MASSEY PRODUCTS FOR ALGEBRAS OVER OPERADS

FERNANDO MURO

Abstract. We define a generalization of Massey products for algebras over a Koszul operad defined over a characteristic zero field, extending Massey’s and Retakh’s in the associative and Lie cases, respectively. We establish connections with minimal models and with Dimitrova’s universal operadic cohomology class. We compute two Gerstenhaber algebra examples: the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex of the Heisenberg Lie algebra and the de Rham complex of a Poisson manifold.
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1. Introduction

A Massey product $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ is a well-known degree 1 secondary operation in the homology $H_*(A)$ of a DG-associative algebra $A$ which is defined whenever $ab = 0 = bc$. It is an element in the following quotient

$$\langle a, b, c \rangle \in \frac{H_{|a|+|b|+|c|+1}(A)}{H_{|a|+|b|+1}(A)c + aH_{|b|+|c|+1}(A)}.$$

It is often regarded as a subset

$$\langle a, b, c \rangle \subset H_{|a|+|b|+|c|+1}(A)$$

and the denominator of the previous quotient is considered its indeterminacy.

This operation was introduced by Massey in [Mas69]. It is non-trivial in the cohomology of the complement of the Borromean link in $S^3$, which has trivial cup-product. It is closely related to the associativity relation

$$(ab)c - a(bc) = 0$$

since it is defined as follows. If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are cycles representing $a, b, c$ and $\zeta, \xi$ are chains satisfying $d(\zeta) = \alpha \beta, d(\xi) = \beta \gamma$ then

$$\zeta \gamma - (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \xi$$
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is a cycle because its differential yields the associativity relation. The homology class of this cycle represents \( \langle a, b, c \rangle \).

Retakh \cite{Ret77} introduced a similar operation in the homology \( H_*(L) \) of a DG-Lie algebra \( L \), called Lie-Massey products,

\[
\langle a, b, c \rangle \in \frac{H_{|a|+|b|+|c|+1}(L)}{[H_{|a|+|b|+1}(L), c] + [a, H_{|b|+|c|+1}(L)]}.
\]

He used it in a characterization of loop spaces in rational homotopy theory \cite{Ret78}. This operation is related to the graded Jacobi identity

\[
[a, [b, c]] - [[a, b], c] - (-1)^{|a||b|}[b, [a, c]] = 0
\]

in the same way as the previous one is connected to the associativity relation.

Given a graded quadratic Koszul operad \( O \) over a field \( k \) of characteristic zero, we here define a secondary Massey-product-like operation in the homology \( H_*(A) \) of a DG-\( O \)-algebra \( A \) for each relation in the presentation of \( O \). This extends the original Massey and the Lie-Massey products when \( O \) is the associative and the Lie operad, respectively. We show that they are connected to the higher operations in a minimal transferred \( O_\infty \)-algebra structure on \( H_*(A) \). In particular, they yield obstructions to formality. We also establish a connection with Dimitrova’s \cite{Dim12} universal class of an operadic algebra \( A \), which is another obstruction to formality.

We illustrate this new definition with Gerstenhaber algebras, which are commutative algebras equipped with a shifted Lie bracket satisfying the following compatibility relation, called Gerstenhaber relation,

\[
[a, bc] - [a, b]c - (-1)^{|a||b|}b[a, c] = 0.
\]

We call Gerstenhaber-Massey products to the Massey products associated to this relation. We consider two kinds of Gerstenhaber algebras arising in differential geometry. We compute a non-vanishing Gerstenhaber-Massey product in the cohomology of the Heisenberg Lie algebra \( h \), equipped with the Lie bracket induced by a compatible Lie algebra structure on the dual \( h^* \). In contrast, we show that Gerstenhaber-Massey products in the de Rham cohomology \( H^*(M) \) of a Poisson manifold vanish. This reinforces the idea that the Koszul bracket in the de Rham complex \( \Omega^*(M) \) \cite{Kos83} does not play a relevant structural role. This was suggested in \cite{ST08}, where it is shown that \( \Omega^*(M) \) is formal as a DG-Lie algebra. The result in \cite{ST08} implies that Lie-Massey products are trivial in \( H^*(M) \) but it is unrelated to Gerstenhaber-Massey products. Our vanishing result suggests that \( \Omega^*(M) \) with the Koszul bracket could be quasi-isomorphic to \( \Omega^*(M) \) endowed with the trivial Lie bracket as DG-Gerstenhaber algebras. This would be a meaningful improvement on \cite{ST08}.

