SPECTRA OF THE ZERO-DIVISOR GRAPH OF FINITE RINGS

KRISHNAT D. MASALKAR\textsuperscript{A}, AVINASH PATIL\textsuperscript{B}, AND ANIL KHAIRNAR\textsuperscript{A,1}

Abstract. The zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(R)$ of a ring $R$ is a graph with nonzero zero-divisors of $R$ as vertices and distinct vertices $x, y$ are adjacent if $xy = 0$ or $yx = 0$. We provide an equivalence relation on a ring $R$ and express $\Gamma(R)$ as a generalized join of graphs on equivalence classes of this relation. We determined Laplacian and adjacency spectra of

\[
\Gamma \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} M_{n_i}(F_{q_i}) \right), \text{ where } M_{n_i}(F_{q_i}) \text{ is a matrix ring over a finite field } F_{q_i} \text{ of order } q_i = p_i^{n_i}
\]

for distinct primes $p_i$ and positive integers $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.
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1. Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple undirected graph with a vertex set $V(G)$ and an edge set $E(G)$. The cardinality of $V(G)$ is the order of $G$. If there is an edge $e \in E(G)$ with end vertices $u$ and $v$ then we say that $u$ and $v$ are adjacent and the edge $e$ is denoted by $u - v$. For any vertex $u$ in $G$, $N(u) = \{v \in V(G) : u - v \in E(G)\}$ is the neighborhood of $u$ and $d(u) = |N(u)|$ is a degree of $u$. A graph $G$ is $r$-regular if every vertex has the same degree equal to $r$. The adjacency matrix $A(G) = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ of a graph $G$ of order $n$ such that $a_{ij} = 1$ if $i - j \in E(G)$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The Laplacian matrix of the graph $G$ is given by $L(G) = \text{diag}(d(1), \ldots, d(n)) - A(G)$. A multiset of eigenvalues, $\sigma_A(G) = \{\lambda_1^{(s_1)}, \ldots, \lambda_n^{(s_n)}\}$ of $A(G)$ is the adjacency spectra of $G$. The Laplacian spectra $\sigma_L(G)$ of a graph $G$ is defined as the multiset of eigenvalues of $L(G)$. This paper aims to find two spectra $\sigma_A(G)$ and $\sigma_L(G)$, also the relations between these values and structural properties of the graph. The author refers to [3] for introduction to graph theory and spectral graph theory. The generalized join of the family of graphs is defined as below, which will be used to find $\sigma_A(G)$ and $\sigma_L(G)$.

Definition 1.1 (\cite{3} Definition 2.1). Let $H = (I, E)$ be a graph on vertex set $I = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{G_i = (V_i, E_i) : i \in I\}$ be a family of graphs and $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. The $H$-generalized join of the family $\mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $\bigvee_H \mathcal{F}$ and is a graph formed by replacing each vertex $i$ of $H$ by the graph $G_i$ and joining each vertex of $G_i$ to every vertex of $G_j$ whenever $i$ and $j$ are adjacent in $H$.

Theorem 1.2 (\cite{7} Fiedler'ection result). Let $A$ be a $m \times m$ symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m$. Let $u$ be a unit eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to $\alpha_1$. Let $B$ be another $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n$ and $v$ be unit eigenvector of $B$ corresponding to $\beta_1$. Then for any $\rho$ the matrix $C = \begin{bmatrix} A & \rho uv^t \\ \rho v^t & B \end{bmatrix}$ has eigenvalues $\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ are eigenvalues of the matrix $C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \rho \\ \rho & \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}$.
Motivated from Theorem 1.2 in [3] Cardoso et al. gave adjacency and Laplacian spectra of a graph $G$, where $G = \bigvee R\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n\}$ ($G_i$ are regular graphs in the case of adjacency spectra and arbitrary graphs in the case of Laplacian spectra). Some additional consequences regarding the largest eigenvalue and algebraic connectivity are explored.


The notion of the compressed graph is useful in studying the properties of graphs.

**Definition 1.3.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Let $\approx$ be a relation (which is an equivalence relation) on $V$ defined by

$$a \approx b \iff N(a) = N(b).$$

Let $\frac{V}{\approx} = \{[a]: [a] = \{b \in V: b \approx a\}\}$ be set of its equivalence classes. The *compressed graph* $G^\approx$ is a graph on $\frac{V}{\approx}$ such that $[a]^\approx \approx [b]^\approx$ is an edge if and only if $a - b$ is an edge in $G$.

Let $R$ be a ring and $Z(R)$ denote its set of nonzero zero-divisors. Anderson et al. [1] introduced the zero-divisor graph $\Gamma(R)$ of a commutative ring $R$, which was extended to non-commutative rings by Redmond [12] as the graph with vertex set $Z(R)$ where two vertices $a, b$ are adjacent if and only if $ab = 0$ or $ba = 0$. The aim of considering these graphs is to study the interplay between graph theoretic properties of $\Gamma(R)$ and the algebraic properties of the ring $R$. In order to simplify the representation of $\Gamma(R)$, it is often useful to consider the so-called compressed zero-divisor graph $\Gamma_E(R)$. This graph was first introduced by Mulay (see [9]). Note that for a ring $R$, a *compressed zero-divisor graph* $\Gamma_E(R)$ is nothing but $\Gamma(R)^\approx$.

Note that, in graph $\Gamma(R)$, for any $a \in R$,

$$N(a) = \text{ann}_r(a) \cup \text{ann}_l(a) \setminus \{a\},$$

where $\text{ann}_r(a) = \{b \in R: ab = 0\}$ and $\text{ann}_l(a) = \{b \in R: ba = 0\}$.

In this paper, we provide an equivalence relation $\sim$ on a finite ring $R$ and express $\Gamma(R)$ as $\Gamma(R)^\sim$-generalized join of null and complete graphs (graphs on equivalence classes of the relation $\sim$). By using equivalence relation $\approx$, $\Gamma(R)$ is expressed as $\Gamma(R)^\approx$-generalized join of family of null graphs (graphs on equivalence classes of the relation $\approx$). Then using Cardoso’s result we express the spectra (Laplacian and adjacency) of $\Gamma(R)$. We provide a method to find adjacency spectra of $H$-generalized join graph of family of null graphs, using eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the adjacency matrix of $H$. Using the equivalence relation $\sim$, we investigate the Laplacian and adjacency spectra of $\Gamma({\mathbb{Z}}_n)$, $\Gamma(M_n(F_q))$ (matrix ring over finite field) and a finite semisimple ring.

2. REPRESENTATION OF ZERO-DIVISOR GRAPH OF RINGS USING GENERALIZED JOIN

We begin with an equivalence relation on a ring with unity.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $R$ be a ring with unity. A binary relation $\sim$ on $Z(R)$ defined by

$$a \sim b \text{ if and only if } a = ub = bv, \text{ for some units } u, v \in R,$$
is an equivalence relation.

Proof. Let \( x, y, z \in Z(R) \). Since \( x = 1x = x1, x \sim x \). Also \( x \sim y \) implies \( x = uy = yv \), for some units \( u, v \in R \), which gives \( y = u^{-1}x = xv^{-1} \) and hence \( y \sim x \). If \( x \sim y \) and \( y \sim z \), then there exist units \( u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \) such that \( y = u_1x = xv_1 \) and \( z = u_2y = yv_2 \); and so \( z = u_2u_1x = v = xv_2v_1 \), where \( u_2u_1 \) and \( v_2v_1 \) units in \( R \). This yields \( x \sim z \). Therefore \( \sim \) is an equivalence relation on \( Z(R) \). \( \square \)

Corollary 2.2. Let \( R \) be a commutative ring with unity. A binary relation \( \sim \) on \( Z(R) \) defined by

\[
a \sim b \text{ if and only if } a = ub, \text{ for some unit } u \in R
\]

is an equivalence relation.

Remark 2.3. Let \( R \) be a ring with unity.

1. Consider the relation \( \sim \) and the relation \( \approx \) on \( Z(R) \) as defined above. If \( a \sim b \), then \( a = ub = bv \), for some units \( u, v \in R \), which gives \( ann_r(a) \cup ann_r(b) = ann_l(a) \cup ann_l(b) = X \) (say).

Now assume that \( a \sim b \). Consider the case \( a^2 \neq 0 \). Then we must have \( b^2 \neq 0 \). Hence \( N(a) = X \setminus \{a\} = X \setminus \{b\} = N(b) \). Therefore \( a \approx b \). Thus, if \( a^2 \neq 0 \) then \( a \sim b \) implies \( a \approx b \), which yields \( [a] \sim [a]^\approx \).

