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Abstract

We study the asymptotic behavior of a size-marked point process of centers of large cells in a stationary and isotropic Poisson hyperplane mosaic in arbitrary dimension. The sizes of the cells are measured by their inradius or their $k$th intrinsic volume ($k \geq 2$), for example. We prove a Poisson limit theorem for this process in Kantorovich-Rubinstein distance and thereby generalize a result in Chenavier and Hemsley (2016) in various directions. Our proof is based on a general Poisson process approximation result that extends a theorem in Bobrowski, Schulte and Yogeshwaran (2021).
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1 Introduction

Random mosaics are important objects in both theory and practice of modern probability. They are used in a wide range of applications to model random spatial phenomena. Besides the Voronoi mosaic (and its dual, the Delaunay mosaic), the hyperplane mosaic is an important model class. In this article we study stationary and isotropic Poisson hyperplane mosaics. These are random mosaics where the generating process is a stationary and isotropic Poisson hyperplane process.

Different aspects of this mosaic have been investigated in the literature. For example, in [6] it is shown that the shape of the zero cell (it is the cell containing the origin $o \in \mathbb{R}^d$) is with high probability close to the shape of a ball if the $k$th intrinsic volume (for some $k \geq 2$) of the typical cell is large. This result is the answer to the Kendall problem (formulated for the zero cell of a Poisson hyperplane mosaic) and is adapted to the typical cell of the mosaic in [7]. In [4] cells with large (and small) inradius and with center in a window are considered. For dimension $d = 2$ it is shown that the limit distribution of the largest and smallest order statistics for the inradii converges to a Poisson distribution when the size of the window goes to infinity.

In the present paper we considerably extend this result in various directions. We consider marked point process of centers of large (w.r.t. the inradius or an intrinsic volume, e.g. volume, surface area) cells in a cube of volume $t$ in a stationary, isotropic Poisson hyperplane mosaic, where the mark a transformation of the cell size. We study the asymptotics of a scaling of this...
process as $t \to \infty$. Using Stein’s method (via a coupling of the marked process with a Palm version of itself), we prove convergence in the Kantorovich-Rubinstein distance to a marked Poisson process. To this end, we give an extension of [4, Theorem 4.1]. In the proofs of our main Theorems 1 and 2 we face two kinds of obstacles. The first one concerns long range dependencies and comes from the fact that two cells that are arbitrarily far apart from each other can share joint facet hyperplanes. The second one deals with local dependencies in the mosaic and concerns the sizes of the clusters in which large cells appear. The answer to this question heavily depends on the shape of large cells. For the size functions that we consider (where all extremal bodies are balls) we use the answer to Kendall’s problem and obtain clusters of size one.

Our article is structured as follows. In Section 2 we give precise definitions of the objects that we study and present our main results. In Section 3 we prove two important auxiliary lemmas that give a bound on the number of hyperplanes in a stationary, isotropic Poisson process that simultaneously hit two disjoint balls. Moreover, we present a spherical Blaschke-Petkantschin formula that generalizes [12, Theorem 7.3.2] and might be of independent interest. In Section 4 we give an extension of [3, Theorem 6.1]. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1 (where we consider the process of centers of cells that are large w.r.t. their inradius). In Section 6 we prove Theorem 2 by generalizing the proof of Theorem 1 to the process of centers of cells that are large w.r.t. an intrinsic volume.

2 Preliminaries and Main Results

We use the notation from [4] and [3]. For a locally compact second countable Hausdorff (lcscH) space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ we write $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{X}$ for the space of all $\sigma$-finite counting measures on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\mathcal{X}$ for the space of all finite counting measures on $\mathcal{X}$. We equip $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\mathcal{X}$ with the corresponding $\sigma$-algebras that are induced by the mappings $\omega \mapsto \omega(B)$ for all $B \in \mathcal{X}$. These are the Borel-$\sigma$-algebras with respect to the Fell topologies on $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\mathcal{X}$, respectively.

The real Euclidean vector space $\mathbb{R}^d$ is equipped with the standard scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and induced norm $\| \cdot \|$. We write $\kappa_d$ for the volume of the closed unit ball $B^d := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq 1 \}$, $\omega_d = d\kappa_d$ for the surface area of the unit sphere $S^{d-1} := \partial B^d \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| = 1 \}$ and $B(z,r) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x-z\| \leq r \}$ for the closed ball with radius $r > 0$ around $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The $\ell$-dimensional $(\ell \in \{0,\ldots,d\})$ Lebesgue measure on an $\ell$-dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted by $\lambda_\ell$ and $\sigma_k$ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on a $k$-dimensional ($k \in \{0,\ldots,d-1\}$) great subsphere of $S^{d-1}$.

The lcscH space (with the standard topology) of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted by $\mathbb{H}$. Every element $H \in \mathbb{H}$ can be represented as $H = H(u,r) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, u \rangle = r \}$ for some $u \in S^{d-1}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. For $H \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus H$ we write $H^-(z)$ for the closed halfspace bounded by $H$ that contains $z$ and we denote $H^- := H^-(o)$ for the closed halfspace bounded by $H$ (not passing through the origin $o \in \mathbb{R}^d$) that contains $o$.

In this article all random objects are defined on some fixed probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\eta$ is a stationary and isotropic Poisson hyperplane process in $\mathbb{R}^d$. This is a random element in the space $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$. As usual we write $\eta$ for the simple (induced) counting measure and its support. The distribution of $\eta$ is invariant under rotations and translations and its intensity measure $\mathbb{E}\eta$ is of the form $\mathbb{E}\eta = \gamma \mu_{d-1}$, where $\gamma > 0$ is the intensity of $\eta$ and $\mu_{d-1}$ is the motion invariant measure.
on $\mathbb{H}$ given by
\[
\mu_{d-1}(\cdot) = 2 \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}\{H(u,r) \in \cdot\} \, dr \, \sigma_{d-1}(du).
\] (2.1)

We write $\delta_H$ for the Dirac measure in $H \in \mathbb{H}$ and abbreviate $\delta_{(H_1,\ldots,H_m)} := \delta_{H_1} + \cdots + \delta_{H_m}$.

The space $\mathcal{K}^d$ of convex bodies (non-empty, compact, convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$) is endowed with the Hausdorff metric. For $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ we write $\mathbb{H}_K := \{H \in \mathbb{H} : H \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ and $\mathbb{H}^K := \{H \in \mathbb{H} : H \cap K = \emptyset\}$. The random number $\eta(\mathbb{H}_K)$ of hyperplanes passing through $K$ follows a Poisson distribution with parameter $\gamma \Phi(K)$ with
\[
\Phi(K) := 2 \int_{S^{d-1}} h(K,u) \, \sigma_{d-1}(du),
\] (2.2)

where $h(K,\cdot)$ is the support function of $K$.

In this article we study point processes related to large cells in Poisson hyperplane mosaics. The closures of the connected components of the complement of $\bigcup_{H \in \eta} H$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ form a stationary, isotropic mosaic $m$ with intensity
\[
\gamma^{(d)} := \frac{(2\gamma)^d}{d+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_d(u) \sigma_{d-1}(du)
\] (2.3)

(see [12, Section 10.3]). For a tuple $H = (H_1,\ldots,H_{d+1})$ of $d+1$ hyperplanes in general position (i.e. with linearly independent normal vectors and not all passing through one point) let $B(H)$ be the closed inball of the unique simplex $\Delta(H)$ for which $H_1,\ldots,H_{d+1}$ are the facet hyperplanes. Moreover, let $z(H)$ be the center and $r(H)$ be the radius of $B(H)$. It is easy to see that almost surely any $d+1$ hyperplanes of $\eta$ are in general position; implying uniqueness of the inballs of the cells of $m$. Moreover, almost surely any inball is touched by precisely $d+1$ hyperplanes of $\eta$. For every cell $C$ in $m$ this allows us to find unique (up to permutations) $H_1,\ldots,H_{d+1} \in \eta$ such that for $H := (H_1,\ldots,H_{d+1})$ we have that
\[
C = C(H,\eta) := \Delta(H) \cap \bigcap_{H \in \eta \cap B(H)} H^{-1}(z(H)).
\] (2.4)

For $t \geq 1$ and $w \in \mathbb{N}_\mathbb{H}$ we consider the point process
\[
\zeta_t[w] = \frac{1}{(d+1)!} \sum_{H \in \omega^{(d+1)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\omega - \delta_H) \cap \mathbb{H} B(H) = \emptyset\} \delta_{(t^{-1/d}z(H),2 \gamma r(H) - \log t)}.
\] (2.5)

where $\omega^{(d+1)}$ is the set of all $(d+1)$-tuples of hyperplanes of $\omega$ with pairwise distinct entries. Hence, $\zeta_t \equiv \zeta_t[w]$ is a scaling of the process of inball centers of cells in $m$, marked by a transformation of their radius.