In general, DG-algebras over a Koszul operad \( O \) arise naturally as the operadic cochain complex of an algebra over the Koszul dual operad \( O^! \). This construction yields unlimited examples to test the existence of non-trivial Massey products. We aim at keeping this paper short, but several related topics could be addressed next. In the associative and Lie settings higher order Massey products are defined whenever shorter ones vanish, and they play a role in several applications, see e.g. \cite{Ret78}. It could also be worth to extend Massey products to algebras over generalizations of operads, such as colored operads, cyclic operads, etc. This should fit the Massey products in the homology of an operad used in \cite{Liv15} to prove that the Swiss cheese operad is not formal.
We here nominally work with Koszul operads in characteristic zero [GK94, LV12]. We borrow terminology and notation from [LV12]. In Remark 4.2 we indicate how most things make sense in positive characteristic, or even over general ground rings and non-Koszul operads. This is relevant for an ongoing application of Massey products to minimal models for operadic algebras over commutative rings, extending [Sag10].

The degree of a homogeneous element \( x \in X \) in a graded module \( X \) is denoted by \(|x|\).

2. Massey products

Let \( \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{P}(E, R) = \mathcal{T}(E)/(R) \) be a quadratic Koszul graded operad generated by a graded reduced \( S \)-module \( E \) with sub-\( S \)-module of relations \( R \subset \mathcal{T}(E)^{(2)} \).

**Definition 2.1.** Let

\[
\Gamma = \sum (\mu^{(1)} \circ \mu^{(2)}) \cdot \sigma \in R(r)
\]

be a relation of arity \( r \). In this Sweedler-like notation, \( \mu^{(i)} \in E(r_i), \ r_1 + r_2 - 1 = r, \ 1 \leq i \leq r_1, \ \sigma \in S_r \), and \( \circ \) denotes the infinitesimal composition.

Let \( A \) be a DG-\( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra and let \( x_i \in H_s(A), 1 \leq i \leq r \), be elements such that

\[
\mu^{(2)}(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(r_2-1)}) = 0 \in H_{|\mu^{(2)}| + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} |x_{\sigma^{-1}(l+i-1)}| + 1}(A)
\]

for each summand in the relation. For each \( 1 \leq i \leq r \), let \( y_i \in A[|x_i|] \) be a representative of \( x_i \) and, for each summand in the relation, let

\[
\rho^{(2)} \in A[|\mu^{(2)}| + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} |x_{\sigma^{-1}(l+i-1)}| + 1]
\]

be an element such that

\[
d(\rho^{(2)}) = \mu^{(2)}(y_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, y_{\sigma^{-1}(r_2-1)}).
\]

Such an element must exist by (2.3). We define the **Massey product**

\[
\langle x_1, \ldots, x_r \rangle_\Gamma
\]

as the element of

\[
H_{|\Gamma| + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} |x_i| + 1}(A)
\]

indicated by the homology class of

\[
\sum (-1)^{\gamma}(\mu^{(1)}(y_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, y_{\sigma^{-1}(r_1)}), \rho^{(2)}, y_{\sigma^{-1}(r_2)}, \ldots, y_{\sigma^{-1}(r)}),
\]

where

\[
\gamma = \alpha + |\mu^{(1)}| + (|\mu^{(2)}| - 1) \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} |x_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}|, \quad \alpha = \sum_{s<0 \text{ and } \sigma(t)>s} |x_s||x_t|.
\]

**Remark 2.7.** The presentation of the associative operad \( A \) is given by the \( S \)-module \( E \) with \( E(2) = k[S_2] \) generated as an \( S_2 \)-module by \( \mu \), which represents the associative product, and \( E(r) = 0 \) elsewhere. Moreover, \( R \) is generated as an \( S \)-module by

\[
\Gamma = \mu \circ_1 \mu - \mu \circ_2 \mu \in R(3),
\]
the associativity relation. The Massey products defined by $\Gamma$ are the same as the classical Massey products recalled in the introduction.

Similarly, if $L$ is the Lie operad then $E(2)_0 = k$ generated by $l$ with the sign action of $S_2$, $E(r) = 0$ otherwise, and $R$ is generated by

$$\Gamma = (l \circ l) \cdot [(1 \ 2 \ 3) + (3 \ 2 \ 1)] \in R(3),$$

the Jacobi identity. Massey products defined by $\Gamma$ coincide up to sign with the Lie-Massey products introduced in [Ret77].

**Proposition 2.8.** In Definition 2.1, the chain (2.6) is a cycle. Moreover, its homology class represents a well-defined element of the quotient (2.5). Furthermore, different choices of $\rho^{(2)}$ yield cycles (2.6) whose cohomology classes run over all possible representatives of $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_r \rangle_\Gamma$ in the quotient (2.5).

