DECAY ESTIMATES FOR BI-SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS IN DIMENSION ONE
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Abstract. This paper is devoted to study the time decay estimates for bi-Schrödinger operators $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V(x)$ in dimension one with the decay potentials $V(x)$. We first deduce the asymptotic expansions of resolvent of $H$ at zero energy threshold with the presence of resonances or eigenvalues; then characterize these resonance spaces corresponding to type of zero resonances in a suitable weighted $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then use them to establish the sharp $L^1-L^\infty$ decay estimates of Schrödinger groups $e^{-itH}$ generated by bi-Schrödinger operators including zero resonances or eigenvalue. As a consequence, the Strichartz estimates are obtained for the solution of fourth-order Schrödinger equations with potentials for initial data in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, it should be emphasized that the presence of zero resonances or eigenvalue does not change the optimal time decay rate of $e^{-itH}$ in dimension one, except at requiring faster decay rate of the potential.

1. Introduction

1.1. Backgrounds and problems. The higher-order elliptic operator $P(D) + V$ has been extensively considered as general Hamiltonian operator by many people in different contexts. Let’s just mention some references, not all. For instance, see Schechter [Sch71] for spectral theory, Kuroda [Kur78], Agmon [Agm75], Hörmander [Hö05] for scattering theory, Davies [Dav97], Davies and Hinz [DH98b], Deng et al [DDY14] for the Gaussian estimates of semigroup, and as well [HS15, HS17, BS91, Mou81, SYY18] for other interesting topics. This paper will consider the time decay estimates for the bi-Schrödinger operators:

$$H = (-\Delta)^2 + V(x), \quad H_0 = (-\Delta)^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where $V(x)$ is a real-valued function satisfying $|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\beta}$ for some $\beta > 0$. Such investigations are motivated in large by classical Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V$ and their fundamental applications. Recall that in the last thirty years, the dispersive estimates of Schrödinger operator have been one of the key topics, which were applied broadly to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, see e.g. [Bou91, Caz03, Tao06, JSS91, KT98, NaSc11, Sch07, Sch20, Sim18a, Sim18b] and references therein.

For the free propagators $e^{-itH_0}$ and $H_0 = (-\Delta)^2$, Ben-Artzi, Koch and Saut in [BKS] have proved the following pointwise estimates for the kernel of $e^{-itH_0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$|\partial^\alpha_x I_0(x,t)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|}{4}} \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{t^{1/4}}\right)^{-\frac{n-|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.2)$$

where $I_0(x,t)$ be the kernel of $e^{-itH_0}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, which immediately implies the following $L^1-L^\infty$ estimates:

$$\left\| \partial^\alpha e^{-itH_0} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|}{4}}, \quad |\alpha| \leq n. \quad (1.3)$$
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Moreover, the regular term $\partial^\alpha$ of the $L^3$ can be replaced by fractional power $H_0^{\frac{s}{2}}$ for any $0 \leq s \leq n$ (see e.g. [CMY12]).

For bi-Schrödinger operators $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V(x)$, such time decay estimates were much less understood than Schrödinger operators. Recently, Feng, Soffer and Yao [FSY18] gave the asymptotic expansion of the resolvent $R_V(z) = (H - z)^{-1}$ around zero threshold, assuming that zero is a regular point of $H$ for $n \geq 5$ and $n = 3$, and showed that, in the regular case, the time decay rate of Kato-Jensen decay estimate of $e^{-itH}$ is $(1 + |t|)^{-n/4}$ for $n \geq 5$ and the $L^\infty$ decay estimates is $O(|t|^{-1/2})$ for $n = 3$ (not optimal).

Based on the higher energy estimates of resolvent in [FSY18], Erdoğan, Green and Toprak in [EGT19] for $n = 3$ and Green-Toprak in [GT19] for $n = 4$ further derived the asymptotic expansion of $R_V(z)$ near zero with the presence of resonance or eigenvalue, and obtained $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimates for each kind of zero resonances. They proved the time decay rate of $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimate is $|t|^{-n/4}$ for $n = 3, 4$ if zero is a regular point, and zero energy resonance will change the time decay rate.

Moreover, we mention that the Kato-Jensen estimates and asymptotic expansion of resolvent have been established by [FSWY20] for poly-Schrödinger operators $(-\Delta)^m + V$ with $n \geq 2m \geq 4$. In previous works, Murata in [Mur82] have generalized Kato and Jensen’s work [JK79] to a certain class of $P(D) + V$ assume that $P(D)$ satisfies

$$\nabla P(\xi_0) = 0, \quad \det [\partial_t \partial_\xi P(\xi)] \bigg|_{\xi_0} \neq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

However, the poly-harmonic operators $H_0 = (-\Delta)^m$ do not satisfy the nondegenerate condition at zero but the case $m = 1$. Hence the results in [FSWY20] were not covered by [Mur82]. In particular, the degeneracy of $(-\Delta)^m$ at zero energy surely leads to much complicate zero resonances classifications and asymptotic expansion of the resolvent for $(-\Delta)^m + V$ as $m \geq 2$, which actually is one of the main difficult points for the higher order estimates.

In this paper, we are devoted to establishing the same $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimates of $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$ (with regularity terms) as the free estimates in dimension one. We show that the presence of zero resonance or eigenvalue of $H$ do not affect the time decay rate of $e^{-itH}$ but requires more decay rate of potential $V$, which seems to be much distinct phenomena then other situations (see e.g. [FSWY20], Erdog˘an, Green and Toprak in [EGT19], Green-Toprak in [GT19] and Schlag [Sch20]).

As a consequence, Strichartz estimates are obtained for fourth-order Schrödinger equations with potentials for initial data in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, by using similar methods, Li and Yao [LY20] have derived the $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimates for $H$ with the characterizations of zero resonances in two dimensional case. In particular, we remark that arguments used here can be applied to other higher-order elliptic operators $(-\Delta)^m + V$.

For Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V$, the $L^1 - L^\infty$ decay estimates have been widely investigated by many people. For $n \geq 3$, Journé, Soffer and Sogge in [JSS91] were first to establish the $L^1 - L^\infty$ dispersive estimate in the regular case. For $n \leq 3$, one can see Rodnianski and Schlag [RS04], Schlag and Goldberg [GS04], Schlag [Sch07] and others.

Yajima in [Yaj95] has also established the $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimates for $-\Delta + V$ by wave operator method. For many further studies, one can refer to [ES04], [ES06], [GG15], [GG17], [Sch20] and therein references.

1.2. Some notations. In this subsection, we collect some notations used throughout the paper.

- For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $a \pm \epsilon$ to mean $a \pm \epsilon$ for any small $\epsilon > 0$. $[a]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to $a$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we denote $a \lesssim b$ (or $b \gtrsim a$) which means that there
exists some constant $c > 0$ such that $a \lesssim cb$ (or $b \gtrsim ca$). Moreover, if $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$, then we write $a \sim b$.

- For $s, s' \in \mathbb{R}$, $B(s, s')$ denote the space of the bounded operators from $L^2_s(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2_{s'}(\mathbb{R})$, where $L^2_s(\mathbb{R})$ is the weighted $L^2$ space:
  \[ L^2_s(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) : (1 + |\cdot|)^s f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \right\}. \]

### 1.3. Main Results.

In the subsection, we will state our main results. Recall that, if there exists a nonzero solution $\phi(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying the equation $H\phi(x) = 0$ in distributional sense, then we say that zero is an eigenvalue of $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V(x)$. In general, there may exist a nonzero resonant solution $\phi(x)$ in $L^2_{-\delta}(\mathbb{R})$ with some $\delta > 0$ such that $H\phi(x) = 0$, which also results in obstructions to dispersive estimates at low energy. In the present case, we first introduce the zero resonance definitions of $(-\Delta)^2 + V(x)$ in dimension one. For $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, let $W_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the intersection space $W_\sigma(\mathbb{R}) = \bigcap_{s > \sigma} L^2_{-\delta}(\mathbb{R})$. Note that, $W_{\sigma_1}(\mathbb{R}) \supset W_{\sigma_2}(\mathbb{R})$ if $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2$, and $W_0(\mathbb{R}) \supset L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\beta}$ for some $\beta > 0$. Then

(i) If there exists a nonzero $\phi(x) \in W_\frac{3}{2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus W_1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $H\phi(x) = 0$ in the distributional sense, then we say zero is a first kind resonance of $H$.

(ii) If there exists a nonzero $\phi(x) \in W_\frac{3}{2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $H\phi(x) = 0$ in the distributional sense, then we say zero is a second kind resonance of $H$.

(iii) If there exists a nonzero $\phi(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $H\phi(x) = 0$ in the distributional sense, then we say zero is an eigenvalue of $H$ (also called by the third kind resonance).

(iv) If zero is neither a resonance nor an eigenvalue of $H$, then we say zero is a regular point of $H$.

Compared with the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (see e.g. Jensen and Nenciu [JN01, JN04]), the classification of the zero resonance for $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$ in one dimensional case is more complicated due to the low dimensionality (i.e. $n = 1$) and the degeneracy of $(-\Delta)^2$ at zero energy (i.e. zero is the degenerate critical point of the symbol $\xi^4$); it leads to the more singular terms in the zero asymptotic expansion of resolvent $R^E_0(\mu)$ (see Theorem 1.7 below or Section 5). Now we state the first main result under the decay conditions depending on the types of zero energy defined above.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\beta}$ with some $\beta > 0$ depending on the following types:

\[ \beta > \begin{cases} 
13, & \text{zero is the regular point}, \\
17, & \text{zero is the first kind resonance}, \\
25, & \text{zero is the second kind resonance}, \\
29, & \text{zero is the eigenvalue}.
\end{cases} \]

Assume that $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$ has no positive embedded eigenvalues and $P_{\text{ac}}(H)$ denotes the projection onto the absolutely continuous spectrum space of $H$. Then we have the following decay estimate:

\[ \left\| e^{-itH} P_{\text{ac}}(H) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{4}{3}}, \quad t \neq 0. \tag{1.5} \]

Furthermore, for any $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, the following improved estimate holds:

\[ \left\| H^\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-itH} P_{\text{ac}}(H) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{4+\alpha}{3}}, \quad t \neq 0. \tag{1.6} \]
As we will see later, the needed decay rates of the potential $V$ mainly depend on the lower energy expansions of resolvent $R_V(z)$ for different zero resonance types (see Theorem 1.7 below). According to Remark 1.9 after Theorem 1.7, we still can obtain the decay estimates (1.5) and (1.6) by using the weak expansions of $R_V(z)$ under the assumption of the following less decay rates:

$$\beta > \begin{cases} 7, & \text{zero is the regular point}, \\ 9, & \text{zero is the first kind resonance}, \\ 13, & \text{zero is the second kind resonance}, \\ 15, & \text{zero is the eigenvalue}. \end{cases}$$

In the paper, we do not follow these interesting improvements; instead, focus on the simplicity of the proof based on the expansions of resolvent $R_V(z)$ in Theorem 1.7. Noting that the estimates (1.5) and (1.6) above are optimal in view of the free estimate (1.3) with $V = 0$.

In particular, the time decay rate (e.g. $|t|^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ in the (1.5)) does not change as the zero resonance or zero eigenvalue arises, but only requires the faster decay rate $\beta$ of potential $V$ as the compensations of zero singularities.

Moreover, in the above Theorem 1.2 we also assume that $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$ has no positive eigenvalues embedded in the absolutely continuous spectrum, which has been the indispensable condition in dispersive estimates. For Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V$, Kato in [Kat59] has shown the absence of positive eigenvalues for $H = -\Delta + V$ with the decay potentials $V = o(|x|^{-1})$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

For the bi-Schrödinger operator $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$, the situation seems to be more complicated than the second order operator, since there exist examples even with compactly supported smooth potentials such that positive eigenvalues appear (see e.g. [FSWY20]).

However, more interestingly, a simple criterion has been proved in [FSWY20] that $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$ has no any positive eigenvalues under the assumption that the potential $V$ is bounded and satisfies the repulsive condition (i.e. $(x \cdot \nabla)V \leq 0$). In particular, such criterion also works for the general higher order elliptic operator $P(D) + V$.

Besides, we also notice that for a general selfadjoint operator $\mathcal{H}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, even if $\mathcal{H}$ has a simple embedded eigenvalue, Costin and Soffer in [CS01] have proved that $\mathcal{H} + \epsilon W$ can kick off the eigenvalue located in a small interval under certain small perturbation of potential.

As a basic consequence of $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimates above, by Keel-Tao [KT98] method, we immediately obtain Strichartz estimate to the fourth-order Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \phi = ((-\Delta)^2 + V) \phi + F(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+1}, \\ \phi(0, \cdot) = \phi_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Recall that, a pair $(q, r)$ is said to be $\sigma-$admissible if

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\sigma}{r} = \frac{\sigma}{2}, \quad 2 \leq q \leq \infty, \quad (q, r, \sigma) \neq (2, \infty, 1). \quad (1.8)$$

**Corollary 1.3.** Let $V$ and $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$ satisfy the same conditions as Theorem 1.2 above. Then for any $\frac{1}{4}-$admissible pairs $(q, r)$ and $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r})$, we have the following estimates:

(i) Homogeneous Strichartz Estimate

$$\|e^{-itH} P_{ac}(H) \phi_0\|_{L^q_t L^r_x(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\phi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad (1.9)$$
(ii) Dual Homogeneous Strichartz Estimate
\[
\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i s H} P_{ac}(H) F(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L^p_t L^q_x(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \| F \|_{L^p_t L^q_x(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})},
\]
(1.10)

(iii) The solution \( \phi(t, x) \) of the equation (1.7) satisfies
\[
\| P_{ac}(H) \phi \|_{L^p_t L^q_x(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \| \phi_0 \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \| F \|_{L^p_t L^q_x(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})}.
\]
(1.11)

In order to derive the decay estimates (1.5), it follows from Stone’s formula that
\[
e^{-itH} P_{ac}(H) f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 [R^+_V(\mu^4) - R^+_V(\mu^4)] f(x) d\mu.
\]
(1.12)

Hence we need to study the detailed zero expansions of the resolvent \( R^+_V(z) = (H - z)^{-1} \) by the perturbations of the free resolvent \( R_0(z) \), for which the following identity holds:
\[
R_0(z) := ((-\Delta)^2 - z)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2z^\frac{3}{2}} \left( R(-\Delta; z^\frac{1}{2}) - R(-\Delta; -z^\frac{1}{2}) \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty).
\]
(1.13)

Here the resolvent \( R(-\Delta; z^\frac{1}{2}) := (-\Delta - z^\frac{1}{2})^{-1} \). For \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), we define the limiting resolvent operators by
\[
R^\pm_0(\lambda) := R^\pm_0(\lambda \pm i0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} (\Delta^2 - (\lambda \pm i\epsilon))^{-1},
\]
(1.14)
\[
R^\pm_V(\lambda) := R^\pm_V(\lambda \pm i0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} (H - (\lambda \pm i\epsilon))^{-1},
\]
(1.15)

By using the representation (1.13) for \( R_0(z) \) with \( z = \omega^4 \) for \( \omega \) in the first quadrant of the complex plane, and taking limits as \( \omega \to \mu \) and \( \omega \to i\mu \), we obtain
\[
R^\pm_0(\mu^4) = \frac{1}{2\mu^2} \left( R^\pm(-\Delta; \mu^2) - R(-\Delta; -\mu^2) \right), \quad \mu > 0.
\]
(1.16)

It is well-known that by the limiting absorption principle (see e.g. [Agm75]), \( R^\pm(-\Delta; \mu^2) \) are well-defined as the bounded operators of \( B(L^2, L^2) \) for any \( s > 1/2 \), and therefore \( R^\pm_0(\mu^4) \) are also well-defined between these weighted spaces. This limiting absorption property can be extended to \( R^\pm_V(\mu^4) \) for \( \mu > 0 \) for certain decaying and bounded potentials (see e.g. [FSY18]).

The main challenge is to derive the asymptotic expansions of \( R^\pm_V(\mu^4) \) as \( \mu \) near zero. Recall that the Green’s kernel of the free Laplace resolvent in dimension one (see [ES04, JN01] for example)
\[
R^\pm(-\Delta; \mu^2)(x, y) = \frac{\pm i}{2\mu} e^{\pm i\mu|x-y|},
\]
(1.17)

Therefore, by (1.16), we have for \( \mu > 0 \),
\[
R^\pm_0(\mu^4)(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\mu^2} \left( \pm i e^{\pm i\mu|x-y|} - e^{-\mu|x-y|} \right).
\]
(1.18)

Denote \( M^\pm(\mu) = U + v R^\pm_0(\mu^4) v, v(x) = |V(x)|^{1/2} \) and \( U = \text{sgn}(V(x)) \), by the following symmetric identity:
\[
R^\pm_V(\mu^4) = R^\pm_0(\mu^4) - R^\pm_0(\mu^4) v \left( M^\pm(\mu) \right)^{-1} v R^\pm_0(\mu^4).
\]
(1.19)

It suffices to establish the asymptotic expansions of \( \left( M^\pm(\mu) \right)^{-1} \) with the presence of zero resonance or eigenvalue. For this end, we need to introduce the projection spaces to derive the asymptotic expansions of \( \left( M^\pm(\mu) \right)^{-1} \).

Let \( T_0 := U + v G_0 v \) with \( G_0 = ((-\Delta)^2)^{-1} \) and \( P = \| V \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{-1} v(v, \cdot) \) be the orthogonal projection onto the span of \( v \), i.e. \( P L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \text{span}\{v\} \).
Definition 1.4. Let $Q = I - P$. Then we define that

(i) $S_0 L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f \in L^2 \mid \langle f, x^k v \rangle = 0, \ k = 0, 1 \}$.  
(ii) $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f \in L^2 \mid \langle f, x^k v \rangle = 0, \ k = 0, 1, \ and \ S_0 T_0 f = 0 \}$.  
(iii) $S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f \in L^2 \mid \langle f, x^k v \rangle = 0, \ k = 0, 1, 2, \ and \ QT_0 f = 0 \}$.  
(iv) $S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f \in L^2 \mid \langle f, x^k v \rangle = 0, \ k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ and \ T_0 f = 0 \}$. 

Remark 1.5. (i) The projection spaces clearly satisfy the following equivalent relationships: 
\[
\begin{align*}
S_0(v) & = S_0(xv(x)) = 0 \\
S_1(v) & = S_0 T_0 S_1 = 0 \\
S_2(v) & = QT_0 S_2 = 0 \\
S_3(v) & = QT_0 S_3 = 0
\end{align*}
\]
Hence, $S_0 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \supset S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \supset S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \supset S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R})$. 

(ii) Denote $S_j$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are the orthogonal projections onto the related to projection spaces $S_j L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then their orthogonal relationships can be listed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projections on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$</th>
<th>Orthogonal Relationships</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_0$</td>
<td>$S_0(v(x)) = S_0(xv(x)) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$</td>
<td>$S_1 T_0 S_0 = S_0 T_0 S_1 = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$</td>
<td>$S_2 T_0 Q = QT_0 S_2 = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_3$</td>
<td>$S_3 T_0 Q = QT_0 S_3 = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Orthogonal Relationships of Projections

These projection spaces in Definition 1.4 will be used to characterize the zero energy resonance types of $H$ (see Theorem 4.1 for the proof).

Theorem 1.6. Assume that $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$ with $|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\beta}$ for a large $\beta > 0$. Then the following statements hold:

(i) zero is a regular point of $H$ if and only if $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$; 
(ii) zero is a first kind resonance of $H$ if and only if $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \neq \{0\}$ and $S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$; 
(iii) zero is a second kind resonance of $H$ if and only if $S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \neq \{0\}$ and $S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$; 
(iv) zero is an eigenvalue of $H$ if and only if $S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \neq \{0\}$.

