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Abstract

In order to consider $j$-wise relative $r$-primality conditions that do not necessarily require all $j$-tuples of elements in a Dedekind domain to be relatively $r$-prime, we define the notion of $j$-wise relative $r$-primality with respect to a fixed $j$-uniform hypergraph $H$. This allows us to provide further generalisations to several results on natural densities not only for a ring of algebraic integers $O$, but also for the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$.

1 Introduction

In 1976, Benkoski proved that the natural density of the set of relatively $r$-prime $m$-tuples of positive integers (with $rm > 1$) equals $1/\zeta(rm)$, where $\zeta$ is the Riemann zeta function [1]. This acted as a culmination of the work of Mertens [8], Lehmer [7], and Gegenbauer [3]. Thereafter, Tóth [14] and Hu [5] found the natural density of the set of $j$-wise relatively prime $m$-tuples of positive integers (where $j \leq m$). Extensions of these results have been made to ideals in a ring of algebraic integers $O$ by Sittinger [11,13] and subsequently to elements in a ring of algebraic integers as well by Micheli [2] and Sittinger [12]. Moreover, Morrison [9] and Guo [4] gave analogous results for elements in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$.

We can further generalise the notion of $j$-wise relatively primality by considering relative primality conditions that require some but not all $j$-tuples to be relatively prime. A first step in this direction was investigated by Hu [6], who used graphs to notate which pairs of integers are to be relatively prime.

Definition 1.1. Let $G$ be a simple undirected graph whose $m$ vertices are the positive integers $a_1, \ldots, a_m$. We say that the $m$-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is $G$-wise relatively prime if $\gcd(a_i, a_j) = 1$ for all adjacent vertices $a_i$ and $a_j$.

In the case that $G = K_m$, a complete graph with $m$ vertices, we see that $m$ positive integers being $G$-wise relatively prime is the same as saying that these integers are pairwise relatively prime. If we let $i_k(G)$ denote the number of independent sets of $k$ vertices in $G$ (such a set has no two vertices adjacent
in $G$), then Hu [6] proved that the density of the set of $G$-wise relatively prime ordered $m$-tuples of positive integers equals

$$\prod_p \left[ \sum_{k=0}^m i_k(G) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{m-k} \left( \frac{1}{p} \right)^k \right],$$

where the product is over all prime numbers.

We now extend the definition of $G$-wise relative primality to accommodate relative primality conditions on certain sets of $j$-tuples of elements from $m$ elements (where $2 \leq j \leq m$) not only from the ring of integers, but also from any Dedekind domain. First, we give a notion of $m$-tuples of elements from a Dedekind domain being relatively $r$-prime.

**Definition 1.2.** Let $D$ be a Dedekind domain. Fix $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m \in D$ are **relatively $r$-prime** if $p^r \nmid \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m \rangle$ for any prime ideal $p \subseteq D$.

In order to properly generalise the notion of $G$-wise relative primality, we use the concept of a $j$-uniform hypergraph $H$, in which any edge connects exactly $j$ vertices.

**Definition 1.3.** Let $D$ be a Dedekind domain. Fix $r, j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ where $j \leq m$, and let $H$ be a simple undirected $j$-uniform hypergraph whose $m$ vertices are $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m \in D$. We say that $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m \in D$ are **$H$-wise relatively $r$-prime** if any $j$ adjacent vertices of $H$ are relatively $r$-prime.

A few remarks are now in order. First, although we state the definitions in this generality, we are in particular interested in the cases of a ring of algebraic integers as well the polynomial rings $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Next, suppose we take $D = \mathbb{Z}$, $j = 2$, and $r = 1$. Then our hypergraph is a graph $G$, and Definition 1.3 reduces to $m$ integers are $G$-wise relatively prime as defined in [6]. Moreover when $D = \mathcal{O}$ and $H = K^{(j)}_m$, the complete $j$-uniform hypergraph on $m$ vertices, this definition reduces to $m$ elements being $j$-wise relatively $r$-prime as defined in [12].

**Definition 1.4.** Given a $j$-uniform hypergraph $H$, we say that a subset $S$ of vertices from $H$ is an **independent vertex set** if $S$ does not contain any hyperedge of $H$. Moreover for any non-negative integer $k$, we let $i_k(H)$ denote the number of independent sets of $k$ vertices in $H$.