Let $\varphi$ be the Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$ given by $\varphi((c,\infty)) = e^{-c}$ for all $c > 0$. We compare the process $\zeta_t$ with a Poisson process $\nu$ in $\mathbb{Y} := \mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty)$ restricted to $W \times (c,\infty)$ for some compact $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We consider the Kantorovich-Rubinstein (KR) distance between the distributions of the two point processes $\zeta$ and $\nu$ that is given by
\[
d_{\text{KR}}(\zeta,\nu) := \sup_{h \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{Y})} |\mathbb{E} h(\zeta) - \mathbb{E} h(\nu)|,
\]

where Lip($\mathbb{Y}$) is the class of all measurable 1-Lipschitz functions $h : \mathbb{N}_\mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the total variation between measures $\omega_1,\omega_2$ on $\mathbb{Y}$ given by
\[
d_{TV}(\omega_1,\omega_2) := \sup |\omega_1(A) - \omega_2(A)|.
\]
where the supremum is taken over all $A \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\omega_1(A), \omega_2(A) < \infty$. The KR distance between two point processes $\xi, \zeta$ dominates their total variation distance
\[
d_{TV}(\xi, \zeta) := \sup_{A \in \mathcal{N}_Y} |\mathbb{P}(\xi \in A) - \mathbb{P}(\zeta \in A)|,
\]
where $\mathcal{N}_Y$ is the standard $\sigma$-algebra on the space of $\sigma$-finite counting measures on $\mathcal{Y}$ (see [5]).

**Theorem 1.** Let $\zeta_t$ be as above and $\nu$ be a Poisson process with intensity measure $\gamma^{(d)} \lambda_d \otimes \varphi$. For all $c > 0$ and compact $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we have that
\[
d_{KR}(\zeta_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty)), \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))) = O((\log t)^{3} t^{-1/d}) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.
\]

In the second part of this article we study point processes of centers of cells in $\mathfrak{m}$ that are large with respect to more general size functions. Let $\Sigma : \mathcal{K}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous (with respect to the Hausdorff metric), homogeneous of degree $k$ for some $k > 0$, not identically zero and increasing under set inclusion. Such functions are called size functions in [7]. Additionally, we assume that there is a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that $V_d(K) \leq c_1 \Sigma(K)^{d/k}$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$. Note that $\Sigma$ and $\Phi$ satisfy the sharp isoperimetric inequality
\[
\Phi(K) \geq \tau \Sigma(K)^{1/k}, \quad K \in \mathcal{K}^d,
\]
for some constant $\tau > 0$ (see [6, Section 3]). For example, for $\Sigma = V_d$ we have $\tau = 2k_d^{1/d}$. We call $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ an extremal body if it has more than one point and equality holds in (2.6). We assume that all extremal bodies of $\Sigma$ are Euclidean balls. For instance, all intrinsic volumes $V_k$ ($k \in \{2, \ldots, d\}$) share this property ($V_d$ is the volume and $2V_{d-1}$ is the surface area; see [12, Section 14.2]).

Let $Z$ be the typical cell of $\mathfrak{m}$. It can be understood as a cell picked uniformly at random from all cells in a large compact region of $\mathfrak{m}$ and will be defined rigorously in Section 6. There is a function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\frac{\log u}{h^{-1}(u)\lambda_k} \to \tau \gamma$ as $t \to \infty$ such that $\mathbb{P}((h \circ \Sigma)(Z) > \cdot)$ is Pareto(1)-distributed (this is shown in Lemma [9] below), i.e.,
\[
\mathbb{P}(f(Z) > u) = u^{-1}, \quad u > 0,
\]
with $f := h \circ \Sigma$.

For $t > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathfrak{N}_\mathbb{R}$ we consider the point process
\[
\xi_t[\omega] := \frac{1}{(d+1)!} \sum_{H \in \gamma^{(d+1)}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ (\eta - \delta_H) \cap \mathbb{H}B(H) = \emptyset \right\}} \delta_{\left(t^{-1/d} z(H), t^{-1} f(H, \eta)\right)},
\]
where we abbreviate $f(H, \omega) := f(C(H, \omega))$ for $\omega \in \mathfrak{N}_\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\xi_t \equiv \xi_t[\eta]$ and $\psi$ be the Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$ given by $\psi((a, b)) = a^{-1} - b^{-1}$ for $0 < a < b < \infty$.

**Theorem 2.** Let $\xi_t$ be as above and $\nu$ be a Poisson process with intensity measure $\gamma^{(d)} \lambda_d \otimes \psi$. There exists $b > 0$ such that for all $c > 0$ and compact $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we have that
\[
d_{KR}(\xi_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty)), \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))) = O(t^{-b}) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.
\]

In the proof of Theorem [2] we combine probabilistic bounds used in the proof of Theorem [1] with geometric estimates. Intuitively spoken, we exploit that the shape of a large typical cell in $\mathfrak{m}$ is with high probability close to the shape of a ball. This fact is known as Kendall’s conjecture that was answered in a series of articles (see e.g. [6, 7]). This brings us in a position where we can argue similarly to the proof of Theorem [1].
3 Facts from Probability and Integral Geometry

3.1 Geometry of random hyperplanes

In the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we will need to control the number of hyperplanes passing simultaneously through two fixed balls. The following lemma expresses the probability that a random hyperplane with uniformly distributed normal vector and in distance \( r > 0 \) from some point \( z \in \mathbb{R}^d \) passes through a fixed ball.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( w, z \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( r, s > 0 \). Then we have

\[
\int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \mathbb{H}_B(w, s) \}} \sigma_{d-1}(du) = \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_{\max\left(\frac{r}{\|w - z\|}, 1\right)}^{\min\left(\frac{s}{\|w - z\|}, 1\right)} (1 - x^2)^{d-3} dx.
\]

**Proof.** Using that the left-hand side only depends on the distance of \( w \) and \( z \) and writing out the definition of \( H(u, r) \), we find that

\[
\int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \mathbb{H}_B(w, s) \}} \sigma_{d-1}(du) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{H(u, r) \in \mathbb{H}_B(w, r, s) \}} \sigma_{d-1}(du)
= \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{(w - z, u) \in [r - s, r + s] \}} \sigma_{d-1}(du).
\]

Choosing \( S := S^{d-1} \cap (\text{lin} \{w - z\})^\perp \) in [12, Lemma 6.5.1] and substituting \( x = \cos(t) \) in a second step, we find that the above is given by

\[
\frac{\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{d-2}(t) \mathbb{1}_{\{\cos(t) \|w - z\| \in [r - s, r + s] \}} dt \sigma_{d-2}(dv)
= \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x^2)^{(d-3)/2} \mathbb{1}_{\{x \|w - z\| \in [r - s, r + s] \}} dx,
\]

which implies the assertion. \( \square \)

The next lemma is a corollary of Lemma 3 and gives a bound on the expected number of hyperplanes passing through two disjoint balls. Note that in dimension \( d = 2 \), [4, Lemma 4.2] provides an upper bound on this number and [11] gives the exact number using an explicit geometric construction that does not seem to work in higher dimension.

**Lemma 4.** For \( w, z \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( r, s > 0 \) with \( r + s \leq \|w - z\| \) we find that

\[
\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_B(z, r) \cap \mathbb{H}_B(w, s)) < \frac{4 \min(r, s) \omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_{0}^{\frac{r + s}{\|w - z\|}} (1 - x^2)^{d-3} dx.
\]

**Proof.** Assume that \( r \leq s \). From (2.11) and Lemma 3 we obtain that

\[
\mu(\mathbb{H}_B(z, r) \cap \mathbb{H}_B(w, s)) = \frac{2 \omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{\frac{t}{\|w - z\|}}^{\frac{t + r}{\|w - z\|}} (1 - x^2)^{d-3} dx dt
= \frac{2 \omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_{0}^{r} \left\{ \int_{\frac{t}{\|w - z\|}}^{\frac{t + r}{\|w - z\|}} (1 - x^2)^{d-3} dx + \int_{0}^{\frac{t}{\|w - z\|}} (1 - x^2)^{d-3} dx \right\} dt
\leq \frac{2r \omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\frac{r + s}{\|w - z\|}} (1 - x^2)^{d-3} dx + \int_{0}^{\frac{r}{\|w - z\|}} (1 - x^2)^{d-3} dx \right\}.
\]

Since \( (1 - x^2)^{d-3} \) is strictly positive for \( x \in [0, 1) \), the claim follows. \( \square \)

**Remark 5.** Note that for \( d = 3 \) we instantly find from the second line of the proof that

\[
\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_B(z, r) \cap \mathbb{H}_B(w, s)) = \frac{2rs}{\|w - z\|}, \quad r, s > 0, \quad r + s \leq \|w - z\|.
\]

\( \square \)
3.2 A spherical Blaschke-Petkantschin formula

The following lemma of spherical Blaschke-Petkantschin type is a generalisation of [12, Theorem 7.3.2] to the situation where $\ell \leq d$ hyperplanes are fixed and the integration over the remaining $d + 1 - \ell$ hyperplanes is carried out. To formulate the statement, we need to introduce some notation. For linear subspaces $L_1, \ldots, L_k$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \dim L_i = m \leq d,$$

we define the subspace determinant $[L_1, \ldots, L_k]$ following [12, Section 14.1]. Choose an orthonormal base in each $L_i$ (the empty set if $\dim L_i = 0$) and let $[L_1, \ldots, L_k]$ be the $m$-dimensional volume of the parallelepiped spanned by the union of these bases (1, by definition, if $\dim L_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$). In the following we write $u^\perp$ for the $(d - 1)$-dimensional linear subspace orthogonal to $u \in S^{d-1}$ and use the abbreviations $u := (u_{\ell+1}, \ldots, u_d)$ and

$$H(u, \tau) := (H(u_{\ell+1}, \{z, u_{\ell+1}\} + r), \ldots, H(u_d, \{z, u_d\} + r), \text{ where } z, \ell \text{ and } r \text{ are always clear from the context.}$$

