Laminar flow characterization using low-field magnetic resonance techniques
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Abstract: Laminar flow velocity profiles depend heavily on fluid rheology. Developing methods of laminar flow characterization, based on low-field magnetic resonance (MR), contributes to the widespread industrial application of the MR technique in rheology. In this paper, we designed a low-cost, palm-sized permanent magnet with a $^1$H resonance frequency of 20.48 MHz to measure laminar flow. The magnet consists of two disk magnets, which were each tilted at an angle of 1° from a starting separation of 1.4 cm to generate a constant gradient, 65 gauss/cm, in the direction of flow. Subsequently, a series of process methods, for MR measurements, were proposed to characterize Newtonian and non-Newtonian fluid flows in a pipe, including phase-based method, magnitude-based method, and velocity spectrum method. The accuracies of the proposed methods were validated by simulations, and experiments of Poiseuille flow and shear-thinning flow on the designed magnet. The new velocity profile methods proposed are advantageous because the MR instrumentation and measurement methods are simple and portable. The sophistication is found in the analysis although the physical principles are straight forward.
1. Introduction

Laminar flow, in fluid dynamics, is characterized by each fluid micro element flowing in parallel layers without interference and mixing with the adjacent layers [1]. The characterization of laminar flow, including average velocity and velocity profile, is of considerable value in chemical and allied processing industries [2–4]. Various fluids exhibit different flow behaviours under laminar conditions, dependent on fluid rheological properties [5–8]. Laminar flow characterization is therefore helpful to characterize the rheological properties.

Magnetic resonance/magnetic resonance imaging (MR/MRI) in flow measurements is attractive because of its non-invasive capabilities for measuring optically opaque objects [8–10]. Multiple MR- and MRI-based methods have been reported to characterize fluid flow. MRI-based methods for measuring flows are based on the application of magnetic field gradients, including frequency-, phase-, and motion-encoding gradients, to yield quantitative information about velocity distributions of the flowing fluid [9–15]. There are also some modified MRI-based methods that only use one type of gradient [16–19]. MRI-based methods, resolving flow velocity profiles, have been used to measure various types of flows, for example laminar flow [14, 15, 20], turbulence [21–24], and flow in porous media [25–27]. Unfortunately, these measurements are predominantly performed on laboratory research instruments. The chief challenges of MRI-based methods, for widespread industrial application, are the expense of the superconducting equipment and the demand of the high-performance gradient systems. MR-based methods for flow measurements do not need complicated equipment, a permanent magnet with a static magnetic field gradient is sufficient. It therefore has wide industrial application prospect and great developmental potential in characterizing fluid flow.

MR-based methods for characterizing flow are based on the effect of flow on the MR signal. Suryan (1951) measured MR signals of flowing water in a U-tube between the pole pieces of a magnet at 20 MHz, and reported the continuous wave MR signal
increased as the partially saturated spins are replaced by unsaturated flowing spins [28]. Singer (1959) exploited this principle to demonstrate in vivo flow measurements [29]. Hirschel and Libello (1962) showed the steady state MR signal is a function of fluid velocity in the presence of flow [30]. Arnold and Burkhart (1965) employed a spin echo to study the influence of flow on MR signal under laminar flow conditions [31]. Stejkal (1965), Grover and Singer (1971), and Hayward et al. (1972) extended this work using a pulsed field gradient technique [32–34].

Since the effect of flow on MR signal was first studied, multiple MR-based methods for characterizing flows were reported. These methods can be mainly classified into two categories: (1) net phase accumulation-based techniques [33–35] and (2) magnitude-based time-of-flight techniques [36–39]. Net phase accumulation-based techniques reply on the application of a constant static or pulsed magnetic field gradient in the direction of flow. The phase shift of the signal detected occurs due to flows with a flow-oriented gradient, which is proportional to the average velocity. For example, Song et al. (2005) employed the Multiple Modulation Multiple Echoes (MMME) technique to measure fluid flow with a static magnetic field gradient [35]. A series of coherence pathways were generated by the MMME technique, and each of them exhibits a phase shift dependent on average velocity. Magnitude-based time-of-flight techniques is based on the variation of signal magnitude proportional to the quality of excited spins in the detector, related to flow velocity. This technique does not require the use of any magnetic field gradients. It is therefore very popular to employ this technique in low-cost low-field MR spectrometers. Beyond the two kinds of MR-based methods, O’Neill et al. invented an Earth’s field magnetic resonance flow meter to measure the velocity probability distribution and $T_1$-velocity probability distribution of multiphase flow [40–42].

From the descriptions of the existing MR-based methods, it can be found these methods focus on the average velocity of fluid flow, which is insufficient to support the study of fluid rheology. The flow behaviour index is an important parameter of fluid rheology, which has a direct impact on flow velocity profile under laminar conditions.
Determination of the velocity profile is, therefore, helpful to study fluid rheology. In this paper, to make possible widespread industrial application of the MR technique in fluid rheology, we designed a low-cost, low-field, palm-sized permanent magnet with a flow-directed constant magnetic field gradient. Furthermore, we proposed corresponding MR-based methods to characterize laminar flow in a pipe, including average velocity and velocity profile, based on Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) MR measurements. The proposed methods were verified by simulations and flow experiments on the designed magnet.

2. Methodology

2.1. Equipment used

2.1.1 Sensor design and hardware

Varying the distance between the two disk magnets, as a function of distance along the symmetry axis, will intuitively lead to a magnetic field gradient directed along the symmetry axis. This idea works remarkably well. The optimal separation and tilt angle for a desired constant gradient of 60 gauss/cm between the two N52 NdFeB K&J Magnetics (Pipersville, PA) disk magnets of 5.1 cm diameter and 1.3 cm thickness was determined via CST Studio Suite (Providence, RI). In simulation, each disk magnet was tilted at an angle of 1° from a starting separation of 1.4 cm between the magnets. 60 gauss/cm with this geometry was judged to be near ideal for the flow measurements envisaged. Fig. 1(a) depicts two disk magnets, each rotated by 1° about the y axis.

A 6 × 6 × 4 cm casing fabricated from Garolite G-10 (McMaster Carr, Elmhurst, IL) was machined to house the magnets. The casing was divided into two separate pieces, where each piece had slots into which the magnets could be placed. Each slot was machined to permit the 1° tilt relative to the symmetry axis. The casing included a 1 cm diameter cylindrical hole at opposite ends of the shell, along the direction of the imposed gradient, to permit the placement of glass tubing to support the flow. A 4-turn
solenoidal RF coil was loosely formed around a glass pipe, 0.67 cm inner diameter, and was capacitively matched to 50 Ω. The RF coil, fabricated from 0.8 mm diameter copper wire, was centered in the Proteus magnet. The interior and exterior of the Proteus magnet was wrapped with 0.2 mm copper tape to limit external RF interference and suppress acoustic ringing. Fig. 1(b) shows the picture of the Proteus magnet.

Magnetic field plots of the sensitive spot in the Proteus magnet were acquired with a LakeShore 460 3-Channel Gaussmeter (Westerville, OH) connected to a BiSlide Positioning System and VXM Stepping Motor Controller (Velmex Inc., Bloomfield, NY). Magnetic field data was read and processed through a custom MATLAB script (Mathworks, Natick, MA).

Fig. 1. (a) Diagram of the tilted disk magnets and (b) picture of the Proteus magnet. Two disk magnets both are 5.1 cm in diameter and 1.3 cm in thickness. They were separated and tilted to generate a constant magnetic field gradient directed along the x axis in the central region of the two magnets.

