WHEN DEGREE OF ROUGHNESS IS A NEIGHBORHOOD OVER LOCALLY SOLID RIESZ SPACES
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Abstract. In this paper we introduce the notion of rough weighted $I_\tau$-limit points set and weighted $I_\tau$-cluster points set in a locally solid Riesz space which are more generalized version of rough weighted $I$-limit points set and weighted $I$-cluster points set in a $\theta$-metric space respectively. Successively to compare with the following important results of Fridy [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (4) (1993), 1187-1192] and Das [Topology Appl. 159 (10-11) (2012), 2621-2626], respectively be stated as

(i): Any number sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the statistical cluster points set of $x$ is closed,
(ii): In a topological space the $I$-cluster points set is closed,

1. Introduction

The idea of convergence of a sequence in a norm linear space $(X, ||.||)$ had been extended to rough convergence first by Phu [20] as follows: Let $r$ be a non-negative real number, a sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $X$ is said to be rough convergent to $x_*$ w.r.t the roughness of degree $r$, denoted by $x_n \overset{r}{\rightarrow} x_*$ provided that

for any $\varepsilon > 0 \exists n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}: n \geq n_\varepsilon \Rightarrow ||x_n - x_*|| \leq r + \varepsilon.$

The set $\text{LIM}^r x = \{x_* \in X : x_n \overset{r}{\rightarrow} x_*\}$ is called the $r$-limit set of the sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Phu studied the set $\text{LIM}^r x$ of all such points and showed that this set is bounded, closed and convex.

In the year 2013, the idea of rough $I$-convergence was introduced by Pal et al. [19] as a generalization of rough convergence [20, 21], statistical convergence [8, 28], rough statistical convergence [4] and $I$-convergence [14, 16] which is based on the structure of the ideal $I$ of subsets of the set $\mathbb{N}$ as: Let $r$ be a non-negative real number. A sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $X$ is said to be rough $I$-convergent to $x_*$, denoted by $x_n \overset{I}{\rightarrow} x_*$, provided for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the set

$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_n - x_*|| \geq r + \varepsilon\} \in I.$

The basic properties of this interesting concept were studied by Pal et al. [19] in an arbitrary norm linear space. We could follow references [3, 7, 9, 15, 24, 26] related to
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the concepts of statistical convergence, rough convergence and others.

One of the most impressive generalizations of the notion of rough \(I\)-convergence is the concept rough weighted \(I\)-convergence. Motivated from the definitions of rough weighted statistical limit points set and weighted statistical cluster points set \([9]\), recently Ghosal et al. \([11]\) introduced the notion of rough weighted \(I\)-limit points set and weighted \(I\)-cluster points set on \(\theta\)-metric space \((X, d_{\theta})\) by using the weighted sequence of real numbers \(\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) (i.e., \(t_n > \delta\), for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) for some positive real number \(\delta\)) as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** \([11]\)** Let \(r\) be a non-negative real number** and \(\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a weighted sequence. A sequence \(x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in a \(\theta\)-metric space \(X\) is said to be rough weighted \(I\)-convergent to \(x_\star \in X\) w.r.t the roughness of degree \(r\) if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\),

\[
\{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n d_{\theta}(x_n, x_\star) \geq r + \varepsilon\} \in I.
\]

In this case we write \(x_n \overset{W_I}{\rightarrow} x_\star\). The set \(W_I - LIM^r x = \{x_\star \in X : x_n \overset{W_I}{\rightarrow} x_\star\}\) is called the rough weighted \(I\)-limit set of the sequence \(x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) with degree of roughness \(r\). The sequence \(x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is said to be rough weighted \(I\)-convergent provided that \(W_I - LIM^r x \neq \emptyset\). Visit \([13, 17]\) for more references related this topic.

**Definition 1.2.** \([11]\)** Let \(\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a weighted sequence and \(c^* \in X\) is called a weighted \(I\)-cluster point of a sequence \(x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in a \(\theta\)-metric space \(X\) if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\),

\[
\{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n d_{\theta}(x_n, c^*) < \varepsilon\} \notin I.
\]

We denote the set of all weighted \(I\)-cluster points of the sequence \(x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) by \(W_I(\Gamma_x)\).

The notion of Riesz space was first introduced by Riesz \([22]\) in 1928 and since then it has found several applications in measure theory, operator theory, optimization. It is well known that a topology on a vector space that makes the operations of addition and scalar multiplication continuous is called a linear topology and a vector space endowed with a linear topology is called a topological vector space. A Riesz space is an ordered vector space which is also a lattice, endowed with a linear topology. Further if it has a base consisting of solid sets at zero then it is known as a locally solid Riesz space. We briefly recall some of the basic notions in the theory of Riesz space and we refer readers to \([2, 18, 23, 25]\) for more details.

**Definition 1.3.** \([1]\)** Let \(L\) be a real vector space and \(\leq\) be a partial order on this space. \(L\) is said to be an ordered vector space if it satisfies the following properties:

(i) If \(x, y \in L\) and \(y \leq x\), then \(y + z \leq x + z\) for each \(z \in L\).

