Probing the superfluid-insulator phase transition by a non-Hermitian external field
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We study the response of a thermal state of the Hubbard-like system to either global or local non-Hermitian perturbation, which coalesces the degenerate ground state within the $U(1)$ symmetry breaking phase. We show that the dynamical response of the system is strongly sensitive to the underlying quantum phase transition (QPT) from a Mott insulator to a superfluid state. The Uhlmann fidelity in the superfluid phase decays to a steady value determined by the order of the exceptional point (EP) within the subspace spanned by the degenerate ground states but remains almost unchanged in the Mott insulating phase. It demonstrates that the phase diagram at zero temperature is preserved even though a local probing field is applied. Specifically, two celebrated models including the Bose-Hubbard model and the Jaynes-Cummings-Hubbard model are employed to demonstrate this property in the finite-size system, wherein fluctuations of the boson and polariton number are observed based on EP dynamics. This work presents an alternative approach to probe the superfluid-insulator QPT at non-zero temperature.

I. INTRODUCTION

In equilibrium and at zero temperature, the quantum phase transition (QPT) serving as one of the central issues in condensed matter physics can be usually described by a phenomenological order parameter according to the Landau-Ginzburg theory\textsuperscript{1}. Therefore, a system experiences a symmetry breaking from one phase with a nonzero order parameter to another with a vanishing order parameter. The underlying mechanism is the degeneracy of the ground states. Thanks to the incredible advance in quantum simulation, especially in the context of quantum optics and atomic physics, a wide range of condensed matter systems have been theoretically investigated and many proposals for probing the QPT have been proposed\textsuperscript{2–4}. QPTs might still be observed at sufficiently low temperatures, where the quantum fluctuations dominate and thermal fluctuations are not significant enough to excite the system from its ground state. At higher temperatures, thermal fluctuations conceal the quantum criticality. As a consequence, it leaves no residuals of the quantum phase diagram at absolute zero temperature.

Dissipation is ubiquitous in nature and plays an essential role in quantum systems such as inducing decoherence of quantum states. Recently, a promising research direction is to investigate the effect of the non-Hermiticity on the QPT\textsuperscript{5–11} and hence discover novel quantum matters. On the other hand, much attention has been paid to an intriguing possibility of dissipation as an efficient tool for the preparation and manipulation of quantum states\textsuperscript{12–16}. In this new area, understanding and controlling nonequilibrium dynamics of correlated quantum many-body systems with dissipation are an urgent issue in diverse fields of physics, ranging from ultracold gases\textsuperscript{17}, Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) placed in optical cavities\textsuperscript{18}, trapped ions\textsuperscript{19,20}, exciton-polariton BEC\textsuperscript{21} and microcavity arrays coupled with superconducting qubits\textsuperscript{22,23}. Given the above two fruitful topics, we naturally ask the following questions: Can we establish a non-Hermitian dynamic detection scheme to capture the phase of the Hermitian system and accurately predict the phase boundary?

The QPT and the corresponding critical phenomena can be understood with the concepts from quantum information, i.e., the quantum entanglement\textsuperscript{24–26}, the quantum fidelity\textsuperscript{27–29} and the Loschmidt echo (LE)\textsuperscript{30,31}. This provides a method for detecting QPT based on the response of the ground state under a perturbation. The recent development of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian shows that it exhibits exclusive effects never before observed in a Hermitian system\textsuperscript{8,10,40}. One of the most interesting phenomena is the critical dynamics based on the exceptional point (EP)\textsuperscript{31,41}. It may shed light to address the proposed question. In this paper, we propose a scheme to detect the Mott insulator-superfluid QPT based on the EP dynamics. In its essence, if there can exist a non-Hermitian perturbation relating the degenerate states with each other so as to form a Jordan block, then the order of EP can be arbitrarily modulated according to the degeneracy of the involved states. The EP drives the system to evolve towards the corresponding coalescent state. Unlike the Hermitian system, the system evolution shows directional rather than periodic oscillations even though an initial thermal state is prepared. Based on this mechanism, we examine the response of two celebrated Hubbard-like systems to the external critical non-Hermitian field. It demonstrates that when the system is in the superfluid phase, the non-Hermitian external field forces the degenerate ground state to coalesce and thereby leads to a decay of the Loschmidt echoes. In the thermodynamic limit, it converges to zero but stays around 1 in the Mott insulating phase. This dynamical property holds at a low temperature limit and is insensitive to whether the external field is localized, and whether the external field is isotropic. Therefore, it provides a reliable scheme for detecting the Mott insulator-superfluid phase transition in a real physical system.