Fig. 2 depicts the simulated two-dimensional (2D) magnetic field magnitudes of the tilted Proteus magnet in the Y-Z, X-Y, and X-Z planes. The magnetic field has contributions from $B_x$, $B_y$, and $B_z$, but in all cases $B_z$ dominates. The disk magnets were axially separated by 1.4 cm, with each symmetrically rotated an angle of 1° to generate a design gradient of 60 gauss/cm. The proposed gradient strength was selected on the
basis of ability to observe flow rates within an average velocity range of 1-5 cm/s with echo times below 1 ms. Fig. 3(a) is the 1D profile of the magnetic magnitude field along the central axis of the X-Z plane, taken from Fig. 2(c). The 60 gauss/cm gradient \( G_x \) is observed ± 1.5 cm about the origin in Fig. 3(a). Simulation shows, in the region of the RF probe, that gradient \( G_x \) is uniform to within 3 gauss/cm when displaced 3.45 mm off the central axis in the X-Y plane and within 2 gauss/cm in the X-Z plane. In order to ensure that the phase-shift measured would be observed in a region of constant gradient, an RF coil with a length of 0.32 cm and inner diameter of 0.67 cm, 110 mm³ sample volume, was employed and placed in the centre most region.

Fig. 2. Simulated 2D magnetic field magnitudes of the tilted Proteus magnet in the central 2D Y-Z, X-Y, and X-Z axis planes. An RF coil with a length of 0.32 cm and inner diameter of 0.67 cm is placed in the desired measurement volume and highlighted in b and c. (a) The field plot in the Y-Z plane is largely uniform within the volume of interest. The field contour interval is 7 gauss. (b) and (c) Illustrate the constant gradient within the central region of the magnet that extends ~ 1.5 cm in X, ~ 0.7 cm in Y and ~ 1 cm along Z. Field contour intervals are 6 and 12 gauss, respectively.

Fig. 3(b) is the experimental field plot of 1D magnetic field magnitude along the X central axis, \( Y = 0, Z = 0 \). As before, the magnetic field has contributions from \( B_x, B_y, \) and \( B_z \). The finite size of the field sensor permitted only on axis measurement. From simulation, the region of constant gradient, on axis, was ± 1.5 cm about the origin. The
experimental field plot showed the region of constant gradient was reduced to 6 mm compared to simulation. The experimental field plot yields a $G_x$ value, near the origin, of 64 gauss/cm. The discrepancies in spatial extent and $G_x$ value from simulation are likely due to non-ideal disk magnets as well as imperfections in the built shell, which houses the magnets. The RF probe was centered about the magnet origin. The Proteus magnet was tuned to a $^1$H frequency of 20.48 MHz. Average velocity measurements of known water flow were performed to confirm the $G_x$ gradient amplitude of 65 gauss/cm.
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Fig. 3. (a) 1D field magnitude along the central line of the X-Z transverse plane, obtained from Fig. 2(c). (b) Experimental 1D field magnitude measured along X with Y = 0, Z = 0 of the 1° tilted Proteus magnet. The field strength and region of the desired constant gradient are determined coarsely compared to the simulated results, but are, nevertheless, similar. The RF coil of length 0.32 cm and inner diameter of 0.67 cm, 110 mm$^3$ sample volume, was placed about the central region of the Proteus magnet. Discrepancies observed in the experimental compared to the simulated field are likely indicative of imperfections in the disk magnets or in the geometry of the custom shell housing.

The RF probe was attached to a TecMag (Houston, TX) transcoupler with a $\lambda/4$ cable via BNC connectors. The transcoupler was joined to a Tomco Technologies (Stepney, Australia) 250 W RF amplifier and a L3 Nard-MITEQ (Hauppauge, NY) 0.7–
200 MHz preamplifier with a Mini-Circuits (Toronto, Ontario) 30 MHz low-band-pass filter.

2.1.2 Flow network

The flow network was identical to the setup previously employed in [38] for time-of-flight flow experiments. In this configuration, a gravity-fed flow from a reservoir suspended several feet above the Proteus magnet was refreshed via a pump from another reservoir at floor level to establish a constant flow through the Proteus magnet. To ensure a constant fluid level in the upper reservoir, and therefore a constant pressure head driving the flow, a submersible pump (Hidom Electric, Shenzhen, China) provided more inflow to the upper reservoir than was flowing through the magnet. An overflow was installed in the upper reservoir to return excess water to the lower reservoir. A Masterflex Variable-Area Flowmeter (Cole-Parmer model # RK-32460-34, Montreal, Canada) was used to control the average flow rate. Flexible Fisherbrand clear PVC (Fisher Scientific Company, Ottawa, Canada) with an inner diameter of 0.8 cm was incorporated throughout the construction of the flow network except for the portion running through the magnet, where a 70-cm length of glass tubing with an ID of 0.67 cm was utilized.

2.2. Basic fluid dynamics

When a power-law fluid flows in a circular pipe under laminar conditions, the shear stress is proportional to the shear rate raise to the power \( \omega \), where \( \omega \) is the flow behaviour index. Assuming the flow direction in \( x \), the constitutive equation can be expressed as [43]

\[
\sigma_{xr} = k \dot{\gamma}^\omega,
\]

where \( \sigma_{xr} \) is the shear stress on the radial position \( r \), \( k \) is the fluid consistency
coefficient, \( \dot{\gamma} \) is the stress rate and it can be expressed as

\[
\dot{\gamma} = \frac{dv(r)}{dr},
\]

where \( v(r) \) is the flow velocity on the radial position \( r \).

The axial momentum of the fluid in a pipe can be written as

\[
0 = -\frac{dp}{dx} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (r \sigma_{vv})}{\partial r},
\]

where \( \frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{\Delta p}{L} \) is the pressure gradient along the pipe. Integrating Eq. (3) with respect to \( r \), we can obtain

\[
\sigma_{vv} = \frac{r \Delta p}{2L}.
\]

Substituting Eqs. (2) and (4) into Eq. (1), we can obtain

\[
\frac{r \Delta p}{2L} = k \left( \frac{dv(r)}{dr} \right)^{\omega}.
\]

Integrating Eq. (5) with respect to \( r \), we can obtain the flow velocity profile in a pipe

\[
v(r) = \left( \frac{\Delta p R}{2kL} \right)^{\frac{1}{\omega}} \frac{\omega R}{\omega + 1} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{\omega + 1}} \right).
\]

The volume flux \( Q \) of the pipe flow can be expressed as

\[
Q = \int_0^R 2\pi rv(r)\,dr = \frac{\pi \omega R^3}{1 + 3\omega} \left( \frac{\Delta p R}{2kL} \right)^{\frac{1}{\omega}} = v_{avg} \pi R^2.
\]

Eq. (7) shows \( v_{avg} = \frac{\omega R}{1 + 3\omega} \left( \frac{\Delta p R}{2kL} \right)^{\frac{1}{\omega}} \). Substituting \( v_{avg} \) into Eq. (6), we obtain
\[ v(r) = \frac{3\omega+1}{\omega+1} v_{\text{avg}} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{\omega+1}} \right). \]  

(8)

For computational convenience, we define \( m = \frac{1}{\omega} + 1 \), and then Eq. (8) can be simplified as

\[ v(r) = \frac{m+2}{m} v_{\text{avg}} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^m \right). \]  

(9)

Eq. (9) shows the maximum flow velocity \( v_{\text{max}} \) at centre of the pipe, under laminar conditions, is related to \( v_{\text{avg}} \), described as

\[ v_{\text{max}} = \frac{m+2}{m} v_{\text{avg}}. \]  

(10)