If \( a^2 = 0 \) then we must have \( b^2 = 0 \). Therefore \( N(a) = X \setminus \{a\} \), \( N(b) = X \setminus \{b\} \). This yields \( N(a) = N(b) \) if and only if \( ab \neq 0 \) or \( b \neq a \). Therefore \( a \approx b \) if and only if \( a \) is not adjacent to \( b \).

2. If \( a \) and \( b \) are two distinct nilpotent elements of index 2 in a ring \( R \) such that \( a \approx b \), then \( ab \neq 0 \). For, let \( R = \mathbb{Z}_{16}, Z(R) = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14\} \), \( U(R) = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15\} \) and equivalence classes with respect to \( \sim \) are \( \{\{8\}, \{4, 12\}, \{2, 6, 10, 14\}\} \). Also equivalence classes with respect to \( \approx \) are \( \{\{2, 6, 10, 14\}, \{4\}, \{12\}, \{8\}\} \).

3. If the ring \( R \) is finite, commutative and reduced then \( R \) is a direct sum of finite fields and hence both relations \( \sim \) and \( \approx \) are same.

4. Let \( A, B \) be two matrices in \( Z(M_n(F)) \), where \( M_n(F) \) is a matrix ring over a field \( F \). Suppose that \( A^2 \neq 0, B^2 \neq 0 \). If \( A \approx B \), then column null space(\( A \)) = column null space(\( B \)), in consequence row space(\( A \)) = row space(\( B \)), which gives there is an invertible matrix \( P \) such that \( PA = B \). Similarly row null space(\( A \)) = row null space(\( B \)) and this gives that there exist an invertible matrix \( Q \) such that \( AQ = B \). Hence, we have \( A \sim B \). Thus, for two matrices \( A \) and \( B \), which are not of nilpotency index 2, we have \( A \sim B \) if and only if \( A \approx B \). However the same is not true if we have \( A^2 = 0 = B^2 \). For, in \( M_n(F), n \geq 2, F \neq \mathbb{Z}_2 \), let \( A = diag \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, O_{n-2} \right) \) and \( B = diag \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, O_{n-2} \right) \), where \( O_{n-2} \) is \( (n-2) \times (n-2) \) zero matrix. Then \( A \sim B \) but we do not have \( A \approx B \), because \( A^2 = AB = B^2 = 0 \).

Next, we give relations on \( R = \mathbb{Z}_n \) or \( M_n(F) \). These relations are same as the equivalence relation \( \sim \) on the ring \( R \). Define relations \( \sim_1, \sim_2, \sim_3, \sim_4 \) as below.

1. \( a \sim_1 b \) in \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) if and only if \( (a, n) = (b, n) \), where \( (a, n) \) is the gcd of \( a \) and \( n \).
2. \( A \sim_2 B \) in \( M_n(F) \) if and only if column space(\( A \)) = column space(\( B \)) and row space(\( A \)) = row space(\( B \)).
(3) $A \sim_3 B$ in $M_n(F)$ if and only if row null space($A$) = row null space($B$) and column null space($A$) = column null space($B$).

(4) $A \sim_4 B$ in $M_n(F)$ if and only if row null space($A$) = row null space($B$) and column space($A$) = column space($B$).

**Proposition 2.4.** All relations $\sim_1$, $\sim_2$, $\sim_3$, $\sim_4$ are same as the relation $\sim$ on respective rings.

**Proof.** We prove that $a \sim_i b$ if and only if $a \sim b$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Claim (1): $a \sim_1 b$ if and only if $a \sim b$.

Assume that $a \sim_1 b$ in $Z_n$. Therefore $(a, n) = (b, n) = d$, say. Then by Bezout theorem, there exist $x, y, w, z \in Z_n$ such that $ax + ny = bw + zn = d$, that is $x\frac{a}{d} + y\frac{n}{d} = 1 = w\frac{b}{d} + z\frac{n}{d}$.

This gives $(\frac{a}{d}, n) = (\frac{b}{d}, \frac{n}{d}) = 1$. Similarly $(\frac{b}{d}, n) = 1$. Therefore $u = \frac{a}{d}, v = \frac{b}{d}$ are units and $a = ud, b = vd$. So $a = uv^{-1}b$, and hence $a \sim b$. Conversely, assume that $a \sim b$ then there is an unit $u$ such that $a = ub$. This yields $(a, n) = (ub, n) = (b, n)$, that is $a \sim_1 b$.

Claim (2): $A \sim_2 B$ if and only if $A \sim B$.

Assume that $A \sim_2 B$ in $Z(M_n(F))$. Therefore row space($A$) = row space($B$) and column space($A$) = column space($B$). Let $E, F$ be row reduced echelon forms of $A$ and $B$ respectively. Then there exist invertible matrices $C$ and $D$ such that $CA = E, DB = F$.

Since row spaces of $A$ and $B$ are same, we must have $E = F$, which imply $CA = DB$, i.e., $A = PB$ and $P = C^{-1}D$. Similarly, there exists an invertible matrix $Q$ such that $A = BQ$. Therefore $A \sim B$. Conversely, assume that $A \sim B$. Hence there exist invertible matrices $P$ and $Q$ such that $A = PB = BQ$. Since $P$ is invertible, there exist elementary matrices, say $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k$ such that $P = E_1E_2\ldots E_k$. Also, we know that for any elementary matrix $E$, row space($B$) = row space($EB$). Hence inductively we get row space($B$) = row space($PB$) = row space($A$). Similarly, we have, column space($A$) = column space($B$). Thus $A \sim_2 B$.

Claim (3): $A \sim_3 B$ if and only if $A \sim B$.

Assume that $A \sim B$. Hence there exist invertible matrices $P$ and $Q$ such that $A = PB = BQ$. Now $A = PB$ implies that $Ax = 0 \iff PBx = 0 \iff Bx = 0$. Therefore column null space($A$) = column null space($B$). Similarly $A = BQ$ implies row null space($A$) = row null space($B$). Therefore $A \sim_3 B$. Conversely, assume that column null space($A$) = column null space($B$) and row null space($A$) = row null space($B$). Let column null space($A$) = column null space($B$) = $W$, $U = \text{row space}(A)$ and $V = \text{row space}(B)$. So that $f(u, w) = 0 = f(v, w)$ for all $u \in U, v \in V, w \in W$, where $f(x, y) = x'y : F^n \times F^n \to F$ is a bilinear form. Therefore $U = V = W^\perp$. This shows that row space($A$) = row space($B$). Similarly, row null space($A$) = row null space($B$) implies column space($A$) = column space($B$). So that $A \sim_2 B$ and hence $A \sim B$.

Claim (4): $a \sim_4 b$ if and only if $a \sim b$.

We can prove this on similar lines as that of Claim (3) above. □

Let $R$ be a ring with unity. Let $\underline{Z(R)} = \{[x] \mid [x] = \{y \in Z(R) \mid y \sim x\}\}$ be the set of equivalence classes of $\sim$. Suppose that $\Gamma([x])$ is an induced subgraph of $\Gamma(R)$ on $[x]$, for each $[x] \in \underline{Z(R)}$. Let $\Gamma(R)\sim$ be a graph on $\underline{Z(R)}\sim$ such that $[x] - [y]$ is an edge in $\Gamma(R)\sim$ if and only if $x - y$ is an edge in $\Gamma(R)$. We can write $\Gamma(R)$ as $\Gamma(R)\sim$- generalized join of family of its induced subgraphs on equivalence classes of $\sim$. 
Proposition 2.5. Let $R$ be a ring with unity. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\Gamma([x]): [x] \in \frac{Z(R)}{\sim}\}$. Then

1. $\Gamma(R) = \bigvee_{\Gamma(R)\sim} \mathcal{F}$.
2. For each $[x] \in \frac{Z(R)}{\sim}$, if $x^2 = 0$, then $\Gamma([x])$ is a complete graph. Otherwise, it is a null graph.
3. For each $[x] \in \frac{Z(R)}{\sim}$, if $e, f \in \Gamma([x])$ and $e^2 = e, f^2 = f$ then $e = f$ and $\Gamma([x])$ is a null graph.
4. The ring $R$ is reduced (i.e., 0 is the only nilpotent element in $R$) if and only if each graph $\Gamma([x])$ is a null graph.

Proof. Claim (1): Let $x, y \in Z(R)$, and $a \in \Gamma([x]), b \in \Gamma([y])$. So there are units $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2$ such that $a = u_1x = xv_1$ and $b = u_2y = yv_2$. Hence $ab = u_1xyv_2$ and $ba = u_2yvx_1$. Therefore $xy = 0 \iff ab = 0$ and $yx = 0 \iff ba = 0$.