We now state the main results of this article, starting with the algebraic integer case.

**Theorem 1.5.** Fix $r, j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j \leq m$ and $rm \geq 2$, and let $K$ be an algebraic number field over $\mathbb{Q}$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$. Then, the density of the set of $H$-wise relatively $r$-prime ordered $m$-tuples of elements in $\mathcal{O}$ equals

$$\prod_p \left[ \sum_{k=0}^m i_k(H) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(p^r)} \right)^{m-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(p^r)} \right)^k \right],$$

where the product is over all nonzero prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}$. 

2
After setting up the pertinent notation in Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.5.

Since the arithmetic in the rings \( \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) have striking similarities (for further details, see [10]), we would expect that we can derive a \( H \)-wise relatively \( r \)-prime density statement for \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \). In Section 3, we state and prove an analogue of Theorem 1.4 for the function field case \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \).

**Theorem 1.6.** Fix \( r, j, m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( j \leq m \) and \( rm \geq 2 \). Then the density of the set of \( H \)-wise relatively \( r \)-prime ordered \( m \)-tuples of polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) equals

\[
\prod_{f \text{ irredu.}} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{r \deg f}}\right)^{m-k} \left(\frac{1}{q^{r \deg f}}\right)^k \right],
\]

where it is understood that the product is over all monic irreducible polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \).

**Remark:** By noting that \( N(f) = |\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle f \rangle| = q^{\deg f} \), the analogy between this latter density statement and the one given in the algebraic number ring case is made clear.

## 2 Density of \( H \)-wise relatively \( r \)-prime elements in \( \mathcal{O} \)

Let \( K \) be an algebraic number field of degree \( n \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) with \( \mathcal{O} \) as its ring of integers having integral basis \( \mathcal{B} = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \). As a way to generalise the notion of all positive integers less than or equal to some positive constant \( M \), we define

\[
\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{B}[M] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \alpha_i : c_i \in [-M, M) \cap \mathbb{Z} \right\}.
\]

The goal of this section is to derive a \( H \)-wise relatively prime density statement in \( \mathcal{O} \) by using the methods developed by [2] and [12]. First, we define a notion of density for a subset \( T \) of \( \mathcal{O}^m \) that reduces to the classic notion of density over \( \mathbb{Z} \) as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( T \subseteq \mathcal{O}^m \) and fix an integral basis \( \mathcal{B} \) of \( \mathcal{O} \). The **upper and lower densities of \( T \) with respect to \( \mathcal{B} \)** are respectively defined as

\[
\overline{D}_\mathcal{B}(T) = \limsup_{M \to \infty} \frac{|T \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{B}[M]^m|}{|\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{B}[M]^m|} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{D}_\mathcal{B}(T) = \liminf_{M \to \infty} \frac{|T \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{B}[M]^m|}{|\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{B}[M]^m|}.
\]

If \( \overline{D}_\mathcal{B}(T) = \underline{D}_\mathcal{B}(T) \), we say that its common value is called the **density of \( T \) with respect to \( \mathcal{B} \)** and denote this as \( D_\mathcal{B}(T) \). Whenever this density is independent of the chosen integral basis \( \mathcal{B} \), we denote this density as \( D(T) \).

Although the manner in which we cover \( \mathcal{O} \) could potentially depend on the choice of the given integral basis \( \mathcal{B} \), it is a direct corollary to Theorem 1.5 that
the density of the set of $H$-wise relatively $r$-prime elements in $\mathcal{O}$ is actually independent of the integral basis used.

For the remainder of this section, let $S$ be a finite set of rational primes, and fix positive integers $r, j, m$ such that $j \leq m$. Fix a $j$-uniform hypergraph $H$, and define $E_S$ to be the set of $m$-tuples $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ in $\mathcal{O}^m$ such that any ideal generated by $j$ entries of $z$ is $H$-wise relatively $r$-prime with respect to all $p \mid \langle p \rangle$ for each $p \in S$. That is, $E_S$ consists of the $H$-wise relatively $r$-prime $m$-tuples of algebraic integers from $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to $S$.