**Proof.** If we apply the differential $d$ of $A$ to (2.6) we obtain the equation corresponding to the relation 2.2 applied to $y_1, \ldots, y_r \in A$, hence it vanishes. Once we choose $\rho^{(2)}$ as in (2.4), the other possible choices are $\rho^{(2)} + \zeta^{(2)}$ where $\zeta^{(2)} \in A$ is a cycle of degree $|\mu^{(2)}| + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} |x_{\sigma^{-1}(i+1)}| + 1$. The difference between (2.6) and the representative obtained from these other choices is

$$\sum (-1)^{\gamma} \mu^{(1)}(y_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}), \ldots, y_{\sigma^{-1}(i-1)}, \zeta^{(2)}, y_{\sigma^{-1}(i+1)}, \ldots, y_{\sigma^{-1}(r)}).$$

This is a cycle representing an element of the denominator of (2.5). Conversely, for any element in the denominator of (2.5) we can take cycles $\zeta^{(2)}$ representing the elements in

$$H_{|\mu^{(2)}| + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} |x_{\sigma^{-1}(i+1)}| + 1}(A).$$

We can use these cycles to modify the initially chosen $\rho^{(2)}$ in order to obtain as (2.0) all possible representatives of the Massey product in (2.5). □

We can therefore regard the Massey product as a coset

$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_r \rangle_\Gamma \subset H_{|\Gamma| + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} |x_i| + 1}(A).$$

The denominator of (2.5) is often referred to as the indeterminacy of this Massey product.

It is straightforward to check that Massey products are preserved by DG-$O$-algebra morphisms. Moreover, a weighted morphism of quadratic Koszul operads $f: O \to P$ induces a morphism between their $S$-modules of relations $f: R \to R'$. Given $\Gamma \in R$ and a DG-$P$-algebra $A$, a Massey product with respect to $f(\Gamma)$ coincides with the Massey product with respect to $\Gamma$ upon restriction of scalars along $f$.

### 3. Massey products and minimal models

Any DG-$O$-algebra $A$ has a minimal model, consisting of an $O_\infty$-algebra structure on $H_*(A)$ extending the induced $O$-algebra structure and an $\infty$-quasi-isomorphism $f: H_*(A) \to A$ whose underlying chain map sends a homology class to a representing cycle.

We now recall how an $O_\infty$-algebra structure can be described in terms of the Koszul dual coaugmented cooperad $O^!$. The composite of two $S$-modules is

$$M \circ N = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} M(r) \otimes_{S_r} N^{S_r}.$$
Here, a tensor $\mu \otimes (\nu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_r) \cdot \sigma$ is simply denoted by $(\mu; \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \cdot \sigma$. This defines a non-symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathcal{S}$-modules. The monoidal unit $1$, which is also the initial operad, is $1 = \mathbb{k}$ concentrated in degree 0 and $1(r) = 0$ for $r \neq 1$. The infinitesimal composite $M \circ (1)$ of two $\mathcal{S}$-modules is the sub-$\mathcal{S}$-module of $M \circ (1 \otimes N)$ given by

$$M \circ (1) N = \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} M(r) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_r} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{1}^{\otimes i-1} \otimes N \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes r-1} \right).$$

A tensor $(x; 1, \cdots, 1, y, 1, \cdots, 1) \cdot \sigma$ here is denoted by $(x \circ y) \cdot \sigma$. We denote the infinitesimal decomposition [LV12] §6.1.4 of $O^i$ by

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta(1): O^i \to O^i \circ (1) O^i, \quad \Delta(1)(\mu) = \sum_{(\mu)} (\mu^{(1)} \circ (2)) \cdot \sigma.$$

Here we use a Sweedler notation, like in [LV12] §10.1.2.

An $O_{\infty}$-algebra structure on a complex $A$ is given by morphisms

$$(3.3) \quad O^i(r)_n \otimes A_{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{p_r} \to A_{n + \sum_{i=1}^r p_i},$$

satisfying

$$(\mu \cdot \sigma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = (-1)^\alpha \mu(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(r)}),$$

for any permutation $\sigma \in S_r$, where $\alpha$ is as in Definition 2.1.

$$d(\mu(x_1, \ldots, x_r)) + \sum_{s=1}^r (-1)^\beta \mu(x_1, \ldots, d(x_s), \ldots, x_r)$$

$$+ \sum_{(\mu)} (-1)^\gamma \mu^{(1)}(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(2)}(x_{\sigma^{-1}(r)}, \ldots, \ldots) = 0,$$

where

$$\beta = |\mu| + \sum_{t=1}^{s-1} |x_t|,$$

and $\gamma$ is again as in Definition 2.1 and

$$(3.4) \quad 1(x) = 0,$$

where $1 \in O^i(1)_0$ is given by the coaugmentation $1 \to O^i$. This description can also be found in [LV12] §10.1.2.

Denote by

$$s: \text{Ch} \to \text{Ch}$$

the usual suspension functor in the category of chain complexes. The Koszul dual cooperad $\mathcal{O}^i$ is cogenerated by $sE$ with corelations $s^2R$. In low weights, $(\mathcal{O}^i)^{(0)} = 1$, $(\mathcal{O}^i)^{(1)} = sE$, $(\mathcal{O}^i)^{(2)} = s^2R$.

