

# THE KAZDAN–WARNER PROBLEM WITH (AND VIA) SYMMETRIES

LEONARDO F. CAVENAGHI

*Departamento de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica da Universidade Estadual de Campinas  
(Unicamp), Cidade Universitária, Campinas - SP, 13083-856*

JOÃO MARCOS DO Ó

*Departamento de Matemática – Universidade Federal da Paraíba - Campus 1, 1º floor - Lot. Cidade Universitaria  
58051-900, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil*

LLOHANN D. SPERANÇA

*Instituto de Ciência e Tecnologia – Unifesp, Avenida Cesare Mansueto Giulio Lattes, 1201, 12247-014, São José dos  
Campos, SP, Brazil*

**ABSTRACT.** After R. Schoen completed the solution to the Yamabe problem, compact manifolds could be categorized into three classes, depending on whether they admit a metric with positive, non-negative, or only negative scalar curvature. Here we follow Yamabe’s first attempt to solve his problem through variational methods and provide an analogous equivalent classification for manifolds equipped with actions by compact connected Lie groups. Moreover, we approach the Kazdan–Warner problem for manifolds with isometric actions. Namely, we provide a detailed study of admissible functions as scalar curvature for invariant Riemannian metrics. We also conclude classification results of total spaces of fiber bundles with compact structure groups concerning scalar curvature. We provide explicit examples of our results’ applications in large classes of manifolds, further obtaining density results.

## DECLARATION

**Declaration and Statements** Our manuscript has no associated data.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

To generalize the classification of closed surfaces, Yamabe [Yam60] proposed the existence of metrics of constant scalar curvature on manifolds. Once a mistake was found in Yamabe’s proof, the problem became known as the *Yamabe problem*. A complete solution came later, through the works of N. Trudinger [Tru68], T. Aubin [Aub76] and R. Schoen [Sch84], R. Schoen and S-T Yau [SY79a, SY79b, SY81]. It was interesting to understand the admissible functions as the scalar curvature of some Riemannian metrics for specific classes of smooth manifolds. This research line became known as *Kazdan–Warner problems*.

A combination of the Yamabe problem solution with the results of Kazdan–Warner [KW75a, KW75b] leads to a categorization relating classes of smooth manifolds with their admissibility scalar curvature functions. Precisely, every  $n$ -dimensional closed manifold  $M$  with  $n \geq 3$  falls in one of the following classes:

- ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) Every function is the scalar curvature of a Riemannian metric on  $M$ ;
- ( $\mathcal{L}$ ) A function is the scalar curvature of a Riemannian metric on  $M$  if and only if it is the zero function or it is negative somewhere;

---

*E-mail addresses:* leonardofcavenaghi@gmail.com, jmbo@pq.cnpq.br, lsperanca@gmail.com.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C18, 53C20, 53C21.

*Key words and phrases.* Prescribing Scalar Curvature, Yamabe problem, Kazdan–Warner problem, Elliptic PDE’s, Cheeger deformations, group symmetry.

( $\mathcal{N}$ ) A function is the scalar curvature of a Riemannian metric on  $M$  if and only if it is negative somewhere.

On the one hand, it is known that every class is non-empty and admits varieties of manifolds. Moreover, admitting metrics with positive constant scalar curvature is generally obstructed for a smooth manifold. Curiously, this obstruction is absent in non-Abelian symmetry (see Lawson–Yau [LY74]). On the other hand, a known constraint for  $G$ -invariant metrics is that its associated scalar curvature must be *basic*, i.e., invariant by the group action, hence constant along orbits. Here we approach the analogous Kazdan and Warner question on the admissibility of scalar curvature functions for  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metrics, obtaining a classification analogous to the former under fairly general assumptions.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $(M, G)$  be a closed-connected smooth manifold with dimension  $n \geq 3$  equipped with an effective action by a compact connected Lie group  $G$ . If no orbit of the  $G$ -action on  $M$  has zero dimension then  $(M, G)$  belongs to one of the following classes:*

- ( $\mathcal{P}^G$ ) *Every basic function is the scalar curvature of a  $G$ -invariant metric on  $M$ ;*
- ( $\mathcal{Z}^G$ ) *A basic function is the scalar curvature of a  $G$ -invariant metric on  $M$  if and only if it is the zero function or it is negative somewhere;*
- ( $\mathcal{N}^G$ ) *A basic function is the scalar curvature of a  $G$ -invariant metric on  $M$  if and only if it is negative somewhere.*

Moreover, if  $G$  has a non-Abelian Lie algebra,  $(M, G) \in \mathcal{P}^G$ .

We also observe that the respective classes do depend on both the manifolds and the equipped action, as L. Bergery [Ber83] provides examples of manifolds in  $\mathcal{P}$  that do not admit a  $G$ -invariant metric as in  $\mathcal{P}^G$ . Related to it, we stress that the proof of Theorem A is divided into two main results (Theorems B and C) and an auxiliary result (Theorem F). Such main results are  $G$ -invariant versions of the solution of the *Yamabe* and *Kazdan–Warner* [KW75a, Theorem A] problems, respectively.

**Theorem B** (Invariant version of the Yamabe problem). *Let  $(M, G)$  be a closed-connected smooth manifold with dimension  $n \geq 3$  equipped with an effective action by a compact connected Lie group  $G$ . If no orbit of the  $G$ -action on  $M$  has zero dimension, then*

- (1) *every  $(M, G)$  admits a  $G$ -invariant metric with constant negative scalar curvature;*
- (2) *if  $(M, G)$  admits a  $G$ -invariant metric with non-negative scalar curvature, then it admits a metric with zero scalar curvature;*
- (3) *if  $(M, G)$  admits a  $G$ -invariant metric with a non-vanishing non-negative scalar curvature, then it admits a metric with positive constant scalar curvature.*

The desired metric for Theorem B is obtained by a  $G$ -invariant conformal change of the original metric relating to Yamabe’s problem. Yamabe’s attempt to prove the existence of constant scalar curvature in fixed conformal class dates to 1960, although his proof presented an error, as pointed out by Trudinger [Tru68], due to the lack of compactness caused by a critical exponent in the Rellich–Kondrachov Theorem. By combining the works of Aubin and Schoen [Aub76, Sch84], which used Green functions among other techniques, a complete solution was given in 1984.

Surprisingly, the proof of Theorem B takes a complete turnaround and follows Yamabe’s original attempt via variational methods. The possible merit and novelty of the present paper are to show how it is possible to approach PDE problems associated with basic variationally quantities by restricting the analysis to *slices*. This dimension reduction improves the classical Rellich–Kondrachov compactness embedding, naturally handling the critical exponent case (see Theorem 4.1). In particular, we provide more definitive proof for Yamabe’s problem in the large class of invariant metrics. Related works that inspired the discussion can also be seen in [Heb90, HebVa93, HebVa97].

The second central result places Kazdan–Warner [KW75a, Theorem A] in the  $G$ -invariant setting. We observe that  $G$  can be discrete in this theorem.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $(M, G, g)$  be a closed-connected Riemannian manifold where  $G$  is a compact Lie group acting by isometries on  $M$ . Suppose that  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a basic smooth function and let  $c > 0$  be such that*

$$(1) \quad c \min_M f < \text{scal}_g(x) < c \max_M f$$

*for every  $x \in M$ . Then there is a  $G$ -invariant metric on  $M$  whose scalar curvature is  $f$ .*

An interesting aspect related to Theorem C is shown by its combination with Theorem B to promote several smooth  $G$ -invariant functions as the scalar curvature for  $G$ -invariant metrics. More precisely, admitting a metric with constant scalar curvature allows us to explore functions’ realizability by looking carefully at the sign of the constant scalar

curvature metrics. This is emphasized by the following lemma, the  $G$ -invariant version of the Approximation Lemma in [KW75b].

**Theorem D** ( $G$ -invariant Approximation Lemma). *Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed connected Riemannian manifold with an isometric action by a compact Lie group  $G$ . Let  $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and  $G$ -invariant functions belonging to  $L_G^p(M)$ . Assume that  $\min_M f \leq g \leq \max_M f$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , there exists a  $G$ -equivariant diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\Phi} : M \rightarrow M$  such that*

$$\|f \circ \tilde{\Phi} - g\|_p < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem D indicates that a metric  $\tilde{g}$  realizing  $\text{scal}_{\tilde{g}} = f$  is arbitrarily close to the orbit of  $g$  by the diffeomorphism group. Using this fact, reducing the diffeomorphism group to a given closed subgroup of the isometry group, we can show that:

**Corollary E.** *Let  $(M, G)$  be a closed smooth manifold with an effective isometric action of a compact Lie group  $G$ . If  $M \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^G$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}\}$ , then any smooth function which is the scalar curvature of a metric on  $M$  is realized by a metric arbitrarily  $L_p$ -close to a  $G$ -invariant metric, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .*

The proof of Corollary E is a direct application of Theorem C once one observes that we can regard  $M$  with appropriate  $G$ -invariant constant scalar curvature metrics, then verifying that any given function can be chosen to satisfy the required *pinching*.

We study some classical metric deformations to refine Theorem A. A deformation commonly used in the construction of metrics with positive/non-negative sectional curvature is the *Cheeger deformation* (we refer to [Zil, M87] or [CeSS18] for details). To prove Theorem F below, we base our methods on the improved version of Lawson–Yau Theorem from [CeSS18], which guarantees that every  $G$ -invariant metric  $g$  develops positive scalar curvature after Cheeger deformation, as long as  $G$  has a non-Abelian Lie algebra. Theorem F implies the last statement in Theorem A.

**Theorem F.** *Suppose that  $(M, G) \notin \mathcal{P}^G$  where  $M, G$  are compact. Then  $G$  has an Abelian Lie algebra, and there is no  $G$ -invariant metric on  $M$  such that the induced metric on the manifold part of  $M/G$  has positive scalar curvature.*

We refer to [Wie16] for results on the existence of positive scalar curvature under Abelian symmetry. A more detailed study of the evolution of the scalar curvature under Cheeger deformation improves Corollary E in compelling cases. Recall that an action is called semi-free if every isotropy group is discrete.

**Theorem G.** *Suppose that  $(M, g)$  is a compact Riemannian manifold, and  $G$  is a compact Lie group acting semi-freely by isometries in  $M$ . Assume that  $G$  has a non-Abelian Lie algebra and that  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth and basic function. Then there is a metric  $\tilde{g}$  satisfying  $\text{scal}_{\tilde{g}} = f$  which is arbitrarily  $L_p$ -close to a Cheeger deformation  $g_t$  of  $g$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , up to diffeomorphism.*

This is equivalent to saying that, fixing a  $G$ -invariant metric  $g$ , the set of scalar curvatures realized by the orbits  $\text{Diff}(M) \cdot \{g_t \mid t \in (1, \infty)\}$  is dense in the set of smooth functions. For instance, Grove–Ziller [GZ00], Goette–Kerin–Shankar [GKS20] construct several interesting 7-manifolds with semi-free  $S^3$ -actions. Theorem G applies directly to them.