Different fluids exhibit different \( m \) for pipe flow. For \( m < 2.0 \ (\omega > 1) \), the fluid exhibits shear-thickening behaviour. For \( m = 2.0 \ (\omega = 1) \), the fluid shows Newtonian behaviour. For \( m > 2.0 \ (\omega < 1) \), the fluid shows shear-thinning behaviour. We plot three typical 1D velocity profiles \( (m = 1.5, 2.0, \text{and } 5.0) \) of laminar flow at the same \( v_{\text{avg}} = 5 \text{ cm/s} \), in Fig. 4. The velocity profile shape depends on \( m \), and the larger \( m \), the lower the maximum flow velocity at the same \( v_{\text{avg}} \). With increasing \( m \), the velocity decay gradually slows in the middle of pipe. Therefore, \( m \) and \( v_{\text{avg}} \) are the two necessary parameters for determining the flow velocity profile.
Fig. 4. Three typical 1D velocity profiles of laminar flows at the same \( v_{\text{avg}} = 5 \text{ cm/s} \) with \( m = 1.5 \) (----), 2.0 (--), and 5.0 (---). The velocity profile shape varies from \( m \), and the larger \( m \), the lower maximum flow velocity. The velocity decay gradually slows in the middle of pipe as \( m \) increases.

2.3. Flow parameter determination from CPMG measurement

2.3.1. Phase-based method

The CPMG MR method is composed of a 90° pulse followed by a series of 180° pulses with 2\( \tau \) time spacing. This measurement can be described as

\[
90^\circ - [r - 180^\circ - \tau - \text{echo}_n].
\]

Each 180° pulse refocuses the magnetization to generate an echo at the time \( t = 2n\tau \), where \( n \) denotes the \( n \)th spin echo during the CPMG measurement. When the CPMG measurement is performed for fluid flow with a constant magnetic field gradient (\( G \)) in the direction of flow, a phase shift for all odd echoes will occur. For a constant velocity \( v_c \), the net phase accumulation \( \phi_c \) of odd echoes can be expressed as [11, 44]

\[
\phi_c = \gamma G v_c \tau^2,
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the gyromagnetic ratio. A detailed derivation is given in Appendix A.

For a steady flow, with a distribution of flow velocities, the net phase accumulation \( \phi_{\text{odd}} \) of odd echoes can be expressed as
\[
\phi_{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{J} \gamma G \tau^2 \left( \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} v_j \right) = \gamma G \tau^2 \left( \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} v_j \right) = \gamma G v_{\text{avg}} \tau^2,
\]

(13)

where \( v_{\text{avg}} \) is the average velocity. For a general laminar flow in a pipe, we can also calculate the net phase accumulation \( \phi_{\text{odd}} \) of odd echoes by integration

\[
\phi_{\text{odd}} = \int \int \phi r dr d\theta = \int_{0}^{R} \phi r dr \int_{0}^{R} r dr = \int_{0}^{R} \gamma G v(r) \tau^2 rdr
\]

\[
= \gamma G \tau^2 \left( \int_{0}^{R} v_{\text{max}} \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{r^2}\right) rdr \right) = \frac{m}{m+2} v_{\text{max}} \gamma G \tau^2
\]

(14)

where \( R \) is the pipe radius.

It can be seen from Eqs. (13)-(14) that the \( \phi_{\text{odd}} \) depends on \( G, v_{\text{avg}} \) and \( \tau \), and therefore \( v_{\text{avg}} \) can be determined from

\[
v_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\phi_{\text{odd}}}{\gamma G \tau^2}.
\]

The \( v_{\text{avg}} \), determined from net phase accumulation of an echo, suffers from the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the echo measured. To obtain \( v_{\text{avg}} \) more reliably, multiple odd echo phase accumulations at different \( \tau \) were employed in this paper. The odd echo net phase accumulations at different \( \tau^2 \) were fitted by Eq. (13), and the slope \( k \) can be used to determine

\[
v_{\text{avg}} = k / \gamma G.
\]

This method means that we need many times of measurements of the traditional CPMG. Theoretically, we just need one measurement to meet the requirement of the processing method using the varied echo time CPMG scheme. The measurement can be described as

\[
90_0^0 - \left[ \tau_n - 180_0^0 - \tau_n - \text{echo} - \tau_n - 180_0^0 - \tau_n - \text{echo} \right]_n,
\]

(15)

where \( \tau_n \) would be incremented after every even echo. For a steady flow, the net phase accumulation \( \phi_{\text{odd}} \) of odd echoes in this measurement can be expressed as
\[
\phi_{\text{odd}} = \gamma G v_{\text{avg}} \tau_n^2.
\]  

(16)

A detailed derivation is given in Appendix A. It can be seen from Eq. (16) that the \( \phi_{\text{odd}} \) depends on \( G, v_{\text{avg}} \) and \( \tau_n \). One measurement of the varied echo time CPMG scheme provides the \( \phi_{\text{odd}} \) at the different \( \tau_n^2 \), which can be employed to determine the \( v_{\text{avg}} \) by fitting.

2.3.2. Magnitude-based method

Under laminar flow conditions, the flow velocity profile is a distribution of velocities, which results in a distribution of accumulated phases at the odd echoes, leading to a change in signal magnitude. Employing odd echo magnitudes is therefore a workable strategy to determine some flow parameters. Assuming complete polarization, the odd echo magnitude \( M_{\text{odd}} \) detected with a flow-directed gradient can be expressed as

\[
M_{\text{odd}} = M_0 M_R M_\phi,
\]

where \( M_0 \) is the equilibrium magnetization value, which depends on the detected fluid type and quantity. \( M_R \) is the normalized magnitude caused by the decay of \( T_2 \) relaxation, which depends on the detected fluid relaxation property. \( M_\phi \) is the normalized magnitude resulting from the phase accumulation, related to the velocity distribution. \( M_0 \) and \( M_R \) are independent of the flow, and thus \( M_\phi \) can be obtained from dividing the acquired magnitude for stationary solution by the acquired magnitude with flow with the same acquisition parameters.

The normalized signal \( S_\phi \) of all odd echoes due to the phase accumulation is the same, which can be expressed as

\[
S_\phi = \frac{\iint \exp(-i\phi(r)) r dr d\theta}{\iint ds} = \frac{\int \cos(\phi(r)) r dr - i \int \sin(\phi(r)) r dr}{\int r dr},
\]

(18)
where $i$ is the imaginary unit, and $ds = r dr d\theta$ is differential of cross-sectional area.

From Eq. (18), the normalized real signal $S_{re} = \frac{\int \cos(\phi(r)) r dr}{\int r dr}$ and the normalized imaginary signal $S_{im} = -\frac{\int \sin(\phi(r)) r dr}{\int r dr}$ due to the phase accumulation for all odd echoes. For a circular pipe with a radius of $R$, they can be modified as

$$S_{re} = \frac{\int_0^R \cos(\phi(r)) r dr}{\int_0^R r dr} = \frac{\int_0^R \cos\left(X\left(1-\frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right) r dr}{\int_0^R r dr}$$

and

$$S_{im} = -\frac{\int_0^R \sin(\phi(r)) r dr}{\int_0^R r dr} = -\frac{\int_0^R \sin\left(X\left(1-\frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right) r dr}{\int_0^R r dr}$$

$$= i \frac{\int_0^R e^{\frac{\chi^2}{m}} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{m}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{m}, Xi\right)\right) - e^{-\frac{\chi^2}{m}} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{m}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{m}, -Xi\right)\right)}{mX^m},$$

where $X = \frac{m+2}{m} \gamma G v_{avg} \tau^2$ and $\Gamma(a, x) = \int_x^\infty w^{a-1} e^{-w} dw$. Detailed derivations of Eqs. (19) and (20) are given in Appendix B. The normalized magnitude $M_\phi$ of odd echoes due to the phase accumulation can be calculated from

$$M_\phi = \sqrt{(S_{re})^2 + (S_{im})^2} = \frac{2}{mX^m} \sqrt{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{m}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{m}, Xi\right)\right)\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{m}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{m}, -Xi\right)\right)}.$$

It can be seen from Eq. (21) that $M_\phi$ is not only related to instrument and acquisition parameters, $G$ and $\tau$, but also to laminar flow parameters, $m$ and $v_{avg}$. Based on Eqs. (14) and (21), we present some schemes for determining the laminar flow parameters, as follow:
Scheme 1: We just use one odd echo to calculate laminar flow parameters. The $v_{\text{avg}}$ is determined from the echo net phase accumulation using Eq. (14), and then $m$ is solved by Eq. (21) with the calculated $v_{\text{avg}}$. This scheme, only involving one odd echo data, suffers from noise, and thus the results have a poor reliability for realistic flow measurements.