Therefore $[x], [y]$ are adjacent $\iff xy = 0$ or $yx = 0 \iff ab = 0$ or $ba = 0 \iff a, b$ are adjacent. Thus, each vertex of $\Gamma([x])$ is adjacent to every vertex of $\Gamma([y])$ if and only if $[x]$ and $[y]$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(R)$.

Claim (2): Let $x \in Z(R)$ be fixed. If $a, b \in [x]$, then there exist units $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2$ such that $a = u_1x = xv_1$ and $b = u_2x = xv_2$. Hence $ab = u_1x^2v_2 = 0$ or $ba = u_2x^2v_1 = 0$ if and only if $x^2 = 0$. So all vertices in $\Gamma([x])$ are adjacent to each other if and only if $x^2 = 0$. Therefore $\Gamma([x])$ is either a complete graph or a null graph.

Claim (3): If $e, f$ are nonzero idempotents in $\Gamma([x])$, then $e = xu_1 = v_1x, f = xu_2 = v_2x$, for some units $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2$ in $R$. Therefore $e = xu_1 = xu_2u_2^{-1}u_1 = fu_2^{-1}u_1 = fu$, where $u = u_2^{-1}u_1$. Similarly $e = vf$, where $v = v_1u_2^{-1}$. Hence $fe = f^2u = fu = e$ and $ef = vf^2 = vf = e$. Therefore $e = ef = fe$. Similarly we can show that $f = ef = fe$.

Hence we get $e = f$.

Claim (4): If the ring $R$ is not reduced, then there exists a nonzero element $y$ such that $y^{2n} = 0$ and $y^{2n-1} \neq 0$, for some positive integer $n$. Let $x = y^n$ then $x \neq 0$ and $x^2 = 0$. Therefore by Claim (2), $\Gamma([x])$ is a complete graph. Hence by contra-positive statement, we have if every $\Gamma([x])$ is a null graph then $R$ is a reduced ring. Conversely, assume that every $\Gamma([x])$ is a null graph. Then $x^2 \neq 0$, for any $x \in Z(R)$. Hence $R$ is reduced. \hfill \square

Now we state the results by Cardoso et al. from [3].

Proposition 2.6. Let $H$ be a graph on set $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and let $\mathcal{F} = \{G_i: i \in I\}$ be a family of $n$ pairwise disjoint $r_i$-regular graphs of order $n_i$ respectively. Let $G = \bigvee_{H} \mathcal{F}$ and $N_i = \sum_{j \in N(i)} n_j, \; N(i) \neq \phi$.

If

$$
C_A(H) = (c_{ij}) = \begin{cases}
    r_i, & i = j \\
    \sqrt{n_i n_j}, & i \text{ adjacent to } j \\
    0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

$$
C_N(H) = (d_{ij}) = \begin{cases}
    N_i, & i = j \\
    -\sqrt{n_i n_j}, & i \text{ adjacent to } j \\
    0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

Then the spectral radius $\rho(G)$ is given by

$$
\rho(G) = \max\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : C_A(H) - \lambda I \text{ is singular} \}.
$$
\[ \sigma_A(G) = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (\sigma_A(G_i) \setminus \{r_i\}) \right) \bigcup \sigma(C_A(H)). \]

and

\[ \sigma_L(G) = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (N_i + (\sigma_L(G_i) \setminus \{0\})) \right) \bigcup \sigma(C_N(H)). \]

**Remark 2.7.** Note that in the above proposition, each \( G_i \) is \( r_i \)-regular graph, hence \( [1, 1, \ldots, 1]^t \) is its Perron vector, i.e., eigenvector associated to largest eigenvalue \( r_i \).

The proof of the following lemma is clear.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \( B, D \) be diagonal and \( A \) be symmetric matrices of same order. If \( A = Q\Lambda Q^t \) be the eigendecomposition of \( A \), then the eigendecomposition of \( C = B + DAD \) is \( C = B + (DQ)\Lambda(DQ)^t \). Further, if \( B \) is a zero matrix, then eigenvalues of \( C \) and \( A \) are same.

**Remark 2.9.** Let \( G = \bigvee_{H} \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n\} \) and each \( G_i \) is \( r_i \)-regular graph with \( |G_i| = n_i \).

Let \( B = \text{diag}(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n) \), \( C = \text{diag}(N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_n) \) and \( D = \text{diag}(\sqrt{n_1}, \sqrt{n_2}, \ldots, \sqrt{n_n}) \). Then

\[ C_A(H) = B + DA(H)D \quad \text{and} \quad C_N(H) = C + DA(H)D. \]

By Lemma 2.8 if \( A(H) = Q\Lambda Q^t \) is the eigen decomposition of \( A(H) \), then

\[ C_A(H) = B + DQA(DQ)^t \quad \text{and} \quad C_N(H) = C + DQA(DQ)^t. \]

Further, if each \( G_i \) is a null graph, then \( C_A(H) = (DQ)\Lambda(DQ)^t \) and columns of \( DQ \) are orthogonal to each other. Hence eigenvalues of \( C_A(H) \) and \( A(H) \) are same.

**Corollary 2.10.** Let \( H \) be a graph on vertices \( \{1, 2, \ldots, t\} \); and \( G = \bigvee_{H} \{K_{n_1}, \ldots, K_{n_r}, \overline{K}_{n_{r+1}}, \ldots, \overline{K}_{n_t}\} \). Then

\[ \sigma_A(G) = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \{(−1)^{(n_i-1)}\} \right) \bigcup \left( \bigcup_{i=r+1}^{t} \{0^{(n_i-1)}\} \right) \bigcup \sigma(C_A(H)), \]

\[ \sigma_L(G) = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \{(N_i + n_i)^{(n_i-1)}\} \right) \bigcup \left( \bigcup_{i=r+1}^{t} \{0^{(n_i-1)}\} \right) \bigcup \sigma(C_N(H)). \]

**Proof.** Expressions for \( \sigma_A(H) \) and \( \sigma_L(H) \) in (2.3) are evident from Proposition 2.6. \( \square \)

If \( R \) is a finite ring with unity, then the adjacency matrix \( A(\Gamma(R)) \) is obtained from \( A(\Gamma(R)^\sim) \) as below. For a finite ring with unity, we write \( \sigma_A(\Gamma(R)) \) and \( \sigma_L(\Gamma(R)) \) using the generalized join operation.

**Proposition 2.11.** Let \( R \) be a finite ring with unity and \( \Gamma(R)^\sim = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t\} \) with nilpotent elements \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r \) of nilpotency index 2. Suppose that \( n_i = ||x_i|| \), for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, t \). Then

\[ \Gamma(R) = \bigvee_{\Gamma(R)^\sim} \{K_{n_1}, \ldots, K_{n_r}, \overline{K}_{n_{r+1}}, \ldots, \overline{K}_{n_t}\}. \]
Proof. Follows from Propositions 2.5, 2.6 and Corollary 2.10 \( \Box \)

For the proper divisors \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \) of \( n \), Chattopadhyay et al. \[4\] defined the simple graph \( \Upsilon_n \) whose vertices are \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \) in which two distinct vertices \( d_i \) and \( d_j \) are adjacent if and only if \( n \) divides \( d_id_j \); and proved that \( \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n) \) is \( \Upsilon_n \)-generalized join of \( \Gamma(A_{d_1}), \ldots, \Gamma(A_{d_k}) \), where \( A_{d_i} = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : \langle x, n \rangle = d_i \} \). Observe that, \( [d_i] = A_{d_i} \), for each \( i \) and \( \Upsilon_n = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n)^\sim \). Thus we have essentially extended the results of Chattopadhyay et al. \[4\] to finite rings with unity.

In the following result, we prove that any graph \( G \) is a \( G^\sim \)-generalized join of its induced subgraphs on equivalence classes of the relation \( \approx \). Let \( G \) be any graph and \( G^\sim \) be its compressed graph. For each vertex \( x \in G \), \( [x] \) denotes the equivalence class of \( \approx \) containing \( x \). Also \( G_{[x]} \) is an induced subgraph of \( G \) on \( [x] \).

**Proposition 2.12.** Let \( G \) be any graph and \( \mathcal{F} = \{ \overline{K_{n_i}} : n_i = ||x_i|| \} \) for each equivalence class \( [x_i] \) with respect to \( \approx \). Then \( G = \bigvee_{G^\sim} \mathcal{F} \).