**Lemma 6.** Let $1 \leq \ell \leq d$ and $f : \mathbb{H}^{d+1}_d \to [0, \infty)$ be a measurable function. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}_d} f \mu^{d+1}_d = 2^{d+1} d! \int_{\mathbb{H}^d} \int_{H_1 \times \cdots \times H_k} \int_0^\infty \int_{(S^{d-1}-1)^{d+1-\ell}} f(H(u, \tau)) \times [u^\perp]^\tau \Delta_d(v_{1,\ell}, u) \mathbb{I}_P(v_{1,\ell}, u) \lambda_{d-\ell}(dz) \sigma^{d+1-\ell}_{d-1}(du) \ dr \sigma^\ell_1(dv_{1,\ell}) \mu^\ell_{d-1}(dH_{1,\ell}).$$

**Proof.** We use [12, Theorem 7.3.2] (note the missing factor $2^{d+1}$ on the right-hand side of the statement there) and obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}_d} f \mu^{d+1}_d = 2^{d+1} d! \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \int f(H(u, \tau)) \Delta_d(u) \sigma^{d+1-\ell}_{d-1}(du) \ dr \ dz.$$

We now replace the integration over the inner $\ell$ unit vectors $u_1, \ldots, u_\ell$ by an integration over their orthogonal complements and obtain for the last expression,

$$2^{d+1} d! \int_{G(d,d-1)} \int_{G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d+1-\ell}} f(z + G_1 + rv_1, \ldots, z + G_\ell + rv_\ell, H(u, \tau)) \times \Delta_d(v_{1,\ell}, u) \mathbb{I}_P(v_{1,\ell}, u) \sigma^{d+1-\ell}_{d-1}(du) \ dr \ dz \sigma^\ell_1(dv_{1,\ell}) \nu^\ell_{d-1}(dG_{1,\ell}),$$

where $\nu_q (q \in \{0, \ldots, d\})$ is the unique Haar measure on the Grassmannian $G(d, q)$ of $q$-dimensional linear subspaces, normalized by $\nu_q(G(d, q)) = 1$ (see [12, Theorem 13.2.11]). For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in [k]$ we write $b_k = \omega_k \omega_{k+1} \cdots \omega_q$ and $b_{\ell} = b_{(d-\ell)} \left( \frac{b_{(d-\ell)}}{b_{(1)}} \right)^{\ell+1}$. Moreover, for $L \in G(d, q)$ we denote by $G(L, d-1)$ the space of all $(d-1)$-dimensional linear subspaces containing $L$ with invariant measure $\nu^L_{d-1}$ (see [12, Section 13.2]). Similarly, we write $A(E, d-1)$ for the space of affine subspaces containing an affine subspace $E \in A(d, q)$ with invariant measure $\mu^E_{d-1}$. Furthermore, we abbreviate $(z + G_{1,\ell} + rv_{1,\ell}) = (z + G_1 + rv_1, \ldots, z + G_\ell + rv_\ell)$. Using Theorem [12, Theorem 7.2.5] we find that $[12, \text{Lemma 3.1}]$ is given by

$$2^{d+1} d! \int_{G(d,d-\ell)} \int_{G(L,d-1)} \int_{G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d+1-\ell}} f(z + G_{1,\ell} + rv_{1,\ell}, H(u, \tau)) [u_{1,\ell}]^\ell \times \Delta_d(v_{1,\ell}, u) \mathbb{I}_P(v_{1,\ell}, u) \sigma^{d+1-\ell}_{d-1}(du) \ dr \ dz \sigma^\ell_1(dv_{1,\ell}) \nu^\ell_{d-1}(dL).$$
Now we use that $\mathbb{R}^d = L \oplus L^⊥$, write $\tau_i := (z + z', u_i) + r$ with $z \in L$ and $z' \in L^⊥$ for $i = \ell + 1, \ldots, d + 1$ and find that the above is given by

\[
2^{d+1} d\bar{\mathbb{F}} \int G(d,d-\ell) \int_{G(L,d-1)^\ell} \int_{G(1,\text{\ldots},G_\ell^\ell)} \int L \int L \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d+1-\ell}} f(z + G_{1,\ell} + rv_{1,\ell}, H(u, \tau)) [v_{1,\ell}^1] r \times \Delta_d(v_{1,\ell}, u) P(v_{1,\ell}, u) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-\ell} (du) \ dr \lambda_{d-\ell}(dz) \lambda_{d+\ell}(dz') \sigma_1^d(dv_{1,\ell}) (v_{d-1}^1) r (dG_{1,\ell}) \nu_{d-\ell}(dL).
\]

Using [12, (13.9)] we obtain

\[
2^{d+1} d\bar{\mathbb{F}} \int A(d,d-\ell) \int A(E,d-1)^\ell \int H_{1,\text{\ldots},H_\ell^\ell} \int E \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d+1-\ell}} f(H_1 + ru_1, \ldots, H_\ell + ru_\ell, H(u, \tau)) \times \Delta_d(v_{1,\ell}, u) P(v_{1,\ell}, u) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-\ell} (du) \ dr \lambda_{d-\ell}(dz) \sigma_1^d(dv_{1,\ell}) (\mu_{d-1}^E) r (dH_{1,\ell}) \mu_{d-\ell}(dE).
\]

Using [12, Theorem 7.2.8] and [12, Lemma 14.1.1], the last expression is given by

\[
2^{d+1} d\bar{\mathbb{F}} \int A(d,d-\ell) \int H_{1,\text{\ldots},H_\ell^\ell} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d+1-\ell}} f(H_1, \ldots, H_\ell, H(u, \tau)) [v_{1,\ell}^1] r^{-1} \times \Delta_d(v_{1,\ell}, u) P(v_{1,\ell}, u) \lambda_{d-\ell}(dz) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-\ell} (du) \ dr \sigma_1^d(dv_{1,\ell}) (\mu_{d-1}^E) r (dH_{1,\ell}).
\]

\[
\square
\]

4 Poisson process approximation

In this section we give an extension of [3, Theorem 6.1] and use the notation therein. We begin with a brief repetition of the setup. Let $(X, \mathcal{X})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{Y})$ be locally compact second countable Hausdorff (lscH) spaces. Let $g : X^k \times N_X \to \{0,1\}$, $f : X^k \times N_X \to Y$ be measurable functions that are symmetric in the $x$ coordinates and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the space of closed subsets of $X$ equipped with the Fell topology. We assume that $S : X^k \times N_X \to \mathcal{F}$ is measurable and that $f, g$ are localized to $S$, i.e., for all $\omega \in N_X$ and for all $S \supseteq S(x, \omega)$ we have that

\[
g(x, \omega) = g(x, \omega \cap S),
\]

\[
f(x, \omega) = f(x, \omega \cap S) \quad \text{if} \quad g(x, \omega) = 1.
\]

Moreover, we assume for all $x \in X^k$ that $S(x, \cdot) : N_X \to \mathcal{F}$ is a stopping set, i.e. for all compact sets $S \subset X$ we have that

\[
\{ \omega \in N_X : S(x, \omega) \subset S \} = \{ \omega \in N_X : S(x, \omega \cap S) \subset S \}.
\]

Define

\[
\xi[\omega] := \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{x \in X^k} g(x, \omega) \delta_{f(x, \omega)}, \quad \omega \in N_X,
\]

and let $\xi \equiv \xi[\eta]$, where $\eta$ is a Poisson process on $X$ with $\sigma$-finite intensity measure $K$. Then we obtain from the multivariate Mecke equation that the intensity measure $L$ of $\xi$ is given by

\[
L(\cdot) = \frac{1}{k!} \int X^k E \{ f(x, \eta + \delta_x) \in \cdot \} g(x, \eta + \delta_x) K^k(x).
\]
Theorem 7. Let $\xi$ be the process defined above with $f, g$ satisfying (4.1) and $L(\mathcal{Y}) < \infty$. Let $\zeta$ be a Poisson process with finite intensity measure $M$. Further, suppose that we are given a measurable mapping $x \to S_x$ from $\mathbb{X}^k$ to $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying $x \in S_x$. For $\omega \in \mathbb{N}_X$ let

$$\tilde{g}(x, \omega) := g(x, \omega) 1\{S(x, \omega) \subset S_x\}.$$

Then

$$d_{KR}(\xi, \zeta) \leq d_{TV}(L, M) + E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5 + E_6$$

with

$$E_1 = \frac{2}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E}g(x, \eta + \delta_x) 1\{S(x, \eta + \delta_x) \subset S_x\} K^k(dx),$$

$$E_2 = \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} \{ (\eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) \cap S_x \cap S_z \subset \emptyset \} \tilde{g}(x, \eta + \delta_x) \mathbb{E}g(z, \eta + \delta_z) K^k(dz) K^k(dx),$$

$$E_3 = \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} \{ (\eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) \cap S_x \cap S_z = \emptyset \} \tilde{g}(x, \eta + \delta_x) \times \mathbb{E} \{ \eta \cap S_x \cap S_z \subset \emptyset \} \tilde{g}(z, \eta + \delta_z) K^k(dz) K^k(dx),$$

$$E_4 = \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} \{ (\eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) \cap S_x \cap S_z = \emptyset \} \tilde{g}(x, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) \tilde{g}(z, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) K^k(dz) K^k(dx),$$

$$E_5 = \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} \{ (\eta + \delta_x) \cap S_x \cap S_z = \emptyset \} \tilde{g}(x, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) \tilde{g}(z, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) K^k(dz) K^k(dx),$$

$$E_6 = \frac{2}{k!} \sum_{\emptyset \subseteq I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}} \frac{1}{(k - |I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} \tilde{g}(x, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) \tilde{g}(z, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) K^{|I| - |I|}(dz) K^k(dx),$$

where for $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$ we set $x_I = (x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_m})$ and $(x_I, z) = (x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_m}, z_1, \ldots, z_{k-m})$.