By the characterizations of zero resonances in Theorem 1.6 and using the orthogonal relationships in Table 1.5, we derive the asymptotic expansions of $\left(M^\pm(\mu)\right)^{-1}$, which are fundamental to study dispersive estimates and other problems related to $H$.
**Theorem 1.7.** Assume that $|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\beta}$ with some $\beta > 0$. Then for $0 < |\mu| \ll 1$, we have the following expansions of $(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

(i) If zero is a regular point of $H$ and $\beta > 13$, then

$$(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1} = S_0 A_{01}^0 S_0 + \mu QA_{11}^0 Q + \mu^2 (QA_{21}^0 Q + S_0 A_{22}^0 + A_{23}^0 S_0) + \Gamma_3^0(\mu). \tag{1.20}$$

where $A_{kj}^0$ are $\mu$-independent bounded operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma_3^0(\mu)$ is $\mu$-dependent operator which satisfies

$$\|\Gamma_3^0(\mu)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \mu \|\partial_\mu(\Gamma_3^0(\mu))\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim \mu^3.$$

(ii) If zero is a first kind resonance of $H$ and $\beta > 17$, then

$$(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_1 A_{11}^1 S_1}{\mu} + S_0 A_{01}^1 Q + QA_{12}^1 Q + \mu (QA_{11}^1 Q + S_0 A_{12}^1 + A_{13}^1 S_0) + \mu^2 (QA_{21}^1 + A_{22}^1 Q) + \Gamma_3^1(\mu). \tag{1.21}$$

where $A_{kj}^1$ are $\mu$-independent bounded operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma_3^1(\mu)$ is $\mu$-dependent operator which satisfies

$$\|\Gamma_3^1(\mu)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \mu \|\partial_\mu(\Gamma_3^1(\mu))\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim \mu^3.$$

(iii) If zero is a second kind resonance of $H$ and $\beta > 25$, then

$$(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_2 A_{23,1}^2 S_2}{\mu^3} + \frac{S_2 A_{2,1}^2 S_0 + S_0 A_{2,2}^2 S_2}{\mu^2} + \frac{S_0 A_{-1,1}^2 S_0 + QA_{1,2}^2 S_2 + S_2 A_{-1,3}^2 Q}{\mu} + (QA_{01}^2 S_0 + S_0 A_{02}^2 Q + S_2 A_{03}^2 + A_{04}^2 S_2) + \mu (QA_{11}^2 Q + S_0 A_{12}^2 + A_{13}^2 S_0) + \mu^2 (QA_{21}^2 + A_{21}^2 Q) + \Gamma_3^2(\mu). \tag{1.22}$$

where $A_{kj}^2$ are $\mu$-independent bounded operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma_3^2(\mu)$ is $\mu$-dependent operator which satisfies

$$\|\Gamma_3^2(\mu)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \mu \|\partial_\mu(\Gamma_3^2(\mu))\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim \mu^3.$$

(iv) If zero is an eigenvalue of $H$ and $\beta > 29$, then

$$(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_3 A_{4,1}^3 S_3}{\mu^4} + \frac{S_3 A_{3,1}^3 S_2}{\mu^3} + \frac{S_2 A_{3,2}^3 S_2 + S_0 A_{3,2}^3 S_2}{\mu^2} + \frac{S_0 A_{-1,1}^3 S_0 + S_2 A_{-1,2}^3 Q + QA_{-1,3}^3 S_2}{\mu} + (S_0 A_{01}^3 Q + QA_{02}^3 S_0) + \mu (QA_{11}^3 Q + S_0 A_{12}^3 + A_{13}^3 S_0) + \mu^2 (QA_{21}^3 + A_{22}^3 Q) + \Gamma_3^3(\mu). \tag{1.23}$$

where $A_{-4,1}^3 = (S_3 v G_4 v S_3)^{-1}$ in $S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and others $A_{kj}^3$ are $\mu$-independent bounded operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma_3^3(\mu)$ is $\mu$-dependent operator which satisfies

$$\|\Gamma_3^3(\mu)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \mu \|\partial_\mu(\Gamma_3^3(\mu))\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim \mu^3.$$

**Remark 1.8.** If we assume the more decay rate of $V(x)$, then we will have more information about the error term $\Gamma_3^i(\mu)$ (here the index $i$ respects to the zero energy type). More specifically, one actually can obtain that

$$\Gamma_3^i(\mu) = \frac{\mu^3}{a^\pm} P + \mu^3 (QA_{31}^i + A_{32}^i Q) + \Gamma_4^i(\mu),$$

where $a^\pm = \text{minimize} \left \{ \frac{|V(x)|^{1+\beta}}{(1 + |x|)^\beta} : x \in \mathbb{R} \right \}.$
where $A^i_{31}$ are $\mu$-independent bounded operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma^i_{4}(\mu)$ are $\mu$-dependent operators which satisfy

$$
\left\| \Gamma^i_{4}(\mu) \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \mu \left\| \partial_{\mu} (\Gamma^i_{4}(\mu)) \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim \mu^4.
$$

**Remark 1.9.** On the other hand, assuming the less decay rate of $V(x)$, one also obtain some weak expansions of $(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1}$ by checking the proof process of Theorem 1.7 (see Section 3) along with Remark 3.2. Indeed, for the **Regular Case**, it is enough for $\beta > 7$ to obtain the following expansions:

$$
(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1} = S_0 \Gamma^0_{11}(\mu) S_0 + Q \Gamma^0_{11}(\mu) Q + (Q \Gamma_{21}^0(\mu) Q + S_0 \Gamma^0_{22}(\mu) + \Gamma^0_{23}(\mu) S_0 + \Gamma^0_{31}(\mu)
$$

where $\Gamma^0_{kj}(\mu)(k = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ are $\mu$-dependent operators which satisfy

$$
\left\| \Gamma^0_{kj}(\mu) \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \mu \left\| \partial_{\mu} (\Gamma^0_{kj}(\mu)) \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim \mu^k.
$$

Furthermore, we can obtain similar weak expansions of $(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1}$ for the different resonance cases assuming the following decay rate of $V$:

$$
\beta > \begin{cases} 
9, & \text{zero is the first kind resonance,} \\
13, & \text{zero is the second kind resonance,} \\
15, & \text{zero is the eigenvalue.}
\end{cases}
$$

According to the proof of Theorem 1.7, we remark that even if we use the above weak form expansion of $(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1}$, the $L^1-L^\infty$ decay estimates (1.3) of $e^{-itH}$ also hold. But the expansions in Theorem 1.7 will be helpful for other studies such as the $L^p$ boundedness of the wave operators.

From Theorem 1.7 above, it is obvious that all types of zero energy resonances or eigenvalue lead to the higher singularity than regular case in the expansions of $(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1}$. On the other hand, the zero energy resonances or eigenvalues also offer more orthogonal relationships, which in turn provide the more cancellations to balance the singularities at the cost of the decay rate of potentials, as shown in the proof of Theorem 1.2 below.

We also remark that our analysis for the proof of Theorem 1.7 is longer and more complicated processes in some ways. First, more singular terms in the expansions of the free resolvent $R_0^\pm(\mu^4)$ will bring more complicated interacting terms in the expansions of $(M^\pm(\mu))^{-1}$, see Section 3. Then these interacting terms will lead to the difficulties of the inversion processes, especially for the second kind resonance case. For instance, in the case of the second kind resonance, we need to analyze the kernel of the non-positive operator $T_2$ given by

$$
T_2 = S_2 v G_2 v S_2 - \frac{8 \cdot (6!)}{\|V\|_{L^1}} S_2 T_0^2 S_2 + \frac{5}{2} S_2 v G_1 v D_0 v G_1 v S_2
$$

$$
+ \frac{48 \cdot (6!)}{\|V\|_{L^1}^2} \left( S_2 T_0 v G_{-1} v - \frac{\|V\|_{L^1}}{6} S_2 v G_1 v D_0 T_0 \right) D_2 \left( v G_{-1} v T_0 S_2 - \frac{\|V\|_{L^1}}{6} T_0 D_0 v G_1 v S_2 \right),
$$

which is actually a sum of the four complicated operators with changing signs. We will identify the ker $T_2$ as the projection space $S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R})$, see Lemma 3.4 for more details.

Finally, let us comment about the proof of the decay estimates in Theorem 1.2.

Since the derivative of $\mu$ for the free resolvent kernel $R_0^\pm(\mu^4)(x, y)$ can not be dominated by $\mu^\alpha$ in $x, y$ uniformly, in this paper we have used Littlewood-Paley decompositions and oscillatory integrals theory to solve the uniformity problem, which seems to be an interesting general technical point.
Using some similar methods but with important technical modifications, Li and Yao [LY20] have established the $L^1-L^\infty$ estimates for $H$ in dimension two. We also think that these arguments used here could be extended to general higher-order elliptic operators $P(D)+V$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 3, we give the process how to obtain the asymptotic expansion of $\left(M^\pm(\mu)\right)^{-1}$ case by case. In Section 4, we characterize the resonance spaces for each kind of zero resonance.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.2. Choose a fixed even function $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$ such that

\[
\varphi(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |s| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0, & \text{if } |s| \geq 1. \end{cases}
\]

For each $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\varphi_N(s) = \varphi(2^{-N}s) - \varphi(2^{-N+1}s)$, then $\text{supp} \varphi_N \subset [2^{N-2}, 2^N]$ and $\varphi_N(s) = \varphi_0(2^{-N}s)$. Furthermore, for any $s \neq 0$ we have $\sum_{N=-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi_N(s) = 1$. Using functional calculus and Stone’s formula, we have

\[
e^{-itH}P_{ac}(H)f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 [R^+_V(\mu^4) - R^-_V(\mu^4)] \, d\mu
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \sum_{N=-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi_N(\mu) \mu^3 [R^+_V(\mu^4) - R^-_V(\mu^4)] \, d\mu
\]

\[
:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \chi(\mu) \mu^3 [R^+_V(\mu^4) - R^-_V(\mu^4)] \, d\mu + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \tilde{\chi}(\mu) \mu^3 [R^+_V(\mu^4) - R^-_V(\mu^4)] \, d\mu,
\]

where $\chi(\mu) = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{N_0} \varphi_N(\mu)$ and $\tilde{\chi}(\mu) = \sum_{N=N_0+1}^{+\infty} \varphi_N(\mu)$ for some $N_0 < 0$ (fixed later but depending on specific zero energy types). Hence we reduce the proof of Theorem 1.2 into the estimates of the following two parts: low and high energy parts.

**Theorem 2.1. (the low energy dispersive estimate)**

Let $|V(x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-\beta}$ with some $\beta > 0$ depending on the following zero energy types:

\[
\beta > \begin{cases} 13, & \text{zero is the regular point,} \\ 17, & \text{zero is the first kind resonance,} \\ 25, & \text{zero is the second kind resonance,} \\ 29, & \text{zero is the eigenvalue.} \end{cases}
\]

Assume that $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$ has no positive embedded eigenvalue and $P_{ac}(H)$ denotes the projection onto the absolutely continuous spectrum space of $H$, then the following estimate holds:

\[
\|e^{-itH}P_{ac}(H)\chi(H)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{4}}.
\]

Moreover, for any $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we have the following estimate:

\[
\|H^\alpha e^{-itH}P_{ac}(H)\chi(H)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{4}+\alpha}.
\]
Theorem 2.2. (the high energy dispersive estimate)
Assume that $|V(x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-2-}$. Assume that $H = (-\Delta)^2 + V$ has no positive embedded eigenvalue and $P_{ac}(H)$ denotes the projection onto the absolutely continuous spectrum space of $H$, then we have
\[
\|e^{-itH}P_{ac}(H)\chi(H)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{4}}.
\] (2.4)
Moreover, for any $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have the following estimate:
\[
\|H_+^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}e^{-itH}P_{ac}(H)\chi(H)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{11+\alpha}{4}}.
\] (2.5)

The proofs of the low and high energy parts will be given in the following subsections. For the end, let us first recall the properties of free group $e^{-it\Delta^2}$ in dimension one by the resolvent methods, which will show us some basic techniques how to prove the potential cases.

2.1. The estimates for the free case. First, we give a useful lemma to estimates a kind of oscillatory integrals appeared repeatedly in this paper.

Lemma 2.3. Let $m \geq 1$, $\Psi(z)$ is a nonnegative real valued function of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\Phi(s; z)$ is a function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m$, which is smooth for the first variable $s$ and has a fixed compact support on $s$. Assume that for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Phi(s; z)$ satisfies the following uniform bounded condition:
\[
\left| \partial_s^k \Phi(2^Ns; z) \right| \lesssim 1, \quad k = 0, 1,
\]
then we have
\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it2^4Ns^4} \varphi_0(s)e^{\pm i2^Ns^4}\Psi(z)\Phi(2^Ns; z)ds \right| \lesssim (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{4}}.
\] (2.6)

Proof. Denote
\[
K_N^\pm(t, z) := \int_0^\infty e^{-it2^4Ns^4} \varphi_0(s)e^{\pm i2^Ns^4}\Psi(z)\Phi(2^Ns; z)ds.
\]
Since $\left| \Phi(2^Ns; z) \right| \lesssim 1$ and $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_0(s) \subset \left[ \frac{1}{4}, 1 \right]$, then we have
\[
\left| K_N^\pm(t, z) \right| \lesssim \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^1 |\varphi_0(s)|ds \lesssim 1.
\] (2.7)

Case 1: $2^N\Psi(z) \leq 1$. Using integrate by parts once, we have
\[
K_N^\pm(t, z) = \frac{e^{-it2^4Ns^4}(-3\varphi_0(s)e^{\pm i2^Ns^4}\Psi(z))\Phi(2^Ns; z)}{-4it \cdot 2^{4N}} \bigg|_0^\infty
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4it \cdot 2^{4N}} \int_0^\infty e^{-it2^4Ns^4} \partial_s(s^{-3}\varphi_0(s)e^{\pm i2^Ns^4}\Psi(z))\Phi(2^Ns; z)ds.
\]
Noting that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_0(s) \subset \left[ \frac{1}{4}, 1 \right]$, and for $k = 0, 1$, we have
\[
\left| \partial_s^k (e^{\pm i2^Ns^4}\Psi(z)) \right| \lesssim 1, \quad \left| \partial_s^k (\Phi(2^Ns; z)) \right| \lesssim 1,
\]
thus we can obtain that
\[
\left| K_N^\pm(t, z) \right| \lesssim (|t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-1}.
\] (2.8)
Combining (2.7) with (2.8), we obtain that
\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left| K_N^\pm(t, z) \right| \lesssim (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{4}}.
\]
Case 2: $2^N \Psi(z) \geq 1$. Set $r = 2^N \Psi(z)$. In this case, we rewrite $K^\pm_N(t, z)$ as follows:

$$K^\pm_N(t, z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-it2^Ns^4 \pm isr} \varphi_0(s) \Phi(2^Ns; z) ds.$$ 

Assume that $t > 0$, we consider $K^-_N(t, z)$ and $K^+_N(z)$ case by case.

Firstly, we study $K^-_N(t, z)$. Let $u_-(s, r) = t \cdot 2^4s^4 + sr$, then we have

$$K^-_N(t, z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-iu_-(s, r)} \varphi_0(s) \Phi(2^Ns; z) ds.$$ 

It is easy to know that

$$|\partial_s u_-(s, r)| = |4t \cdot 2^4s^3 + r| \gtrsim (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N).$$

Thus $u_-(s, r)$ does not exist critical point, by (2.7) and integrating by parts once, we have

$$|K^-_N(t, z)| \lesssim (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$ 

Next to consider $K^+_N(t, z)$. Let $u_+(s, r) = t \cdot 2^4s^4 - sr$, then we have

$$K^+_N(t, z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-iu_+(s, r)} \varphi_0(s) \Phi(2^Ns; z) ds.$$ 

It is obvious that

$$\partial_s u_+(s, r) = 4t \cdot 2^4s^3 - r$$

has a critical point $r_0 = 4t \cdot 2^4s^3$.

If $r \geq 8t \cdot 2^4N$ or $r \leq \frac{1}{32}t \cdot 2^4N$, then the critical point $r_0$ is not in the range, so by (2.7) and using integration by parts once, we have

$$|K^+_N(t, z)| \lesssim (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$ 

If $\frac{1}{32}t \cdot 2^4N \leq r \leq 8t \cdot 2^4N$, then the critical point exists. Since

$$|\partial^2_s u_+(s, r)| = |12t \cdot 2^4s^2| \gtrsim |t| \cdot 2^4N,$$

thus by Van der Corput lemma (see e.g. Ste93), we have

$$|K^+_N(t, z)| \lesssim (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$ 

Hence, by summing all cases above we have that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} |K^+_N(t, z)| \lesssim (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

which concludes the proof of this lemma. \qed

Using Lemma 2.3, we can give the following estimates for $e^{-it\Delta^2}$ in one dimensional case by the free resolvent $R^+_0(\mu^4)$. Note that we can write down

$$e^{-it\Delta^2} f(x) = \int_\mathbb{R} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 (R^+_0(\mu^4) - R^-_0(\mu^4))(x, y) d\mu \right) f(y) dy,$$ 

(2.9)

where

$$R^+_0(\mu^4)(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\mu^4} \left( \pm i e^{\pm i|x-y|} - e^{-\mu|x-y|} \right) = \frac{e^{\pm i|x+y|}}{4\mu^4} \left( \pm i - e^{-\mu|x-y|\pm i|x-y|} \right).$$
Proposition 2.4. For any $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have
\[
\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_N(\mu) R_0^\pm(\mu^4) (x,y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\] (2.10)
Furthermore, we have
\[
\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 R_0^\pm(\mu^4) (x,y) d\mu \right| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\] (2.11)
As a consequence, it follows that
\[
||e^{-it\Delta^2}||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad t \neq 0.
\] (2.12)

Proof. Since $\varphi_N(\mu) = \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu)$, thus set
\[
K^\pm_{0,N}(t; x, y) := \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu) [R_0^\pm(\mu^4)](x,y) d\mu.
\] (2.13)

Let $\mu = 2^Ns$, then we have
\[
K^\pm_{0,N}(t; x, y) = 2^N \int_0^\infty e^{-it2^N s^4} \varphi_0(2^{-N}s) e^{\pm i2^Ns|x-y|}(\pm i - e^{-2^N s|x-y| + is|x-y|}) ds.
\]

Let $z = (x, y)$, and
\[
\Psi(z) = \Psi(x, y) = |x - y|, \quad \Phi^\pm(s; z) = \Phi^\pm(s; x, y) = \pm i - e^{-s|x-y| + is|x-y|}.
\]

It is obvious that for $k = 0, 1$, $|\partial^k_s (\Phi(2^Ns; x, y))| \lesssim 1$, then by Lemma 2.3, we obtain that
\[
\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} |K^\pm_{0,N}(t; x, y)| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Denote
\[
K^\pm_0(t; x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 R_0^\pm(\mu^4)(x,y) d\mu.
\]

Thus
\[
K^\pm_0(t; x, y) = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_N(\mu) [R_0^\pm(\mu^4)](x,y) d\mu
\]
\[
= \sum_{N=-\infty}^{+\infty} K^\pm_{0,N}(t; x, y).
\]

It is obvious that there exists $N' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|t| \cdot 2^{4N'} \sim 1$, so we have
\[
|K^\pm_0(t; x, y)| \lesssim \sum_{N=-\infty}^{N'} |K^\pm_{0,N}(t; x, y)| + \sum_{N=N'+1}^{+\infty} |K^\pm_{0,N}(t; x, y)|
\]
\[
\lesssim \sum_{N=-\infty}^{N'} 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{N=N'+1}^{+\infty} 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
\lesssim \sum_{N=-\infty}^{N'} 2^N + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{N=N'+1}^{+\infty} 2^{-N}
\]
\[
\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\]
which immediately gives the (2.11).
2.2. Low Energy Dispersive Estimate with $\alpha = 0$. In this subsection, we show the low energy dispersive estimate \((2.2)\) case by case for zero is a regular point or zero energy resonance or eigenvalue.

The following lemma plays an important role to make use of cancellations from projections operator $S_j$ in the zero asymptotical expansions of resolvent $R_V(z)$ (see Theorem \([17]\) above), and will be used repeatedly to obtain low energy dispersive estimates for all cases.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $\mu > 0$ and $\text{sgn}(x)$ is the sign function of $x$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Then

(i) If $F(p) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, then for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$F(\mu|x - y|) = F(\mu|x|) - \mu y \int_0^1 \text{sgn}(x - \theta y)F'(\mu|x - \theta y|)d\theta. \quad (2.14)$$

(ii) If $F(p) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $F'(0) = 0$, then for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$F(\mu|x - y|) = F(\mu|x|) - \mu y \text{sgn}(x)F'(\mu|x|) + \mu^2 y^2 \int_0^1 (1 - \theta)F''(\mu|x - \theta y|)d\theta. \quad (2.15)$$

(iii) If $F(p) \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$ and $F''(0) = 0$, then for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$F(\mu|x - y|) = F(\mu|x|) - \mu y \text{sgn}(x)F'(\mu|x|) + \frac{\mu^2 y^2}{2!}F''(\mu|x|)$$
$$- \frac{\mu^3 y^3}{3!} \int_0^1 (1 - \theta)^2(\text{sgn}(x - \theta y))^3F^{(3)}(\mu|x - \theta y|)d\theta. \quad (2.16)$$

(iv) If $F(p) \in C^4(\mathbb{R})$ and $F^{(k)}(0) = 0$ $(k = 1, 2, 3)$, then for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$F(\mu|x - y|) = F(\mu|x|) - \mu y \text{sgn}(x)F'(\mu|x|) + \frac{\mu^2 y^2}{2!}F''(\mu|x|) - \frac{\mu^3 y^3}{3!} \text{sgn}(x)F^{(3)}(\mu|x|)$$
$$+ \frac{\mu^4 y^4}{3!} \int_0^1 (1 - \theta)^3F^{(4)}(\mu|x - \theta y|)d\theta. \quad (2.17)$$

**Proof.** Denote

$$G_\varepsilon(y) = F(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}), \quad \varepsilon \neq 0.$$ 

If $F(p) \in C^{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$, then $G_\varepsilon(y) \in C^{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ for $\varepsilon \neq 0$. By Taylor expansions, we have

$$G_\varepsilon(y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{G^{(k)}(0)}{k!} y^k + \frac{y^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^1 (1 - \theta)^n G^{(n+1)}_\varepsilon(\theta y)d\theta. \quad (2.18)$$

(i) Since $F(p) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} G_\varepsilon(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} F(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}) = F(\mu|x - y|).$$

Note that for $\varepsilon \neq 0$,

$$G'_\varepsilon(y) = \frac{-\mu(x - y)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}} F'(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}),$$

then for each $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} G'_\varepsilon(\theta y) = -\mu \text{sign}(x - \theta y)F'(|\mu|x - \theta y|). \quad (2.19)$$

Since there exists a constant $C = C(\mu, x, y) > 0$ such that $|G'_{\varepsilon}(\theta y)| \leq C$ for all $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, then by taking $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in the two sides of \((2.18)\) with $n = 0$ and Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, it immediately follows that

$$F(\mu|x - y|) = F(\mu|x|) - \mu y \int_0^1 \text{sgn}(x - \theta y)F'(\mu|x - \theta y|)d\theta.$$
(ii) If \( F(p) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \), then for \( \varepsilon \neq 0 \),
\[
G_\varepsilon''(y) = \mu^2 \left( \frac{x - y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}} \right)^2 F''(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})
+ \mu \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}} \cdot \frac{F'(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})}{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}.
\]

Since \( F'(0) = 0 \), thus we can obtain that
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} G_\varepsilon''(y) = \mu^2 F''(\mu |x - y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.
\] (2.20)

Then by using (2.18) with \( n = 1 \), (2.19), (2.20) and Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, we can conclude that
\[
F(\mu |x - y|) = \sum_{k=0}^{1} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{G_\varepsilon^{(k)}(0)}{k!} y^k + y^2 \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \theta)G_\varepsilon''(\theta y)d\theta.
\]
\[
=F(\mu |x|) - \mu y \operatorname{sgn}(x) F'(\mu |x|) + \mu^2 y^2 \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \theta) F''(\mu |x - \theta y|)d\theta.
\]

(iii) If \( F(p) \in C^3(\mathbb{R}) \), then for \( \varepsilon \neq 0 \),
\[
G_\varepsilon^{(3)}(y) = -\mu^3 \left( \frac{x - y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}} \right)^3 F^{(3)}(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})
- 3\mu^2 \frac{(x - y)\varepsilon^2}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})^3} \cdot \frac{F''(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}}
+ 3\mu \frac{(x - y)\varepsilon^2}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})^3} \cdot \frac{F'(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})^2}.
\]

then for each \( x, y \in \mathbb{R} \), we have
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} G_\varepsilon^{(3)}(y) = -\mu^3 \left( \operatorname{sgn}(x - y) \right)^3 F^{(3)}(\mu |x - y|).
\] (2.21)

