Constraining the number of fundamental quantum degrees of freedom using gravity
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Fundamental particles are quantizations of omnipresent fields which can be visualized as a product of interacting quantum degrees of freedom spread over space. In the low energy scenario, we analyze the effect of gravity on such extended quantum systems (EQSs) by devising a differential redshift factor of the system Hamiltonian which depends on the relative position of a nearby massive particle. At zero temperature, by the mere dependence of the ground state of the EQS on the position of the gravitating particle (via the differential redshift), we establish the gravitational decoherence of the massive particle prepared in a spatial superposition. As such, taking a spin chain as a toy model for an EQS, we identify the number of independent spin chains which can cause reasonable decoherence in the spatial superposition of the massive particle. The coherence observed in an actual experiment can therefore, be used to put a fundamental limit on the quantum DOF surrounding the spatial superposition of a massive particle (and by extension the number of extant fundamental particles).

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the greatest successes of theoretical physics has been in reducing an incredibly vast range of phenomena to the interactions between a relatively small number of fundamental degrees of freedom (DOF), summarised by the Standard Model of particle physics [1]. Despite its undisputed experimental success, it is generally believed that the Standard Model is incomplete, not least for the fact that it does not include gravity.

The common understanding is that new physics should emerge at sufficiently high energy scales, in the form of new particles. String Theory, for example, implies the existence of an infinite number of particles, emerging as a tower of excitations of an infinite number of fundamental DOF [2, 3]. Although theoretical arguments have been proposed to bound the maximum number of DOF in a fundamental theory [4–6], it is generally believed that present-day experiments impose no constraints: particles of sufficiently large mass are assumed to have no observable effect on low-energy experiments.

Here we propose a mechanism through which a sufficiently large number of local DOF would reduce the coherence in low-energy interference experiments. The mechanism relies on the universal coupling due to general relativistic redshift [7–11], which has been the subject of increased interest in recent years in the broader context of proposed low-energy tests of the interplay between quantum theory and gravity [12–21].

In the typical setting studied so far, one considers a particle with internal DOF that effectively act as a “clock”, namely they evolve at a rate given by the particle trajectory’s proper time. By preparing the particle in a superposition of two different heights in a gravitational potential (or, more generally, a superposition of two trajectories with different proper times), each amplitude evolves by a different amount, with the internal states encoding which-way information and thus reducing the visibility of an interference experiment [22]. In contrast, here we consider the dynamical DOF to be in a fixed location, while the source of the gravitational field (a massive particle) is prepared in a superposition. We take as an example a spin chain in the vicinity of a massive-particle interferometer, as shown in Fig. 1. For each arm of the
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FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of the Gedanken experiment. A massive particle passes through a Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the vicinity of a spin chain. Due to the redshift caused by the massive particle, the spin chain has a different ground state depending on the particle’s path. A superposition of paths results in entanglement between the chain and the particle, reducing the latter’s coherence. BS$_1$, BS$_2$ denote beamsplitters, while D$_1$, D$_2$ are detectors.
interferometer, the particle induces a gravitational redshift on a different section of the spin chain, resulting in entanglement between the chain’s DOF and the position of the particle, with corresponding loss in the interferometric path visibility of the particle.

Although the effect is interesting in its own right, it is too small to be relevant for realistic spin chains and matter-wave interferometers. However, we can take the spin chain as a toy model for a generic extended quantum system and, in particular, for a quantum field. Multiple spin chains can then mimic multiple field DOF (thus, multiple fundamental particles). We find that, for a sufficiently large number of chains, the cumulative effect becomes arbitrarily large, eventually producing a measurable loss of visibility in the interference of massive particles. The implication is that present-day interference experiments would not be possible given an arbitrarily large number of background DOF. Although our analysis does not provide a concrete number, it indicates that matter-wave interference experiments could impose an upper bound on the number of elementary particles.