As the last contributions, we recall a precursor of the Cheeger deformation is the *canonical variation*. By combining it with Theorem A and [Ber83], a result in the realm of (locally trivial) fiber bundles arises naturally: there exist substantial restrictions whenever the total space does not admit a metric with positive scalar curvature.

**Corollary H.** *Suppose that  $\pi : F \hookrightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow M$  is a compact fiber bundle with a compact structure group (acting effectively)  $G$ . If  $\overline{M} \notin \mathcal{P}$  then  $M \notin \mathcal{P}$  and  $(F, G) \notin \mathcal{P}^G$ . In particular,  $G$  has an Abelian Lie algebra.*

Even though non-Abelian symmetries disregard the constraint concerning the admissibility of positive scalar curvature on a given manifold, a last contributing, which aims to answer how rich can be the class  $\mathcal{P}^G$ , but neglecting non-Abelian symmetry, we derive:

**Theorem I.** *For each integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^G$  contains infinitely many diffeomorphism types of both spin and non-spin closed simply-connected smooth  $(n+4)$ -manifolds  $M$  with Abelian symmetry.*

## 2. THE SOBOLEV SPACE OF $G$ -INVARIANT DISTRIBUTIONS

**2.1. A self-containing minimum on isometric actions: structure results.** This section encompasses not only the proofs of our results from Theorem A to Corollary E but the needed elements in order to a better understanding of the

entire paper. We shall begin with two preliminary sections containing the essential aspects of manifolds with isometric actions, followed by the corresponding Sobolev space of  $G$ -invariant sections, also referred to as *basic sections*.

The primary reference for this section is [AB15]. We stress that our main goal in including the content written here is the sake of self-containment plus clarifying some needed aspects for the to-come proofs of several results, with particular interest being for the proof of Lemma 3.4.

We recall that for a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  to admit an *isometric action* by a Lie group  $G$ , it means there exists a representation of  $G$  into the Lie group  $\text{Iso}(g)$  of isometries of  $g$ . It is sometimes helpful to say further, meaning that a left  $G$ -action can be translated into a smooth map  $\mu : G \times M \rightarrow M$ , in a way that the representation of  $G$  in  $\text{Iso}(g)$  preserves the Lie group homomorphism composition rules, defined as  $\mu(g, x) := gx$ . See Definition 3.1 in [AB15] if needed.

It is worth recalling that the Lie group action of  $G$  on  $(M, g)$  is said to be *effective* if no element other than the unit of  $G$ , which we shall very often denote by  $e$ , fixes every element in  $M$ , that is,  $gx = x$  for every  $x \in M$  implies that  $g = e$ . When dealing with isometric actions, every result in this paper also assumes effectiveness. In addition, we shall assume  $G$  to be compact, ensuring that the action representation of  $G$  in  $\text{Iso}(g)$  is proper, meaning that it defines a smooth embedding. Under the former hypotheses, a dimensional constraint exists relating between  $n$ , the dimension of  $M$ , and  $\dim G$ . More precisely, in order for  $(M, g)$  to admit an effective isometric action is needed that

$$\dim G \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n+1).$$

The embedding condition guaranteed by the effective isometric action by a compact Lie group yields that for each  $x \in M$ , the orbit  $Gx = \{gx : g \in G\}$  is an embedded submanifold of  $M$  which happens to be diffeomorphic to  $G/G_x$ , where  $G_x := \{g \in G : gx = x\}$  is the closed Lie subgroup of  $G$  named as *the isotropy subgroup at  $x$* . Also, for our considered actions, there exists an open dense and geodesically convex subset  $M^{\text{reg}} \subset M$  which enjoys the property that any two points  $x, y \in M^{\text{reg}}$  have conjugate isotropies. So, every two orbits are diffeomorphic (see Theorem 3.82 in [AB15]). One is naturally led to ask about  $M \setminus M^{\text{reg}}$ .

In  $M \setminus M^{\text{reg}}$ , it is advantageous to discuss the concept of *stratification* (see Definition 3.100 in [AB15]). One first observes that if the  $G$ -action is *free*, meaning that: for any  $x \in M$ , the condition  $gx = x$  implies that  $g = e$ ; then  $M^{\text{reg}} = M$  since each orbit is diffeomorphic to  $G$  itself. It becomes well defined as a submersion  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/G$  via the quotient map. Moreover,  $\dim M/G = \dim M - \dim G$  and so  $\dim M = \dim G$  if, and only if,  $M/G$  is zero-dimensional and so the  $G$ -action has to be *transitive*, or in other words, there is only one  $G$ -orbit on  $M$  and it coincides with  $G = M$ . In this case, we say that  $M$  is a *homogenous space*.

In the last paragraph, we considered free actions to talk about homogeneous space, but this is unnecessary. If we weaken the assumptions on the  $G$ -action not to require that to be free, whenever we have a transitive isometric action by a closed subgroup of  $\text{Iso}(g)$ , we say that  $M$  is a homogeneous space, but in this case,  $M \cong G/G_x$  for any  $x \in M$ . In the next section, when dealing with invariant functions, we must observe that any smooth  $G$ -invariant function on a homogeneous space is necessarily a constant. This constraint shall be present when discussing some of the results.

Returning to the  $\Sigma := M \setminus M^{\text{reg}}$  stratification, let us assume connectedness for each orbit. For any two points  $x, y \in \Sigma$ , we say that  $x, y$  have the same *orbit type* if there is a  $G$ -equivariant diffeomorphism between the orbits  $Gx, Gy$ , say  $\varphi : Gx \rightarrow Gy$ . Alternatively, the isotropy subgroups at  $x$  and  $y$  are conjugate with each other. Theorem 3.57 in [AB15] ensures the existence of well-defined  $G$ -invariant tubular neighborhoods centered on  $Gx$  and  $Gy$ . Hence, a refinement to orbit type is the equivalence relation defined by *local orbit type*. Denoting by  $M_x^\sim$  the connected components of the partition on  $\Sigma$  by orbits with the same type as  $Gx$ , we say that any  $y, z \in M_x^\sim$  has the same local orbit type if there is a  $G$ -equivariant map between some  $G$ -invariant tubular neighborhood  $\text{Tub}(Gx)$  and  $\text{Tub}(Gy)$ .

Orbit type promotes an equivalence relation in  $\Sigma$ , which makes  $\Sigma$  be decomposed as a disjoint union of submanifolds with the same dimension, that is,

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \cup \dots \cup \Sigma_d,$$

where each  $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$  corresponds to the dimension of an orbit type, see Theorem 3.102 in [AB15]. As a last remark, we observe that the restriction  $\pi|_{M^{\text{reg}}} : M^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow M^{\text{reg}}/G$  defines a Riemannian submersion, the same holding as well to  $\pi|_{\Sigma_i} : \Sigma_i \rightarrow \Sigma_i/G$ , for  $i > 0$ . For our purposes, considering invariant functions allows us to choose appropriate coordinate charts in a way that any  $G$ -invariant smooth function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be locally seen as a smooth function on the quotient, either in  $M^{\text{reg}}/G$  or  $\Sigma_i/G$ . Such a dimension reduction in the domain generally allows better compact embedding results, such as some improvement of the Rellich–Kondrachov Embedding Theorem: see Theorem 4.1.

**2.2. General facts about Sobolev Spaces of  $G$ -invariant functions.** Here we discuss the ring of  $G$ -invariant (or basic) functions. Some of the already mentioned concepts in the former section shall be expanded.

Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed-connected Riemannian manifold with an effective isometric action by a compact Lie group  $G$ . Let  $Q$  denote a bi-invariant Riemannian metric on  $G$ . Recalling that the  $G$ -action on  $M$  defines a smooth map

$$\mu : G \times M \rightarrow M$$

$$\mu(g, x) := gx,$$

for each point  $x \in M$ , the map  $\mu_x(g) = gx$  induces a diffeomorphism between  $G/G_x$  and the orbit of  $G$  through  $x$ , denoted by  $Gx$ , where  $G_x$  is the isotropy subgroup at  $x$ . Moreover, the bi-invariant metric  $Q$  induces an orthogonal decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_x \oplus \mathfrak{m}_x$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_x$  is the Lie algebra of  $G_x$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  is isomorphic to  $T_x Gx$ , the isomorphism being induced by computing action fields: given an element  $U \in \mathfrak{m}_x$ , if  $\exp$  denotes the exponential map between  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $G$  and  $e$  denotes the unit element in  $G$ , then the vectors

$$U_x^* := d(\mu_x)_e(U) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp(tU)x.$$

generate  $T_x Gx$ .

Let  $W^{k,p}(M)$ ,  $k \geq 0, p \in [1, \infty)$  denote the Sobolev space of  $M$  with respect to any invariant metric  $g$ . We reinforce that since  $M$  is assumed to be closed, hence compact, we do not need to fix some specific Riemannian metric to its definitions since every possible one induces equivalent spaces: we know that  $W^{k,p}(M)$  can be recovered by smooth functions on  $M$  via taking the closure of  $C^\infty(M)$  by an appropriate norm:

$$\|u\|_{k,p}^p := \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k \int_M |D^{|\alpha|} u|^p,$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\dim M}) \in \mathbb{N}^{\dim M}$  with  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{\dim M}$  and we can locally write  $D^{|\alpha|} := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_{\dim M}^{\alpha_{\dim M}}}$ .

On the other hand, once fixed a Haar measure in  $G$ , any element  $u \in W^{k,p}(M)$  can be averaged along  $G$  to produce a basic function  $\bar{u}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{u}(gx) = \bar{u}(x)$  for almost every  $x \in M$  and every  $g \in G$ . We denote the set of such elements by  $W_G^{k,p}(M)$ . Therefore, by denoting by  $C_G^\infty(M)$  the set of smooth basic functions in  $(M, G)$ , it can be shown ([Heb96, Lemma 5.4, p. 91]) that  $\overline{C_G^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})}^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(M)}} = W_G^{k,p}(M)$ . We also adopt the convention  $W_G^{0,p}(M) = L_G^p(M)$ .

Once more, it is essential to remark that if the  $G$ -action on  $M$  is transitive, then each  $G$ -invariant function is necessarily a constant. Moreover, unless explicitly contrarily said throughout this paper, we shall assume that  $G$  is a compact Lie group with a positive dimension, i.e., it is not discrete.

