TOWARDS A THEORY OF COARSE GEOMETRY OF OPERATOR SPACES
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Abstract. We introduce two notions of coarse embeddability between operator spaces: almost complete coarse embeddability of bounded subsets and spherically-complete coarse embeddability. We provide examples showing that these notions are strictly weaker than complete isomorphic embeddability — in fact, they do not even imply isomorphic embeddability. On the other hand, we show that, despite their nonlinearity, the existence of such embeddings provides restrictions on the linear operator space structures of the spaces. Examples of nonlinear equivalences between operator spaces are also provided.

1. Introduction

This paper continues the investigation of the nonlinear theory of operator spaces which was initiated in [BCD21] and continued in [BCDS21]. Operator spaces are Banach subspaces of the space of bounded operators on some (complex) Hilbert space $H$, denoted by $B(H)$; so operator space theory is often regarded as non-commutative Banach space theory. The difference between the category of Banach spaces and the one of operator spaces does not lie in their objects, but in the morphisms between them: for operator spaces, one must consider completely bounded operators. Recall, given a set $X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $M_n(X)$ denotes the set of $n$-by-$n$ matrices with entries in $X$ and, if $f : X \to Y$ is a map into another set $Y$, then the $n$-th amplification of $f$ is the map $f_n : M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ given by

$$f_n([x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n) = [f(x_{ij})]_{i,j=1}^n$$

for all $[x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(X)$. If both $X$ and $Y$ are operator spaces, then each of $M_n(X)$ and $M_n(Y)$ comes equipped with a norm given by the canonical isomorphism $M_n(B(H)) \cong B(H^\otimes n)$. Therefore, if $f$ is linear, so is each amplification $f_n$ and its operator norm is denoted by $\|f_n\|_n$. The complete bounded norm of $f$ is then defined as

$$\|f\|_{cb} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_n.$$ 

The linear map $f$ is called completely bounded if $\|f\|_{cb} < \infty$ and a complete isomorphic embedding if both $f$ and $f^{-1} : f(X) \to X$ are completely bounded; if, furthermore, $f(X) = Y$, $f$ is a complete isomorphism.

The first step towards developing an “interesting” theory of nonlinear geometry of operator spaces is to identify nontrivial types of nonlinear morphisms between operator spaces. We start by recalling that the most naive approach does not work. A map $f : X \to Y$ between operator spaces is said to be completely coarse if the
sequence of amplifications \((f_n: M_n(X) \to M_n(Y))_n\) is \(\text{equi-coarse}\), i.e., if for all \(r > 0\) there is \(s > 0\) such that
\[
\| [x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n - [z_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \|_{M_n(X)} \leq r \Rightarrow \| f([x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n) - f([z_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n) \|_{M_n(Y)} \leq s
\]
for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and all \([x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n, [z_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(X)\) — for \(n = 1\), this is precisely the definition of a \(\text{coarse map}\) between Banach spaces. As shown in [BCD21], the study of completely coarse maps between operator spaces does not lead to an interesting theory. Precisely:

**Theorem 1.1.** ([BCD21, Theorem 1.1]) Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be operator spaces, and let \(f: X \to Y\) be completely coarse. If \(f(0) = 0\), then \(f\) is \(\mathbb{R}\)-linear.

One way to overcome this issue is, instead of considering a single function with equi-coarse amplifications, to consider a sequence \((f^n: X \to Y)_n\) whose amplifications \((f^n_n: M_n(X) \to M_n(Y))_n\) are equi-coarse — i.e., each \(f^n\) does not need to be completely coarse, but only to “behave well” until its \(n\)-th amplification. Consider the following \(\text{linear}\) notion (see [BCD21, Definition 4.1]): \(X\) \(\text{almost completely isomorphically embeds into} Y\) if there is a sequence \((f^n: X \to Y)_n\) of linear maps whose amplifications \((f^n_n: M_n(X) \to M_n(Y))_n\) are \(\text{equi-isomorphic embeddings}\), i.e.,

there is \(D \geq 1\) such that
\[
D^{-1} \| [x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \|_{M_n(X)} \leq \| f^n([x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n) \|_{M_n(Y)} \leq D \| [x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \|_{M_n(X)}
\]
for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and all \([x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(X)\). This notion is clearly weaker than complete isomorphic embeddability and, as shown in [BCD21, Theorem 4.2], it is actually \(\text{strictly weaker}\). Moreover, this has a natural nonlinearization: \(X\) \(\text{almost completely coarsely embeds into} Y\) if there is a sequence \((f^n: X \to Y)_n\) whose amplifications \((f^n_n: M_n(X) \to M_n(Y))_n\) are \(\text{equi-coarse embeddings}\), i.e., \((f^n_n)_n\) is both equi-coarse and \(\text{equi-expanding}\): for each \(s > 0\) there is \(r > 0\) such that
\[
\| [x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n - [z_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \|_{M_n(X)} \geq r \Rightarrow \| f([x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n) - f([z_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n) \|_{M_n(Y)} \geq s
\]
for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and all \([x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n, [z_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(X)\) (we refer to Subsection 2.3 for details on coarse geometry).

As mentioned in [BCDS21], for a nonlinear notion of embeddability between operator spaces to be relevant, at the bare minimum, the following must hold:

(I) the nonlinear embedding must still be strong enough to recover some linear aspects of the operator space structures of the spaces, and

(II) the nonlinear embedding must be strictly weaker than (almost) complete coarse embeddability.

The results of [BCD21, BCDS21] show that almost complete coarse embeddability satisfies both (I) and (II).\(^1\) As a sample example for (I): it was shown in [BCD21, Theorem 1.2] that if an infinite dimensional operator space \(X\) almost completely coarsely embeds into G. Pisier’s operator Hilbert space \(\text{OH}\), then \(X\) must be completely isomorphic to \(\text{OH}\).

As for (II) above, although [BCDS21, Theorem 1.4] provides examples of operator spaces \(X\) and \(Y\) such that \(X\) almost completely coarsely embeds into \(Y\) but \(X\) does not almost completely isomorphically embed into \(Y\), the examples obtained therein have some weaknesses:

\(^1\)Furthermore, [BCDS21] also shows that almost complete \(\text{Lipschitz}\) embeddability satisfies both (I) and (II) above.
(III) the operator spaces $X$ and $Y$ are nonseparable, and
(IV) the methods therein do not give examples of nonlinear equivalences between
operator spaces, but only of embeddings.

In this paper, we propose an even weaker notion of nonlinear embeddability between
operator spaces which also satisfies (I) and (II), and, moreover, provides solutions
to (III) and (IV).

We now describe the main results of this paper. We start by introducing the
main kind of nonlinear embeddability between operator spaces considered in this
paper. Throughout, given a Banach space $X$, $B_X$ denotes its closed unit ball.

**Definition 1.2:** Let $X$ and $Y$ be operator spaces. We say that the bounded
subsets of $X$ almost completely coarsely embed into $Y$ if there is a sequence of maps $(f^n : n \cdot B_X \rightarrow Y)_n$ such that the amplifications

$$(f^n : n \cdot B_{M_n(X)} : n \cdot B_{M_n(X)} \rightarrow M_n(Y))_{n=1}^\infty$$

are equi-coarse embeddings.\(^2\)

Almost complete coarse embeddability of bounded subsets is a transitive notion
for operator spaces. This notion is evidently weaker than almost complete coarse
embeddability. However, we show that the existence of such embeddings still cap-
tures some aspects of the (linear) operator space structures of the spaces. Moreover,
this can be seen even for Hilbertian operator spaces — recall, an operator space is
Hilbertian if it is isomorphic (as a Banach space) to a Hilbert space.

Precisely, in order to state our first result, we recall the definition of the row
and column operator spaces. For each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $e_{i,j}$ denotes the operator in $B(\ell_2)$
whose $(i, j)$-coordinate is 1 and all others are 0. Then, the row operator space and
the column operator space are defined by

$$R = \overline{\text{span}} \{ e_{1,j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N} \} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \overline{\text{span}} \{ e_{j,1} \mid j \in \mathbb{N} \},$$

respectively. Moreover, given $\theta \in [0, 1]$, $(R, C)_\theta$ denotes the $\theta$-interpolation operator space of $R$ and $C$ (see Subsection 2.2 for details); so $(R, C)_\theta$ is also Hilbertian. We
prove the following in Section 3:

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $R$ and $C$ denote the column and row operator spaces, respec-
tively, and let $\theta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ be distinct. Then, the bounded subsets of the interpolation
operator space $(R, C)_\theta$ do not almost completely coarsely embed into $(R, C)_\gamma$.

Since $(R, C)_0 = R$, $(R, C)_1 = C$ and $(R, C)_{1/2} = \text{OH}$ completely isometrically,
we have the following immediate corollary (the statement below can be considerably
strengthened for $R$ and $C$, see Remark 3.2).

**Corollary 1.4.** The operator spaces $R$, $C$, and $\text{OH}$ are incomparable with respect
to almost complete coarse embeddability of bounded subsets. \(\square\)

We point out that, in the Banach space setting, it was open for a long time
whether there were Banach spaces which were incomparable with respect to coarse equivalence. This was only solved in [BLS18, Section 5], where the authors showed that $\ell_2$ and the original Tsirelson space $T^*$ are incomparable.

\(^2\)Notice that $n \cdot B_{M_n(X)} \subset M_n(n \cdot B_X)$, so the restriction of each $f^n$ to $n \cdot B_{M_n(X)}$ is well
defined.
We actually have a much stronger result for nonlinear embeddability into $\text{OH}$. Precisely, using methods of [BCD21], we obtain the following strengthening of [BCD21, Theorem 1.2]:

**Corollary 1.5.** If the bounded subsets of an infinite dimensional operator space $X$ almost completely coarsely embed into $\text{OH}$, then $X$ is completely isomorphic to $\text{OH}$.