In this manuscript, we elucidate the above discussion qualitatively and quantitatively by taking spin chain as a toy model for EQS. In the first section, quite generally, we discuss the differential redshift of an EQS Hamiltonian caused by a nearby massive particle. As such, we also establish the novel phenomenon of gravitational decoherence caused by such systems. In a later section, we identify the factors that could affect the coherence (and hence, the number of spin chains required for a reasonable decoherence) in a proof-of-principle experiment with spin chains. Moreover, we also consider a limiting case with a very high mass of the particle such that it can provide an upper bound on the number of spin chains required to cause a detectable drop in its coherence. In the final section, we conclude by remarking on the possibility of experimental realization of such an effect – particularly the high value of mass that would be required to make the proposal feasible.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

To probe the effect of gravity on an extended many body system, we consider a scenario wherein a massive particle is located in proximity to the system such that it exerts a gravitational influence throughout. The model assumes a fixed position of the chain and looks at the gravitational redshift in the Hamiltonian of such system, while it is fixed in space – as opposed to looking at the motion of the chain/dynamics of its excitations/particles. Such a gravitational effect can be interpreted as differential time dilation/ redshift along the extended system.

For some of these systems, particularly those with local interactions, the Hamiltonian can be written as a sum of local Hamiltonians (e.g. in the Heisenberg model of a spin chain) as shown below:

\[
H_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i ,
\]

where it is assumed that the system is composed of L subsystems and where each \( h_i \) acts non-trivially only on a set of “neighbouring” quantum DOF. As an effect of gravity, the differential time dilation in such a system can be equivalently explained by redshift of the local Hamiltonians along the chain. The redshift factor is non uniform along the system and depends on the relative position of the massive particle and the subsystem of the EQS labelled by “i”. This redshift effect can be expressed as: \( h_i \rightarrow \sqrt{1-\eta(x_i)} h_i \), where \( \eta(x) \) is the time-time component of the metric with signature \((-+,+,+,+)\), and \( x_i \) the position of the subsystem with its local Hamiltonian \( h_i \). Here we assume that \( \eta(x) \) does not depend on time, i.e. a static spacetime background. Also, we associate with each subsystem \( i \) their position \( x_i \) which implicitly assumes that the subsystem does not have a significant spatial span on the length scale on which \( \eta(x) \) appreciably varies. Therefore, the total Hamiltonian of the EQS, including the gravitational effect of differential time dilation is given as follows:

\[
H_{\text{total}} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sqrt{1-\eta(x_i)} h_i .
\]

In this scenario, if one considers the temperature: T to be zero, the EQS would always be in the ground state (the state with lowest energy) irrespective but not independent of the position of the massive particle. This proposition relies on the assumption that the massive particle is brought close to the EQS adiabatically such that the system is allowed to relax to the ground state corresponding to the instantaneous Hamiltonian. Therefore, at no point in time does the system experience an effective temperature due to the gravitational redshift of the Hamiltonian, and therefore, remains in the ground state.

If the massive particle is in a positional state \( |\tilde{r}\rangle \), the state of the gravitating particle and the spin chain is given as follows:

\[
|\psi\rangle = |\tilde{r}\rangle |\text{GS}_{\psi}\rangle ,
\]

where \( |\psi\rangle \) is the state of the complete system and \( |\text{GS}_{\psi}\rangle \) represents the ground state of the EQS (i.e. of \( H_{\text{total}} \)) when the gravitating particle is at a particular position labelled by \( \tilde{r} \) which also represents its positional state.

While the study of the change in the ground state energy of EQS caused by a nearby massive particle is interesting in it own right, a more intriguing case is when the massive particle is in a spatial superposition. We can imagine a Gedanken experiment wherein the interferometric setup in Fig. (1) represents the underlying physical scenario. At first, the beamsplitter \( BS_1 \) prepares the massive particle in a spatial superposition of two positional states near EQS. For example, if the spatial DOF
denoted by \( \tilde{r} \) in Eq. (2) is in superposition in the positional basis \( \{ |\tilde{r}_1 \rangle, |\tilde{r}_2 \rangle \} \) in the state \( |+\tilde{r} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |\tilde{r}_1 \rangle + |\tilde{r}_2 \rangle \right] \), the state of the total system before \( BS_2 \) consisting of EQS and the gravitating particle is entangled and is given as follows:

\[
|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |\tilde{r}_1 \rangle |GS_{\tilde{r}_1}\rangle + |\tilde{r}_2 \rangle |GS_{\tilde{r}_2}\rangle \right].
\]  