(ii) If \(x, y \in L\) and \(y \leq x\), then \(\lambda y \leq \lambda x\) for each \(\lambda \geq 0\).

In addition, if \(L\) is a lattice with respect to the partial ordering, \(L\) is said to be a Riesz space (or a vector lattice).

For an element \(x\) in a Riesz space \(L\) the positive and negative parts of \(x\) are defined by \(x^+ = x \vee \theta\) and \(x^- = (-x) \vee \theta\) respectively. The absolute value of \(x\) by \(|x| = x \vee (-x)\), where \(\theta\) is the element zero of \(L\).

A subset \(S\) of a Riesz space \(L\) is said to be solid if \(y \in S\) and \(|x| \leq |y|\) imply \(x \in S\).

A topology \(\tau\) on a real vector space \(L\) that makes the addition and the scalar multiplication continuous is said to be a linear topology, that is, the topology \(\tau\) makes the functions

\[
(x, y) \to x + y \text{ (from } (L \times L, \tau \times \tau) \to (L, \tau)),
\]

\[
(\lambda, x) \to \lambda x \text{ (from } (\mathbb{R} \times L, \sigma \times \tau) \to (L, \tau))
\]
continuous, where \( \sigma \) is the usual topology on \( \mathbb{R} \). In this case, the pair \( (L, \tau) \) is called a topological vector space.

Every linear topology \( \tau \) on a vector space \( L \) has a base \( \mathcal{N} \) for the neighborhoods of \( \theta \) (zero) satisfying the following properties:

(a) Each \( V \in \mathcal{N} \) is a balanced set, that is, \( \lambda x \in V \) holds for all \( x \in V \) and every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( |\lambda| \leq 1 \).

(b) Each \( V \in \mathcal{N} \) is an absorbing set, that is, for every \( x \in L \), there exists a \( \lambda > 0 \) such that \( \lambda x \in V \).

(c) For each \( V \in \mathcal{N} \) there exists some \( W \in \mathcal{N} \) with \( W + W \subseteq V \).

**Definition 1.4.** [1] A linear topology \( \tau \) on a vector space \( L \) is said to be locally solid if \( \tau \) has a base at zero consisting of solid sets. A locally solid Riesz space \( (L, \tau) \) is a Riesz space \( L \) equipped with a locally solid topology \( \tau \).

The symbol \( \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \) will stand for a base at zero consisting of solid sets and satisfying the properties (a), (b) and (c) in a locally solid topology.

**Definition 1.5.** [12] A subset \( A \) of a Riesz space \( (L, \tau) \) is said to be topologically bounded or \( \tau \)-bounded if for every neighborhood \( U \) of zero there exists some \( \lambda > 0 \) such that \( A \subset \lambda U \). If \( A \) is not \( \tau \)-bounded then it is called \( \tau \)-unbounded.

**Definition 1.6.** [12] A subset \( B \) of a Riesz space \( (L, \tau) \) is said to be order bounded if it is contained in some order interval.

**Definition 1.7.** [5] A sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in a topological space \( (X, \tau) \) is said to be \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergent to \( x \in X \) if for any \( U \in \tau \) containing \( x \), \( \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I} \).

Naturally a prominent question may arise that, does there exists any notion of convergence in a topological vector space which could transform the core factor **degree of roughness** ‘\( r \)’ to a **neighborhood** ‘\( V \)’ of a topological vector space \((X, \tau)\). For answering this question, a different aspect of rough weighted \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergence been prominently discussed, following the concepts of Definition 1.1 and 1.2, specifically by replacing ‘\( \theta \)’-metric space \( X \), ‘\( r \)’ and ‘\( \varepsilon \)’ by the ‘locally solid Riesz space \( L \)’, ‘\( V \)’ (where \( V \) is a fixed \( \tau \)-neighborhood of the zero element of \( L \)) and ‘\( U \)’ (where \( U \) is any arbitrary \( \tau \)-neighborhood of the zero element of \( L \)) respectively. We introduce the following definitions:

**Definition 1.8.** Let \( \mathcal{I} \) be an admissible ideal of \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( t = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a weighted sequence of real numbers. A sequence \( x = \{x_n\} \) in a locally solid Riesz space \((L, \tau)\) is said to be rough weighted \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-convergent to \( x_\ast \in L \) w.r.t the roughness of degree \( V \) (where \( V \) is a \( \tau \)-neighborhood of \( \theta \)) if for every \( \tau \)-neighborhood \( U \) of \( \theta \), denoted by \( x_n \xrightarrow[\mathcal{I}_\tau]{V} x_\ast \), the following expression holds,

\[
\{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n(x_n - x_\ast) \notin V + U\} \in \mathcal{I}.
\]

We shall write \( W_{\mathcal{I}_\tau} - \text{LIM}^V x = \{x_\ast \in X : x_n \xrightarrow[\mathcal{I}_\tau]{V} x_\ast\} \) to denote the set of all rough weighted \( \mathcal{I} \)-limit points of the sequences \( x = \{x_n\} \) with degree of roughness \( V \).