Scheme 2: Based on the magnitude data of odd echoes at different $\tau$, the $v_{\text{avg}}$ and $m$ are directly fitted by Eq. (21). Due to the complexity of Eq. (21), insufficient data detected might affect the fitting accuracy for this scheme.

Scheme 3: Based on the net phase accumulation of odd echoes at different $\tau$, the $v_{\text{avg}}$ is fitted by Eq. (14). Subsequently, the $m$ is fitted by Eq. (21) based on the magnitude data of odd echoes and the fitted $v_{\text{avg}}$.

Schemes 1-3 all employ odd echo signals detected with CPMG measurement with a flow-directed constant $G$, to solve for the laminar flow parameters, $m$ and $v_{\text{avg}}$. We note it would be feasible to use $v_{\text{avg}}$ determined from a known volumetric flow rate and pipe diameter as an alternative to the $v_{\text{avg}}$ determined by the net phase accumulation of odd echoes in Schemes 1 and 3.

2.3.3. Velocity spectrum method

The complex signal $S(q)$ of all odd echoes in a CPMG measurement after removing diffusion effect can be expressed as [16, 45]

$$S(q) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(v) \exp(-iqv)dv, \quad (22)$$

where $q = \gamma Gr^2$, and $p(v)$ is the velocity spectrum. Eq. (22) shows that $S(q)$ is the Fourier transform of $p(v)$ with respect to $v$. $p(v)$ can therefore be determined by the inverse Fourier transform of $S(q)$ with respect to $q$, described as

$$p(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(q) \exp(-iqv)dq. \quad (23)$$
For an acquisition system with a constant magnetic field gradient, we can only change \( \tau \) during the measurement. Note that this method does not involve the use of phase-, frequency-, and motion-encoding magnetic resonance gradients. When the magnetic field gradient is parallel to the flow direction, \( q \) is a positive number, and thus Eq. (23) can be modified as

\[
p(v) = \int_0^{\infty} S(q) \exp(-iqv) dq.
\] (24)

To meet the uniform sample of \( q \), we increase \( \tau^2 \) with a constant step size. The Field of Flow (FOF) is determined by \( 2\pi/\Delta q \), where \( \Delta q = \gamma G \Delta \left( \tau^2 \right) \) is the step size of \( q \). Since FOF should be no less than the maximum velocity of flow, a short step size of \( \tau^2 \) is required. To obtain a velocity spectrum with an adequate resolution, a large step size of \( \tau^2 \) is recommended. To have a combined consideration, a compromise step size of \( \tau^2 \) should be recommended for each measurement. When the velocities are more than the maximum velocity of flow, their amplitudes should be zero in the velocity spectrum. One can therefore determine the maximum velocity based on the break point in the velocity spectrum. Combined with the average velocity from the net phase accumulation of odd echoes or volumetric flow rate and pipe diameter, \( m \) can be solved with a known maximum velocity.

For a Poiseuille flow, one can directly use the velocity spectrum to calculate the flow profile by [15, 16]

\[
r^2(v) = R^2 \left[ 1 - \int_{v_{\min}}^{v} p(v) dv \right],
\] (25)

where \( r(v) \) is the radial position associated with a flow velocity, and \( v_{\min} \) is the minimum velocity at \( r = R \).

### 3. Numerical simulations and analyses

To assess the presented methods in Section 2.3 for determining the flow
parameters in circular pipe, a few numerical simulation tests were performed. Owing to the use of the normalized data during the whole simulations, we can replace the simulations on the whole circular pipe from those on a circular cross-section. The cross-section was discretized using a $500 \times 500$ grid, and a diagram of discretized cross-section via a $10 \times 10$ grid was shown in Fig. 5. We note larger grid ($>500^2$) did not show appreciable changes for the normalized signal simulated. The intersections on the grid in the circular cross-section were considered during the simulations. The velocity of each intersection can be calculated based on the flow velocity profile (Eq. 9). The normalized real and imaginary signal due to a phase accumulation, for odd echoes during CPMG measurement, can be written by discrete form

$$S_{Re} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \cos(\gamma G v_i \tau^2), \quad (26)$$

and

$$S_{Im} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin(\gamma G v_i \tau^2), \quad (27)$$

where $N$ is the number of intersections in the circular cross-section, and $v_i$ is the velocity at the $i$th intersection. Therefore, the net phase accumulation $\phi_{odd}$ of odd echoes can be calculated by

$$\phi_{odd} = \arctan\left(-\frac{S_{Im}}{S_{Re}}\right) = \arctan\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin(\gamma G v_i \tau^2)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \cos(\gamma G v_i \tau^2)}\right]. \quad (28)$$

The normalized magnitude $M_\phi$ of odd echoes due to the phase accumulation can be determined from

$$M_\phi = \sqrt{(S_{Re})^2 + (S_{Im})^2} = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \cos(\gamma G v_i \tau^2)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin(\gamma G v_i \tau^2)^2}. \quad (29)$$
The radius of the cross-section was set to 1 cm and the magnetic field gradient was set to 65 gauss/cm during the simulations. To match the experimental data, Gaussian noises with a SNR of 50 were added to the real and imaginary signal. We verified the effectiveness of the three type methods in Section 2.3 to determine the laminar flow parameters via processing the simulated data, as follow.

![Diagram of discretized cross-section via a 10 × 10 grid.](image)

Fig. 5. Diagram of discretized cross-section via a 10 × 10 grid. We assumed all fluids were positioned on the intersections of the grid in the circular cross-section, and there is nothing inside a single grid. We can calculate the flow velocity on each intersection, for example, 

\[ v_E = \frac{m + 2}{m} v_{\text{avg}} \left( 1 - \frac{r_1^m}{R^m} \right) \]

at the intersection \( E \), where \( r_1 \) is the distance of the intersection \( E \) from the centre \( O \).

3.1. Phase-based method verification

The normalized real and imaginary signals of odd echoes for the three type of laminar flows shown in Fig. 4, at seven different \( \tau \) ranging from 100 to 400 \( \mu s \) with a step size of 50 \( \mu s \), were calculated based on Eqs. (26)-(27). After adding noise, the net phase accumulations can be determined by Eq. (28). Fig. 6 showed the relation of the net phase accumulation \( \phi_{\text{odd}} \) of odd echoes to \( \tau^2 \) for the laminar flows, where \( \phi_{\text{odd}} \) were the mean of 10 separate simulations and error bars were determined by their standard deviations. From Fig. 6, we can see that the net phase accumulation of odd
echoes, at the same $v_{avg}$, are very close, independent of the flow type.