(2)

Since the ground state of EQS is entangled with the position of the massive particle, this will lead to decoherence of spatial superposition of the massive particle. The overlap between the ground states of EQS corresponding to different positions of the massive particle is given as follows:

\[
\mathcal{M} = |\langle GS_{\tilde{r}_1} | GS_{\tilde{r}_2}\rangle|.
\]  

(3)

In the Gedanken experiment, \( \mathcal{M} \) is equal to the interferometric visibility of the spatial DOF associated with the gravitating particle. The visibility depends on the probabilities of detection – calculated through measurement in the orthogonal bases \( \{ |+\tilde{r} \rangle, |-\tilde{r} \rangle \} \) by the detectors \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \). The overlap also quantifies the distinguishability between ground states of the EQS \((1 - \mathcal{M})\) and represents the coherence of the spatial superposition of the massive particle. Therefore, a low value of \( \mathcal{M} \) signifies a higher distinguishability signalling the decoherence of the spatial superposition of the massive particle [22].

In general, we expect the effect to be extremely small: the gravitational interaction of a particle with the EQS will result in only slightly different ground states, so that \( \mathcal{M} \) will be close to 1. However, as we are going to see later through examples, we expect generally that \( \mathcal{M} < 1 \). If more DOF contribute to the effect, the effect will generally increase i.e. the decrease in the overlap will accumulate.

Let us consider a scenario with \( N \) independent EQSs, each interacting independently with the massive particle, so that their joint ground state has the form \( |GS\rangle^\otimes N \). Then, if each system contributes \( \approx M \) decoherence, the combined system will contribute \( \approx MN \). As long as \( \mathcal{M} < 1 \), we have \( \mathcal{M}^N \rightarrow 0 \) for sufficiently large \( N \). In other words, no matter how small the effect, there will be some \( N \) large enough to produce significant decoherence. This means that experimental observation of quantum interference can, in principle, put an upper bound on the total number of DOF with which the particle interacts.

As implied before, such gravitationally induced decoherence can also be considered with EQS such as fields whereby the coherence of the spatial superposition of the massive particle observed in an actual experiment provides an estimate on the number of independent field variables and hence, the number of extant fundamental particles.

### A. Spin chain as a toy model for EQS

In this section, we devise a simple model (Fig. 2) with a massive particle of mass \( M \) situated at a distance \( d \) from a spin chain which has a lattice spacing \( x \) such that \( x \ll d \). In a later section, we use this model for calculating the overlap and hence, quantify the coherence of the spatial superposition. Spin chains as a toy model EQS also enable the possibility of a proof of principle experiment using an actual spin chain or by simulating the gravitational effects on quantum hardware [23, 24].

In Fig. (2), the redshift profile represents the differential redshift caused in the spin chain Hamiltonian due to gravitational potential of the particle. We consider here an XXZ type chain with open boundary conditions whose Hamiltonian is given as follows:

\[
H = J_x \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left( \hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_{i+1}^x + \hat{S}_i^y \hat{S}_{i+1}^y \right) + J_z \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left( \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+1}^z - B_i \hat{S}_i^z \right),
\]  

(4)

where \( \hat{S}_i \) represents the spin operator at the site \( i \) of the spin chain, \( J_x, J_z \) are the nearest neighbour coupling (interaction constants) and \( B_i \) is the magnetic field at the site labelled \( i \). As a special case, we also consider the XX Hamiltonian (setting \( J_z = 0 \) in Eq. (4)) which can be mapped to a free fermionic system through the Jordan-Wigner transformation [25]. Therefore, this model represents a fundamental physical scenario wherein a massive particle in a spatial superposition near a free fermion decoheres and hence, is of our particular interest.