**Definition 1.9.** An element \( c \in L \) is called weighted \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-cluster point of a sequence \( x = \{x_n\} \) in \( L \) for every \( \tau \)-neighborhood \( U \) of \( \theta \), denoted by \( x_n \xrightarrow[\mathcal{I}_\tau]{W_{\mathcal{I}_\tau}} c \), the set \( \{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n(x_n - c) \notin U\} \notin \mathcal{I} \).

The set of all weighted \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-cluster points of the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is denoted by \( W_{\mathcal{I}_\tau} \Gamma^\tau_x \).
Our main objective is to interpret the topological structure of the new convergence and characterize the rough weighted $\mathcal{I}_r$-limit set and weighted $\mathcal{I}_r$-cluster points set in a locally solid Riesz space. In addition, we give some results about the relationship between the sets $W\mathcal{I}_r - LIM^V x$ and $W\mathcal{T}_r^x$.

2. Main Results

Followed by the Definition 1.8 of rough weighted $\mathcal{I}_r$-convergence over locally solid Riesz spaces we give the necessary condition for $W\mathcal{I}_r - LIM^V x$ to be convex.

Theorem 2.1. If $V$ is convex then the set $W\mathcal{I}_r - LIM^V x$ is convex.

Proof. Let $U$ be an arbitrary $\tau$-neighborhood of zero. Then there exists a $W \in \mathcal{N}_{sol}$ such that $W + W \subseteq U$. Since $W$ is a balanced set, we get $\lambda W \subseteq W$ and $(1 - \lambda)W \subseteq W$ for all $0 < \lambda < 1$. If $x_*, y_* \in W\mathcal{I}_r - LIM^V x$ then we have $A = \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k (x_k - x_*) \in V + W \} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$, $B = \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k (x_k - y_*) \in V + W \} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$, where $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{ M \subseteq \mathbb{N} : M \in \mathcal{I} \}$ is a filter associate with the ideal $\mathcal{I}$. Consequently $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$. Further let $k \in A \cap B$. Thus

$$t_k [x_k - (\lambda x_* + (1 - \lambda)y_*)] = \lambda t_k (x_k - x_*) + (1 - \lambda) t_k (x_k - y_*) 
\in \lambda (V + W) + (1 - \lambda)(V + W) \subseteq V + W + W \subseteq V + U.$$ 

This implies $A \cap B \subseteq \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k [x_k - (\lambda x_* + (1 - \lambda)y_*)] \in V + U \}$. So it follows that $W\mathcal{I}_r - LIM^V x$ is convex. \hfill $\square$

The converse of Theorem 2.1 is not true in general. To prove this important fact, we consider an example as follows:

Example 1. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ of natural density zero. Let us consider the locally solid Riesz Space $(\mathbb{R}^2, || \cdot ||)$ with the max norm $|| \cdot ||$ and coordinate-wise ordering. The family $\mathcal{N}_{sol}$ of all $U(\varepsilon)$ defined as $U(\varepsilon) = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2 : || \alpha || < \varepsilon \}$ where $\varepsilon > 0$, constitutes a base at $\theta = (0, 0)$. Let us define the sequence $x = \{ x_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the weighted sequence $t = \{ t_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\tau$-neighborhood of $\theta$, say $V$, in the following manner:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } n \neq m^2 \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (n, n) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$t_n = n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } V = \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : || (\xi, \eta) || \leq 1 \} \cup \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \xi = \eta \}.$$

Therefore $W\mathcal{I}_r - LIM^V x = \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \xi - \eta = 1 \}$ is a convex set but not $V$. \hfill $\square$

Eliminating the condition of convexity from the aforementioned theorem, then the result may not be true.

Example 2. Consider the locally solid Riesz Space $(\mathbb{R}^2, || \cdot ||)$, where $|| (\xi, \eta) || = |\xi| + |\eta|$ for $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and coordinate-wise ordering. The family $\mathcal{N}_{sol}$ of all $U(\varepsilon)$ defined as $U(\varepsilon) = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2 : || \alpha || < \varepsilon \}$ where $\varepsilon > 0$, constitutes a base at $\theta = (0, 0)$. Consider the similar sequence as in Example 1, weighted sequence $t_n = e$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V = \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : || (\xi, \eta) || \leq \pi \} \cup \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \eta = \sin \xi \}$. Taking the similar ideal as in example 1, we get $W\mathcal{I}_r - LIM^V x = \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |1 - \xi| + |\eta| \leq \pi \} \cup \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : e\eta = \sin(e\xi - e) \}$, which is not a convex set. So we conclude that if $V$ is not a convex set then the set $W\mathcal{I}_r - LIM^V x$ may or may not be convex.

Ghosal et al. [11, Theorem 3.1], had shown that if the weighted sequence is not $\mathcal{I}$-bounded the the set $W\mathcal{I} - LIM^V x$ contains at most one element. While reformulating the above theorem based over locally solid Riesz Space, the object of “$W\mathcal{I} - LIM^V x$...
contains at most one element" violates. We exemplify this assertion below i.e., if the weighted sequence is not \( I \)-bounded then the set \( WI_T - \text{LIM}^V x \) may not be singleton infact it may be infinite and \( \tau \)-unbounded.