Let  $\pi_E : E \rightarrow M$  be any smooth Euclidean vector bundle over  $M$ . Considering the Sobolev Space of  $G$ -invariant local sections of  $\pi_E$  is possible. More precisely, first recall that due to the local triviality of fiber bundles, one has the identification  $\pi_E^{-1}(U) \cong U \times V$ , where  $V$  is the typical fiber of  $E$  and  $U \subset M$ . Moreover, let  $\rho$  be a representation of  $G$  in  $\text{GL}(V)$ . Then,  $E|_U$  is obtained as the quotient of the following induced  $G$ -action on  $U \times G \times V$ , locally described as

$$g \cdot (x, g', v) = (x, gg', \rho(g)v).$$

Hence, if  $s : U \subset M \rightarrow E$  is a smooth local section, we say that  $s$  is  $G$ -invariant if

$$s(gx) = (x, g, \rho(g)1) = g \cdot (x, e, 1) \sim (x, e, 1) = s(x), \quad x \in U, \quad v \in V, \quad g \in G,$$

where  $1$  denotes the identity in  $V$  and  $e$  the unit element in  $g$ .  $s$  can be uniquely characterized by  $x$ , being fiberwise constant: *a  $G$ -invariant local section is decomposed by  $G$ -invariant functions on any local frame*. Needless to say that  $G$  also defines an action on the frame bundle related to  $E \rightarrow M$  via changing basis. We denote the set of these elements by  $C_G^\infty(M; E)$  and define

$$W_G^{k,p}(M; E) := \overline{C_G^\infty(M; E)}^{\|\cdot\|_{k,p}},$$

where

$$\|s\|_{k,p} := \left( \sum_{j=0}^k |\nabla_E^j s|_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}^p \right)^{1/p},$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a family of  $G$ -invariant Euclidean inner products on the fibers and  $\nabla_E$  a compatible connection on  $E$ .

In Section 3, we will consider  $E = S^2 TM \rightarrow M$ , i.e., the vector bundle over  $M$  whose fiber at  $x$  consists of the vector space of symmetric bilinear forms defined in  $T_x M$ , and the respective Sobolev space  $W_G^{2,p}(M; S^2 TM)$ . We begin our first method to approach the problem of prescribing  $G$ -invariant (or basic) functions as the scalar curvature of  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metrics. Aiming so we prove some technical results which are interesting by themselves.

### 3. A DIRECT APPROACH TO PRESCRIBING $G$ -INVARIANT SCALAR CURVATURE

One of the main results related to the problem of prescribing curvature is

**Theorem 3.1** ([KW75a], Theorem A). *Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed-connected Riemannian manifold. Then any smooth function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying*

$$\min_M cf < \text{scal}_g < \max_M cf,$$

*for some  $c > 0$ , is the scalar curvature of a Riemannian metric on  $M$ .*

In this section, we prove Theorem C, a natural adaptation of a Kazdan–Warner’s result in the  $G$ -invariant setting. For readers’ convenience, we restate it below.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed connected Riemannian manifold with an effective isometric action by a compact Lie group  $G$ . Then any smooth basic function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying*

$$(2) \quad \min_M cf < \text{scal}_g < \max_M cf,$$

*for some  $c > 0$ , is the scalar curvature of a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $M$ .*

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a closed-connected Riemannian manifold with an effective isometric action by a compact Lie group  $G$ . Denote by  $W_G^{2,p}(M; S^2 TM)$  the Sobolev space of symmetric bilinear forms in  $M$  that are invariant by the  $G$ -action (if needed, see the discussion presented in Section 2.2). Let  $K : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth  $G$ -invariant function. Following Kazdan and Warner, finding a  $G$ -invariant metric  $g$  whose scalar curvature is  $K$  consists of solving a quasilinear PDE that we write as

$$F(g) = K.$$

Here we start with  $G$ -invariant metric  $g$  and operator  $F$ . Moreover, the linearization  $A$  of  $F$  at  $g$ ,

$$Ah := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} F(g + th) =: F'(g)(h),$$

is given in coordinates by

$$Ah = -\Delta_g h_i^i + h_{,ij}^{,ij} - h_{ij} R_{ij},$$

where  $R_{ij}$  are the coordinates of the Ricci tensor of  $g$ . It’s formal  $L_G^2$ -adjoint is given by

$$(3) \quad A^*u = -(\Delta_g u)g + \text{Hess } u - u\text{Ric}(g)$$

We remark that as in [KW75a], a straightforward computation shows that the symbol of  $A^*$  is injective.

The proof of Theorem C follows easily from the following Lemmas (compare with Lemmas 1, 2, and the Approximation Lemma in [KW75a]):

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a closed manifold with an effective isometric action by a compact Lie group  $G$  and  $A = F'(g)$ . Then  $\ker A^* = \{0\}$  except maybe if  $F(g)$  is either a positive constant or  $F(g) = 0$  and  $\text{Ric}(g) = 0$ . Moreover, if  $\ker A^* = \{0\}$  then the map  $A : W_G^{2,p}(M; S^2 TM) \rightarrow L_G^p(M; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $p > 1$ , is a surjection.*

*Proof.* Our proof relies closely upon the proof of [FM74, Theorem 3, p. 480]. It is easy to check the image containing  $A|_{W_G^{2,p}(M; S^2 TM)} \subset L_G^p(M; \mathbb{R})$ . Assume first that  $F(g) = 0$  but  $\text{Ric}(g) \neq 0$  and let  $u$  be such that  $A^*u = 0$ . Taking the trace on equation (3) leads to

$$(4) \quad (n-1)(-\Delta_g u) = F(g)u = 0.$$

Hence, by the Hopf Lemma, it follows that  $u = \text{constant}$ . However, according to equation (3) we get  $u\text{Ric}(g) = 0$ , which is a contradiction except if  $u \equiv 0$ .

Assume that  $F(g)$  is not a positive constant and take  $u$  such that  $A^*u = 0$ . Taking the divergence in equation (3) gives:

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{2}u dF(g) = 0$$

where we have used that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \text{Ric}(g) &= -\frac{1}{2} dF(g), \\ \delta \text{Hess } u + d\Delta_g u + g(du, \text{Ric}(g)) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

being  $\delta$  the divergence operator.

Assume by contradiction that  $u \not\equiv 0$ . If  $u$  never vanishes, then  $dF(g) = 0$  and hence  $F(g) = \text{constant}$ . According to the first equality of (4), it follows that  $u$  is an eigenvector of  $-\Delta_g$  so  $F(g) \geq 0$ . Now our hypotheses guarantee that  $F(g) = 0$ , although the equation (3) implies that  $\text{Ric}(g) = 0$ , which is again a contradiction. Therefore,  $u$  vanishes at a point  $x$ .

If  $du(x) = 0$ , by considering a geodesic  $\gamma$  starting at  $x$ , the real valued function  $h(s) = u \circ \gamma(s)$  satisfies a linear second order ODE with initial conditions  $u(0) = 0, u'(0) = g(\nabla u, \gamma'(0)) = du(x)(\gamma'(0)) = 0$ . Hence,  $h \equiv 0$  and, since  $M$  is assumed to be complete,  $u \equiv 0$ . Therefore,  $du(x) \neq 0$  and 0 is a regular value of  $u$ . In this case, (5) implies that  $dF(g) = 0$  on a dense open set, which is again a contradiction with the first equality in (4).

For the second claim, note that  $\ker AA^* = \ker A^* = \{0\}$ . Therefore, according to [BE69, Lemma 4.4, p. 383], the composition operator  $AA^* : W_G^{4,p}(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L_G^p(M; \mathbb{R})$  is elliptic. Moreover, according to [BE69, Theorem 4.1, p. 383], it is surjective and consequently invertible, so the surjectivity of  $A$  follows.  $\square$

Thereon, assume that  $p > n = \dim M$ . The Sobolev Embedding Theorem implies that any  $g \in W_G^{2,p}(M; S^2 TM)$  is continuously differentiable. Denoting by  $S_+^2 TM$  the fiber bundle over  $M$  whose fiber at  $x \in M$  consists of the cone of symmetric bilinear positive definite forms in  $T_x M$  since  $F$  is quasilinear, it follows that  $F : W_G^{2,p}(M; S_+^2 TM) \rightarrow L_G^p(M; \mathbb{R})$  is continuous and that  $F'$  is continuous in the sense that: given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{2,p} < \delta$  then

$$\|F'(g)h - F'(\tilde{g})h\|_p < \varepsilon \|h\|_{2,p}.$$

That is,  $F$  is Fréchet differentiable.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $A = F'(g)$  and  $f \in L_G^p(M; \mathbb{R})$ . Assume that  $A^*$  is injective. Then  $F : W_G^{2,p}(M; S_+^2 TM) \rightarrow L_G^p(M; \mathbb{R})$  is locally surjective.*

*Proof.* This uses the classical *Inverse Function Theorem* on Banach spaces. Let  $U \subset W_G^{4,p}(M)$  be a small  $G$ -invariant neighborhood of 0 such that  $V := A^*(U)$  satisfies  $g+V \subset W_G^{1,2}(M; S_+^2 TM)$ . Note that  $g+V$  consists of positive definite symmetric tensors. Define the quasilinear elliptic operator

$$Q(u) := F(g + A^*u).$$

Observe that  $Q$  satisfies  $Q'(0) = AA^*$ , which is an elliptic operator with a trivial kernel, being therefore invertible (see [BE69, Theorem 4.1, p. 383]). Moreover, since  $\ker A^* = \{0\}$  then  $A$  is surjective (Lemma 3.2). Therefore, the linear operator  $AA^*$  is bounded with a bounded inverse. The Inverse Function Theorem then implies that  $Q(U)$  contains a  $G$ -invariant neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $Q(0) = F(g)$  where  $Q|_{\tilde{U}}$  is invertible, concluding the proof.  $\square$

The following result is crucial to the proof of Theorem C. It consists of an adaptation of the Approximation Lemma by Kazdan and Warner, presented in [KW75b]. We stress that there are some gaps in the original proof of theirs that we only circumvent by adding the missing ingredients. Also, it is worth remarking that for this result,  $G$  is allowed to be a discrete group.