For a spin chain Hamiltonian which consists of various local interaction terms and can be written as a sum of local Hamiltonians, i.e. \( H = \sum_i \hat{h}_i \), to the first order in relativistic correction (and taking \( c = 1 \)), the effect of a nearby massive particle is given as follows:

\[
H_{\text{total}} = \sum_i \hat{h}_i (1 + \phi(\vec{r}_i)),
\]  

(5)

where \( \phi(\vec{r}_i) = -G \frac{M}{|\vec{r}_i|} \), which is the Newtonian potential, \( \vec{r}_i \) is the displacement vector from the particle to the site.
i in the spin chain and G is the universal gravitational constant. The above expression for the redshifted Hamiltonian stems from expanding the terms of $\sqrt{-g_{00}(x_i)}$ in Eq. (1) in the post Newtonian approximation which gives: $-g_{00} \approx 1 + 2\phi$, and thus, $\sqrt{-g_{00}} \approx 1 + \phi$. We shall rely on this form of the total Hamiltonian in the later sections to calculate the ground states corresponding to different positions of the massive particle and subsequently all the quantities of our interest, including the overlap.

**B. Modelling differential redshift in spin chain**

As a simple model, in Fig. (2) we have considered that the particle is at a distance $d$, and between the sites $k$ and $k+1$ of the spin chain, where $x$ is the spacing between the sites. We denote by $L$ the length of the spin chain, i.e. the total number of sites. Recall that to incorporate the redshift effect described in Eq. (6), we have to associate the total number of sites. Recall that to incorporate the redshift effect described in Eq. (6), we have to associate those terms to the middle point between the sites. In the limit of a large number of sites, the details of where between the sites we locate the energy should disappear. However, for numerical purposes, this choice appears to produce the most stable results. Therefore, in our model we shall consider the single site term in Eq. (4) i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{L} B_i S_i^z$ to have a different redshift factor than the spin-spin interaction terms owing to the geometry of the particle placement shown in Fig. (2). Hence, in the redshift factors: $\phi_i(r_i)$ and $\phi_{i,i+1}(r_i)$ for single site and the spin interaction terms respectively, the following $r_i$ s are given:

$$r_i = \sqrt{(k - i)^2 + d^2} \quad \forall i \in [1, L] , \text{ for } \phi_i ,$$

$$r_i = \sqrt{(k - i)^2 + d^2} \quad \forall i \in [1, L - 1] , \text{ for } \phi_{i,i+1} ,$$

such that the Hamiltonian in Eq. (4) has the redshifted form as follows:

$$H_{\text{total}} = J_x \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left[1 + \phi_{i,i+1}(r_i)\right] \left(S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y\right) + J_z \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left[1 + \phi_{i,i+1}(r_i)\right] S_i^z S_{i+1}^z - \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[1 + \phi_i(r_i)\right] B_i S_i^z .$$

From the form of the total Hamiltonian, one can see that the effect of gravity can equivalently be modelled by changing the local interaction constant $B_i$ and the nearest-neighbour coupling constants $J_x$, $s \in \{x,y,z\}$. Quantum hardware platforms such as ion traps can be used to simulate many body systems such as spin chains [26]. The coupling constants between quantum DOF in such platforms can be engineered with a good accuracy. Therefore, the effect of gravity and gravitational decoherence may be simulated on such platform in the near future to provide an experimental verification of our premise.

**III. RESULTS**

**A. Effect of a nearby massive particle on the ground state of a spin chain**

At first, we investigate the effect of presence of a nearby massive particle on the ground state energy of XXZ and XX (by setting $J_z = B = 0$ in Eq. (4)) spin chains. The ground state and the corresponding ground state energy of the Hamiltonian shown in Eq. (4) have been calculated using the LANCZOS algorithm [27] in QUTIP [28].

We investigate the change in the ground state energy of the spin chain for 2 distinct positional configurations of the gravitating particle in Fig. (3):

- **Edge configuration**: In the first instance, it is placed near the edges ($k_1 = 3, k_2 = L - 3$ in Eq. (6))

- **Middle configuration**: In the second instance, it is placed very near to the middle of the spin chain ($k_1 = L/2 - 1, k_2 = L/2 + 1$ in Eq. (6))

$E_0$ is the ground state energy of the chain without a gravitating particle nearby, $E_1(E_2)$ is the ground state energy when the particle is at site $k_1$ ($k_2$). This establishes that the position of the gravitating particle plays a vital role in the ground state of the spin chain and by extension, in the ground state energy. However, in both the configurations and for both the types of chains, the difference $|E_2 - E_1|$ is virtually zero which is expected from a symmetric-position model such as ours.