Remark 8. Note that

$$E_2 + E_3 \leq \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} 1\{S_x \cap S_z \subset \emptyset\} \mathbb{E}g(x, \eta + \delta_x) \mathbb{E}g(z, \eta + \delta_z) K^k(dz) K^k(dx) =: E'_2,$$

$$E_4 + E_5 \leq \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} 1\{S_x \cap S_z \subset \emptyset\} \mathbb{E}g(x, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) g(z, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) K^k(dz) K^k(dx) =: E'_3.$$

This shows that Theorem 7 is a refinement of [3, Theorem 4.1], where $d_{KR}(\xi, \zeta)$ is bounded by $d_{TV}(L, M) + E_1 + E'_2 + E'_3 + E_6$.

Proof. We proceed along the same lines as in the proof of [3, Theorem 4.1]. First assume that for all $x \in \mathbb{X}^k$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{N}_X$ we have that $S(x, \omega) \subset S_x$. Then we have that $\tilde{g} = g$, $E_1 = 0$ and

$$g(x, \omega) = g(x, \omega \cap S_x), \quad f(x, \omega) = f(x, \omega \cap S_x) \quad \text{if} \quad g(x, \omega) = 1.$$ 

Let $\eta'$ be a Poisson process with intensity measure $K$ that is independent of $\eta$. For fixed $h \in \text{Lip}(\mathcal{Y})$ we need to bound the difference $\mathbb{E}h(\zeta) - \mathbb{E}h(\xi)$ which is by [3, (4.5)] given by

$$\mathbb{E}h(\zeta) - \mathbb{E}h(\xi) = \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \left( \mathbb{E}g(x, \eta' + \delta_x) D_f(x, \eta' + \delta_x) [P_s h(\xi[\eta])] - \mathbb{E}g(x, \eta + \delta_x) D_f(x, \eta + \delta_x) [P_s h(\xi[\eta] - \delta_f(x, \eta + \delta_x))] \right) K^k(dx) ds, \quad (4.2)$$
where $D_x h(\omega) := h(\omega + \delta_x) - h(\omega)$ and $P_s$ is the Markov semigroup corresponding to the generator $\mathcal{L}$ that is given by

$$\mathcal{L} h(\omega) := \int_\mathbb{R} D_x h(\omega) \mathbf{M}(dx) - \int_\mathbb{R} D_x h(\omega - \delta_x) \omega(dx).$$

For $x \in \mathbb{X}^k$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{N}_\mathbb{X}$ we define

$$\xi_x[\omega] = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{z \in \omega(k)} 1 \{(\omega + \delta_x) \cap S_z \cap S_x = \emptyset\} g(z, \omega) \delta f(z, \omega)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{z \in \omega(k)} 1 \{(\omega + \delta_x) \cap S_z \cap S_x = \emptyset\} g(z, \omega \cap S_z) \delta f(z, \omega \cap S_z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{z \in \omega(k)} 1 \{(\omega + \delta_x) \cap S_z \cap S_x = \emptyset\} g(z, \omega \cap S_z \cap S_x + \omega \cap S_z \cap S_x \cap S_z^c) \delta f(z, \omega \cap S_z \cap S_x + \omega \cap S_z \cap S_x \cap S_z^c)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{z \in \omega(k)} 1 \{(\omega + \delta_x) \cap S_z \cap S_x = \emptyset\} g(z, \omega \cap S_x^c) \delta f(z, \omega \cap S_x^c).$$

It follows from $[3, (2.9)]$ and the Mecke equation that

$$|E g(x, \eta' + \delta_x) D_f(x, \eta' + \delta_x)[P_s h(\xi[\eta])] - E g(x, \eta' + \delta_x) D_f(x, \eta' + \delta_x)[P_s h(\xi[\eta])]|$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{k!} e^{-s} \sum_{x \in \eta(k)} 1 \{(\eta + \delta_x) \cap S_z \cap S_x = \emptyset\} g(z, \eta)$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{k!} e^{-s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} E g(x, \eta + \delta_x) E 1 \{(\eta' + \delta_x) \cap S_z \cap S_x = \emptyset\} g(z, \eta + \delta_x) K(z, \eta) dz. \quad (4.3)$$

By assumption $[4.1]$, $f(x, \eta + \delta_x)$ and $g(x, \eta + \delta_x)$ depend only on $\eta \cap S_x$. Since $\eta = \eta'$, we obtain from the independence property of the Poisson process that

$$E g(x, \eta' + \delta_x) D_f(x, \eta' + \delta_x)[P_s h(\xi[\eta])] = E g(x, \eta + \delta_x) D_f(x, \eta + \delta_x)[P_s h(\xi[\eta \cap S_x^c + \eta' \cap S_x])]. \quad (4.4)$$

Note that

$$\xi_x[\eta \cap S_x^c + \eta' \cap S_x] = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{z \in \eta(k)} 1 \{(\eta' + \delta_x) \cap S_z \cap S_x = \emptyset\} g(z, \eta \cap S_x^c) \delta f(z, \eta \cap S_x^c).$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$d \text{TV} \left( \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{z \in \eta(k)} g(z, \eta + \delta_x) \delta f(z, \eta + \delta_x) \cdot \xi_x[\eta \cap S_x^c + \eta' \cap S_x] \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{z \in \eta(k)} 1 \{(\eta' + \delta_x) \cap S_z \cap S_x = \emptyset\} g(z, \eta \cap S_x^c)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{z \in \eta(k)} 1 \{(\eta + \delta_x) \cap S_z \cap S_x = \emptyset\} g(z, \eta + \delta_x)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{z \in \eta(k)} 1 \{(\eta + \delta_x) \cap S_z \cap S_x = \emptyset\} g(z, \eta + \delta_x). \quad (4.5)$$

As in $[3]$, we define the point process

$$\hat{\xi}_x := \xi[\eta + \delta_x] - g(x, \eta + \delta_x) \delta f(x, \eta + \delta_x) - \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{z \in \eta(k)} g(z, \eta + \delta_x) \delta f(z, \eta + \delta_x).$$
From [3, (2.9)], \((4.3)\) and the Mecke equation we obtain that
\[
\left| \mathbb{E}g(x, \eta + \delta_x)D_f(x, \eta + \delta_x)[P_x h(\xi[\eta + \delta_x] - \delta f(x, \eta + \delta_x))] 
- \mathbb{E}g(x, \eta + \delta_x)D_f(x, \eta + \delta_x)[P_x h(\xi_x[\eta \cap S_x^c + \eta' \cap S_x])] \right| 
\leq 2e^{-s} \mathbb{E}g(x, \eta + \delta_x) \left( \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in (\eta \cap S_x^c)^{(k)}} 1 \{ (\eta' + \delta_x) \cap S_x \cap S_z = \emptyset, \eta \cap S_x \cap S_z \neq \emptyset \} g(z, \eta \cap S_x^c) \right. 
+ \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \eta^{(k)}} 1 \{ (\eta + \delta_x) \cap S_x \cap S_z = \emptyset, \eta' \cap S_x \cap S_z \neq \emptyset \} g(z, \eta + \delta_x) 
\left. + \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \eta^{(k)}} 1 \{ (\eta + \delta_x) \cap S_x \cap S_z \neq \emptyset \} g(z, \eta + \delta_x + \xi_x(\mathcal{Y}) \} \right).
\]
Using that \(\eta \cap S_x\) and \(\eta \cap S_x^c\) are independent point processes, we obtain from the Mecke equation that the above is bounded by
\[
2e^{-s} \left( \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathcal{X}^k} \mathbb{E}\{1 \{ \eta \cap S_x \cap S_z \neq \emptyset, (\eta' + \delta_x + \delta_z) \cap S_x \cap S_z = \emptyset \} g(x, \eta + \delta_x) g(z, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) \} K^k(dz) \right. 
+ \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathcal{X}^k} \mathbb{E}\{1 \{ \eta' \cap S_x \cap S_z = \emptyset, (\eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) \cap S_x \cap S_z = \emptyset \} g(x, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) \} K^k(dz) 
\left. \times K^k(dx) \right. 
+ \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathcal{X}^k} \mathbb{E}\{1 \{ \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z \cap S_x \cap S_z = \emptyset \} g(x, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) \} K^k(dz) 
\left. + \mathbb{E}g(x, \eta + \delta_x) \xi_x(\mathcal{Y}) \} \right),
\]
(4.6)
Now we substitute \((4.3)\) and \((4.6)\) into \((4.2)\) and obtain with the triangle inequality
\[
\mathbb{E}h(\xi) - \mathbb{E}h(\xi) \leq 2 \left( \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}} \frac{1}{(k - |\mathcal{O}|)!} \int_{\mathcal{X}^k} \int_{\mathcal{X}^{k-|\mathcal{O}|}} \mathbb{E}g(x, \eta + \delta_x) g(z, \eta + \delta_x + \delta_z) K^{k-|\mathcal{O}|}(dz) K^k(dx),
\]
where the summands on the right-hand side are the terms \(E_2, E_3\) and \(E_4\). The rest of the proof goes along the lines of Step 2 in the proof of \([3,\ \text{Theorem 4.1}]\). 
\(\square\)
5 Proof of Theorem \[ \text{[1]} \]