While Section 3 provides restrictions for the existence of almost complete coarse embeddings between bounded subsets of operator spaces, in Section 4, we provide nontrivial examples of when such embeddings exist. Moreover, our methods allow us to provide examples which are not only embeddings, but actually equivalences. For that, we consider the following:

**Definition 1.6:** Let $X$ and $Y$ be operator spaces. We say that the bounded subsets of $X$ and $Y$ are almost completely coarsely equivalent if there is a sequence of bijections $(f_n : X \to Y)_n$ such that, letting $g^n = (f^n)^{-1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the amplifications $$(f^n |_{n \cdot B_{M_n}(X)} : n \cdot B_{M_n}(X) \to M_n(Y))_n$$ and $$(g^n |_{n \cdot B_{M_n}(Y)} : n \cdot B_{M_n}(Y) \to M_n(X))_n$$ are equi-coarse embeddings.

The next result shows that the new notion of nonlinear embeddability/equivalence (Definitions 1.2 and 1.6) overcomes issues (III) and (IV). For that, we adapt a method of N. Kalton of finding nonisomorphic coarsely equivalent Banach spaces ([Kal12]) to the operator space setting.

**Theorem 1.7.** There are separable operator spaces $X$ and $Y$ so that

1. $X$ does not isomorphically embed into $Y$, and
2. the bounded subsets of $X$ and $Y$ are almost completely coarsely equivalent.

Notice that the restriction for the linear embeddability of $X$ into $Y$ in Theorem 1.7 is a *Banach space* restriction. To better understand the nuances of this new notion of nonlinear embeddability/equivalence, it would be interesting to have restrictions which are truly operator space ones. For that, we show that $\mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{C}$ does not completely isomorphically embed into the $\ell_1$-sum of completely isomorphic copies of $\ell_\infty$ (see Proposition 4.6) and then, merging the methods above with the well-known consequence of the noncommutative Khintchine inequality which gives a complete isomorphic embedding $\mathbb{R} + \mathbb{C} \to L_1[0,1]$ (see the discussion preceding Corollary 4.8 for details), we obtain the following:

**Theorem 1.8.** There are operator spaces $X$ and $Y$ so that

1. $X$ linearly isomorphically embeds into $Y$,
2. $X$ does not completely isomorphically embed into $Y$, and
3. the bounded subsets of $X$ and $Y$ are almost completely coarsely equivalent.

We point out that we do not know if the examples of $X$ and $Y$ provided by Theorem 1.8 are such that $X$ does not *almost* completely isomorphically embed into $Y$. Also, the examples in Theorem 1.8 are nonseparable.

At last, in Section 5, we investigate a yet different kind of nonlinear mapping between operator spaces. Both in classic operator space theory and in the nonlinear approach presented above, one considers maps $f : X \to Y$ between operator spaces (or subsets of operator spaces) and then imposes restrictions on the amplifications...
Definition 1.9: Let $X$ and $Y$ be operator spaces.

(1) Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a map $F: M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ is a spherical amplification if for all $r > 0$ there is $f: X \to Y$ such that
\[ F \upharpoonright r \cdot \partial B_{M_n(X)} = f_n \upharpoonright r \cdot \partial B_{M_n(X)}. \]

(2) A sequence $\{F_n : M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)\}_n$ of spherical amplifications is a spherically-complete coarse embedding if $\{F_n\}_n$ is a sequence of equi-coarse embeddings.

In other words, spherical amplifications are maps $M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ whose restrictions to the spheres centered at zero are amplifications. Notice that the composition of spherical amplifications does not need to be a spherical amplification. So, it is not clear whether spherically-complete coarse embeddability is a transitive notion between operator spaces. This notion does however sit in between almost complete coarse embeddability and almost complete coarse embeddability of bounded subsets (see Proposition 5.8). As such, the existence (and non existence) of such embeddings sheds light on what extra properties nonlinear embeddings are allowed to have.

As spherically-complete coarse embeddability is stronger than almost complete coarse embeddability of bounded subsets (Proposition 5.8), the following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.3:

Corollary 1.10. Let $R$ and $C$ be the column and row operator spaces, respectively, and $\theta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ be distinct. Then, the interpolation operator space $(R, C)_\theta$ does not spherically-completely coarsely embed into $(R, C)_\gamma$. \hfill $\Box$

Obtaining examples of spherically-complete coarse embeddings is much harder than almost complete coarse embeddings of bounded subsets. One of the obstructions for that comes from the fact that the former requires functions which are defined and coarse embeddings on entire spaces; not only on bounded subsets. However, using gluing techniques of N. Kalton ([Kal12]), we improve the construction of the maps on bounded subsets $n \cdot B_X \subset X \to Y$ given in Theorem 1.7 in order to obtain spherical-amplifications defined on the whole $M_n(X)$. As a final product, we obtain the following:

Theorem 1.11. There are separable operator spaces $X$ and $Y$ so that

(1) $X$ does not isomorphically embed into $Y$, and
(2) $X$ spherically-completely coarsely embeds into $Y$. 

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Basics on operator spaces. For a detailed treatment of operator spaces, we refer to [Pis03].

As described in the introduction, if $X$ is a subspace of $B(H)$, for some Hilbert space $H$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $M_n(X)$ comes equipped with the norm induced by
\(\mathcal{B}(H^\oplus n)\) via the canonical inclusion \(M_n(X) \subset M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))\) and the canonical algebraic isomorphism \(M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) = \mathcal{B}(H^\oplus n)\). We denote this norm by \(\| \cdot \|_{M_n(X)}\).

An arbitrary subset \(X \subset \mathcal{B}(H)\) (i.e., not necessarily a closed linear subset), where \(H\) is a Hilbert space, is called an operator metric space. Besides the metric that \(X\) inherits from \(\mathcal{B}(H)\), each \(M_n(X)\) inherits a canonical metric given from its containment in the operator space \(M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))\). Elements \(\bar{x} \in M_n(X)\) have a canonical representation as \(\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{ij} \end{bmatrix}_{i,j=1}^n\), where \(x_{ij} \in X\) for all \(i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\). Moreover, for simplicity, we omit the indices and simply write \(\bar{x}_{ij}\) for \(\begin{bmatrix} x_{ij} \end{bmatrix}_{i,j=1}^n\).

If \(X\) and \(Y\) are operator spaces, we write \(X \equiv Y\) to denote that \(X\) and \(Y\) are completely linearly isometric to each other. We denote the opposite operator space of \(X\) by \(X^{\text{op}}\), i.e., \(X^{\text{op}} = X\) as a set and, for each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), the norm in \(M_n(X^{\text{op}})\) is given by

\[
\|\bar{x}_{ij}\|_{M_n(X^{\text{op}})} = \|\bar{x}_{ji}\|_{M_n(X)}
\]

for all \(\begin{bmatrix} x_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(X^{\text{op}})\) ([Pis03, Section 2.10]).

2.2. Interpolation spaces. Given \(\theta \in [0, 1]\), operator spaces \(X\) and \(Y\), and homeomorphic linear embeddings of \(X\) and \(Y\) into a topological vector space \(Z\), we denote their complex \(\theta\)-interpolation space by \((X, Y)_\theta\). We refer the reader to the monograph [BL76] for a detailed treatment of interpolation of Banach spaces and to [Pis03, Section 2.7] for its operator space version. Here, we only mention that the operator space structure of \((X, Y)_\theta\) is given by

\[M_n((X, Y)_\theta) = (M_n(X), M_n(Y))_\theta\]

for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\).

Notice that there is an abuse of notation in \((X, Y)_\theta\). Indeed, the ambient space \(Z\) and the embeddings \(X \hookrightarrow Z\) and \(Y \hookrightarrow Z\) play a fundamental role on the space \((X, Y)_\theta\). Therefore, since we will consider \((R, C)_\theta\) and \((C, R)_\theta\) below, it is important to fix \(Z\) and embeddings \(R \hookrightarrow Z\) and \(C \hookrightarrow Z\). We do such in the standard way: let \(Z = \ell_2\) and let \(R \hookrightarrow \ell_2\) and \(C \hookrightarrow \ell_2\) denote the canonical linear isometries. Equivalently, \((R, C)_\theta \equiv (R, R^{\text{op}})_\theta\) and \((C, R)_\theta \equiv (C, C^{\text{op}})_\theta\), where \((R, R^{\text{op}})_\theta\) and \((C, C^{\text{op}})_\theta\) are defined considering the identity maps \(R \hookrightarrow R\) and \(R^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow R\), and \(C \hookrightarrow C\) and \(C^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow C\), respectively.

The operator Hilbert space of G. Pisier, OH, can be defined as an interpolation space of \(R\) and \(C\). Precisely, we have that \(OH \equiv (R, C)_{1/2}\) (see [Pis03, Corollary 7.11]).

2.3. Metric coarse notions. We recall the basics of coarse geometry in this subsection. Let \((X, d)\) and \((Y, \partial)\) be metric spaces, and \(f : X \to Y\) be a map. The moduli \(\omega_f, \rho_f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]\) are defined by letting

\[
\omega_f(t) = \sup\{d(f(x), f(y)) \mid d(x, y) \leq t\}
\]

for all \(t \geq 0\) and

\[
\rho_f(t) = \inf\{d(f(x), f(y)) \mid d(x, y) \geq t\}
\]

for all \(t \geq 0\) (where the infimum over the empty set is taken to be \(\infty\)). The map \(f\) is coarse if \(\omega_f(t) < \infty\) for all \(t \geq 0\) and coarse Lipschitz if there is \(L > 0\) such that \(\omega_f(t) \leq Lt + L\) for all \(t \geq 0\). The map \(f\) is expanding if \(\lim_{t \to \infty} \rho_f(t) = \infty\) and a coarse embedding if it is both coarse and expanding. If \(f\) is coarse Lipschitz and, furthermore, there is \(L > 0\) such that \(\rho_f(t) \geq L^{-1}t - L\) for all \(t \geq 0\), then \(f\) is a coarse Lipschitz embedding.