**B. Effect of superposition of massive particle on a spin chain and decoherence**

As mentioned before, we will use $M$ corresponding to different positions of the particle ($k_1$, $k_2$) to quantify the coherence of the spatial superposition which is also equivalent to the interferometric visibility in the Gedanken experiment in Fig. (1). The decrease in $M$ from 1 is a
sign of growing entanglement between the massive particle and the chain, which essentially leads to decoherence. Therefore, we use the distinguishability: \( 1 - \mathcal{M} \) as a figure of merit for decoherence. In Fig. (4), we show that the decoherence increases with the mass of the particle in superposition (\( \log(1 - \mathcal{M}) \) vs. \( z \)). We parametrise the mass with \( z \), where \( GM = 10^5 \) in panels (A) XX chain, and (D) XXZ chain for both – middle and edge configurations (where \( d = 10 \) is fixed). While the behaviour is the same for both the configurations in the XX chain, there is a difference, especially at higher \( z \) for the XXZ chain. It is seen from Fig. (4) (D) that there is a larger decoherence in the edge configuration than the middle configuration.

In Fig. (4) (B), (C) (XX chains), and (E), (F) (XXZ chains) we show the variation of decoherence with the distance between the spin chain and the mass for different values of the mass i.e. of \( z \). As expected, the distinguishability between the ground states corresponding to sites \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) decreases as \( d \) increases. This is equivalent to a larger value of the overlap \( \mathcal{M} \) as shown for both configurations – middle and edge in the (B), (E) and (C), (F) panels respectively. Since this is a direct indication of the influence of massive particle, this effect also depends on the mass. For higher values of \( z \), the influence of the massive particle is greater at larger values of \( d \) which is reflected in a higher \( 1 - \mathcal{M} \). Moreover, this effect also depends on the configuration of the spatial superposition of the massive particle which is clear on comparing the panels (B), (C) for XX chains and (E), (F) for XXZ chains.

To further analyse the site \( (k) \) dependence of \( \mathcal{M} \), we consider \( GM = 1 \), which indicates a very high mass for both XX and XXZ chains in Fig. (5). While the scale of decoherence differs considerably while the mass is changed, we aim to arrive at the highest decoherence achieved in the high mass limit. It is interesting to see that there is a qualitative as well as quantitative difference in the overlap resulting from XX and XXZ chains. As the symmetric sites are changed from near the edge to near the middle, while the distinguishability decreases monotonically (or equivalently the overlap increases) for the XXZ chain, it first increases and then decreases for the XX chain. One can expect that in the middle configuration i.e. for \( k_1 = L/2 - 1, k_2 = L/2 + 1 \), the overlap increases towards 1 as the states become less distinguishable. This inference is intuitive as the effect of the massive particle is confined to the middle portion only, and the slight asymmetry due to the mass being near the edge is absent. This is indeed observed from Fig. (5) for XXZ chains, but the behaviour of XX chains remains elusive yet intriguing.

### C. Limiting case of black hole superposition

We see that the increase in the distinguishability between the ground states of the spin chain corresponding to the different positions of the massive particle is limited by the distance of the particle from the chain and the mass. The quantitative analysis in Fig. (5) reveals that the change in magnitude of distinguishability is not particularly high, even with a very high mass. To investigate the limits of this effect, we can think of a case when the mass is very high and the spin chain is very near to the particle. Therefore, in the limiting case we can assume a black hole with a Schwarzschild radius of \( r_s = x/2 \) occupying the space between \( k \) and \( k + 1 \) sites. Though not representative of a realistic scenario, this case theoretically allows for a (hypothetically) true constraint on the number of quantum DOF and/or the number of EQS in the spacetime region affected by the coherent superposition of a massive particle. In this section we investigate the limits of the effect of a black hole, as a matter of principle. For example, we investigate if one could get orthogonal ground states of the spin chain by taking a sufficiently large mass of the black hole. In effect, we expect the spin-chain model to break down when we take such massive particles. However, such a black hole model simply serves to put an absolute upper bound: in its regime of validity the model cannot produce more decoherence than what we calculate in the below case.