There is a canonical twisting morphism $\kappa: \mathcal{O}^i \to \mathcal{O}$ with

$$(3.5) \quad \kappa(s\mu) = \mu, \quad \mu \in E.$$ 

It has homological degree $-1$ and vanishes in weight $\neq 1$. We can use it to pull back any $O$-algebra structure to an $O_{\infty}$-algebra structure. We say that an $O_{\infty}$-algebra structure on $A$ extends a given graded $O$-algebra structure if

$$(3.6) \quad (s\mu)(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = \mu(x_1, \ldots, x_r), \quad \mu \in E(r).$$
Given $\Gamma \in R$ as in (2.2), the infinitesimal decomposition satisfies

\begin{equation}
(3.7) \quad \Delta^{(1)}(s^2 \Gamma) = 0\cdot (s^2 \Gamma) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (s^2 \Gamma) \circ_i 1 + \sum (\text{(-1)}^{\mu^{(1)}}(s\mu^{(1)} \circ_i s\mu^{(2)})) \cdot \sigma.
\end{equation}

This links the different meanings of $\circ_i$ in (2.2) and (3.2).

Let us now recall the definition of $\infty$-morphisms in terms of $O^i$. Denote the decomposition law of $O^i$ by using a Sweedler notation like in [LV12 §5.8.1],

\[ \Delta: O^i \rightarrow O^i \circ O^i, \]
\[ \Delta(\mu) = \sum_{[\mu]} (\nu; \nu^1, \ldots, \nu^l) \cdot \tau. \]

An $\infty$-morphism between $O_\infty$-algebras $f: A \rightarrow B$ is given by module morphisms

\[ O^i(i) \otimes \cdots \otimes O^i(p_r) \rightarrow B^{n+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_i}, \]
\[ \mu \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r \mapsto f(\mu)(x_1, \ldots, x_r), \]

satisfying the following equations:

\[ f(\mu \cdot \sigma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = (-1)^{\pi} f(\mu)(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(r)}), \]

for any permutation $\sigma \in S_r$, and

\begin{equation}
(3.8) \quad \sum_{[\mu]} (-1)^{\lambda} f(\mu^{(1)}(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(2)}(x_{\sigma^{-1}(l)}, \ldots))
\end{equation}

\[ - \sum_{[\mu]} (-1)^{\lambda} f(\nu^1(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots), \ldots, f(\nu^{l})(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots))
\]

\[ = d(f(\mu)(x_1, \ldots, x_r)) - \sum_{t=1}^{l} (-1)^{\beta} f(\mu)(x_1, \ldots, d(x_t), \ldots, x_t), \]

where $\lambda$ consists of adding up

\[ \sum_{\tau(s) > \tau(t)} |x_s||x_t| \]

and

\[ |\nu^u||x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}| \]

whenever $\nu^u$ appears after $x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}$.

The underlying morphism of an $\infty$-morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ is the chain map $f(1): A \rightarrow B$. An $\infty$-quasi-isomorphism is an $\infty$-morphism whose underlying chain map is a quasi-isomorphism.

The composition of $f$ with another $\infty$-morphism $g: B \rightarrow C$ is given by

\[ (gf)(\mu)(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = \sum_{[\mu]} (-1)^{\lambda} g(\nu)(f(\nu_1)(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots), \ldots, f(\nu_l)(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(r)})). \]

In this way, we can consider the category of $O_\infty$-algebras and $\infty$-morphisms between them.

**Theorem 3.9.** Given a DG-$O$-algebra $A$ with projective homology $H_*(A)$, an $O_\infty$-algebra structure on $H_*(A)$ defining a minimal model $f: H_*(A) \rightarrow A$, a relation
\[ \Gamma \in R(r) \text{ as in (2.2), and } x_1, \ldots, x_r \in H_*(A) \text{ satisfying the vanishing conditions in (2.3), then} \]

\[ (s^2 \Gamma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \langle x_1, \ldots, x_r \rangle \Gamma \subset H_{|\Gamma| + \sum r=1|x_r|+1}(A). \]

**Proof.** In order to construct a representative of the Massey product, we define

\[ y_i = f(1)(x_i), \]

\[ \rho^{(2)} = (-1)^{\lambda} f(s\mu^{(2)})(x_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(i+r_2-1)}). \]

This makes sense because \( \mu^{(2)} \in E \) so

\[ \Delta^{(1)}(\mu^{(2)}) = 1 \circ \mu^{(2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} \mu^{(2)} \circ_i 1, \quad \Delta(\mu^{(2)}) = (1; \mu^{(2)}) + (\mu^{(2)}; 1, \ldots, 1), \]

hence we derive (2.4) from (3.4) and (3.8).