**Lemma 3.4** (Approximation Lemma). *Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a closed connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$  with an isometric action by a compact Lie group  $G$ . Let  $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and  $G$ -invariant functions belonging to  $L_G^p(M)$ . Assume that  $\min_M f \leq g \leq \max_M f$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , there exists a  $G$ -equivariant diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\Phi} : M \rightarrow M$  such that*

$$\|f \circ \tilde{\Phi} - g\|_p < \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* We follow closely Kazdan and Warner's original proof ([KW75b, Theorem 2.1]) by observing that the original construction can be done in the manifold part of  $M/G$  if it has dimension greater or equal to 2, through flows of vector fields so that they can be lifted to  $M$  via *basic horizontal fields*: we shall see that every required diffeomorphism can be realized as a flow. Later we discuss the case  $\dim E = 1$  since without loss of generality, we can assume that the  $G$ -action is not transitive, that is, that  $M$  itself is not a homogeneous space. Otherwise, both  $f$  and  $g$  are constant. The result should hold trivially since then, the condition  $\min_M f \leq g \leq \max_M f$  implies that  $f = g$ .

Fix  $\delta > 0$  throughout. Recall that the *regular stratum* of the  $G$ -action on  $M$  is an open and dense geodesically convex subset of  $M$  such that every two orbits are diffeomorphic, being usually denoted by  $M^{\text{reg}}$ . Since the *singular strata*,  $\Sigma := M \setminus M^{\text{reg}}$  can be described as the union of less-dimensional submanifolds; it has a null measure. Gathering the former information, one straightforwardly checks that there is an open invariant subset  $E \subset M$  such that

$$\int_{M \setminus E} |f(x) - g(x)|^p dx \leq \delta.$$

Moreover, we pick  $E$  containing a tubular neighborhood of the regular stratum at  $M$ , and  $E, M \setminus E$  are  $G$ -invariant subsets. This observation allows us to work in the manifold part of the quotient  $M/G$  and use the same steps as Kazdan and Warner. We also remark that if  $M/G$  is a manifold, the argumentation holds similarly with  $E = M$ . However, we focus on the explanation assuming that the singular strata are non-empty.

Denote the manifold part  $M^{reg}/G$  of  $M/G$  by  $M^*$ . Recall that  $M^*$  is a convex open dense subset of  $M/G$  and write  $\bar{E} = E/G$ ,  $\bar{f}, \bar{g}$ , for the respective functions defined on  $M/G$ , that is,  $\bar{f} \circ \pi = f$ ,  $\bar{g} \circ \pi = g$ , where  $\pi : M^{reg} \rightarrow M^*$  is a submersion. Observe that the dimension of  $M^*$  is the codimension of any principal orbit on  $M$ . Also, the good definition of  $\bar{f}$  and  $\bar{g}$  is guaranteed since  $f, g$  are  $G$ -invariant.

Choose a triangulation  $\{\Delta_i\}$  of some subset  $M^* \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \bar{E}$  such that  $\bar{g}$  is arbitrarily close to a constant function in every triangle  $\Delta_i$ . That is, we can find a triangulation  $\{\Delta_i\}$  of  $M_1$  such that

$$\sup_{x,y \in \Delta_i} |\bar{g}(x) - \bar{g}(y)| < \delta.$$

Now, for each  $i$ , fix a point  $b_i$  in the interior of  $\Delta_i$  and take a collection of different pair wise points  $\{x_i\} \subset M^*$  such that  $|\bar{f}(x_i) - \bar{g}(b_i)| < \delta$ . Such a collection exists since the required condition on  $f$  and  $g$  is open, and the image of  $g$  is contained in the image of  $f$ . We first determine a diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  via the composition of two diffeomorphisms:  $\Phi = \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1$ . We require that  $\Phi_2$  fix  $M^* \setminus \bar{E}$  and that  $\Phi_1(b_i) = x_i$ . It is well-known that such a diffeomorphism can be realized as the time-1 flow of a vector field, but we include here a construction for completeness' sake.

Assuming that  $\dim \bar{E} \geq 2$ , given a pair  $x_i, b_i$ , choose an embedding  $\phi : S^1 \rightarrow \bar{E}$  of the unit circle  $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\phi(1) = b_i$  and  $\phi(-1) = x_i$ . The map  $z \mapsto d\phi_z(iz)$  defines a vector field of  $\phi(S^1)$  which can be extended as a vector field that vanishes outside an arbitrarily thin tubular neighborhood of  $\phi(S^1)$ . Note that the time- $\pi$  flow of this vector field interchanges the position of  $b_i$  and  $x_i$ , as wanted. Moreover, we can assume that no other  $b_j, x_j$  is contained in support of the field, allowing us to construct  $\Phi_1$  as the composition of a finite number of time- $\pi$  flows. Now, choose an open neighborhood  $\Omega$  of the  $(n-1)$ -skeleton  $\cup_i \partial \Delta_i$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\bar{f} \circ \Phi_1(x) - \bar{g}(x)|^p dx < \delta.$$

Next, for every  $b_i$ , choose an open neighborhood  $V_i$  such that  $\bar{V}_i \subset M_1 \setminus \Omega$  and  $|\bar{f} \circ \Phi_1(b_i) - \bar{f} \circ \Phi_1(y)| < \delta$ . Construct  $\Phi_2$  so that it is the identity in some neighborhood  $\Omega_1$  satisfying

$$\cup_i \partial \Delta_i \subset \Omega_1 \subset \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega,$$

and  $\Phi_2(\Delta_i \setminus \Omega_1) \subset V_i$ . Such a diffeomorphism can be easily realized as a flow by identifying the interior of  $\Delta_i$  with an open ball  $B$ . For instance, one can consider some radial contraction which is the identity near the boundary, and choose  $\Omega_1$  accordingly.

The arguments above, together with the last computation in the proof of [KW75b, Theorem 2.1] show that, on each  $\Delta_i$ , we can choose  $\Phi$  such that  $\bar{f} \circ \Phi$  is  $L^p$ -close to the constant function  $\bar{g}(b_i)$ . The proof is then finished by recalling that one can always lift vector fields from  $M^*$  to  $M^{reg}$  in a special way: for every point  $p \in M^{reg}$  and vector  $\bar{v} \in TM^*$ , there is a unique  $v \in (T_p Gp)^\perp$  that projects to  $\bar{v}$ . A vector field in  $M^{reg}$ , which results from such a lift, is called a *basic horizontal field*. Its flow is known to be  $G$ -equivariant.

The case  $\dim \bar{E} = 1$  is tricky for several reasons; for instance,  $\Phi_2$  cannot be built. However, for this case, we do not pass to the quotient. Instead, we work upstairs, that is, on  $M$  itself. As a first step, we recall that generic orbits (that is, the ones belonging in  $M^{reg}$ ) are hypersurfaces of dimension  $n-1$ . More interesting, Proposition 6.33 in [AB15] ensures that  $M$  is the total space of some fiber bundle (it is a disc bundle indeed) with fibers of dimension  $n-1$ , or  $M$  is  $G$ -equivariant to the union of two disc bundles glued along a common boundary. Repeating the above former steps in  $M$  instead of  $\bar{E}$  suffices in the first case. In contrast, in the second one, the constructions can be adapted to each disc bundle (invariant tubular neighborhoods) and carefully taken such that the gluing respects the diffeomorphisms in each part. It is only possible achieving this since each disc bundle has a common boundary. We make further comments on the first case.

One has  $M = G \times_K D$  where  $K \subset G$  and  $D$  is an open disc in  $M$ , i.e.,  $M$  is the associated bundle to the principal bundle with total space  $G$  and fiber (structure group)  $K$ . Naturally,  $G$  acts on  $M$  non-effectively. Any  $k \in K$  fixes any point in  $M$ . So the kernel of this action is  $K$ . The quotient  $G/K$  is precise  $S^1$  (since  $G, K$  are compact connected); see the discussion previous to Proposition 6.33 in [AB15]. According to our hypothesis  $\dim M \geq 3$ , we apply the former argumentation to the  $S^1$ -manifold  $M$ , which yields a regular stratum whose quotient space has dimension at least 2.  $\square$

We now complete the proof of Theorem C and Corollary E. We shall employ the same notations in both proofs.



*Proof of Theorem C.* Assume that  $\text{scal}_g$  satisfies inequality (2). If needed to fulfill the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2, make a  $G$ -invariant perturbation of  $g$  in a way that the inequality (2) still holds. According to Lemma 3.4, there is a  $G$ -equivariant diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\Phi}$  such  $c f \circ \tilde{\Phi}$  is arbitrarily close to  $F(g)$  in the  $L^p$ -norm. Lemma 3.3 implies that there is a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g}$  such that  $\text{scal}_{\tilde{g}} = c f \circ \tilde{\Phi}$ . A direct computation shows that the  $G$ -invariant metric  $(\tilde{\Phi})^{-1}(c\tilde{g})$  has scalar curvature equals to  $f$ . Regularity theory for elliptic PDEs implies that since  $p > n$ , then  $(\tilde{\Phi})(c\tilde{g})$  is smooth since  $\tilde{g} = g + A^*u$  for some smooth  $G$ -invariant function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

For convenience, we restate Corollary E to prove it next.

**Corollary E.** *Let  $(M, G)$  be a closed smooth manifold with an effective isometric action of a compact Lie group  $G$ . If  $M \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^G$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Z}\}$ , then any smooth function which is the scalar curvature of a metric on  $M$  is realized by a metric arbitrarily  $L_p$ -close to a  $G$ -invariant metric, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(M, G)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}^G$ , then Theorems A, B guarantee that  $M$  admits a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $g$  with positive constant scalar curvature. Moreover, it is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 that any smooth function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be realized as the scalar curvature of a metric  $\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(c\tilde{g})$  where  $\tilde{g} = g + A^*u$  for some  $c > 0$ .

Indeed, if  $g$  has positive constant scalar curvature, for any smooth function  $f$ , we can choose  $c$  in a way that the pinching hypothesis in Theorem 3.1 holds. Note, however, that even though  $g$  is  $G$ -invariant, it does not need to be true that  $u$  is as well: it shall be if the data  $f$  is  $G$ -invariant. However, we have the claim since  $A^*u$  belongs to an arbitrarily small neighborhood of  $g$  (see the proof of Lemma 3.3). Finally, if  $M \in \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^G$ , we can pick a  $g$ -invariant Riemannian metric with zero scalar curvature, and the former argumentation adapts.  $\square$

#### 4. A VARIATIONAL APPROACH TO THE $G$ -INVARIANT KAZDAN–WARNER PROBLEM: THE CASE OF CONFORMALLY EQUIVALENT METRICS

Throughout this section, let  $(M^n, g)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , be a closed connected Riemannian manifold with effective isometric action by a compact connected Lie group  $G$ . We will also always assume that  $M$  is not a homogenous space since we are interested in invariant functions that are not constant.