**Example 3.** Consider the ideal \( I = \{ A \subset \mathbb{N} : \sum_{a \in A} a^{-1} < \infty \} \) and the locally solid Riesz Space \( (\mathbb{R}^2; \| \cdot \|) \), where \( \| \cdot \| \) is the Euclidean norm. Let us define the sequence \( x = \{ x_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and the weighted sequence \( t = \{ t_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in the following manner:

\[
x_n = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{n}, 0) & \text{if } n \neq m^p \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, \\ (n, 0) & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

and \( t_n = n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

It is very obvious that the sequence \( x = \{ x_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is not weighted \( I \)-convergent to any point of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Let \( V = \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -1 < \eta < 1 \} \). Then \( WI_T - \text{LIM}^V x = \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \eta = 0 \} \). This example shows that the sequence \( \{ x_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is not weighted \( I \)-convergent to any point but the rough weighted \( I \)-limit set is \( \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \eta = 0 \} \) which is infinite and \( \tau \)-unbounded. \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.2.** If the weighted sequence \( t = \{ t_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is not \( I \)-bounded and \( V \) be \( \tau \)-bounded then the rough weighted \( I \)-limit set \( WI_T - \text{LIM}^V x \) of a sequence \( x = \{ x_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in locally solid Hausdorff Riesz spaces can have at most one element.

**Proof.** If \( WI_T - \text{LIM}^V x \neq \emptyset \), the theorem is obvious. So assuming \( WI_T - \text{LIM}^V x = \emptyset \).

If possible let \( x_* \) and \( y_* \) be two distinct elements in \( WI_T - \text{LIM}^V x \). Let \( \alpha = x_* - y_* \). If \( \alpha \neq 0 \) then there exists a positive real number \( \theta \) such that \( \theta \in U \) but \( \alpha \notin U \) (since the Riesz space is \( T_2 \)).

Now \( V \) is \( \tau \)-bounded so is \( B = V + V + V \). So there exists a positive real number \( p \) such that \( B \subset pU \). Let \( A_1 = \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k \geq p \} \). Since \( t = \{ t_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is not \( I \)-bounded so \( A_1 \notin I \). Since \( V \) is a \( \tau \)-neighborhood of \( \theta \) so there exists \( W \in N_{sol} \) such that \( W + W \subset V \). Let

\[
A_2 = \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - x_*) \in V + W \} \quad \text{and} \quad A_3 = \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - y_*) \in V + W \},
\]

then \( A_2, A_3 \in \mathcal{F}(I) \). Let \( A = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3 \) then \( A \neq \emptyset \) as well as \( A \) is an infinite subset of \( \mathbb{N} \).

Therefore \( A \subseteq \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k \alpha \in B \} \) and so \( \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k \alpha \in B \} \neq \emptyset \), i.e., \( t_k \alpha \in B \) for all \( k \in A \).

Since \( \alpha \notin U \), we get \( t_k \alpha \notin t_k U \) for all \( k \in A \).

\[
\{ t_k \alpha : k \in A \} \notin t_l U \Rightarrow B \subset t_l U \quad \text{for no } l \in A,
\]

which is a contradiction. Hence \( \alpha = \theta \) and our result is established. \( \square \)

Our next example proves that if \( V \) be \( \tau \)-bounded then the set \( WI_T - \text{LIM}^V x \) may not be an order bounded set.

**Example 4.** Let \( I \) is the ideal of subsets of \( \mathbb{N} \) of natural density zero and \( L \) be the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions on \( I = [0,1] \) with the usual point-wise ordering, i.e., for \( x, y \in L \), we define \( x \leq y \) if and only if \( x(t) \leq y(t) \) for every \( t \in I \). Consider the map \( \| \cdot \| : L \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by \( \| x \| = (\int_I x^2(s)ds)^{\frac{1}{2}} \), where \( x \in L \). Then \( (L, \tau) \) forms a locally solid Riesz space. Put \( V = \{ x \in L : \| x \| \leq 1 \} \). Again we consider the weighted sequence \( t = \{ t_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and the sequence \( x = \{ x_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in the following manner:

\[
t_n = \begin{cases} 2 + \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \neq m^2 \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 3 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

and \( x_n(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \neq m^2 \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases} \)
for all \( s \in I, n \in \mathbb{N} \). Thus it follows that \( W \mathcal{I}_\tau - LIM^V x = \frac{1}{2}V \) is \( \tau \)-bounded but not order bounded. \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \((L, \tau)\) be a Hausdorff locally solid Riesz space and \( V \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \) such that \( V + V + U \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \) for all \( U \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \). For a sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( L \), we have

\[
x_* - y_* \in \frac{V + V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} \quad \text{for all } x_*, y_* \in W \mathcal{I}_\tau - LIM^V x.
\]

In addition, if \( V \) is \( \tau \)-bounded then \( W \mathcal{I}_\tau - LIM^V x \) is a \( \tau \)-bounded set.

**Proof.** Let \( U \) be an arbitrary \( \tau \)-neighborhood of zero. Then there exist \( U_0, U_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \) such that \( U_0 \subseteq U \) and \( U_1 \subseteq U_0 \). Thus \( K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) \), where \( K_1 = \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - x_s) \in V + U_1 \} \) and \( K_2 = \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - y_s) \in V + U_1 \} \). Consequently \( K_1 \cap K_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) \).