The non-trivial part of the decomposition of \( s^2 \Gamma \in s^2 R = \mathcal{O}^{(2)}(r) \) is essentially the same as the non-trivial part of its infinitesimal decomposition (3.7),

\[ \Delta(s^2 \Gamma) = (1; s^2 \Gamma) + (s^2 \Gamma; 1, \ldots, 1) \]

\[ + \sum (-1)^{\mu^{(2)}} (s\mu^{(1)}; 1, \ldots, 1, s\mu^{(2)}; 1, \ldots, 1) \cdot \sigma. \]

Using (3.8),

\[
\begin{align*}
&d(f(s^2 \Gamma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r)) \\
&= f(1)((s^2 \Gamma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r)) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} f((s^2 \Gamma))(x_1, \ldots, 1(x_i), \ldots, x_r) \\
&\quad + \sum (-1)^{\mu^{(1)}+\gamma} f(s\mu^{(1)})(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, (s\mu^{(2)})(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \ldots) \cdot \sigma \\
&\quad - 1((s^2 \Gamma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r)) - \kappa(s^2 \Gamma)(f(1)(x_1), \ldots, f(1)(x_r)) \\
&\quad - \sum (-1)^{\gamma} (s\mu^{(1)})(f(1)(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}), \ldots, f(s\mu^{(2)})(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}), \ldots, \ldots) \\
&\quad = f(1)((s^2 \Gamma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r)) \\
&\quad + \sum (-1)^{\mu^{(1)}+\gamma} f(s\mu^{(1)})(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(2)}(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \ldots) \cdot \sigma \\
&\quad - \sum (-1)^{\gamma} (y_1, \ldots, y_{r-1}, \rho^{(2)}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{r_1}) \\
&\quad = f(1)((s^2 \Gamma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r)) \\
&\quad - \sum (-1)^{\gamma} \mu^{(1)}(y_1, \ldots, y_{r-1}, \rho^{(2)}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{r_1}).
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( f(1) \) sends any homology class to a representing cycle, \( (s^2 \Gamma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \) represents \( (s^2 \Gamma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \). The last summation is, by definition, a cycle whose cohomology class represents \( \langle x_1, \ldots, x_r \rangle \Gamma \), hence the proposition follows. \( \square \)

The structure morphisms of a minimal \( O_\infty \)-model \( H_*(A) \) sometimes receive the name of Massey products, see e.g. [GTV12]. The closest operadic analogue of classical Massey products is Definition (2.1). The previous result establishes the connection between both notions.
Recall that a DG-O-algebra $A$ is formal if it is quasi-isomorphic to $H_*(A)$, or equivalently if there exists a minimal model $H_*(A) \sim A$ where $H_*(A)$ here is equipped with the $O_\infty$-algebra structure pulled back from the induced $O$-algebra structure, in particular $s^2 \Gamma$ operates trivially on $H_*(A)$ for any $\Gamma \in R$.

**Corollary 3.11.** If $A$ is a formal DG-O-algebra then all Massey products in $H_*(A)$ vanish.

4. Connection with Dimitrova’s universal class

Dimitrova defined in [Dim12] a universal class for any algebra over a graded operad using minimal models, regardless of the ground field. This class is another obstruction to formality. She does not need the operad to be Koszul. Nevertheless, her general definition simplifies in the Koszul case in characteristic zero [Dim12, Proposition 4.11 and Remark 4.12] as we now recall.

The operadic cochain complex $C^{w,*}_{O}(A, M)$ of a graded $O$-algebra $A$ with coefficients in an $A$-module $M$ [LV12 §12.4] is a bigraded complex given by

$$C^{w,t}_{O}(A, M) = \text{Hom}_{-t-w}((O^i)^{(w)} \circ A, M)$$

with differential

$$d: C^{w,t}_{O}(A, M) \longrightarrow C^{w+1,t}_{O}(A, M)$$

defined as

$$d(f)(\mu; x_1, \ldots, x_r) = \sum_{(\mu)} (-1)^{\gamma'} \kappa(\mu^{(1)})(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, f(\mu^{(2)}; x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots), \ldots)$$

$$- \sum_{(\mu)} (-1)^{\gamma' + |f|} f(\mu^{(1)}; x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \kappa(\mu^{(2)})(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots), \ldots).$$

Here we use the notation in [3.2], $|f| = w + t$ if $f \in C^{w,t}_{O}(A, M)$,

$$\gamma' = \alpha + |\mu^{(1)}||f| + (|\mu^{(2)}| + |f|) \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} |x_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}|,$$

and $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ are as in Definition 2.1. The operadic cohomology is the cohomology of this complex, $H^{*,*}_{O}(A, M)$.

