well as to simplify or complicate some settings.

arXiv:2106.14045v3 [stat.ME] 6 Feb 2023

Introduction

Structural time series models are state space models for time series data. They are constructed in terms of components each of which has a direct interpretation. For example, one may consider a decomposition in which a series can be seen as the sum of trend and regression components. The multivariate Bayesian structural time series (MBSTS) model (Qiu et al., 2018) is a generalized version of many structural time series models and is constructed as the sum of a trend component, a seasonal component, a cycle component, a regression component, and an error term, where each component provides an independent and additional effect. Users have flexibility in choosing these components and are free to construct their specific forms, for example adding on a regression component with predictors generated through data mining as that in (Jammalamadaka et al., 2019). The MBSTS model uses the Bayes selection technique via Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods to select among a set of contemporary predictors, thus one does not need to commit to a fixed set of predictors. Specifically, the variable selection technique uses a "spike and slab" approach, through which a different set of predictors can be selected in each MCMC iteration. Then important predictors will be selected according to their overall frequency of numbers being selected over the total number of MCMC iterations. The multivariate structure and the Bayesian framework allow the model to take advantage of the association structure among target series.

The MBSTS model and its univariate counterpart, the BSTS model (Scott and Varian, 2014, 2015), have wide applications in causal inference (see, e.g., Brodersen et al. (2015)), heath care (see, e.g., Kurz et al. (2019)), spatial analysis (see, e.g., Qiu et al. (2019)), artificial intelligence (see, e.g., Jammalamadaka et al. (2019)), cryptocurrency (see, e.g., Jalan et al. (2019)), medicine (see, e.g., Talaei-Khoei et al. (2019)), airline industry (see, e.g., Talaei-Khoei et al. (2019)), environmental science (see, e.g., Droste et al. (2018)), renewable energy (see, e.g., Jiang et al. (2013)), political analysis (see, e.g., Xu (2017)), social media (see, e.g., Welbers and Opgenhaffen (2018)), and etc. Upon demands from users of the BSTS/MBSTS model in understanding the methodology and establishing a user-friendly interface with sufficient flexibility, the R package **mbsts** (Qiu and Ning, 2023) is developed, which is available on CRAN at https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mbsts/. Our contributions are four-fold:

1. **Functional contribution.** The **mbsts** package is the only package that implements the MBSTS model (Qiu et al., 2018). Therefore, it is one that uses Bayesian tools for model fitting, prediction, and feature selection on multivariate correlated time series data, where different contemporaneous predictors could be selected for different target series. The **bsts** package (Scott, 2021) implements the BSTS model which only works on one-dimensional time series data.

2. **User-friendly interface.** The **mbsts** package is developed with the purpose to give users a nice and easy experience. Users of the **mbsts** package can conduct model training using the `mbsts_function` function, perform prediction using the `mbsts.forecast` function, retrieve parameter estimation results using the `para.est` function, visualize feature selection results using the `plot_prob` function, diagnose convergence using the `plot_cvg` function, and visualize time series components training results using the `plot_comp` function. Different from some machine learning algorithms that have to resort to cloud computing such as Ning and Ionides

(2021) and Ionides et al. (2022), the **mbsts** package can perform well on personal computers in non-trivial case studies.

3. **Technical contribution.** Different from the **bsts** package using R and C/C++ for univariate time series analysis, the **mbsts** package fully relies on R's classes and methods system in a concise way for multivariate time series. The **bsts** package uses S3 classes and methods while the **mbsts** package uses the more formal and advanced S4 classes and methods. The **mbsts** package is developed as efficiently as possible, where feature selection and model training can be conducted at the same time using the main function `mbsts_function`.
4. **R developer-friendly structure.** The **mbsts** package aims to help R developers easily understand and edit. Therefore, it is also developed as concisely as possible with a simple structure. It is editable to users that only understand R, while the **bsts** package has a much more complicated structure and R developers have to understand well of C/C++ in order to edit the **bsts** package. In this paper, we start with the illustration of the model structure, such that R developers and package users only need to read this paper to fully understand the model and use the package.

The MBSTS model and the `sim_data` function

In this section, we introduce the MBSTS model in terms of its basic model structure and flexible state components. The MBSTS model is further illustrated using the `sim_data` function provided in the **mbsts** package, where data reproducibility is reached by setting the seed:

```
R>  set.seed(1)
```

Model structure

The MBSTS model is constructed as the sum of components and one can flexibly select suitable components:

$$\tilde{y}_t = \tilde{\mu}_t + \tilde{\tau}_t + \tilde{\omega}_t + \tilde{\xi}_t + \tilde{\epsilon}_t, \qquad t = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \tag{1}$$