**Proof.** Let \( [x], [y] \in G^\sim \) and \( u \in [x], v \in [y] \). Assume that \( [x] = [y] \). Then \( N(x) = N(y) = N(u) = N(v) \). In this case \( x - y \) is not an edge and \( u - v \) is not an edge. Otherwise \( y \in N(x) \setminus N(y) \) and hence \( N(x) \neq N(y) \), which is a contradiction. Now consider the case \( [x] \neq [y] \). So \( [x] \cap [y] = \emptyset \). If \( x - y \) is an edge but \( u - v \) is not an edge, then \( v \notin N(u) = N(x) \) and \( y \in N(x) = N(u) \). Hence \( x \notin N(v) = N(y) \) and \( x \in N(y) \), a contradiction. Therefore, \( x - y \) is an edge but \( u - v \) is not an edge, is not possible. Therefore \( x - y \) is edge if and only if \( u - v \) is an edge. Hence \( G \) is \( G^\sim \)-generalized join of induced subgraphs on distinct equivalence classes of \( \approx \).

Now we will show that each graph \( \Gamma([x]) \) is a null graph. Let \( u, v \in \Gamma([x]) \). Then \( N(u) = N(v) = N(x) \). If \( u - v \) is an edge, then \( N(u) \neq N(v) \), a contradiction to \( N(u) = N(v) = N(x) \). Therefore each \( \Gamma([x]) \) is a null graph. \( \Box \)

**Corollary 2.13.** Let \( R \) be a finite ring with unity and \( [x_1], [x_2], \ldots, [x_m] \) be distinct equivalence classes of \( \approx \) on \( \mathbb{Z}(R) \). Suppose that \( n_i = ||x_i|| \), for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). Then \( \Gamma(R) = \bigvee_{G^\sim} \{ \overline{K_{n_{i_1}}}, \ldots, \overline{K_{n_{i_m}}} \} \), and

\[
\sigma_A(\Gamma(R)) = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \{0^{(n_i-1)}\} \right) \cup \sigma(\Gamma(R)^\sim), \sigma_L(\Gamma(R)) = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \{0^{(n_i-1)}\} \right) \cup \sigma(C_N(\Gamma(R)^\sim)),
\]

where \( \sigma(C_A(G^\sim)) \) and \( \sigma(C_N(G^\sim)) \) are as given in Corollary 2.10 with \( i \) replaced by \( x_i \). Also \( r_i = 0 \), for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).

**Proof.** The proof follows from Proposition 2.12 \( \Box \)

In the following lemma we write a zero-divisor graph \( \Gamma(R) \) as generalized join, if the ring \( R \) is a direct product of finite number of finite fields. Further, we compute adjacency and Laplacian spectra of \( \Gamma(R) \).
Lemma 2.14. Let \( q_k = p_k^{m_k} \) with \( p_k \) prime and \( F_{q_k} \) be finite field, for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, t \); and \( R = F_{q_1} \times F_{q_2} \times \cdots \times F_{q_t} \) be a ring, \( e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0), \) \( e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_t = (0, 0, \ldots, 1) \), \( \mathcal{A} = \{ e_S = \sum_{i \in S} e_i : \emptyset \neq S \subset \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, t\} \} \). \( \mathcal{F} = \{ \Gamma([e_S]) : e_S \in \mathcal{A} \} \). Then

1. \( \Gamma(R) = \bigcup_{\Gamma(R) \sim} \mathcal{F} \).
2. \( \Gamma(R) \sim = \Gamma(\mathcal{A}) = \Gamma \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} \mathbb{Z}_2 \right) \), which is the zero-divisor graph of Boolean ring.
3. \( |\Gamma(R) \sim| = |\mathcal{A}| = 2^t - 2 \).
4. \( N([e_S]) = (1 - e_S)\mathcal{A} \), \( d([e_S]) = 2^{t - |S|} \).
5. \( [e_S] = e_SU(R) \), \( [e_S] = \prod_{i \in S} (q_i - 1) = n_S \) (say), where \( U(R) = \prod_{k=1}^{t} (F_{q_k} \setminus \{0\}) \) is a set of units in \( R \).
6. \( N(e_S) = (1 - e_S)R \), \( d(e_S) = \prod_{i \notin S} q_i - 1 \).
7. \( \sigma_A(\Gamma(R)) = \bigcup_{\emptyset \subset S \subset \{1, \ldots, t\}} \{0^{(n_S - 1)}\} \bigcup \sigma(\Gamma(R) \sim) \),
   \[
   \sigma_L(\Gamma(R)) = \bigcup_{\emptyset \subset S \subset \{1, \ldots, t\}} \{0^{(n_S - 1)}\} \bigcup \sigma(C_\mathcal{N}(\Gamma(R) \sim)) ,
   \]
   where \( C_\mathcal{A}(\Gamma(R) \sim), C_\mathcal{N}(\Gamma(R) \sim) \) are as given in Corollary 2.10, where \( i \)'s are replaced by \( e_i \) 's.

Proof. Note that ring \( R \) does not have nilpotent elements. Hence proof of claim(1), claim(7) follows from Proposition 2.12. Proofs of other claims are easy. \( \square \)

A ring \( R \) is regular (von-Neumann regular) if for any \( a \in R \), there exist \( b \in R \) such that
\[
a = aba .
\]
If \( a = aba \), then it is easy to see that \( e = ab \) and \( f = ba \) are idempotents with \( e = ba \), \( f = ab \) such that \( ann_r(a) = ann_r(e) = (1 - e)R \) and \( ann_l(a) = ann_l(f) = R(1 - f) \).

Recently Patil et al. \[10\] determined the adjacency and Laplacian spectra of zero-divisor graph of von Neumann regular rings.

Remark 2.15. (1) Every finite commutative regular ring or finite reduced Goldie ring is finite direct product of finite fields(see Beiranvand et al. \[2\], Proposition 2.4). Also any finite commutative Rickart ring (a ring in which right annihilator of every element is generated, as a right ideal, by an idempotent) is also finite direct product of finite fields(see Thakare et al. \[13\] Theorem 6). Therefore we can find their spectra.

(2) An ideal \( I \) in a ring \( R \) is a semiprime ideal if for any \( x \in R \), and positive integer \( k \), \( x^k \in I \) imply \( x \in I \). A ring \( R \) is said to be a semiprime (Goldie) ring if only zero ideal in the ring is semiprime ideal. Hence reduced rings are semiprime. There is a noncommutative ring, \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix ring over the field \( F \), i.e., \( M_2(F) \) which is semiprime (because, any ideal in matrix ring \( M_n(R) \) is of the form \( M_n(I) \), where \( I \) is ideal in ring \( R \) but not reduced as it contains a nilpotent matrix \[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]. If \( R \) is commutative ring, then \( R \) is semiprime if and only if \( R \) is reduced ring.

(3) Let \( R \) be a right Artinian ring. For any regular element \( a \in S = R \setminus (Z(R) \cup \{0\}) \), the descending chain of right ideals \( aR \supseteq a^2R \supseteq a^3R \supseteq \ldots \) stabilizes. Therefore
there exist a positive integer \( n \) such that \( a^n R = a^{n+1} R \). Hence \( a^n = a^{n+1} b \) for some \( b \in R \). So \( a^n(1 - ab) = 0 \). Therefore \( ab = 1 \). Also, \( a(1 - ba) = a - aba = a - a = 0 \) which imply \( ba = 1 \). So \( a \) is unit. Thus in (right or left) Artinian ring, every regular element is unit. Therefore if \( R \) is right( or left) Artinian ring, then the total quotient ring \( RS^{-1} \cong R \). Therefore if \( R \) is right (or left) Artinian ring then \( \Gamma(RS^{-1}) \cong \Gamma(R) \). By Goldie’s theorem, if \( R \) is a semi-prime right (or left) Artinian ring then \( R \cong RS^{-1} \) is a semisimple ring and hence direct product of matrix rings over finite fields (Wedderburn-Artin theorem).

**Proposition 2.16.** Let \( R \) be a finite, abelian and regular ring with unity. If \( \mathcal{I} \) denotes the set of all idempotents in \( R \), then the following statements hold:

1. \( \mathcal{F} = \{ \Gamma([e]) : e \in \mathcal{I} \} \) is family of null graphs.
2. \( \Gamma(R)^\sim = \Gamma(\mathcal{I}) \).
3. \( \Gamma(R) = \bigvee_{\Gamma(R)^\sim} \mathcal{F} \).