Since \( F'(0) = F''(0) = 0 \), so by using the expansion (2.18) with \( n = 2 \), the equalities (2.19)-(2.21) and Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, we can similarly obtain that
\[
F(\mu |x - y|) = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{G_\varepsilon^{(k)}(0)}{k!} y^k + \frac{y^3}{2!} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \theta)^2 G_\varepsilon^{(3)}(\theta y)d\theta.
\]
\[
=F(\mu |x|) - \mu y \operatorname{sgn}(x) F'(\mu |x|) + \frac{\mu^2 y^2}{2!} F''(\mu |x|)
- \frac{\mu^3 y^3}{2!} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \theta)^2 \left( \operatorname{sgn}(x - \theta y) \right)^3 F^{(3)}(\mu |x - \theta y|)d\theta.
\]
(iv) If \( F(p) \in C^4(\mathbb{R}) \), then for \( \varepsilon \neq 0 \),

\[
G^{(4)}_\varepsilon(y) = \mu^4 \left( \frac{x - y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}} \right)^4 F^{(4)}(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2}) + 6\mu^3 \frac{\varepsilon^2 (x - y)^2}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})^4} \frac{F^{(3)}(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})^3} + 3\mu^2 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})^4} - \frac{4\varepsilon^2 (x - y)^2}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})^4} \right) \frac{F''(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})^3} - 3\mu \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})^2} - \frac{4\varepsilon^2 (x - y)^2}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})^4} \right) \frac{F'(\mu \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})}{(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + (x - y)^2})}.
\]

Since \( F^{(k)}(0) = 0 \) \((k = 1, 2, 3)\), thus for each \( x, y \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} G^{(4)}_\varepsilon(y) = \mu^4 F^{(4)}(\mu |x - y|),
\]

Then using (2.18) with \( n = 3 \), and by Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, we can obtain the desired (2.17).

\[
\square
\]

**Lemma 2.6.** For any \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \), we have

\[
\sum_{N=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{(1+\alpha)N} (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{4}}.
\]  

(2.22)

**Proof.** Since for any \( t \neq 0 \), there exists a \( N'_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( |t| \cdot 2^{4N'_0} \sim 1 \), thus we have

\[
\sum_{N=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{(1+\alpha)N} (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \sum_{N=-N'_0}^{N'_0} 2^{(1+\alpha)N} (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \sum_{N=N'_0+1}^{+\infty} 2^{(1+\alpha)N} (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{4}}
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_{N=-N'_0}^{N'_0} 2^{(1+\alpha)N} |t|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{N=N'_0+1}^{+\infty} 2^{(\alpha-1)N}
\]

\[
\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{4}}.
\]

\[
\square
\]

2.2.1. **Regular Case.** In order to establish the lower energy estimates (2.22) with \( \alpha = 0 \) in regular case, recall that by Stone’s formula ( also see the equality (2.11))

\[
e^{-itH} P_{ac}(H) \chi(H) f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^2} \chi(\mu) \mu^3 (R_V^+(\mu^4) - R_V^-(\mu^4)) f d\mu
\]  

(2.23)

\[
= \sum_{N=-\infty}^{N_0} \sum_{N=\pm} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^2} \varphi_N(\mu) \mu^3 R_V^\pm(\mu^4) f d\mu
\]  

(2.24)

When zero is a regular point of the spectrum of \( H \), by (1.19) and (1.20), we have

\[
R_V^\pm(\mu^4) = R_0^\pm(\mu^4) - R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v \left( S_0 A_0^0 S_0 \right) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4) - R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v \left( \mu QA_{11}^0 |Q \right) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4) - R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v \left( \mu^2 S_0 A_{22}^0 + \mu^2 A_{23}^0 S_0 \right) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4)
\]

(2.25)

\[
- R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v A_{33}^0 (\mu) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4)
\]
If we substitute the (2.25) into the (2.23), and combine Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 then it suffices to show the following Propositions 2.7, 2.8, 2.9 and 2.10 corresponding to each different terms of the resolvent formula (2.25) above.

**Proposition 2.7.** For any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_- \) and \( N \leq N_0 \), we have

\[
\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ R_0^\pm(\mu^4)v(S_0A_{01}^0S_0)\right] (x,y) \right| \lesssim 2^{2N}(1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.26)
\]

**Proof.** Since \( \varphi_N(\mu) = \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu) \), thus

\[
K_{1,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y) := \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu) \left[ R_0^\pm(\mu^4)v(S_0A_{01}^0S_0)\right] (x,y) d\mu
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_0(0) = \frac{1}{4\mu^3} F^\pm(\mu|x-y|),
\]

and \((F^\pm)'(0) = 0\), then by Lemma (2.5)(ii) and using the fact that \( S_0(v) = S_0(xv(x)) = 0 \), we have

\[
\left[ R_0^\pm(\mu^4)v(S_0A_{01}^0S_0)\right] (x,y) = \frac{1}{16\mu^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F^\pm(\mu|x-y|) \left[vS_0A_{01}^0S_0v\right] (y, y_1) F^\pm(\mu|y-y_1|) dy_1 dy_2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{16\mu^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_0^1 \left( 1 - \theta_2 \right)(1 - \theta_1)(F^\pm)'(\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|)\right)
\]

\[
\times \left( F^\pm)(\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|)dy_1 dy_2 \right) y_1^2 y_2^2 \left[vS_0A_{01}^0S_0v\right] (y, y_1) dy_1 dy_2.
\]

Then we obtain that

\[
K_{1,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y) = \frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_0^1 \left( 1 - \theta_2 \right)(1 - \theta_1)(F^\pm)'(\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|)\right)
\]

\[
\times \left( F^\pm)(\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|)dy_1 dy_2 \right) y_1^2 y_2^2 \left[vS_0A_{01}^0S_0v\right] (y, y_1) dy_1 dy_2.
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_0^1 \left( 1 - \theta_2 \right)(1 - \theta_1)(F^\pm)'(\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|)\right)
\]

\[
\times \left( F^\pm)(\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|)dy_1 dy_2 \right) y_1^2 y_2^2 \left[vS_0A_{01}^0S_0v\right] (y, y_1) dy_1 dy_2.
\]

Denote

\[
E_{1,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2)
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu)(F^\pm)'(\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|)(F^\pm)(\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|) d\mu.
\]

It is obvious that

\[
\left| K_{1,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y) \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ E_{1,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) \right] dy_1 dy_2 \right) \left[vS_0A_{01}^0S_0v\right] (y, y_1) y_1^2 y_2^2 dy_1 dy_2.
\]
Now we estimate $E_{1, N}^{0, \pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2)$. Since

$$(F^{\pm})'(\mu|x - \theta_2y_2|) = e^{\pm i\mu|x - \theta_2y_2|} - e^{-\mu|x - \theta_2y_2|} = e^{\pm i\mu|x - \theta_2y_2|} - e^{-\mu|x - \theta_2y_2|},$$

and let $\mu = 2^N s$, we can rewrite $E_{1, N}^{0, \pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2)$ as follows:

$$E_{1, N}^{0, \pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) = 2^{2N} \int_0^\infty e^{-it2^N s^4} \varphi_0(s)e^{\pm i2^N s|x - \theta_2y_2|} F^{\pm}(2^N s|x - \theta_2y_2|) \times e^{\pm i2^N s|y - \theta_1y_1|} F^{\pm}(2^N s|y - \theta_1y_1|) ds.$$

Noting that for $k = 0, 1$, we have

$$\left| \partial_k^k F^{\pm}(2^N s|x - \theta_2y_2|) \right| \lesssim 1, \quad \left| \partial_k^k F^{\pm}(2^N s|y - \theta_1y_1|) \right| \lesssim 1,$$

Using Lemma 2.3 with $z = (x, y, y_1, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ and

$$\Psi(z) = |x - \theta_2y_2| + |y - \theta_1y_1|, \quad \Phi^{\pm}(2^N s; z) = F^{\pm}(2^N s|x - \theta_2y_2|) \cdot F^{\pm}(2^N s|y - \theta_1y_1|),$$

we obtain that

$$\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| E_{1, N}^{0, \pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) \right| \lesssim 2^{2N} (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

Noting that $v(x) \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{13}{2}}$ in this case, thus we have

$$\left| R_{1, N}^{0, \pm}(t; x, y) \right| \lesssim 2^{2N} (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{y_2^2 v(y_2) ||[S_0 A_\theta^0 S_0](y_2, y_1)|| v(y_1)||y_2^2 d\mu}{||S_0 A_\theta^0 S_0|| L_2 \cdot ||v(y_1)|| L_2^2} \lesssim 2^{2N} (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| y_2^2 v(y_2) \right\|_{L_2} \cdot \left\| S_0 A_\theta^0 S_0 \right\|_{L_2 \rightarrow L_2} \cdot \left\| y_2^2 v(y_1) \right\|_{L_2^2} \lesssim 2^{2N} (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

uniformly in $x, y$.  

**Proposition 2.8.** For any $N \in \mathbb{Z}_-$ and $N \leq N_0$, we have

$$\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_N(\mu) \left| R_0^{\pm}(\mu) c(\mu Q A_{11}^0) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) \right| (x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.28)$$

**Proof.** Since $\varphi_N(\mu) = \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu)$, thus

$$K_{2, N}^{0, \pm}(t; x, y) := \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu) \left| R_0^{\pm}(\mu) c(\mu Q A_{11}^0) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) \right| (x, y) d\mu$$

It suffices to prove that

$$\sup_{x, y} \left| K_{2, N}^{0, \pm}(t; x, y) \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Indeed, since

$$R_0^{\pm}(x, y) = \frac{\pm i e^{\pm i\mu|x-y|} - e^{-\mu|x-y|}}{4\mu^3} := \frac{1}{4\mu^3} F^{\pm}(\mu|x - y|),$$

by Lemma (2.5)(i) and using the fact that $Q(v) = 0$, we have

$$\left| R_0^{\pm}(\mu) c(\mu Q A_{11}^0) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) \right| (x, y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{16\mu^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(\mu|x - y|) \left| v Q A_{11}^0 v \right| (y_2, y_1) F(\mu|y - y_1|) dy_1 dy_2$$

$$= \frac{1}{16\mu^3} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (F^{\pm})'(\mu|x - \theta_2y_2|) \text{sgn}(x - \theta_2y_2) \left| v Q A_{11}^0 v \right| (y_2, y_1) \right.$$

$$\left. (F^{\pm})'(\mu|y - \theta_1y_1|) \text{sgn}(y - \theta_1y_1) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right) y_1 y_2 dy_1 dy_2.$$
Then we obtain that
\[
K_{2,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y) = \frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \text{sgn}(y - \theta_1 y_1)\text{sgn}(x - \theta_2 y_2) \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu)(F^\pm)'(\mu |x - \theta_2 y_2|) \right) (F^\pm)'(\mu |y - \theta_1 y_1|) d\mu \right] d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \tag{2.29}
\]

Denote
\[
E_{2,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu)(F^\pm)'(\mu |x - \theta_2 y_2|)(F^\pm)'(\mu |y - \theta_1 y_1|) d\mu.
\]
Then
\[
\left| K_{2,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y) \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |E_{2,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2)| d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right) \left| [vQ A_{11}^0 Q v](y_2, y_1) y_1 y_2 d y_1 d y_2 \right|.
\]
Next to estimate \( E_{2,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) \). Since
\[
(F^\pm)'(\mu |x - \theta_2 y_2|) = -e^{\pm i\mu |x - \theta_2 y_2|} + e^{-\mu |x - \theta_2 y_2|} := e^{\pm i\mu |x - \theta_2 y_2|} F^\pm(\mu |x - \theta_2 y_2|),
\]
\[
(F^\pm)'(\mu |y - \theta_1 y_1|) = -e^{\pm i\mu |y - \theta_1 y_1|} + e^{-\mu |y - \theta_1 y_1|} := e^{\pm i\mu |y - \theta_1 y_1|} F^\pm(\mu |y - \theta_1 y_1|),
\]
and let \( \mu = 2^N s \), we can rewrite \( E_{2,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) \) as follows:
\[
E_{2,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) = 2^N \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_0(s) e^{\pm i2^N s |x - \theta_2 y_2|} |F^\pm(2^N s |x - \theta_2 y_2|)\| e^{\pm i2^N s |y - \theta_1 y_1|} |F^\pm(2^N s |y - \theta_1 y_1|)| ds.
\]
Noting that for \( k = 0, 1 \), we have
\[
\left| \partial^k F^\pm(2^N |x - \theta_2 y_2|) \right| \lesssim 1, \quad \left| \partial^k F^\pm(2^N |y - \theta_1 y_1|) \right| \lesssim 1,
\]
then using Lemma 2.3 with \( z = (x, y, y_1, y_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) \) and
\[
\Psi(z) = |x - \theta_2 y_2| + |y - \theta_1 y_1|, \quad \Phi^\pm(2^N s; z) = F^\pm(2^N s |x - \theta_2 y_2|) \cdot F^\pm(2^N s |y - \theta_1 y_1|),
\]
we have
\[
\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| E_{2,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
Furthermore, since \( v(x) \leq (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{13}{10}} \), we have
\[
\left| K_{2,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y) \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y_2 v(y_2)| |[Q A_{11}^0 Q](y_2, y_1)| |y_1 v(y_1)| d y_1 d y_2 \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|y_2 v(y_2)\|_{L_{y_2}^2} \cdot \|Q A_{11}^0 Q\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \cdot \|y_1 v(y_1)\|_{L_{y_1}^2} \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\]
uniformly in \( x, y \).

By using the method in the proof of Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.8, it is easy similarly to obtain the following estimates ( we omit the details of calculations here ).

**Proposition 2.9.** For any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_- \) and \( N \leq N_0 \), we have
\[
\sup_{x, y} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ R_{0}^{\pm}(\mu^4) v(\mu^2 Q A_{11}^0 Q) v R_{0}^{\pm}(\mu^4) \right](x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
\[
\sup_{x, y} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ R_{0}^{\pm}(\mu^4) v(\mu^2 S_0 A_{22}^0) v R_{0}^{\pm}(\mu^4) \right](x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^4)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
Equivalently, it is enough to bound the following kernel uniformly by
\[ \| R_0^\pm (\mu^4) v R_0^\pm (\mu^4) \| \leq 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \]

Finally, for the last remained term \( R_0^\pm (\mu^4) v R_0^\pm (\mu^4) \) in (2.23), we have the following estimates.

**Proposition 2.10.** For any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_- \) and \( N \leq N_0 \), we have
\[
\sup_{x, y} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ R_0^\pm (\mu^4) v R_0^\pm (\mu^4) \right] (x, y) d\mu \right| \leq 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.30}
\]

**Proof.** Indeed, it suffices to prove that for any \( f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \),
\[
\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ \langle v\Gamma_3^0(\mu) v \rangle R_0^\pm (\mu^4) f, (R_0^\pm)^* (\mu^4) g \right] d\mu \right| \leq 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| f \|_{L^1} \cdot \| g \|_{L^1}.
\]

Equivalently, it is enough to bound the following kernel uniformly by \( O(2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \):
\[
K_{4, N}^{0, \pm} (t; x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_N(\mu) \left( \langle v\Gamma_3^0(\mu) v \rangle R_0^\pm (\mu^4)^* (\mu, y) \right) d\mu.
\]

Noting that
\[
R_0^\pm (\mu^4)(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\mu^4} \left( \pm i e^{\pm i\mu |x-y|} - e^{-\mu |x-y|} \right) = \frac{e^{\pm i\mu |x-y|}}{4\mu^3} R_0^\pm (\mu)(x, y),
\]
thus, we have
\[
\left\langle \langle v\Gamma_3^0(\mu) v \rangle R_0^\pm (\mu^4)^* (\mu, y) \right\rangle d\mu.
\]

Let \( \mu = 2^N s \), we have
\[
K_{4, N}^{0, \pm} (t; x, y) = 2^{-2N} \int_0^\infty e^{-it 2^{N} s^4} \varphi_0(s) s^{-3} e^{i 2^{N} s (|x| + |y|)} E_{3, N}^{0, \pm} (2^N s; x, y) ds.
\]

Noting that for any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_- \), we have
\[
\left| \partial_s^k \left( e^{i 2^N s (|x| - |y|)} R_0^\pm (2^N s)(x, y) \right) \right| \lesssim \langle \cdot \rangle^k, \quad k = 0, 1,
\]
and since \( \| \Gamma_3^0(\mu) \|_{L^2 \to L^2} = O(1) \mu^3 \) for \( \mu << 1 \) from Theorem 1.7 (e.g. choosing \( \mu < 2^{N_0} \) for some large \( N_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_- \)), then we have
\[
\| \partial_s^k (\Gamma_3^0(2^N s)) \|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim 2^{3N} s^{3-k}, \quad k = 0, 1.
\]
Furthermore, since
\[
\partial_s E_{3,N}^{0,\pm}(2^N s; x, y) = \left[ \partial_s R_0^{\pm}(2^N s; x, y) \right]
\]
and \( v(x) \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{13}{2}} \), then by H"older inequality, we have
\[
\left| \partial_s E_{3,N}^{0,\pm}(2^N s; x, y) \right| \lesssim 2^{3N} s^{3-k}, \quad k = 0, 1.
\]
Using again Lemma 2.3 with \( z = (x, y) \), and
\[
\Psi(z) = |x| + |y|, \quad \Phi(2^N s; z) = 2^{-3N} E_{3,N}^{0,\pm}(2^N s; x, y),
\]
we have
\[
\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| K_{4,N}^{0,\pm}(t; x, y) \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

2.2.2. First Kind of Resonance. If there is first kind of resonance at zero, using (1.19) and (1.21), we have
\[
R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) = R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) - R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) v \left( -S_1 A_{1,1,1} S_1 \right) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) - R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) v \left( S_0 A_{01} Q + QA_{02} S_0 \right) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4)
\]
\[
- R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) v \left( \mu Q A_{11} Q \right) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) - R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) v \left( \mu S_0 A_{12} + \mu A_{13} S_0 \right) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4)
\]
\[
- R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) v \left( \mu^2 Q A_{21}^2 + \mu^2 A_{22}^2 Q \right) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) - R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) v \left( Q A_{01} S_0 + QA_{02} S_0 \right) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4).
\]

By checking the analysis of Regular Case, we only need to establish the following two propositions.

**Proposition 2.11.** For any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_- \) and \( N \leq N_0 \), we have
\[
\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) v \left( -S_1 A_{1,1,1} S_1 \right) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) \right] (x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( S_1 \) has the same orthogonality relationships with \( S_0 \), thus the proof is the same as the proof of Proposition 2.7.

According to the orthogonality of \( S_1 \) and \( Q \), using Lemma 2.5, it is easy to prove the following estimates.

**Proposition 2.12.** For any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_- \) and \( N \leq N_0 \), we have
\[
\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) v \left( S_0 A_{01} Q \right) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) \right] (x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

and
\[
\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) v \left( Q A_{01} S_0 + QA_{02} S_0 \right) v R_0^{\pm}(\mu^4) \right] (x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
2.2.3. **Second Kind of Resonance.** If there is second kind of resonance at zero, using (1.19) and (1.22), we have

\[
R_V^\pm(\mu^4) = R_0^\pm(\mu^4) - R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v \left( \mu^{-3} S_2 A_{-3,1}^2 S_2 \right) R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4)
\]

By combing the analysis of **Regular Case** and **First Kind of Resonance**, it is suffices to establish the following estimates.

**Proposition 2.13.** For any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_- \) and \( N \leq N_0 \), we have

\[
\sup_{x,y} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu_4} \varphi_N(\mu) \mu^3 \left[ R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v \left( \mu^{-3} S_2 A_{-3,1}^2 S_2 \right) R_0^\pm(\mu^4) \right](x,y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.36)
\]

\[
\sup_{x,y} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu_4} \varphi_N(\mu) \mu^3 \left[ R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v \left( -\mu^{-3} S_2 A_{-3,1}^2 S_2 \right) R_0^\pm(\mu^4) \right](x,y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.37)
\]

\[
\sup_{x,y} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu_4} \varphi_N(\mu) \mu^3 \left[ R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v \left( -\mu^{-3} S_2 A_{-3,1}^2 S_2 \right) R_0^\pm(\mu^4) \right](x,y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.38)
\]

**Proof.** We only prove (2.36) here since the other two estimates can be proved by the similar way. Note that

\[
K_{1,N}^{2,\pm}(t;x,y) := \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu_4} \varphi_N(\mu) \mu^3 \left[ R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v \left( \mu^{-3} S_2 A_{-3,1}^2 S_2 \right) R_0^\pm(\mu^4) \right](x,y) d\mu.
\]