Given a minimal model $f: H_*(A) \sim A$, Dimitrova’s class

$$\{\varphi\} \in H^{2,2-1}_{O}(H_*(A), H_*(A))$$

can be represented by the cocycle $\varphi \in C^{2,2-1}_{O}(H_*(A), H_*(A))$ defined by

$$\varphi(s^2\Gamma; x_1, \ldots, x_r) = (s^2\Gamma)(x_1, \ldots, x_r), \quad \Gamma \in R(r), \quad x_i \in H_*(A).$$

Here we use that $O^{i}_{(2)} = s^2 R$. In the light of Theorem 3.9 Dimitrova’s class deserves to be called universal Massey product after the universal Toda brackets introduced in [BD99].

In the associative case, universal Massey products go back to [Kad88]. They were studied in detail in [BKS04] with applications to the cohomology of finite groups. There, the authors compute an example where all Massey products vanish but the universal Massey product is non-trivial [BKS04 Example 5.15]. Associative universal Massey products have also been recently applied to the existence and uniqueness of enhancements for triangulated categories [Mur20].

All representatives of Dimitrova’s class compute Massey products.
Proposition 4.1. Let $\phi \in C^{2,1}_O(H_*(A), H_*(A))$ be a cycle representing Dimitrova’s class. Given a relation $\Gamma \in R(r)$ as in (2.2) and $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in H_*(A)$ satisfying the vanishing conditions in (2.3) then

$$\phi(s^3\Gamma; x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in (x_1, \ldots, x_r) \subset H_{|\Gamma|+\sum_{i=1}^{r} |x_i|+1}(A).$$

Proof. We take a cochain $\xi \in C^{1,1}_O(H_*(A), H_*(A))$ relating $\phi$ to the representative $\varphi$ defined from a minimal model, $d(\xi) = \phi - \varphi$. Here we use that $(\Omega)^{(1)} = sE$. Since

$$\phi(s^2\Gamma; x_1, \ldots, x_r) = \varphi(s^2\Gamma; x_1, \ldots, x_r) + d(\xi)(s^2\Gamma; x_1, \ldots, x_r),$$

by Theorem (3.9) it suffices to check that the last summand lies in the denominator of (2.3). Using (3.7), the definition of the canonical twisting morphism $\kappa$ in (3.5), and the vanishing condition (2.3),

$$d(\xi)(s^2\Gamma; x_1, \ldots, x_r) = \sum (-1)^{\gamma} \mu^{(1)}(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \xi(s_{\mu}^{(2)}; x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \ldots) + \sum (-1)^{\alpha+|\mu^{(2)}|} \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} |x_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}| \xi(s_{\mu}^{(1)}; x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(2)}(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \ldots).$$

This concludes the proof since $|s_{\mu}^{(2)}| = |\mu^{(2)}| + 1$ so

$$\xi(s_{\mu}^{(2)}; x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots) \in H_{|\mu^{(2)}|+\sum_{m=1}^{r-1} |x_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}|+1}(A).$$

$\Box$

Remark 4.2. Despite we nominally work with a graded Koszul operad $O$ defined over a field of characteristic zero, these hypotheses can be weakened. In §2 we only need an operad $O$ with some quadratic relation $\Gamma$, we do not need all relations to be quadratic or the operad to be Koszul, nor we need the ground ring to be a field. For Theorem 3.9 we need a quadratic operad and minimal models, but the operad need not be Koszul. Minimal models can be obtained over arbitrary ground fields from the usual transfer theorem [LV12 §10.3] Actually, this theorem allows for the construction of a minimal model for an $O$-algebra $A$ with underlying cofibrant complex and projective homology $H_*(A)$ over an arbitrary ground ring. We consider the projective model structure on chain complexes. Indeed, by the projectivity hypothesis we can choose a representing cycle selection chain map $i: H_*(A) \rightarrow A$, which is a quasi-isomorphism by construction. Since $i$ is a quasi-isomorphism between fibrant-cofibrant objects, it admits a homotopy retraction $p: A \rightarrow H_*(A)$. The composite $ri$ must be the identity since homotopic self maps of $H_*(A)$ must be equal because its differential is trivial. This is all we need for the transfer theorem. If we want to drop the cofibrancy hypothesis on $A$ we must be able to replace it with an $O$-algebra $\tilde{A}$ with underlying cofibrant complex. This can be achieved if $O$ is $S$-cofibrant, see [Fro99] Theorem 12.3.A and Proposition 12.3.2]. In this case, we first take such a replacement $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A$ and then construct a minimal model for $\tilde{A}$ by using the transfer theorem. This yields a minimal model for $A$ after composing with $A \rightarrow A$. For the previous description of Dimitrova’s class we also need $O$ to be Koszul. This condition is also necessary for minimal models to be homotopically meaningful, but this is independent from the definition of Massey products.
5. Examples

We have already mentioned in Remark 2.7 that usual Massey products for associative algebras and Lie-Massey products fit into our framework. We finish this paper by computing some Massey products in DG-Gerstenhaber algebras arising in nature with respect to the Gerstenhaber relation.