**Proof.** Since abelian regular rings are reduced, \( R \) is a reduced ring. Hence for any \( r \in R \) there exist an idempotent \( e \) and a unit \( u \) such that \( r = ue = eu \). (see Beiranvand et al. [2, Remark 3.4].) If there is another idempotent \( f \) and unit \( v \) such that \( r = vf = fv \), then we have \( ue = eu = f v = vf \). Consequently, \( (1 - f)e u = 0 = v(f - fe) \). Therefore \( e = f = ef \). Hence for any \( r \in R \) there exist unique idempotent, say \( e_r \), such that \( r \sim e_r \). Hence for any \( r \in Z(R) \), there exist unique idempotent \( e_r \) such that \( e_r \in [r] \), where \( [r] \) is an equivalence class of \( \sim \) containing \( r \). Hence by Proposition 2.5, \( \Gamma(R) \) is \( \Gamma(R)^\sim \) generalized join of graphs in the family \( \mathcal{F} \). Since \( R \) is reduced ring, from Proposition 2.5, each graph \( \Gamma([e]) \) is a null graph. This proves statements (1), (2) and (3). \( \square \)

3. A method to find spectra of generalized join of graphs

Let \( H \) be a graph on \( I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) vertices and for each \( i \in I \), \( G_i \) be a graph on \( \{v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{in}\} \) vertices. If \( G = \bigvee_H \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n\} \), then \( A(G) \) is a block matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A(G_1) & J_{12} & J_{13} & \cdots & J_{1n} \\
J_{21} & A(G_2) & J_{23} & \cdots & J_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
J_{n1} & J_{n2} & J_{n3} & \cdots & A(G_n)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( J_{ij} \) is a matrix of all 1’s if \( i \neq j \) is an edge in \( H \) and \( J_{ij} \) is a matrix of all 0’s if \( i \neq j \) is not an edge in \( H \). The order of \( J_{ij} \) is \( n_i \times n_j \). If all graphs \( G_i \) are null graphs, then \( G = \bigvee_H \{K_{n_1}, K_{n_2}, \ldots, K_{n_n}\} \) is multipartite graph and \( A(G) = \begin{bmatrix}
O_{n_1} & J_{12} & J_{13} & \cdots & J_{1n} \\
J_{21} & O_{n_2} & J_{23} & \cdots & J_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
J_{n1} & J_{n2} & J_{n3} & \cdots & O_{n_n}
\end{bmatrix} \). In this case, \( A(G) \) is obtained by duplicating \( i^{th} \) row and \( i^{th} \) column by \( n_i \) times iteratively. Now we have one important observation about the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrices. First we illustrate it by an example.

Consider a \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix, \( B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \). Its eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors are \( \lambda_1 = -1, \lambda_2 = 2, \lambda_3 = 1 \) and \( v_1 = [1 \ 0 \ 0]^t, v_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 0]^t, v_3 = [1 \ 0 \ 2]^t \) respectively. Let us obtain matrix \( A \), by duplicating second row and second column of
If $\mu$, hence we have, and therefore we get (3.2) and (3.1) then we have $w_2 = [0 1 1 0]^t$, then $w_2$ is eigenvector of $A$ with associated eigenvalue $\mu_2 = 4 = \lambda_2 + \frac{0+2+0}{1+1+1}$. Also $\lambda_1 = -1$ and $\lambda_3 = 1$ are again eigenvalues of $A$ with corresponding eigenvectors $w_1 = [1 0 0 0]^t$ and $w_3 = [1 0 0 2]^t$ respectively.

Proposition 3.1. Let $B$ be a square matrix of size $n$ and $A$ be a matrix obtained by duplicating its $j^{th}$ row $R_j = [a_{j1}, \ldots, a_{jn}]$ of $B$ $m$ times and $j^{th}$ column $C_j = [a_{1j}, \ldots, a_{nj}]^t$ $m$ times. If $\lambda_j$ is an eigenvalue of $B$ and $v_j = [x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]^t$ be an associated nonzero eigenvector, i.e., $Bv_j = \lambda v_j$ then nonzero eigenvalue $\mu$ and an associated eigenvector of $A$ is given by $\mu_j = \lambda_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} (m-1)x_j$ and $w_j = [x_1, \ldots, x_j, x_j, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_{n-1}]^t$ respectively, provided the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}$ is nonzero. If the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} = 0$, then $\mu = \lambda$.

Also all other eigenvalues of $A$ and $B$ are same. Further for each eigenvalue $\lambda \neq \lambda_j$ of $B$ with associated eigenvector $v$, an eigenvector $w$ of $A$ corresponding to eigenvalue $\lambda$ is obtained by repeating $j^{th}$ component $m$ times in $v$.

Proof. Let $B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$. If $[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^t$ is an eigenvector of $B$ corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda$, then we have

$$a_{11}x_1 + \ldots + a_{ij}x_j + \ldots + a_{in}x_n = \lambda x_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$ 

If $[x_1, \ldots, x_{n}]^t$ is an eigenvector of $A$ associated to its eigenvalue $\mu$, then we have

$$(3.1) \quad a_{11}x_1 + \ldots + a_{ij}(x_{j1} + \ldots + x_{jm}) + \ldots + a_{in}x_n = \mu x_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad a_{j1}x_1 + \ldots + a_{jk}(x_{j1} + \ldots + x_{jm}) + \ldots + a_{kn}x_n = \mu x_{jk}, \text{ for all } k = 1, \ldots, m.$$ 

Therefore we get

$$a_{ij} \left( \sum_{k=2}^{m} x_{jk} \right) = (\mu - \lambda)x_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

and

$$a_{jk} \left( \sum_{k=2}^{m} x_{jk} \right) = \mu x_{jk} - \lambda x_j, \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$ 

Hence we have,

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} \right) \left( \sum_{k=2}^{m} x_{jk} \right) = (\mu - \lambda) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right) \text{ and } 0 = \mu (x_{jk} - x_j), \text{ for } k = 2, \ldots, m.$$ 

If $\mu \neq 0$, then $x_{jk} = x_j$, for $k = 2, \ldots, m$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} \neq 0$, then $\mu = \lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} (m-1)x_j$. Clearly, the last part of the statement follows from equation (3.1).
Proposition 3.2. Let $R$ be a finite ring with unity. Let $S = \{[v_i]: i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ be a set of all distinct equivalence classes on $Z(R)$ with respect to the relation $\approx$ and $|[v_i]| = n_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. If eigenvalue and associated eigenvector of $A(\Gamma(G)\approx)$ are $\lambda$ and $[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k]$ respectively, then eigenvalue $\mu$ and associated eigenvector $y$ of $A(\Gamma(R))$ are given by

$$
\mu = \lambda + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i1} (n_1 - 1)x_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i2} (n_2 - 1)x_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i3} (n_3 - 1)x_3 + \cdots + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ik} (n_k - 1)x_k}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i}
$$

and $y = [x_1, \ldots, x_1, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_k, \ldots, x_k]^t$.

Proof. Let $A_1(\Gamma(R)^\approx)$ be the matrix obtained by duplicating first row and first column of $A(\Gamma(R)^\approx)$, $n_1$ times. Let $A_i(\Gamma(R)^\approx)$ be the matrix obtained by duplicating $i^{\text{th}}$ row and $i^{\text{th}}$ column of $A_{i-1}(\Gamma(R)^\approx)$, $n_i$ times, for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k$. Using Proposition 3.1 we can obtain eigenvalue $\lambda_i$ and eigenvector $y_i$ of $A_i(G)$ from eigenvalue $\lambda_{i-1}$ and eigenvector $y_{i-1}$. Hence the expressions for eigenvalue $\mu = \lambda_k$ and eigenvector $y = y_k$ of $A(\Gamma) = A_k(G^\approx)$ follows.

Let $R$ be a finite ring with unity. Suppose $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ are linearly independent eigenvectors of $A(\Gamma(R)^\approx)$ associated to eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ of $A(\Gamma(R)^\approx)$. Then we can find eigenvalues and eigenbasis of $A(\Gamma(R))$ by Proposition 3.1.

4. Spectra of the Zero-Divisor Graph of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and $M_n(F_q)$

Recall the following remarks, which are useful in this section.

Remark 4.1 ([5]). Denote the complete graph of order $n$ and its complement, i.e., the null graph of order $n$, by $K_n$ and $\overline{K_n}$ respectively. Since $A(\overline{K_n}) = L(\overline{K_n})$ is a zero matrix of order $n$, $\sigma_A(\overline{K_n}) = \sigma_L(\overline{K_n}) = \{0^{(n)}\}$.

Note that $A(K_n) = J_n - I_n$, where $J_n$ is a matrix of order $n$ of all $1$’s and $I_n$ is the identity matrix of order $n$. Therefore $\sigma_A(K_n) = \{(-1)^{(n-1)}, (n-1)^{(1)}\}$. Also, $L(K_n) = (n-1)I_n - A(K_n) = nI_n - J_n$. Hence $\sigma_L(K_n) = \{n^{(n-1)}, 0^{(1)}\}$.