In order to aide us in analysing $E_S$, let 

$$ \pi : \mathcal{O}^m \to \left( \prod_{p \mid \langle p \rangle} \mathcal{O}/p^r \right)^m $$

be the surjective homomorphism induced by the family of natural projections $\pi_{p^r} : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}/p^r$ for all $p \mid \langle p \rangle$ where $p \in S$.

From the definition of $H$-wise relative $r$-primality of algebraic integers, we immediately deduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** For a given prime ideal $p \mid \langle p \rangle$ where $p \in S$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, let $A_k^{(p)}$ denote the set of elements in $(\mathcal{O}/p^r)^m$ where exactly $k$ of their $m$ components are 0, and these $k$ components form an independent vertex set in $H$. Then,

$$ E_S = \pi^{-1} \left( \prod_{p \mid \langle p \rangle} \bigcup_{k=0}^{m} A_k^{(p)} \right). $$

**Proposition 2.3.** Suppose that $p$ is a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}$ that lies above a fixed rational prime $p$. If we fix $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $N = \prod_{p \in S} p^r$, then

$$ |E_S \cap \mathcal{O}_S[qN]^m| = (2q)^m \prod_{p \mid \langle p \rangle} p^{rm(n-D_p)} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H)(\mathfrak{N}(p^r) - 1)^{m-k} \mathfrak{N}(p^r)^k \right]. $$

**Proof:** We first examine the map $\pi$. For brevity, we set $R_p = \prod_{p \mid \langle p \rangle} \mathcal{O}/p^r$. Then we let $\pi_N$ denote the reduction modulo $N$ homomorphism, and $\psi = (\psi_p)_{p \in S}$ where $\psi_p : (\mathcal{O}/\langle p \rangle)^m \to R_p^m$ is the homomorphism induced by the projection maps $\mathcal{O}/\langle p \rangle^r \to R_p$. Finally, let $\overline{\psi}$ be its extension to $(\mathcal{O}/\langle N \rangle)^m$ (by applying the Chinese Remainder Theorem to the primes in $S$). These maps are related to each other through the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}^m & \xrightarrow{\pi_N} & (\mathcal{O}/\langle N \rangle)^m \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{O}/\langle p \rangle)^m & \xrightarrow{\psi} & (\prod_{p \in S} R_p)^m
\end{array}
$$
and it follows that $\pi = \overline{\psi} \circ \pi_N$.

To prove this proposition, we start by examining $\psi^{-1}$. Since for each rational prime $p$ the mapping $\psi_p : (\mathcal{O}/(p^r))^m \to R_p^m$ is a surjective free $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$-module homomorphism, we have for all $y \in (\prod_{p \in S} R_p)^m$:

$$|\psi^{-1}(y)| = \prod_{p \in S} |\psi_p^{-1}(y_p)| = \prod_{p \in S} |\ker \psi_p| = \prod_{p \in S} p^{rm(n-D_p)}.$$

Next, we compute $|\pi_N^{-1}(z) \cap \mathcal{O}_S[qN]^m|$. Given $\mathcal{S} = (\overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_m}) \in (\mathcal{O}/(N))^m$, observe that since $\mathcal{O}/(N)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_N$-module with basis $\{\pi(\alpha_1), \ldots, \pi(\alpha_n)\}$, there exist unique $c'_j \in [0, N) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\overline{z_j} = \sum_{t=1}^n c'_j \pi(\alpha_t),$$

Then for $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m) \in \mathcal{O}_S$, it follows that $\pi_N(z) = \mathcal{S}$ if and only if

$$z_j = \sum_{t=1}^n (c'_j + l'_j N)\alpha_t$$

for some $l'_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, since we need $l'_j \in [-q, q) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ for each pair of indices $j$ and $t$, we deduce that

$$|\pi_N^{-1}(z) \cap \mathcal{O}_S[qN]^m| = (2q)^{mn}.$$

We are ready to compute $|E_S \cap \mathcal{O}_S[qN]^m|$. By the definition of $A_k^{(p)}$, we have for any fixed $k$ and $p$:

$$|A_k^{(p)}| = i_k(H)(\mathfrak{N}(p^r) - 1)^{m-k}\mathfrak{N}(p^r)^k.$$

Since we know from the last lemma that $E_S = \pi^{-1}(J)$, where

$$J = \psi^{-1}\left(\prod_{p \mid (p)} \bigcup_{k=0}^m A_k^{(p)}\right),$$

it immediately follows that

$$|J| = \prod_{p \mid (p)} p^{rm(n-D_p)} \sum_{k=0}^m i_k(H)(\mathfrak{N}(p^r) - 1)^{m-k}\mathfrak{N}(p^r)^k.$$
Therefore, we conclude that

\[|E_S \cap \mathcal{O}[qN]|^m = (2q)^{mn}|J| = (2q)^{mn} \prod_{p | (p) \in S} p^{r_m(n-D_p)} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) (\mathfrak{N}(p^r) - 1)^{m-k} \mathfrak{N}(p^r)^k \right],\]

as desired.  

We now compute the density of \(E_S\).

**Lemma 2.4.** Using the previous notation, we have for any integral basis \(B\) of \(\mathcal{O}\),

\[
\mathbb{D}(E_S) = \mathbb{D}_B(E_S) = \prod_{p | (p) \in S} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}(p^r)} \right)^{m-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}(p^r)} \right)^k \right].
\]

**Proof:** Define the sequence \(\{a_j\}\) by

\[a_j = \frac{|E_S \cap \mathcal{O}[j]|^m}{|\mathcal{O}[j]|^m},\]

and let \(D\) denote value of the density in question.

First, we consider the subsequence \(\{a_{qN}\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}\), where \(N = \prod_{p \in S} p^r\). We claim that this subsequence is constant. By the previous proposition along with the definitions for \(N\) and \(D_p\),

\[a_{qN} = \frac{1}{(2qN)^{mn}} \prod_{p | (p) \in S} p^{r_m(n-D_p)} \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) (\mathfrak{N}(p^r) - 1)^{m-k} \mathfrak{N}(p^r)^k \]

\[= \prod_{p | (p) \in S} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}(p^r)} \right)^{m-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}(p^r)} \right)^k \right].\]

Hence, \(\{a_{qN}\}\) is a constant subsequence and converges to \(D\).

Next, we show that \(\{a_{c+qN}\}\) also converges to \(D\) for any \(c \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N-1\}\), we first find bounds for \(a_{c+qN}\). To this end, note that

\[a_{qN} \left( \frac{2qN}{2c+2qN} \right)^{mn} \leq a_{c+qN} \leq a_{(q+1)N} \left( \frac{2(q+1)N}{2c+2qN} \right)^{mn} .\]

By letting \(q \to \infty\) and applying the Squeeze Theorem, we conclude that \(\{a_{c+qN}\}\) converges to \(D\) for any \(c \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N-1\}\). Finally, since \(\{a_{c+qN}\}\) converges to \(D\) for any \(c \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}\), we conclude that \(\{a_j\}\) converges to \(D\).  

Note that the density in Lemma 2.4 is independent of the integral basis \(B\) used. Now we are ready to establish to the main theorem of this section. For convenience, we restate it here before proving it.
Theorem 1.5. Fix $r, j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j \leq m$ and $rm \geq 2$, and let $K$ be an algebraic number field over $\mathbb{Q}$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$. Then, the density of the set $E$ consisting of $H$-wise relatively $r$-prime ordered $m$-tuples of elements in $\mathcal{O}$ equals

$$\prod_p \left[ \sum_{k=0}^m i_k(H) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(p^r)} \right)^{m-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(p^r)} \right)^k \right],$$

where the product is over all nonzero prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}$.

Proof: Fix $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $S_t$ denote the set of the first $t$ rational primes. For brevity, we write $E_t = E_{S_t}$. Since $E_t \supseteq E$, $\mathcal{D}_B(E) \leq \mathcal{D}_B(E_t) = \mathcal{D}(E)$.

Observe that the last equality is due to the existence of $\mathcal{D}(E)$. Letting $t \to \infty$,

$$\mathcal{D}_B(E) \leq \prod_p \left[ \sum_{k=0}^m i_k(H) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(p^r)} \right)^{m-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(p^r)} \right)^k \right].$$

It remains to show the opposite inequality. Noting that $\mathcal{D}_B(E_t \setminus E) \leq \mathcal{D}_B(E_t)$, it suffices to show that $\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_B(E_t \setminus E) = 0$.