It is worth pointing recalling once more that Yamabe’s first attempt to provide Riemannian metrics with constant scalar curvature in a fixed conformal class presented an error, as pointed out by Trudinger [Tru68], due to the lack of compactness caused by a critical exponent in the Rellich–Kondrachov Theorem. As pre-explained in Section 2.1, dealing with symmetries leads to better compact results. Sometimes in the literature on Partial Differential Equations, the symmetries are employed by changing variables, thus resulting in a novel (equivalent) PDE. Our goal here relies only on exploiting symmetries more indirectly, i.e., without changing coordinates, and applying standard variational methods since we are now ensured of these due to better compact embeddings’ results.

We begin by describing the ideas behind the to-come proofs. Pursuing the similar Yamabe problem, given any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , to obtain a Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g}$  for which  $\text{scal}_{\tilde{g}} = c$ , we could search for smooth positive  $G$ -invariant solutions to the following PDE, obtained by a suitable conformal change of  $g$ :

$$(6) \quad 4b_n \Delta_g u - \text{scal}_g u + c u^{\gamma_n} = 0,$$

where  $\gamma_n := \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  and  $b_n := \frac{n-1}{n-2}$ . More generally, we could, for instance, ask whether if we change  $c$  to any  $G$ -invariant smooth function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  it could exist a solution to the PDE (6). Kazdan and Warner already treated such a problem without symmetric assumptions. In this section, we solve the Yamabe problem via variational methods (in smooth manifolds with isometric actions) to recover Kazdan–Warner results for this setting. Hence, in contrast with their approach ([KW75a]), we proceed by studying the existence of critical (minimum) points to the following functional

$$J(u) = 2b_n \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{scal}_g u^2 - \frac{c}{2^*} \int_M u^{2^*}$$

defined in  $W^{1,2}(M)$ , where  $2^* := 2n/(n-2)$ .

As a first observation, note that  $J$  is well defined and of class  $C^1$  according to the Sobolev Embedding Theorem. Moreover, since  $J$  is  $G$ -invariant, it admits a restriction to  $W_G^{1,2}(M)$ . Such a restriction considered, to find a critical point for  $J$  defined in  $W_G^{1,2}(M)$  means to exist  $u \in W_G^{1,2}(M)$  such that  $dJ(u)(v) = 0 \forall v \in W_G^{1,2}(M)$ . If one observes that generically  $W_G^{1,2}(M) \subsetneq W^{1,2}(M)$  it is natural to ask whether  $dJ(u)(v) = 0 \forall v \in W_G^{1,2}(M)$  implies  $dJ(u)(v) = 0 \forall v \in W^{1,2}(M)$ . In general, this is false. However, in [Pal79], Palais shows that if the  $G$ -action on  $M$  is via isometries (our case), such a result holds. In other words, *any symmetric critical point is critical when the group action is by isometries.*

Let  $n$  be the dimension of our considered manifold  $(M, g)$ , in which a compact connected Lie group  $G$  acts effectively via isometries. The presence of an isometric action makes, in some cases, it possible to deal with the equation (6) by variational methods: denote by  $d := \min_{x \in M} \dim Gx$ . According to Theorem [Heb96, Theorem 5.6, p. 92], it follows that

- (i) if  $n - d \leq p$ , then for any real number  $q \geq 1$  one has that  $W_G^{1,p}(M)$  can be compactly embedded in  $L^q(M)$ ;
- (ii) if  $n - d > p$ , then for any real number  $1 \leq q \leq \frac{(n-d)q}{(n-d-q)}$  one has that  $W_G^{1,q}(M)$  embeds in  $L_G^q(M)$  and this embedding is compact if  $q < \frac{(n-d)p}{(n-d-p)}$ .

It is then required that every orbit has a positive dimension if there is at least one singular orbit, that is if  $\Sigma := M \setminus M^{reg}$  is non-empty. This holds since the previously stated results are based on considering regular sub-foliations on both  $M^{reg}$  and the induced stratification on  $\Sigma$ . Recalling the introductory aspects presented at the end of Section 2.1, we observe to be possible to check that there exist trivializing coordinate charts  $\{(\Omega, \varphi)\}$  on  $M$  with properties

- (a)  $\varphi(\Omega) = U \times V \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-d_x}$ ;
- (b)  $\forall y \in \Omega, U \times \text{pr}_2(\varphi(y)) \subset \varphi(Gy \cap \Omega)$ , where  $\text{pr}_2 : \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-d_x} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-d_x}$  is the second projection.

Property (b) implies that if  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $G$ -invariant function (hence constant along the leaves), then  $f \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is constant on its first coordinate. Following Theorem 9.1 in [Heb96], we cover  $M$  with finitely many coordinate charts  $\{(\Omega_{d_i}, \varphi_i)\}$  trivializing the orbit decomposition of  $M$  as  $\varphi(\Omega_{d_i}) = U_i \times V_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-d_i}$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, l\}$  and posing  $d_{l+1}$  to be the dimension of a principal orbit, that is, an orbit lying entirely in  $M^{reg}$ . According to item (b), any smooth basic function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $f \circ \varphi_i^{-1} : U_i \times V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is constant along the first factor, so we identify  $f \circ \varphi_i^{-1} \equiv f_i : V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We now pick a basic unit partition  $\{(\psi_i, \Omega_i)\}$  restricted to the trivializing sets so that the support of  $\psi_i f_i$  is entirely contained in  $V_i$ . In this way, we have that  $\psi_i f_i \in W^{1,p}(V_i)$  for  $p \geq 1$ . Classical Rellich–Kondrachov result implies that  $W^{1,p}(V_i)$  compactly embeds in  $L^{p_i^*}(V_i)$  where  $p_i^* = p(n-d_i)/(n-(p+d_i))$  so “globalizing appropriately” the argument allow us to conclude from points (i) and (ii) that (compare with [Heb96, Corollary 5.7, p. 93], [HebVa93, HebVa97]), if  $d \geq 1$ , then there are better compact embedding results:

**Theorem 4.1** (Larger embeddings). *Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  with effective isometric action by a compact Lie group  $G$ . If  $d = \min_{x \in M} \dim Gx \geq 1$ , then for any  $p \in [1, n)$  there is  $p_0 > \frac{np}{(n-p)} =: p^*$  such that  $W_G^{1,p}(M)$  embeds compactly in  $L_G^q(M)$  for every  $1 \leq q \leq p_0$ .*

In what follows, we shall extensively use Theorem 4.1. After proving several minor results, we furnish the proof of Theorem B.

We first observe that:

- (a) if  $\text{scal}_g \equiv 0$  then the PDE (6) is reduced to  $4b_n \Delta_g u = -cu^{\gamma_n}$ . Therefore, if one takes  $c \neq 0$ , the only possible solution is  $u \equiv 0$ . Similarly, if  $c = 0$  the only solution is  $u \equiv \text{constant}$ ;
- (b) on the other hand, by assuming  $c > 0$  one can multiply (6) by  $u^{-1}$  and integrate it by parts to get

$$4b_n \int_M \frac{1}{u^2} |\nabla u|_g^2 - \int_M \text{scal}_g + \int_M cu^{2^*} = 0.$$

Thus concluding that if a positive solution  $u$  exists, then  $\int_M \text{scal}_g \geq 0$ ;

- (c) finally, if  $c = 0$  then (6) is reduced to

$$4b_n \Delta_g u = \text{scal}_g u.$$

Therefore, also assuming the existence of a positive solution  $u$ , by multiplying the former equation by  $u^{-1}$  and integrating it, one concludes that  $\int_M \text{scal}_g \geq 0$ .

Once we have established the previous analyses and considered possible obstructions, it is interesting to prove Lemma 4.2 below.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that  $\text{scal}_g$  is a continuous nonzero function such that  $\min \text{scal}_g \geq 0$  and let  $c > 0$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , consider the set*

$$\mathbf{M}_G := \left\{ u \in W_G^{1,2}(M) : u \geq 0, \frac{c}{2^*} \int_M u^{2^*} = \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then for

$$J(u) = 2b_n \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{scal}_g u^2 - \frac{c}{2^*} \int_M u^{2^*},$$

it holds that

- (A)  $\mathbf{M}_G$  is not empty and weakly closed and  $J|_{\mathbf{M}_G}$  is weakly lower semicontinuous;  
 (B)  $J|_{\mathbf{M}_G}$  is coercive.

Before doing so, we explain how it guarantees the existence of a non-trivial positive solution for the Yamabe problem for constant scalar curvature with the sign prescribed; that is, we conclude

**Proposition 4.3** (Prescribing positive constant scalar curvature). *Let  $(M^n, g)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  be a closed connected Riemannian manifold with an effective isometric action by a compact connected Lie group  $G$ . Assume that every orbit of the  $G$ -action on  $M$  has a positive dimension. If  $\text{scal}_g \geq 0$  and  $\text{scal}_g \not\equiv 0$  then any  $c > 0$  is the scalar curvature of a  $G$ -invariant metric.*

Observe that Lemma 4.2 ensures that  $J|_{\mathbf{M}_G}$  has a point of minimum  $u \in \mathbf{M}_G$ , which we will show that corresponds to a *minimum symmetric point* of  $J$ , previous to the restriction to  $\mathbf{M}_G$ . Indeed, a routine argument allows us to check that  $\mathbf{M}_G$  is a codimension-1 submanifold of  $W_G^{1,2}(M)$ . So observe that for every  $v \in W_G^{1,2}(M)$ , the Lagrange Multiplier Theorem states that there is  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$J'(u)(v) = 4b_n \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle + \int_M \text{scal}_g u v = (1 + \lambda)c \int_M u^{\gamma_n} v.$$

Therefore,  $u$  is a weak solution of (6) with  $c$  replaced by  $c' = (1 + \lambda)c$ . On the other hand, by computing  $J'(u)(u)$ , we conclude that  $1 + \lambda > 0$  and so that  $c' > 0$ .

It is left to check whether the weak solution can be represented as a classical (smooth) solution. To do so, observe that, due to the regularity theory of elliptic PDEs, one concludes that the solution  $u$  is smooth as long as  $\text{scal}_g$  is smooth. The non-linearity on the PDE (6) corresponds to the term  $u^{\gamma_n}$ . Since the function  $F : x \rightarrow x^{\gamma_n}$  is of class  $C^1$  and the solution  $u$  has a finite essential supremum, then  $F(u) \in W^{1,2}(M)$ . An iterative application of Theorem 3.58 in [Aub98, p. 87] implies the result. In addition, the maximum principle [Aub98, Proposition 3.75, p.98] implies that the obtained solution is positive. A simple rescaling of the resulting metric (corresponding to  $u \mapsto u + a$ , for some  $a$ ) provides the right constant scalar curvature metric.