The simulated data were fitted employing Eq. (13), and the $v_{avg}$ were determined to be $5.01 \pm 0.01$, $4.94 \pm 0.02$, and $5.04 \pm 0.02$ cm/s for Poiseuille flow, shear-thickening flow, and shear-thinning flow, respectively, which are similar to the model $v_{avg} = 5$ cm/s, within 1%. These indicated that the net phase accumulation of odd echoes can be used to determine the average velocity of any type of laminar flow.

Fig. 6. Fitted results of $\phi_{odd}$ at different $\tau^2$, for Poiseuille flow (a), shear-thickening flow (b), and shear-thinning flow (c). The fitted relationships between $\phi_{odd}$ and $\tau^2$ were (a) $\phi_{odd} = (5.01 \pm 0.01) \gamma G \tau^2$, (b) $\phi_{odd} = (4.94 \pm 0.02) \gamma G \tau^2$, and (c) $\phi_{odd} = (5.04 \pm 0.02) \gamma G \tau^2$.

3.2. Magnitude-based method verification

To ensure the accuracy of the magnitude-based method, it is desirable to employ more magnitude data of odd echoes. Here the normalized magnitudes $M_\phi$ of odd echoes due to phase accumulation at 19 different $\tau$ ranging from 100 to 1000 $\mu$s with a step size of 50 $\mu$s were employed. Fig. 7 showed the relation of $M_\phi$ to $\tau^2$ for the laminar flows, where $M_\phi$ are the mean of 10 separate simulations and error bars are determined by their standard deviations. The trends of $M_\phi$ significantly differ with the type of laminar flows at the same $v_{avg}$. The schemes 2 and 3 were both employed to
process the simulated magnitude data to obtain the laminar flow parameters. For scheme 3, the fitted $v_{avg}$ from the phase-based method in Section 3.1 was used. The fitted results of the two schemes were shown in Fig. 7.

For the Poiseuille flow, $m = 1.92 \pm 0.03$ and $v_{avg} = 4.93 \pm 0.06$ by the scheme 2, and $m = 1.98 \pm 0.02$ by the scheme 3. For the shear-thickening flow, $m = 1.54 \pm 0.03$ and $v_{avg} = 5.05 \pm 0.10$ by the scheme 2, and $m = 1.48 \pm 0.02$ by the scheme 3. For the shear-thinning flow, $m = 4.99 \pm 0.03$ and $v_{avg} = 4.97 \pm 0.03$ by the scheme 2, and $m = 5.04 \pm 0.03$ by the scheme 3. The fitted $m$ and $v_{avg}$ by the scheme 2 agree with the model, within 4% and 1%, similarly, the fitted $m$ by the scheme 3 are close to the model, within 1%, for three type of laminar flows. The fitted results indicated that the schemes 2 and 3 can both be used to determine the laminar flow parameters by processing the normalized magnitude of odd echoes during CPMG measurement with a flow-directed gradient. The error of the fitted $m$ by scheme 3 is slightly lower than those by scheme 2, due to less output parameters of scheme 3, revealing that scheme 3 is a bit superior to scheme 2.

Based on the fitted flow parameters by schemes 2 and 3, the flow velocity profiles were reconstructed and then compared with the model, as shown in Fig. 8. From Fig. 8, we can see that the reconstructed 1D flow velocity profiles for the three laminar flows are very close to the model. The error plots exhibited that the velocity errors in the pipe are less than 0.2 cm/s, revealing the effectiveness of the magnitude-based method to determine the flow parameters.
Fig. 7. Fitted results of $M_\phi$ at different $\tau^2$ using scheme 2 (—) and scheme 3 (-----) for Poiseuille flow (a), shear-thickening flow (b), and shear-thinning flow (c). All the $M_\phi$ for different laminar flows decreases with oscillations as $\tau^2$ increases. The trends of $M_\phi$ significantly differ with the type of laminar flows at the same $v_{avg}$. The fitted curves by schemes 2 and 3 are both in agreement with the simulated data.

3.3. Velocity spectrum method verification

To meet the requirement of the velocity spectrum method, the data must be sampled with a fixed increment of $\tau^2$. During the simulations, the normalized signals at 128 different $\tau^2$ ranging from $6.25 \times 10^{-4}$ to $31.75$ ms$^2$ with a step size of 0.25 ms$^2$, for the three flows, were calculated and then added Gaussian noises. The FOF was
therefore 14.45 cm/s. Before undertaking Fourier transformation of simulated data, the exponential filtering method [46] was employed to improve the resolution of velocity spectrum. The velocity spectrums for Poiseuille flow, shear-thickening flow, and shear-thinning flow were shown in Fig. 9.

Fig. 9. Real (—), imaginary (----), and magnitude (-----) velocity spectrums obtained by Fourier transformation of the simulated signals of odd echoes for Poiseuille flow (a), shear-thickening flow (b), and shear-thinning flow (c). Based on the break point at the velocity spectrums, the maximum velocities of the three flows were 10.05 ± 0.11, 11.63 ± 0.11, and 7.11 ± 0.11, respectively.

It can be seen from Fig. 9 that the characteristics of the velocity spectrum vary from the laminar flow type. Based on their characteristics, the flow type can be identified qualitatively. More importantly, the \( v_{\text{max}} \) of the laminar flows can be determined from the break point at the velocity spectrums. The \( v_{\text{max}} \) of the three flows were 10.05 ± 0.11, 11.63 ± 0.11, and 7.11 ± 0.11, respectively. Combined with their \( v_{\text{avg}} \) from net phase accumulation in Section 3.1, their \( m \) were determined to be 1.99, 1.48, and 4.87, respectively, by Eq. (10). Their calculated \( m \) values are similar to the models, within 3%.

For Poiseuille flow, the 1D flow velocity profile was reconstructed by Eq. (25) based on the real velocity spectrum, as shown in Fig. 10. The reconstructed velocity profile coincides with the model, and the absolute error is no more than 0.1 cm/s, which verifies the feasibility of the velocity spectrum method to reconstruct Poiseuille flow.
Fig. 10. Comparison of Poiseuille flow velocity profile model (—) with that reconstructed by the velocity spectrum (-----). The bottom subplots represent the absolute error between the reconstructed profile and model, displaying that the velocity errors for the Poiseuille flow in the pipe are less than 0.1 cm/s.

4. Experiments

Two solutions, one Newtonian fluid and one shear-thinning fluid, were prepared for flow experiments. Distilled water and glycerol were mixed in a ratio of 6:1 to prepare the Newtonian fluid. Xanthan gum solution, one of shear-thinning fluid [18, 47], was prepared in concentration of 0.42 wt% using distilled water. Xanthan gum complete dissolution was achieved by stirring for 10 h using a low gear mixer (Mastercraft, Toronto, Canada). The two solutions were doped with 0.33 wt% CuSO\textsubscript{4} to reduce their $T_1$ lifetimes and ensure the measured fluid was completely polarized. $T_1$ lifetimes of the glycerol/ distill water solution and the xanthan gum solution were 42 ms and 39 ms.

Glycerol/distilled water solution flow experiments were performed at flow rates of $40 \pm 1$ mL/min and $78 \pm 1$ mL/min to produce average velocities of $1.89 \pm 0.05$ cm/s and $3.69 \pm 0.05$ cm/s. Reynolds numbers were 82 and 160 for the two flows, and thus
the flows are of laminar flow type. Xanthan gum solution flow experiments were performed at flow rates of $35 \pm 1 \text{ mL/min}$ and $66 \pm 1 \text{ mL/min}$ to produce average velocities of $1.65 \pm 0.05 \text{ cm/s}$ and $3.12 \pm 0.05 \text{ cm/s}$. These are within the laminar flow regime which is typically observed for Reynolds numbers up to 2000 [48]. All the flow rates were determined from outflow with a measuring cylinder and timer.