The nonlinear embeddings between operator spaces in this paper are defined in terms of sequences of maps between operator metric space. Therefore, we are
interested in saying that a certain sequence is not only coarse/expanding, but it is
so in a uniform way. Precisely, let \((X_n, d_n)_n\) and \((Y_n, d_n)_n\) be sequences of metric
spaces and let \(\bar{f} = (f^n: X_n \to Y_n)_n\) be a sequence of maps. Then, we define moduli
\(\omega_f, \rho_f: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]\) by letting
\[
\omega_f(t) = \sup_n \omega_{f_n}(t) \text{ and } \rho_f(t) = \inf_n \rho_{f_n}(t)
\]
for all \(t \geq 0\). Then, the sequence \(\bar{f}\) is equi-coarse if \(\omega_f(t) < \infty\) for all \(t \geq 0\), and
\(\bar{f}\) is equi-coarse Lipschitz if there is \(L > 0\) such that \(\omega_f(t) \leq Lt + L\) for all \(t \geq 0\).
We say \(\bar{f}\) is equi-expanding if \(\lim_{t \to \infty} \rho_f(t) = \infty\). The sequence \(\bar{f}\) is an equi-coarse
embedding if it is both equi-coarse and equi-expanding. If \(\bar{f}\) is equi-coarse Lipschitz
and there is \(L > 0\) such that \(\rho_f(t) \geq L^{-1}t - L\) for all \(t \geq 0\), then \(\bar{f}\) is an equi-coarse
Lipschitz embedding.

**Remark 2.1:** If \(X\) is a convex subspace of a Banach space and \(Y\) is a Banach
space, then a coarse map \(f: X \to Y\) is automatically coarse Lipschitz ([Kal08,
Lemma 1.4]). Precisely, taking \(L = \omega_f(1)\), we have that
\[
\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\| + L
\]
for all \(x, y \in X\). Similarly, if \(\bar{f} = (f^n: X_n \to Y_n)_n\) is a sequence of equi-coarse
embeddings between convex subsets of Banach spaces, then, letting \(L = \omega_f(1)\), we
have that
\[
\|f^n(x) - f^n(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\| + L
\]
for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and all \(x, y \in X\). On the other hand, we point out that \(\rho_f\) and \(\rho_f\) do not need to be bounded below by an affine map.

The following is the main notion of embeddability studied in these notes:

**Definition 2.2 (Definition 1.2):** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be operator spaces. We say that the
bounded subsets of \(X\) almost completely coarsely (resp. coarse Lipschitzly) embed
into \(Y\) if there is a sequence of maps \((f^n: n \cdot B_X \to Y)_n\) such that the amplifications
\[
(f^n |_{n \cdot B_{M_n(X)}}: n \cdot B_{M_n(X)} \to M_n(Y))_n
\]
are equi-coarse (resp. equi-coarse Lipschitz) embeddings. The sequence \((f^n: n \cdot
B_X \to Y)_n\) is called an almost complete coarse (resp. coarse Lipschitz) embedding
of bounded subsets.

Despite its nonlinear nature and despite not even being defined on the whole
\(X\), an almost complete coarse embedding of bounded subsets induces a complete
\(\mathbb{R}\)-isomorphic embedding into ultraproducts of the target space. Precisely, the next
proposition shows that the maps \((f^n: n \cdot B_X \to Y)_n\) can be combined to obtain a
map into \(Y^\mathcal{U}\) defined on the whole \(X\).

**Proposition 2.3.** (c.f. [BCD21, Proposition 4.4]) Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be operator spaces,
and suppose that the bounded subsets of \(X\) almost completely coarsely embed into
\(Y\). Then \(X\) completely \(\mathbb{R}\)-isomorphically embeds into \(Y^\mathcal{U}\) for any nonprincipal
ultrafilter \(\mathcal{U}\) on \(\mathbb{N}\).

---

3More generally, this holds as long as \(X\) is a metrically convex metric space in the sense of
[Kal08, Page 16] and \(Y\) is an arbitrary metric space.

4Here \(Y^\mathcal{U}\) denotes the operator space ultraproduct of \(Y\) with respect to \(\mathcal{U}\). We refer the reader
to [Pis03, Subsection 2.8] for definitions.
Proof. Let \((f^n : n \cdot B_X \to Y)_n\) be such that \(\bar{f} = (f^n_n : n \cdot B_{M_n(X)} \to M_n(Y))_n\) is a sequence of equi-coarse embeddings and let \(\mathcal{U}\) be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \(\mathbb{N}\). Then, let \(g : X \to Y^{\mathcal{U}}\) be given by

\[ g(x) = [(f^n_n(x))] \text{ for all } x \in X, \]

where \([(f^n_n(x))]\) represents the equivalence class of \((f^n_n(x))\) in \(Y^{\mathcal{U}}\). Notice that, since for each \(x \in X\), \(x \in n \cdot B_X\) for all \(n \geq \|x\|\), the map \(g\) is well defined. Moreover, it is straightforward to check that

\[ \rho_f(\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|_{M_n(X)}) \leq \|g_n(\bar{x}) - g_n(\bar{y})\|_{M_n(Y^{\mathcal{U}})} \leq \omega_f(\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|_{M_n(X)}) \]

for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and all \(\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in M_n(X)\). In particular, \(g\) is completely coarse and, by [BCD21, Theorem 1.1], \(g\) must be \(\mathbb{R}\)-linear. Therefore, it follows from the inequality above that \(g\) must be a complete \(\mathbb{R}\)-isomorphic embedding. \(\square\)

**Corollary 2.4** (Corollary 1.5). *If the bounded subsets of an operator space \(X\) almost completely coarsely embed into \(\text{OH}(J)\), for some index set \(J\), then \(X\) is completely isomorphic to \(\text{OH}(I)\), for some index set \(I\).*

**Proof.** This proof follows exactly the proof of [BCD21, Theorem 1.2] but with Proposition 2.3 replacing [BCD21, Proposition 4.4]. \(\square\)

As usual, the notion of embeddability described above has its “equivalence version”. Precisely:

**Definition 2.5** (Definition 1.6): *Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be operator spaces. We say that the bounded subsets of \(X\) and \(Y\) are almost completely coarsely (resp. coarse Lipschitzly) equivalent if there is a sequence of bijections \((f^n : X \to Y)_n\) such that, letting \(g^n = (f^n)^{-1}\) for each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), the amplifications

\[ (f^n_n : n \cdot B_{M_n(X)} \to M_n(Y))_n \text{ and } (g^n_n : n \cdot B_{M_n(Y)} \to M_n(X))_n \]

are equi-coarse (resp. equi-coarse Lipschitz) embeddings. The sequence \((f^n_n : n \cdot B_X \to Y)_n\) is called an almost complete coarse (resp. coarse Lipschitz) equivalence of bounded subsets.*

### 3. Incompatibility of the Interpolations of \((R, C)\)

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. For didactic reasons, we choose to present the proof of a particular case of Theorem 1.3 before its proof in full generality. The anxious reader can safely skip the next proposition and the remark following it.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let \(R\) and \(C\) denote the column and row operator spaces, respectively. Then the bounded subsets of \(R\) do not almost completely coarsely embed into \(C\) and vice versa.*

Notice that Proposition 3.1 is Theorem 1.3 for \(\theta = 0\) and \(\gamma = 1\). Indeed, this is the case since \((R, C)_0 \equiv (C, R)_1 \equiv R\) and \((C, R)_0 \equiv (R, C)_1 \equiv C\).

**Proof of Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose \((f^n_n : n \cdot B_R \to C)_n\) is such that \(\bar{f} = (f^n_n : n \cdot B_{M_n(R)} \to M_n(C))_n\) is a sequence of equi-coarse embeddings. As \(\lim_{t \to \infty} \rho_f(t) = \infty\), pick \(r > 0\) such that \(\rho_f(r) > 0\).*

---

5Given a set \(J\), \(\text{OH}(J)\) is the operator Hilbert space of G. Pisier with density character equal to the cardinality of \(J\). See [Pis03, Chapter 7] for details.
For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $a_{n,j} \in M_n(R)$ be the element whose $(j,1)$-coordinate is $re_{1,2j-1}$ and all other coordinates are zero, and let $b_{n,j} \in M_n(R)$ be the element whose $(j,1)$-coordinate is $re_{1,2j}$ and all other coordinates are zero; so $\|a_{n,j}\|_{M_n(R)} = \|b_{n,j}\|_{M_n(R)} = r$. It is also clear that $\|a_{n,j} - b_{n,j}\|_{M_n(R)} = \sqrt{2r}$, so it follows that

\begin{equation}
\|f_n^n(a_{n,j}) - f_n^n(b_{n,j})\|_{M_n(C)} \geq \rho f(\sqrt{2r}) > 0
\end{equation}

for all $n \geq r$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Hence, since

$$f_n^n(a_{n,j}) - f_n^n(b_{n,j}) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}$$

and $f^n(re_{1,2j-1}) - f^n(re_{1,2j}) \in C$ for each $n \geq r$ and each $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, it is clear from the operator space structure of $C$ and (3.1) that

$$\lim_{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} (f_n^n(a_{n,j}) - f_n^n(b_{n,j})) \right\|_{M_n(C)} = \infty.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $c_n, d_n \in M_n(R)$ be given by $c_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n,j}$ and $d_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{n,j}$; so $\|c_n\|_{M_n(R)} = \|d_n\|_{M_n(R)} = r$ and $\|c_n - d_n\|_{M_n(R)} = \sqrt{2r}$. Then,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} (f_n^n(a_{n,j}) - f_n^n(b_{n,j})) \right\|_{M_n(C)} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix}
f_n^n(re_{1,1}) - f_n^n(re_{1,2}) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
f_n^n(re_{1,3}) - f_n^n(re_{1,4}) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
f_n^n(re_{1,2n-1}) - f_n^n(re_{1,2n}) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} \right\|_{M_n(C)}$$

$$= \left\| f_n^n(c_n) - f_n^n(d_n) \right\|_{M_n(C)} \leq \omega f(\sqrt{2r})$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\omega f(\sqrt{2r}) < \infty$, this gives a contradiction.