Moreover if \( k \in K_1 \cap K_2 \) then \( t_k(x_* - y_*) = t_k(x_* - x_k) + t_k(x_k - y_*) \in V + U_1 + V + U_1 \subseteq V + V + U_0 \) for all \( k \in K_1 \cap K_2 \). Also for \( k \in K_1 \cap K_2 \),

\[
\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n |(x_* - y_*)| \leq t_k |(x_* - y_*)| \in V + V + U_0.
\]

This implies \( (x_* - y_*) \in \frac{V + V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} + \frac{U_0}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} \subseteq \frac{V + V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} + \frac{U_0}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} \). Hence \( (x_* - y_*) \in \frac{V + V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} \)

since \((L, \tau)\) is Hausdorff and the intersection of all \( \tau \)-neighborhoods \( U \) of zero is the singleton \( \{0\} \).

Now we proceed to the second part of the theorem. Let \( W \mathcal{I}_\tau - LIM^V x \neq \emptyset \) and \( x_* \in W \mathcal{I}_\tau - LIM^V x \). From the above argument we get \( W \mathcal{I}_\tau - LIM^V x \subseteq \frac{V + V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} + \{x_*\} \). \( \square \)

**Remark 1.** Naturally a question may arise that, does there exist any neighbourhood \( V \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \) in a Hausdorff locally solid Riesz space such that \( V + V + U \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \) for all \( U \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \)?

For answering the above question we consider the Hausdorff locally solid Riesz space same as in Example 1 and define the neighbourhood \( V \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \) in the following manner:

\( V = U(1) \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \) where \( U(\varepsilon) = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ||\alpha|| < \varepsilon \} \) for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and it is clear that

\( V + V + U(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \) for all \( U(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sol}} \).

Further we discuss the closeness of rough weighted \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-limit set over locally solid Riesz spaces. Our next example assures that rough weighted \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-limit set is not closed.

**Example 5.** Consider the locally solid Riesz Space \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and the ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) as in Example 3. Let us define the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and the weighted sequence \( t = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in the following manner;

\[
x_n = \begin{cases} (1, -\frac{2}{n}) & \text{if } n \neq m^2 \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (n, -n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } t_n = n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Let \( V = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -1 < \xi < 1, \eta \geq -1 \} \). Therefore \( W \mathcal{I}_\tau - LIM^V x = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \xi = 1, \eta < 0 \} \) is not closed. In fact it is not an open set. \( \square \)

A careful inspection of the previous examples exhibit how the set \( W \mathcal{I}_\tau - LIM^V x \) could be generalized. We do so in the next theorem.
Theorem 2.4. Let \((L, \tau)\) be a Hausdorff locally solid Riesz space. Hence we assert

\[
W\tau - \text{LIM}^V x \begin{cases} 
\text{is closed}; \text{if weighted sequence be } \mathcal{I}\text{-bounded,} \\
\text{is closed}; \text{if weighted sequence is not } \mathcal{I}\text{-bounded and } V \text{ be } \tau\text{-bounded,} \\
\text{has no definite conclusion}; \text{if weighted sequence is not } \mathcal{I}\text{-bounded and } V \text{ be } \tau\text{-unbounded.}
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. Case 1: Let the weighted sequence \(t = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be \(\mathcal{I}\)-bounded. In this case there exists a positive real number \(M\) such that \(K_1 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k < M\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})\). Assume \(p_\ast \in W\mathcal{I}_\tau - \text{LIM}^V x\). Then there exists a sequence \(\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(W\mathcal{I}_\tau - \text{LIM}^V x\) such that \(p_n \rightarrow p_\ast\) as \(n \rightarrow \infty\) (by using 1st countable property of \(L\)). Naturally \(M_{p_n} \rightarrow M_{p_\ast}\) as \(n \rightarrow \infty\).

Again we consider \(U\) is any neighborhood of \(\theta\) and corresponding to \(U\) there exists a \(U_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{col}}\) such that \(U_0 + U_0 \subset U\). Hence \(M_{(p_n - p_\ast)} \in U_0\) for all \(n \geq k_0\), where \(k_0\) is a positive integer depends on \(U_0\).

On the other hand if \(k \in K_1\) then \(t_k < M\) implies \(t_k|p_{k_0} - p_\ast| \leq M|p_{k_0} - p_\ast|\) and finally \(|t_k(p_{k_0} - p_\ast)| \leq |M(p_{k_0} - p_\ast)||\).

Since \(M(p_{k_0} - p_\ast) \in U_0\) and \(U_0\) is a solid set so \(t_k(p_{k_0} - p_\ast) \in U_0\) for all \(k \in K_1\).

We define the set \(K_2 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - p_{k_0}) \in V + U_0\}\). Then \(p_{k_0} \in W\mathcal{I}_\tau - \text{LIM}^V x\) follows \(K_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})\). For \(k \in K_1 \cap K_2\), \(t_k(x_k - p_\ast) = t_k(x_k - p_{k_0}) + t_k(p_{k_0} - p_\ast) \in V + U_0 + U_0 \subset V + U\).