In this section we give a proof of Theorem \[ \text{[1]} \]. Firstly, we calculate the intensity measure \( \mathbb{E} \zeta_t \) of \( \zeta_t \). Let \( A \in \mathcal{B}^d \) and \( y > 0 \). From \[ \text{[12]} \] Theorem 7.3.2 we find that

\[
\mathbb{E} \zeta_t(A \times (y, \infty)) = \frac{\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \int \mathbb{1}(t^{-1/d}z(H) \in A) \mathbb{1}\{2\gamma t(H) - \log t > y\} \mu_{d+1}(dH) \\
= \frac{(2\gamma)^{d+1}}{d+1} \int_{t^{-1/d}A} \int_{u \log 1/2}^\infty \int_P e^{-2\gamma r} \Delta_d(u) \sigma^{d+1}(du) dr dz, \\
\] (5.1)

where \( H = (H(u_1, (u_1, z) + r), \ldots, H(u_{d+1}, (u_{d+1}, z) + r)) \) in the last line. We recall from \[ \text{[2,3]} \]
that \( \gamma (d) := \frac{(2\gamma)^{d+1}}{d+1} \int_P \Delta_d(u) \sigma^{d+1}(du) \) is the intensity of the random mosaic \( m \) generated by \( \eta \). Hence,

\[
\mathbb{E} \zeta_t(A \times (y, \infty)) = \gamma (d) \lambda_d(A)e^{-y}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}^d, \ y > 0. \\
\] (5.2)

Note that the intensity measures of \( \zeta_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty)) \) and \( \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty)) \) coincide, implying that their total variation is zero. Hence, we find from Theorem \[ \text{[7]} \] that

\[
d_{KR}(\zeta_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty)), \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))) \leq E_2 + E_5 + E_6 \\
\] (5.3)

with

\[
E_2 = \frac{2^2 \gamma^{2d+2}}{(d+1)!^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \mathbb{P}\{(\delta H + \delta G) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B,H}(H) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B,G}(G) \neq \emptyset \} \mathbb{1}\{z(H) \in W_t, z(G) \in W_t\} \\
\times \mathbb{1}\{r(H) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\} \mathbb{1}\{r(G) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\} e^{-2\gamma r(H)} e^{-2\gamma r(G)} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(dG) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(dH), \\
\] \[ \text{[5,1]} \]

\[
E_5 = \frac{2^2 \gamma^{2d+2}}{(d+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \mathbb{P}(\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B,H}(H) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B,G}(G) \neq \emptyset) \mathbb{1}\{z(H) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(G) \in W_t\} \\
\times \mathbb{1}\{r(H) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\} \mathbb{1}\{r(G) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\} e^{-\mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathbb{H}_{B,H}(H) \cup \mathbb{H}_{B,G}(G))} \\
\times \mathbb{1}\{(\delta H + \delta G) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B,H}(H) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B,G}(G) = \emptyset\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(dG) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(dH), \\
\] \[ \text{[5.2]} \]

\[
E_6 = \frac{2^2 \gamma^{2d+2}}{(d+1)!} \sum_{\phi \in I \{1, \ldots, d+1\}} \frac{\gamma^{|\phi|}}{(d+1 - |I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1} - |I|} \mathbb{1}\{z(H) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(H_I, G) \in W_t\} \\
\times \mathbb{1}\{r(H) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\} \mathbb{1}\{r(H_I, G) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\} e^{-\mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathbb{H}_{B,H}(H_I, G))} \\
\times \mathbb{1}\{(\delta H + \delta G) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B,H}(H) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B,G}(H_I, G) = \emptyset\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(dG) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(dH), \\
\] \[ \text{[5.3]} \]

where \( W_t := tW = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : t^{-1}x \in W\} \).

We consider the terms \( E_2, E_5, E_6 \) separately. For \( E_2 \) we obtain from \[ \text{[5.1]} \] and symmetry in \( H \) and \( G \) the bound

\[
\frac{2^2 \gamma^{2d+2}}{(d+1)!} \int_{W_t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \int_P \mathbb{1}\{G_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{B,z,r}\} \mathbb{1}\{z(G) \in W_t\} \\
\times \mathbb{1}\{r(G) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\} e^{-2\gamma r} e^{-2\gamma r} \Delta_d(u) \sigma^{d+1}_d(du) dr dz. \\
\] From Lemma \[ \text{[8]} \] (note that \( v^+ = 1 \) for all \( v \in S^{d-1} \)) we find that the above is given by

\[
\frac{2^2 \gamma^{2d+2}}{d+1} \int_{W_t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \int_P \mathbb{1}\{G_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{B,z,r}\} \int_{(S^{d-1})^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \int_{(S^{d-1})^d} e^{-2\gamma s} e^{-2\gamma r} \Delta_d(v) \Delta_d(u) \\
\times \mathbb{1}_P(v) \lambda_{d-1}(dw) ds \sigma^{d-1}_{d-1}(dv_{d+1}) \sigma_1(dv_1) \mu_{d-1}(dG_1) \sigma^{d+1}_{d-1}(du) dr dz. \\
\] (5.4)
Since $\lambda_{d-1}(W_t \cap (G_1 - rv_1)) \leq t^{(d-1)/d} \text{diam}(W)$ and $\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}B(z,r)) = 2r$, there is some constant $c_1 > 0$ such that $E_2$ is bounded by $c_1 t^{-1/d} \log t$ for $t > 0$ large enough.

From (5.1) and using that $(2\gamma)^d \int_P \Delta_d(u) \sigma^{d+1}(du) = \gamma^d$, we obtain that $E_3$ is given by

$$4\gamma^3 (\gamma^d)^2 \int_{W_t} \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_{c \log t}^\infty \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}B(w,s) \cap \mathbb{H}B(z,r)) e^{-\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}B(w,s) \cup \mathbb{H}B(z,r))} \times 1 \{ ||z - w|| > r + s \} ds dw dz.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.5)

Let $F(u) := \int_0^u (1 - y^2)^{(d-3)/2} \, dy$, $u \in [0, 1]$, and $\delta_i := \frac{\log \log t}{c + \log t}$ (so that $e^{-(1-\delta_i)(c+\log t)} = e^{-c \log t}$). We apply Lemma 4 and introduce spherical coordinates in the integration over $w$. This yields with $C := 16\gamma^3 (\gamma^d)^2 \text{diam}(W) w_{d-1} \lambda_d(W)$ that (5.5) is bounded by

$$C t \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_{r+s}^\infty \left\{ \frac{r + s}{x} \leq \frac{\omega_1 \delta_1}{4w_{d-1}} \right\} sF \left( \frac{r + s}{x} \right) x^{d-1} e^{-2(1-\delta_i)\gamma s} e^{-2\gamma r} \, dx \, ds \, dr \hspace{1cm} (5.6)$$

$$+ C t \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_{r+s}^\infty \left\{ \frac{r + s}{x} > \frac{\omega_1 \delta_1}{4w_{d-1}} \right\} sF \left( \frac{r + s}{x} \right) x^{d-1} e^{-2\gamma s} e^{-2\gamma r} \, dx \, ds \, dr \hspace{1cm} (5.7)$$

Using that $F(x) \leq x$, $x \in [0, 1]$, we find that (5.6) is for $t > 0$ large enough bounded by

$$C t \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_{r+s}^\infty s(r+s)x^{d-2} e^{-2(1-\delta_i)\gamma s} e^{-2\gamma r} \, dx \, ds \, dr \leq c_2 t^{-1/d}(\log t)^3,$$

where $c_2 > 0$ is a suitably chosen constant. Moreover, we note that (5.7) is bounded by

$$C t \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_{r+s}^\infty s(r+s)x^{d-2} e^{-2(1-\delta_i)\gamma s} e^{-2\gamma r} \, dx \, ds \, dr,$$

which is also bounded by $c_2 t^{-1/d}(\log t)^3$ for $t > 0$ large enough.