Remark 4.2 ([11]). Let $q = p^k$ with $p$ prime. Then

$$
\binom{n}{r}_q = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (q^n - q^i)}{\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (q^i - q^i)}
$$

is called as $q$-binomial coefficient.

The following properties of $q$- binomial coefficients are used in the sequel.

1. $\binom{n}{r}_q = 0$, if $r > n$ or $r < 0$.
2. $\binom{n}{r}_q = \binom{n}{n-r}_q$.
3. $\binom{n}{0}_q = \binom{n}{n-1}_q = 1$.
4. $\binom{n}{1}_q = \binom{n}{n-1}_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$, if $n \geq 1$. 
(5) \( \lim_{q \to 1} \left( \frac{n}{r} \right)_q = \left( \frac{n}{r} \right) \).

(6) \( \sum_{r=0}^{n} q^{r^2} \left( \frac{n}{r} \right)_q = \left( 2n \right)_q \).

(7) The number of linearly independent subsets of cardinality \( r \) of \( n \)-dimensional vector space over a finite field \( F_q \) is \( \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \left( q^n - q^i \right) / r! \).

(8) The number of \( r \)-dimensional subspaces of \( n \)-dimensional vector space over a finite field \( F_q \) is \( \left( \frac{n}{r} \right)_q \).

In [7], Khaled et al. listed the following result which gives the number of matrices of given rank and given size over a finite field. This proposition is useful in determining cardinality of some sets.

**Proposition 4.3.** The number of matrices of size \( n \times m \) of rank \( r \) over finite field of order \( q \) is

\[ M(n, m, r, q) = \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{(q^n - q^j)(q^m - q^j)}{(q^r - q^j)}. \]

In [4] Chattopadhyay et al. gave the adjacency and Laplacian spectra of \( \Gamma(Z_n) \). In this section, we determine the adjacency and Laplacian spectra of \( \Gamma(Z_n) \) and \( \Gamma(M_n(F_q)) \) using the results proved in previous sections.

### 4.1 Spectra of \( \Gamma(Z_n) \).

The following theorem will be used to find the Spectra of \( \Gamma(Z_n) \).

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( R = Z_n \). If \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \) are nontrivial divisors of \( n \), then \( \Gamma(Z_n) = \bigvee \{ \Gamma([d_1]), \ldots, \Gamma([d_k]) \} \). And each \( \Gamma([d_i]) \) is either a complete graph or a null graph. Moreover, \( \Gamma([d_i]) \) is a complete graph if and only if \( n \) divides \( d_i^2 \).

**Proof.** Proof follows from Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5.

Let \( R = Z_n \) be a ring and

\[ N_2 = \{ [d_i] : d_i \neq 0, d_i^2 = 0 \text{ in } Z_n \} \]

be a set of nonzero nilpotents of index 2,

\[ L = Z(R) \setminus N_2. \]

**Proposition 4.5.** Let \( n = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{k_i} \) and \( R = Z_n \) be a ring. Then

\[ |N_2| = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left[ \frac{k_i}{2} \right] - 1 = s \text{ say} \]

and

\[ |L| = \prod_{i=1}^{t} (k_i + 1) - 1 - s = l \text{ say}. \]

Also following statements hold.

1. \( |\Gamma(R)^\sim| = \prod_{i=1}^{t} (k_i + 1) - 2 \); and for any two divisors \( d = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\alpha_i}, \ d' = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\beta_i}, \) the vertices \([d],[d']\) are adjacent in \( \Gamma(R)^\sim \) if and only if \( k_i \leq \alpha_i + \beta_i \), for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, t. \)
(2) For each divisor \( d = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\alpha_i} \) of \( n \), \( |[d]| = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left( p_i^{k_i-\alpha_i} - p_i^{k_i-\alpha_i-1} \right) = n_d \) (say).

(3) For each divisor \( d = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\alpha_i} \) of \( n \), vertex \([d]\) in \( \Gamma(R) \) has a degree \( \prod_{i=1}^{t} (\alpha_i + 1) \).

(4) For each divisor \( d = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\alpha_i} \) of \( n \), the vertex \( d \) in \( \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n) \) has a degree

\[
\sum_{k_i-\alpha_i \leq \beta_i \leq k_i} \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left( p_i^{k_i-\beta_i} - p_i^{k_i-\beta_i-1} \right).
\]

Proof. Let \( n = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{k_i} \). The number of nontrivial divisors of \( n \) is equal to \( l = \prod_{i=1}^{t} (k_i + 1) - 2 \) and number of units in \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) is \( \phi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} (p_i^{k_i} - p_i^{k_i-1}) \).

Let \( d = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\alpha_i} \) be a divisor of \( n \). We count the number of associates of \( d \) in \( \mathbb{Z}_n \). Let \( d_i = p_i^{\alpha_i} \). Now \( d \to (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_t) \) is a bijective map from \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) to \( \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{k_t}} \). Two elements \( d = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\alpha_i}, \ d' = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\beta_i} \) are associates in \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) if and only if \( d_i = p_i^{\alpha_i} \) and \( d'_i = p_i^{\beta_i} \) are associates in \( \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}} \), for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, t \). Hence the number of associates of \( d \) is equal to \( \prod_{i=1}^{t} n_i \), where \( n_i = \) number of associates of \( p_i^{\alpha_i} \) in \( \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}} \). The set of associates of \( p_i^{\alpha_i} \) in \( \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}} \) is

\[
\left\{ r p_i^{\alpha_i} \colon (r, p_i^{k_i}) = 1, \ 1 \leq r p_i^{\alpha_i} < p_i^{k_i} \right\} = \left\{ r p_i^{\alpha_i} \colon (r, p_i^{k_i}) = 1, \ 1 \leq r < p_i^{k_i-1} \right\} = \left\{ r p_i^{\alpha_i} \colon r \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p_i^{k_i-1}\} \text{ and } r \neq p_i s, \text{ for some } s \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}} \right\}.
\]

Hence the number of associates of \( p_i^{\alpha_i} \) is \( (p_i^{k_i-\alpha_i} - p_i^{k_i-\alpha_i-1}) \). Therefore the number of associates of \( d \) is \( \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left( p_i^{k_i-\alpha_i} - p_i^{k_i-\alpha_i-1} \right) \). Hence for each divisor \( d = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\alpha_i} \) of \( n \), \( \Gamma([d]) \) is a graph on \( n_d = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left( p_i^{k_i-\alpha_i} - p_i^{k_i-\alpha_i-1} \right) \) vertices. Alternatively \( n_d = \phi \left( \frac{n}{d} \right) \), because associates of \( d \) lie in a cyclic subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) generated by \( d \).

Now we count the degree of each vertex \( d \) in \( \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n) \). If \( d' = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\beta_i} \) is such that \( dd' = 0 \) in \( \mathbb{Z}_n \), then \( n \) divides \( dd' \). Hence we have \( k_i \leq \alpha_i + \beta_i \), for each \( i \). Therefore \( k_i - \alpha_i \leq \beta_i \leq k_i \), for each \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, t \). Thus the number of neighbors of \( [d] \) in \( \Gamma(R)^\sim \) is \( \prod_{i=1}^{t} (\alpha_i + 1) \). Also, the number of neighbors of \( d \) in \( \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n) \) is \( \sum_{n|dd', \ d'|n} |[d']| = \sum_{n|dd', \ d'|n} \phi(d') = \)

\[
\sum_{k_i-\alpha_i \leq \beta_i \leq k_i} \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left( p_i^{k_i-\beta_i} - p_i^{k_i-\beta_i-1} \right).
\]

Let \( s \) be the number of vertices \( [d] \) in \( \Gamma(R)^\sim \) such that \( d \) is a nilpotent element of index two. Hence \( s \) is the number of complete subgraphs of type \( \Gamma([d]) \) in \( \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n) \). Each nonzero nilpotent divisor \( d \) of \( n \) having index two in \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) is of the form \( \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{m_i} \) with \( k_i \leq 2m_i \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{t} m_i < \)
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{t} k_i. \text{ Hence } s = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left[ \frac{k_i}{2} \right] - 1. \text{ Therefore } \Gamma(R)^\sim \text{ is a graph with vertex set } V = \{[d] : d \text{ is nontrivial divisor of } n\} \text{ and edge set } E = \{([d], [d']) : d, d' \in V \text{ and } n \text{ divides } dd'\}. \text{ Also, } \Gamma(Z_n) = \Gamma(R)^\sim \text{ - generalized join of family of graphs } \{\Gamma([d]) : d \text{ is divisor of } n\} \text{ with } s \text{ complete graphs and } l - s \text{ null graphs.} \]

Finally, we give spectra of the zero-divisor graph of \(Z_n\).