The proof that the bounded subspaces of $C$ do not almost completely coarsely embed into $R$ follows similarly and we leave the details to the reader. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.2:** Notice that we do not use the full power of almost complete coarse embeddability of bounded subsets in Proposition 3.1. Indeed, it is clear from its proof that, beside the family $(f_n^n : n \cdot B_{M_n(R)} \to M_n(C))_n$ being equi-coarse, we only need the existence of a single $r > 0$ for which $\rho f(r) > 0$. Moreover, the proof of Proposition 3.1 gives us that there is no $(f^n : B_R \to C)_n$ such that $(f_n^n : B_{M_n(R)} \to M_n(C))_n$ is equi-coarse and, for some $t \in [0,1]$ and some $\delta > 0$, we have that

$$\|f_n^n(x) - f_n^n(y)\| > \delta$$
for all \( \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in B_{M_n(R)} \) with \( \|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\| = \sqrt{2t} \). The existence of such family is an operator space version of a map which is coarse and \textit{almost uncollapsed} in the sense of [Bra18, Section 1] (see also [Ros17, Section 1] for \textit{uncollapsed maps}).

Before presenting the proof of Theorem 1.3, we need a technical lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( \theta > 0 \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\ell_{1,m_1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\ell_{1,m_2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\ell_{1,m_n} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}_{M_n((R,R^{op})_\theta)} = n^{\theta/2},
\]

for all \( m_1 < \ldots < m_n \in \mathbb{N} \). In particular, if \( (x_m)_m \) is a semi-normalized\(^6\) weakly null sequence in \( R \), then there is \( D > 0 \) depending only on \( \inf_m \|x_m\| \) and an infinite \( M \subset \mathbb{N} \) such that, for all \( m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_n \in M \), we have

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x_{m_1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
x_{m_2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{m_n} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}_{M_n((R,R^{op})_\theta)} \geq Dn^{\theta/2}.
\]

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, let \( m_i = i \) for each \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). Let \( b \in M_n((R,R^{op})_\theta) \) denote the first matrix in the statement of the lemma. Clearly, \( \|b\|_{M_n(R)} = 1 \) and \( \|b\|_{M_n(R^{op})} = n^{1/2} \). Therefore, since

\[
\|b\|_{M_n((R,R^{op})_\theta)} \leq \|b\|_{M_n(R)}^{1-\theta} \|b\|_{M_n(R^{op})}^\theta
\]

(see [BLM04, Section 1.2.30]), we have that \( \|b\|_{M_n((R,R^{op})_\theta)} \leq n^{\theta/2} \). So we are left to show the opposite inequality.

Let \( (\xi_j) \) be the standard unit basis of \( \ell_2 \). For each \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), let \( \xi_j = (\xi_j(i))_{i=1}^n \in \ell_2^\mathbb{N} \) be the vector whose first coordinate is \( \xi_j \) and all others are zero, and let \( \xi_j = (\xi_j(i))_{j=1}^n \in \ell_2^\mathbb{N} \) be the vector whose \( j \)-th coordinate is \( \xi_j \) and all others are zero. Let \( f : M_n(R) \to \mathbb{C} \) be given by \( f(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle a\xi_j, \xi_j \rangle \) for all \( a \in M_n(R) \). So, \( f(b) = n \). The functional \( f \) can be seen as an element in both \( M_n(R)^* \) and \( M_n(R^{op})^* \). Considering \( f \) as a functional on \( M_n(R) \), it is immediate that \( \|f\|_{M_n(R)^*} \leq n \) and, as \( \|b\|_{M_n(R)} = 1 \), \( \|f\|_{M_n(R)^*} = n \). On the other hand, considering \( f \) as a functional in \( M_n(R^{op})^* \), an application of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives that \( \|f\|_{M_n(R^{op})^*} \leq n^{1/2} \) and, as \( \|b\|_{M_n(R^{op})} = n^{1/2} \), we have that \( \|f\|_{M_n(R^{op})^*} = n^{1/2} \).

By definition, \( M_n((R,R^{op})_\theta) = (M_n(R), M_n(R^{op}))_\theta \) isometrically. Therefore, since \( M_n(R) \) is reflexive ([Pis03, Theorem 2.5.1]), we have that

\[
(M_n(R), M_n(R^{op}))^*_\theta \equiv (M_n(R))^*, M_n(R^{op})^*_\theta
\]

([BL76, Corollary 4.5.2]). So,

\[
\|f\|_{M_n((R,R^{op})_\theta)} \leq \inf_{\|x\|_\theta^*} \|f\|_{M_n(R)^*} \|f\|_{M_n(R^{op})^*} \leq n^{1/2}
\]

([BL76, Theorem 4.1.2]) and, as \( f(b) = n \), this gives us that \( \|b\|_{M_n((R,R^{op})_\theta)} \geq n^{\theta/2} \).

\(^6\)Recall, a sequence \((x_n)_n\) in a normed space is \textit{semi-normalized} if it is bounded and \( \inf_n \|x_n\| > 0 \).
For the last statement, let \((x_m)_m\) be a semi-normalized weakly null sequence. By going to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that \((x_m)_m\) is equivalent to \((e_{1,m})_m\). Let \(E = \overline{\text{span}} \{x_m \mid m \in \mathbb{N} \}\) as a Banach space, so the linear operator \(T: E \to R\) which sends each \(x_m\) to \(e_{1,m}\) is an isomorphism. Let \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) denote \(E\) endowed with the operator space structure induced by the subset inclusions \(E_1 \subset R\) and \(E_2 \subset R^\text{op}\), respectively. Since \(R\) is a homogeneous\(^7\) Hilbertian space, \(E_1\) is completely isometric to \(R\) \([\text{Pis03, Proposition 9.2.1}]\). Therefore, \(E_1\) is also homogeneous, so \(T: E_1 \to R\) is a complete isomorphism. Analogously, \(T: E_2 \to R^\text{op}\) is also a complete isomorphism. Therefore, \(T: (E_1, E_2)_\theta \to (R, R^\text{op})_\theta\) is a complete isomorphism. The result then follows since \(\|b\| \geq n^{\theta/2}\).

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Suppose \(\theta < \gamma\). To simplify notation, let \(X = (R, R^\text{op})_\theta\) and \(Y = (R, R^\text{op})_\gamma\). Suppose \((f^n: n \cdot B_X \to Y)_n\) is an almost complete coarse embedding of bounded subsets, so \(\bar{f} = (f^n: n \cdot B_{M_n(X)} \to M_n(Y))_n\) is an equicoarse embedding. Pick \(r > 0\) such that \(\rho_f(r) > 0\) and, to simplify notation, for each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), let \(\alpha_n = r n^{\theta/2}\) and \(g^n = f^n\).

Fix \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). By Rosenthal’s \(l_1\)-theorem (see [Ros74, The Main Theorem]), going to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that \((g^n(re_{1,j}))_j\) is weakly Cauchy, so \((g^n(re_{1,2j-1}) - g^n(re_{1,2j}))_j\) is weakly null. Moreover, \((g^n(re_{1,2j-1}) - g^n(re_{1,2j}))_j\) is semi-normalized. Indeed, since \(\|e_{1,2j-1} - e_{1,2j}\|_X = \sqrt{2}\), we have that
\[
\|g^n(re_{1,2j-1}) - g^n(re_{1,2j})\|_Y \geq \rho_{\bar{f}}(\|e_{1,2j-1} - e_{1,2j}\|_X) = \rho_{\bar{f}}(\sqrt{2}r).
\]

So, \((g^n(re_{1,2j-1}) - g^n(re_{1,2j}))_j\) is semi-normalized and its lower bound does not depend on \(n\). Therefore, going to a subsequence if necessary, there is \(D > 0\) (which does not depend on \(n\)) such that
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
g^n(re_{1,1}) - g^n(re_{1,2}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 
g^n(re_{1,3}) - g^n(re_{1,4}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots 
g^n(re_{1,2n-1}) - g^n(re_{1,2n}) & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}_{M_n(Y)} \geq D n^{\gamma/2}.
\]

(see Lemma 3.3).