where $\tilde{y}_t$, $\tilde{\mu}_t$, $\tilde{\tau}_t$, $\tilde{\omega}_t$, $\tilde{\xi}_t$, and $\tilde{\epsilon}_t$ are m-dimensional vectors, representing target time series, a linear trend component, a seasonal component, a cyclical component, a regression component, and an observation error term, respectively. Let $\tilde{y}_t = [y_t^{(1)}, \cdots, y_t^{(m)}]^T$ and let the m-dimensional vector $\tilde{\epsilon}_t$ distributed as $\tilde{\epsilon}_t \overset{iid}{\sim} N_m(0, \Sigma_\epsilon)$ where Σ_ϵ is a $m \times m$-dimensional positive definite matrix. The simulated dataset `data` will be constructed merely for illustration purpose: there are $m = 2$ target time series each of which has 505 observations, the variance of the first target series $y_t^{(1)}$ is 1.1, the variance of the second target series $y_t^{(2)}$ is 0.9, and the covariance between $y_t^{(1)}$ and $y_t^{(2)}$ is 0.7. Interested readers are referred to (Qiu et al., 2018) for MBSTS models with more correlated target times series, as well as extensive model performance examinations over different scenarios.

```
R> n<-505 #n: sample size
R> m<-2 #m: dimension of target series
R>
R> #covariance matrix of target series
R> cov<-matrix(c(1.1,0.7,0.7,0.9), nrow=2, ncol=2)
```

Regression analysis is a statistical approach for estimating the relationships between dependent variables and independent variables which are also called predictors, covariates, or features. The regression component $\tilde{\xi}_t = [\xi_t^{(1)}, \cdots, \xi_t^{(m)}]^T$ is written as follows:

$$\xi_t^{(i)} = \beta_i^T x_t^{(i)}. \tag{2}$$

where $x_t^{(i)} = [x_{t1}^{(i)}, \ldots, x_{tk_i}^{(i)}]^T$ is the pool of all available predictors at time t for target series $y^{(i)}$, and $\beta_i = [\beta_{i1}, \ldots, \beta_{ij}, \ldots, \beta_{ik_i}]^T$ represents corresponding static regression coefficients. Recall that $\tilde{y}_t = [y_t^{(1)}, \cdots, y_t^{(m)}]^T$ and let $B = [\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_i, \ldots, \beta_m]$ denote a $k \times m$-dimensional matrix. Then we can rewrite $\tilde{\xi}_t$ as

$$\tilde{\xi}_t = \text{diag}(B^T \tilde{x}_t). \tag{3}$$

In `data`, the regression component is generated by setting B and $\tilde{x}_t$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2.5 & 0 & 1.5 & -2 & 0 & 3.5 \\ -1.5 & 4 & 0 & 2.5 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}^T, \\
\tilde{x}_t &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{t1} & x_{t2} & x_{t3} & x_{t4} & x_{t5} & x_{t6} & x_{t7} & x_{t8} \\ x_{t1} & x_{t2} & x_{t3} & x_{t4} & x_{t5} & x_{t6} & x_{t7} & x_{t8} \end{bmatrix}^T, \\
x_{t1} &\overset{iid}{\sim} N(5, 5^2), \quad x_{t2} \overset{iid}{\sim} Pois(10), \quad x_{t3} \overset{iid}{\sim} Pois(5), \quad x_{t4} \overset{iid}{\sim} N(-2, 5), \\
x_{t5} &\overset{iid}{\sim} N(-5, 5^2), \quad x_{t6} \overset{iid}{\sim} Pois(15), \quad x_{t7} \overset{iid}{\sim} Pois(20), \quad x_{t8} \overset{iid}{\sim} N(0, 10^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4}
$$

The coding realization for the regression component is given by

```
R> #Regression component
R> #coefficients for predictors
R> beta<-t(matrix(c(2,-1.5,0,4,2.5,0,0,2.5,1.5,-1,-2,0,0,-3,3.5,0.5),nrow=2,ncol=8))
R>
R> #predictors
R> X1<-rnorm(n,5,5^2)
R> X4<-rnorm(n,-2,5)
R> X5<-rnorm(n,-5,5^2)
R> X8<-rnorm(n,0,100)
R> X2<-rpois(n, 10)
R> X6<-rpois(n, 15)
R> X7<-rpois(n, 20)
R> X3<-rpois(n, 5)
R> X<-cbind(X1,X2,X3,X4,X5,X6,X7,X8)
```

Trend component

A trend is the long-term growth of time series, and it can be further decomposed into two components: level and slope. Level represents the actual mean value of the trend and slope represents the tendency to grow or decline from the trend. The trend component $\tilde{\mu}_t$ is generated by a generalization of the local linear trend model where the slope exhibits stationarity in the form as:

$$\tilde{\mu}_{t+1} = \tilde{\mu}_t + \tilde{\delta}_t + \tilde{u}_t, \qquad \tilde{u}_t \overset{iid}{\sim} N_m(0, \Sigma_\mu), \tag{5}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}_{t+1} = \tilde{D} + \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\delta}_t - \tilde{D}) + \tilde{v}_t, \qquad \tilde{v}_t \overset{iid}{\sim} N_m(0, \Sigma_\delta). \tag{6}$$

Here, $\tilde{\delta}_t$ is a m-dimensional vector as short-term slope and $\tilde{D}$ is a m-dimensional vector as the long-term slope or in another name as level, which enables the model to incorporate short-term information with long-term information. $\tilde{\rho}$ is a $m \times m$-dimensional diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $0 \leq \rho_{ii} \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, to represent the learning rates at which the local trend is updated for $\{y_t^{(i)}\}_{i=1,2,\cdots,m}$. In the trend component, covariance matrices for error terms Σ_μ and Σ_δ are assumed to be $m \times m$-dimensional diagonal matrices.