To this end, we introduce the following notation. Let $p$ be a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}$, $p_t$ be the $t$th rational prime, and $M$ be a positive integer.

1. We write $p \succ M$ iff $p$ lies over a rational prime greater than $M$.
2. We write $M \succ p$ iff the rational prime lying under $p$ is less than $M$.

Using this notation, we can write

$$E_t \setminus E \subseteq \bigcup_{p \succ p_t} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m p^r \right) \subseteq \mathcal{O}^m,$$

where it is understood that $\prod_{j=1}^m p^r$ is the subset of $\mathcal{O}^m$ such that each entry of the $m$-tuple is an element of $p^r$. Then, we see that

$$(E_t \setminus E) \cap \mathcal{O}_B[M]^m \subseteq \bigcup_{CM^n \succ p \succ p_t} \prod_{j=1}^m (p^r \cap \mathcal{O}_B[M])$$

for some constant $C > 0$ dependent only on $\mathcal{B}$, and thus

$$\mathcal{D}_B(E_t \setminus E) \leq \limsup_{M \to \infty} \sum_{CM^n \succ p \succ p_t} |(p^r \cap \mathcal{O}_B[M])^m| \cdot (2M)^{-mn}.$$
Using this bound along with the facts that \( N(p) \geq p \) for every \( p \) lying above a fixed rational prime \( p \), and at most \( n \) prime ideals lie above a fixed rational prime, we obtain

\[
\mathbb{D}_B(E_t \setminus E) \leq \limsup_{M \to \infty} \sum_{CM^n > p > p_1} \left[ \frac{1}{N(p^r)^m} + c \left( \frac{2M}{dN(p^r)^{1/n}} + 1 \right)^{mn-1} (2M)^{-mn} \right] \\
\leq \limsup_{M \to \infty} \sum_{CM^n > p > p_1} \left[ \frac{n}{p^{rm}} + cn \left( \frac{2M}{d^{1/n}} + 1 \right)^{mn-1} (2M)^{-mn} \right].
\]

It remains to show that the right side goes to 0 as \( t \to \infty \). First, observe that for all sufficiently large \( M \), we have \( 2M/dp^r/n > 1 \) and thus

\[
\left( \frac{2M}{dp^r/n} + 1 \right)^{mn-1} (2M)^{-mn} < \left( \frac{2}{d} \right)^{mn} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{rm}}.
\]

Then, by writing \( A = n + cn(2/d)^{mn} \) which is a constant independent of \( M \) and \( p \), we deduce that

\[
\mathbb{D}_B(E_t \setminus E) \leq \limsup_{M \to \infty} \sum_{CM^n > p > p_1} \frac{A}{p^{rm}} \leq \sum_{k=p_1}^{\infty} \frac{A}{k^{rm}}
\]

for all sufficiently large \( M \).

Finally since \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{rm}} \) is convergent, we conclude that \( \mathbb{D}_B(E_t \setminus E) = 0 \).

To conclude this section, we now state a corollary that indicates how this main result provides a generalisation of the work from [12].

**Corollary 2.5.** Fix \( r, j, m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( j \leq m \) and \( rm \geq 2 \), and let \( K \) be an algebraic number field over \( \mathbb{Q} \) with ring of integers \( \mathcal{O} \). Then the density of the set of \( j \)-wise relatively \( r \)-prime ordered \( m \)-tuples of elements in \( \mathcal{O} \) equals

\[
\prod_p \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \binom{m}{k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}(p^r)} \right)^{m-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}(p^r)} \right)^k \right].
\]

**Proof:** Take \( H = K_m^{(j)} \) as the hypergraph, and observe that

\[
i_k(H) = \begin{cases} \binom{m}{k} & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq j - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

Applying Theorem 1.5 immediately yields the desired result. ■
3 Density of $H$-wise relatively $r$-prime elements in $F_q[x]$

Let $F_q[x]$ be the ring of polynomials over the finite field $F_q$ where $q = p^k$ for some prime $p$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The goal of this section is to derive a $H$-wise density statement in $F_q[x]$ by using methods developed in [4].