It suffices to prove that

\[
\sup_{x,y} \left| K_{1,N}^{2,\pm}(t;x,y) \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Noting that

\[
R_0^\pm(x,y) = \frac{\pm ie^{i|y|}|x-y| - e^{-\mu|x-y|}}{4\mu^2},
\]

by Lemma [2.5](iii) and using the fact that \( S_2(v) = S_2(xv(x)) = S_2(x^2 v(x)) = 0 \), we have

\[
\left[ R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v \left( \mu^{-3} S_2 A_{-3,1}^2 S_2 \right) R_0^\pm(\mu^4) \right](x,y) = \frac{1}{16\mu^9} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \pm ie^{i|y|}|x-y| - e^{-\mu|x-y|} + \frac{1 \pm i}{2} \mu^2 |x-y|^2 \right) [v S_2 A_{-3,1}^2 S_2 v](y_2, y_1) dy_1 dy_2
\]

\[
\left( \pm ie^{i|y|}|y-y_1| - e^{-\mu|y-y_1|} + \frac{1 \pm i}{2} \mu^2 |y-y_1|^2 \right) dy_1 dy_2
\]

\[
:= \frac{1}{16\mu^9} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F^\pm(\mu|x-y|) [v S_2 A_{-3,1}^2 S_2 v](y_2, y_1) F^\pm(\mu|y-y_1|) dy_1 dy_2
\]

where \( F^\pm(p) = \pm ie^{i|p|} - e^{-p} + \frac{1 \pm i}{2} p^2 \).
It is obvious that \( F^\pm(p) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) and \((F^\pm)^{(k)}(0) = 0 \) \((k = 1, 2)\), then by (2.16) and using the fact that \( S_2(v) = S_2(xv(x)) = S_2(x^2v(x)) = 0 \), we obtain that
\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ R_v^\pm(\mu^4)D(\mu^{-3}S_2A_{-3}^2S_2)R_v^\pm(\mu^4) \right](x,y) = & \frac{1}{16\mu^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F^\pm(\mu|x-y|)[vS_2A_{-3}^2S_2v](y_2,y_1)F^\pm(\mu|y-y_1|)dy_1dy_2 \\
= & \frac{1}{16(2!)^2\mu^5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1-\theta_1)^2(1-\theta_2)^2(F^\pm)^{(3)}(\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|)(\text{sgn}(x-\theta_2y_2))^3 \right. \\
& \left. (F^\pm)^{(3)}(\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|)(\text{sgn}(y-\theta_1y_1))^3 \right) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu} \varphi_0(2^{-N}\mu) \\
& \cdot y_1^3y_2^3[vS_2A_{-3}^2S_2v](y_2,y_1)dy_1dy_2.
\end{align*}
\]
Then we obtain that
\[
K_1^{2,\pm}(t;x,y) = \frac{1}{16(2!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1-\theta_1)^2(1-\theta_2)^2(\text{sgn}(y-\theta_1y_1))^3(\text{sgn}(x-\theta_2y_2))^3 \right. \\
& \left. (F^\pm)^{(3)}(\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|)(F^\pm)^{(3)}(\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|) \right] d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu} \varphi_0(2^{-N}\mu) \\
& \cdot y_1^3y_2^3[vS_2A_{-3}^2S_2v](y_2,y_1)dy_1dy_2. \tag{2.39}
\]
Denote
\[
E_1^{2,\pm}(t;x,y,\theta_1,\theta_2,y_1,y_2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu} \varphi_0(2^{-N}\mu)(F^\pm)^{(3)}(\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|)(F^\pm)^{(3)}(\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|)d\mu.
\]
Thus
\[
\left| K_1^{2,\pm}(t;x,y) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| E_1^{2,\pm}(t;x,y,\theta_1,\theta_2,y_1,y_2) \right| d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right) \int[vS_2A_{-3}^2S_2v](y_2,y_1) ||y_1^3y_2^3||dy_1dy_2.
\]
Now we consider \( E_1^{2,\pm}(t;x,y,\theta_1,\theta_2,y_1,y_2) \). Since
\[
(F^\pm)^{(3)}(\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|) = e^{\pm i\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|} + e^{-\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|} = e^{\pm i\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|} F^\pm(\mu|x-\theta_2y_2|),
\]
\[
(F^\pm)^{(3)}(\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|) = e^{\pm i\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|} + e^{-\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|} = e^{\pm i\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|} F^\pm(\mu|y-\theta_1y_1|),
\]
let \( \mu = 2Ns \), we can rewrite \( E_1^{2,\pm}(t;x,y,\theta_1,\theta_2,y_1,y_2) \) as follows:
\[
E_1^{2,\pm}(t;x,y,\theta_1,\theta_2,y_1,y_2) = 2N \int_0^\infty e^{-it2^Ns^4} \varphi_0(s) e^{\pm i2^Ns|x-\theta_2y_2|} F^\pm(2^Ns|x-\theta_2y_2|) \\
\cdot e^{\pm i2^Ns|y-\theta_1y_1|} F^\pm(2^Ns|y-\theta_1y_1|)ds.
\]
Noting that for \( k = 0, 1 \), we have
\[
\left| \partial^k F^\pm(2^Ns|x-\theta_2y_2|) \right| \leq 1, \quad \left| \partial^k F^\pm(2^Ns|y-\theta_1y_1|) \right| \leq 1,
\]
then using Lemma 2.3 with \( z = (x,y,y_1,\theta_1,\theta_2) \) and
\[
\Psi(z) = |x-\theta_2y_2| + |y-\theta_1y_1|, \quad \Phi^\pm(2^Ns;z) = F^\pm(2^Ns|x-\theta_2y_2|) \cdot F^\pm(2^Ns|y-\theta_1y_1|),
\]
we obtain that
\[
\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| E_1^{2,\pm}(t;x,y,\theta_1,\theta_2,y_1,y_2) \right| \leq 2^N(1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{3}{4}}.
\]
Then, since \( v(x) \leq (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \), we have

\[
\left| K_{1,N}^{\pm}(t; x, y) \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y_2^3 v(y_2)| |(S_2^{-3,1} S_2)(y_2, y_1)| |y_1^3 v(y_1)| dy_1 dy_2
\]

\[
\lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{-N})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| y_2^3 v(y_2) \right\|_{L^2} \left\| S_2^2 S_2 \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \left\| y_1^3 v(y_1) \right\|_{L^2}
\]

uniformly in \( x, y \).

2.2.4. **Zero Eigenvalue Case.** If zero is an eigenvalue of \( H \), then using (1.19) and (1.23), we have

\[
R_\nu^\pm(\mu^4) = R_0^\pm(\mu^4) - R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v(\mu^{-4} S_3 A_{-4,1}^3 S_3) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4) - R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v(\mu^{-4} S_3 A_{-4,1}^3 S_3) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4)
\]

\[
- R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v(\mu^{-2} S_2 A_{-3,1}^2 S_0 + \mu^{-2} S_2 A_{-2,2} S_2) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4) - R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v(\mu^{-2} S_2 A_{-3,1}^2 S_0) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4)
\]

\[
- R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v(\mu^{-1} S_2 A_{-2,1} Q + \mu^{-1} Q A_{-3,1}^3 S_0) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4) - R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v(S_0 A_{01}^3 Q + Q A_{02}^3 S_0) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4)
\]

\[
- R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v(\mu^2 Q A_{21}^3 + \mu A_{22}^3 Q) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4) - R_0^\pm(\mu^4) v R_0^\pm(\mu^4).
\]

(2.40)

In this case, we will make use of the resolvent difference \( R_\nu^+(\mu^4) - R_\nu^-(\mu^4) \) in the formula (also see (2.43)):

\[
e^{-i t H} P_{ac}(H) \chi(H) f = \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-i t \mu} \chi(\mu)\mu^3 [R_\nu^+(\mu^4) - R_\nu^-(\mu^4)] f d\mu.
\]

Indeed, in view of the analysis of **Regular Case, First Kind of Resonance** and **Second Kind of Resonance**, it is enough to only focus on the second term of (2.40).

Noting that \( A_{-4,1} = (S_3 v G_4 V_3)^{-1} \) is a real operator (see Theorem 1.7 (iv)) and

\[
R_0^+(\mu^4) v(\mu^{-4} S_3 A_{-4,1}^3 S_3) v R_0^+(\mu^4) - R_0^-(\mu^4) v(\mu^{-4} S_3 A_{-4,1}^3 S_3) v R_0^-(\mu^4) =
\]

\[
(R_0^+(\mu^4) - R_0^-(\mu^4)) v(\mu^{-4} S_3 A_{-4,1}^3 S_3) v R_0^+(\mu^4) + R_0^+(\mu^4) v(\mu^{-4} S_3 A_{-4,1}^3 S_3) v R_0^+(\mu^4).
\]

Then we can reduce them to the following two estimates:

**Proposition 2.14.** For any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_- \) and \( N \leq N_0 \), we have

\[
\sup_{x,y} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-i t \mu} \varphi_N(\mu) \mu^3 [R_0^+(\mu^4) - R_0^-(\mu^4)] v(\mu^{-4} S_3 A_{-4,1}^3 S_3) v R_0^+(\mu^4) \right| (x, y) d\mu \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

(2.41)

\[
\sup_{x,y} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-i t \mu} \varphi_N(\mu) \mu^3 [R_0^+(\mu^4) v(\mu^{-4} S_3 A_{-4,1}^3 S_3) v R_0^+(\mu^4)] (x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

(2.42)

**Proof.** It is obvious that we only need to prove (2.41). Since

\[
K_{1,N}^{3}(t; x, y) := \int_0^\infty e^{-i t \mu} \varphi_N(\mu) \mu^3 \left[ (R_0^+(\mu^4) - R_0^-(\mu^4)) v(\mu^{-4} S_3 A_{-4,1}^3 S_3) v R_0^+(\mu^4) \right] (x, y) d\mu
\]

and

\[
R_0^+(\mu^4)(x, y) - R_0^-(\mu^4)(x, y) = \frac{i e^{i \mu|x-y|} + e^{-i \mu|x-y|}}{4 \mu^3}, \quad R_0^+(\mu^4) = \frac{i e^{i \mu|x-y|} - e^{-i \mu|x-y|}}{4 \mu^3},
\]

and

\[
R_0^+(\mu^4)(x, y) - R_0^-(\mu^4)(x, y) = \frac{i e^{i \mu|x-y|} + e^{-i \mu|x-y|}}{4 \mu^3}, \quad R_0^+(\mu^4) = \frac{i e^{i \mu|x-y|} - e^{-i \mu|x-y|}}{4 \mu^3},
\]
then using the fact that $S_3(v) = S_3(xv(x)) = S_3(x^2v(x)) = 0$, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ (R_0^+ (\mu^4) - R_0^- (\mu^4)) v(\mu^4 S_3 A_{3,4,1} S_3 v R_0^+ (\mu^4)) \right](x, y) \\
= \frac{1}{16\mu^{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( e^{i\mu|x-y|} + e^{-i\mu|x-y|} + i\mu^2|x-y|^2 \right) [vS_3 A_{3,4,1}^3 S_3 v](y_2, y_1) \\
\quad \left( i e^{i\mu|y-y_1|} - e^{-i\mu|y-y_1|} + \frac{1+i}{2} \mu^2|y-y_1|^2 \right) dy_1 dy_2 \\
=: \frac{1}{16\mu^{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_2(\mu|x-y|)[vS_3 A_{3,4,1}^3 S_3 v](y_2, y_1) F_1(\mu|y-y_1|) dy_1 dy_2
\end{align*}
\]
where $F_1(p) = ie^{ip} - e^{-p} + \frac{1+i}{2} p^2$ and $F_2(p) = ie^{ip} + ie^{-ip} + ip^2$.

It is obvious that $F_1(p) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $F_1^{(k)}(0) = 0$ ($k = 1, 2$) and $F_2(p) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $F_2^{(k)}(0) = 0$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$), then by (2.17), (2.10) and using the fact that $S_3(v) = S_3(xv(x)) = S_3(x^2v(x)) = S_3(x^3v(x)) = 0$, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ (R_0^+ (\mu^4) - R_0^- (\mu^4)) v(\mu^4 S_3 A_{3,4,1} S_3 v R_0^+ (\mu^4)) \right](x, y) \\
= \frac{1}{16\mu^{10}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_2(\mu|x-y|)[vS_3 A_{3,4,1}^3 S_3 v](y_2, y_1) F_1(\mu|y-y_1|) dy_1 dy_2 \\
= - \frac{1}{16(3!)(2!)\mu^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 (1-\theta_1)^2(1-\theta_2)^3 F_2^{(4)}(\mu|x-\theta_2 y_2|)[vS_3 A_{3,4,1}^3 S_3 v](y_2, y_1) \right) \right. \\
\quad \left. sgn(y-y_1 y_1) F_1^{(3)}(\mu|y-y_1 y_1|) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right) y_1 y_2 dy_1 dy_2.
\end{align*}
\]
Then we obtain that
\[
K_{1,N}^{3,+}(t; x, y) \\
= - \frac{1}{16(3!)(2!)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_0(2-N\mu) F_2^{(4)}(\mu|x-\theta_2 y_2|) F_1^{(3)}(\mu|y-y_1 y_1|) d\mu \right) \right) \right. \\
\quad \left. (1-\theta_1)^2(1-\theta_2)^3 sgn(x-\theta_2 y_2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right] vS_3 A_{3,4,1}^3 S_3 v(y_2, y_1) y_1^4 y_2^3 dy_1 dy_2.
\]
It is easy to know that
\[
F_2^{(4)}(\mu|x-\theta_2 y_2|) = ie^{i\mu|x-\theta_2 y_2|} + ie^{-i\mu|x-\theta_2 y_2|},
F_1^{(3)}(\mu|y-y_1 y_1|) = e^{i\mu|y-y_1 y_1|} + e^{-i\mu|y-y_1 y_1|} = e^{i\mu|y-y_1 y_1|} F_1(\mu|y-y_1 y_1|).
\]
Denote
\[
E_{1,N}^{3,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) = i \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_0(2-N\mu) e^{i\mu|y-y_1 y_1| \pm i\mu|x-\theta_2 y_2|} F_1(\mu|y-y_1 y_1|) d\mu.
\]
Thus
\[
K_{1,N}^{3,+}(t; x, y) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 \left| E_{1,N}^{3,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) \right| d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right) \right| vS_3 A_{3,4,1}^3 S_3 v(y_2, y_1) y_1^4 y_2^3 dy_1 dy_2.
\]
Now we consider $E_{1,N}^{3,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2)$ again. Let $\mu = 2^N s$, rewrite $E_{1,N}^{3,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2)$ as follows:
\[
E_{1,N}^{3,\pm}(t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) = 2^{2N} \int_0^\infty e^{-it2^N s^4} \varphi_0(s) e^{i2^N s|y-y_1 y_1| \pm i2^N s|x-\theta_2 y_2|} F_1(2^N s|y-y_1 y_1|) ds.
\]
we obtain that
\[ |
\phi \pm (2N^2; z) = \mathcal{F}_1 (2N^2 | y - \theta_1 y_1 |) \]
we obtain that
\[
\sup_{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}} \left| E_{1,1}^{2, \pm} (t; x, y, \theta_1, \theta_2, y_1, y_2) \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
Furthermore, since \( v(x) \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{29}{28}} \), we have
\[
\left| K_{1,1}^{3, \pm} (t; x, y) \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v(y_2) \left[ |S_3 A_{-4,1}^3| (y_2, y_1) \right] |v(y_1)| y_1^2 dy_1 dy_2 \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| y_2^2 v(y_2) \| L^2_{L^2_2} \| S_3 A_{-4,1}^3 \| L^2_{L^2_1} \| y_1^2 v(y_1) \| L^2_{L^2_1} \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\]
uniformly in \( x, y \).

2.3. **High Energy Dispersive Estimates with** \( \alpha = 0 \). In this subsection, we will give the proof of the dispersive bound (2.41) with \( \alpha = 0 \) for the large energy part. To complete the proof, we use the formula
\[
e^{-itH} P_{ac} (H) \mathcal{F} (H) f(x) = \sum_{N = N_0 + 1}^{\infty} \sum_{\pm} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it \mu^3} \varphi_{N} (\mu) R_{1,N}^{\pm} (\mu^4) (x, y) \, d\mu \, f(y) \, dy,
\]
and the following resolvent identity,
\[
R_{0,N}^{\pm} (\mu^4) = R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4) - R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4) V R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4) + R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4) V R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4) V R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4).
\]
By combing Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 it suffices to establish the following bound is valid for the last two terms in (2.45).

**Proposition 2.15.** Assume that \( |V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-1} \), then for any \( N > N_0 \), we have
\[
\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-it \mu^3} \varphi_{N} (\mu) \left[ R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4) V R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4) \right] (x, y) \, d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

**Proof.** Let
\[
L_{1,N}^{\pm} (t; x, y) := \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-it \mu^3} \varphi_{N} (\mu) \left[ R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4) V R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4) \right] (x, y) \, d\mu
\]
and
\[
R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu^4) (x, y) = \frac{1}{4 \mu^3} \left( e^{+i \mu |x-y|} - e^{-i \mu |x-y|} \right) = \frac{e^{+i \mu |x-y|}}{4 \mu^3} R_{0}^{\pm} (\mu |x-y|).
\]
Let \( \mu = 2^N s \) we can rewrite \( L_{1,N}^{\pm} (x, y) \) as follows:
\[
L_{1,N}^{\pm} (t; x, y) = 2^{-2N} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-it 2^{4N} s} s^{-3} \varphi_{0} (s) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{+i 2^N s |x-y_1|} R_{0}^{\pm} (2^N s |x-y_1|) V(y_1) e^{+i 2^N s |y-y_1|} R_{0}^{\pm} (2^N s |y-y_1|) \, dy_1 \right) \, ds
\]
Since for \( k = 0, 1 \), we have
\[
\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \partial_{s}^{k} (R_{0}^{\pm} (2^N s |x-y_1|) V(y_1) R_{0}^{\pm} (2^N s |y-y_1|)) \right| \lesssim |V(y_1)|,
\]

then using Lemma 2.3 with \( z = (x, y, y) \) and
\[
\Psi(z) = |x - y_1| + |y - y_1|, \quad \Phi(2^N s; z) = R^\pm_0 (2^N s |x - y_1|) \cdot R^\pm_0 (2^N s |y - y_1|),
\]
we can obtain that
\[
\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} |L_1^{\pm, N}(t; x, y)| \lesssim 2^{-2N} (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |V(y_1)| dy_1 \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

We next consider the last term \( R^\pm_0 (\mu^4) VR^\pm_0 (\mu^4) V R^\pm_0 (\mu^4) \) in (2.45). To control this term, we utilize the following estimates.

**Lemma 2.16.** ([FSY18, Theorem 2.23]) Let \( k \geq 0 \) and \( |V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-k-1} \) such that \( H = (-\Delta)^2 + V \) has no embedded positive eigenvalues. Then for any \( \sigma > k + \frac{3}{2} \), \( R^\pm_0 (\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2_2(\mathbb{R}), L^2_{2, \sigma}(\mathbb{R})) \) are \( C^k \)-continuous for all \( \lambda > 0 \). Furthermore,
\[
\left\| \partial^k R^\pm_0 (\lambda) \right\|_{L^2_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2_{2, \sigma}(\mathbb{R})} = O(|\lambda|^{-\frac{3(k+1)}{4}}),
\]
as \( \lambda \to +\infty \).

**Proposition 2.17.** Assume that \( |V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-2} \), then for any \( N > N_0 \), we have
\[
\sup_{x, y} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ R^\pm_0 (\mu^4) VR^\pm_0 (\mu^4) V R^\pm_0 (\mu^4) \right](x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.48}
\]

**Proof.** For the (2.45), it suffices to prove that for any \( f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \),
\[
\sup_{x, y} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu) \left[ VR^\pm_0 (\mu^4) VR^\pm_0 (\mu^4) f \right] (R^\pm_0 (\mu^4))^* g \right| d\mu \lesssim 2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^1}. \tag{2.49}
\]

Equivalently, it is enough to bound the following kernel uniformly by \( O(2^N (1 + |t| \cdot 2^{4N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \):
\[
\tilde{L}^{\pm, N}_2 (t; x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^3 \varphi_0(2^{-N} \mu) \left[ VR^\pm_0 (\mu^4) V R^\pm_0 (\mu^4) (\cdot, y) \right] (R^\pm_0 (\mu^4))^* (x, \cdot) d\mu,
\]
where
\[
R^\pm_0 (\mu^4)(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\mu^6} (e^{\pm i\mu|x-y|} - e^{-\mu|x-y|}) := \frac{e^{\pm i\mu|x-y|}}{4\mu^3} - R^\pm_0 (\mu)(x, y).
\]
Rewrite that
\[
\left[ VR^\pm_0 (\mu^4) V (R^\pm_0 (\mu^4))^*(\cdot, y) \right] (R^\pm_0 (\mu^4))(x, \cdot)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{16\mu^6} \left[ VR^\pm_0 V (e^{\pm i\mu|x-y|} - R^\pm_0 (\mu)(\cdot, y)) \right] (e^{\mp i\mu|x-y|} - R^\pm_0 (\mu)(x, \cdot))
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{16\mu^6} e^{\pm i\mu|x-y|} \left[ VR^\pm_0 V (e^{\pm i\mu|x-y|} - R^\pm_0 (\mu)(\cdot, y)) \right] e^{\mp i\mu|x-y|} - R^\pm_0 (\mu)(x, \cdot)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{16\mu^6} e^{\pm i\mu|y|} E_{2, N}^{L^2, \pm} (\mu; x, y).
\]
Let \( \mu = 2^N s \), then we have
\[
\tilde{L}^{\pm, N}_2 (t; x, y) = \frac{2^{-2N}}{16} \int_0^\infty e^{-it2^Ns^4} e^{\pm i2^Ns|x|} e^{\pm i2^Ns|y|} s^{-3} \varphi_0(s) E_{2, N}^{L^2, \pm} (2^Ns; x, y) ds.
\]
Noting that for any \( N > N_0 \), we have
\[
\left| \phi_s^k (e^{\pm i2^Ns|x-y|} R^\pm_0 (2^Ns)(\cdot, y)) \right| \lesssim 2^{kN} (2^N s)^k, \quad k = 0, 1,
\]
we have
\[ |Q_k^\pm(\sigma|x-y|)| \lesssim 2^{kN} |\gamma|, \quad k = 0, 1, \]
And by Lemma 2.16 for \( \sigma > k + 1 \frac{1}{2} \) with \( k = 0, 1 \), we have
\[ \left\| \partial_x^k R_0^\pm(2^N s^4) \right\|_{L^2_{\lambda} \rightarrow L^2_{\sigma}} \lesssim 2^{kN}(2^N s)^{-3}. \]
Furthermore, since \( |V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-2} \), then for \( \sigma > k + 1 \frac{1}{2} \) with \( k = 0, 1 \), we can obtain that
\[ \left\| \partial_x^k E_{2,\pm}(2^N s; x, y) \right\| \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^1 \left\| V(\cdot)(\cdot)^{\sigma+1-k} \right\|_{L^2} \cdot \left\| \partial_x^k R_0^\pm(2^N s^4) \right\|_{L^2_{\lambda} \rightarrow L^2_{\sigma}} \lesssim 2^{kN}(2^N s)^{-3}. \]
Hence, using Lemma 2.3 with \( z = (x, y) \) and
\[ \Psi(z) = |x| + |y|, \quad \Phi(2^N s; z) = E_{2,\pm}(2^N s; x, y), \]
we have
\[ |\tilde{E}_{2,\pm}^N(t; x, y)| \lesssim 2^{(k-5)N}(1 + |t| \cdot 2^N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^N(1 + |t| \cdot 2^N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \]
uniform in \( x, y \) for any \( N > N_0. \)

### 2.4. Proofs of the decay estimates with regular term \( H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \) for \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \)

In the final subsection, we will give the proof of the estimates (2.3) and (2.5). By using functional calculus and the Stone’s formula, we have
\[ H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e^{-itH} P_{ac}(H) f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\lambda} \lambda^\frac{\alpha}{2} \left[ R_0^+(\lambda) - R_0^-(\lambda) \right] f d\lambda \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^{3+\alpha} \left[ R_0^+(\mu^4) - R_0^-(\mu^4) \right] (x, y) d\mu \right) f(y) dy. \tag{2.50} \]
Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 for \( \alpha = 0 \), by using dyadic partition of unity, we can obtain for any \( N \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \alpha \geq 0 \),
\[ \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^{3+\alpha} \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ R_0^+(\mu^4) - R_0^-(\mu^4) \right] (x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim 2^{(\alpha+1)N}(1 + |t| \cdot 2^N)^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \]
Then, by Lemma 2.6 for any \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), we have
\[ \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \mu^{3+\alpha} \varphi_N(\mu) \left[ R_0^+(\mu^4) - R_0^-(\mu^4) \right] (x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-it\mu^4} \varphi_N(\mu) \mu^{3+\alpha} \left[ R_0^+(\mu^4) - R_0^-(\mu^4) \right] (x, y) d\mu \right| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{4}}. \]
Hence, for any \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), we have
\[ \left\| H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e^{-itH} P_{ac}(H) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{4}}, \quad t \neq 0. \]

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.7

In this section, we show the processes how to derive the asymptotic expansions of \( \left( M^\pm(\mu) \right)^{-1} \).
Recall that the integral kernel of \( R_0^\pm(\mu^4) \) are given by
\[ R_0^\pm(\mu^4)(x, y) = \frac{1}{4i\mu^3} \left( \pm i e^{i\mu|x-y|} - e^{-i\mu|x-y|} \right). \]
When $\mu|x-y| < 1$, we have the following representation for the $R_0^\pm(\mu^4)(x,y)$:

$$
R_0^\pm(\mu^4)(x,y) = \frac{a^\pm}{\mu^3} I(x,y) + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} G_{-1}(x,y) + G_0(x,y) + a_1^\pm \mu G_1(x,y) + a_3^\pm \mu^3 G_3(x,y)
$$

$$
+ \mu^4 G_4(x,y) + \sum_{k=5}^N a_k^\pm \mu^k G_k(x,y) + O(\mu^{N+1}|x-y|^{N+4}).
$$

(3.1)

Here $G_k(x,y)$ are integral kernels which defined as follows:

$$
G_{-1}(x,y) = |x-y|^2, \quad G_0(x,y) = \frac{|x-y|^3}{12}, \quad G_1(x,y) = |x-y|^4,
$$

$$
G_3(x,y) = |x-y|^6, \quad G_4(x,y) = \frac{|x-y|^7}{2 \times (7)!}, \quad G_k(x,y) = |x-y|^{3+k} (k \geq 5),
$$

(3.2)

and the coefficients $a^\pm = \frac{-1 \pm i}{4}, a_k^\pm = \frac{(\pm i)^k + (-1)^k}{4 \times (k+3)!}$. Notice that, $G_0(x) = \frac{|x|^3}{12}$ is the fundamental solution of $(-\Delta)^2$ in $\mathbb{R}$. In fact, when $\mu|x-y| \gtrsim 1$, the expansions remain valid. It is obvious that the first two terms in (3.1) are singular, which is different from at most one singular term on $\mu$ for $n \geq 3$, see e.g. [EGT19, FWY18, GT19].