Our paper is structured as follows: In Sec. II, we give the fundamental mechanism of the proposed
non-Hermitian detecting scheme through a simple two-level system. In Sec. III and IV, we apply the proposal to examine the Mott insulator-superfluid QPT in two celebrated correlated many-body systems, namely, the Jaynes-Cummings-Hubbard (JCH) model and Bose-Hubbard (BH) model. We conclude and discuss our results in Sec. V.

II. INSIGHT INTO THE NON-HERMITIAN DETECTION

We first demonstrate the underlying mechanism of the considered proposal through a simple $2 \times 2$ matrix. The starting point is a Hermitian two-level system with the eigenenergies being $E_1$ and $E_2$. In the energy representation $\{ |\psi_1 \rangle, |\psi_2 \rangle \}$, the matrix form can be given as

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the two energy levels are arranged in ascending order such that $E_1 < E_2$. We focus on the dynamics of an initial thermal state with density matrix $\rho(0) = e^{-\beta H_0}/\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H_0})$ at temperature $T = 1/\beta$ with $\hbar = 1$. Evidently, when the two energy levels are near degenerate and low temperature limit is assumed, the density matrix $\rho(0)$ is reduced to $\rho_1(0) = I/2$ where $I$ is identity matrix. On the contrary, if there exists a gap $\delta = E_2 - E_1$ between the involved two energy levels, then the initial density matrix of the system is reduced to $\rho_2(0) = (I + \sigma_2)/2$. Note in passing that the first type of initial state is a maximally mixed state demonstrated by $\text{Tr}[\rho_1^2(0)] = 1/2$ and the second type of initial thermal state is a pure state characterized by $\text{Tr}(\rho_1(0))^2 = 1$. The interplay between $\delta$ and $\beta$ determines the constituents of each eigenstate in the mixed state. For instance, the larger $\beta$ is required to involve the information of excited state in the initial thermal state when $\delta$ is large. These evidences play the key role to understand the quench dynamics. After a non-Hermitian quench, the post-quench Hamiltonian can be given as $H = H_0 + H'$, wherein $H' = \lambda |\psi_1 \rangle \langle \psi_2 |$. The corresponding matrix form is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & \lambda \\ 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda$ is a real number and denotes the non-Hermitian coupling between two such energies. When the two energies of the pre-quench Hamiltonian are degenerate $E_1 = E_2$, the post-quench Hamiltonian $H$ is in a Jordan block form such that the two eigenstates $|\phi_1 \rangle$ and $|\phi_2 \rangle$ of $H$ coalesce. For any given pure initial state, the quenched Hamiltonian drive it to the coalescent state (see Appendix for details). However, when $\delta \gg 1$, the quenched Hamiltonian $H$ shares the same spectrum with $H_0$; the eigenstate $|\phi_1 \rangle$ is unchanged even though a non-zero perturbation $\lambda$ presents; the eigenstate $|\phi_2 \rangle$ of $H$ is in a superposition of $|\phi_1 \rangle$ and $|\phi_2 \rangle$, that is $|\phi_2 \rangle = (\lambda/\delta)|\phi_1 \rangle + |\phi_2 \rangle$. It is conceivable that the dynamics of the two initial thermal states will exhibit distinct behaviors. To give such differences, we first investigate the time evolution of the density matrix $\rho(t)$. It should obey the following equation

$$i \frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} = H \rho(t) - \rho(t) H^\dagger,$$

which admits the formal solution

$$\rho(t) = e^{-iHt} \rho(0) e^{iH^\dagger t}.$$  

Due to the non-Hermiticity nature, the time evolution of the density matrix is no longer unitary. Hence, in the subsequent analysis, we normalize $\rho(t)$ by taking

$$\rho(t) = e^{-iHt} \rho(0) e^{iH^\dagger t}/\text{Tr}(e^{-iHt} \rho(0) e^{iH^\dagger t}).$$