**Definition 5.1.** A Gerstenhaber algebra $A$ is a graded vector space equipped with a commutative algebra structure with product $a \cdot b$ and a degree 1 Lie algebra structure with bracket $[a, b]$ satisfying the following relation, known as Gerstenhaber relation,

$$ [a, b \cdot c] = [a, b] \cdot c + (-1)^{|a||-1|}b \cdot [a, c]. $$

The operad $G$ governing Gerstenhaber algebras is generated by the $\mathbb{S}$-module $E$ with $E(2)_0 = k \cdot c$, $E(2)_{-1} = k \cdot l$, with the trivial action of $\mathbb{S}_2$ and $E(r)_n = 0$ elsewhere. The elements $c$ and $l$ correspond to the commutative product, $a \cdot b = c(a, b)$ and the Lie bracket $[a, b] = (-1)^{|a|}l(a, b)$ of a $G$-algebra $A$. The sub-$\mathbb{S}$-module of relations $R \subset T(E)^{(2)}$ is generated by the arity 3 elements

$$ c \circ_1 c - c \circ_2 c, $$

$$ (l \circ_1 l) \cdot [(1 2 3) + (3 2 1)], $$

$$ l \circ_2 c - c \circ_1 l - (c \circ_2 l) \cdot (1 2), $$

in degrees 0, $-2$, $-1$. These elements reflect the associative relation, the Jacobi identity, and the Gerstenhaber relation.

The following examples of $G$-algebras will be cochain complexes, rather than chain complexes like until now. Therefore, we must switch to cohomological degrees in the usual way $H^n = H_{-n}$.

DG-Gerstenhaber algebras arise in differential geometry from Lie bialgebroids [MX94, Kos95]. The easiest example is the exterior algebra $\bigwedge g$ of a Lie bialgebra $g$, i.e. a Lie algebra equipped with a compatible Lie coalgebra structure [Kos95, Example 3.1]. Lie bialgebroids originate as infinitesimal invariants of Poisson Lie groups. In $\bigwedge g$, $g$ is concentrated in degree 1, so $\bigwedge g$ is a free graded commutative algebra. The coalgebra structure on $g$ is given by a morphism $\delta: g \to \bigwedge^2 g$ which extends to a differential on $\bigwedge g$ that is trivial in degree 0. The Lie bracket on $\bigwedge g$ is the only one which defines a Gerstenhaber algebra structure and restricts to the given one on $g$. As a DG-commutative algebra, $\bigwedge g$ is the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex of the dual Lie algebra $g^*$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$, so it computes $H^*(g^*, \mathbb{R})$.

Consider the 3-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{r}_3$ with basis $\{x, y, h\}$ and Lie bracket

$$ [h, x] = x, \quad [h, y] = x + y, \quad [x, y] = 0. $$

We equip it with the following Lie coalgebra structure,

$$ \delta(x) = 0, \quad \delta(y) = 0, \quad \delta(h) = x \wedge y. $$

This yields a Lie bialgebra, see [FJ15, Theorem 5.2]. The dual Lie algebra $\mathfrak{r}_3^* = \mathfrak{h}$ is the Heisenberg Lie algebra, so $H^*(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ coincides with the de Rham cohomology of the Heisenberg manifold, i.e. the quotient of the Heisenberg group by the discrete
Heisenberg subgroup. With our notation, a direct computation shows that $H^*(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ has the following bases,

\[
\begin{align*}
H^0(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) & \ni \{1\}, & H^1(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) & \ni \{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\}, \\
H^2(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) & \ni \{y \wedge h, h \wedge x\}, & H^3(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) & \ni \{x \wedge y \wedge h\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here, we overline representing cocycles.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $\Gamma = l \circ c_2 e - c_1 l - (c_2 l)(1 2) \in R$ be the Gerstenhaber relation. Consider the Lie bialgebra $\mathfrak{r}_3$ defined above and its associated Gerstenhaber algebra $\bigwedge \mathfrak{r}_3$. The following Massey product is well defined, it has no indeterminacy, and it is non-trivial,

\[
\langle y \wedge h, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle_\Gamma = -2h \wedge x \in H^2(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}).
\]

**Proof.** The Massey product is defined since, in $\bigwedge \mathfrak{r}_3$,

\[
\begin{align*}
x \wedge y &= \delta(h), \\
[y \wedge h, x] &= y \wedge [h, x] + [y, x] \wedge h \\
&= y \wedge x \\
&= -\delta(h), \\
[y \wedge h, y] &= y \wedge [h, y] + [y, y] \wedge h \\
&= y \wedge (x + y) \\
&= -\delta(h).
\end{align*}
\]

The indeterminacy is the following sum

\[
\langle y \wedge h, H^1(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) \rangle + H^1(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) \wedge \bar{y} + \bar{x} \wedge H^1(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) \subset H^2(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}).
\]