In this scenario, the approximation given in Eq. (5) breaks down. Now, the redshifted Hamiltonian will be of the following form:

\[
H = \sum_i \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_s}{r_i}} h_i ,
\]

where \( r_i \) is taken from the centre of black hole and hence,
FIG. 4. (A), and (D) show the variation of the distinguishability between the ground states of the spin chain corresponding to the sites $k_1$, $k_2$ with $z$ which parameterizes the mass ($GM = 10^z$) while keeping $d = 10$ fixed for XX and XXZ chain respectively. Variation of the distinguishability between the ground states of the spin chain corresponding to the sites $k_1$ and $k_2$ of the spatial superposition of massive particle with the distance $d$ for different values of $z$ for (B) middle configuration and (C) edge configuration of XX chain and (E) middle configuration and (F) edge configuration of XXZ chain. The XX spin chain parameters are taken to be $L = 26$, $x = 1$, $J_x = 1$, $J_z = B = 0$. The XXZ spin chain parameters are taken to be $L = 26$, $x = 1$, $J_x = J_z = B = 1$.

for the $i^{th}$ site is as follows:

$$r_i = |k - i + 0.5| x \quad \forall \; i \in [1, L] , \; \text{for } \phi_i ,$$

$$r_i = |k - i| x \quad \forall \; i \in [1, L - 1] , \; \text{for } \phi_{i,i+1} ,$$

where the differential redshift factors $\phi_i$, $\phi_{i,i+1}$ lead to the redshifted Hamiltonian in Eq. (6).

For the purpose of calculating the ground state in the current case of black hole, we use exact diagonalization of the redshifted Hamiltonian.

We see that in Fig. (6), the overlap is considerably lower in value as compared to the previous case with a nearby mass particle of considerable lesser mass than a black hole. This indicates that a finite number of spin chains may cause a complete decoherence of the Schwarzschild black hole in a spatial superposition located within the spin chain. In Fig. (6) we map the dependence of the overlap between the ground states corresponding to the black holes at different sites ($k_1$ and $k_2$) within the spin chain on the spin chain parameter $J_z$ using the representative cases of $J_z = 1$ (Heisenberg Model) and $J_z = 0$ (XX model). We see that both the quantities control the overlap and in extension, the amount of decoherence caused by a single spin chain.

IV. ESTIMATING THE NUMBER OF EQS FROM DECOHERENCE

To arrive at the number of spin chains (representative ofEQS) leading to the decoherence of a massive particle prepared in a spatial superposition, the Gedanken experiment (see Fig. 1) could be realized experimentally with the massive particle prepared in a spatial superposition and its path interference visibility obtained from
successive runs/realisations of the experiment. Very high visibility is achievable in such experiments (without accounting for gravity) as demonstrated in recent works [29]. Therefore, a substantial drop (of the order of \( \frac{1}{10} \)) in visibility is certainly detectable.

As we have shown in the previous sections, if a single spin chain is taken, the overlap is very slightly less than one which quantitatively indicates a very small decoherence. This shall remain true irrespective of the experimental setup, given the small effect with a finite mass at the scale available to table-top experiments [30]. The drop in path interference visibility purely due to gravitational decoherence mechanism discusses here would not be detectable within the limits of noise in any foreseeable experiment. However, even if the effect is minuscule with a single spin chain, a sufficient number of spin chains will lead to a detectable drop in the visibility. For an experiment demonstrating a detectable and substantial drop in the visibility, we hypothesize a limit of experimental detection. Considering \( \alpha = e^{-\delta} \) to set the order of magnitude, we obtain the value for \( \mathcal{N} \) (as mentioned in section II) as follows:

\[
\mathcal{N} = \frac{-1}{\ln M}.
\]  

(9)

Since the overlap is slightly below one in all the cases, we can make the approximation: \( \ln M = \ln (1 - \delta) \approx -\delta \), with small \( \delta \). In the best case, i.e. for the lowest overlap, we see that \( \delta \approx 0.00016 \) (for XXZ chain with the edge configuration of the spatial superposition of the gravitating particle as shown in Fig. (5)). In this case, \( \mathcal{N} = 1/0.00016 \approx 6000 \), which shows that a large number of spin chains is required to witness a significant fall in the coherence of the spatial superposition of the gravitating particle.