The degree of distinguishability between the initial state $\rho(0)$ and $\rho(t)$ can be identified by the so-called Uhlmann fidelity,

$$L(t) = |\text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2}(0) \rho(t) \rho^{1/2}(0))^1/2)|^2,$$

also known as Loschmidt echo (LE). For the first type of initial state $\rho_1(0)$, the straightforward algebra shows that

$$\rho_1(t) = \frac{1}{\Omega_1(t)} \begin{pmatrix} t^2 \lambda^2 + 1 & -it\lambda \\ it\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Omega_1(t) = \lambda^2/2 + 2$. Substituting $\rho_1(t)$ into Eq. (6), one can immediately obtain

$$L(t) = \frac{1}{2\Omega_1^{1/2}(t)} [(\sqrt{\Omega_1(t)} + \lambda t[\Omega_1(t) + 2]^{1/2} + \sqrt{\Omega_1(t)} - \lambda t[\Omega_1(t) + 2]^{1/2})]^2.$$
Our primary interest here is the steady-value of LE $L(t)$ ($t \to \infty$) after a sufficient long period, which can be given by setting $\lambda t_f \gg 1$. Within this condition, $\Omega_f(t_f) \approx \lambda^2 t_f^2$, and hence $L(t_f) \approx 1/2$. The physical picture is clear: The initial mixed state $\rho_1(0)$ contains components of two parities. When the non-Hermitian coupling $\lambda$ is switched on, the post-quench non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H$ contains only one coalescent state $|\psi_c\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle$. Therefore, all the possible initial states will be driven towards this coalescent state. This indicates that the component with a certain parity ($|\psi_1\rangle$) of the thermal state $\rho_1(0)$ is dominant since the EP dynamics. From this perspective, $\rho_1(t)$ loses half of the information regarding the $|\psi_2\rangle$, which results in $L(t_f) \approx 1/2$. These features do not occur when the Hermitian field $H'$ is applied since $L(t)$ is always 1 as time $t$ goes by. These conclusions still hold for the dynamical detection scheme of N-fold degenerate system. In that setup, the non-Hermitian detection filed is given as $H' = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_{j+1}|$ and post-quench Hamiltonian $H$ possesses only one eigenvalue whose geometric multiplicity being 1. Hence, the high-order EP point up to N-level coalescence is created. Any given arbitrary initial state will evolve towards the coalescent state $|\psi_1\rangle$ after sufficiently long time. At this time, $\rho_1(t)$ tends to $|\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1|$ leading to $L(t_f) \approx 1/N$. It also demonstrates that the order of EP determines the steady-value of LE $L(t)$.

For the second type of initial state $\rho_{II}(0)$, the time evolution of the density matrix can be readily obtained as $\rho_1(t) = \rho_1(0) = (I + \sigma_z)/2$. The LE $L(t)$ can be given directly as $L(t) \approx L(0) = 1$. This denotes that a non-Hermitian detection does not substantially affect the dynamics due to the presence of gap $\Delta$. It is worth pointing out that if the Hermitian detection field $H' = \lambda |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_2| + \text{H.c.}$ is turned on, then LE $L(t) = 1 - \lambda^2 \sin^2(\omega t) / \omega^2$ wherein $\omega = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \lambda^2}$. Evidently, it is a periodic function. In the weak coupling limit $\lambda \ll 1$, $L(t_f)$ stays near 1, which is similar to that of the non-Hermitian detection scheme. In the following, we will demonstrate that the considered scheme can be applied to examine the QPTs, which are usually associated with the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the system. When the system enters from one phase to another, the system energy will undergo a transition from gap to gapless wherein the phase transition point corresponds to the gap closing point. Hence, the system will exhibit distinct dynamic behaviors when it is in the different phases. Such difference can be detected by the current proposed scheme.