For convenience, we introduce two notations here. For operators $\varepsilon(\mu)$ with some parameter $\mu$, we write $\varepsilon(\mu) = O_1(\mu^{-\alpha} g(x,y))$ if its kernel $\varepsilon(\mu)(x,y)$ has the property

$$
\mu^{\alpha} ||\varepsilon(\mu)(x,y)|| + \mu^{\alpha+1} ||\partial_\mu \varepsilon(\mu)(x,y)|| \lesssim g(x,y).
$$

(3.3)

And we denote $\Gamma_k(\mu)$ as a $\mu$ dependent operator which satisfies

$$
||\Gamma_k(\mu)||_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} + \mu ||\Gamma_k(\mu)||_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim \mu^k, \quad \mu > 0.
$$

(3.4)

Noting that the operator $\Gamma_k(\mu)$ will vary from line to line.

Let $T_0 := U + vG_0v$ and $P = ||V||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^1 v(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the orthogonal projection onto the span of $v$. Since $M^\pm(\mu) = U + vR_0^\pm(\mu^4)v$ (see Theorem 1.7), then we obtain the following expansions of $M^\pm(\mu)$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $\bar{a}^\pm = a^\pm ||V||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$. Assume that $||V(x)|| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\beta}$ with some $\beta > 0$, the following expansions for $M^\pm(\mu)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ hold:

(i) If $\beta > 13$, then we have

$$
M^\pm(\mu) = \frac{\bar{a}^\pm}{\mu^3} P + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} vG_{-1}v + T_0 + a_1^\pm \mu vG_1v + \Gamma_3(\mu).
$$

(3.5)

(ii) If $\beta > 17$, then we have

$$
M^\pm(\mu) = \frac{\bar{a}^\pm}{\mu^3} P + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} vG_{-1}v + T_0 + a_1^\pm \mu vG_1v + a_3^\pm \mu^3 vG_3v + \mu^4 vG_4v + \Gamma_5(\mu).
$$

(3.6)

(iii) If $\beta > 25$, we have

$$
M^\pm(\mu) = \frac{\bar{a}^\pm}{\mu^3} P + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} vG_{-1}v + T_0 + a_1^\pm \mu vG_1v + a_3^\pm \mu^3 vG_3v + \mu^4 vG_4v
$$

$$
+ \sum_{k=5}^8 a_k^\pm \mu^k vG_kv + \Gamma_9(\mu).
$$

(3.7)
(iv) If \( \beta > 29 \), we have

\[
M^\pm(\mu) = \frac{a^\pm}{\mu^3} P + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} v G_{-1} v + T_0 + a_1^\pm \mu v G_{1} v + a_3^\pm \mu^3 v G_{3} v + \mu^4 v G_{4} v
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=5}^{10} a_k^\pm \mu^k v G_k v + \Gamma_{11}(\mu).
\]

\[ (3.8) \]

**Proof.** We only give a proof of (3.5), the other cases are similar. If \( \mu |x - y| < 1 \), using (3.1), we have

\[
R_0^\pm(\mu^4)(x, y) = \frac{a^\pm}{\mu^3} + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} G_{-1} + G_0 + a_1^\pm \mu G_1 + O_1(\mu^3 |x - y|^6).
\]

\[ (3.9) \]

If \( \mu |x - y| > 1 \), using (1.18), we have

\[
R_0^\pm(\mu^4)(x, y)
= \frac{a^\pm}{\mu^3} + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} G_{-1} + G_0 + a_1^\pm \mu G_1 + O_1(\mu^3 |x - y|^6).
\]

\[ (3.10) \]

Using (3.9) and (3.10) in (3.5), we have

\[
\left| \left( M^\pm(\mu) - \frac{a^\pm}{\mu^3} P - \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} v G_{-1} v - T_0 - a_1^\pm \mu v G_{1} v \right) \right| \lesssim v(x) v(y) |x - y|^6 \mu^3,
\]

and

\[
\left| \partial_{\mu} \left( M^\pm(\mu) - \frac{a^\pm}{\mu^3} P - \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} v G_{-1} v - T_0 - a_1^\pm \mu v G_{1} v \right) \right| \lesssim v(x) v(y) |x - y|^6 \mu^2.
\]

Since the error term is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if \( v(x) \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{13}{2}} \), this implies (3.5). \( \square \)

**Remark 3.2.** If we just want to get a weak expansions of \( \left( M^\pm(\mu) \right)^{-1} \) (see Remark 1.9 of Introduction), then we only need the following expansions of \( M^\pm(\mu) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \beta &gt; 7 + 2 l )</th>
<th>( M^\pm(\mu) = \frac{a^\pm}{\mu^3} P + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} v G_{-1} v + T_0 + \Gamma_l(\mu), \ l \in [0, 1] )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \beta &gt; 9 + 2 l )</td>
<td>( M^\pm(\mu) = \frac{a^\pm}{\mu^3} P + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} v G_{-1} v + T_0 + a_1^\pm \mu v G_{1} v + \Gamma_1(\mu), \ l \in [0, 2] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta &gt; 13 + 2 l )</td>
<td>( M^\pm(\mu) = \frac{a^\pm}{\mu^3} P + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} v G_{-1} v + T_0 + a_1^\pm \mu v G_{1} v + a_3^\pm \mu^3 v G_{3} v + \Gamma_{3+l}(\mu), \ l \in [0, 1] )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| \( \beta > 15 + 2 l \) | \( M^\pm(\mu) = \frac{a^\pm}{\mu^3} P + \frac{a_{-1}^\pm}{\mu} v G_{-1} v + T_0 + a_1^\pm \mu v G_{1} v + a_3^\pm \mu^3 v G_{3} v + \mu^4 v G_{4} v + \Gamma_{4+l}(\mu) \)
|  | \( l \in [0, 1] \) |

**Table 2.** \( M^\pm(\mu) \) expansions

Now, we give the following lemma used repeatedly to determine the inverse of \( \left( M^\pm(\mu) \right)^{-1} \).
Lemma 3.3. ([JN01, Lemma 2.1]) Let $A$ be a closed operator and $S$ be a projection. Suppose $A + S$ has a bounded inverse. Then $A$ has a bounded inverse if and only if

$$B := S - S(A + S)^{-1}S$$

(3.11)

has a bounded inverse in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}$, and in this case

$$A^{-1} = (A + S)^{-1} + (A + S)^{-1}SB^{-1}S(A + S)^{-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)

Recall from (3.8), let $M_{\pm}(\mu) = \frac{a_{\pm}}{\mu^3} \tilde{M}_{\pm}(\mu)$, we have

$$\tilde{M}_{\pm}(\mu) = P + \frac{a_{\pm}}{a_{\pm}} \mu^2 vG_{1-1}v + \frac{\mu^3}{a_{\pm}} T_0 + \frac{a^3_{\pm}}{a_{\pm}} \mu^4 vG_{1}v + \frac{a^3_{\pm}}{a_{\pm}} \mu^6 vG_{3}v + \frac{\mu^7}{a_{\pm}} vG_{4}v$$

$$+ \sum_{k=5}^{10} \frac{a^k_{\pm}}{a_{\pm}} \mu^{k+3} vG_{k}v + \Gamma_{14}(\mu).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.13)

Thus it suffices to derive the asymptotic expansion of $\left(\tilde{M}_{\pm}(\mu)\right)^{-1}$ as $\mu \to 0$. Denote $Q = I - P$, it is obvious that

$$\tilde{M}_{\pm}(\mu) + Q = I + \frac{a_{\pm}}{a_{\pm}} \mu^2 vG_{-1}v + \frac{\mu^3}{a_{\pm}} T_0 + \frac{a^3_{\pm}}{a_{\pm}} \mu^4 vG_{1}v + \frac{a^3_{\pm}}{a_{\pm}} \mu^6 vG_{3}v + \frac{\mu^7}{a_{\pm}} vG_{4}v$$

$$+ \sum_{k=5}^{10} \frac{a^k_{\pm}}{a_{\pm}} \mu^{k+3} vG_{k}v + \Gamma_{14}(\mu).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.14)

Since $vG_{-1}v$ is a bounded operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then using Neumann series, we can obtain that

$$\left(\tilde{M}_{\pm}(\mu) + Q\right)^{-1} = I - \sum_{k=1}^{12} \mu^{k+1} B_k^\pm + \Gamma_{14}(\mu).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.15)

where $B_k^\pm$ (1 ≤ $k$ ≤ 12) are bounded operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and it is easy to know that

$$B^1_1 = \frac{a^\pm}{a_{\pm}} vG_{-1}v, \hspace{0.5cm} B^1_2 = \frac{1}{a_{\pm}} T_0, \hspace{0.5cm} B^1_3 = \frac{a^\pm}{a_{\pm}} vG_{1}v - \frac{(a_{\pm})^2}{(a_{\pm})^2} (vG_{-1}v)^2,$$

$$B^1_4 = - \frac{a^\pm}{(a_{\pm})^2} (vG_{-1}v T_0 + T_0 vG_{-1}v),$$

$$B^1_5 = \frac{a^3_{\pm}}{a_{\pm}} vG_{3}v + \frac{(a^\pm)^3}{(a_{\pm})^3} (vG_{-1}v)^3 - \frac{1}{(a_{\pm})^2} T_0^2 \frac{a^\pm}{a_{\pm}} vG_{1}v + vG_{1}v \cdot vG_{-1}v,$$

$$B^1_6 = \frac{1}{a_{\pm}} vG_{4}v + \frac{(a_{\pm})^2}{(a_{\pm})^2} (vG_{-1}v)^2 T_0 + vG_{-1}v T_0 vG_{-1}v + T_0 (vG_{-1}v)^2 - \frac{a^\pm}{(a_{\pm})^2} (vG_{1}v T_0 + T_0 vG_{1}v).$$

For the convenience, we denote some inverse operators as follows:

$$D_0 = (Q vG_{-1}v Q + S_0)^{-1} \text{ on } QL^2(\mathbb{R}); \hspace{0.5cm} D_1 = (S_0 T_0 S_0 + S_1)^{-1} \text{ on } S_0 L^2(\mathbb{R});$$

$$D_2 = (T_1 + S_2)^{-1} \text{ on } S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R}); \hspace{0.5cm} D_3 = (T_2 + S_3)^{-1} \text{ on } S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.16)
Next, we list the orthogonality relations of various operators and projections which we need in the proof of Theorem 1.7.

\[ QD_0 = D_0Q = D_0; \]  
\[ S_iD_j = D_jS_i = D_j, \; i < j; \quad S_iD_j = D_jS_i = S_i, \; i \geq j; \quad D_iD_j = D_jD_i = D_j, \; i < j; \]  
\[ QB_i^\pm S_0 = S_0B_i^\pm Q = S_iB_i^\pm = S_iB_i^\pm = S_1B_1^\pm = B_i^\pm S_3 = 0; \]  
\[ S_0B_2^\pm S_1 = S_1B_2^\pm S_0 = QB_2^\pm S_2 = S_2B_2^\pm Q = S_3B_2^\pm = B_2^\pm S_3 = 0; \]  
\[ S_0B_3^\pm S_2 = S_2B_3^\pm S_0 = QB_3^\pm S_3 = S_3B_3^\pm Q = 0; \]  
\[ S_3B_3^\pm = B_3^\pm S_3 = S_2B_2^\pm S_3 = S_3B_3^\pm S_2 = 0. \]  

Now, we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.7. The following lemma which will be used in the proof is an important classification of the projection spaces \( S_jL^2(\mathbb{R}) \) \( (j = 0, 1, 2, 3) \).

**Lemma 3.4.** We have

1. \( f \in S_0L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if \( f \in \ker QvG_{-1}vQ \) on \( QL^2(\mathbb{R}) \);
2. \( f \in S_1L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if \( f \in \ker S_0T_0S_0 \) on \( S_0L^2(\mathbb{R}) \);
3. \( f \in S_2L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if \( f \in \ker T_1 \) on \( S_1L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) with
   \[ T_1 := S_1vG_1vS_1 + \frac{6}{\|V\|_{L^1}}S_1vG_{-1}vPvG_{-1}vS_1 - 384S_1T_0D_0T_0S_1. \]  
4. \( f \in S_3L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if \( f \in \ker T_2 \) on \( S_2L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) with
   \[ T_2 := S_2vG_3vS_2 - \frac{8 \cdot (6!)}{\|V\|_{L^1}}S_2T_0^2S_2 + \frac{5}{2}S_2vG_1vD_0vG_1vS_2 \]  
   \[ + \frac{48 \cdot (6!)}{\|V\|^2_{L^1}}(S_2T_0vG_{-1}v - \frac{\|V\|_{L^1}}{6}S_2vG_1vD_0T_0)D_2(vG_{-1}vT_0S_2 - \frac{\|V\|_{L^1}}{6}T_0D_0vG_1vS_2). \]  

**Proof.** (1) Let \( f \in QL^2(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( f \in \ker QvG_{-1}vQ \), that is, \( QvG_{-1}vQf = 0 \). Then we have

\[ 0 = \langle QvG_{-1}vQf, f \rangle = \langle vG_{-1}vQf, f \rangle = \langle vG_{-1}vf, f \rangle. \]

Notice that

\[ G_{-1}vf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x - y|^2 v(y)f(y)dy, \]

and \( Q(v) = 0 \), i.e. \( \langle f, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x)f(x)dx = 0 \). So we have

\[ 0 = \langle vG_{-1}vf, f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (vG_{-1}vf)(x)f(x)dx \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x)f(x)\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x - y|^2 v(y)f(y)dy \right)dx \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x)f(x)\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x^2 - 2xy + y^2)v(y)f(y)dy \right)dx \]
\[ = -2\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xvf(x)f(x)dx = -2\int_{\mathbb{R}} f, xv \int_{\mathbb{R}} xvf(x)dx = 0. \]

which implies that \( \langle f, xv \rangle = 0 \). Hence \( f \in S_0L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

On the other hand, if \( f \in S_0L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), that is,

\[ \langle f, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x)f(x)dx = 0, \quad \langle f, xv \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} xv(x)f(x)dx = 0. \]
Since $S_0L^2(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq QL^2(\mathbb{R})$, thus we have

$$QvG_{-1}vQf = Qv(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x^2 - 2xy + y^2)v(y)f(y)dy = \langle f, x^2v \rangle Q(v(x)) = 0,$$

which means that $f \in \ker QvG_{-1}vQ$.

(2) It is obvious by the definition of $S_1$, see Table 1.3

(3) First, we prove the Claim: Denote $v_1 := Q(xv(x)) = xv(x) - \frac{\langle v, xv \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1}} \cdot v(x)$, then we have

$$\langle D_0v_1, v_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.25)

In fact, using $Q(v(x)) = 0, S_0(v(x)) = S_0(xv(x)) = 0$ and $\langle v, v_1 \rangle = 0$, we have

$$(QvG_{-1}vQ + S_0)v_1 = QvG_{-1}vQv_1
= Qv(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x^2 - 2xy + y^2)v(y)v_1(y)dy
= -2Q(xv(x)) \int_{\mathbb{R}} yv(y)v_1(y)dy
= -2v_1 \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle.$$  

Hence, we obtain that

$$D_0v_1 = (QvG_{-1}vQ + S_0)^{-1} = -\frac{v_1}{2\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle},$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.26)

furthermore, we have $\langle D_0v_1, v_1 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}$.

Let $f \in S_1L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in \ker T_1$. Recall (3.29), we have

$$0 = \langle T_1f, f \rangle = \langle S_1vG_1vS_1f, f \rangle + \frac{6}{\|V\|_{L^1}} \langle S_1vG_{-1}vPvG_{-1}vS_1f, f \rangle
- 384 \langle S_1T_0D_0T_0S_1f, f \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.27)

Firstly, for the first part of (3.27), using $S_1(v(x)) = S_1(xv(x)) = 0$, we have

$$S_1vG_1vS_1f = S_1v(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x^4 - 4x^3y - 4xy^3 + 6x^2y^2 + y^4)v(y)f(y)dy = 6\langle f, x^2v \rangle S_1(x^2v).$$

Thus, we have

$$\langle S_1vG_1vS_1f, f \rangle = 6\|f, x^2v\|^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.28)

Next, for the second part of (3.27), since $Pf = \frac{\langle f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1}} v$ and $S_1(v(x)) = S_1(xv(x)) = 0$, we have

$$PvG_{-1}vS_1f = Pv(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - y|^2v(y)f(y)dy = v(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2v(x)f(x)dx = \langle f, x^2v \rangle v(x),$$

and

$$S_1vG_{-1}vPvG_{-1}vS_1f = \langle f, x^2v \rangle \|V\|_{L^1} S_1(x^2v(x)).$$

Thus

$$\langle S_1vG_{-1}vPvG_{-1}vS_1f, f \rangle = \|V\|_{L^1} \cdot \|f, x^2v\|^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.29)

Finally, for the third part of (3.27), using $QD_0 = D_0Q = D_0$ and by the definition of $v_1$, we know that

$$v_1 \in (Q - S_0)L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$
Thus, by (2) and \(D_0 P = PD_0 = 0\), for all \(f \in S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R})\), we have

\[
S_1 T_0 D_0 T_0 S_1 f = S_1 T_0 (Q - S_0) D_0 (Q - S_0) T_0 f = -\frac{\langle T_0 f, v_1 \rangle}{2\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} S_1 (T_0 v_1).
\]

Thus,

\[
\langle S_1 T_0 D_0 T_0 S_1 f, f \rangle = -\frac{\langle T_0 f, v_1 \rangle^2}{2\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2}.
\]  

(3.30)

Hence, substituting (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30) into (3.27), we have

\[
0 = \langle T_1 f, f \rangle = 12\langle f, x^2 v \rangle^2 + 192\frac{\langle T_0 f, v_1 \rangle^2}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2},
\]

which implies that

\[
\langle f, x^2 v \rangle = 0, \quad \langle T_0 f, v_1 \rangle = 0.
\]

Furthermore, since \(f \in S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R})\), thus \(S_0 T_0 f = 0\), combined with \(\langle T_0 f, v_1 \rangle = 0\), we have \(QT_0 f = 0\). Hence we have \(f \in S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R})\).

On the other hand, if \(f \in S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R})\), then it is easy to know that

\[
T_1 f = 12\langle f, x^2 v \rangle S_1 (x^2 v) + 192\frac{\langle T_0 f, v_1 \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} S_1 (T_0 v_1) = 0,
\]

which means that \(f \in \ker T_1\).