For $E_4$ we obtain with $c_1 := \frac{2^{(d+1)^2} 2^{d+1} |\mathbb{B}|}{(d+1)(d+1)!}$ from Lemma 6

$$\sum_{\emptyset \subset I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d+1\}} c_1 \int_{W_t} \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_P \int_{\chi_{\xi I}} H_{\xi i} \int_{c \log t}^\infty \int_{(Sd-1)ds-1-|I|} \int_{\cap I \cap (H_i - sv_i) \cap W_t} e^{-\mu(\mathbb{H}B(w,s) \cup \mathbb{H}B(z,r))}$$

$$\times [v_1^i]^{-1} \Delta_d(v, v') \Delta_d(u) \Pi_P(v) \lambda_{d-|I|}(dw) \sigma^{d+1-|I|} d(v') \sigma^{|I|} d(v) \sigma^{d+1}_{d-1} (du) \, dr dz.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.8)

Define $\delta_i := \frac{\log t}{c + \log t}$ as above. We distinguish by the value of $\frac{r+s}{w-z}$ in (5.8) and find from Lemma 4 that $\frac{r+s}{w-z} \leq \frac{\omega_1 \delta_1}{4w_{d-1}}$ implies that $\mu(\mathbb{H}B(w,s) \cap \mathbb{H}B(z,r)) \leq \delta_1 s$. Using that $\lambda_{d-|I|}(W_t \cap \cap I \cap (H_i - sv_i)) \leq t^{(d-|I|)/d} \text{diam}(W)$, we obtain that there is $C > 0$ such that (5.8) is bounded by

$$C \sum_{\emptyset \subset I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d+1\}} t^{-|I|/d} \int_{r+s}^\infty \int_{c \log t}^\infty \left( e^{-2\gamma(1-\delta_i)s} + 1 \left( \frac{r + s}{x} > \frac{\omega_1 \delta_1}{4w_{d-1}} \right) e^{-\gamma s} \right) x^{d-1} \, ds \, dx.$$

Hence, we find $c_3 > 0$ such that $T_3$ is bounded by $c_3 t^{-1/d} \log t$ for $t > 0$ large enough.

This shows that

$$d_{KR}(\zeta_i \cap (W \times (c, \infty)), \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))) = O(t^{-1/d}(\log t)^3) \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

which finishes the proof of Theorem 4.
6 Proof of Theorem 2

In the proof of Theorem 2 we need the notion of the typical cell $Z$ of $m$ that is any random polytope with distribution given by

$$
\mathbb{P}(Z \in \cdot) = \frac{1}{\gamma(d)} \mathbb{E} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{P}_{d+1}} I\{C(H, \eta) - z(H) \in \cdot\}I\{z(H) \in [0,1]^d\}.
$$

(6.1)

In order to measure the deviation of the shape of a convex body $K$ from the shape of a Euclidean ball, we use the deviation function

$$
\vartheta(K) := \min \left\{ \frac{R-r}{R+r} : rB^d + z \subset K \subset RB^d + z, r, R > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}
$$

(6.2)

from [7] and note that $\vartheta(K) = 0$ if and only if $K$ is a Euclidean ball. Let $\Sigma$ be a size function as defined in Section 2. By [7, Theorem] for all $\delta > 0$ there exists $a > 0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\vartheta(Z) \geq \delta \mid \Sigma(Z) > u) \leq \exp(-au^{1/k}), \quad u > 0.
$$

(6.3)

The distributions of the typical cell $Z$ and the zero cell $Z_0$ (this is the cell $m$ containing the origin $o \in \mathbb{R}^d$) are connected via

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z) \geq u) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z_0) \geq u), \quad u > 0,
$$

(6.4)

which is a direct consequence of [7, Lemma 3.1]. From [6, Theorem] we find that

$$
\lim_{u \to \infty} u^{-1/k} \log \mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z_0) \geq u) = -\tau\gamma.
$$

Combining this result with (6.4) and [7, Lemma 4.1] gives

$$
\lim_{u \to \infty} u^{-1/k} \log \mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z) \geq u) = -\tau\gamma.
$$

(6.5)

**Lemma 9.** Let $\Sigma$ be a size function as defined in Section 2. There exists a strictly increasing function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $h(u) \to \infty$ as $u \to \infty$ such that $\mathbb{P}((h \circ \Sigma)(Z)) > \cdot$ is Pareto$(1)$-distributed. In this case we have that

$$
\frac{\log u}{h^{-1}(u)^{1/k}} \to \tau\gamma \quad \text{as } u \to \infty.
$$

(6.6)

**Proof.** We first show that the distribution function of $\Sigma(Z)$ is continuous. For given $u_1, \ldots, u_{d+1} \in S^{d-1}$ we define the tuple $H(r) := (H(u_1, r), \ldots, H(u_{d+1}, r))$, $r \geq 0$. From [12, Theorem 7.3.2] we have that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z) = u) = \frac{2^{d+1} \gamma^{d+1}}{\gamma(d)^d (d+1)^{d+1}} \int_P \int_0^\infty I\{\Sigma(C(H, \eta_{H(r)})) = u\} e^{-2\gamma r} \Delta_d(u) dr \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1}(du), \quad y \geq 0.
$$

Since $\Sigma(C(H, \eta_{H(r)})) = r^k \Sigma(C(H(1), \eta_{H(1)}))$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for $u \in P$ and $r > 0$, the inner integral is equal to zero. Hence, we obtain that $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z) = u) = 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that the distribution function of $\Sigma(Z)$ is continuous. Hence, there is a strictly increasing function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}((h \circ \Sigma)(Z)) > \cdot$ is Pareto$(1)$-distributed. From (6.5) we find that the distribution function of $\Sigma(Z)$ has unbounded support. This implies that $h(u) \to \infty$ as $u \to \infty$. 
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For \((6.6)\) we consider
\[
\frac{\log u}{h^{-1}(u)^{1/k}} = h^{-1}(u)^{-1/k} \log \mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z) \geq h^{-1}(u)) \left( \frac{\log \mathbb{P}(h \circ \Sigma(Z) \geq u)}{\log u} \right)^{-1}.
\]
Since \(\mathbb{P}(h \circ \Sigma(Z) > \cdot)\) is regularly varying with index 1, the term in brackets goes to \(-1\) as \(u \to \infty\). Since with \(h\) also \(h^{-1}\) is unbounded and increasing, we obtain \((6.6)\) from \((6.5)\).

In the proof of Theorem 2 we need to control the circumradius of the typical cell \(Z\). To do so, we follow [10, Section 6.3]. For \(z \in \mathbb{R}^d\) let \(K_i(z), i \in I\), be a finite collection of infinite open cones with apex \(z\), angular radius \(\pi/12\) and union \(\mathbb{R}^d\). For \(i \in I\) let \(L_i(z)\) be the open cone with apex \(z\) and angular radius \(\pi/6\) that is concentric to \(K_i(z)\). Let \(\mu \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}}\) and \(R_i(z, \mu)\) be the minimal \(r > 0\) such that there is \(u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z)\) with \(H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \mu\) if such \(r > 0\) exists and set \(R_i(z, \mu) := \infty\) otherwise. For \(H \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}\) in general position we have that
\[
C(H, \mu) \cap K_i(z(H)) \subset B(z(H), 2R_i(z(H), \mu \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(H)})), \quad i \in I.
\]
Let \(R(H, \mu) := 2\max_{1 \leq i \leq I} R_i(z(H), \mu \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(H)})\). We obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}(R(H, \eta) > u) &\leq \mathbb{P}(R_i(z(H), \eta \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(H)}) > u/2, 1 \leq i \leq I) \leq 1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma(u-r(H))/6})^I, \quad u > 0.
\end{align*}
\]

We need the notion of a stopping set that is introduced in the following definition. Let \(\mathcal{F}\) (equipped with the usual \(\sigma\)-algebra) denote the system of all closed subsets of \(\mathbb{R}^d\). By [2, Proposition A.1], a measurable map \(S: \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{H}} \to \mathcal{F}\) is a stopping set if and only if \(S(\mu) = S(\mu \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mu)})\) for all \(\omega \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{H}}\) and the following implication holds for all \(\mu, \varphi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{H}}\):
\[
\varphi = \mu \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\varphi)} \implies S(\varphi) = S(\mu).
\]

For such \(H \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}\) in general position consider the map \(S(H, \cdot): \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{H}} \to \mathcal{F}\) given by
\[
S(H, \omega) := B(z(H), R(H, \omega)).
\]

We fix \(H \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}\) in general position and show that \(S(H, \cdot)\) is a stopping set. Since \(R_i(z, \omega_1) \geq R_i(z, \omega_2)\) for \(\omega_1 \subset \omega_2\), we have that \(S(H, \omega) \subset S(H, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(H, \omega)})\). For the reverse inclusion note that
\[
\begin{align*}
R_i(z, \omega) &= \inf \{ r > 0: \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z): H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \inf \{ r > 0: \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z): H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)} \}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \inf \{ r > 0: \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z): H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, \min(r, R_i(z, \omega)))} \}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&\geq \inf \{ r > 0: \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z): H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, R_i(z, \omega))} \}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= R_i(z, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, R_i(z, \omega))}).
\end{align*}
\]
To verify \((6.7)\), let \(\varphi = \mu \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(H, \varphi)}\). We immediately obtain that
\[
\begin{align*}
R_i(z, \omega) &\leq R_i(z, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(H, \omega)}) = R_i(z, \varphi), \quad i \in I.
\end{align*}
\]
For the reverse inequality note that analogously to above it holds that
\[
\begin{align*}
R_i(z, \omega) &\geq \inf \{ r > 0: \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z): H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, \min(r, R_i(z, \varphi)))} \}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \inf \{ r > 0: \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z): H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, R_i(z, \varphi))} \}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= R_i(z, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, R_i(z, \varphi))}) = R_i(z, \varphi).
\end{align*}
\]
This shows that $S(H, \cdot)$ is indeed a stopping set for all $H \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}$ in general position.