The CPMG measurement was employed to measure the two types of flows. Echo CPMG measurement using a single echo time required approximately 2.5 min with a repetition time of 300 ms and 512 averages. The $90^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ pulse durations were both set to 3.2 $\mu$s by the quadrature echo method during the CPMG measurement [49]. The desired tip angle of each pulse was achieved by adjusting the RF amplitude. Before the flow experiments, measurements of tap water Poiseuille flow at a known average velocity were performed with different $\tau$. It is very close to the gradient determined with the experimental field data, which indicates the feasibility of the Proteus magnet for flow measurement.

The measured magnitude data of flow were divided by corresponding data collected for a stationary solution with the same measurement parameters, to obtain the normalized magnitude $M_\phi$ of odd echoes due to phase accumulation. The phase and $M_\phi$ of the first odd echo were processed employing the phase-based method and the magnitude-based method presented in Section 2.3, as follow.

Based on the experimental real and imaginary signals, phase accumulations of the first odd echo at different $\tau^2$, for the two types of flows, were calculated. The phase accumulations $\phi$ were plotted with respect to $\tau^2$, as shown in Fig. 11. Since the experimental data contain a system phase $\phi_0$, the phase accumulation $\phi$ of odd echoes can be written as

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_{\text{odd}} = \phi_0 + \gamma G_{\text{avg}} \tau^2. \quad (30)$$
Fig. 11. Processed results of the phase-based method for the glycerol/distilled water flows at $v_{\text{avg}} = 1.89 \pm 0.05$ cm/s (a) and 3.69 \pm 0.05 cm/s (b) and for the xanthan gum solution flows at $v_{\text{avg}} = 1.65 \pm 0.05$ cm/s (c) and 3.12 \pm 0.05 cm/s (d). Symbols (·) show the calculated phase accumulation data of the first odd echo, and the solid line shows the fitted results based on Eq. (30). The fitted $\phi_0 = -0.65 \pm 0.01$ rad and $v_{\text{avg}} = 1.88 \pm 0.02$ cm/s for (a), $\phi_0 = -0.64 \pm 0.01$ rad and $v_{\text{avg}} = 3.57 \pm 0.04$ cm/s for (b), $\phi_0 = -0.58 \pm 0.01$ rad and $v_{\text{avg}} = 1.62 \pm 0.02$ cm/s for (c), and $\phi_0 = -0.68 \pm 0.01$ rad and $v_{\text{avg}} = 3.15 \pm 0.02$ cm/s for (d).

Based on Eq. (30), the phase accumulations $\phi$ were fitted for each flow by a linear fitting method, and the fitted results were shown as solid lines in Fig. 11. The fitted $\phi_0 = -0.65 \pm 0.01$ rad and $v_{\text{avg}} = 1.88 \pm 0.02$ cm/s for the Poiseuille flow at $v_{\text{avg}} = 1.89 \pm 0.05$ cm/s, $\phi_0 = -0.64 \pm 0.01$ rad and $v_{\text{avg}} = 3.57 \pm 0.04$ cm/s for the Poiseuille flow
at $v_{\text{avg}} = 3.69 \pm 0.05 \text{ cm/s}$, $\phi_0 = -0.58 \pm 0.01 \text{ rad}$ and $v_{\text{avg}} = 1.62 \pm 0.02 \text{ cm/s}$ for the shear-thinning flow at $v_{\text{avg}} = 1.65 \pm 0.05 \text{ cm/s}$, and $\phi_0 = -0.68 \pm 0.01 \text{ rad}$ and $v_{\text{avg}} = 3.15 \pm 0.02 \text{ cm/s}$ for the shear-thinning flow at $v_{\text{avg}} = 3.12 \pm 0.05 \text{ cm/s}$.

Fig. 11 shows that the fitted phase accumulation of the first odd echo agrees with the measured phase accumulation, indicating the reliability of the fitted parameters. The fitted $v_{\text{avg}}$ is similar to the actual $v_{\text{avg}}$ for each flow, within 3%. The processed results of experimental data reveal that the phase-based method is feasible and practical in determining the average velocity of laminar flow.

![Graph](image)

Fig. 12. Processed results of the magnitude-based method (scheme 3) for the glycerol/distilled water flows at $v_{\text{avg}} = 1.89 \pm 0.05 \text{ cm/s}$ (a) and $3.69 \pm 0.05 \text{ cm/s}$ (b) and for the xanthan gum solution flows at $v_{\text{avg}} = 1.65 \pm 0.05 \text{ cm/s}$ (c) and $3.12 \pm 0.05 \text{ cm/s}$ (d). Symbols (·) show the $M_\phi$ data of the first odd echo, and the solid line shows the fitted results based on Eq. (21). The fitted $m = 2.11 \pm 0.06 \text{ cm/s}$ for (a), $m = 1.97 \pm 0.09 \text{ cm/s}$ for (b), $m = 5.38 \pm 0.19 \text{ cm/s}$ for (c), and $m = 5.37 \pm 0.17 \text{ cm/s}$ for (d).
The normalized magnitude $M_\phi$ of the first odd echo at different $\tau^2$, for the two types of flows, were displayed as red dots in Fig. 12. After the $v_{avg}$ was determined from the phase-based method, the scheme 3 was employed to process the experimental data to obtain their flow parameter $m$. The fitted $M_\phi$ of different flows with respect to $\tau^2$ were shown as solid lines in Fig. 12.

The fitted $m = 2.11 \pm 0.06$ cm/s and $1.97 \pm 0.09$ cm/s for the glycerol/distilled water flows at $v_{avg} = 1.89 \pm 0.05$ cm/s and $v_{avg} = 3.69 \pm 0.05$ cm/s. The fitted $m = 5.38 \pm 0.19$ cm/s and $m = 5.37 \pm 0.17$ cm/s for the xanthan gum solution flows at $v_{avg} = 1.65 \pm 0.05$ cm/s and $3.12 \pm 0.05$ cm/s. A comparison of the fitted $m$ for the glycerol/distilled water flows with theoretical $m$ of Poiseuille flow shows that the fitted $m$ is very close to the actual $m$, within 6%, which revealing the effectiveness and practicality of the scheme 3 for laminar flows. Fitted $m$ both are more than 2.0 for the xanthan gum solution flow. It confirms that the flows are shear-thinning flows, which is accordant with the real condition. The flow behaviour index $\omega = 0.23$ was determined from the fitted $m$ at two flow velocities for the xanthan gum solution flow. The calculated $\omega$ is very similar to that from Blythe et al. [17] for the similar solution concentrations under laminar conditions, verifying the reliability of the scheme 3 for non-Newtonian flows.

Based on the fitted flow parameters $m$ and $v_{avg}$ by the phase-based method and the magnitude-based method, their flow velocity profiles were reconstructed, as shown in Fig. 13. For Poiseuille flow, the flow velocity profiles can be predicted by flow rates due to known $m = 2.0$. The theoretical predictions are shown as solid line in Fig. 13 (a), where the bottom subplot represents the absolute error between the reconstructed profile and model. It shows that the velocity errors between reconstructed profiles and theoretical predictions in the pipe are less than 0.2 cm/s, which indicates the reliable precision of the phase-based method and the magnitude-based method.
Fig. 13. (a) Comparisons of 1D flow velocity profiles for the glycerol/distilled water flows from the magnitude-based method (dashed line) with the theoretical prediction (solid line), where the bottom subplot represents the absolute error between the reconstructed profile and actual profile, displaying that the velocity errors between reconstructed profiles and theoretical predictions in the pipe are less than 0.2 cm/s. (b) 1D flow velocity profiles for the xanthan gum solution flows based on the fitted $m$ and $v_{avg}$.