### III. JAYNES-CUMMINGS-HUBBARD MODEL

The first celebrated QPT model is the JCH model that has emerged as a fundamental model at the interface of quantum optics and condensed matter physics. It describes strongly correlated photons in a coupled qubit-cavity array and predicts a superfluid-Mott insulator transition of polaritons. The corresponding Hamiltonian reads

$$H_0 = \sum_i H_i^{JC} + \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \kappa_{ij} (a_i^\dagger a_j + \text{H.c.}) - \sum_i \mu_i N_i, \quad (9)$$

with

$$H_i^{JC} = \omega_i a_i^\dagger a_i + \omega_c \sigma_i^+ \sigma_i^- + g \left( a_i \sigma_i^+ + a_i^\dagger \sigma_i^- \right), \quad (10)$$

$$N_i = \sigma_i^\dagger \sigma_i + a_i^\dagger a_i, \quad (11)$$

where $\sigma_i^\pm = |\epsilon_i\rangle \langle g_i|$ and $\sigma_i^- = |g_i\rangle \langle \epsilon_i|$ correspond to the atomic (photonic) raising and lowering operators, respectively. $|g_i\rangle$, $|\epsilon_i\rangle$ are the ground and excited states of the two-level system. The transition energy of the atomic system is $\omega_i$, the cavity resonance is $\omega_c$ and the cavity mediated atom-photon coupling is $g$, which is assumed to be real for our purposes. The whole system is
The system is composed of four cavities and the other system parameters are given by a combination of the Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian $H_{JC}$ with photon hopping between cavities $\kappa_{ij}$ ($(i, j)$ represents nearest-neighbour pairs) and the chemical potential term $\mu_i$. Here $N = \sum_i N_i$ is the total number of atomic and photonic excitations, which is a conserved quantity, i.e., $[N, H] = 0$. This is also called $U(1)$ symmetry, conserves the number of polaritons.

For simplicity, we assume that the homogeneous intercavity hopping $\kappa_{ij} = \kappa \delta_{i,j+1}$ occurs for nearest neighbours and zero disorder $\mu_i = \mu$ for all sites. Because of the photonic repulsion arising from $g$, the system supports two phases, that is, the Mott insulating and superfluid phases. Such phases can be determined by employing a mean-field approximation. Although the mean-field theory as an approximation theory is not particularly accurate, it can give the basic property of the ground state of two phases. To capture such property, we first give the dressed states $|\pm, n\rangle$ of $H_{JC}$ (the subscript $i$ is omitted), where $n$ is the number of excitations in the cavity. The concrete forms of such states can be given as

$$ |\pm, n\rangle = \frac{g\sqrt{n}|g, n\rangle + [-\Delta/2 \pm \chi(n)]|e, n - 1\rangle}{\sqrt{2\chi^2(n) \pm \chi(n)} \Delta} \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (12) $$