This implies \(K_1 \cap K_2 \subset \{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - p_\ast) \in V + U\}\). Clearly \(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - p_\ast) \in V + U\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})\). So we conclude case 1.

Case 2: If the weighted sequence \(t = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is not \(\mathcal{I}\)-bounded and \(V\) is \(\tau\)-bounded then from Theorem 2.2, the set \(W\mathcal{I}_\tau - \text{LIM}^V x\) becomes either singleton or empty. Hence it is closed.

Case 3: From Examples 1, 2 and 5 it is clear that the the set \(W\mathcal{I}_\tau - \text{LIM}^V x\) neither open nor closed. 

We initiate this section with the definitions of weighted \(\tau\)-boundedness and weighted \(\mathcal{I}\tau\)-boundedness over a locally solid Riesz space \(L\).

Definition 2.1. Let \((L, \tau)\) be a locally solid Riesz space and \(t = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a weighted sequence. A sequence \(x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(L\) is said to be weighted \(\tau\)-bounded if for every \(\tau\)-neighborhood \(U\) of \(\theta\) there exists some \(\lambda > 0\) such that \(\lambda t_k x_k \notin U\) at most for finitely many \(k\).

Definition 2.2. Let \((L, \tau)\) be a locally solid Riesz space and \(t = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a weighted sequence. A sequence \(x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(L\) is said to be weighted \(\mathcal{I}\tau\)-bounded if for every \(\tau\)-neighborhood \(U\) of \(\theta\) there exists some \(\lambda > 0\) such that \(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda t_k x_k \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I}\).

Theorem 2.5. If a sequence \(x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be weighted \(\mathcal{I}\tau\)-bounded then for every \(\tau\)-neighborhood \(V\) of \(\theta\) there exists a positive real number \(\mu\) such that \(W\mathcal{I}_\tau - \text{LIM}^{\mu V} x \neq \emptyset\).

Proof. Since \(x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is weighted \(\mathcal{I}\tau\)-bounded then for every \(\tau\)-neighborhood \(V\) of \(\theta\) there exists some \(\lambda > 0\) such that

\[
\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda t_k x_k \in V\} = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda t_k (x_k - \theta) \in V\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}).
\]

Therefore \(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k (x_k - \theta) \in \frac{1}{\lambda} V + U\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})\), where \(U\) is an arbitrary \(\tau\)-neighborhood of \(\theta\). Setting \(\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}\). Hence the set \(W\mathcal{I}_\tau - \text{LIM}^{\mu V} x\) contains the null element of \(L\). 

\[\Box\]
But the converse is not true. We choose an example to emphasis our assertion.

**Example 6.** Consider the ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) and the locally solid Riesz Space \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) as defined in Example 3. Let us define the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and the weighted sequence \( t = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in the respective order:

\[
x_n = \begin{cases} 
(2 + \frac{1}{n}, 1 + \frac{3}{n}) & \text{if } n \neq m^2 \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(5, -5) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\quad \text{and } t_n = \sqrt{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

If \( V \) be any arbitrary \( \tau \)-neighborhood of \( \theta \) and \( \mu \) be any positive real number then obviously \( (2, 1) \in W\mathcal{I}_\tau - \lim^{\mu V} x \) and so \( W\mathcal{I}_\tau - \lim^{\mu V} x \neq \emptyset \). For any positive real number \( \lambda \) we get

\[
\lambda t_n x_n = \begin{cases} 
(2\lambda \sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \lambda \sqrt{n} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{n}}) & \text{if } n \neq m^2 \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(5\lambda \sqrt{n}, -5\lambda \sqrt{n}) & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

In this case \( \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda t_k x_k \notin V\} \notin \mathcal{I} \). Therefore the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is not \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-bounded although \( W\mathcal{I}_\tau - \lim^{\mu V} x \neq \emptyset \). \( \square \)

If we consider the sequence \( x_n = (\frac{1}{n}, 0) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) as taken in Example 3, \( t_n = n^2 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \mathcal{I} \) an arbitrary ideal. Hence the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-bounded as well as \( \tau \)-bounded, but \( W\mathcal{I}_\Gamma_x^\tau = \emptyset \).

As an immediate consequence the following example shows that the set \( W\mathcal{I}_\tau \Gamma_x^\tau \) is empty even if the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is weighted \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-bounded.

**Example 7.** Consider the infinite dimensional normed space \( l^2 \) space with the norm

\[
||x|| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

with coordinatewise ordering. So \( l^2 \) is a locally solid Riesz Space. The family \( \mathcal{N}_{sol} \) of all \( U(\varepsilon) \) defined as \( U(\varepsilon) = \{x \in l^2 : ||x|| < \varepsilon \} \) where \( \varepsilon > 0 \), constitutes a base at \( \theta = (0, 0, ...) \). We consider the ideal \( \mathcal{I} = \{K \subset \mathbb{N} : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|K \cap \{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}|}{n} = 0\} \), the sequence \( \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( l^2 \) such that \( x_n = e_n \), where \( e_n \) has \( n^{th} \) term 1 and other terms are 0 and \( t_n = 2 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Therefore the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-bounded as well as \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-bounded but \( W\mathcal{I}_\Gamma_x^\tau = \emptyset \). \( \square \)

In the above example, if we reassure \( t_n = n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) keeping the space \( l^2 \) and the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) unaltered, the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is \( \mathcal{I}_\tau \)-bounded as well as \( \tau \)-bounded but \( W\mathcal{I}_\Gamma_x^\tau = \emptyset \).