Seasonality is a characteristic of a time series in which the data has regular and predictable changes that recur every period. The seasonal component $\tilde{\tau}_t = [\tau_t^{(1)}, \cdots, \tau_t^{(m)}]^T$ is generated as follows:

$$\tau_{t+1}^{(i)} = -\sum_{k=0}^{S_i - 2} \tau_{t-k}^{(i)} + w_t^{(i)}, \qquad \tilde{w}_t = [w_t^{(1)}, \cdots, w_t^{(m)}]^T \overset{iid}{\sim} N_m(0, \Sigma_\tau), \tag{7}$$

where S_i represents the number of seasons for $y^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_t$ is a m-dimensional vector denoting their joint contribution to the observed target time series $\tilde{y}_t$. The model allows for various seasonal components with different periods for each target series $y^{(i)}$, such as one can include a seasonal component with $S_i = 7$ to capture the day-of-the-week effect for one target series, and $S_j = 30$ to capture the day-of-the-month effect for another target series. In the seasonal component, the covariance matrix for the error term Σ_τ is assumed to be a $m \times m$-dimensional diagonal matrix.

The cyclical effect refers to regular or periodic fluctuations around the trend, revealing a succession of phases of expansion and contraction. In contrast to seasonality that is always of fixed and known periods, a cyclic pattern exists when data exhibits ups and downs that are not of fixed

periods. The cycle component $\tilde{\omega}_t$ is formulated as a dynamic in a fully-coupled dynamical system:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_{t+1} &= \tilde{\varrho}\widehat{\cos(\lambda)}\tilde{\omega}_t + \tilde{\varrho}\widehat{\sin(\lambda)}\tilde{\omega}_t^\star + \tilde{\kappa}_t, \qquad \tilde{\kappa}_t \overset{iid}{\sim} N_m(0, \Sigma_\omega), \\ \tilde{\omega}_{t+1}^\star &= -\tilde{\varrho}\widehat{\sin(\lambda)}\tilde{\omega}_t + \tilde{\varrho}\widehat{\cos(\lambda)}\tilde{\omega}_t^\star + \tilde{\kappa}_t^\star, \qquad \tilde{\kappa}_t^\star \overset{iid}{\sim} N_m(0, \Sigma_\omega). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Here, $\tilde{\varrho}$ is a $m \times m$-dimensional diagonal matrix as a damping factor for target series $y^{(i)}$ such that $0 < \varrho_{ii} < 1$. $\widehat{\sin(\lambda)}$ (resp. $\widehat{\cos(\lambda)}$) is a $m \times m$-dimensional diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries equal to $\sin(\lambda_{ii})$ (resp. $\cos(\lambda_{ii})$), where $\lambda_{ii} = 2\pi/q_i$ is the frequency with q_i being a period such that $0 < \lambda_{ii} < \pi$. In the cycle component, the covariance matrix for the error terms $\tilde{\kappa}_t$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_t^\star$ are assumed to be $m \times m$-dimensional diagonal matrices.

In `data`, both $y_t^{(1)}$ and $y_t^{(2)}$ are designed to have a trend component, $\tilde{\rho}$ is 0.06 for $y_t^{(1)}$ and 0.08 for $y_t^{(2)}$, and $\tilde{D}$ is -0.1 for $y_t^{(1)}$ and 0.3 for $y_t^{(2)}$. In `data`, we set the mean of each of the diagonal entries equals to 1 and the standard deviation of each of the diagonal entries equals to 0.5. In `data`, $y_t^{(1)}$ is generated having a seasonal component with seasonality $S_1 = 100$ and $y_t^{(2)}$ is generated without a seasonal component. In `data`, we set the mean of each of the diagonal entries equals to 20 and the standard deviation of each of the diagonal entries equals to 0.5. In `data`, $y_t^{(1)}$ is generated without a cycle component and $y_t^{(2)}$ is generated having a cycle component with the damping factor being 0.99 and the cyclic frequency being $\pi/100$. In `data`, we set the mean of each of the diagonal entries equals to 20 and the standard deviation of each of the diagonal entries equals to 0.5. One can also set the mean and standard deviation of the entries of the covariance matrices of the state components in the `sim_data` function, whose default is the following: `mean_trend=1`, `sd_trend=0.5`, `mean_season=20`, `sd_season=0.5`, `mean_cycle=20`, `sd_cycle=0.5`.

```
R> #Simulated data
R> data=sim_data(X=X, beta=beta, cov, k=c(8,8),  mu=c(1,1), rho=c(0.06,0.08),
R> +            Dtilde=c(-0.1,0.3), Season=c(100,0), vrho=c(0,0.99), lambda=c(0,pi/100))
```

Now, we plot the simulated data

```
R> #Plot simulated data
R> ts.plot(data[,1:2],col = 1:ncol(data[,1:2]))
R> legend("topleft", colnames(data[,1:2]),col=1:ncol(data[,1:2]), lty=1, cex=1.1)
```


From Figure 1, we can see that $y_t^{(1)}$ and $y_t^{(2)}$ are very different, which are generated intentionally to illustrate the strength of the MBSTS model. Clearly, $y_t^{(1)}$ is very hard to predict in that it has frequent and irregular fluctuations, as well as no sign of trend and momentum. $y_t^{(2)}$ is more stable with an obviously increasing mode. We note that most times series in real life behave more stable than $y_t^{(1)}$ and less stable than $y_t^{(2)}$, depending on the time interval and the nature of data. For example, the stock market data is much closer to $y_t^{(2)}$. We refer to (Qiu et al., 2018) for more numerical and empirical examples.