*Proof of Lemma 4.2.* (A) It is very straightforward to check, by picking  $u$  some appropriate constant, that  $\mathbf{M}_G$  is not empty, so take a sequence  $\{u_m\} \subset \mathbf{M}_G$  weakly converging to some  $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$ : shortly,  $u_m \rightharpoonup u$ . Once  $\{u_m\} \subset W_G^{1,2}(M)$  and this is a Banach space (so it is convex and closed, then weakly closed), one has that  $u \in W_G^{1,2}(M)$ . According to Theorem 4.1 one has the compact embedding of  $W_G^{1,2}(M)$  in  $L^{2^*}(M)$ , from where it follows that  $c \int_M u_m^{2^*} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} c \int_M u_m^{2^*} = \varepsilon 2^*$  due to the strong convergence in  $L^{2^*}(M)$ . Moreover, since we also have  $L^1(M)$  convergence, up to passing to a subsequence, we can assume that the sequence  $\{u_m\}$  has a pointwise convergent subsequence so that  $u \geq 0$  almost everywhere. Therefore,  $u \in \mathbf{M}_G$  and hence  $\mathbf{M}_G$  is weakly closed.

On the other hand, the weak convergence implies that

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u_m\|_{1,2} \geq \|u\|_{1,2}.$$

Moreover, since  $\int_M u_m^2 \rightarrow \int_M u^2$  is a convergent sequence, one has that

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u_m\|_{1,2}^2 = \int_M u^2 + \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_M |\nabla u_m|^2.$$

Hence

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_M |\nabla u_m|^2 \geq \int_M |\nabla u|^2.$$

Finally, due to the continuity of  $\text{scal}_g$  one concludes that

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} J(u_m) \geq 2b_n \int_M |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{scal}_g u^2 - \frac{c}{2^*} \int_M u^{2^*}.$$

- (B) Note that

$$(7) \quad J(u) \geq 2b_n \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 + \frac{\min_M \text{scal}_g}{2} \int_M u^2 - \frac{c}{2^*} \int_M u^{2^*}$$

$$(8) \quad = 2b_n \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 + \frac{\min_M \text{scal}_g}{2} \int_M u^2 - \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, according to the Poincaré's Inequality, since  $\min_M \text{scal}_g \geq 0$ , one gets  $J(u) \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\|u\|_{W_G^{1,2}(M)} \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

A direct consequence of Proposition 4.3 is given by

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $(M^n, g)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  be a closed connected Riemannian manifold with effective isometric action by a compact connected Lie group  $G$ . Assume that no orbit has zero dimension and that  $M$  does not carry a  $G$ -invariant metric of positive scalar curvature. If  $\text{scal}_g \geq 0$  then  $\text{scal}_g \equiv 0$ .*

We proceed to the proof of item (1) in the Theorem B, which follows from the following:

**Proposition 4.5** (Every invariant metric has a metric with negative constant scalar curvature). *Let  $(M^n, g)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  be a closed connected Riemannian manifold with effective isometric action by a compact connected Lie group  $G$ . Also, assume that no orbit has zero dimension. Then there is  $c \geq 0$  such that for any  $c' \geq c$  there exists a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $g$  such that  $\text{scal}_g = -c'$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $c \geq 0$  such that

$$\left(\frac{2^*}{2}\right) \min_M \text{scal}_g \text{vol}(M)^{1-2^*/2} + c \geq 0,$$

and consider the functional

$$J(u) = 2b_n \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{scal}_g u^2 + \frac{c}{2^*} \int_M u^{2^*}.$$

Hölder's Inequality implies that

$$(9) \quad \left(\int_M u^2\right) \leq \text{vol}(M)^{1-2/2^*} \left(\int_M u^{2^*}\right)^{2/2^*}.$$

We now consider two separate cases. Depending if  $\min \text{scal}_g \leq 0$  or  $\min \text{scal}_g > 0$ , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\geq 2b_n \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 + \frac{1}{2} \min_M \text{scal}_g \text{vol}(M)^{1-2/2^*} \left(\int_M u^{2^*}\right)^{2/2^*} + \frac{c}{2^*} \int_M u^{2^*}; \\ J(u) &\geq 2b_n \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 + \frac{c}{2^*} \int_M u^{2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

To show that  $J$  is coercive, observe that if  $\int_M u^2 \rightarrow \infty$  then  $(\int_M u^{2^*})^{2/2^*} < \int_M u^{2^*}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\geq 2b_n \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \min_M \text{scal}_g \text{vol}(M)^{1-2/2^*} + \frac{c}{2^*}\right) \int_M u^{2^*}; \\ J(u) &\geq 2b_n \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 + \frac{c}{2^*} \int_M u^{2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

from where it follows that  $J$  is coercive if  $\frac{2^*}{2} \min_M \text{scal}_g \text{vol}(M)^{1-2/2^*} + c > 0$  or  $c > 0$ , respectively. Whenever these terms vanish, we can proceed similarly as in item 1. in Lemma 4.2.

Following further the proof of Lemma 4.2, we can show that  $J$  has a critical point in  $W_G^{1,2}$ . The Principle of Symmetric Criticality of Palais [Pal79, p. 23] implies that this is a weak solution for the PDE (6). Combining Theorem 3.58 on [Aub98, p. 87] and the maximum principle [Aub98, Proposition 3.75, p.98], we conclude that such a weak solution is smooth and positive.  $\square$

We thus conclude the proof of Theorem B: items (1), (2), and (3) follow from Proposition 4.5, Corollary 4.4 and Proposition 4.3, respectively. We finish this section by proving Theorem A.

*Proof of Theorem A.* We first observe that Theorem C implies that a manifold admitting a  $G$ -invariant metric with constant scalar curvature  $c$  guarantees that every basic function that is negative somewhere (resp. positive) is a scalar curvature of  $(M, G)$  if  $c < 0$  (resp.  $c > 0$ ). Therefore, Proposition 4.5 shows that every  $(M, G)$  admits any scalar curvature function that is negative somewhere. Moreover, if a non-vanishing non-negative scalar is admissible, Proposition 4.3 shows that  $(M, G) \in \mathcal{P}^G$ .

The last assertion in the statement follows from Proposition 4.3 and a slightly improved version of Theorem A in [LY74], due to the maintenance of symmetry group, proved in [CeSS18]:

**Theorem 4.6** (Cavenaghi–e Silva–Sperança). *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact Riemannian manifold with an effective isometric  $G$ -action, where  $G$  is a compact non-Abelian Lie group. Then  $g$  develops positive scalar curvature after a finite Cheeger deformation.*

$\square$

## 5. IMPROVED CURVATURE ESTIMATES

The proof of Theorem 4.6, together with Theorem 4.3, provide a better view of the variety of functions that are realizable as scalar curvature functions of ( $G$ -invariant) metrics. Specifically, the proof of Theorem 4.6 gives a pinching estimate for a  $G$ -invariant metric after a long Cheeger deformation, described only regarding orbit types and isotropy representations. On the other hand, a similar behavior is found after a canonical deformation. We study both cases in this section.

**5.1. Scalar curvature Pinching under Cheeger deformations.** Given a singular point  $x \in M$ , its isotropy representation  $\tilde{\rho}_x : G_x \rightarrow O(T_x M)$  naturally acts on the space normal to the orbit. Fixed  $X \in \mathfrak{v}_x G_x$ , the differential of  $\tilde{\rho}_x$ , computed at the identity, defines a map

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_X : \mathfrak{g}_x &\rightarrow \mathfrak{v}_x G_x \\ U &\mapsto (d\tilde{\rho}_x)_e(U)X. \end{aligned}$$

Following [CeSS18], we call an element in the image of  $\rho_X$  as a *fake horizontal vector for  $X$* , and denote  $\rho_X(\mathfrak{g}_x) = \mathcal{H}_X$ . We (abuse notation and) denote by  $\rho_X^{-1}$  the inverse of  $\rho_X$  restricted to  $\mathcal{H}_X$ .

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is non-Abelian, we can describe the scalar curvature pinching of a long-time Cheeger deformation by using the following parameters:

$$(10) \quad \overline{\text{scal}}_{G/G_x} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \|[v_i, v_j]\|_{\mathfrak{g}}^2,$$

$$(11) \quad \xi(\rho_x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-k} \frac{\|e_j^{\mathcal{H}_{e_i}}\|_{\mathfrak{g}}^4}{\|\rho_{e_i}^{-1}(e_j^{\mathcal{H}_{e_i}})\|_Q^2}$$

where  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\{v_i\}$  are  $\mathfrak{g}$ - and  $Q$ -orthonormal basis for  $\mathfrak{v}_x G_x$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ , respectively.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}$  non-Abelian and let  $g_t$  be the Cheeger deformation of  $g$ . Then,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{x \in M} \text{scal}_{g_t}(x)}{\min_{x \in M} \text{scal}_{g_t}(x)} = \frac{\max_{x \in M} \{\overline{\text{scal}}_{G/G_x} + 3\xi(\rho_x)\}}{\min_{x \in M} \{\overline{\text{scal}}_{G/G_x} + 3\xi(\rho_x)\}}.$$

*Proof.* Given a  $G$ -invariant metric  $g$ , the scalar curvature of its Cheeger deformation was computed in [CeSS18]:

$$(12) \quad \text{scal}_{g_t}(p) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n K_g(C_t^{1/2} e_i, C_t^{1/2} e_j) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n z_t(C_t^{1/2} e_i, C_t^{1/2} e_j) + \sum_{i,j=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i \lambda_j t^3}{(1+t\lambda_i)(1+t\lambda_j)} \frac{1}{4} \|[v_i, v_j]\|_Q^2.$$

Some definitions are required:

- (1) Given  $x \in M$ , let  $P : \mathfrak{m}_x \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_x$  is the unique endomorphism that satisfies  $Q(PU, V) = g(U^*, V^*)$  for every  $U, V \in \mathfrak{m}_x$ . Consider  $\{\lambda_i\}$  as the set of eigenvalues of  $P$ ;
- (2)  $C_t : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$  is defined by  $C_t|_{\mathfrak{v}_x G_x} = 1$  and  $C_t U^* = (1+tP)^{-1}(U^*)$ .