On the other hand, another important question may arise that does the set \( W\mathcal{I}_\tau \Gamma_x^\tau \) is compact if the space is infinite dimensional? Answer is no. We sketch an important example below to answer this question.

**Example 8.** Let \( \mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_j \) where \( \Delta_j = \{2^{j-1}(2s - 1) : s \in \mathbb{N}\} \) and \( \mathcal{I} = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : A \cap \Delta_j \neq \emptyset \text{ for finitely many } j\} \). Then \( \mathcal{I} \) forms an admissible ideal. Consider another decomposition of \( \mathbb{N} \), i.e., \( D_r = \{p_r^s : s \in \mathbb{N}\} \), for all \( r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \), \( \{p_2 < p_3 < p_4, ...\} \) is a sequence of distinct primes and \( D_1 = \mathbb{N} \setminus \bigcup_{r=2}^{\infty} D_r \). Setting \( t_n = n \), if \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) such that \( x_n = e_r \), for all \( n \in D_r \) (in the locally solid Riesz
space $l^2$ as above). For each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - e_r) \in U(\varepsilon)\} \notin \mathcal{I}$. This shows that $e_r \in W_2\Gamma^+_{x}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots\}$. Then, $A(\subset W_2\Gamma^+_{x})$ is closed but not compact. So the set $W_2\Gamma^+_{x}$ is not compact. □

In [10] Friddy showed that for any number sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the statistical cluster points set of $x$ is closed. Also in [5] Das had shown that in a topological space the $\mathcal{I}$-cluster points set is closed. But the following example shows that in general, the weighted $\mathcal{I}_\tau$-cluster points set in a locally solid Riesz space may not be closed.

Example 9. Consider two decompositions of $\mathbb{N}$, as in previous Example 8 and the locally solid Riesz Space $\mathbb{R}^2$ same as Example 3. Let us define the sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the weighted sequence $t = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the following manner, $x_k = (\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{k^2}, 0)$ for all $k \in D_j$ (where $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$) and $t_k = k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $U$ be a $\tau$-neighborhood of $\theta$, so there exists some $U(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{N}_{sol}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $U(\varepsilon) \subset U$. Then for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - (\frac{1}{j}, 0)) \in U(\varepsilon)\} \notin \mathcal{I}$. This shows that $(\frac{1}{j}, 0) \in W_2\Gamma^+_{x}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Next we assume $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then there exists an integer $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \in D_j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k \in D_j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, then $k$ is of the form $k = p_j^s$ where $s \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$t_k(x_k - \theta) = p_j^s \left(\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{p_j^{2s}}, 0\right) = \left(\frac{p_j^s}{j} + \frac{1}{p_j^{2s}}, 0\right) \geq (1, 0).$$

Then $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - \theta) \in U(\alpha)\} \in \mathcal{I}$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$. This implies $\theta \notin W_2\Gamma^+_{x}$. On the other hand if $k \in D_1$, then $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - \theta) \in U(1)\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Therefore the set $W_2\Gamma^+_{x}$ is not closed.

Theorem 2.6. For a sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the weighted $\mathcal{I}_\tau$-cluster points set $W_2\Gamma^+_{x}$ is closed if the weighted sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $\mathcal{I}$-bounded.

Proof. As the weighted sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $\mathcal{I}$-bounded, there exists a non negative real number $M$ such that the set $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n < M\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$. Let $U$ is any neighborhood of $\theta$ and so there exists a $U_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{sol}$ such that $U_0 + U_0 \subset U$. Consider a sequence $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in W_2\Gamma_{x}$ such that $p_n \rightarrow p$. Hence $M(p_n - p) \in U_0$ for all $n \geq k_0$, where $k_0$ is a positive integer depends on $U_0$.

Also $p_{k_0} \in W_2\Gamma_{x}$ implies $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n(x_n - p_{k_0}) \in U_0\} \notin \mathcal{I}$. Therefore $A \cap B \notin \mathcal{I}$ otherwise $A^c \cup (A \cap B) \in \mathcal{I}$ (since $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$) we end up with a contradiction that $B \in \mathcal{I}$. For $k \in A \cap B$, we have $t_k(x_k - p) = t_k(x_k - p_{k_0}) + t_k(p_{k_0} - p) \in U_0 + U_0 \subset U$. Thus $A \cap B \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n(x_n - p) \in U\}$ demonstrates that $p \in W_2\Gamma_{x}$ and the closeness of $W_2\Gamma_{x}$ is established. □

Theorem 2.7. Let $(L, \tau)$ be a locally solid Riesz space, $x_n \xrightarrow{W_2\Gamma_{x}} c$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{W_2\Gamma_{x}} d$. Then

(i) $|x_n| \xrightarrow{W_2\Gamma_{x}} |c|$, (ii) $x_n^+ \xrightarrow{W_2\Gamma_{x}} c^+$, (iii) $x_n^- \xrightarrow{W_2\Gamma_{x}} c^-$,
(iv) $x_n \xrightarrow{W_2\Gamma_{x}} c \cap d$, (v) $x_n \xrightarrow{W_2\Gamma_{x}} c \cap d$.