The last two summands vanish since $x \wedge y = \delta(h)$ and $x \wedge x = y \wedge y = 0$. The third one too since $[y \wedge h, x] = [y \wedge h, y] = -\delta(h)$. The Massey product is then represented by

\[
-\langle y \wedge h, h \rangle = (-h) \wedge y - x \wedge (-h) = -y \wedge [h, h] - [y, h] \wedge h + h \wedge y + x \wedge h \\
= (x + y) \wedge h + h \wedge y + x \wedge h \\
= -2h \wedge x.
\]

Another relevant Gerstenhaber algebra is the de Rham complex $\Omega^*(M)$ of a Poisson manifold $M$. The Lie algebra structure is given by the Koszul bracket \cite{Kos85}. Since it was introduced, it has been known that the induced Lie bracket on de Rham cohomology $H^*(M)$ is trivial. Moreover, the underlying shifted DG-Lie algebra is formal \cite{ST08}, therefore Lie-Massey products also vanish in $H^*(M)$. We now show that Massey products associated to the Gerstenhaber relation vanish too, reinforcing the idea of the irrelevance of the Koszul bracket.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let $M$ be a Poisson manifold. All Massey products in $H^*(M)$ associated to the Gerstenhaber relation vanish.

**Proof.** Let us denote the exterior product in $\Omega^*(M)$ by juxtaposition, so as not to overload notation. The key fact is that the Koszul bracket is defined as

\[
[x, y] = (id(xy) - di(xy)) - (id(x) - di(x))y - (-1)^{|x|}x(id(y) - di(y))
\]
for \( i : \Omega^\ast(M) \to \Omega^\ast(M) \) the interior product with respect to the Poisson bivector, which is a degree \(-2\) morphism of graded vector spaces satisfying
\[
(i \circ yz) + i(x)yz + xi(y)z + xyi(z) = i(xy)z + xi(yz) + (-1)^{|x||y|}yi(xz).
\]
This was used in [FM12] to give a new proof of the formality of the underlying DG-Lie algebra of \( \Omega^\ast(M) \).

Let \( \Gamma \in R \) be the element corresponding to the Gerstenhaber relation, as in the Proposition 5.2 Assume that the Massey product
\[
\langle x, y, z \rangle \Gamma
\]
makes sense. Here we overline representing cocycles again. Since the Koszul bracket is trivial in \( H^\ast(M) \), this is equivalent to the existence of a cochain \( t \in \Omega^\ast(M) \) such that
\[
d(t) = yz.
\]
Both \( x \) and \( y \) are cocycles, so
\[
[x, y] = -(di(xy) - di(x)y - (-1)^{|x|}xdi(y)) = -d(i(xy) - i(x)y - xi(y)).
\]
For the same reason
\[
[x, z] = -d(i(xz) - i(x)z - xi(z)).
\]
Therefore, the previous Massey product is represented by the cohomology class of
\[
(-1)^{|x|}\langle x, t \rangle + i(xy) - i(x)y - xi(y))z + (-1)^{|x||y|}y(i(xz) - i(x)z - xi(z)) = (-1)^{|x|}\langle x, t \rangle + i(xy) - i(x)y - xi(yz).
\]
Here we use (5.4). Since \( x \) is a cocycle and (5.5) holds,
\[
[x, t] = (-1)^{|x|}i(xyz) - di(xt) + di(x)t - (-1)^{|x|}x(i(yz) - di(t)).
\]
Moreover,
\[
d(i(x)t) = di(x)t + (-1)^{|x|}i(x)y, \quad d(xi(t)) = (-1)^{|x|}xdi(t).
\]
Hence the previous Massey product representing cocycle coincides with
\[
- i(xyz) + (-1)^{|x|}di(xt) - (-1)^{|x|}d(i(x)t) + i(x)yz + xi(yz) - (-1)^{|x|}d(xi(t)) + i(xyz) - i(x)y - xi(yz) = (-1)^{|x|}d(i(xt) - i(x)t - xi(t)),
\]
which is a coboundary. This concludes the proof. \( \square \)

In view of Theorem 3.9 Proposition 5.3 and the aforementioned formality result for the Koszul DG-Lie algebra structure on \( \Omega^\ast(M) \) [ST08], it seems reasonable to wonder whether the DG-Gerstenhaber algebra \( \Omega^\ast(M) \) equipped with the Koszul bracket is quasi-isomorphic to \( \Omega^\ast(M) \) endowed with the abelian Lie bracket. If so, the Koszul bracket would be irrelevant in the strongest possible way. As an intermediate step, it would be worth to investigate whether these two DG-Gerstenhaber algebra structures on \( \Omega^\ast(M) \) have the same universal Massey product in \( H_0^{2,-1}(H^\ast(M), H^\ast(M)) \), since this would be a necessary condition.
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