5. Conclusions and future work

In this work, a palm-sized Proteus permanent magnet, with a constant magnetic field gradient, was designed to be used for the measurement of laminar flows. The Proteus magnet consists of two portable disk magnets tilted at an angle of 1˚ from a starting separation of 1.4 cm. Furthermore, we proposed phase-based method, magnitude-based method, and velocity spectrum method to characterize laminar flow in a pipe, including average velocity and velocity profile, from the CPMG measurement. The proposed methods were verified by simulations and flow experiments on the designed magnet. The following conclusions can be drawn:

(1) Phase shift occurs on the odd echoes during CPMG measurement for flow measurement with a flow-directed constant gradient. The phase accumulation is related to gradient, echo time, and average velocity. Phase-based method employs multiple odd echo phase accumulations at different echo times to fit the average velocity of flow. In
theory, it takes only one measurement for a varied echo time CPMG scheme to obtain all the data we desire. The later odd echo data however suffer from magnetization pathways and noise for realistic flow experiments. To generalize the application of the varied echo time CPMG scheme in flow measurement, more efforts should be made in future.

(2) The normalized magnitude $M_\phi$ of odd echoes, due to the phase accumulation, was derived, dependent on gradient, echo time, average velocity, and flow behaviour index. Magnitude-based method obtains average velocity and flow behaviour index based on the fitting by $M_\phi$ of odd echoes at different echo times. With a modest number of odd echo data points at different echo times, we can obtain the average velocity from the phase-based method, and then fitted flow behaviour index, with known average velocity, by the $M_\phi$. Magnitude-based method provides the possibility that the flow velocity profile is determined with the CPMG measurement.

(3) Velocity spectrum method is based on a Fourier transform approach. Due to the fixed gradient of the Proteus magnet, this method changes $\tau$ during the measurement. The maximum flow velocity can be determined based on the break point in the velocity spectrum. Combined with the average velocity from the phase-based method, flow behaviour index can be resolved, and in turn flow profile is determined. This method has only been verified by simulations, since the experimental odd echo data with a long echo time collected on the Proteus magnet are affected by diffusion and noise, exhibiting a low reliability.

The CPMG measurement on low-field MR equipment with a flow-oriented gradient can be directly used for the determination of flow velocity profile based on the proposed methods. Our methods are developed to process the measured data at complete polarization. The flow measurement, based on our equipment, requires a short $T_1$ of measured fluid, usually using a contrast agent, to make the detected fluid completely polarized. In future work we will consider new magnet designs amenable
to pre-polarization which will permit incomplete incorporation of these effects into the flow profile analysis.
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Appendix A

A.1. Net phase accumulation of odd echoes for a CPMG measurement

When the flow fluid is measured by CPMG MR method with a constant magnetic field gradient \( G \) in the direction of flow, the phase shift would occur. For a constant velocity \( v \) flow, the net phase accumulation \( \phi \), related to time \( t \), can be expressed as

\[
\phi = \gamma v \int G(t) dt = \gamma v G \int t dt.
\]  

(A.1)

The phase at the \( 180^\circ \) pulse will be reversed during the measurement. The net phase accumulation of the first odd echo can therefore be calculated from

\[
\phi_1 = -\gamma G v \int_0^\tau t dt + \gamma G v \int_{2\tau}^{3\tau} t dt = \gamma G v \tau^2,
\]  

(A.2)

where \( \tau \) is the interval between \( 90^\circ \) and \( 180^\circ \) pulses. Due to net phase accumulation cancellations of all even echoes [44], the net phase accumulation of the \((n+1)\)th \((n \geq 1)\) odd echo can be calculated by

\[
\phi_{n+1} = -\phi_{even,n} - \gamma G v \int_{4n\tau}^{(4n+1)\tau} t dt + \gamma G v \int_{(4n+1)\tau}^{(4n+2)\tau} t dt
\]

\[
= -\phi_{even,n} + \gamma G v \tau^2
\]  

(A.3)

where \( \phi_{even,n} = 0 \) is the net phase accumulation of the \( n \)th even echo and \( 4n\tau \) is the
time of even echo. In summary, the net phase accumulations of all odd echoes, with a flow-directed magnetic field gradient, are the same and equal to \( \gamma Gv \tau^2 \).

A.2. Net phase accumulation of odd echoes for a varied echo time CPMG measurement

Diagram of the varied echo time CPMG was shown in Fig. A. We would increase \( \tau_n \) after every even echo. Based on the derivations in Section A.1, the net phase accumulation of the first odd echo with a flow-directed gradient, for a constant velocity \( v \) flow, is \( \gamma Gv \tau^2 \), and the net phase accumulation of the first even echo is zero.

We now show the net phase accumulations of all even echoes are zero for a varied echo time CPMG measurement. Assuming the net phase accumulation of the \( n \)th \(( n \geq 1)\) even echo \( \phi_{\text{even},n} \) is zero, and then that of the \(( n+1)\)th even echo can be calculated by

\[
\phi_{\text{even},n+1} = \phi_{\text{even},n} + \gamma Gv \int_{T_n}^{T_{n+1}} t dt - \gamma Gv \int_{T_n}^{T_{n+1}} t dt + \gamma Gv \int_{T_n}^{T_{n+1}} t dt
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \gamma Gv \left( 2T_n \tau_{n+1} + \tau_{n+1}^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma Gv \left( 8T_n \tau_{n+1} + 4\tau_{n+1}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma Gv \left( 7T_n \tau_{n+1} + 2\tau_{n+1}^2 \right) \quad (A.4)
\]

\[
= 0,
\]

where \( T_n \) is the time of the \( n \)th even echo. Therefore, the net phase accumulation cancels for all even echoes for a varied echo time CPMG measurement.

Similarly, the net phase accumulation of the \(( n+1)\)th \(( n \geq 1)\) odd echo can be calculated by

\[
\phi_{n+1} = -\phi_{\text{even},n} - \gamma Gv \int_{T_n}^{T_{n+1}} t dt + \gamma Gv \int_{T_n}^{T_{n+1}} t dt
\]

\[
= 0 - \frac{1}{2} \gamma Gv \left( 2T_n \tau_{n+1} + \tau_{n+1}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma Gv \left( 2T_n \tau_{n+1} + 3\tau_{n+1}^2 \right) \quad (A.5)
\]

\[
= \gamma Gv \tau^2_{n+1}.
\]

Eq. (A.5) shows that the net phase accumulation of all odd echoes for a varied echo time CPMG measurement with a flow-directed gradient is independent of previous echo times for a constant velocity \( v \) flow.
Fig. A. Diagram of a varied echo time CPMG scheme. During this CPMG measurement, $\tau_a$ would be incremented after every even echo.

Appendix B

B.1. Derivation of the normalized real signal $S_{Re}$ of odd echoes due to the phase accumulation

The normalized real signal $S_{Re}$ of odd echoes due to the phase accumulation can be expressed as

$$S_{Re} = \frac{\int \cos(\phi(r)) r dr}{\int r dr} = \frac{\int_{0}^{R} \cos\left(X\left(1-\frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right) r dr}{\int_{0}^{R} r dr}, \quad (B.1)$$

where $X = \frac{m+2}{m} \gamma G v_{avg} \tau^2$.