The two plots in Figure 1 are generated by calling the `sim_data` function with `rho=c(0.06,0.08)` and `rho=c(0.66,0.08)`, respectively. We can see that both time series have an increasing trend, hence one could set `mu=c(1,1)` indicating each has a trend component. We can see that with a learning rate of 0.66 the time series increases much faster, giving guidance on tuning the learning rate `rho`. We can see that the first time series shows a regular periodic mode every 100 time points, which indicates that one could set the seasonality as 100. The second time series does not show any regular mode, hence no seasonality. Instead, it has an irregular fluctuation in the range of 200, hence one could set the cyclic frequency as $\pi/100$. Here, we observe a clear damping effect and hence the damping factor could be set as a number slightly smaller than 1.

Preparation and the `tsc.setting` function

In this section, we illustrate the prior distribution setup with the MBSTS model by providing only necessary concepts and formula to understand and use the algorithm. The R script used in the illustration example is covered in the supplement of this paper (`illustration.example.R`).

```
R> #Two target series
R> Y<-as.matrix(data[,1:m])
R> #Sixteen candidate predictors
R> X.star.single<-as.matrix(data[,(m+1):dim(data)[2]])
R> X.star<-cbind(X.star.single, X.star.single)
```



(a) rho[1]= 0.06 **(b)** rho[2]= 0.66

Figure 1: Plot of two target time series.

```
R>
R> #split dataset into training set and test set
R> n=dim(Y)[1]
R> ntrain=n-5
R> Ytrain<-Y[1:ntrain,]
R> Xtrain<-X.star[1:ntrain,]
R> Ytest<-Y[(ntrain+1):n,]
R> Xtest<-X.star[(ntrain+1):n,]
```

Generation of the initial time series components in the **mbsts** package is through the `tsc.setting` function. The other input parameters of the `tsc.setting` function are the following: The trend inclusion parameter `mu` and the learning rate parameter `rho` for the trend component; The seasonality parameter `S` for the seasonal component; The damping factor parameter `vrho` and the frequency parameter `lambda` for the cycle component.

```
R> #Specify time series components
R> STmodel<-tsc.setting(Ytrain,mu=c(1,1),rho=c(0.06,0.08),S=c(100,0),
+                       vrho=c(0,0.99),lambda=c(0,pi/100))
```

The `tsc.setting` function is built upon the 'SSModel' function in the state-of-the-art package **KFAS** in (Helske, 2017) and the output of the `tsc.setting` function is an object of 'SSModel' class.

```
R> class(STmodel)
[1] "SSModel"
```

Model Training

Model training with the MBSTS model is performed through the `mbsts_function` function in the **mbsts** package. The MBSTS model is the one that successfully used feature selection in multivariate time series analysis. The multivariate structure and the Bayesian framework allow the model to take advantage of the association structure among target series, and enable feature selection and model training be conducted at the same time. Let $Y = [\tilde{y}_1, \cdots, \tilde{y}_n]^T$, and we see that Y is a $n \times m$ matrix. Then set $\tilde{Y} = \text{vec}(Y)$ to transfer Y to be a $nm \times 1$ vector. Similarly, define $M = [\tilde{\mu}_1, \cdots, \tilde{\mu}_n]^T$, $T = [\tilde{\tau}_1, \cdots, \tilde{\tau}_n]^T$, $W = [\tilde{\omega}_1, \cdots, \tilde{\omega}_n]^T$, and $E = [\tilde{\epsilon}_1, \cdots, \tilde{\epsilon}_n]^T$, and set $\tilde{M} = \text{vec}(M)$, $\tilde{T} = \text{vec}(T)$, $\tilde{W} = \text{vec}(W)$, $\tilde{E} = \text{vec}(E)$. Next, we define the regression matrix X and its corresponding coefficient β

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & X_m \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_m \end{bmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

Here, X is of dimension $(nm \times K)$ with $K = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i$, and its diagonal block matrix X_i is a $n \times k_i$ matrix, representing all observations of k_i candidate predictors for $y^{(i)}$. Now we are ready to define $\tilde{Y}^{\star} = \tilde{Y} - \tilde{M} - \tilde{T} - \tilde{W}$, and rewrite the model as

$$\tilde{Y}^{\star} = X\beta + \tilde{E}.$$

Note that, for $i = 1, \cdots, m$, the k_i-dimensional vector $\beta_i = [\beta_{i1}, \ldots, \beta_{ij}, \ldots, \beta_{ik_i}]^T$ represents corresponding static regression coefficients for target series $y^{(i)}$, and β defined in (9) is a K-dimensional vector where $K = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i$. Correspondingly, we define $\gamma = [\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m]$, where $\gamma_i = [\gamma_{i1}, \ldots, \gamma_{ik_i}]$ and $\gamma_{ij} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\beta_{ij} \neq 0\}}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, m$ and $j = 1, \cdots, k_i$. Denote β_γ as the subset of elements of β where $\beta_{ij} \neq 0$, and let X_γ be the subset of columns of X where $\gamma_{ij} = 1$. A common-sense fact, if there are many predictors available then usually only a small portion of those would play a crucial role and the vast majority of regression coefficients would be zero. In the Bayesian paradigm, a natural way to represent this sparsity is through the "spike and slab" technique. The "spike" prior is written as:

$$\gamma \sim \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{k_i} \pi_{ij}^{\gamma_{ij}} (1 - \pi_{ij})^{1-\gamma_{ij}},$$

where π_{ij} is the prior inclusion probability of the j-th predictor for the i-th target time series. One can set $\pi_i = q_i/k_i$ as the expected model size for simplicity, where q_i is the number of expected nonzero predictors for $y^{(i)}$ and k_i is the total number of candidate predictors for $y^{(i)}$; one can also set π_{ij} as 0 or 1 to ensure certain variables to be excluded or included. In the illustration example, we set `ki`$= (8, 16)$ as the location index of the last predictor for each target series. We set `pii` describe the prior inclusion probabilities $\{\pi_{ij}\}$ of each candidate predictor and initialize $\pi_{ij} = 0.5$ for $i = 1, \cdots, m$ and $j = 1, \cdots, k_i$.

```
R> #prior parameters setup
R> ki<- c(8,dim(Xtrain)[2])
R> pii<- matrix(rep(0.5,dim(Xtrain)[2]),nrow=dim(Xtrain)[2])
```

The coefficient β and the covariance matrix Σ_ϵ are assumed to be prior independent given γ,

$$p(\beta, \Sigma_\epsilon, \gamma) = p(\beta|\gamma)p(\Sigma_\epsilon|\gamma)p(\gamma).$$

The "slab" prior specification is given as below, which is called "slab" because one can choose the prior parameters to make it only very weakly informative (close to flat), conditional on γ,

$$\beta|\gamma \sim N_K(b_\gamma, (\kappa X_\gamma^T X_\gamma/n)^{-1}), \qquad \Sigma_\epsilon|\gamma \sim IW(v_0, (v_0 - m - 1)(1 - R^2)\Sigma_y). \tag{10}$$

That is, β given γ is distributed according to the multivariate normal distribution with mean vector b_γ and covariance matrix $(\kappa X_\gamma^T X_\gamma/n)^{-1}$, where b_γ is the vector of prior means with the same dimension as β_γ and κ is the number of observations worth of weight on the prior mean vector. Here, Σ_ϵ given γ is distributed according to the inverse Wishart distribution $IW(v_0, (v_0 - m - 1)(1 - R^2)\Sigma_y)$, where v_0 is the number of degrees of freedom whose value must be greater than the dimension of $\tilde{y}_t$ plus one. In the illustration example, since there are 2 target series, we set $v_0 = 5$ which is larger than $2 + 1$. We recommend setting v_0 be the dimension of $\tilde{y}_t$ plus $a \in \{2, 3\}$. We use the default that $b_\gamma = (0, 0)^T$, $\kappa = 0.01$ and $R^2 = 0.8$ (b=NULL, kapp $= 0.01$ and R2 $= 0.8$ in the `mbsts_function` function).

By the assumption that all components are independent of each other, the prior distributions in multivariate form can be reduced to their univariate counterparts. The prior distributions of the covariance matrices Σ_μ and Σ_δ for the trend component follow the inverse-gamma distribution as

$$\Sigma_\mu \sim IG(w_\mu, W_\mu), \quad \Sigma_\delta \sim IG(w_\delta, W_\delta).$$

The prior distribution of the covariance matrice Σ_τ for the trend component follows the inverse-gamma distribution as

$$\Sigma_\tau \sim IG(w_\tau, W_\tau).$$

The prior distribution of the covariance matrice Σ_ω for the cycle component follows the inverse-gamma distribution as

$$\Sigma_\omega \sim IG(w_\omega, W_\omega).$$

In the illustration example, for simplicity, we use the default value that $w_u = W_u = 0.01$ for $u \in \{\mu, \delta, \tau, \omega\}$ (v $= 0.01$, ss $= 0.01$ in the `mbsts_function` function).

Model training uses the MCMC approach, which is to sample from a probability distribution

based on constructing a Markov chain that has the desired distribution as its equilibrium distribution. That is, the states of the chain after discarding some steps as "burn-in" data, are used as samples from the desired distribution. Specifically, the MBSTS model uses the Gibbs sampler for feature selection utilizing the classical "spike and slab" prior setup (George and McCulloch, 1997). Gibbs sampler can be seen as a special case of the Metropolis–Hastings algorithm. The point of the Gibbs sampler is that given a multivariate distribution it is simpler to sample from a conditional distribution than to marginalize by integrating over a joint distribution. To implement Gibbs sampler in model training, all necessary conditional probabilities were derived. In the illustration example, we run 400 MCMC iterations while discarding the results of the first 100 iterations.

```
R> #train a mbsts model
R> mbsts.model<-mbsts_function(Ytrain,Xtrain,STmodel,ki,pii,v0=5,mc=400,burn=100)
```

Each MCMC iteration runs Algorithm 1, where the samples from posterior distributions of the model are generated sequentially.