For each \(j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\), let \(a_{n,j} \in M_n(X)\) be the operator whose \((j, 1)\)-coordinate is \(re_{1,2j-1}\) and all other coordinates are zero, and let \(b_{n,j} \in M_n(X)\) be the operator whose \((j, 1)\)-coordinate is \(re_{1,2j}\) and all other coordinates are zero; so, \(\|a_{n,j}\|_{M_n(X)} = \|b_{n,j}\|_{M_n(X)} = r\). Therefore,
\[
\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} (g^n(a_{n,j}) - g^n(b_{n,j})) \right\|_{M_n(Y)} = \begin{bmatrix}
g^n(re_{1,1}) - g^n(re_{1,2}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 
g^n(re_{1,3}) - g^n(re_{1,4}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots 
g^n(re_{1,2n-1}) - g^n(re_{1,2n}) & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}_{M_n(Y)} \geq D n^{\gamma/2}.
\]

Let \(c_n \in M_n(X)\) be the operator whose \((j, 1)\)-coordinate is \(re_{1,2j-1}\), for all \(j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\), and all other coordinates are zero, and let \(d_n \in M_n(X)\) be the operator

\(^7\)An operator space \(X\) is **homogeneous** if \(\|u\|_{cb} = \|u\|\) for any linear operator \(u: X \to X\).
whose \((j,1)\)-coordinate is \(re_{1,2j}\), for all \(j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\), and all other coordinates are zero. By Lemma 3.3, 
\[
\|c_n\|_{M_n(X)} = \|d_n\|_{M_n(X)} = r \|n^{\theta/2}\|
\]
In particular, \(\|c_n - d_n\|_{M_n(X)} \leq 2rn^{\theta/2}\).

Since \(\omega_f\) is bounded above by an affine map (see Remark 2.1), pick \(L > 0\) such that \(\omega_f(t) = Lt + L\) for all \(t > 0\). Then, as each \(c_n, d_n, a_{n,j}, b_{n,j} \in \alpha_n \cdot B_{M_n(X)}\), we have
\[
\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} (g^n(a_{n,j}) - g^n(b_{n,j})) \left\|_{M_n(Y)} \right.
\]
\[
= \left\| \begin{bmatrix}
g^n(re_{1,1}) - g^n(re_{1,2}) & 0 & \ldots & 0 
g^n(re_{1,3}) - g^n(re_{1,4}) & 0 & \ldots & 0 
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots 
g^n(re_{1,2n-1}) - g^n(re_{1,2n}) & 0 & \ldots & 0 
\end{bmatrix} \right\|_{M_n(Y)}
\]
\[
= \|g^n(c_n) - g^n(d_n)\|_{M_n(Y)}
\]
\[
\leq \omega_f(\|c_n - d_n\|_{M_n(X)})
\]
\[
\leq 2Lrn^{\theta/2} + L.
\]
As \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) was arbitrary, we conclude that
\[
Dn^{\gamma/2} \leq 2Lrn^{\theta/2} + L
\]
for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\); contradiction, since \(\theta < \gamma\). So, the bounded subsets of \((R, R^{\text{op}})_{\theta}\) do not almost completely coarsely embed into \((R, R^{\text{op}})_{\gamma}\).

If \(\theta > \gamma\), then \(1 - \theta < 1 - \gamma\) and an analogous argument gives us that the bounded subsets of \((R^{\text{op}}, R)_{1-\theta}\) do not almost completely coarsely embed into \((R^{\text{op}}, R)_{1-\gamma}\). Therefore, as \((R, R^{\text{op}})_{\theta} = (R^{\text{op}}, R)_{1-\theta}\) and \((R, R^{\text{op}})_{\gamma} = (R^{\text{op}}, R)_{1-\gamma}\) (see [BL76, Theorem 4.2.1]), we are done.

4. ALMOST COMPLETE NONLINEAR EQUIVALENCES OF BOUNDED SUBSETS

In [Kal12], N. Kalton presented a remarkable method of constructing nonisomorphic Banach spaces which are coarsely/uniformly equivalent to each other. In a nutshell, his method consists of the following: given a quotient map \(Q: Y \to X\) between Banach spaces, N. Kalton constructs a Banach space \(Z(Q)\) and a quotient map \(\tilde{Q}: Z(Q) \to X\) such that \(Z(Q)\) is isomorphic to the \(\ell_1\)-sum of isomorphic copies of \(Y\) and \(Z(Q)\) is coarsely equivalent to \(X \oplus \ker(\tilde{Q})\) ([Kal12, Section 8]). Choosing appropriate quotient maps \(Q: Y \to X\), this method gives us many interesting examples. For instance:

1. If \(Q\) is a quotient of \(\ell_1\) onto \(c_0\), then it is immediate that \(c_0\) does not isomorphically embeds into \(Z(Q)\). Indeed, being an \(\ell_1\)-sum of Schur spaces, \(Z(Q)\) is also Schur, but \(c_0\) is not.

---

8Recall, a Banach space \(X\) is Schur, or has the Schur property, if every weakly convergent sequence in \(X\) is norm convergent.
(2) Moreover, if $Q$ is a quotient of $\ell_1$ onto $c_0$, then $c_0 \oplus \ker(Q)$ is not uniformly equivalent\(^9\) to $Z(Q)$ ([Kal12, Theorem 8.9]).\(^{10}\)

(3) There exist separable $L_1$-subspaces of $\ell_1$ which are uniformly equivalent but not linearly isomorphic ([Kal12, Theorem 8.6]).

In this section, we revisit this method in the context of operator spaces and use it in order to obtain Theorem 1.7 and 1.8.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be operator spaces. Following [Kal12], we denote by $\mathcal{H}(X,Y)$ the space of all positively homogeneous functions $f: X \to Y$, i.e.,

$$f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $\alpha \geq 0$.

such that

$$\|f\| := \{|f(x)| \mid x \in B_X\} < \infty.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(X,Y)$, we denote the infimum of all $L > 0$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq L \max\{\|x - y\|, \varepsilon\|x\|, \varepsilon\|y\|\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ by $\|f\|^{\varepsilon}$.\(^{11}\) One can check that $\|\cdot\|^{\varepsilon}$ is a Banach norm on $\mathcal{H}(X,Y)$. Moreover, notice that if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f_k \in \mathcal{H}(M_k(X), M_k(Y))$, so $\|f_k\|^{\varepsilon}$ is well defined.

Elements in $\mathcal{H}(X,Y)$ do not need to be coarse. However, they are clearly so on bounded sets and we can actually obtain some quantitative estimates. Precisely, this is the content of our next lemma, which is inspired by the proof of [Kal12, Lemma 7.4] (see Lemma 5.9 below for an actual version of [Kal12, Lemma 7.4] to our setting).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be operator spaces, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t, K > 0$, and let $f \in \mathcal{H}(X,Y)$ be such that $\|f_k\|^{\varepsilon - t} \leq K$. Then, for any $r, s > 0$, we have that

$$\|f_k(\bar{x}) - f_k(\bar{y})\|_{M_k(Y)} \leq K\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|_{M_k(X)} + K(r + s)$$

for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in (r^t + s) \cdot B_{M_k(X)}$.

**Proof.** Let $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in (r^t + s) \cdot B_{M_k(X)}$ and suppose $\|\bar{x}\| \geq \|\bar{y}\|$. Then, since $\|f_k\|^{\varepsilon - t} \leq K$ and $\|\bar{x}\| \leq r^t + s$, we have

$$\|f_k(\bar{x}) - f_k(\bar{y})\|_{M_k(Y)} \leq K \max\{\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|_{M_k(X)}, e^{-t}\|\bar{x}\|_{M_k(X)}\} \leq K\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|_{M_k(X)} + K(s + r).$$

Recall, a bounded linear map $Q: X \to Y$ between Banach spaces is a quotient map if there is $\delta > 0$ for which $Q(B_X)$ contains $\delta \cdot B_Y$; if it is necessary to emphasize $\delta$, we say $Q$ is a $\delta$-quotient map. In particular, $Q$ is surjective. If $X$ and $Y$ are operator spaces, in order not to cause confusion between quotient and complete quotient maps,\(^{12}\) we refer to quotient maps $Y \to X$ as Banach quotient maps.

\(^9\)Two metric spaces $X$ and $Y$ are uniformly equivalent if there is a bijection $f: X \to Y$ such that both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are uniformly continuous.

\(^{10}\)[Kal12, Theorem 8.9] provides the first example of coarsely equivalent Banach spaces which are not uniformly equivalent. N. Kalton’s result is actually much stronger: $c_0$ does not even coarsely Lipschitzly embed into $Z(Q)$ by a uniformly continuous map.

\(^{11}\)This was used in the context of Banach space theory in [Kal12] but with notation $\|\cdot\|^{\varepsilon}$. In order to avoid over usage of lower indices, we opted for an upper index here.

\(^{12}\)A completely bounded map $Q: Y \to X$ between operator spaces is a complete quotient if there is $\delta > 0$ such that each of its amplifications is a $\delta$-quotient.
Consider operator spaces $X$ and $Y$, and a completely bounded map $Q : Y \to X$ which is also a Banach quotient. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a norm $\| \cdot \|_{Y_m}$ on $Y$ by letting
\[ \|y\|_{Y_m} = \max \left\{ 2^{-m} \|y\|, \|Q(y)\| \right\} \text{ for all } x \in Y. \]

We denote by $Y_m$ the Banach space consisting of $Y$ endowed with the equivalent norm $\| \cdot \|_{Y_m}$. Moreover, we endow $Y_m$ with the operator space structure given by
\[ \|[y_{ij}]\|_{M_k(Y_m)} = \max \left\{ 2^{-m} \|[y_{ij}]\|_{M_k(Y)}, \|[Q(y_{ij})]\|_{M_k(X)} \right\}, \]
for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $[y_{ij}] \in M_k(Y)$. It follows straightforwardly from Ruan’s theorem that these norms induce an operator space structure on $Y_m$ (see [Pis03, Section 2.2]). Moreover, each $Y_m$ is completely isomorphic to $Y$ (but not isometric).

We define
\[ Z(Q) : Y \to X = \bigoplus_{n} Y_m \]
i.e., $Z(Q) : Y \to X$ is the $\ell_1$-sum of $(Y_m)_m$ (see [Pis03, Section 2.6] for definitions). For simplicity, we simply write $Z(Q) = Z(Q) : Y \to X$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a canonical complete linear isometric embedding $i_m : Y_m \to Z(Q)$. By the universal property of $\ell_1$-direct sums, there is a completely bounded map
\[ \tilde{Q} : Z(Q) \to X \]
such that $\tilde{Q} \circ i_m = Q$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [BLM04, Subsection 1.4.13]). Clearly, $\tilde{Q}$ is also a Banach quotient map.