In order to define a suitable definition of density in $F_q[x]$, we begin by giving an enumeration of the polynomials in $F_q[x]$. Denoting the elements of $F_q$ as $a_0 = 0, a_1, \ldots, a_{q-1}$, let $\Sigma$ be the set of all $(a_{d_0}, a_{d_1}, a_{d_2}, \ldots)$ whose entries are in $F_q$ and $d_i = 0$ for all sufficiently large $i$. Then since non-negative integers have a unique expansion base $q$, where $q$ is a positive integer greater than 1, we have a bijection $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ defined by

$$\Phi(a_{d_0}, a_{d_1}, \ldots) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i q^i.$$ 

Using this bijection, we define for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$f_j(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{d_i} x^i,$$ 

where $j = \phi(a_{d_0}, a_{d_1}, \ldots)$.

Note that $F_q[x] = \{f_j(x) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$, thereby giving an ordering of the elements in $F_q[x]$. Now, we are able to define a density in this ring.

**Definition 3.1.** Fix a positive integer $m \geq 2$, and let $\mathcal{M}_N$ be the subset of $(F_q[x])^m$ consisting of $m$-tuples of elements in $F_q[x]$ whose entries are taken from $\{f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_N\}$. For any subset $T \subseteq (F_q[x])^m$, we define the upper and lower densities of $T$ as respectively defined as

$$D(T) = \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{|T \cap \mathcal{M}_N|}{|\mathcal{M}_N|} \quad \text{and} \quad D(T) = \liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{|T \cap \mathcal{M}_N|}{|\mathcal{M}_N|}.$$

If $\overline{D}(T) = \underline{D}(T)$, we say that its common value is called the **density** of $T$ and denote this as $D(T)$.

Let $S$ be a finite set of irreducible polynomials in $F_q[x]$, and fix $r, j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $j \leq m$. Fix a $j$-uniform hypergraph $H$, and let $E_S$ denote the set of $m$-tuples of polynomials from $F_q[x]$ that are $H$-wise relatively $r$-prime with respect to all irreducible polynomials in $S$.

For the following lemma and proposition, let

$$\pi : (F_q[x])^m \to \left( \prod_{f \in S} F_q[x]/\langle f^r \rangle \right)^m$$

be the surjective homomorphism induced by the family of natural projections

$$\overline{\pi}_{fr} : F_q[x] \to F_q[x]/\langle f^r \rangle \quad \text{for each} \ f \in S.$$
As in the algebraic integer case, the following lemma follows immediately from the definition of $H$-wise relative $r$-primality of elements in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$.

**Lemma 3.2.** For a given irreducible polynomial $f \in S$, let $A_k^{(f)}$ denote the set of elements in $(\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle f \rangle)^m$ where exactly $k$ of their $m$ components are 0, and these $k$ components form an independent vertex set in $H$. Then,

$$E_S = \pi^{-1}\left( \prod_{f \in S} \bigcup_{k=0}^m A_k^{(f)} \right).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $N = bq^{\deg F} - 1$ where $b \in \mathbb{N}$, and $F = \prod_{f \in S} f^r$. Then,

$$|E_S \cap \mathcal{M}_N| = (bq^{\deg F})^m \prod_{f \in S} q^{-r \deg f} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^m i_k(H)(q^{r \deg f} - 1)^{m-k}(q^{r \deg f})^k.$$ 

**Proof:** Let $\pi_F$ denote the reduction modulo $F$ homomorphism, and let

$$\psi : (\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle F \rangle)^m \to \left( \prod_{f \in S} (\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle f \rangle) \right)^m \to \prod_{f \in S} (\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle f \rangle)^m,$$

where the first part of $\psi$ is induced by the Chinese Remainder Theorem and the second part is an obvious isomorphism of free $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$-modules.

Now we compute $|\pi_F^{-1}(h(x)) \cap \mathcal{M}_N|$. By the Division Algorithm, we have that

$$\left\{ f_i(x) \right\}_{i=0}^N = \left\{ f_s(x) \cdot x^{\deg F} + f_t(x) \mid 0 \leq t \leq q^{\deg F} - 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq s \leq b - 1 \right\}.$$ 

Then for any fixed $s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, b - 1\}$, the map $\pi_F$ restricted to

$$\{ f_s(x) \cdot x^{\deg F} + f_t(x) \}_{t=0}^{q^{\deg F} - 1} \to \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle F \rangle$$

is one-to-one. Since $|\ker(\pi_F)| = b^m$, we conclude that $|\pi_F^{-1}(h(x)) \cap \mathcal{M}_N| = b^m$.