and the corresponding eigenenergies are

$$ E_{\pm, n} = n\omega_c \pm \chi(n) - \Delta/2, \quad (13) $$

where detuning $\Delta = \omega_c - \omega_a$ and $\chi(n) = \sqrt{ng^2 + \Delta^2}/4$. The ground state for the dressed state system is defined as $|g, 0\rangle$ with eigenenergy $E_g = 0$. Taking the decoupling approximation $a_i^\dagger a_j = \psi^* a_j + \psi a_i^\dagger - |\psi|^2$ with $\psi = \langle a_i\rangle$, we can demonstrate that when the system is in the Mott insulating phase $\psi = 0$, the ground state of the system has a fixed number of polaritonic excitation on each site, which is determined by system parameters. There must be a gap between the ground state and the first excited state of the system. As a comparison, the system is in the superfluid phase when $\psi \neq 0$. At this time, the system is gapless and the ground state at each site corresponds to a coherent state of excitations over $|--, n\rangle$ branch. Note that the condition of $E_{\pm, n} < E_{\mp, n}$ is assumed. These properties allow us to dynamically identify two such phases by employing the non-Hermitian probing field that can be given as $H' = \lambda \sum_i a_i$ in this scenario. After a quench, one can expect that $L(t)$ of the initial thermal state with a low-temperature limit will not decay due to the protection of the gap. On the contrary, when the system is tuned to the superfluid phase, the ground state is forced to be degenerate to break the degeneracy for excitations with a small gap. This is confirmed in Fig. 3, which shows the LEs in the Mott insulating phase when $\kappa/g = 10^{-4}$ and $\kappa/g = 10^{-0.05}$. (b) and (d) LEs in the superfluid phase $\kappa/g = 10^{-0.3}$ and $\kappa/g = 10^0$. The system is composed of four cavities and the other system parameters are $\mu = -6.5g$, and $\beta = 10$. The LE decays rapidly to 0.25, whereas it remains at one in the Mott insulating phase. This evidence manifests that a local dissipation can lead to a significantly change of $\rho(t)$ thereby serves as a dynamical signature to identify different phases of matter.
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the symmetry. The degenerate ground states possessing
the different excitation numbers can be related to
each other through $H'$ such that a Jordan block form
appears. The degeneracy of the ground state determines
the order of the EP of $H$. In the thermodynamic limit,
the steady-value of $L(t)$ quickly approaches 0 according
to the EP dynamics of $\rho_i(t)$ in the aforementioned section.
In the finite-size system, the change of the ground
state symmetry accords with that predicted by the mean-
field theory, but the exact phase boundary cannot be
determined by that approximation. As a benchmark,
the purity $\text{Tr}[\rho^2(0)]$ is employed to identify whether
the ground state is degenerate in the low-temperature limit. Evidently, $\text{Tr}[\rho^2(0)] = 1$ when the ground state
is not degenerate. On the other hand, the presence of
the degenerate ground states makes the purity tend to
1/$N_c$, with $N_c$ denoting the degeneracy. Note that there
can exist a gap in the finite-size system even though
the system is in the deep superfluid regime ($t \gg \beta$) characterized
by the constant number of correlation function $\langle a^\dagger_i a_j \rangle$. However, it will vanish as the system dimension
increases. This property does not affect the validity of
the current proposed non-Hermitian scheme to detect the
QPT boundary at which the excitation spectrum is gap-
less.

To verify the above conclusion, we perform the nu-
merical simulations for $L(t)$ of the initial state $\rho(0)$
at different phases in the finite system. In Fig. 1, the non-
Hermitian quenched Hamiltonian drives the system exhibit
two distinct behaviors of $L(t)$: In the Mott insulating
phase characterized by a fixed number of excitations per site with no fluctuations, $L(t)$ will stay at 1 as time $t$ goes on; in a superfluid phase, $L(t)$ tends towards a steady value depending on the purity of the initial thermal state. These results agree with our prediction and demonstrate that LEs are insensitive to temperature and tend towards different values in different phases. To compare with the phase diagram obtained by the mean-field theory and the purity, we introduce an average LE in the time interval $[0, T]$ that is defined as

$$L = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T L(t) \, dt,$$

where $T \gg 1$. Average LE as a function of parameters $\kappa$ and $\mu$ values with given $\Delta = 0$ is plotted in Fig. 2(c). Comparing to the order parameter $\psi$ obtained by mean-field approximation in the thermodynamic limit, it indicates that the average LE can be used to identify the quantum phase diagram at nonzero temperatures even in small size systems.

Now we turn to examine how does the local external field can affect the $L(t)$. Consider the post-quench Hamiltonian with the form

$$H = H_0 + \lambda a_i,$$

where $\lambda a_i$ is the component of operator $\lambda \sum_i a_i$. In this case, the LE is denoted by $L_j(t)$. In the superfluid phase,

a local external field can indeed make the degenerate
ground states coalesce, thereby the long-term behavior of
$L_j(t)$ is expected to be similar to that of the post-quench Hamiltonian in the presence of the global non-Hermitian field. We perform the numerical simulation in Fig. 3. We can see that $L_j(t)$ decay to a steady value in the superfluid phase, but remain 1 in the Mott insulating phase after a sufficiently long time. This accords with our prediction. This evidence manifests that a local dissipation affects qualitatively the dynamics of the initial state through EP and hence provides a new mechanism to probe the QPT from Mott insulator to superfluid.