Algorithm 1 MBSTS Model Training (Qiu et al., 2018)

1: Draw the latent state $\alpha = (\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\omega})$ from given model parameters and $\tilde{Y}$, namely $p(\alpha|\tilde{Y}, \theta, \gamma, \Sigma_\epsilon, \beta)$, using the posterior simulation algorithm from (Durbin and Koopman, 2002).
2: Draw time series state component parameters $\theta = (\Sigma_\mu, \Sigma_\delta, \Sigma_\tau, \Sigma_\omega)$ given α, namely simulating $\theta \sim p(\theta|\tilde{Y}, \alpha)$.
3: Loop over i in an random order, draw each $\gamma_i|\gamma_{-i}, \tilde{Y}, \alpha, \Sigma_\epsilon$, namely simulating $\gamma \sim p(\gamma|\tilde{Y}^\star, \Sigma_\epsilon)$ one by one, using the stochastic search variable selection (SSVS) algorithm from (George and McCulloch, 1997).
4: Draw β given Σ_ϵ, γ, α and $\tilde{Y}$, namely simulating $\beta \sim p(\beta|\Sigma_\epsilon, \gamma, \tilde{Y}^\star)$.
5: Draw Σ_ϵ given γ, α, β and $\tilde{Y}$, namely simulating $\Sigma_\epsilon \sim p(\Sigma_\epsilon|\gamma, \tilde{Y}^\star, \beta)$.

The output of the `mbsts_function` function is an object of the 'mbsts' class, which is defined in the **mbsts** package with slots: `Xtrain`, `Ind`, `beta.hat`, `B.hat`, `ob.sig2`, `States`, `st.sig2`, `ki`, `ntrain`, `mtrain`. `Xtrain` contains all candidate predictor series for each target series. `Ind` is a matrix containing MCMC draws of the indicator variable. `beta.hat` is a matrix containing MCMC draws of regression coefficients. `B.hat` is an array generated by combining beta.hat for all target series. `ob.sig2` is an array containing MCMC draws of variance-covariance matrix for residuals. `States` is an array containing MCMC draws of all time series components. `st.sig2` is a matrix containing MCMC draws of variances for time series components. `ki` is a vector of integer values denoting the accumulated number of predictors for the target series. For example, if there are three target series where the first has 8 predictors, the second has 6 predictors, and the third has 10 predictors, then the vector is c(8, 14, 24). `ntrain` is a numerical value for the number of observations. `mtrain` is a numerical value for the number of response variables.

```
R> class(mbsts.model)
[1] "mbsts"
attr(,"package")
[1] "mbsts"
```

Training results and their associated functions

Recall that, in `data`, the regression component is generated by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 & \beta_4 & \beta_5 & \beta_6 & \beta_7 & \beta_8 \\ \| & \| & \| & \| & \| & \| & \| & \| \\ 2 & 0 & 2.5 & 0 & 1.5 & -2 & 0 & 3.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

for target time series $y_t^{(1)}$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 & \beta_4 & \beta_5 & \beta_6 & \beta_7 & \beta_8 \\ \| & \| & \| & \| & \| & \| & \| & \| \\ -1.5 & 4 & 0 & 2.5 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

for target time series $y_t^{(2)}$. Function `plot_prob` in the **mbsts** package, is developed to retrieve information from an object of the 'mbsts' class to generate plots for empirical posterior distributions


of estimated coefficients and indicators, for each target time series. The empirical posterior inclusion probability, as a useful indicator of the importance of one specific predictor, is the proportion of the number of times that the predictor is selected to the total count of MCMC iterations after discarding the "burn-in" iterations. Users can set their desired threshold value of inclusion probability through `prob.threshold`, rename the predictors through `varnames`, and set titles through `title` for each plot. The default threshold value of inclusion probability is 0.8.

```
R> #title vector for each plot
R> title_new<-c("Inclusion Probabilities for y1",
+                "Inclusion Probabilities for y2")

R> #rename predictors
R> varnames_new<-c("x1", "x2", "x3", "x4", "x5", "x6", "x7", "x8",
+                  "x1", "x2", "x3", "x4", "x5", "x6", "x7", "x8")

R> #plot inclusion probability
R> plot_prob(object=mbsts.model,title=title_new,prob.threshold=0.8,
+            varnames=varnames_new)
```

Figure 2 provides the empirical posterior distribution of estimated indicators and signs of corresponding coefficients. The probability 1 of a specific feature indicates that it was selected in every MCMC iteration after discarding the "burn-in" iterations. We can see that the features with non-zero coefficients all were selected with correct signs indicated.



(a) **(b)**

Figure 2: Empirical posterior distribution of estimated coefficients and indicators. The red color shows positive estimated values of regression coefficients, while blue color displays negative values.