The term $\cos\left(X\left(1-\frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right)$ can be rewritten as

$$\cos\left(X\left(1-\frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \exp\left(iX\left(1-\frac{r}{R}\right)^m\right) + \exp\left(-iX\left(1-\frac{r}{R}\right)^m\right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{iX}\exp\left(-iX \frac{r^m}{R^m}\right) + e^{-iX} \exp\left(iX \frac{r^m}{R^m}\right) \right] \quad (B.2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{iX} \exp\left((X i)^\frac{1}{m} \frac{r}{R}\right)^m\right] + e^{-iX} \exp\left((X i)^\frac{1}{m} \frac{r}{R}\right)^m$$

Thus,
\[
\int_0^R \cos \left( X \left(1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) \right) r dr = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R \left[ e^{Xr} \exp \left( \left( -X i \right)^\frac{1}{m} \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) \right] r dr + e^{-Xr} \exp \left( \left( X i \right)^\frac{1}{m} \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) r dr,
\]

(B.3)

Eq. (B.3) can be regarded as a half of the sum of two integrals

\[
\int_0^R e^{Xr} \exp \left( \left( -X i \right)^\frac{1}{m} \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) r dr \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^R e^{-Xr} \exp \left( \left( X i \right)^\frac{1}{m} \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) r dr.
\]

For \( \int_0^R e^{Xr} \exp \left( \left( -X i \right)^\frac{1}{m} \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) r dr \), we define

\[
(-1)^\frac{1}{m} u = \frac{\left( -X i \right)^\frac{1}{m} r}{R}.
\]

(B.4)

Then,

\[
r = \frac{(-1)^\frac{1}{m} u R}{X i} = \frac{u R}{\left( X i \right)^\frac{1}{m}},
\]

(B.5)

and

\[
R du = \frac{R du}{\left( X i \right)^\frac{1}{m}}.
\]

(B.6)

The integration limits are

\[
\begin{cases}
u(r = 0) = 0, \\
u(r = R) = \left( X i \right)^\frac{1}{m}.
\end{cases}
\]

(B.7)

Based on Eqs. (B.4–B.7),

\[
\int_0^R e^{Xr} \exp \left( \left( -X i \right)^\frac{1}{m} \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) r dr = \int_0^{\left( X i \right)^\frac{1}{m}} e^{Xr} e^{-u^m} \frac{u R^2}{\left( X i \right)^\frac{2}{m}} du = \frac{R^2 e^{Xr}}{\left( X i \right)^\frac{2}{m}} \int_0^{\left( X i \right)^\frac{1}{m}} e^{-u^m} u du.
\]

(B.8)

We define

\[
w = u^m.
\]

(B.9)

Then,

\[
u = w^\frac{1}{m},
\]

(B.10)

and
The integration limits are
\[
\begin{align*}
    w(u = 0) &= 0, \\
    w(u = (X_i)^{-1}) &= X_i.
\end{align*}
\] (B.12)

Based on Eqs. (B.9–B.12),
\[
\int_0^R e^{x_i} \exp \left( (-X_i)^{-1} \frac{r}{R} \right)^m \, dr = \frac{R^2 e^{x_i}}{m(X_i)^m} \int_0^{x_i} \frac{1}{w^m} e^{-w} \, dw - \frac{R^2 e^{x_i}}{m(X_i)^m} \int_0^{x_i} \frac{1}{w^m} e^{-w} \, dw
\] (B.13)

The Gamma function \( \Gamma(a) = \int_0^\infty w^{a-1} e^{-w} \, dw \) and the incomplete Gamma Function \( \Gamma(a, x) = \int_x^\infty w^{a-1} e^{-w} \, dw \). Thus, Eq. (B.13) can be written as
\[
\int_0^R e^{x_i} \exp \left( (-X_i)^{-1} \frac{r}{R} \right)^m \, dr = \frac{R^2 e^{x_i}}{m(X_i)^m} \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, -X_i \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, X_i \right) \right].
\] (B.14)

Similarly, we can obtain
\[
\int_0^R e^{-x_i} \exp \left( (X_i)^{-1} \frac{r}{R} \right)^m \, dr = \frac{R^2 e^{-x_i}}{m(-X_i)^m} \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, X_i \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, -X_i \right) \right].
\] (B.15)

Substituting Eqs. (B.14) and (B.15) into Eq. (B.3), we obtain
\[
\int_0^R \cos \left( X \left( 1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) \right) \, dr = \frac{R^2 \left( -i \right)^m e^{-x_i} \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, X_i \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, -X_i \right) \right] + R^2 \left( -i \right)^m e^{x_i} \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, -X_i \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, X_i \right) \right]}{2mX^2_m}.
\] (B.16)

Therefore, Eq. (B.1) can be rewritten as
\[
S_{re} = \frac{\int_0^R \cos \left( X \left( 1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) \right) \, dr}{\int_0^R r \, dr} = \frac{e^{x_i} \left( -i \right)^m \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, X_i \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, -X_i \right) \right] + e^{-x_i} \left( -i \right)^m \left[ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, -X_i \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, X_i \right) \right]}{mX^2_m}.
\] (B.17)
B.2. Derivation of the normalized imaginary signal $S_{im}$ of odd echoes due to the phase accumulation

The normalized imaginary signal $S_{im}$ of odd echoes due to the phase accumulation can be expressed as

$$S_{im} = -\frac{\int_0^R \sin(\phi(r)) rdr}{\int_0^R rdr} = -\frac{\int_0^R \sin\left(X\left(1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right) rdr}{\int_0^R rdr},$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.18)

where $X = \frac{m + 2}{m} \gamma G_{avg} \tau^2$.

The term $\sin\left(X\left(1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right)$ can be rewritten as

$$\sin\left(X\left(1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right) = -\frac{i}{2}\left[\exp\left(iX\left(1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right) - \exp\left(-iX\left(1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right)\right]$$

$$= -\frac{i}{2}\left[e^{Xr^m/R^m} - e^{-Xr^m/R^m}\right] = -\frac{i}{2}\left[e^{Xr^m/R^m} - e^{-Xr^m/R^m}\right]$$

\hspace{1cm} (B.19)

Thus,

$$\int_0^R \sin\left(X\left(1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m}\right)\right) rdr = -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^R e^{Xr^m/R^m} - e^{-Xr^m/R^m} dr.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.20)

Eq. (B.20) can be regard as $-\frac{i}{2}$ times the difference of two integrals

$$\int_0^R e^{Xr^m/R^m} dr \text{ and } \int_0^R e^{-Xr^m/R^m} dr.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.14) and (B.15) give the two integral expressions. Thus, Eq. (B.20) can be rewritten as
\[
\int_0^R \sin \left( X \left( 1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) \right) rdr = -i \frac{R^2 e^{Xm} \left\{ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m} \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, Xi \right) \right\}}{m(Xi)^{m/2} \left\{ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m} \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, -Xi \right) \right\}} - \frac{R^2 e^{-Xm} \left\{ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m} \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, Xi \right) \right\}}{m(-Xi)^{m/2} \left\{ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m} \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, -Xi \right) \right\}} \\
= -i \frac{R^2 e^{Xm} (-i)^{m/2} \left\{ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m} \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, Xi \right) \right\} - R^2 e^{-Xm} (i)^{m/2} \left\{ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m} \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, -Xi \right) \right\}}{2mX^m}.
\]

(B.21)

Substituting Eq. (B.21) into Eq. (B.18), we obtain

\[
S_{im} = -\frac{\int_0^R \sin \left( X \left( 1 - \frac{r^m}{R^m} \right) \right) rdr e^{Xm} (-i)^{m/2} \left\{ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m} \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, Xi \right) \right\} - e^{-Xm} (i)^{m/2} \left\{ \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m} \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{m}, -Xi \right) \right\}}{mX^m}.
\]

(B.22)
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