$z_t$ , on its turn, is quadratic in each entry and is described in [CeSS18, Lemma 3.1.1]. We gather the relevant information below:

**Lemma 5.2.** *For every  $\bar{X} = X + U^*$ ,  $\bar{Y} = Y + V^*$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{v}_x G_x$ ,  $z_t$  satisfies*

$$(13) \quad z_t(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = 3t \max_{\substack{Z \in \mathfrak{g}, \\ \|Z\|_Q=1}} \frac{\{\text{dw}_Z(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) + \frac{1}{2} Q([PU, PV], Z)\}^2}{t g(Z^*, Z^*) + 1},$$

where  $\text{w}_Z(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{2} g(\bar{X}, Z^*)$  is a one-form in  $TM$  satisfying

$$(14) \quad \text{dw}_Z(V^*, X) = \frac{1}{2} X g(V^*, Z^*),$$

$$(15) \quad \text{dw}_Z(Y, X) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z^*),$$

$$(16) \quad \text{dw}_Z(U^*, V^*) = Q([PU, V] + [U, PV] - P[U, V], Z).$$

Moreover, if  $U \in \mathcal{H}_X$ ,

$$(17) \quad \mathrm{d}w_Z(U, X) = g(U, \nabla_X Z^*).$$

One concludes that the second and third summands in (12) are either zero or grow like  $t$ . Studying these terms to prove the theorem is sufficient since every other term is bounded. Moreover,

$$(18) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} K_g(C_t^{1/2} e_i, C_t^{1/2} e_j) = 0$$

$$(19) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i \lambda_j t^3}{(1+t\lambda_i)(1+t\lambda_j)} \frac{1}{4} \|[v_i, v_j]\|_Q^2 = \overline{\mathrm{scal}}_{G/G_x};$$

$$(20) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i,j=1}^n z_t(C_t^{1/2} e_i, C_t^{1/2} e_j) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-k} z_t(e_i, e_j).$$

It is just left to study for the last term. Using Lemma 5.2, one concludes that every term in (20) with either  $i > n-k$  or  $j > n-k$  is bounded, since  $C_t^{1/2}|_{TG_x} = (1+tP)^{-1/2}$  goes to zero as  $t^{-1/2}$ . To analyze the case  $i, j \leq n-k$ , we use the compactness of the unit ball  $\|Z\|_Q = 1$ , and take a fixed  $Z$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} z_t(e_i, e_j) = 3 \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}w_Z(e_i, e_j)^2}{t g(Z^*, Z^*) + 1}.$$

One readily sees that this limit is zero unless  $g(Z^*, Z^*) = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_x$ , by observing that

$$\nabla_{e_j} Z^*(x) = (\mathrm{d}\rho_x)_e(Z)(e_j) = \rho_{e_j}(Z),$$

Lemma 5.2 guarantees that  $\mathrm{d}w_Z(e_i, e_j) = \mathrm{d}w_Z(e_i^{\mathcal{H}_{e_j}}, e_j)$ . In this case,  $Z$  can be taken as  $\rho_{e_j}^{-1}(e_i^{\mathcal{H}_X}) / \|\rho_{e_j}^{-1}(e_i^{\mathcal{H}_{e_j}})\|$ , which necessarily realizes the maximum and shows that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-k} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} z_t(e_i, e_j) = 3\xi(\rho_x). \quad \square$$

Theorem G now follows directly from Proposition 5.1.

*Proof of Theorem G.* Since a semi-free action has no singular orbits, the  $\xi$ -term is absent. Moreover, since the regular part of  $M$  is convex, every pair of isotropy Lie subalgebra are conjugate to each other. Thus, we conclude that  $\overline{\mathrm{scal}}_{G/G_x}$  is constant for  $x$ , making the limit in Proposition 5.1 equal to 1. In addition to that, due to the non-Abelian assumption, we have that  $\mathrm{scal}_{g_t}$  is positive as  $t$  grows large, which is crucial to what follows. Indeed, Theorem G holds since, given a smooth and basic function  $f$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrarily small, there is  $t^* > 0$  such that for any  $t > t^*$  we have  $\left| \frac{\min_{x \in M} \mathrm{scal}_{g_t}}{\max_{x \in M} \mathrm{scal}_{g_t}} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that if  $\min_{x \in M} f \leq 0$  but  $\max_{x \in M} f > 0$  we can find  $c > 0$  such that

$$(21) \quad c \min_{x \in M} f < \mathrm{scal}_{g_t} < c \max_{x \in M} f.$$

so Theorem C with its proof conclude the result. On the other hand, if  $f \equiv 0$ , then via a conformal change, we can assume that  $u^{4/n-2} g_t$  has the constant 0 as its scalar curvature for some  $t$  large enough, ensuring the result. This is Proposition 4.3.

We finish the argument assuming  $\min_{x \in M} f > 0$ . Since  $\frac{\min_{x \in M} f}{\max_{x \in M} f} \leq 1 < \frac{\min_{x \in M} \mathrm{scal}_{g_t}}{\max_{x \in M} \mathrm{scal}_{g_t}} + \varepsilon$  we have

$$\varepsilon' + \left( \frac{\min_{x \in M} \mathrm{scal}_{g_t}}{\min_{x \in M} f} \right) > \left( \frac{\max_{x \in M} \mathrm{scal}_{g_t}}{\max_{x \in M} f} \right)$$

for  $\varepsilon' = \left( \frac{\max_{x \in M} \mathrm{scal}_{g_t}}{\min_{x \in M} f} \right) \varepsilon$ . It is then straightforward to check that

$$c = \left( \frac{\min_{x \in M} \mathrm{scal}_{g_t}}{\min_{x \in M} f} \right)$$

recovers equation (21) and so Theorem C applies once more.  $\square$

We now complete the proof of Theorem F by considering  $\mathfrak{g}$  Abelian. Before doing so, we remark that we only focus on the regular stratum throughout the proof. Because the scalar curvature function is  $G$ -invariant, it naturally descends to the manifold part of the quotient  $M/G$ . This is the same argumentation we did in the proof of Lemma 3.4.

*Proof of Theorem F.* As in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we work in the regular part of the  $M/G$ . Denote by  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/G$  the quotient map and note that the restriction of  $\pi$  to the regular stratum defines a smooth principal bundle with an image of a smooth manifold. We proceed by a proof by *contrapositive* observing that if the Lie Algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  is non-Abelian, then  $(M, G) \in \mathcal{P}^G$  due to Theorem B, so we denote by  $\bar{g}$  the Riemannian metric induced by this restriction of  $\pi$  to its image and assume that  $\bar{g}$  has positive scalar curvature. We shall show that  $\text{scal}_{g_t}$  has positive scalar curvature for  $t$  arbitrarily large, which another application of Theorem B will ensure the result.

Due to continuity, we can assume that  $\text{scal}_{\bar{g}}$  has a positive lower bound in any compact set inside the regular stratum. On the other hand, Lemma 5.2 guarantees that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{scal}_{g_t}(p) = +\infty$  at non-regular points, it is then sufficient, once positivity is then guaranteed, to prove that  $\text{scal}_{g_t}(p)$  converges to  $\text{scal}_{\bar{g}}(\pi(p))$  in the regular stratum.

To this aim, assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is Abelian and recall that the respective equations for the Ricci curvature of  $g_t$  are, as in [CeSS18, Lemma 2.6],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{g_t}(C_t^{1/2}V^*) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n K_g(C_t^{1/2}e_i, C_t^{1/2}V^*) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n z_t(C_t^{1/2}e_i, C_t^{1/2}V^*), \\ \text{Ric}_{g_t}(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^n K_g(C_t^{1/2}e_i, C_t^{1/2}X) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n z_t(C_t^{1/2}e_i, C_t^{1/2}X), \end{aligned}$$

where  $V \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $X \in (T_p Gp)^\perp$ .

On the one hand, observe that  $C_t^{1/2}V^* \rightarrow 0$  for every  $V \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $z_t$  is bounded uniformly on  $t$  at points in the regular stratum (Lemma 5.2). Thus,  $\text{Ric}_{g_t}(V^*) \rightarrow 0$  whenever  $\mathfrak{g}$  is Abelian.

On the other hand, for  $p$  in the regular stratum, [CeSS18, Lemma 4.2] or [SW15, Proposition 4.3] implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ric}_{g_t}(X) = \text{Ric}_{\bar{g}}(d\pi X)$$

for every  $X \in (T_p Gp)^\perp$ . Since  $C_t^{1/2}X = X$ , we conclude that  $\text{scal}_{g_t}(p)$  converges to  $\text{scal}_{\bar{g}}(\pi(p))$ , as wanted.  $\square$

**5.2. Canonical variations on Fiber Bundles.** Let  $F \hookrightarrow (\bar{M}, g') \xrightarrow{\pi} (M, h)$  be a fiber bundle with compact structure group  $G$ . From Theorem B, there is a  $G$ -invariant metric  $g_F$  on  $F$  with constant scalar curvature  $c$ . Therefore, there is a metric  $g$  on  $\bar{M}$ , where  $\pi$  is a Riemannian submersion over  $(M, h)$  and every fiber is isometric to  $(F, g_F)$  (this is a standard construction using associated bundles. See, for example, Proposition 2.7.1 in [GW09], for details). Moreover, the fibers of  $\pi : (\bar{M}, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  can be taken as totally geodesic.

Corollary H is proved using a widely-known deformation called *canonical variation*. To simplify the text, we denote

$$\mathcal{V} = \ker d\pi, \quad \mathcal{H} = (\ker d\pi)^\perp.$$

Given  $s > 0$ , the canonical variation  $\tilde{g}$  of  $g$  is defined as:

$$\tilde{g} = g \Big|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}} + s g \Big|_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}}.$$

Since the fibers are contracted, the positive curvature of the fibers is expected to increase the overall curvature. It is easy to see that this expected behavior is the right one, and this is the first step towards Corollary H. For the remaining, we apply a result in [Ber83] and Theorem F.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose  $F \hookrightarrow \bar{M} \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle with a compact structure group  $G$ . If  $(F, G) \in \mathcal{P}^G$ , then  $\bar{M} \in \mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* We first recall the curvature formulae in [Bes87].