The `para.est` function is developed to retrieve information from an object of the 'mbsts' class to provide parameter estimation results for selected predictors. The output is a list: `$index` provides the index of selected predictors according to the threshold value 0.8, `$para.est.mean` provides the estimated parameter values for those selected predictors, `$para.est.sd` provides the standard deviations for parameter estimations.

```
R> #Generate feature selection and parameter estimation results
R> para.est(object=mbsts.model,prob.threshold=0.8)
$index
[1]  1  3  5  6  8  9 10 12 13 15 16

$para.est.mean
[1] 1.9907  2.4501  1.4931 -1.9473  3.4812 -1.5023  3.9914  2.5143 -1.0007 -2.9951  0.4977

$para.est.sd
[1] 0.0321 0.3245 0.0319 0.1714 0.0072 0.0063 0.0541 0.0300 0.0077 0.0417 0.0018
```

We conduct convergence diagnosis by calling the `plot_cvg` function, using the index number




Figure 3: Convergence diagnosis for the first predicator of 400 MCMC iterations after discarding the first 100.

of one specific parameter. Figure 3 reports the estimated values for the first parameter of MCMC iterations after "burn-in".

```
R> #Convergence diagnosis
R> plot_cvg(object=mbsts.model,index=1,main="Predictor #1")
```

The `plot_comp` function is developed to retrieve information from an object of the 'mbsts' class to plot empirical posterior distributions of estimated state components. The `plot_comp` function in the **mbsts** package, provides the mean of empirical posterior distribution for each estimated state component. One can set `component_selection="All"` to generate plots for all state components as illustrated in Figure 4. One can set `component_selection` to an individual state component such as `component_selection="Cycle"`, to generate plots for the cycle component as illustrated in Figure 5.

```
R> #plot state components
R> #title vector for each plot
R> title_all<-c("Posterior State Components of y1", "Posterior State Components of
                y2")
R> #all components
R> plot_comp(object=mbsts.model, slope=c(T,T),local=c(T,T),season=c(100,0),
            cyc=c(F,T),title=title_all,component_selection="All")
R> #title vector for each plot
R> title_single<-c("Posterior State Component of y1", "Posterior State Component of
                   y2")
R> #individual components
R> plot_comp(object=mbsts.model, slope=c(T,T),local=c(T,T),season=c(100,0),
            cyc=c(F,T),title=title_single,component_selection="Cycle")
R> plot_comp(object=mbsts.model, slope=c(T,T),local=c(T,T),season=c(100,0),
            cyc=c(F,T),title=title_single,component_selection="Seasonal")
```

Forecasting

Denote $\tilde{\psi} = (\alpha, \theta, \gamma, \Sigma_\epsilon, \beta)$. Looping through the five steps in Algorithm 1 yields a sequence of draws $\tilde{\psi}$ from a Markov chain with stationary distribution $p(\tilde{\psi}|Y)$ which is the posterior distribution of $\tilde{\psi}$ given Y. After model training, forecasts are based on the posterior predictive distribution. Let $\hat{Y}$ represent the set of values to be forecast. Samples of $\hat{Y}$ from $p(\hat{Y}|\tilde{\psi})$ can be drawn by simply iterating equations (5), (6), (7), (8), and (2) to move forward using initial values of states α and initial values of parameters θ, β, and Σ_ϵ. For example, for the one-step-ahead forecast, samples were drawn from the multivariate normal distribution with mean equal to $\tilde{\mu}_n + \tilde{\tau}_n + \tilde{\omega}_n + \tilde{\xi}_n$ and variance equal to $\Sigma_\mu + \Sigma_\tau + \Sigma_\omega + \Sigma_\epsilon$. The detailed procedure can be seen in Algorithm 2 where the point prediction values could be formed by taking the average of drawn samples at the end.

The `mbsts.forecast` function is developed to retrieve information from an object of the 'mbsts' class and an object of the 'SSModel' class to forecast. It forecasts multiple steps ahead in the way




Figure 4: Empirical posterior distributions of all estimated state components




Figure 5: Empirical posterior distributions of specific estimated state component

Algorithm 2 Model Forecast (Qiu et al., 2020)

1: Draw the next latent time series states $\alpha_{t+1} = (\tilde{\mu}_{t+1}, \tilde{\delta}_{t+1}, \tilde{\tau}_{t+1}, \tilde{\omega}_{t+1})$ given current latent time series states $\alpha_t = (\tilde{\mu}_t, \tilde{\delta}_t, \tilde{\tau}_t, \tilde{\omega}_t)$ and component parameters $\theta = (\Sigma_\mu, \Sigma_\delta, \Sigma_\tau, \Sigma_\omega)$, based on equations (5), (6), (7) and (8).
2: Based on indicator variable γ, compute the regression component given the information about predictors at time $t+1$ by equation (2).
3: Draw a random error in multivariate normal distribution with variance equal to Σ_ϵ and sum them up using equation (1).
4: Sum up all the predictions and divide by the total number of MCMC iterations to generate the point prediction.

that once a forecast is produced it is added to the training data as a "fake" data. In the following example, a 5-steps-ahead forecast is generated by setting `steps` in the `mbsts.forecast` function.

```
R> #make a 5-steps prediction
R> output<-mbsts.forecast(mbsts.model,STmodel,newdata=Xtest,steps=5)
```

The `mbsts.forecast` function has two outputs: `pred.dist` is an array of draws from the posterior distribution for the forecasts, i.e., for each time series it contains a matrix whose row indicates the MCMC iterations after "burn-in" and whose column indicates the steps of forecast; `pred.mean` is a matrix giving the posterior mean of the prediction for each target series.

```
R> output$pred.mean
       [,1]     [,2]
[1,] 1316.5353 2019.864
[2,]  698.9187 1905.985
[3,]  525.5992 1937.280
[4,]  987.0031 1904.776
[5,] 1495.9308 1977.514
```