We are now ready to compute $|E_S \cap \mathcal{M}_N|$. We know that $E_S = \pi^{-1}(J)$, where

$$J = \psi^{-1}\left( \prod_{f \in S} \bigcup_{k=0}^m A_k^{(f)} \right).$$

Since for any fixed $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$ and $f \in S$ we have

$$|A_k^{(f)}| = i_k(H)(q^{r \deg f} - 1)^{m-k}(q^{r \deg f})^k,$$

we deduce that

$$|J| = q^{m \deg F} \prod_{f \in S} q^{-r \deg f} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^m i_k(H)(q^{r \deg f} - 1)^{m-k}(q^{r \deg f})^k.$$ 

Therefore,
\[ |E_S \cap \mathcal{M}_N| = b^m \cdot |J| \]
\[ = (bq^{\deg F})^m \prod_{f \in S} q^{-rm\deg f} \frac{m}{\sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H)(q^{r\deg f} - 1)^{m-k}(q^{r\deg f})^k}. \]

We now find the density of \( E_S \).

**Lemma 3.4.** Using the notation from Proposition 3.3,
\[ D(E_S) = \prod_{f \in S} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{r\deg f}}\right)^{m-k} \left(\frac{1}{q^{r\deg f}}\right)^k \right]. \]

**Proof:** Let \( a_j = \frac{|E_S \cap \mathcal{M}_j|}{|\mathcal{M}_j|} \) and let \( D \) be the value of the density in question. For notational brevity, we let \( n = q^{\deg F} \).

We first consider the subsequence \( \{a_{bn-1}\}_{b \in \mathbb{N}} \). By Proposition 3.3, we find that
\[ \frac{|E_S \cap \mathcal{M}_{bn-1}|}{|\mathcal{M}_{bn-1}|} = \prod_{f \in S} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{r\deg f}}\right)^{m-k} \left(\frac{1}{q^{r\deg f}}\right)^k \right]. \]

Hence, \( \{a_{bn-1}\} \) trivially converges to \( D \).

Next, we show \( \{a_{bn+c}\} \) converges to \( D \) as well for each \( c \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-2\} \).

In a manner reminiscent of the proof to Lemma 2.4, we find that
\[ \left(\frac{bn}{bn+c+1}\right)^m a_{bn-1} \leq a_{bn+c} \leq \left(\frac{(b+1)n}{(b+1)n+c+1}\right)^{m} a_{(b+1)n-1}. \]

Letting \( b \to \infty \), the Squeeze Theorem implies that \( \{a_{bn+c}\} \) converges to \( D \) for each \( c \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-2\} \). Finally, since \( \{a_{bn+c}\} \) converges to \( D \) for each \( c \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \), we conclude that \( \{a_j\} \) converges to \( D \), as desired.

Now we are ready to state and prove the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 1.6.** Fix \( r, j, m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( j \leq m \) and \( rm \geq 2 \). Then the density of the set of \( H \)-wise relatively \( r \)-prime ordered \( m \)-tuples of polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) equals
\[ \prod_{f \text{ irred.}} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{r\deg f}}\right)^{m-k} \left(\frac{1}{q^{r\deg f}}\right)^k \right], \]
where it is understood that the product is over all monic irreducible polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \).

**Proof:** Fix a monic irreducible polynomial \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) and let \( K_f \) denote the set of ordered \( m \)-tuples \( (g_1, \ldots, g_m) \) such that \( f \) divides the gcd of \( k \) of the
entries from \((g_1, \ldots, g_m)\) whenever these \(k\) entries form an independent vertex set. Then by Lemma 3.4, we have

\[
\mathbb{D}(K_f) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^r \deg f} \right)^{m-k} \left(\frac{1}{q^r \deg f} \right)^k.
\]