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $\pi : (F, g_F) \hookrightarrow (\bar{M}, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  be a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. Denote by  $K_{\tilde{g}}, K_g, K_h, K_{g_F}$  the non-reduced sectional curvatures of  $\tilde{g}, g, h$  and  $g_F$ , respectively. If  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $V, W \in \mathcal{V}$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\tilde{g}}(X, Y) &= K_g(d\pi X, d\pi Y)(1 - s) + s K_g(X, Y), \\ K_{\tilde{g}}(X, V) &= s^2 K_g(X, V), \\ K_{\tilde{g}}(V, W) &= s K_{g_F}(V, W). \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $x \in M$  and let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}_x$  and  $\{e_j\}_{j=n+1}^{n+k}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{V}_x$ . Note that  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{s^{-\frac{1}{2}}e_j\}_{j=n+1}^{n+k}$  is a  $\tilde{g}$ -orthonormal basis for  $T_x M$ . Using Proposition 5.4, we obtain:

$$\text{scal}_{\tilde{g}}(x) = \text{scal}_{\tilde{g}}^{\mathcal{H}}(x) + 2s \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+k} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{g}}(e_i, e_j) + s^{-1} \text{scal}_{g_F}(x),$$

where

$$\text{scal}_{\tilde{g}}^{\mathcal{H}}(x) = \text{scal}_h(x)(1-s) + s \sum_{i,j=1}^n K_{\tilde{g}}(e_i, e_j).$$

Therefore, if  $c = \text{scal}_{g_F} > 0$ ,  $\text{scal}_{\tilde{g}} > 0$  for  $s$  sufficiently small.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary H.* Consider a fiber bundle  $\pi : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$  with compact structure group  $G$  and suppose that  $\bar{M} \notin \mathcal{P}$ . From Lemma 5.3, we conclude that  $(F, G) \notin \mathcal{P}^G$  therefore,  $G$  is a compact group whose identity component is a torus  $T$ .

Recall that  $\pi$  can be seen as a bundle associated with a principal  $G$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow M$ . Specifically,  $\bar{M}$  is diffeomorphic to the twisted product  $P \times_G F$ . On the other hand, a result of Bergery [Ber83] shows that a manifold  $N$  with a free  $T$ -action admits an invariant metric with positive scalar curvature if and only if the quotient  $N/T$  does. We conclude that  $\bar{M} = P \times_T F$  admits a metric with positive scalar curvature if and only if  $P \times F$  admits a  $T$ -invariant metric with positive scalar curvature. On the other hand, if  $M = P/T$  would have a metric with positive scalar curvature,  $P$  would have a  $T$ -invariant metric with positive scalar curvature. Therefore,  $P \times F$  would have a  $T \times T$ -invariant metric with positive scalar curvature. Since  $\bar{M} \notin \mathcal{P}$ , we conclude that no metric on  $M$  or  $T$ -invariant metric on  $(F, T)$  has positive scalar curvature.  $\square$

We finish this paper by furnishing some explicit applications of our results to manifolds with toric symmetries.

## 6. TORIC SYMMETRY

Theorem A states that if  $G$  is non-Abelian, every  $G$ -invariant function can be realized as the scalar curvature of a  $G$ -invariant metric on a compact  $(M, G)$ . On the other hand, there is a wealth of manifolds with abelian symmetry as it is illustrated by the following theorem of Corro–Galaz–García ([CGG20, Theorem A]):

**Theorem 6.1** (Corro–Galaz–García). *For each integer  $n \geq 1$ , the following holds:*

- (1) *There exist infinitely many diffeomorphism types of closed simply-connected smooth  $(n+4)$ -manifolds  $M$  with a  $T^n$ -invariant Riemannian metric with positive Ricci curvature;*
- (2) *The manifolds  $M$  realize infinitely many spin and non-spin diffeomorphism types;*
- (3) *Each manifold  $M$  supports a smooth, effective action of a torus  $T^{n+2}$  extending the isometric  $T^n$ -action in item (i).*

In particular,

**Corollary 6.2.** *For each integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^G$  contains infinitely many diffeomorphism types of both spin and non-spin closed simply-connected smooth  $(n+4)$ -manifolds  $M$  with Abelian symmetry.*

The quotients  $M/G$  in Theorem 6.1 are connected sums of finitely many copies of products of 2-spheres and complex projective planes. Therefore, these manifolds admit metrics of positive scalar curvature.

On the other hand, a manifold with toric symmetry which does not lie in  $\mathcal{P}^G$  can be constructed as follows: take an enlargeable manifold  $M_1$ . Recall that a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is said to be enlargeable if, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $M$  has an oriented Riemannian covering that admits an  $\varepsilon$ -contracting map with non-trivial degree to the unit sphere  $S^n(1)$ . Tori and every other manifold with a metric of non-positive sectional curvature is enlargeable. Furthermore, enlargeability is a homotopy invariant. It is closed for products, connected sums, and even by maps of non-trivial degree (if a manifold admits a map of non-trivial degree to an enlargeable manifold, the source manifold is itself enlargeable).

Now consider the product of  $M_1$  with a torus,  $M = M_1 \times T$ . Due to the closedness property of enlargeability by taking products, we have  $(M, T) \notin \mathcal{P}^T$ . More generally, Bergery [Ber83] shows that a compact manifold with a free  $T$ -action  $(M, T)$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{P}^T$  if and only if it is a  $T$ -bundle over a manifold in the complement of  $\mathcal{P}$ . More interestingly, [Ber83] presented examples of manifolds  $(M, T) \in (\mathcal{P}^T)^c \cap \mathcal{P}$ , where  $(\mathcal{P}^T)^c$  stands for the complement of  $\mathcal{P}^T$ .

Based on the information above, we explicitly leave open the questions on the structure of manifolds in  $(\mathcal{P}^T)^c \cap \mathcal{P}$ ; and if there is a manifold  $(M, T) \notin \mathcal{P}^T$  whose quotient  $M/T$  admits positive scalar curvature (in a generalized sense).



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Part of this work was developed between the end of the first-named author’s postdoctoral position at the Federal University of Paraíba (UFPB) and the University of Fribourg, supported partly by the SNSF-Project 200020E\_193062 and the DFG-Priority Program SPP 2026. He takes the opportunity to thank the University of Fribourg for its hospitality and the freedom and confidence of Prof. Anand Dessai during the period he supervised him.

The second named author was partially supported by Paraíba State Research Foundation (FAPESQ) #3034/2021, and National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) #312340/2021-4 and #429285/2016-7.

## REFERENCES

- [AB15] M. M. Alexandrino and R. G. Bettiol. *Lie Groups and Geometric Aspects of Isometric Actions*. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- [Aub76] T. Aubin. Equations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 55:269–296, 1976.
- [Aub76] T. Aubin. Espaces de Sobolev sur les variétés Riemanniennes. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 100:149–173, 1976.
- [Aub98] T. Aubin. *Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1998.
- [BE69] M. Berger and D. Ebin. Some decompositions of the space of symmetric tensors on a Riemannian manifold. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 3(3-4):379 – 392, 1969.
- [Ber83] L. Berger. Scalar curvature and isometry group. *Spectra of Riemannian Manifolds, Tokyo*, pages 9–28, 1983.
- [Bes87] A.L. Besse. *Einstein Manifolds*. Classics in mathematics. Springer, 1987.
- [CGG20] D. Corro and F. Galaz-García. Positive Ricci curvature on simply-connected manifolds with cohomogeneity-two torus actions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 148:3087–3097, 2020.
- [CRD84] I. Chavel, B. Randol, and J. Dodziuk. *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*. ISSN. Elsevier Science, 1984.
- [CS18] L. F. Cavenaghi and L. D. Sperança. On the geometry of some equivariantly related manifolds. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, page my268, 2018.
- [CeSS18] L. F. Cavenaghi, R. J. M. e Silva and L. D. Sperança. Positive Ricci curvature through Cheeger deformations. *Collectanea Mathematica*, DOI 10.1007/s13348-023-00396-7, to appear. Preprint version: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.09725>
- [CS19] L. Cavenaghi and L. Sperança. Positive Ricci curvature on fiber bundles with compact structure group. *Advances in Geometry*, vol. 22, no. 1, 2022, pp. 95-104. <https://doi.org/10.1515/advgeom-2021-0007>
- [FM74] A. Fischer and J. Marsden. Manifolds of Riemannian metrics with prescribed scalar curvature. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 80(3):479 – 484, 1974.
- [GKS20] S. Goette, M. Kerin, and K. Shankar. Highly connected 7-manifolds and non-negative sectional curvature. *Annals of Mathematics*, 191(3):829–892, 2020.
- [GM72] D. Gromoll and W. Meyer. An exotic sphere with non-negative curvature. *Annals of Mathematics*, 96:413–443, 1972.
- [GW09] D. Gromoll and G. Walshap. *Metric Foliations and Curvature*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [GZ00] K. Grove and W. Ziller. Curvature and symmetry of Milnor spheres. *Annals of Mathematics*, 152:331–367, 2000.
- [Heb96] E. Hebey. *Sobolev Spaces on Riemannian Manifolds*. Number N° 1635 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, 1996.
- [Heb90] E. Hebey. *Changements de métriques conformes sur la sphère - Le problème de Nirenberg*. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 114:215–242, 1990
- [HebVa93] E. Hebey and M. Vaugon *Le problème de Yamabe équivariant*. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 117:241–286, 1993
- [HebVa97] E. Hebey and M. Vaugon *Sobolev spaces in the presence of symmetries*. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 76:859–881, 1997
- [KW75a] J. L. Kazdan and F. W. Warner. A direct approach to determining Gaussian and scalar curvature functions. *Inventiones mathematicae*, (28):227–230, 1975.
- [KW75b] J. L. Kazdan and F. W. Warner. Existence and conformal deformation of metrics with prescribed Gaussian and scalar curvatures. *Annals of Mathematics*, 101(2):317–331, 1975.
- [LY74] H. Lawson and S-T. Yau. Scalar curvature, non-Abelian group actions, and the degree of symmetry of exotic spheres. *Comm. Math. Helv.*, 49:232–244, 1974.
- [M87] M. Mütter. *Krümmungserhöhende deformationen mittels gruppenaktionen*. PhD thesis, Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität Münster, 1987.
- [Pal79] R. Palais. The Principle of symmetric criticality. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 69(1):19–30, 1979.
- [Sch84] R. Schoen. Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 20(2):479 – 495, 1984.
- [SY79a] R. Schoen and S-T. Yau. On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 65(1):45 – 76, 1979.
- [SW15] Searle, C. and Wilhelm, F. How to lift positive Ricci curvature, *Geometry & Topology*, 19(3):1409–1475, 2015.
- [SY79b] R. Schoen and S-T. Yau. Proof of the Positive-Action Conjecture in Quantum Relativity. *Physical Review Letters*, 42:547–548, Feb 1979.
- [SY81] R. Schoen and S-T. Yau. Proof of the positive mass theorem. II. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 79(2):231 – 260, 1981.
- [Tal] G. Talenti. Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 110:353 – 372, 1976.
- [Tru68] N. Trudinger. Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze*, Ser. 3, 22(2):265–274, 1968.
- [Wie16] M. Wiemeler. Circle actions and scalar curvature. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 368(4):2939–2966, 2016.
- [Yam60] H. Yamabe. On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds. *Osaka Mathematical Journal*, 12(1):21 – 37, 1960.
- [Zil] W. Ziller. <http://www.math.upenn.edu/~wziller/research.html>.