Analogously to (5.1), we find that the intensity measure $\mathbb{E} \xi_t$ of $\xi_t$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E} \xi_t(A \times [y, \infty)) = \gamma(d) \lambda_d(A) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1} f(Z) > y) = \gamma(d) \lambda_d(A) y^{-1}, \quad A \in \mathbb{B}^d, \ y > 0. \quad (6.9)$$

This shows that $\mathbb{E} \xi_t = \gamma(d) \lambda_d \otimes \psi$, where $\psi$ is defined in Section 2. Since $f(Z)$ is Pareto(1)-distributed, the total variation of the intensity measures of $\xi_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty))$ and $\nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))$ is given by

$$\gamma(d) \lambda_d(W) \sup_{y \geq c} |t \mathbb{P}(t^{-1} f(Z) > y) - y| = 0.$$

Thus, we derive from Theorem [7] that

$$d_{KR}(\xi_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty)), \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))) \leq E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5 + E_6$$

with

$$E_1 = \frac{2 \gamma^{d+1}}{(d + 1)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{I} \{ \eta \cap \mathbb{H}_B(H) = \emptyset \} \mathbb{I} \{ z(H) \in W_t \} \mathbb{I} \{ t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ R(H, \eta) > 3r(H) \} \mu_{d-1}^{d-1}(dH),$$

$$E_2 = \frac{2 \gamma^{2d+2}}{((d + 1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{I} \{ (\eta + \delta_H + \delta_G) \cap \mathbb{H}_S(H) \cap \mathbb{H}_S(G) \neq \emptyset \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ \eta \cap \mathbb{H}_B(H) = \emptyset \} \mathbb{I} \{ z(H) \in W_t \} \mathbb{I} \{ t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ R(H, \eta) \leq 3r(H) \} \mu_{d-1}^{d-1}(dH),$$

$$E_3 = \frac{2 \gamma^{2d+2}}{((d + 1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{I} \{ (\eta + \delta_H + \delta_G) \cap \mathbb{H}_S(H) \cap \mathbb{H}_S(G) = \emptyset \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ \eta \cap \mathbb{H}_B(H) = \emptyset \} \mathbb{I} \{ z(H) \in W_t \} \mathbb{I} \{ t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ R(H, \eta) \leq 3r(H) \} \mu_{d-1}^{d-1}(dH),$$

$$E_4 = \frac{2 \gamma^{2d+2}}{((d + 1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{I} \{ (\eta + \delta_H + \delta_G) \cap \mathbb{H}_S(H) \cap \mathbb{H}_S(G) \neq \emptyset \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ \eta \cap \mathbb{H}_B(H) = \emptyset \} \mathbb{I} \{ z(H) \in W_t \} \mathbb{I} \{ t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ R(H, \eta) \leq 3r(H) \} \mu_{d-1}^{d-1}(dG) \mu_{d-1}^{d-1}(dH),$$

$$E_5 = \frac{2 \gamma^{2d+2}}{((d + 1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{I} \{ (\eta + \delta_H + \delta_G) \cap \mathbb{H}_S(H) \cap \mathbb{H}_S(G) = \emptyset \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ \eta \cap \mathbb{H}_B(H) = \emptyset \} \mathbb{I} \{ z(H) \in W_t \} \mathbb{I} \{ t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ R(H, \eta) \leq 3r(H) \} \mu_{d-1}^{d-1}(dG) \mu_{d-1}^{d-1}(dH),$$

$$E_6 = \frac{2 \gamma^{d+1}}{(d + 1)!} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d+1\}} \frac{\gamma^{d+1-|I|}}{(d + 1 - |I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1-|I|}} \mathbb{I} \{ \eta \cap \mathbb{H}_B(H) = \emptyset \} \mathbb{I} \{ z(H) \in W_t \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c \} \mathbb{I} \{ R(H, \eta) \leq 3r(H) \} \mathbb{I} \{ \eta_{H_{d+1-I}} \cap \mathbb{H}_B(H_{d+1-I}) = \emptyset \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ z(H_{d+1-I}) \in W_t \} \mathbb{I} \{ t^{-1} f(H_{d+1-I}, \eta_{H_{d+1-I}}) > c \}
\times \mathbb{I} \{ R(H_{d+1-I}, \eta_{H_{d+1-I}}) \leq 3r(H_{d+1-I}) \} \mu_{d-1}^{d-1}(dG) \mu_{d-1}^{d-1}(dH),$$
where \( W_t := tW = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : t^{-1}x \in W \} \).

We consider the terms \( E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5, E_6 \) separately. Since \( R(H, \omega) = R(H, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp) \) and \( f(H, \omega) = f(H, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp) \), we find from independence of the processes \( \eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp \) and \( \eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp \) that \( E_1 \) is given by

\[
\frac{2\gamma^{d+1}}{(d + 1)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp} \mathbb{P}(R(H, \eta) > 3r(H), t^{-1}f(H, \eta) > c) \mathbb{I}\{z(H) \in W_t\} e^{-2\gamma r(H)} \mu_{d-1}^1(dH).
\]

Since \( R(H, \eta) > 3r(H) \) implies that \( \max_{i \in \mathbb{R}^d} R_i(z(H), \eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp) > 3/2r(H) \), the diameter of \( C(H, \eta) \) is bounded from below by \( 5/2r(H) \). By definition of \( \vartheta \), this yields that \( \vartheta(C(H, \eta)) > 1/9 \). Hence, we obtain that \( E_1 \) is bounded from above by

\[
\gamma^{(d)} t \mathbb{P}(t^{-1} f(Z) > c) \mathbb{P}(\vartheta(Z) > 1/9 \mid t^{-1} f(Z) > c),
\]

which is by (2.7) and (6.3) bounded by \( t^{-b} \) for some \( b > 0 \) and all \( t > 0 \) large enough.

For \( E_2 \) we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d + 1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp} \mathbb{I}\{H_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{S(H)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(G)}\} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(H, \eta) > c) & \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(G, \eta) > c) \\
\times \mathbb{I}\{z(H) \in W_t\} \mathbb{I}\{z(G) \in W_t\} e^{-2\gamma r(H)} e^{-2\gamma r(G)} \mu_{d-1}^1(dG) \mu_{d-1}^1(dH) & + \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d + 1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp} \mathbb{P}(\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(H)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(G)} \neq \varnothing, t^{-1}f(H, \eta) > c) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(G, \eta) > c) \\
\times \mathbb{I}\{z(H) \in W_t\} \mathbb{I}\{z(G) \in W_t\} e^{-2\gamma r(H)} e^{-2\gamma r(G)} \mu_{d-1}^1(dG) \mu_{d-1}^1(dH) \end{align*}
\]

(6.10)

Analogously to (5.4), we find that there is some constant \( c_4 > 0 \) such that (6.10) is bounded by \( c_4 t^{-1/d} \log t \) for \( t > 0 \) large enough. To bound (6.11), we begin to consider the first probability in its integrand and find by the Mecke equation that

\[
\mathbb{P}(\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(H)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(G)}, t^{-1}f(G, \eta) > c) \leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{H \in \mathbb{H}_{d+1}} \mathbb{I}\{H \in \mathbb{H}_{S(H)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(G)}\} \mathbb{I}\{t^{-1}f(G, \eta) > c\} \\
= \gamma \int_{\mathbb{H}_{S(H)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(G)}} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(G, \eta_H) > c) \mu_{d-1}(dH).
\]

Note that \( C(G, \eta_H) \subset C(G, \eta) \) \( \mathbb{P}\)-a.s. This yields that the above is bounded by

\[
\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{S(H)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(G)}) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(G, \eta) > c).
\]

From Lemma 11 we find that \( \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{S(H)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(G)}) \leq \frac{8 \gamma r(H) \gamma r(G)^2 \omega_{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \). Similarly as above, this shows that \( E_2 \) is for some constant \( c_5 > 0 \) bounded by \( c_5 t^{-1/d}(\log t)^2 \) for \( t > 0 \) large enough.

Note that by symmetry in \( x \) and \( y \), \( E_3 \) is bounded by (6.11).