(4) Let \(f \in S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R})\) and \(f \in \ker T_2\). It follows that from (3.24)

\[
0 = \langle T_2 f, f \rangle = \langle S_2 v G_3 v S_2 f, f \rangle - \frac{8 \cdot 6!}{\|V\|_{L^1}} \langle S_2 T_0^2 S_2 f, f \rangle + \frac{5}{2} \langle S_2 v G_1 v D_0 v G_1 v S_2 f, f \rangle + 48 \cdot \frac{6!}{\|V\|_{L^1}^2} \left( \langle S_2 T_0 v G_{-1} - \frac{\|V\|_{L^1}}{6} S_2 v G_1 v D_0 T_0 \rangle D_2 \langle v G_{-1} v T_0 S_2 - \frac{\|V\|_{L^1}}{6} T_0 D_0 v G_1 v S_2 \rangle f, f \right).
\]

(3.31)

For the first part of (3.31), using \(S_2(v(x)) = S_2(xv(x)) = S_2(x^2 v(x)) = 0\) and \(QT_0 f = 0\), we have

\[
S_2 v G_3 v S_2 f = S_2 v(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x - y|^6 v(y)f(y)dy = -20 \langle f, x^3 v \rangle S_2(x^3 v(x)),
\]

thus

\[
\langle S_2 v G_3 v f, f \rangle = -20 \langle f, x^3 v \rangle^2.
\]  

(3.32)

Secondly, for the second part of (3.31), using \(QT_0 f = 0\), we have

\[
S_2 T_0^2 S_2 f = S_2 T_0 P T_0 S_2 f = \frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1}} S_2 (T_0 v),
\]

so

\[
\langle S_2 T_0^2 S_2 f, f \rangle = \frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle^2}{\|V\|_{L^1}^2}.
\]  

(3.33)

Then, for the third part of (3.31), using (3.26) and

\[
Q D_0 Q = D_0, \quad Q(xv(x)) = v_1, \quad S_2(v(x)) = S_2(xv(x)) = S_2(x^2 v(x)) = 0,
\]

we have

\[
S_2 v G_1 v D_0 v G_1 v S_2 f = S_2 v G_1 v Q D_0 Q v G_1 v f = -8 \langle f, x^3 v \rangle S_2(x^3 v),
\]

and

\[
\langle S_2 v G_1 v D_0 v G_1 v S_2 f, f \rangle = -8 \langle f, x^3 v \rangle^2.
\]  

(3.34)

Finally, for the last part of (3.31), using (3.26) and

\[
S_1 D_2 S_1 = D_2, \quad S_1(v) = S_1(xv) = 0, \quad S_2(v(x)) = S_2(xv(x)) = S_2(x^2 v(x)) = 0,
\]
we have
\[ S_2T_0vG_{-1}vD_2vG_{-1}vT_0S_2f = \langle T_0f, v \rangle \cdot \langle D_2S_1(x^2v), S_1(x^2v) \rangle S_2(T_0v), \]
\[ S_2T_0vG_{-1}vD_2T_0D_0vG_1vS_2f = 2\frac{\langle f, x^3v \rangle_{v_1, v_1}}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle} \cdot \langle D_2S_1(T_0v_1), S_1(x^2v) \rangle S_2(T_0v), \]
\[ S_2vG_1vD_0T_0D_2vG_{-1}vT_0S_2f = 2\frac{\langle T_0f, v \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle} \cdot \langle D_2S_1(T_0v_1), S_1(x^2v) \rangle S_2(x^3v), \]
\[ S_2vG_1vD_0T_0D_2T_0D_0vG_1vS_2f = 4\frac{\langle f, x^3v \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} \cdot \langle D_2S_1(T_0v_1), S_1(T_0v_1) \rangle S_2(x^3v). \]

Denote \( X = \langle f, x^3v \rangle, Y = \langle T_0f, v \rangle \), we obtain that
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle S_2T_0vG_{-1}v - \frac{\langle V \rangle_{v_1, v_1}^2}{6}S_2vG_1vD_0vS_2 \rightangle \left( vG_{-1}vT_0S_2 - \frac{\langle V \rangle_{v_1, v_1}^2}{6}T_0D_0vG_1vS_2 \right) f, f \rangle \\
= &\left\langle \frac{\langle V \rangle_{v_1, v_1}^2}{6}D_2 \left( vG_{-1}vT_0S_2 - \frac{\langle V \rangle_{v_1, v_1}^2}{6}T_0D_0vG_1vS_2 \right) f, f \rangle \\
- &\frac{2\langle V \rangle_{v_1, v_1}^2}{3} \cdot \Re(XY). \\
= &a|X|^2 + b|Y|^2 + c \Re(XY).
\end{align*}
\]

Then, substituting (3.32), (3.33), (3.34) and (3.35) into (3.31), we have
\[
0 = \langle T_2f, f \rangle = \left( \frac{32 \cdot (5)}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle} \cdot \langle D_2S_1(T_0v_1), S_1(T_0v_1) \rangle \right) - 40 \cdot |X|^2 + \frac{8 \cdot (6)}{\langle V \rangle_{v_1, v_1}^2} \left( 6\langle D_2S_1(x^2v), S_1(x^2v) \rangle - 1 \right) \cdot |Y|^2 \\
- \frac{32 \cdot (6)}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle} \cdot \Re(XY)
:= a|X|^2 + b|Y|^2 + c \Re(XY).
\]

Let \( X = X_1 + iX_2 \) and \( Y = Y_1 + iY_2 \) with \( X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 \) are real. Then we have
\[
0 = \langle T_2f, f \rangle = a(X_1^2 + X_2^2) + b(Y_1^2 + Y_2^2) + c(X_1Y_1 + X_2Y_2) = \sum_{k=1}^2 \left( aX_k^2 + bY_k^2 + cX_kY_k \right),
\]

Hence, without loss of generality, we only need to consider the case that \( X \) and \( Y \) are real, that is,
\[
0 = \langle T_2f, f \rangle = aX^2 + bY^2 + cXY.
\]

Let \( g_1 = S_1(x^2v), h_1 = D_2g_1 \in S_1L^2(\mathbb{R}), g_2 = S_1(T_0v_1), h_2 = D_2g_2 \), since \( D_2 = (T_1 + S_2)^{-1} \), using \( S_1(v(x)) = S_1(xv(x)) = 0 \), we have
\[
g_1 = (T_1 + S_2)h_1 = 12\langle g_1, h_1 \rangle g_1 + 192\frac{\langle g_2, h_1 \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} g_2 + S_2h_1,
\]
and
\[
g_2 = 12\langle g_2, h_2 \rangle g_1 + 192\frac{\langle g_2, h_1 \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} g_2 + S_2h_2.
\]

Then we have
\[
\langle D_2S_1(x^2v), S_1(x^2v) \rangle = \langle g_1, h_1 \rangle = 12\langle g_1, h_1 \rangle^2 + 192\frac{\langle g_2, h_1 \rangle^2}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} + \langle S_2h_1, h_1 \rangle,
\]
since \( \langle S_2h_1, h_1 \rangle \geq 0 \) and \( \frac{\langle g_2, h_1 \rangle^2}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} \geq 0 \), we have
\[
\langle g_1, h_1 \rangle \geq 12\langle g_1, h_1 \rangle^2,
\]
thus, we obtain that \( \langle g_1, h_1 \rangle \leq \frac{1}{12} \). Furthermore, if \( \langle g_2, h_1 \rangle \neq 0 \), then \( \langle g_1, h_1 \rangle < \frac{1}{12} \).
Similarly, we have
\[
\langle D_2 S_1(T_0 v_1), S_1(T_0 v_1) \rangle = \langle g_2, h_2 \rangle = 12 \langle g_1, h_2 \rangle^2 + 192 \frac{\langle g_2, h_2 \rangle^2}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} + \langle S_2 h_2, h_2 \rangle,
\]
thus we also have
\[
\langle g_2, h_2 \rangle \leq \frac{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2}{192},
\]
and if \( \langle g_1, h_2 \rangle \neq 0 \), we have \( \langle g_2, h_2 \rangle < \frac{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2}{192} \).

Hence, we obtain that
\[
a = \left( \frac{32 \cdot (5!) \cdot \langle D_2 S_1(T_0 v_1), S_1(T_0 v_1) \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} - 40 \right) \leq \frac{32 \cdot (5!)}{192} - 40 = -20 < 0,
\]
\[
b = \left( \frac{6 \langle D_2 S_1(x^2 v), S_1(x^2 v) \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{6}{12} - 1 = -\frac{1}{2} < 0.
\]
Furthermore, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain that
\[
\langle g_1, h_2 \rangle^2 = \langle g_1, D_2 g_2 \rangle^2 \leq \langle g_1, D_2 g_1 \rangle \langle g_2, D_2 g_2 \rangle = \langle g_1, h_1 \rangle \langle g_2, h_2 \rangle.
\]
Thus
\[
c^2 - 4ab = \frac{32^2 \cdot (6!)^2 \langle g_1, h_2 \rangle^2}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2 \| V \|_{L^1}^2} - \left( \frac{32 \cdot (5!) \cdot \langle g_2, h_2 \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} - 40 \right) \cdot \frac{32 \cdot (6!)}{\| V \|_{L^1}} \left( 6 \langle g_1, h_1 \rangle - 1 \right)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{32 \cdot (6!) \cdot (32 \cdot (5!) \cdot \langle g_2, h_2 \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle^2} + 240 \langle g_1, h_1 \rangle - 40 \right) \leq \frac{32 \cdot (6!) \cdot (32 \cdot (5!) \cdot 192 + 240}{\| V \|_{L^1}} \leq 0.
\]
Since “=” holds if and only if \( \langle g_1, h_2 \rangle = \langle g_2, h_1 \rangle = 0 \), and in this case, we have
\[
0 = \langle T_2 f, f \rangle \leq aX^2 + bY^2 \leq 0,
\]
thus it is necessary that
\[
X = \langle f, x^3 v \rangle = 0, \quad Y = \langle T_0 f, v \rangle = 0.
\]
Then \( f \in S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). On the other hand, it is obvious that if \( f \in S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), we can obtain that \( T_2 f = 0 \), which means that \( f \in \ker T_2 \).

In the following, we will show the proof of Theorem 1.7 case by case. For the convenience, we will use the notation \( A^i_k \) (\( i \) means the kind of zero resonance, \( k \) respects to the power of \( \mu \)) as some bounded operators in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), and these operators may vary from line to line.

**Proof of Theorem 1.7:** We prove the assertion for + sign. By Lemma 3.3 we know that \( \tilde{M}^+(\mu) \) is invertible on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if
\[
M_1^+(\mu) := Q - Q \left( \tilde{M}^+(\mu) + Q \right)^{-1} Q
\]
is invertible on \( Q L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Moreover, using (3.15) and by the definition of \( B_1^+ \), we get
\[
M_1^+(\mu) = \sum_{k=1}^{12} \mu^{k+Q} B_1^+ Q + \mu^{Q} \Gamma_{14}(\mu) Q
\]
\[
= \frac{a^+}{a^+} \mu^2 \left( Q v G_{-1} v Q + \frac{a^+}{a^+} \sum_{k=2}^{12} \mu^{k-1} Q B_1^+ Q + \mu Q_{12}(\mu) Q \right)
\]
\[
:= \frac{a^+}{a^+} \mu^2 \tilde{M}_1^+(\mu).
\]
Thus, in order to compute the expansions of \( \left( M_1^+ (\mu) \right)^{-1} \), we need to obtain the expansions of \( \left( \widetilde{M}_1^+ (\mu) \right)^{-1} \) as \( \mu \to 0 \) on \( QL^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

By Lemma 3.30, we know that \( Q v G_{-1} v Q \) is not invertible on \( QL^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Since \( S_0 \) be the Riesz projection onto the kernel of \( Q v G_{-1} v Q \), then \( Q v G_{-1} v Q + S_0 \) is invertible on \( QL^2(\mathbb{R}) \). By using (3.37), we have

\[
\widetilde{M}_1^+ (\mu) + S_0 = (Q v G_{-1} v Q + S_0) + \sum_{k=2}^{12} \mu^{k-1} Q B_k^+ Q + Q \Gamma_{12}(\mu) Q. \tag{3.38}
\]

Then, using the Neumann series, we obtain that

\[
\left( \widetilde{M}_1^+ (\mu) + S_0 \right)^{-1} = D_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{11} \mu^k B_k^0 + D_0 \Gamma_{12}(\mu) D_0. \tag{3.39}
\]

where \( B_k^0 (1 \leq k \leq 11) \) are bounded operators in \( QL^2(\mathbb{R}) \) and we have

\[
B_1^0 = \frac{\tilde{a}^+}{a_{-1}} D_0 B_2^+ D_0, \quad B_2^0 = \frac{\tilde{a}^+}{a_{-1}} D_0 B_3^+ D_0 - \frac{(\tilde{a}^+)^2}{(a_{-1})^2} D_0 (B_2^+ D_0)^2, \quad B_3^0 = \frac{\tilde{a}^+}{a_{-1}} D_0 B_4^+ D_0 + \frac{(\tilde{a}^+)^3}{(a_{-1})^3} D_0 (B_2^+ D_0)^3 - \frac{(\tilde{a}^+)^2}{(a_{-1})^2} (D_0 B_2^+ D_0 B_4^+ D_0 + D_0 B_3^+ D_0 B_3^+ D_0)
\]

\[
B_4^0 = \frac{\tilde{a}^+}{a_{-1}} D_0 B_5^+ D_0 - \frac{(\tilde{a}^+)^4}{(a_{-1})^4} D_0 (B_2^+ D_0)^4 + \frac{(\tilde{a}^+)^3}{(a_{-1})^3} (D_0 (B_2^+ D_0)^2 B_3^+ D_0 + D_0 B_2^+ D_0 B_3^+ D_0 B_3^+ D_0 + D_0 B_3^+ D_0 B_3^+ D_0 B_3^+ D_0)
\]

\[
B_5^0 = \frac{\tilde{a}^+}{a_{-1}} D_0 B_6^+ D_0 + \frac{(\tilde{a}^+)^5}{(a_{-1})^5} D_0 (B_2^+ D_0)^5 - \frac{(\tilde{a}^+)^4}{(a_{-1})^4} (D_0 (B_2^+ D_0)^3 B_3^+ D_0 + D_0 (B_2^+ D_0)^2 B_3^+ D_0 B_2^+ D_0 + D_0 B_2^+ D_0 B_3^+ D_0 (B_2^+ D_0)^2)
\]

Using Lemma 3.3 again, we know that \( \widetilde{M}_1^+ (\mu) \) invertible on \( QL^2(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if

\[
M_2^+ (\mu) := S_0 - S_0 (\widetilde{M}_1^+ (\mu) + S_0)^{-1} S_0 \tag{3.40}
\]

invertible on \( S_0 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Notice that \( S_0 D_0 = D_0 S_0 = S_0 \), and using (3.39), we obtain that

\[
M_2^+ (\mu) = \mu S_0 B_1^0 S_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{11} \mu^k B_k^0 + S_0 \Gamma_{12}(\mu) S_0
\]

\[
= \frac{\mu}{a_{-1}} \left( S_0 T_0 S_0 + a_{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{11} \mu^{k-1} B_k^0 + S_0 \Gamma_{12}(\mu) S_0 \right) \tag{3.41}
\]

\[
:= \frac{\mu}{a_{-1}} \widetilde{M}_2^+ (\mu).
\]
(1) Regular Case. In this case, we use (3.3) which need $|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-13-}$, see Lemma 3.1. Then by the same process, we can rewrite $M_2^+(\mu)$ as follows:

\[ M_2^+(\mu) = \mu S_0 B_1^0 S_0 + \mu^2 B_2^0 + \mu^3 B_3^0 + S_0 \Gamma_4(\mu) S_0 \]

\[ = \frac{\mu}{a_{-1}} \left( S_0 T_0 S_0 + a_{-1}^+ \mu B_2^0 + a_{-1}^+ \mu^2 B_3^0 + S_0 \Gamma_3(\mu) S_0 \right) \]

\[ := \frac{\mu}{a_{-1}} \tilde{M}_2^+(\mu). \]

If zero is a regular point of the spectrum of $H$, then $S_0 T_0 S_0$ is invertible on $S_0 L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Denote $\tilde{D}_0 = (S_0 T_0 S_0)^{-1}$, by (3.41), using Neumann series and $S_0 \tilde{D}_0 = \tilde{D}_0 S_0 = \tilde{D}_0$, we obtain that

\[ \left( \tilde{M}_2^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = \tilde{D}_0 - \frac{1}{\mu} \tilde{D}_0 \tilde{B}_2^0 \tilde{D}_0 + \mu^2 (a_{-1}^+)^2 \tilde{D}_0 (B_2^0 \tilde{D}_0)^2 - \frac{1}{\mu} \tilde{D}_0 \tilde{B}_3^0 \tilde{D}_0 + \Gamma_3(\mu). \]

Then, using (3.41), we have

\[ \left( M_2^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mu} \tilde{D}_0 - (a_{-1}^+)^2 \tilde{D}_0 \tilde{B}_2^0 \tilde{D}_0 + \mu ((a_{-1}^+)^3 \tilde{D}_0 (B_2^0 \tilde{D}_0)^2 - (a_{-1}^+)^2 \tilde{D}_0 \tilde{B}_3^0 \tilde{D}_0) + \Gamma_2(\mu). \]

By Lemma 3.3 with $A = \tilde{M}_1^+(\mu)$, $S = S_0$ and $B = M_2^+(\mu)$, using the orthogonality properties (see (3.17), (3.18)), we obtain that

\[ \left( \tilde{M}_1^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = (\tilde{M}_1^+(\mu) + S_0)^{-1} + \tilde{M}_1^+(\mu) + S_0 \left( \tilde{M}_2^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} \]

\[ = S_0 A_{-1,1}^0 S_0 + QA_{01}^0 + \mu QA_{11}^0 + \Gamma_2(\mu). \]

Then, using (3.37), we have

\[ \left( M_1^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = \frac{S_0 A_{-3,1}^0 S_0}{\mu^3} + \frac{QA_{0,-2,1}^0}{\mu^2} + \frac{QA_{0,-1,1}^0}{\mu} + \Gamma_0(\mu). \]

Then, we use Lemma 3.3 with $A = \tilde{M}^+(\mu)$, $S = Q$ and $B = M_1^+(\mu)$, and using the orthogonality properties (see (3.17), (3.18)), we have

\[ \left( \tilde{M}^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = \left( \tilde{M}^+(\mu) + Q \right)^{-1} + \left( \tilde{M}^+(\mu) + Q \right)^{-1} Q \left( M_1^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} \]

\[ = S_0 A_{-3,1}^0 S_0 + QA_{0,-2,1}^0 + QA_{0,-1,1}^0 + S_0 A_{0,-1,2}^0 + S_0 A_{0,-1,3}^0 + \Gamma_0(\mu). \]

Finally, since $M^+(\mu) = \frac{\tilde{a}^+}{\mu^3} \tilde{M}^+(\mu)$, then we can say that

\[ \left( M^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = S_0 A_{0,1}^0 S_0 + \mu QA_{1,1}^0 + \mu^2 (Q A_{2,1}^0 S_0 + S_0 A_{2,2}^0 + S_0 A_{2,3}^0) + \Gamma_0(\mu). \]

(2) First Kind of Resonance. In this case, we use (3.6) with $|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-17-}$, see Lemma 3.1. Then by the same process, we can rewrite $M_2^+(\mu)$ as follows:

\[ M_2^+(\mu) = \mu S_0 B_1^0 S_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{5} \mu^k B_k^0 S_0 \Gamma_6(\mu) S_0 \]

\[ = \frac{\mu}{a_{-1}^+} \left( S_0 T_0 S_0 + a_{-1}^+ \sum_{k=2}^{5} \mu^{k-1} B_k^0 + S_0 \Gamma_5(\mu) S_0 \right) \]

\[ := \frac{\mu}{a_{-1}^+} \tilde{M}_2^+(\mu). \]
For the first kind of resonance, we aim to derive the expansions of \( (\widetilde{M}_{2}^{+}(\mu))^{-1} \). By the definition of the first kind of resonance, we know that the operator \( S_{0}T_{0}S_{0} \) is not invertible on \( S_{0}L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \). Since \( S_{1} \) is the Riesz projection onto the kernel of \( S_{0}T_{0}S_{0} \) on \( S_{0}L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \), thus \( S_{0}T_{0}S_{0} + S_{1} \) is invertible on \( S_{0}L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \). By Neumann series, we have

\[
(\widetilde{M}_{2}^{+}(\mu) + S_{1})^{-1} = D_{1} - \sum_{k=1}^{4} \mu^{k} D_{k}^{1} + \Gamma_{5}(\mu) \tag{3.43}
\]

where \( B_{k}^{1} \) (\( 1 \leq k \leq 4 \)) are bounded operators in \( S_{0}L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \) with the following definition

\[
\begin{align*}
B_{1}^{1} &= a_{-1}^{+}D_{1}B_{1}^{0}D_{1}, \\
B_{2}^{1} &= a_{-1}^{+}D_{1}B_{0}^{0}D_{1} - (a_{-1}^{+})^{2}D_{1}(B_{2}^{0}D_{1})^{2}, \\
B_{3}^{1} &= a_{-1}^{+}D_{1}B_{1}^{0}D_{1} + (a_{-1}^{+})^{3}D_{1}(B_{1}^{0}D_{1})^{3} - (a_{-1}^{+})^{2}(D_{1}B_{1}^{0}D_{1}B_{0}^{0}D_{1} + D_{1}B_{3}^{0}D_{1}B_{0}^{0}D_{1}), \\
B_{4}^{1} &= (a_{-1}^{+})D_{1}B_{0}^{0}D_{1} - (a_{-1}^{+})^{4}D_{1}(B_{2}^{0}D_{1})^{4} + (a_{-1}^{+})^{3}(D_{1}(B_{1}^{0}D_{1})^{2}B_{3}^{0}D_{1} + D_{1}B_{2}^{0}D_{1}B_{1}^{0}D_{1}B_{3}^{0}D_{1}B_{0}^{0}D_{1} + D_{1}B_{3}^{0}D_{1}(B_{2}^{0}D_{1})^{2}) \tag{3.44} \\
&
\quad + (a_{-1}^{+})^{2}(D_{1}B_{2}^{0}D_{1}B_{1}^{0}D_{1} + D_{1}B_{1}^{0}D_{1}B_{0}^{0}D_{1} + D_{1}(B_{3}^{0}D_{1})^{2})
\end{align*}
\]

According to Lemma 3.3, we know that \( \widetilde{M}_{2}^{+}(\mu) \) is invertible on \( S_{0}L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if

\( M_{5}^{+}(\mu) := S_{1} - S_{1}(\widetilde{M}_{2}^{+}(\mu) + S_{1})^{-1}S_{1} \tag{3.45} \)

is invertible on \( S_{1}L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \). Moreover, using (3.43) and (3.18), we obtain

\[ M_{5}^{+}(\mu) = \mu S_{1}B_{1}^{1}S_{1} + \mu^{2}S_{1}B_{2}^{1}S_{1} + \mu^{3}S_{1}B_{3}^{1}S_{1} + \mu^{4}S_{1}B_{4}^{1}S_{1} + S_{1}\Gamma_{5}(\mu)S_{1}. \tag{3.46} \]