**Theorem 4.6.** Let \(n = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{k_i}, l = \prod_{i=1}^{t} (k_i + 1) - 2, s = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left[ \frac{k_i}{2} \right]. \) Let \(N\) be the set of all nontrivial divisors of \(n\), \(N_2\) be the set of divisors of \(n\) having nilpotency index two and for each divisor \(d_i = \prod_{j=1}^{t} p_j^{\alpha_{ij}}, n_{d_i} = \prod_{j=1}^{t} \left(p_j^{k_j - \alpha_{ij}} - p_j^{k_j - \alpha_{ij} - 1}\right), N_{d_i} = \sum_{n|d_i} n_{d_i}. \)

Then \(\Gamma(Z_n)\) is \(\Gamma(Z_n)^\sim\) - generalized join of graphs \(\{\Gamma([d_i]) \simeq K_{n_{d_i}} : d_i \in N \setminus N_2\} \cup \{\Gamma([d_i]) \simeq K_{n_{d_i}} : d_i \in N_2\}\). Also,

\[
\sigma_A(\Gamma(Z_n)) = \left(\bigcup_{d_i \in N_2} \left\{-1^{(n_{d_i} - 1)}\right\}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{d_i \in N \setminus N_2} \left\{0^{(n_{d_i} - 1)}\right\}\right) \cup \sigma(C_A(\Gamma(Z_n))),
\]

where \(C_A(\Gamma(Z_n))\) is a square matrix of order \(l\) defined as below. If \(N_2 = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_s\}\) and \(N \setminus N_2 = \{d_{s+1}, \ldots, d_l\}\), then

\[
C_A(\Gamma(Z_n)) = (c_{ij}) = \begin{cases} n_{d_i} - 1 & d_i = d_j \text{ and } d_i \in N_2, \\ \sqrt{n_{d_i}n_{d_j}} & d_i \text{ adjacent to } d_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

\[
\sigma_L(\Gamma(Z_n)) = \left(\bigcup_{d_i \in N_2} \left\{(N_{d_i} + n_{d_i})^{(n_{d_i} - 1)}\right\}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{d_i \in N \setminus N_2} \left\{N_{d_i}^{(n_{d_i} - 1)}\right\}\right) \cup \sigma(C_N(\Gamma(Z_n))),
\]

where

\[
C_N(\Gamma(Z_n)) = (c_{ij}) = \begin{cases} N_{d_i} & d_i = d_j, \\ -\sqrt{n_{d_i}n_{d_j}} & d_i \text{ adjacent to } d_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** The proof is clear from Propositions 2.5, 2.6 and 4.5. \(\square\)

4.2. **Spectra of \(\Gamma(M_n(F_q))\).** Let \(p\) be a prime, \(q = p^k\) and \(M_n(F_q)\) be a matrix ring of \(n \times n\) matrices over a finite field \(F_q\). The following lemma gives the cardinality of every equivalence class of the relation \(\sim\) on \(Z(M_n(F_q))\).

**Lemma 4.7.** Let \(A \in Z(M_n(F_q))\). If \(\text{rank}(A) = r\), then \(|[A]| = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (q^r - q^i)\).

**Proof.** Let \(G = GL_n(F_q) \times GL_n(F_q)\) and \(X = M_n(F_q)\). Consider the map \(f : G \times X \to X\) defined by \(f((P, Q), A) = PAQ^{-1}\), for all \(A \in X\) and \((P, Q) \in G\). The map is an action of group \(G\) on \(X\). Therefore

\[
|O(A)| = [G : S_A] = \frac{|G|}{|S_A|},
\]

where \(O(A) = \{PAQ^{-1} : P, Q \in G\}\) is the orbit of the action containing \(A\) and \(S_A = \{(P, Q) \in G : PAQ^{-1} = A\}\) is a stabilizer subgroup of \(A\). Let \(A \in Z(M_n(F_q))\) and \(\text{rank}(A) = r\). Now \(O(A)\) is a set of all matrices in \(M_n(F_q)\) which are equivalent to \(A\), which will consist of all rank \(r\) matrices in \(M_n(F_q)\). Hence from Proposition 4.3.
Let $B \in \mathbb{S}_A : PA = A = AQ$. Hence $P_1A = AQ_1$ and $P_2A = AQ_2$. Assume that $P_1A = P_2A$, then $P_1^{-1}P_2A = A$; and since $(P_1, Q_1)$ and $(P_2, Q_2)$ are in $S_A$, we have $AQ_1 = AQ_2$. Therefore $P_1 = P_2P$ and $Q_2 = Q_1$ with $PA = A = AQ$. Thus $(P_2, Q_2) = (P_1P, QQ_1)$ and $(P, Q) \in T$. Conversely, let $(P, Q) \in T$. If $(P_1, Q_1) \in S_A$ and $P_2 = P_1P$, $Q_2 = QQ_1$. Then $P_1A = P_2A$ and $Q_1A = Q_2A$. So $|S_A| = |S|$. 

Now we will find $|T|$. Let $\{X_1, \ldots, X_r\}$ be a basis of a column space of $A$. Let $\{X_1, \ldots, X_r, Y_{r+1}, \ldots, Y_n\}$ be a basis of $F_{n}^\ast$. Therefore $(P, Q) \in T$ if and only if $PX_1 = X_1, \ldots, PX_r = X_r$ and $\{PY_{r+1}, \ldots, PY_n\}$ is a basis of a column space of $A$. Hence the cardinality of $S$ is equal to the number of choices of $B = \{PY_{r+1}, \ldots, PY_n\}$. Note that $PY_{r+i} \notin \text{span}\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_r, PY_i\}$. Hence the total number of choices for $B$ is $\prod_{i=r}^{n-1} (q^n - q^i)$. Thus for each choice of $B$, the matrix $P$ is uniquely determined. Therefore total choices for $P$ are $\prod_{i=r}^{n-1} (q^n - q^i)$. Now $Q^{-1}A = A$ imply that total choices for $Q$ are also same as that of $P$. Therefore $|T| = \left( \prod_{i=r}^{n-1} (q^n - q^i) \right)^2.$

Hence $|A| = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (q^n - q^i).$ \hfill \qed

Now for any $A \in M_n(F_q)$, we have $\text{ann}_r(A) = \{B \in Z(M_n(F_q)) : AB = 0\}$ and $\text{ann}_l(A) = \{B \in Z(M_n(F_q)) : BA = 0\}$. If $E$ and $F$ are row reduced echelon and column reduced echelon form of $A$ respectively, then there exist invertible matrices $P$ and $Q$ such that $A = PE = FQ$. Therefore we have $\text{ann}_r(A) = \text{ann}_r(E)$ and $\text{ann}_l(A) = \text{ann}_l(F)$. Also note that $E^2 = E$ and $F^2 = F$. In the following lemma, we find the degree of $A$ in $\Gamma(M_n(F_q))$.

**Lemma 4.8.** Let $A \in Z(M_n(F_q))$. If $\text{rank}(A) = r$, then $d(A) = 2q^{n(n-r)} - q^{(n-r)^2} - 1.$

**Proof.** Let $R = M_n(F_q)$, $A \in R$ and $\text{rank}(A) = r$. Degree of $A$ is given by

$$d(A) = |N(A)| = |\text{ann}_r(A)| + |\text{ann}_l(A)| - |\text{ann}_r(A) \cap \text{ann}_l(A)| - 1.$$ 