IV. BOSE-HUBBARD MODEL

The second celebrated model delineating a Mott-
insulator-superfluid transition is the BH model. The corre-
sponding Hamiltonian is

$$H_0 = -\sum_{<i,j>} \kappa_{ij} (b_i^\dagger b_j + \text{H.c.}) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_i n_i (n_i - 1) - \mu \sum_i n_i.$$  

(16)

Here $b_i^\dagger$ and $b_i$ are bosonic creation and annihilation op-
erators such that $n_i = b_i^\dagger b_i$ gives the number of particles on-site $i$. $\kappa_{ij}$, $\mu$, and $U$ are tunable parameters of the BH model, corresponding to the tunneling, chemical potential, and interaction strength, respectively. The system also respects the $U(1)$ symmetry, that is $[\sum_i n_i, H] = 0$, which conserves the number of bosons instead.
of polaritons. The BH model is closely related to the Hubbard model which originated in solid-state physics as an approximate description of superconducting systems and the motion of electrons between the atoms of a crystalline solid. In the experiment of ultra-cold atom loaded into the optical lattice, the considered model can be explored from a superfluid to Mott insulating phase\cite{49} by addressing the laser field and manipulating Feshbach resonance\cite{50,51}. This model can also be used to describe physical systems such as bosonic atoms in an optical lattice, as well as certain magnetic insulators\cite{52,53}.

Again, the system exhibits two different phases of matter by tuning the ratio $t/U$. The Mott insulating phase is essentially a product of single-site states of bosons where there is a finite energy gap opposing the addition of a boson. The excitation spectrum of the superfluid is gapless in the sense that the sum of the energy cost needed to add and to remove one particle from the system is zero. The superfluid phase shows boson number fluctuations instead of polariton number fluctuations in the JCH model. According to the value of $\psi$, the mean-field phase boundary of the BH model is shown in Fig. 3. With the same procedure, we consider the quench dynamics of the initial therm state $\rho(t)$. The non-Hermitian applied field is $H' = \lambda \sum_i b_i$. After a quench, we first evaluate the performance of $L(t)$ in such two different phases of matter. The evolved density matrix $\rho(t)$ is the same as that in the JCH model, which can be shown in Fig. 4. In addition, we numerically compute the $T(t)$ and $T_i(t)$ in the finite-size system. Fig. 5 shows that the Mott-lobes can be determined by $T$ indicating that the phase diagram can be preserved in the finite-size system. Note in passing that a local non-Hermitian quench field $H' = \lambda b_i^\dagger$ can also dynamically identify two such phases which can be shown by comparing Fig. 6(a) and (b). It paves the way to understanding the spontaneous symmetry breaking of matter at nonzero temperatures.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have witnessed the Mott-insulator to superfluid phase transition from zero to non-zero temperatures. The gapless excitation spectrum, which serves as the signature of the $U(1)$ symmetry breaking, is crucial to achieving the conclusion. Such nonzero-temperature QPT can be probed through an inhomogeneous non-Hermitian external field. The evolved state with specific direction arising from the EP dynamics amplifies the difference between two phases of matter, which has no counterpart in Hermitian regime and allows distinct responses in two such phases. We expect that the scheme proposed in this paper can be exploited to uncover as yet unexplored Hubbard-like models in a variety of physical systems.
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VI. APPENDIX

Considering that the eigenstates of the two-level system are degenerate. In the basis of $\{|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle\}$, the post-quenched Hamiltonian is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} E & \lambda \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

where $E = E_1 = E_2$ is supposed. It has a Jordan block structure such that the degenerates become coalesce with
a coalescent state |ψ_c⟩ = (1 0)ᵀ. For an arbitrary initial state |Φ(0)⟩ = a |ψ₁⟩ + b |ψ₂⟩, its time evolution can be determined by the propagator U(t) that has an explicit form

\[ U(t) = e^{-iEt} \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & -i\lambda t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Hence, the evolved state by neglecting the overall phase can be given as |Φ(t)⟩ = (a − ibλt) |ψ₁⟩ + b |ψ₂⟩. After a sufficiently long time, the probability in |ψ₁⟩ overwhelms that in |ψ₂⟩ ensuring the final evolved state be the coalescent state |ψ_c⟩.
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