Recall, given a Banach quotient map $Q : Y \to X$, a map $f : X \to Y$ is called a section of $Q$ if $Q \circ f = \text{Id}_X$.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $Q : Y \to X$ be a completely bounded map which is a Banach quotient and let $\tilde{Q} : Z(Q) \to X$ be given as above. Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\tilde{Q}$ admits a section $f \in \mathcal{H}(X, Z(Q))$ such that $\|f_k\|^{\varepsilon} \leq 1$.

**Proof.** Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. As $Q$ is a quotient map, there is $C > 0$ and an assignment $f : \partial B_X \to Y$ such that $\|f(x)\| \leq C$ and $Q(f(x)) = x$ for all $x \in \partial B_X$.

We extend $f$ to the whole $X$ by letting $f(0) = 0$ and $f(x) = \|x\|f(x/\|x\|)$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. So $f$ is a section of $Q$ in $\mathcal{H}(X, Y)$. Pick $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-m+1} C \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon$. Then, if $[x_{ij}], [y_{ij}] \in M_k(X)$, we have that
\[
\|[f(x_{ij}) - f(y_{ij})]\|_{M_k(Y_m)} = \max \left\{ 2^{-m} \|[f(x_{ij}) - f(y_{ij})]\|_{M_k(Y)}, \|[x_{ij} - y_{ij}]\|_{M_k(X)} \right\}
\leq \max \left\{ 2^{-m+1} \|[f(x_{ij})]\|_{M_k(Y)}, 2^{-m+1} \|[f(y_{ij})]\|_{M_k(Y)}, \|[x_{ij} - y_{ij}]\|_{M_k(X)} \right\}
\leq \max \left\{ 2^{-m+1} C \varepsilon \|[x_{ij}]\|_{M_k(X)}, 2^{-m+1} C \varepsilon \|[y_{ij}]\|_{M_k(X)}, \|[x_{ij} - y_{ij}]\|_{M_k(X)} \right\}.
\]

Therefore, considering $f$ as a map $X \to Y_m$ and considering the canonical (completely isometric) inclusion $Y_m \hookrightarrow Z(Q)$, we obtain that the map $f : X \to Z(Q)$ satisfies $\|f_k\|^{\varepsilon} \leq 1$.

We now gather the previous results and present a (nontrivial) method to obtain operator spaces which have almost completely coarse Lipschitz equivalent bounded subsets (cf. [Kal12, Proposition 8.4]).
**Theorem 4.3.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be operator spaces, $Q: Y \to X$ be a completely bounded map which is also a Banach quotient, and let $\tilde{Q}: Z(Q) \to X$ be as above. Then, the bounded subsets of $Z(Q)$ and $X \oplus \ker(\tilde{Q})$ are almost completely coarse Lipschitz equivalent.

**Proof.** To simplify notation, let $Z = \ker(\tilde{Q})$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f^k: X \to Z(Q)$ be the positively homogeneous section of $\tilde{Q}$, $Z(Q) \to X$ given by Lemma 4.2 for $\varepsilon = e^{-k}$, so $\|f^k\|e^{-k} \leq 1$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define maps $g^k: Z(Q) \to X \oplus Z$ and $h^k: X \oplus Z \to Z(Q)$ by letting
\[
g^k(y) = (\tilde{Q}(y), y - f^k(\tilde{Q}(y))) \quad \text{and} \quad h^k(x, z) = z + f^k(x)
\]
for all $y \in Z(Q)$ and all $(x, z) \in X \oplus Z$. Notice that, as each $f^k$ is a section of $\tilde{Q}$, then $g^k$ and $h^k$ are inverses of each other for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Lemma 4.1,
\[
\|f^k(x) - f^k(x')\|_{M_k(Y)} \leq \|x - x'\|_{M_k(X)} + 1
\]
for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, x' \in e^k \cdot B_{M_k(X)}$. Then, as $\tilde{Q}$ is completely contractive, the previous inequality and $g^k$’s formula imply that
\[
\|g^k(\tilde{y}) - g^k(\tilde{z})\|_{M_k(X \oplus Z)} \leq 2\|\tilde{y} - \tilde{z}\|_{M_k(Z(Q))} + 1
\]
for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in e^k \cdot B_{M_k(Z(Q))}$. In particular, since $g^k(0) = 0$, this implies that
\[
g^k(e^k \cdot B_{M_k(Z(Q))}) \subseteq (2e^k + 1) \cdot B_{M_k(X \oplus Z)}
\]
for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, using Lemma 4.1 again, it is clear from $h^k$’s formula that
\[
\|h^k(\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}) - h^k(\tilde{x}', \tilde{z}')\|_{M_k(X \oplus Z)} \leq 2\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{z}\|_{M_k(Z(Q))} + 3
\]
for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}), (\tilde{x}', \tilde{z}') \in (2e^k + 1) \cdot B_{M_k(X \oplus Z)}$. As $g^k$ and $h^k$ are inverses of each other, this implies that
\[
\|g^k(\tilde{y}) - g^k(\tilde{z})\|_{M_k(X \oplus Z)} \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{y} - \tilde{z}\|_{M_k(Z(Q))} - \frac{3}{2}
\]
for all $\tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in e^k \cdot B_{M_k(Z(Q))}$. Therefore,
\[
\left( g^k \left| e^k \cdot B_{M_k(Z(Q))} : e^k \cdot B_{M_k(Z(Q))} \to M_k(X \oplus Z) \right) \right)_k
\]
are equi-coarse Lipschitz embeddings. Analogous calculations show that
\[
\left( h^k \left| e^k \cdot B_{M_k(X \oplus Z)} : e^k \cdot B_{M_k(X \oplus Z)} \to M_k(Z(Q)) \right) \right)_k
\]
are also equi-coarse Lipschitz embeddings. So, we are done. \qed

**4.1. Applications of Theorem 4.3.** Before the next corollary, we make a trivial observation: it is well known that every separable Banach space is a quotient of $\ell_1$ ([AK16, Theorem 2.3.1]). Hence, given any Banach space $X$, there is a completely bounded Banach quotient map $\text{MIN}(\ell_1) \to \text{MIN}(X)$.

The next corollary shows that the notion of almost complete coarse embeddability of bounded subsets overcomes issues (III) and (IV) from Section 1.

**Corollary 4.4** (Theorem 1.7). Let $Q: \text{MIN}(\ell_1) \to \text{MIN}(c_0)$ be a completely bounded map which is also a Banach quotient, and let $\tilde{Q}: Z(Q) \to X$ be as above. Then

(1) $\text{MIN}(c_0)$ does not isomorphically embed into $Z(Q)$, and
Claim 4.7: For all space level $\bigoplus (v_{4.5})$, the completely bounded map $\iota_{X}$ is an injective operator space ([Rua89, Theorem 4.5]), the completely bounded map $\iota: R \cap C \to R$ extends to a completely bounded map $v: (\bigoplus Y_n)_{\ell_1} \to R$. Therefore, $p = u \circ i^{-1} \circ v$ is a bounded projection of $(\bigoplus Y_n)_{\ell_1}$ onto $u(R \cap C)$. From now on, the proof follows entirely in the Banach space level.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_m: (\bigoplus Y_n)_{\ell_1} \to (\bigoplus_{n=1}^m Y_n)_{\ell_1}$ be the canonical projection.

Claim 4.7: For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an infinite dimensional subspace $X \subset R \cap C$ such that $\|\operatorname{Id}_{u(X)} - p_m \|_{u(X)} \| < \varepsilon$.

Proof. As $R \cap C$ is isomorphic to $\ell_2$ and $(\bigoplus Y_n)_{\ell_1}$ is an $\ell_1$-sum, this follows by a standard gliding hump argument from Banach space theory and we leave the details to the reader. 

As $u(R \cap C)$ is isomorphic to $\ell_2$, there is $C > 1$ such that every subspace of $u(R \cap C)$ is the image of a projection on $u(R \cap C)$ of norm at most $C$. Fix a positive $\varepsilon$ smaller than $\min \{1/(C\|p\|), 1/(2\|u^{-1}\|)\}$, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X \subset R \cap C$ be given by Claim 4.7 for $\varepsilon$. By our choice of $C$, $u(X)$ is the image of a projection $p'$: $u(R \cap C) \to u(X)$ of norm at most $C$. Therefore, letting $q = p' \circ p$, we have that $(q - q \circ p_m) \|_{u(X)}$ is an operator in $\mathcal{L}(u(X))$ — the space of bounded operators on $u(X)$ — and, as $\varepsilon < 1/(C\|p\|)$, this operator has norm less than 1. As $q \|_{u(X)} = \operatorname{Id}_{u(X)}$, it follows from basic Banach algebra theory that $w = q \circ p_m \|_{u(X)}$ is an invertible operator.
in $\mathcal{L}(u(X))$. It now easily follows that the operator $p_m \circ u^{-1} \circ q \ | (E_{n-1}^m, Y_n)_{t_1}$ is a bounded projection of $\bigoplus_{n=1}^m (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-1} Y_n)_{t_i}$ onto $p_m \circ u(X)$.

As $\varepsilon < 1/(2\|u^{-1}\|)$, it is immediate that $p_m \circ u$ is an isomorphic embedding of $X$ onto $p_m \circ u(X)$. So, $p_m \circ u(X)$ is isomorphic to $\ell_2$. As each $Y_n$ is isomorphic to $\ell_\infty$, the space $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^m Y_n)_{t_1}$ is also isomorphic to $\ell_\infty$. Therefore, by the previous paragraph, $\ell_\infty$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\ell_2$. This is a contradiction since all complemented subspaces of $\ell_\infty$ are isomorphic to $\ell_\infty$ ([AK16, Theorem 5.6.5]).