We write \( E_4 \) as the sum

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d + 1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp} \mathbb{I}\{H_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{S(H)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(G)}\} \mathbb{I}\{z(H) \in W_t\} \mathbb{I}\{z(G) \in W_t\} \\
\times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(H, \eta_H) > c, R(H, \eta_H) \leq 3r(H), t^{-1}f(G, \eta_H) > c, R(G, \eta_H) \leq 3r(G)) \\
\times e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(B(H) \cup B(G))} \mu_{d-1}^1(dG) \mu_{d-1}^1(dH) & + \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d + 1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(H)}^\perp} \mathbb{I}\{z(H) \in W_t\} \mathbb{I}\{z(G) \in W_t\} \mathbb{P}(\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(H)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(G)} \neq \varnothing, \\
t^{-1}f(H, \eta_H) > c, t^{-1}f(G, \eta_H) > c) e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(B(H) \cup B(G))} \mu_{d-1}^1(dG) \mu_{d-1}^1(dH) \end{align*}
\]

(6.12)
From Lemma 6 we obtain that with some constant \(c_6 > 0\) (not depending on \(t\)) the first summand \((6.12)\) is bounded by

\[
c_6 \int_{W_1} \int_0^\infty \int P \int_{S(G)} \int_{H_1} \int_0^r \int_{(S^d-1)d} \int_{\lambda r(H_1-H_1-s)} e^{-\gamma \mu_d-1(\mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)})} \times P(t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c, R(H, \eta) \leq 3r(H)) \times \Delta_d(u) \Delta_d(v) \mathbb{1}_P(v) \lambda_d-1(\eta) \mu_d-1(dH_1) \sigma_d^{d+1}(\eta) d\mu_d(\eta) \dr d\eta.
\]

To bound this expression, we distinguish by the values of \(r\) and \(s\) in the integrand. If one of them is too large, we simply estimate the expectation in the integrand by one. We assume that \(s \leq r\) (leading to an additional factor 2 in the bound). This yields for the above bound

\[
2c_6 \int_{W_1} \int_0^\infty \int P \int_{S(G)} \int_{H_1} \int_0^r \int_{(S^d-1)d} \int_{\lambda r(H_1-H_1-s)} e^{-\gamma \mu_d-1(\mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)})} \times P(t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c, R(H, \eta) \leq 3r(H)) \times \Delta_d(u) \Delta_d(v) \mathbb{1}_P(v) \lambda_d-1(\eta) \mu_d-1(dH_1) \sigma_d^{d+1}(\eta) d\mu_d(\eta) \dr d\eta.
\]

We find some constant \(c_6 > 0\) such that \((6.14)\) is bounded by \(c_6 t^{-1} \log t\) for \(t > 0\) large enough.

In \((6.15)\) we distinguish by the distance of \(w\) and \(z\) and obtain for some \(\beta > 0\) (to be specified later) the bound

\[
2c_6 \int_{W_1} \int_0^\infty \int P \int_{S(G)} \int_{H_1} \int_0^r \int_{(S^d-1)d} \int_{\lambda r(H_1-H_1-s)} e^{-\gamma \mu_d-1(\mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)})} \times P(t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c, R(H, \eta) \leq 3r(H)) \times \Delta_d(u) \Delta_d(v) \mathbb{1}_P(v) \lambda_d-1(\eta) \mu_d-1(dH_1) \sigma_d^{d+1}(\eta) d\mu_d(\eta) \dr d\eta.
\]

Now we bound \((6.16)\). By Lemma 6 we find for \(\delta > 0\) small enough some \(C > \frac{2d}{1-\delta}\) such that for \(\frac{2}{s} \leq \frac{3}{1-\delta}\) we have that \(\mu_d-1(\mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)}) \leq 2Cs\). This yields for \((6.16)\) the bound

\[
2c_6 \int_{W_1} \int_0^\infty \int P \int_{S(G)} \int_{H_1} \int_0^r \int_{(S^d-1)d} \int_{\lambda r(H_1-H_1-s)} e^{-\gamma \mu_d-1(\mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)})} \times P(t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c, R(H, \eta) \leq 3r(H)) \times \Delta_d(u) \Delta_d(v) \mathbb{1}_P(v) \lambda_d-1(\eta) \mu_d-1(dH_1) \sigma_d^{d+1}(\eta) d\mu_d(\eta) \dr d\eta.
\]

where the first summand \((6.18)\) is bounded by \(c_7 t^{-\beta-C(1-\delta)}\) for some \(c_7 > 0\). We choose \(\beta < \min\{\delta/2, a(1-\delta)\}\), where \(a > 0\) is the constant from \((6.3)\). Then we have that \(\beta - C(1-\delta) < 0\).
In the second summand (6.19) we use that the conditions \( r(H) < \frac{(1-\delta) \log t}{2\gamma} \) and \( t^{-1} f(H, \eta) > c \) imply by (2.6) that

\[
\min \left\{ \frac{R}{r} : r B^d + z \subset C(H, \eta) \subset R B^d + z, \ r, R > 0, \ z \in B^d \right\} \geq \frac{\Phi(C(H, \eta))}{2r(H)} \geq \frac{\gamma t h^{-1}(tc)^{1/k}}{(1-\delta) \log t},
\]

which converges by Lemma 9 to \( 1/(1-\delta) \) as \( t \to \infty \). Hence, we have that \( \vartheta(C(H, \eta)) \geq \delta/(2-\delta) \) for \( t > 0 \) large enough, where \( \vartheta(K) \) is the deviation function from (6.2). It follows from (6.3) that (6.19) is bounded by \( c_8 t^{\beta-a(1-\delta)} \log t \) for \( t > 0 \) large enough for some \( c_8 > 0 \).

Now we consider (6.17). Since

\[
C(H, \omega) \subset C(H, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}^S(G)), \quad \omega \in N_H,
\]

and by independence of the Poisson processes \( \eta \cap \mathbb{H}^S(G) \) and \( \eta \cap \mathbb{H}^S(G) \) for \( \| z(G) - z(H) \| \geq t^{\beta/d} \), we obtain for (6.17) the bound

\[
2c_6 \int_{W_0} \int_0^{\frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}} \int_{F} \int_{S(G)} \int_{H_1} \int_0^r \int_{(S^d-1)^d \cap H_1} e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(H_B(z, r) \cup R_B(w, s))}
\times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1} f(H, \eta \cap \mathbb{H}^S(G)) > c) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1} f(G, \eta) > c)
\times \Delta_d(u) \Delta_d(v) 1_{P(v) \lambda_{d-1} (\{dw\} \sigma_{d-1} (\{dv_{2d+1}\}) s \sigma_1 (\{dv_1\} \mu_{d-1} (\{dH_1\}) \sigma_{d-1}^1 (\{du\}))),
\]

To bound the first probability in the integrand, we set for \( K \in \mathcal{K}^d \) and \( t > 0 \)

\[
B_t(K) := B \left( \frac{2^\gamma t^{\beta/d} r(K)}{3 \log(t) \| z(K) \|}, z(K), 3r(K) \right),
\]

\[
K_t := \bigcap_{H \in \mathbb{H}^K \cap \mathbb{H}^{B_t(K)}} H_t, \quad t > 0.
\]

For \( \| z(H) - z(G) \| \geq t^{\beta/d} \) and \( r(H) \leq r(G) \leq \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma} \) we have that

\[
C(H, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}^S(G)) \subset C(H, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}^S(G))_t = C(H, \omega)_t, \quad \omega \in N_H,
\]

and define \( \Sigma_t : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( \Sigma_t(K) := \Sigma(K_t), \ K \in \mathcal{K}^d \). Note that \( \Sigma_t \) is homogeneous of degree \( k \) and, hence, is a size functional in the sense of [2]. By definition of the typical cell \( Z \) we have that

\[
c_9 t^{1-k/d/4} (\log t) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1} h \circ \Sigma_t(Z) > c) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1} f(Z) > c).
\]

for all \( t > 0 \) large enough and a constant \( c_9 > 0 \). Let \( s \leq t \). Since \( K_t \subset K_s \), we obtain that

\[
\mathbb{P}(\Sigma_t(Z) > h^{-1}(tc)) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Sigma_s(Z) > h^{-1}(tc)), \quad s \leq t.
\]

It follows from (6.5) (applied to the size functional \( \Sigma_s \)) that

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} h^{-1}(tc)^{-1/k} \log \mathbb{P}(\Sigma_t(Z) > h^{-1}(tc)) \leq -\tau_s \lambda,
\]

where \( \tau_s := \min \{ \Phi(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}^d, \Sigma_s(K) = 1 \} \). It follows from continuity of \( \Phi \) and \( \Sigma \) that \( \tau_s \to \tau \) as \( s \to \infty \). Hence, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) we that \( \mathbb{P}(t^{-1} h \circ \Sigma_t(Z) > c) \leq t^{-(1-\varepsilon)} \) for \( t > 0 \) large enough. This shows that (6.17) is bounded by \( c_9 t^{\varepsilon - 1/d} \log t \) for \( t > 0 \) large enough.

To bound the second summand (6.13), \( E_5 \) and \( E_6 \), we proceed along the same lines as for (6.13) and obtain with similar arguments after tedious calculations using familiar arguments that the bound of (6.12) also holds for (6.13), \( E_5 \) and \( E_6 \).

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.
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