It is important to note that we have considered a very high mass to calculate the overlap, and distinguishability – specifically for estimating the number of spin chains. For example, the lowest overlap quoted above assumes a mass parameter: \( z = 0 \), which translates to a mass in the kilogram range, for spin chains with the spacing in the \( A \) range. As considered in the previous section, all other distances are taken in the scale fixed by the lattice spacing. That being said, the prospect of creating such a high mass in a spatial superposition looks unfeasible in the near future, as the current record-setting experiments indicate. For e.g. in [29], the mass prepared in a spatial superposition is of the order of \( 10^{-23} \) kg.

For black holes a near total decoherence can be achieved by a much smaller number of spin chains, even of the order one. However, it is important to reiterate that this is only indicative of an absolute upper bound, and may not be experimentally feasible.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A massive particle located near an EQS affects its Hamiltonian through a differential redshift which depends on the relative position of the massive particle with respect to the EQS. At zero temperature, an EQS in the ground state remains to be so even in the presence of a massive particle, brought close to it in an adiabatic manner. However, the exact ground state of the (redshifted) Hamiltonian depends on the position of the massive particle relative to the EQS. Such an entanglement of the position of the massive particle with the ground state of the EQS leads to the decoherence of the massive particle in the positional basis prepared in a spatial superposition.

By constructing a simple model of the redshift in an illustrative model of EQS – spin chain – we have shown the effect of the massive particle on its ground state energy. If a massive particle is placed symmetrically from the edges of the chain, near the spin chain, the difference between ground state energies of the spin chain corresponding to the different positions of the particle is virtually zero, however, the states are appreciably distinguishable.

The entanglement between the positional DOF of the massive particle and the ground state of the spin chain arising due to redshift would lead to decoherence of the spatial superposition of the massive particle. This mechanism is similar to the entanglement between the position of a composite particle and its internal DOFs, due to the time dilation induced by a nearby mass on the internal dynamics [7, 9]. In our formalism, without any reference to temporal dynamics, by the sheer dependence of the gravitational redshift (and in extension, of the ground state) on the position of the massive particle, we have
shown that even a single spin chain can lead to a finite decoherence.

We have identified the coherence in the spatial superposition of the massive particle with the interferometric visibility in the Gedanken experiment, which is equal to the overlap between the ground state of the spin chain corresponding to the different relative positions of the massive particle. In general, the distinguishability between the ground states decreases with the relative distance between the massive particle and the chain and increases with the mass of the particle. It also depends on the configuration of the spatial superposition (here symmetric wrt the chain) and the type of spin chain. It is useful to note that the mass of the particle which could lead to such (small) decoherence quoted in this work would have to be very high. For example, the high mass case that we have considered translates to the kilogram scale.

By considering a scenario wherein a large number of spin chains can be considered to be in the vicinity of the massive particle, we ascertained that the total decoherence caused by them can be substantial. Assuming that the actual coherence observed in an experiment to be a fraction of the near-prefect visibility of one, we found that a finite – though large – number of spin chains may be required to cause a significant decoherence.

In conclusion, we have illustrated the aforementioned effect of gravitational decoherence by considering spin chain as a toy model for a one-dimensional EQS. We have thereby demonstrated that the actual coherence observed in an experiment can be used to estimate the number of EQS. We conjecture that the effect we found for spin chains will extend in the continuum limit to field DOF. If confirmed, this will provide a tool to estimate an upper bound on the total number of fundamental DOF, based on current, low energy experiments.
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