Before the last corollary, we must recall that there is a complete quotient map $Q: L_\infty [0, 1] \to R \cap C$. Indeed, by [Pis03, Theorem 9.8.3], there is a complete isomorphic embedding $F: R + C \to L_1[0, 1]$. Therefore, since $R \cap C = (R + C)^*$ completely isometrically (see [Pis03, Page 194]), the map $Q = F^*: L_\infty [0, 1] \to R \cap C$ is the desired quotient.

**Corollary 4.8** (Theorem 1.8). Let $Q: L_\infty [0, 1] \to R \cap C$ be the complete quotient map defined above. Then, we have that

1. $(R \cap C) \oplus \ker(Q)$ linearly isomorphically embeds into $Z(Q)$,
2. $R \cap C$ does not completely isometrically embed into $Z(Q)$, and
3. the bounded subsets of $Z(Q)$ and $(R \cap C) \oplus \ker(Q)$ are almost completely coarse Lipschitzly equivalent.

**Proof.** The last statement is simply Theorem 4.3. For (1), notice that, as $L_\infty [0, 1] = L_\infty [0, 1] \oplus L_\infty [0, 1]$, $Z(Q)$ is linearly isomorphic to $(\bigoplus_n Z_n)_{t_1}$, where $Z_1 = L_\infty [0, 1] \oplus L_\infty [0, 1]$ and $Z_n = Y_n$ for all $n > 1$ (here $(Y_n)_{n}$ is given as in the definition of $Z(Q)$). Then, as $L_\infty [0, 1]$ contains $R \cap C$ linearly isometrically and $(\bigoplus_n Y_n)_{t_1}$ contains $\ker(Q)$ linearly isometrically, (1) follows. Item (2) follows immediately from Proposition 4.6 and the fact that $L_\infty [0, 1]$ is isomorphic to $\ell_\infty$ ([AK16, Theorem 4.3.10]).

## 5. Spherical amplifications

In this section, instead of amplifying maps $X \to Y$ in order to obtain maps $M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$, we follow the opposite road: we start with maps $F: M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ and then look at weakenings of the property of $F$ being the amplification of a map $X \to Y$. This gives rise to what we call spherical amplifications, which in turn gives us spherically-complete coarse embeddings.

**Definition 5.1** (Definition 1.9(1)): Let $X$ and $Y$ be operator spaces, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $F: M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ be a map.

1. Given $A \subseteq M_n(X)$, $F$ is called an amplification on $A$ if there is $f: X \to Y$ such that $F \mid_A = f_n \mid_A$.
2. The map $F$ is called a spherical amplification if $F$ is an amplification on $r \cdot \partial B_{M_n(X)}$ for all $r \geq 0$.

In other words, given operator spaces $X$ and $Y$, a map $F: M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ is a spherical amplification if there is a family of maps $(f^r: X \to Y)_{r \geq 0}$ such that

$$F \mid_{r \cdot \partial B_{M_n(X)}} = f^r_n \mid_{r \cdot \partial B_{M_n(X)}}$$

The space $R + C$ is the quotient operator space of the $\ell_1$-sum $R \oplus C$ by $\Delta = \{(x, -x) \mid x \in R\}$. We refer the reader to [Pis03, Page 194] for further details.
for all $r \geq 0$. Such family is called a witness that $F$ is a spherical amplification. Clearly, if $f : X \to Y$ is a map, then each amplification $f_n : M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ is a spherical amplification.

Before investigating nonlinear embeddings given by spherical amplifications, we prove some simple properties of this new class of maps. We start by showing that witnesses that maps are spherical amplifications have the following uniqueness property for $n > 1$:

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be operator spaces, $n > 1$, and $F : M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ be a spherical amplification. If $(f^r)_{r \geq 0}$ and $(g^r)_{r \geq 0}$ are witnesses that $F$ is a spherical amplification, then $f^r |_{r \cdot B_X} = g^r |_{r \cdot B_X}$ for all $r \geq 0$.

Before proving this proposition, notice that this statement is clearly false if $n = 1$. Indeed, for $n = 1$, any function $F : M_1(X) \to M_1(Y)$ is an amplification of itself since $M_1(X) = X$ and $M_1(Y) = Y$. So, letting $f^r = F$ for all $r \geq 0$, $(f^r)_{r \geq 0}$ witnesses that $F$ is a spherical amplification. On the other hand, we can define each $g^r : X \to Y$ to equal $F$ on $r \cdot \partial B_X$ and to equal anything else outside $r \cdot \partial B_X$; clearly, $(g^r)_{r > 0}$ also witnesses that $F$ is a spherical amplification.

**Proof of Proposition 5.2.** Fix $r \geq 0$ and $x \in r \cdot B_X$. Pick an arbitrary $y \in M_{n-1}(X)$ with norm $r$. Then, $[x \hspace{.2cm} 0] \in M_n(X)$ has norm $r$ and we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} f^r(x) & f^r(0) \\ f^r(0) & f^r(y) \end{bmatrix} = F \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g^r(x) & g^r(0) \\ g^r(0) & g^r(y) \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Hence, $f^r(x) = g^r(x)$ and the result follows. \hfill $\Box$

**Remark 5.3:** Notice that the statement that $(f^r)_{r \geq 0}$ is a witness that $F : M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ is a spherical amplification is a statement which only asserts things about each $f^r$ on $r \cdot B_X$. Indeed, this follows since $\|x_{ij}\|_{M_n(X)} \geq \|x_{ij}\|$ for all $x_{ij} \in M_n(X)$ and all $\ell, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Therefore, we can modify each $f^r$ outside $r \cdot B_X$ as we wish without losing the property of $(f^r)_{r \geq 0}$ witnessing that $F : M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ is a spherical amplification.

The next proposition shows that, in the linear setting, spherical amplifications are actual amplifications.

**Proposition 5.4.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be operator spaces, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $F : M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)$ be a spherical amplification. If $F$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear, then $F$ is an amplification.

**Proof.** If $n = 1$, any map is an amplification, so we assume $n > 1$. Let $(f^r : X \to Y)_{r \geq 0}$ witness that $F$ is a spherical amplification. Notice that $f^r(0) = 0$ for all $r \geq 0$. Indeed, picking $x \in X$ and $y \in M_{n-1}(X)$ both with norm $r$, we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} f^r(x) & f^r(0) \\ f^r(0) & f^r(y) \end{bmatrix} = F \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f^r(0) \\ f^r(0) \\ f^r(0) + f^r(0) \end{bmatrix},$$

so $f^r(0) = 0$ as claimed.
For each \( r > 0 \), define
\[
g_r^r(x) = \begin{cases} f^r(x), & \text{for } x \in r \cdot B_X, \\ \frac{r}{\|x\|} f^r\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right), & \text{for } x \notin r \cdot B_X. \end{cases}
\]
By Remark 5.3, \( (g^r)_{r>0} \) witnesses that \( F \) is a spherical amplification. Clearly, we also have that \( g^r(0) = 0 \) for all \( r > 0 \); this will be used below with no further mention.

**Claim 5.5:** \( g_r^r(x) = \frac{\|x\|}{r} g^r\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right) \) for all \( r > 0 \) and all \( x \in X \setminus \{0\} \).

**Proof.** Fix \( r > 0 \) and \( x \in X \setminus \{0\} \). If \( x \notin r \cdot B_X \), this follows from the definition of \( g^r \). Say \( \|x\| \leq r \). Then, picking \( y \in M_{n-1}(X) \) with norm \( r \), we have
\[
\begin{bmatrix} g^r(x) & 0 \\ 0 & g^r(y) \end{bmatrix} = F\left(\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \frac{\|x\|}{r} F\left(\begin{bmatrix} r & 0 \\ \|x\| & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + F\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\|x\|}{r} g^r(\|x\|r_x) & 0 \\ 0 & g^r(y) \end{bmatrix}.
\]
So, \( g^r(x) = \frac{\|x\|}{r} g^r\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right) \) and the claim follows. \( \square \)

**Claim 5.6:** \( g^r = g^s \) for all \( r, s > 0 \).

**Proof.** Fix \( s > r > 0 \). If \( x \in X \) has norm \( r \), then, picking \( y \in M_{n-1}(Y) \) with norm \( s \), we have
\[
\begin{bmatrix} g^r(x) & 0 \\ 0 & g^s(y) \end{bmatrix} = F\left(\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix}\right) = F\left(\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix}\right) - F\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} g^s(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
So, \( g^r(x) = g^s(x) \). Therefore, by the previous claim, we have that, for an arbitrary \( x \in X \setminus \{0\} \),
\[
g^r(x) = \frac{\|x\|}{r} g^r\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right) = \frac{\|x\|}{r} g^s\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right) = g^s(x),
\]
and the claim follows. \( \square \)

By the previous claim, it follows that \( F = g^r_n \) for any \( r > 0 \), so \( F \) is an amplification. \( \square \)

We now recall the notion of nonlinear embeddability between operator spaces given by spherical amplifications:

**Definition 5.7** (Definition 1.9(2)): Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be operator spaces, and \( (F^n : M_n(X) \to M_n(Y))_n \) be a sequence of spherical amplifications. If \( (F^n)_n \) are equi-coarse (resp.
equi-coarse Lipschitz) embeddings, then \((F^n)_n\) is called a \textit{spherically-complete coarse} (resp. \textit{coarse Lipschitz}) embedding.