Now, we shall compute the \( S_{1}B_{1}^{1}S_{1} \) in \( M_{3}^{+}(\mu) \). Noting that \( S_{1}D_{1} = D_{1}S_{1} = S_{1} \), we have \( S_{1}B_{1}^{1}S_{1} = a_{-1}^{+}S_{1}B_{2}^{0}S_{1} \). Since

\[
B_{2}^{0} = \frac{a_{1}^{+}}{a_{-1}^{+}}D_{0}B_{3}^{0}D_{0} - (\frac{a_{1}^{+}}{a_{-1}^{+}})^{2}D_{0}(B_{2}^{0}D_{0})^{2}
\]

\[
= \frac{a_{1}^{+}}{a_{-1}^{+}}D_{0}vG_{1}vD_{0} - \frac{a_{-1}^{+}}{a_{1}^{+}}D_{0}(vG_{-1}v)^{2}D_{0} - \frac{1}{(a_{-1}^{+})^{2}}D_{0}T_{0}D_{0}T_{0}D_{0}
\]

and \( S_{1}D_{0} = D_{0}S_{1} = S_{1} \), we have

\[
S_{1}B_{1}^{1}S_{1} = a_{-1}^{+}\left( \frac{a_{1}^{+}}{a_{-1}^{+}}S_{1}vG_{1}vS_{1} - \frac{a_{-1}^{+}}{a_{1}^{+}}S_{1}(vG_{-1}v)^{2}S_{1} - \frac{1}{(a_{-1}^{+})^{2}}S_{1}T_{0}D_{0}T_{0}S_{1} \right). \tag{3.47} \]

Notice that \( (vG_{-1}v)^{2} = vG_{-1}vvG_{-1}v = vG_{-1}vPvG_{-1}v \), we have

\[
S_{1}B_{1}^{1}S_{1} = a_{1}^{+}T_{1}, \tag{3.48}
\]

where

\[
T_{1} = S_{1}vG_{1}vS_{1} - (\frac{a_{1}^{+}}{a_{-1}^{+}})^{2}S_{1}vG_{-1}vPvG_{-1}vS_{1} - \frac{1}{a_{1}^{+}a_{-1}^{+}}S_{1}T_{0}D_{0}T_{0}S_{1}
\]

\[
= S_{1}vG_{1}vS_{1} + \frac{6}{\|V\|_{L}}S_{1}vG_{-1}vPvG_{-1}vS_{1} - 384S_{1}T_{0}D_{0}T_{0}S_{1} \tag{3.49}.
\]
Recall that (3.46) and (3.48), we can rewrite $M_3^+(\mu)$ as follows:

$$M_3^+(\mu) = a_1^+ \mu T_1 + \mu^2 S_1 B_2^1 S_1 + \mu^3 S_1 B_3^1 S_1 + \mu^4 S_1 B_1^1 S_1 + S_1 \Gamma_5(\mu) S_1$$

$$= a_1^+ \mu \left( T_1 + \frac{1}{a_1^+} (\mu S_1 B_2^1 S_1 + \mu^2 S_1 B_3^1 S_1 + \mu^3 S_1 B_1^1 S_1) + S_1 \Gamma_4(\mu) S_1 \right)$$

$$:= a_1^+ \mu \widetilde{M}_3^+(\mu).$$

Since $T_1$ is invertible on $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have $S_1 T_1^{-1} = T_1^{-1} S_1 = T_1^{-1}$, then using Neumann series, we have the following asymptotic expansions of $(\widetilde{M}_3^+(\mu))^{-1}$ as $\mu \to 0$:

$$(\widetilde{M}_3^+(\mu))^{-1} = S_1 A_{01}^1 S_1 + \mu S_1 A_{11}^1 S_1 + \mu^2 S_1 A_{21}^1 S_1 + \mu^3 S_1 A_{31}^1 S_1 + \Gamma_4(\mu).$$

Then, we have

$$\left( M_3^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = \frac{S_1 A_{11,1}^1 S_1}{\mu} + S_1 A_{01}^1 S_1 + \mu S_1 A_{11}^1 S_1 + \mu^2 S_1 A_{21}^1 S_1 + \Gamma_3(\mu).$$

Next, by Lemma 3.3 and using (3.18), we have

$$\left( \widetilde{M}_2^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = \left( \widetilde{M}_2^+(\mu) + S_1 \right)^{-1} + \left( \widetilde{M}_2^+(\mu) + S_1 \right)^{-1} S_1 \left( M_3^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} S_1 \left( \widetilde{M}_2^+(\mu) + S_1 \right)^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{S_1 A_{1,1}^1 S_1}{\mu} + S_0 A_{01}^1 S_0 + \mu S_0 A_{11}^1 S_0 + \mu^2 S_0 A_{21}^1 S_0 + \Gamma_3(\mu).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\left( M_2^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = \frac{S_1 A_{1,2}^1 S_1}{\mu^2} + \frac{S_0 A_{1,1}^1 S_0}{\mu} + S_0 A_{01}^1 S_0 + \mu S_0 A_{11}^1 S_0 + \Gamma_2(\mu).$$

Then using the orthogonality properties (see (3.17), (3.17)), and following the similar process of Regular Case, we obtain that

$$(M^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_1 A_{1,1}^1 S_1}{\mu} + S_0 A_{01}^1 Q + QA_{11}^1 S_0 + \mu QA_{11}^1 Q + S_0 A_{11}^1 + A_{13}^1 S_0$$

$$+ \mu^2 (QA_{21}^1 + A_{22}^1) + \Gamma_1^1(\mu).$$

(3) Second Kind of Resonance. In this case, we using (3.7) with $|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-25}$, see Lemma 3.1. Then by the same process, we can rewrite $M_3^+(\mu)$ as follows:

$$M_3^+(\mu) = \mu S_0 B_1^0 S_0 + \sum_{k=2}^8 \mu^k B_k^0 + S_0 \Gamma_{10}(\mu) S_0$$

$$= \frac{\mu}{a_2^+} \left( S_0 T_0 S_0 + a_2^+ \sum_{k=2}^5 \mu^{k-1} B_k^0 + S_0 \Gamma_9(\mu) S_0 \right)$$

$$:= \frac{\mu}{a_2^+} \tilde{M}_3^+(\mu).$$

Furthermore, we obtain a more detail expansion of $M_3^+(\mu)$:

$$M_3^+(\mu) = a_1^+ \mu T_1 + \sum_{k=2}^8 \mu^k S_1 B_k^1 S_1 + S_1 \Gamma_9(\mu) S_1$$

$$= a_1^+ \mu \left( T_1 + \frac{1}{a_1^+} (\mu S_1 B_2^1 S_1 + \sum_{k=2}^8 \mu^{k-1} S_1 B_k^1 S_1 + S_1 \Gamma_8(\mu) S_1) \right)$$

$$:= a_1^+ \mu \tilde{M}_3^+(\mu).$$
where $B_2^1, B_3^1, B_4^1$ are given in (3.41) and $B_k^1 (5 \leq k \leq 8)$ are also bounded operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

For the second kind of resonance, we aim to derive the expansions of \( \left( \tilde{M}_3^+ (\mu) \right)^{-1} \). By the definition of the second kind of resonance, we know that the operator $T_1$ is not invertible on $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since $S_2$ is the Riesz projection onto the kernel of $T_1$ on $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R})$, thus $T_1 + S_2$ is invertible on $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Using Neumann series, we have

$$
\left( \tilde{M}_3^+ (\mu) + S_2 \right)^{-1} = D_2 - \sum_{k=1}^{7} \mu^k B_k^2 + \Gamma_8 (\mu) \quad (3.51)
$$

where $B_k^2 (1 \leq k \leq 7)$ are bounded operators in $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and $B_1^2, B_2^2, B_3^2$ are given as follows:

$$
B_1^2 = \frac{1}{a_1} D_2 B_2^1 D_2, \quad B_2^2 = \frac{1}{a_1^2} D_2 B_2^1 D_2 - \frac{1}{(a_1^2)^2} D_2 B_2^1 D_2 B_2^2 D_2, \quad B_3^2 = \frac{1}{a_1^3} D_2 B_3^1 D_2 + \frac{1}{(a_1^2)^3} D_2 B_2^1 D_2 B_3^1 D_2 - \frac{1}{(a_1^2)^2} (D_2 B_2^1 D_2 B_3^1 D_2 + D_2 B_3^1 D_2 B_2^1 D_2) \quad (3.52)
$$

By Lemma 5.3 we know that $\tilde{M}_3^+ (\mu)$ is invertible on $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if

$$
M_3^+ (\mu) := S_2 - S_2 \left( \tilde{M}_3^+ (\mu) + S_2 \right)^{-1} S_2
$$

is invertible on $S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, using (3.51) and noting that $S_2 D_2 = D_2 S_2 = S_2$, we obtain

$$
M_3^+ (\mu) = \sum_{k=1}^{7} \mu^k S_2 B_k^2 S_2 + \Gamma_8 (\mu) \quad (3.53)
$$

Indeed, using the orthogonal properties of $S_2$ (see (3.18)-(3.21)), we obtain that $S_2 B_1^2 S_2 = 0$ and

$$
S_2 B_2^2 S_2 = \frac{a^+_1}{a_1} \left( S_2 vG_3 vS_2 - \frac{1}{a_2 a^+_1} S_2 T_0^2 S_2 - \frac{(a^+_1)^2}{a_1 a^+_3} S_2 vG_1 vD_0 vG_1 vS_2 - \frac{(a^+_1)^2}{a_1 a^+_3 (a^+_1)^2} S_2 T_0 vG_{-1} vD_0 vG_{-1} vT_0 S_2 \right) = \frac{a^+_1}{a_1} \left( S_2 vG_3 vS_2 - \frac{8 \cdot (6)!}{\| V \|_{L^1}^2} S_2 T_0^2 S_2 + \frac{5}{2} S_2 vG_1 vD_0 vG_1 vS_2 + \frac{48 \cdot (6)!}{\| V \|_{L^1}^2} \left( S_2 vG_{-1} vD_0 vG_1 vT_0 - \frac{\| V \|_{L^1}}{6} S_2 vG_1 vD_0 S_2 \right) \right)
$$

Furthermore, we can rewrite (3.53) as follows:

$$
M_3^+ (\mu) = \frac{a^+_1}{a_1} \mu^2 T_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{7} \mu^k S_2 B_k^2 S_2 + S_2 \Gamma_8 (\mu) S_2
$$

$$
= \frac{a^+_1}{a_1} \mu^2 \left( T_2 + \frac{a^+_1}{a_2} \sum_{k=3}^{7} \mu^{k-2} S_2 B_k^2 S_2 + S_2 \Gamma_6 (\mu) S_2 \right)
$$

$$
:= \frac{a^+_1}{a_1} \mu^2 \tilde{M}_4^+ (\mu).
$$
Since $T_2$ is invertible on $S_2L^2(\mathbb{R})$, by Neumann series and using $T_2^{-1} = S_2T_2^{-1} = T_2^{-1}S_2$, we have the following asymptotic expansions of $(M_4^+(\mu))^{-1}$ as $\mu \to 0$:

$$
(M_4^+(\mu))^{-1} = S_2A_{01}^2S_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{5} \mu^kS_2A_{k1}^2S_2 + \Gamma_0(\mu).
$$

Thus we have

$$
(M_4^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_2A_{-2,1}^2S_2}{\mu^2} + \sum_{k=-1}^{3} \mu^kS_2A_{k1}^2S_2 + \Gamma_4(\mu).
$$

Then by Lemma 3.3 and using the orthogonal relationships (3.18), we have

$$
(M_3^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_2A_{-2,1}^2S_2}{\mu^2} + \sum_{k=-1}^{3} \mu^kS_2A_{k1}^2S_1 + \Gamma_4(\mu).
$$

Next, we can obtain that

$$
(M_3^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_2A_{-2,1}^2S_2}{\mu^3} + \sum_{k=-1}^{2} \mu^kS_2A_{k1}^2S_1 + \Gamma_3(\mu).
$$

Using the orthogonal relationships (3.18) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
(M_2^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_2A_{-2,1}^2S_2}{\mu^3} + \sum_{k=-1}^{2} \mu^kS_2A_{k1}^2S_1 + \Gamma_3(\mu).
$$

Furthermore, since $M_2^+(\mu) = \frac{a_+}{a_{+1}}M_2^+(\mu)$, then

$$
(M_2^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_2A_{-2,1}^2S_2}{\mu^4} + \sum_{k=-1}^{3} \mu^kS_2A_{k1}^2S_1 + \mu S_0A_{-2,1}^2S_0 + \Gamma_2(\mu).
$$

Next, using Lemma 3.3 and the orthogonal properties of $S_2$ (see 3.17–3.21), we have

$$
(M_1^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_2A_{-2,1}^2S_2}{\mu^4} + \sum_{k=-1}^{3} \mu^kS_2A_{k1}^2S_1 + \frac{S_0A_{-2,1}^2S_0 + QA_{-2,2}^2S_2 + S_2A_{-2,3}^2S_0}{\mu^2} + \frac{QA_{-1,2}^2S_0 + S_0A_{-2,2}^2Q}{\mu} + QA_{01}^2Q + \mu QA_{11}^2Q + \Gamma_2(\mu).
$$

Since $M_1^+(\mu) = \frac{a_{+1}}{a_{+}}M_1^+(\mu)$, then

$$
(M_1^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_2A_{-2,1}^2S_2}{\mu^6} + \sum_{k=-1}^{5} \mu^kS_2A_{k1}^2S_1 + \frac{S_0A_{-2,1}^2S_0 + QA_{-2,2}^2S_2 + S_2A_{-2,3}^2S_0}{\mu^4} + \frac{QA_{-3,1}^2S_0 + S_0A_{-2,2}^2Q}{\mu^3} + \frac{QA_{-2,1}^2Q}{\mu} + \Gamma_1(\mu).
$$
Finally, using the orthogonality relationships (see (3.17)-(3.21)), we have

\[
\left( \widetilde{M}^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = \left( \widetilde{M}^+(\mu) + Q \right)^{-1} + \left( \widetilde{M}^+(\mu) + Q \right)^{-1} Q \left( \widetilde{M}^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = \left( \widetilde{M}^+(\mu) + Q \right)^{-1} = \frac{S_2 A^2_{6,1} S_2}{\mu^6} + \frac{S_2 A^2_{5,1} S_0 + S_0 A^2_{5,2} S_2}{\mu^5} + \frac{S_0 A^2_{4,1} S_0 + Q A^2_{4,2} S_2 + S_2 A^2_{4,3} Q}{\mu^4} + \frac{Q A^2_{3,1} S_0 + S_0 A^2_{3,2} Q + S_2 A^2_{3,3} + A^2_{3,4} S_2}{\mu^3} + \frac{Q A^2_{2,1} Q + S_0 A^2_{2,2} + A^2_{2,3} S_0}{\mu^2} + \frac{Q A^2_{1,1} + A^2_{1,2}}{\mu} + \Gamma_0(\mu).
\]

Furthermore, we obtain the following asymptotic expansions of \( \left( \widetilde{M}^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} \) for zero is the second resonance of \( H \).

\[
\left( \widetilde{M}^+(\mu) \right)^{-1} = \frac{S_2 A^2_{3,1} S_2}{\mu^3} + \frac{S_2 A^2_{2,1} S_0 + S_0 A^2_{2,2} S_2}{\mu^2} + \frac{S_0 A^2_{1,1} S_0 + Q A^2_{1,2} S_2 + S_2 A^2_{1,3} Q}{\mu} + \frac{(Q A^2_{0,1} S_0 + S_0 A^2_{0,2} Q + S_2 A^2_{0,3} + A^2_{0,4} S_2) + \mu(Q A^2_{1,1} Q + S_0 A^2_{1,2} + A_{13} S_0)}{\mu^2} + \frac{Q A^2_{2,1} + A^2_{2,1} Q + \Gamma^2_{3}(\mu)}{\mu}.
\]

(3.55)

(4) Third Kind of Resonance (i.e., Zero Eigenvalue Case). In this case, we using (3.8) with \(|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-27}\), see Lemma 3.1. Then using (3.41) and by the same process, we can rewrite \( M^+_4(\mu) \) as follows:

\[
M^+_4(\mu) = \frac{a^+_2}{a^+_1} \mu^2 T_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{9} \mu^k S_2 B^2_k S_2 + S_2 \Gamma_1(\mu) S_2
\]

\[
= \frac{a^+_2}{a^+_1} \mu^2 \left( T_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{9} \mu^{k-2} S_2 B^2_k S_2 + S_2 \Gamma_6(\mu) S_2 \right)
\]

\[
:= \frac{a^+_2}{a^+_1} \mu^2 \widetilde{M}^+_4(\mu),
\]

where \( B^2_k (1 \leq k \leq 9) \) are bounded operators in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( B^2_1, B^2_2, B^2_3 \) are given in (3.52).

For the third kind of resonance, we aim to derive the expansions of \( \left( \widetilde{M}^+_4(\mu) \right)^{-1} \). By the definition of the third kind of resonance, we know that the operator \( T_2 \) is not invertible on \( S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Since \( S_3 \) is the Riesz projection onto the kernel of \( T_2 \) on \( S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), thus \( T_2 + S_3 \) is invertible on \( S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Moreover, we have

\[
\left( \widetilde{M}^+_4(\mu) + S_3 \right)^{-1} = D_3 - \sum_{k=1}^{7} \mu^k D_3 B^3_k D_3 + \Gamma_5(\mu)
\]

with \( B^3_1 = \frac{a^+_2}{a^+_3} \mu B^3_2 \) and \( B^3_2 (2 \leq k \leq 6) \) are bounded operators in \( S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

By Lemma 3.3, we know that \( \widetilde{M}^+_4(\mu) \) is invertible on \( S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if

\[
M^+_5(\mu) := S_3 - S_3 \left( \widetilde{M}^+_4(\mu) + S_3 \right)^{-1} S_3
\]
is invertible on $S_3 L^2(R)$. Since $S_3 D_3 = D_3 S_3 = S_3$, then

$$M_5^+(\mu) = \frac{a_3^+}{a_3} \mu S_3 B_3^2 S_3 + \sum_{k=2}^{7} \mu^k S_3 B_k^2 S_3 + S_3 \Gamma_8(\mu) S_3.$$ 

By the definition of $B_3^2$ and using $S_3 D_3 = D_2 S_3 = S_3$, we get

$$S_3 B_3^2 S_3 = \frac{1}{a_3} S_3 B_4^2 S_3 + \frac{1}{(a_3^+)^3} S_3 B_1^2 D_2 B_2^1 S_3 - \frac{1}{(a_3^+)^2} (S_3 B_2^1 D_2^1 B_2^1 S_3 + S_2 B_3^1 D_2 B_2^1 S_3).$$

Indeed, using the orthogonal relationships (3.17)-(3.22), we can obtain that

$$S_3 B_3^2 S_3 = \frac{1}{a_3^+} S_3 v G_4 v S_3.$$ 

Hence, we have

$$M_5^+(\mu) = \frac{\mu}{a_3^+} S_3 v G_4 v S_3 + \sum_{k=2}^{7} \mu^k S_3 B_k^3 S_3 + S_3 \Gamma_8(\mu) S_3.$$ 

According to Lemma 3.5, $S_3 v G_4 v S_3$ is invertible on $S_3 L^2(R)$, denote $\widetilde{D}_3 = (S_3 v G_4 v S_3)^{-1}$. Then by Neumann series and using $D_3 = S_3 D_3 = \widetilde{D}_3 S_3$, we have the following asymptotic expansions of $(M_5^+(\mu))^{-1}$ as $\mu \to 0$:

$$(M_5^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{a_3^+ S_3 \widetilde{D}_3 S_3}{\mu} + \sum_{k=0}^{5} \mu^k S_2 A_{k1}^3 S_2 + \Gamma_6(\mu). \quad (3.56)$$

Then by Lemma 3.3 and using $S_3 D_3 = D_3 S_3 = S_3, S_2 D_3 = D_3 S_2 = D_3$, we have

$$(M_4^+(\mu))^{-1} = (\widetilde{M}_4^+(\mu) + S_3)^{-1} + (M_5^+(\mu) + S_3)^{-1} S_3 (M_4^+(\mu) + S_3)^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{a_3^+ S_3 \widetilde{D}_3 S_3}{\mu} + \sum_{k=0}^{5} \mu^k S_3 A_{k1}^3 S_3 + \Gamma_6(\mu).$$

Since $M_4^+(\mu) = \frac{a_3^+}{a_1^+} \mu^2 \widetilde{M}_4^+(\mu)$, thus

$$(M_4^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{a_3^+ S_4 \widetilde{D}_3 S_3}{\mu^3} + \frac{S_2 A^3_{2,1} S_2}{\mu^2} + \frac{S_2 A^3_{1,1} S_2}{\mu} + S_2 A^3_{0,1} S_2 + \mu S_2 A^3_{11} S_2 + \mu^2 S_4 A^3_{21} S_2 + \mu^2 S_4 A^3_{31} S_2 + \mu \Gamma_6(\mu). \quad (3.57)$$

Then using the orthogonality properties (see 3.17)-(3.22), and following the similar process of Second Kind of Resonance, we have

$$(M^+(\mu))^{-1} = \frac{S_3 A^3_{4,1} S_3}{\mu^4} + \frac{S_2 A^3_{3,1} S_2}{\mu^3} + \frac{S_2 A^3_{2,1} S_0 + S_2 A^3_{2,2} S_2}{\mu^2}$$

$$+ S_0 A^3_{1,1} S_0 + S_2 A^3_{1,2} Q + Q A^3_{1,3} S_2 + (S_0 A^3_{0,1} Q + Q A^3_{0,2} S_0)$$

$$+ \mu (Q A^3_{11} Q + S_0 A^3_{12} + A^3_{13} S_0) + \mu^2 (Q A^3_{21} + A^3_{22} Q) + \Gamma_6(\mu). \quad (3.58)$$

with $A^3_{4,1} = D_3 = (S_3 v G_4 v S_3)^{-1}$ in $S_3 L^2(R)$ is a real $\mu$-independent operator. The proof of Theorem 4.7 is completed.

Now we show that the kernel of the operator $S_3 v G_4 v S_3$ on $S_3 L^2(R)$ is trivial which implies that iterated process stop here.
Thus, we have Theorem 4.1.

**Lemma 3.5.** Assume that \(|v(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\beta}\), then we have \(\ker(S_3 v G_4 v S_3) = \{0\}\).