Conclusion

This paper demonstrates how to use the R package **mbsts** for MBSTS modeling. By the multivariate nature of the MBSTS model, the correlations among multiple target series are naturally taken into account, which helps boost the forecasting power. Figure 6 in Qiu et al. (2018) revealed that the higher correlation among multiple target time series, the better performance of the MBSTS model over the univariate BSTS model. Therefore, it is better to model multiple target time series as a whole by MBSTS rather than model them individually by BSTS, especially when strong correlations appear in the multiple target time series. In this paper, we focus on the MBSTS modeling which uses the Bayesian paradigm to reduce dimension, but the frequentist approach could be better under different scenarios (see, e.g., Ning et al. (2021) in Monte Carlo setting).

Acknowledgments

The research of Ning Ning was partially supported by the Seed Fund Grant Award at Texas A&M University.

Bibliography

K. H. Brodersen, F. Gallusser, J. Koehler, N. Remy, and S. L. Scott. Inferring causal impact using Bayesian structural time-series models. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, pages 247–274, 2015. [p1]

N. Droste, C. Becker, I. Ring, and R. Santos. Decentralization effects in ecological fiscal transfers: A Bayesian structural time series analysis for Portugal. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 71 (4):1027–1051, 2018. [p1]

J. Durbin and S. J. Koopman. A simple and efficient simulation smoother for state space time series analysis. *Biometrika*, 89(3):603–616, 2002. [p7]

E. I. George and R. E. McCulloch. Approaches for Bayesian variable selection. *Statistica sinica*, pages 339–373, 1997. [p7]

J. Helske. Kfas: Exponential family state space models in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 78(10): 1–39, 2017. doi: 10.18637/jss.v078.i10. [p5]

E. L. Ionides, N. Ning, and J. Wheeler. An iterated block particle filter for inference on coupled dynamic systems with shared and unit-specific parameters. *Statistica Sinica (forthcoming)*, 2022. [p2]

A. Jalan, R. Matkovskyy, and A. Urquhart. What if Bitcoin futures had never been introduced? *Available at SSRN 3491272*, 2019. [p1]

S. R. Jammalamadaka, J. Qiu, and N. Ning. Predicting a stock portfolio with the multivariate Bayesian structural time series model: Do news or emotions matter? *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, 17(2):81–104, 2019. [p1]

Y. Jiang, Z. Song, and A. Kusiak. Very short-term wind speed forecasting with Bayesian structural break model. *Renewable energy*, 50:637–647, 2013. [p1]

C. F. Kurz, M. Rehm, R. Holle, C. Teuner, M. Laxy, and L. Schwarzkopf. The effect of bariatric surgery on health care costs: A synthetic control approach using Bayesian structural time series. *Health economics*, 28(11):1293–1307, 2019. [p1]

N. Ning and E. L. Ionides. Iterated block particle filter for high-dimensional parameter learning: Beating the curse of dimensionality. *Journal of Machine Learning Research (In Revision)*, 2021. [p1]

N. Ning, E. L. Ionides, and Y. Ritov. Scalable Monte Carlo inference and rescaled local asymptotic normality. *Bernoulli*, 27(4):2532–2555, 2021. [p11]

J. Qiu and N. Ning. mbsts: Multivariate Bayesian structural time series (version 3.0). *https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mbsts*, 2023. [p1]

J. Qiu, S. R. Jammalamadaka, and N. Ning. Multivariate Bayesian structural time series model. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 19(1):2744–2776, 2018. [p1, 2, 4, 7, 11]

J. Qiu, W. Liu, and N. Ning. Evolution of regional innovation with spatial knowledge spillovers: Convergence or divergence? *Networks and Spatial Economics*, pages 1–30, 2019. [p1]

J. Qiu, S. R. Jammalamadaka, and N. Ning. Multivariate time series analysis from a Bayesian machine learning perspective. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, 88(10):1061–1082, 2020. [p10]

S. L. Scott. Package 'bsts'. *https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/bsts*, 2021. [p1]

S. L. Scott and H. R. Varian. Predicting the present with Bayesian structural time series. *International Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Optimisation*, 5(1-2):4–23, 2014. [p1]

S. L. Scott and H. R. Varian. Bayesian variable selection for nowcasting economic time series. In *Economic analysis of the digital economy*, pages 119–135. University of Chicago Press, 2015. [p1]

A. Talaei-Khoei, M. Tavana, and J. M. Wilson. A predictive analytics framework for identifying patients at risk of developing multiple medical complications caused by chronic diseases. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 101:101750, 2019. [p1]

K. Welbers and M. Opgenhaffen. Social media gatekeeping: An analysis of the gatekeeping influence of newspapers' public Facebook pages. *new media & society*, 20(12):4728–4747, 2018. [p1]

Y. Xu. Generalized synthetic control method: Causal inference with interactive fixed effects models. *Political Analysis*, 25(1):57–76, 2017. [p1]

Ning Ning
Department of Statistics
Texas A&M University
patning@tamu.edu

Jinwen Qiu
Department of Statistics and Applied Probability
University of California, Santa Barbara
qjwsnow_ctw@hotmail.com