However for any \(x \in [0, 1]\), Bernoulli’s Inequality implies that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) x^k (1 - x)^{m-k} \geq (1 - x)^m + mx(1 - x)^{m-1}
\]

\[
= (1 - x)^{m-1}(1 + (m-1)x)
\]

\[
geq (1 - (m-1)x)(1 + (m-1)x)
\]

\[
= 1 - (m-1)^2 x^2.
\]

Therefore, letting \(x = q^{-\deg f}\) yields

\[
\mathbb{D}(K_f) \leq \left(\frac{m-1}{q^r \deg f} \right)^2.
\]

Next, let \(S_t\) be the set of monic irreducible polynomials of a degree greater or equal to \(t\) where \(t \in \mathbb{N}\), and set \(E_t = E_{S_t}\). Moreover, let \(\tilde{S}\) be the set of all monic irreducible polynomials in \(\mathbb{F}_q[x]\). Then,

\[
\mathbb{D}(E_t \setminus E) \leq \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{|\bigcup_{f \in \tilde{S}, \deg f \geq t} K_f \cap M_N|}{|M_N|}
\]

\[
\leq \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{f \in \tilde{S}, \deg f \geq t} |K_f \cap M_N|}{|M_N|}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{f \in \tilde{S}, \deg f \geq t} \mathbb{D}(K_f).
\]

Since \(\mathbb{D}(K_f) = \mathbb{D}(K_f)\), we obtain

\[
\mathbb{D}(E_t \setminus E) \leq \sum_{f \in \tilde{S}, \deg f \geq t} \mathbb{D}(K_f)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{f \in \tilde{S}, \deg f \geq t} \left(\frac{m-1}{q^r \deg f} \right)^2
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=t+1}^{\infty} \frac{(m-1)^2}{q^{2rj}} \cdot \varphi(j),
\]

where \(\varphi(j)\) denotes the number of monic irreducible polynomials of degree \(j\) in \(\mathbb{F}_q[x]\).
Since any irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ with degree $j$ divides $x^{q^j} - x$ (which has no multiple roots), we have $j \cdot \varphi(j) \leq q^j$. Therefore

$$\overline{D}(E_t \setminus E) \leq \sum_{j=t+1}^{\infty} \frac{(m-1)^2}{jq^{(2r-1)j}} \leq \frac{(m-1)^2}{q^j (q-1)},$$

in which the last inequality follows from

$$\sum_{j=t+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{jq^{(2r-1)j}} = \frac{1}{q^{(2r-1)(t+1)}} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j+t+1)q^{(2r-1)j}} \leq \frac{1}{q^{(2r-1)(t+1)}} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{(2r-1)j}} \leq \frac{1}{q^t (q-1)}.$$

Next, since $E \cap M_N \subseteq E_t \cap M_N$, it follows that

$$\overline{D}(E) \leq \overline{D}(E_t) \leq \overline{D}(E_t).$$

Similarly, since $E \cap M_N = (E_t \cap M_N) - (E_t \setminus E \cap M_N)$, we obtain

$$\underline{D}(E) \geq \underline{D}(E) - \underline{D}(E_t \setminus E) \geq \overline{D}(E_t) - \frac{(m-1)^2}{q^t (q-1)}.$$

Finally noting that $\underline{D}(E_t)$ exists, we conclude by letting $t \to \infty$ that

$$\underline{D}(E) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \underline{D}(E_t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \prod_{f \in S_t} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^r \deg f}\right) ^{m-k} \left(\frac{1}{q^r \deg f}\right) ^k \right],$$

$$= \prod_{f \text{ irred.}} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} i_k(H) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^r \deg f}\right) ^{m-k} \left(\frac{1}{q^r \deg f}\right) ^k \right],$$

and this concludes the proof. \[\square\]

In a manner reminiscent of the previous section, we conclude by giving without proof the analogue of Corollary 2.5 for $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ as originally given in [4].

**Corollary 3.5.** Fix $r, j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j \leq m$ and $rm \geq 2$. Then the density of the set of $j$-wise relatively $r$-prime ordered $m$-tuples of elements in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ equals

$$\prod_{f \text{ irred.}} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \binom{m}{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^r \deg f}\right) ^{m-k} \left(\frac{1}{q^r \deg f}\right) ^k \right],$$

where it is understood that the product is over all monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. 13
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