**Proof.** If \(f \in \ker(S_3 v G_4 v S_3)\). Then \(S_3 v G_4 v S_3 f = 0\) for \(f \in S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R})\). Thus,

\[ 0 = \langle S_3 v G_4 v S_3 f, f \rangle = \langle G_3 v f, v f \rangle. \]

Since

\[ R_0^\pm (\mu^4) = \frac{a_0^\pm}{\mu^3} I + \frac{a_1^\pm}{\mu} G_{-1} + G_0 + a_1^\pm \mu G_1 + a_3^\pm \mu^2 G_3 + \mu^4 G_4 + O(\mu^4 |x - y|^7), \]

then,

\[ G_4 = \frac{R_0^\pm (\mu^4) - \frac{a_1^\pm}{\mu^3} I - \frac{a_1^\pm}{\mu} G_{-1} - G_0 - a_1^\pm \mu G_1 - a_3^\pm \mu^2 G_3 + O(\mu^4 |x - y|^7)}{\mu^4}. \]

Noting that for \(f \in S_3 L^2\), we have

\[ 0 = \langle v, f \rangle = \langle G_{-1} v f, v f \rangle = \langle G_1 v f, v f \rangle = \langle G_3 v f, v f \rangle, \]

and since

\[ \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - y|^7 + v(x)v(y)|f(x)f(y)|dxdy \lesssim \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - y|^7 + \langle x \rangle^{-8} - \langle y \rangle^{-8} dxdy < \infty. \]

Thus, we have

\[ 0 = \langle S_3 v G_4 v S_3 f, f \rangle = \langle G_4 v f, v f \rangle = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \left\langle \frac{R_0^\pm (\mu^4) - \frac{a_1^\pm}{\mu^3} I - \frac{a_1^\pm}{\mu} G_{-1} - G_0 - a_1^\pm \mu G_1 - a_3^\pm \mu^2 G_3}{\mu^4} v f, v f \right\rangle = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \frac{1}{\mu^4} \langle (R_0^\pm (\mu^4) - G_0) v f, v f \rangle. \]

Notice that \(G_0 = (\Delta^2)^{-1}\), by the Fourier transform, we obtain

\[ 0 = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \frac{1}{\mu^4} \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{\xi^4 - \mu^4} - \frac{1}{\xi^4} \right) \hat{v} f(\xi), \hat{v} f(\xi) \right\rangle = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \left\langle \frac{\hat{v} f(\xi)}{(\xi^4 - \mu^4)} \hat{v} f(\xi), \hat{v} f(\xi) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\hat{v} f(\xi)}{\xi^8}, \hat{v} f(\xi) \right\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{v} f(\xi)^2}{\xi^8} d\xi = \langle G_0 v f, G_0 v f \rangle. \]

Here, we use dominated convergence as \(\mu \to 0\) with chosen \(\mu\) such that \(\text{Re}(\mu^4) < 0\). Thus \(v f = 0\) since \(v f \in L^1\). Noting that \(f \in S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R})\), hence \(f = -U v G_0 v f = 0\). 

\[ \square \]

**4. Proof of Theorem 4.6**

In this part, we aim to give the proof of Theorem 4.6. In fact, it suffices to establish the following characterizations of resonance spaces.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that \(|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-\beta}\) with some \(\beta > 17\). Then the following statements hold:

(i) \(f(x) \in S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R})\) if and only if \(f(x) = U v \phi\) with \(\phi \in W_3^2(\mathbb{R})\) such that \(H \phi = 0\) in the distributional sense, and

\[ \phi = -G_0 v f + c_1 x + c_2, \]
where
\[ c_1 = \frac{\langle T_0 f, v_1 \rangle}{\|v_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = \frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} - \frac{\langle xv, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} c_1 \]

with \( v_1 = xv - \frac{\langle xv, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} v \in (Q - S_0)L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

(ii) \( f(x) \in S_2L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if \( f(x) = Uv\phi \) with \( \phi \in W_{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( H\phi = 0 \) in the distributional sense, and
\[ \phi = -G_0vf + c_2. \]

(iii) \( f(x) \in S_3L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) if and only if \( f(x) = Uv\phi \) with \( \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( H\phi = 0 \) in the distributional sense, and
\[ \phi = -G_0vf. \]

Proof. (i) Assume that \( f \in S_1L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). One has
\[ 0 = S_0T_0S_0f = S_0(U + vG_0v)f. \]

Note that
\[ 0 = S_0(U + vG_0v)f = (I - \tilde{P})(U + vG_0v)f = Uf + vG_0vf - \tilde{P}T_0f, \]
where \( \tilde{P} \) is the projection onto the span\{v, xv\} = \((S_0L^2(\mathbb{R}))^\perp\). In fact, we know that \( v \) and \( v_1 = xv - \frac{\langle xv, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} v \) are the orthogonal basis of \((S_0L^2(\mathbb{R}))^\perp\). Hence, we have
\[ \tilde{P}T_0f = \frac{\langle T_0 f, v_1 \rangle}{\|v_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}} v_1 + \frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} v = xv \frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|v_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}} + v\left( \frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} - \frac{\langle xv, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} c_1 \right) \]
\[ := c_1 xv + c_2 v. \]

Furthermore, we have
\[ Uf = -vG_0vf + \tilde{P}T_0f = -vG_0vf + c_1 xv + c_2 v. \]

Since \( U^2 = 1 \), then
\[ f = U^2f = Uv(-G_0vf) + U(c_1 xv + c_2 v) = Uv(-G_0vf + c_1 x + c_2) := Uv\phi, \]
and \( vf = vUv\phi = V\phi \). By \( G_0 = ((-\Delta)^2)^{-1} \) and noting that \((-\Delta)^2(c_1 x + c_2) = 0\), we have
\[ H\phi = ((-\Delta)^2 + V)\phi = (-\Delta)^2\phi + V\phi = -vf + V\phi = 0. \]

Next, we show that \( \phi \in W_{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \). It is obvious that \( c_1 x + c_2 \in W_{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \), so it suffices to show that
\[ G_0vf = \frac{1}{12} \int_\mathbb{R} |x - y|^3 v(y) f(y) dy \in W_{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}). \]

Since \( S_1 \leq S_0 \), and we know that if \( f \in S_0L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), then
\[ \int_\mathbb{R} v(y) f(y) dy = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\mathbb{R} yv(y) f(y) dy = 0. \]

Hence
\[ G_0vf = \frac{1}{12} \int_\mathbb{R} ((|x - y|^3 - |x|^3) + 3|x| x \cdot y) v(y) f(y) dy := \frac{1}{12} \int_\mathbb{R} K_1(x, y) v(y) f(y) dy \]
with \( K_1(x, y) = |x - y|^3 - |x|^3 + 3|x| x \cdot y. \)
Indeed, we can divided $K_1(x, y)$ into three parts as follows:

$$K_1(x, y) = |x - y|^3 - |x|^3 + 3|x|x \cdot y$$

$$= (x^2(|x - y| - |x|) + |x| \cdot y + y^2(|x - y| - |x|)$$

$$+ (y^2|x| - 2x \cdot y(|x - y| - |x|))$$

$$:= K_{11}(x, y) + K_{12}(x, y) + K_{13}(x, y).$$

For the first part $K_{11}(x, y)$, we have

$$K_{11}(x, y) = \frac{|x|^2(y^2-2xy)}{|x - y| + |x|} + \frac{x^3y + xy|x|}{|x - y| + |x|}$$

$$\leq \frac{|x|^2 y^2}{|x - y| + |x|} + \frac{|x|x(|x - y| - |x|)}{|x - y| + |x|}$$

$$\lesssim |x|^2.$$

Thus, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{11}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right| \in W^{3}_{2}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then, for the second part $K_{12}(x, y)$, we have

$$|K_{12}(x, y)| = |y^2(|x - y| - |x|)| \lesssim |y|^3.$$ 

It is obvious that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{12}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^3 v(y)f(y)dy \in W_{2}^{3}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Finally, for the last part $K_{13}(x, y)$, we have

$$|K_{13}(x, y)| = |y^2|x| - 2xy(|x - y| - |x|)| \leq |x|y^2 + 2|x|y^2 \lesssim |x|^2.$$

It is easy to know that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{13}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right| \lesssim |x| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^2 v(y)f(y)dy \in W_{2}^{3}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$|G_0 vf| = \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_1(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy$$

$$\lesssim \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{11}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{12}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{13}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right|,$$

which implies that $G_0 vf \in W_{2}^{3}(\mathbb{R})$.

On the other hand, if $\phi = -G_0 vf + c_1 x + c_2 \in W_{2}^{3}(\mathbb{R})$. Since

$$\phi(x) = -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x - y|^3 v(y)f(y)dy + c_1 x + c_2$$

$$= -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_1(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy + \frac{|x|^3}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y)f(y)dy$$

$$- \frac{3|x|x}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} yv(y)f(y)dy + c_1 x + c_2$$

where $K_1(x, y) = |x - y|^3 - |x|^3 + 3|x|x \cdot y$. 
Since \(-\frac{1}{12} \int \frac{|x|^3}{R} K_1(x, y)v(y) f(y) dy \in W_{\frac{1}{2}}(R)\) and \(c_1 x + c_2 \in W_{\frac{1}{2}}(R)\), hence,
\[
\frac{|x|^3}{12} \int \frac{R}{v(y) f(y) dy} - \frac{3|x|^3}{12} \int \frac{yv(y) f(y) dy \in W_{\frac{1}{2}}(R)},
\]
which implies that
\[
\int \frac{R}{v(y) f(y) dy = 0 \text{ and } \int \frac{yv(y) f(y) dy \in W_{\frac{1}{2}}(R)},
\]
it means that \(f \in S_0 L^2(R)\). Furthermore, using \(f = Uv\phi\) and \(H\phi = 0\), we have
\[
S_0 T_0 S_0 f = S_0 T_0 Uv \phi = S_0 (U + vG_0 v)(Uv \phi) = S_0 (vG_0 Uv \phi + v\phi)
\]
\[
= S_0 vG_0 ((-\Delta)^2 + V) \phi = S_0 vG_0 H\phi = 0.
\]
Hence, we obtain that \(f \in S_1 L^2(R)\).

(ii) Since \(S_2 \leq S_1\), by Theorem 4.1(i), we know that \(f \in S_1 L^2(R)\) if and only if \(f = Uv\phi\) with \(\phi \in W_{\frac{1}{2}}(R)\) such that \(H\phi = 0\) in the distributional sense and
\[
\phi = -G_0 v f + c_1 x + c_2.
\]
Thus, we just need to prove that \(c_1 = 0\) and \(\phi \in W_{\frac{1}{2}}(R)\). Indeed, by the proof of Theorem 4.1(i), we know that
\[
v_1 = xv - \frac{\langle v, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2_{L^1(R)}} v \in Q L^2(R).
\]
By Table 4.5 if \(f \in S_2 L^2(R)\), then \(S_2 T_0 f = 0\), thus
\[
c_1 = \langle v_1, T_0 f \rangle = 0.
\]
Next we show that \(\phi \in W_{\frac{1}{2}}(R)\). Since \(\phi = -G_0 v f + \frac{\langle v, T_0 f \rangle}{\|v\|^2_{L^1(R)}}\), thus it suffices to show that
\[
G_0 v f = \frac{1}{12} \int \frac{R}{|x - y|^3 v(y) f(y) dy \in W_{\frac{1}{2}}(R)}.
\]
We obtain that if \(f \in S_2 L^2(R)\), then
\[
\int \frac{R}{v(y) f(y) dy = \int \frac{R}{yv(y) f(y) dy = \int \frac{R}{yv(y) f(y) dy = 0}}.
\]
Thus,
\[
G_0 v f = \frac{1}{12} \int \frac{R}{|x - y|^3 - |x|^3 + 3|x| y - 3|y|^2 v(y) f(y) dy}
\]
\[
:= \frac{1}{12} \int \frac{R}{K_2(x, y) v(y) f(y) dy}
\]
with \(K_2(x, y) = |x - y|^3 - |x|^3 + 3|x| y - 3|y|^2\).

Indeed, we can divided \(K_2(x, y)\) into four parts as follows
\[
K_2(x, y) = y^2 (|x - y| - |x|) - 2|xy (|x - y| - |x|) + |x|^2] + \left[\frac{x^2 y^2}{|x - y| - |x|} - \frac{1}{2} |x|^2 y^2\right]
\]
\[
+ \left[\frac{|x| y (|x - y| - |x|)}{|x - y| - |x|} + \frac{1}{2} |x|^2 y^2\right]
\]
\[
:= K_{21}(x, y) + K_{22}(x, y) + K_{23}(x, y) + K_{24}(x, y).
\]
For the first part \(K_{21}(x, y)\), we have
\[
|K_{21}(x, y)| = |y^2 (|x - y| - |x|)| \lesssim |y|^3.
\]
Thus
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{21}(x, y) v(y) f(y) dy \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^3 |v(y) f(y)| dy \in W^1_2(\mathbb{R}).
\]
For the second part $K_{22}(x, y)$, we have
\[
|K_{22}(x, y)| = \frac{2 x y (y^2 - 2x \cdot y)}{|x - y| + |x|} + \frac{|x| y^2(|x - y| + |x|)}{|x - y| + |x|}
\lesssim \frac{|x| \cdot |y|^3}{|x - y| + |x|} + \frac{|x| y^2(|x - y| - |x|)}{|x - y| + |x|}
\lesssim |y|^3.
\]
Thus
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{22}(x, y) v(y) f(y) dy \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^3 |v(y) f(y)| dy \in W^1_2(\mathbb{R}).
\]
Then, for the third part $K_{23}(x, y)$, we have
\[
|K_{23}(x, y)| = \frac{2 x y^2}{2(|x - y| + |x|)} - \frac{|x| y^2(|x - y| + |x|)}{2(|x - y| + |x|)}
\lesssim \frac{|x| y^2 \cdot ((|x - y| - |x|))}{2(|x - y| + |x|)}
\lesssim |y|^3.
\]
Thus
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{23}(x, y) v(y) f(y) dy \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^3 |v(y) f(y)| dy \in W^1_2(\mathbb{R}).
\]
Finally, for the fourth part $K_{24}(x, y)$, we have
\[
|K_{24}(x, y)| = \frac{|x| x y (y^2 - 2x \cdot y)}{(|x - y| + |x|)^2} + \frac{|x| y^2(|x - y| + |x|^2)}{2(|x - y| + |x|)^2}
\lesssim \frac{|x| y^4}{2(|x - y| + |x|)^2} + \frac{x^2 y^2(|x - y| - |x|)}{(|x - y| + |x|)^2}
\lesssim |y|^3.
\]
Thus
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{24}(x, y) v(y) f(y) dy \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^3 \cdot |v(y) f(y)| dy \in W^1_2(\mathbb{R}).
\]
Hence, we obtain that $G_0 v f \in W^1_2(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, we have $\phi \in W^1_2(\mathbb{R})$.

On the other hand, if $\phi = -G_0 v f + \frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} \in W^1_2(\mathbb{R})$. Since
\[
\phi(x) = -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x - y|^3 v(y) f(y) dy + \frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}
= -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_2(x, y) v(y) f(y) dy + \frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}
+ \frac{1}{12} \left[ |x|^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y) f(y) dy - 3|x|x \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y) f(y) dy + 3|x| \int_{\mathbb{R}} y v(y) f(y) dy \right].
\]
We know that
\[
-\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_2(x, y) v(y) f(y) dy \in W^1_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}} \in W^1_2(\mathbb{R}).
\]
Hence,
\[
\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y) f(y) dy - 3|x| \int_{\mathbb{R}} y v(y) f(y) dy + 3|x| \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 v(y) f(y) dy \in W_{1,2}^2(\mathbb{R}),
\]
this necessitates that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y) f(y) dy = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} y v(y) f(y) dy = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 v(y) f(y) dy = 0,
\]
and since \(c_1 = 0\). Hence, we have \(f \in S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R})\). The proof of Theorem 4.1(ii) is completed.

(iii) Since \(S_3 \leq S_2\), by Theorem 4.1(ii), we know that if \(f \in S_2 L^2(\mathbb{R})\), then \(f = U v \phi\) with \(\phi \in W_{1,2}^2(\mathbb{R})\) such that \(H \phi = 0\) in the distributional sense and
\[
\phi = -G_0 v f + \frac{\langle v, T_0 f \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1}}.
\]
Thus, we just need to prove that \(\frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1}(\mathbb{R})}\) and \(\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\). Indeed, if \(f \in S_3 L^2(\mathbb{R})\), then
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y v(y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 v(y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^3 v(y) f(y) dy = Q T_0 f = P T_0 f = 0.
\]
Thus, we have \(\frac{\langle T_0 f, v \rangle}{\|V\|_{L^1}} = 0\).

Next we show that \(\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\). Since \(\phi = -G_0 v f\), and using
\[
S_3(v) = S_3(xv) = S_3(x^2 v) = S_3(x^3 v) = 0,
\]
we have
\[
\phi(x) = -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|x - y|^3 - |x|^3 + 3|x|y - 3|x|y^2 + \frac{x}{|x|} y^3) v(y) f(y) dy
\]
\[
:= -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_3(x, y) v(y) f(y) dy
\]
with \(K_3(x, y) = |x - y|^3 - |x|^3 + 3|x|y - 3|x|y^2 + \frac{x}{|x|} y^3\).

Indeed, we can divided \(K_3(x, y)\) into five parts as follows
\[
K_3(x, y) = \left[ y^2 \left( |x - y| - |x| \right) + \frac{x}{|x|} y^3 \right] + \left[ \frac{x}{|x|} y^3 - \frac{2xy^3}{|x - y| + |x|} \right]
\]
\[
+ \frac{5}{2} \left[ \frac{|x|y^2(|x| - |x - y|)}{|x - y| + |x|} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{|x|} y^3 \right] + \left[ \frac{x^2 y^2(|x - y| - |x|)}{(|x - y| + |x|)^2} + \frac{x}{4} \frac{1}{|x|} y^3 \right]
\]
\[
+ \frac{2(|x - y| + |x|)^2}{|x|y^4}
\]
\[
:= K_{31}(x, y) + K_{32}(x, y) + K_{33}(x, y) + K_{34}(x, y) + K_{35}(x, y).
\]
For the first part \(K_{31}(x, y)\), we have
\[
|K_{31}(x, y)| \leq \frac{y^4}{|x - y| + |x|} + \frac{xy^3(|x - y| - |x|)}{(|x - y| + |x|)|x|}
\]
\[
\lesssim \frac{y^4}{|x - y| + |x|}.
\]
Moreover,

\[ |K_{31}(x, y)| \lesssim \frac{y^4}{|y|} \lesssim \begin{cases} |y|^3, & 0 \leq |x| \leq 1; \\ \frac{y^4}{|x|}, & |x| > 1. \end{cases} \]

Thus

\[ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{31}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^4}{|y| + |x|}|v(y)f(y)|dy \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \]

For the second part \(K_{32}(x, y)\), we have

\[ |K_{32}(x, y)| = \frac{|x||y|^3 + xy^3|x - y| - 2|xy^3|}{|x|(|x| + |x|)} \]

\[ = \frac{xy^3(|x - y| - |x|)}{|x|(|x| + |x|)} \lesssim \frac{y^4}{|x| + |x|}. \]

Thus

\[ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{32}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^4}{|y| + |x|}|v(y)f(y)|dy \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \]

For the third part \(K_{33}(x, y)\), we have

\[ |K_{33}(x, y)| = \frac{5}{2} \frac{|x|^2 y^2(2x - y - y^2)}{|x|(|x| + |x|)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{xy^3(2x - y) \cdot |x| + 2x^2 - 2x \cdot y + y^2}{|x|(|x| + |x|)} \]

\[ \lesssim \frac{|x||y|^5}{(|x| + |x|)^2} + \frac{xy^3(|x - y| - |x|)}{(|x| + |x|)^2} \]

\[ \lesssim \frac{|x|^4}{(|x| + |x|)^2}. \]

Noting that

\[ |K_{33}(x, y)| \lesssim \frac{|x|^4}{(|x| + |x|)^2} \lesssim \begin{cases} |y|^3, & 0 \leq |x| \leq 1; \\ \frac{y^4}{|x|}, & |x| > 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.1) \]

Thus

\[ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{33}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|x|^4}{(|x| + |x|)^2}|v(y)f(y)|dy \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \]

Then, for the fourth part \(K_{34}(x, y)\), we have

\[ |K_{34}(x, y)| = \frac{|x|^2 y^2(y^2 - 2x \cdot y)}{|x|(|x| + |x|)^3} + \frac{xy^3(|x - y| + |x|)^3}{4|x|(|x| + |x|)^3} \]

\[ \lesssim \frac{x^2 y^4}{(|x| + |x|)^3} + \frac{|x| \cdot |y|^5}{(|x| + |x|)^3} \]

\[ + \frac{|x| \cdot |y|^5}{(|x| + |x|)^3} + \frac{x^2 y^4}{(|x| + |x|)^3} + \frac{|x| \cdot |y|^5}{(|x| + |x|)^3}, \]

and it is obvious that

\[ \frac{x^2 y^4}{(|x| + |x|)^3} + \frac{|y|^5}{(|x| + |x|)^3} + \frac{|x| \cdot |y|^5}{(|x| + |x|)^3} \lesssim \begin{cases} |y|^3, & 0 \leq |x| \leq 1; \\ \frac{y^4}{|x|}, & |x| > 1. \end{cases} \]
Thus
\[ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{34}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{x^2y^4}{(|x-y| + |x|)^3} + \frac{|y|^5}{(|x-y| + |x|)^2} + \frac{|x| \cdot |y|^5}{(|x-y| + |x|)^3} \right] |v(y)f(y)|dy \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \]

Finally, for the fifth part \( K_{35}(x, y) \), using (4.1), it is easy to know that
\[ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{35}(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \right| = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|x|^4}{2(|x-y| + |x|)^2} |v(y)f(y)|dy \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \]

Thus, we obtain that \( \phi = -G_0vf \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), and \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_3(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

On the other hand, if \( \phi = -G_0vf \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Since
\[ \phi(x) = -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x-y|^3v(y)f(y)dy \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_3(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y)f(y)dy \]
\[ - 3|x| \int_{\mathbb{R}} yv(y)f(y)dy + 3|x| \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2v(y)f(y)dy - \frac{x}{|x|} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^3v(y)f(y)dy \]
and we know that
\[ -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_3(x, y)v(y)f(y)dy \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{ and } \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \]

Hence,
\[ \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y)f(y)dy - 3|x| \int_{\mathbb{R}} yv(y)f(y)dy \]
\[ + 3|x| \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2v(y)f(y)dy - \frac{x}{|x|} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^3v(y)f(y)dy \]
\[ \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \]
which concludes that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y)f(y)dy = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} yv(y)f(y)dy = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2v(y)f(y)dy = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^3v(y)f(y)dy = 0. \]

And note that \( f = Uv\phi = -UvG_0vf \), which implies
\[ 0 = f + UvG_0vf = U(U + vG_0v)f = UT_0f. \]

Hence \( T_0f = 0 \), that is \( f \in S_3L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). The proof of Theorem 4.1iii) is completed. \( \square \)
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