Proof. Proof of (i), (ii) and (iii) are similar to proof of Theorem 4.2 [1], so omitted. For the proof of (iv) and (v) we consider the locally solid Riesz Space $\mathbb{R}^2$ as in Example 3. Let us define the sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the weighted sequence $t = \{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the manner:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} (1, -2) & \text{if } n \in \{2^p : p \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ (-1, 2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad t_n = n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ respectively.}$$
Consider the ideal \( I \) as in Example 8. Then the weighted \( I \)-cluster points set of the sequence \( x, W_{IT} = \{(1, -2), (-1, 2)\} \). So \( x_n \xrightarrow[\text{WIT}]{} (1, -2) \) and \( x_n \xrightarrow[\text{WIT}]{} (-1, 2) \) but \((1, 2), (-1, 2) \notin W_{IT} \). Hence the results.

From the above Theorem 2.6 it is clear that the set \( W_{IT} \) may not be a convex set.

We finally draw a significant relationship between the sets \( W_{IT} - LIM^V x \) and \( W_{IT} \).

**Theorem 2.8.** Let \((L, \tau)\) be a Hausdorff locally solid Riesz space and \( V \in \mathcal{N}_{sol} \) such that \( V + U \in \mathcal{N}_{sol} \) for all \( U \in \mathcal{N}_{sol} \). If \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence in \( L \), then
\[
x_* - c \in \frac{V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} \quad \text{where} \quad x_* \in W_{IT} - LIM^V x \quad \text{and} \quad c \in W_{IT}.
\]

Moreover if \( V \) is \( \tau \)-bounded, \( V + V + U \in \mathcal{N}_{sol} \) for all \( U \in \mathcal{N}_{sol} \) and \( W_{IT} - LIM^V x \) is non-empty then \( W_{IT} \) is \( \tau \)-bounded.

**Proof.** Let us consider \( U \) be an arbitrary \( \tau \)-neighborhood of zero. Then there exist \( U_0, U_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{sol} \) such that \( U_0 \subseteq U \) and \( U_1 + U_1 \subseteq U_0 \). We know that \( K_1 \in F(I) \) and \( K_2 \notin \mathcal{I} \), where \( K_1 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - x) \in V + U_1\} \) and \( K_2 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : t_k(x_k - c) \in U_1\} \).

So it follows that \( K_1 \cap K_2 \) is nonempty and an infinite set. Further \( k \in K_1 \cap K_2 \) then \( t_k(x_* - c) = t_k(x_* - x_k) + t_k(x_k - c) \in V + U_1 + U_1 \subseteq V + U_0 \). Thus we get \( t_k(x_* - c) \in V + U_0 \) for all \( k \in K_1 \cap K_2 \). For \( k \in K_1 \cap K_2 \),
\[
\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |(x_* - c)| \leq |\inf_{k \in K_1 \cap K_2} t_k(x_* - c)| \leq |t_k(x_* - c)| \in V + U_0.
\]

This implies \( (x_* - c) \in \frac{V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} + \frac{U_0}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} \subseteq \frac{V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} + \frac{U}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} \). Since \((L, \tau)\) is Hausdorff and the intersection of all \( \tau \)-neighborhoods \( U \) of zero is the singleton set \( \{\theta\} \). This shows that \( (x_* - c) \in \frac{V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} \).

To start the second part of the theorem, we let \( x_* \in W_{IT} - LIM^V x \). Applying the above argument as well as Theorem 2.3, we get
\[
W_{IT} \left( \frac{V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} + W_{IT} - LIM^V x \right) = \frac{V}{\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n} + W_{IT} - LIM^V x \text{ is } \tau \text{-bounded. Hence the results conclude.}
\]

**Remark 2.** In retrospect to Remark 1, if we consider \( V = U(1) \in \mathcal{N}_{sol} \) where \( U(\varepsilon) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ||\alpha|| < \varepsilon\} \) for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) prominently \( V + U(\varepsilon), V + V + U(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{N}_{sol} \) for all \( U(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{N}_{sol} \). So the existence of such neighbourhood \( V \) is guaranteed.

**Example 10.** In view of the above Theorem 2.8 one would naturally like to seek an example for which \( W_{IT} \) will be \( \tau \)-unbounded, i.e., in general \( W_{IT} \) is not \( \tau \)-bounded. If we replace the sequence \( x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) by the sequence \( z = \{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) where \( z_n(t) = j \) for all \( n \in \Delta_j \) (where \( j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \)) for all \( t \in I \) and \( t_n = n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) as in Example 4 then we get \( \{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset W_{IT} \) where \( I \) is the ideal as in Example 8.
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