**Proposition 5.8.** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be operator spaces. If \(X\) spherically-completely coarsely (resp. coarse Lipschitzly) embeds into \(Y\), then the bounded subsets of \(X\) almost completely coarsely (resp. coarse Lipschitzly) embed into \(Y\).

**Proof.** Let \((F^n: M_n(X) \to M_n(Y))_n\) be an almost spherically-complete coarse (resp. coarse Lipschitzly) embedding and let \(\rho, \omega: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)\) witness that.

For each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), let \((f^{n, n}_t: X \to Y)_t\) witness that \(F^n\) is a spherical amplification. For each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), let \(g^n = f^{n,n+1}\) and \(x_n \in X\) have norm \(n\). Then

\[
\|g^n(x) - g^n(y)\|_{M_n(Y)} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} g^n(x) - g^n(y) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{M_{n+1}(Y)} = \|F^{n+1}\left( \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \right) - F^{n+1}\left( \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0 \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \right)\|_{M_{n+1}(Y)} \leq \omega(\|x - y\|_{M_n(X)})
\]

for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and all \(x, y \in n \cdot B_{M_n(X)}\). Similar computations show that

\[
\|g^n(x) - g^n(y)\|_{M_n(Y)} \geq \rho(\|x - y\|_{M_n(X)})
\]

for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and all \(x, y \in n \cdot B_{M_n(X)}\). So, \((g^n: n \cdot B_X \to Y)_n\) is an almost complete coarse (resp. coarse Lipschitzly) embedding of bounded subsets. \(\square\)

We now revisit the method of N. Kalton presented in Section 4. In order to obtain embeddings coming from spherical amplifications, we need to obtain maps \(M_n(X) \to M_n(Y)\), i.e., maps defined on whole spaces and not only on bounded sets. For that, the following, which is a version of [Kal12, Lemma 7.4], serves as a gluing method.

**Lemma 5.9.** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be operator spaces, \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), \(K > 0\), and let \((f^t)_{t \geq 0}\) be a family in \(\mathcal{H}(X, Y)\) such that \(\|f^t\|^e^{2t} \leq K\) for all \(t \geq 0\), and

\[
\|f^t_k - f^s_k\| \leq K|t - s| \quad \text{for all } t, s \geq 0.
\]

Then, the map \(F: M_k(X) \to M_k(Y)\) given by

\[
F(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } \bar{x} = 0, \\
[f^0(x_{ij})], & \text{if } \|\bar{x}\| \leq 1, \\
[f^{\log\|\bar{x}\|}(x_{ij})], & \text{if } \|\bar{x}\| > 1,
\end{cases}
\]

for all \(\bar{x} = [x_{ij}] \in M_k(X)\), is a coarse spherical amplification. Precisely, \(F\) satisfies

\[
\|F(\bar{x}) - F(\bar{z})\| \leq 2K\|\bar{x} - \bar{z}\| + K \quad \text{for all } \bar{x}, \bar{z} \in M_k(X).
\]

**Proof.** It is clear from \(F\)'s definition that \(F\) is a spherical amplification, so we only prove the last statement. For convenience, let \(f^t = f^0\) for all \(t < 0\). Fix \(\bar{x} = [x_{ij}], \bar{z} = [z_{ij}] \in M_k(X)\) and suppose \(\|\bar{x}\| \geq \|\bar{z}\| \geq 0\). Since \(\|F(\bar{x})\| \leq K\|\bar{x}\|\), we can assume that \(\|\bar{z}\| > 0\). If \(\|\bar{x}\| \leq 1\), we have

\[
\|f^0(x_{ij}) - f^0(z_{ij})\| \leq K\max\{\|\bar{x} - \bar{z}\|, \|\bar{x}\|\}.
\]

If \(\|\bar{x}\| > 1\), we have that

\[
\|f^{\log\|\bar{x}\|}(x_{ij}) - f^{\log\|\bar{x}\|}(z_{ij})\| \leq K\max\{\|\bar{x} - \bar{z}\|, \|\bar{x}\|^{-1}\}.
\]
Combining both inequalities above, we have that
\[ \|f^\log \|\| (x_{ij}) - f^\log \|\| (z_{ij})\| \leq K \max\{\|x - \bar{x}\|, \min\{\|\bar{x}\|, \|\bar{x}\|^{-1}\}\} \]
\[ \leq K\|\bar{x} - \bar{z}\| + K. \]

If \(\|\bar{z}\| \leq 1\) and \(\|\bar{x}\| > 1\), then
\[ \|f^0 (z_{ij}) - f^\log \|\| (z_{ij})\| \leq K \log \|\bar{x}\| \leq K\|\bar{x}\| - K \leq K\|\bar{x} - \bar{z}\|, \]
and if \(\|\bar{z}\| > 1\), we have
\[ \|f^\log \|\| (z_{ij}) - f^\log \|\| (z_{ij})\| \leq K\|\bar{z}\| \log \|\bar{x}\| \leq K\|\bar{x} - \bar{z}\|. \]

Therefore, the inequalities above together imply that \(\|F(\bar{x}) - F(\bar{z})\| \leq 2K\|\bar{x} - \bar{z}\| + K. \]

**Lemma 5.10.** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be operator spaces, \(Q: Y \to X\) be a Banach quotient map, and \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). Then, considering \(Q: Z(Q) \to X\) as defined in Section 4, we have that \(Q_k\) admits a coarse section \(F: M_k(X) \to M_k(Z(Q))\) which is a spherical amplification. Moreover, \(F\) satisfies \(\|F(\bar{x}) - F(\bar{z})\| \leq 2\|\bar{x} - \bar{z}\| + 1\) for all \(\bar{x}, \bar{z} \in M_k(X)\).

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.2, there is a sequence \((f^n)_{n=0}^\infty\) in \(\mathcal{H}(X, Z(Q))\) of sections of \(\bar{Q}\) such that \(\|f^n_k\|\|\|e^{-2t}\| \leq 1\) for all \(n \geq 0\). We extend the sequence \((f^n)_{n=0}^\infty\) to a family \((f^t)_{t \geq 0}\) as follows: for each \(n \geq 0\) and \(t \in [n, n+1)\), let
\[ f^t(x) = f^n(x) + (t - n) f^{n+1}(x) \]
for all \(x \in X\). So \((f^t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a family of sections of \(\bar{Q}\) satisfying \(\|f^t_k\|\|\|e^{-2t}\| \leq 1\) for all \(t \geq 0\). Moreover, as \(\|f^n_k\| \leq 1\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), it follows that \(\|f^t_k - f^s_k\| \leq |t - s|\) for all \(t, s \geq 0\).

Let \(F: M_k(X) \to M_k(Z(Q))\) be the map given by Lemma 5.9 applied to the family \((f^t)_{t \geq 0}\). So, as each \(f^t\) is a section of \(\bar{Q}\), it is clear from its formula that \(F\) is a section of \(\bar{Q}_k\). Moreover, \(F\) satisfies all desired properties by Lemma 5.9.

Theorem 4.3 has the following version for spherical embeddings:

**Theorem 5.11.** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be operator spaces, \(Q: Y \to X\) be a completely bounded Banach quotient map, and \(Q: Z(Q) \to X\) be as above. Then \(X\) spherically-completely coarsely Lipschitz embeds into \(Z(Q)\).

**Proof.** Let \(Z = \ker(\bar{Q})\). For each \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), let \(F^k: M_k(X) \to M_k(Z(Q))\) be the coarse spherical amplification section of \(\bar{Q}_k: M_k(Z(Q)) \to M_k(X)\) given by Lemma 5.10. So, \((F^k)_k\) is equi-coarse.

For each \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), define maps \(G^k: M_k(Z(Q)) \to M_k(X \oplus Z)\) and \(T^k: M_k(X \oplus Z) \to M_k(Z(Q))\) by letting
\[ G^k(\bar{y}) = (\bar{Q}_k(\bar{y}), \bar{y} - F^k(\bar{Q}_k(\bar{y}))) \] and \(T^k(\bar{x}, \bar{z}) = \bar{z} + F^k(\bar{x})\)
for all \(\bar{y} \in M_k(Z(Q))\) and all \((\bar{x}, \bar{z}) \in M_k(X \oplus Z)\). Since \((F^k)_k\) is equi-coarse and \(\bar{Q}\) is completely bounded, both \((G^k)_k\) and \((F^k)_k\) are sequences of equi-coarse maps. Moreover, it is straightforward to check that \(G^k\) and \(T^k\) are inverses of each other. Therefore, both \((G^k)_k\) and \((T^k)_k\) are equi-coarse embeddings (equivalences even). Since \(F^k = T^k\mid_{M_k(X)}\), this shows that \((F^k)_k\) is a sequence of equi-coarse embeddings. Since each \(F^k\) is a spherical amplification, \((F^k)_k\) is a spherically-complete coarse Lipschitz embedding.

\(\square\)
Notice that while Theorem 4.3 is a result on the existence of a nonlinear kind of equivalence, Theorem 5.11 only gives us embeddings. Indeed, although the maps $(F^k)_k$ are spherical amplifications, the maps $(G^k)_k$ and $(T^k)_k$ need not be.

The next corollary is the spherical version of Corollary 4.4 and its proof follows completely analogously but with Theorem 5.11 replacing Theorem 4.3.

**Corollary 5.12.** Let $Q: \text{MIN}(\ell_1) \to \text{MIN}(c_0)$ be a completely bounded map which is also a Banach quotient, and let $\tilde{Q}: Z(Q) \to X$ be as above. Then

1. $\text{MIN}(c_0)$ does not isomorphically embed into $Z(Q)$, and
2. the bounded subsets of $Z(Q)$ and $\text{MIN}(c_0) \oplus \ker(\tilde{Q})$ are almost completely coarse Lipschitzly equivalent. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.11.** This is simply Corollary 5.12. □
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