Let $E$ and $F$ are row reduced and column reduced echelon forms of $A$. Therefore there exist invertible matrices $P$ and $Q$ such that $A = PE = FQ$. Hence $\text{ann}_r(A) = \text{ann}_r(E) = (I - E)R$ and $\text{ann}_l(A) = \text{ann}_l(F) = R(I - F)$. Let $T_{\perp - E}(X) = (I - E)X : R \rightarrow R.$ If $W_k = \{(C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k, \ldots, C_n) : C_i \in F_q^m, C_i = 0, \text{ for all } i \neq k, \text{ and } (I - E)C_k = C_k\}$, then $(I - E)R = \text{range}(T_{\perp - E}) = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus W_n$. Hence the dimension of $\text{range}(T_{\perp - E})$ is given by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim(W_i) = n(n - r)$. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{n(n-r)}\}$ be a basis of $\text{range}(T_{\perp - E})$. Then $\text{range}(T_{\perp - E}) = \{k_1v_1 + \ldots + k_{n(n-r)}v_{n(n-r)} : k_1, \ldots, k_{n(n-r)} \in F_q\}$. Therefore $|\text{ann}_r(A)| = |(I - E)R| = |\text{range}(I - E)| = q^{n(n-r)}$. Similarly $|\text{ann}_l(A)| = q^{n(n-r)}$. Let $e_i, \ldots, e_{ir}$ be columns of $E$ which contain leading 1’s. If $B \in \text{ann}_r(A) \cap \text{ann}_l(A) = \text{ann}_r(E) \cap \text{ann}_l(F)$, then $i_1 \ldots, i_{r}$ rows of $B$ are linear combination of remaining rows of $B$. Now columns $i_1 \ldots, i_{r}$ of $A$ are linearly independent. Now since $BA = 0,$
applying elementary column operations on this equation, we transform linearly dependent columns of $A$ to zero. Hence columns $i^{th}_1, \ldots, i^{th}_r$ of $B$ are linear combination of other columns. Therefore columns of $B$ has $(n - r)^2$ arbitrary entries from $F_q$. Hence the number of choices for $B$ is $(n - r)^2$. Therefore $|ann_r(A) \cap ann_l(A)| = (n - r)^2$. Thus, $d(A) = 2q^{n(n-r)} - q^{(n-r)^2} - 1$.

Lemma 4.9. Let $q = p^k$. The number of nontrivial idempotent matrices in $M_n(F_q)$ is

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} q^{r(n-r)} \binom{n}{r} - 2.$$  Also, the number of nilpotent matrices of index 2 in $M_n(F_q)$ is

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil} q^{r(n-r)} \binom{n}{r} \binom{n-r}{r} \binom{n}{r}.$$  

Proof. Let $A$ be an idempotent matrix in $M_n(F_q)$ of rank $r$. Hence $A$ is similar to the diagonal matrix $diag(I_{r}, O_{n-r})$. Consider a action of group $GL_n(F_q)$ on set $S = \{ A_r = diag(I_r, I_{n-r}) : r = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \}$ defined by $f(A) = PAP^{-1}$, for all $A \in S$. Hence for each $A_r \in S$, $|O(A_r)| = \frac{|GL_n(F_q)|}{|N(A_r)|}$, where $O(A_r)$ is the orbit containing $A_r$ and $N(A_r) = \{ P \in GL_n(F_q) : PA_r = A_rP \}$.

Now if $P \in N(A_r)$, then $P = diag(Q, R)$, where $Q \in GL_r(F_q)$, $R \in GL_{n-r}(F_q)$. Hence $|N(A_r)| = GL_r(F_q) \cdot GL_{n-r}(F_q)$. Therefore

$$|O(A_r)| = \frac{|GL_n(F_q)|}{|GL_r(F_q)| \cdot |GL_{n-r}(F_q)|} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q^n - q^i)}{\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (q^r - q^i) \prod_{i=0}^{n-r-1} (q^{n-r} - q^i)} = \frac{n}{r} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-r-1} (q^n - q^i)}{q \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (q^r - q^i)} = q^r(n-r) \binom{n}{r}.$$  

Hence the number of all nonzero idempotents is equal to $\sum_{r=0}^{n} |O(A_r)| - 2 = \sum_{r=0}^{n} q^{r(n-r)} \binom{n}{r} - 2$. Note that, $N \in M_n(F_q)$ is a nonzero matrix of nilpotency index 2 and of rank $r$ if and only if $(0) \subseteq range(N) \subseteq ker(N) \subseteq F_q^n$ and $dim(range(N)) = r \leq dim(ker(N)) = n - r$, i.e., $r \leq [n/2]$. Therefore number of choices for $ker(N)$ is $\binom{n-r}{r}$ and number of choices of $range(N)$ is $\binom{n}{r}$.

By Proposition 2.4, two matrices are related under the relation $\sim$ if and only if they have same ranges and same kernels. Hence the total number of nonzero nilpotent matrices of index 2 is

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil} \binom{n}{n-r} \binom{n-r}{r} q = \sum_{r=1}^{\lceil n/2 \rceil} \binom{n}{r} \binom{n-r}{r}. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 4.10. The number of equivalence classes of $\sim$ in $M_n(F_q)$ is $\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r}^2$. \quad \Box
Proof. If \( A \sim B \) in \( M_n(F_q) \), then \( A \) and \( B \) have same rank. Let \( n_r \) be the number of equivalence classes of \( \sim \) in \( C_r \), where \( C_r \) is a set of all rank \( r \) matrices. Hence the total number of equivalence classes is \( m = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} n_r \). If \( A \) is a matrix of rank \( r \), then by Lemma 4.7, the cardinality of the equivalence class containing \( A \) is \( |[A]| = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(q^r - q^i) \). Hence

\[
 n_r = \frac{|C_r|}{\prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(q^r - q^i)}.
\]

In [7], it is given that \( |C_r| = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(q^n - q^i)^2 \). Therefore

\[
m = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} n_r = \sum_{r=0}^{r-1} \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(q^n - q^i)^2 = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r}^2.
\]

\[\square\]

Definition 4.11. Let \( q = p^k \) and \( F_q \) be a finite field. Let \( T = \{ [A] : A \in \mathcal{Z}(M_n(F_q)) \} \) be a set of all equivalence classes of the relation \( \sim \). The directed graph \( \Gamma(T) \) is a graph with vertex set \( T \) and there is a directed edge \([A] \to [B]\) between two vertices \([A]\) and \([B]\) in \( T \) if and only if \( AB = 0 \), i.e., \( range(B) \subseteq ker(A) \). Note that there is an undirected graph \( \Gamma(T) = G^\sim \), where \([A] - [B]\) is an edge in \( G^\sim \) if and only if \( AB = 0 \) or \( BA = 0 \), that is, \( range(B) \subseteq ker(A) \) or \( range(A) \subseteq ker(B) \).

Definition 4.12. Let \( F_q \) be a finite field and

\[ S = \{(U,V) : U,V \text{ are subspaces of } F_q^n \text{ with } dim(V) + dim(W) = n \}. \]

The directed graph \( \Gamma(S) \) is a graph on a vertex set \( S \) and with an edge set defined as:

\((U_1,V_1) \to (U_2,V_2)\) if and only if \( U_2 \subseteq V_1 \). The undirected graph \( \Gamma(S) \) is a graph on a vertex set \( S \) and with an edge set defined as:

\((U_1,V_1) - (U_2,V_2)\) if and only if \( U_2 \subseteq V_1 \) or \( V_2 \subseteq U_1 \).

Proposition 4.13. Let \([A] \in T \) and rank of \( A \) is \( r \). Then in a graph \( \Gamma(T) \),

\[ d^+([A]) = d^-([A]) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r-1} \binom{n-r}{i} \binom{n}{i} q^{-i}. \]

Proof. Define a map \( \phi : \Gamma(T) \to \Gamma(S) \) by \( \phi([A]) = (range(L_A), ker(L_A)) \), for all \([A] \in T \). Observe that \( \phi \) is a graph isomorphism.

Number of pairs of subspaces \((U,V)\) of \( F_q^n \) such that \( dim(U) + dim(V) = n \) and \( dim(V) = i \) is equal to \( \binom{n}{i} \binom{n-i}{q} = \binom{n-i}{q}^2 \). Hence the total number of vertices in \( \Gamma(S) \) is equal to total number of all such pairs of subspaces \((U,V)\) such that \( dim(U) + dim(V) = n \); and it is given by \( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-i}{q}^2 \). In \( \Gamma(T) \), a vertex \((U',V')\) is post adjacent to \((U,V)\) if and only if \( U' \subseteq V \). Let \( A \in M_n(F_q) \) with \( rank(A) = r \) and \( t = n-r \). Let \( U = range(A) \), \( V = ker(A) \). Therefore \( d^+([A]) = d^+(U,V) \). The number of subspaces of \( V \) of dimension \( i \) is equal to \( \binom{n}{i} \). For each subspace \( X \) of \( V \) with a dimension equal to \( i \), the number of vertices of the form \((X,*)\) is equal to \( \binom{n-i}{q} \binom{n}{i} \). Hence there are \( \sum_{i=1}^{t} \binom{t}{i} \binom{n}{i} q^{-i} \).
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \binom{n-r}{i} \binom{n}{i} \] post adjacent vertices of \((U,V)\).

In \( \Gamma(T) \), a vertex \((U',V')\) is pre-adjacent to \((U,V)\) if and only if \( U \subseteq V' \). Since \( \dim(U) = n - \dim(V) = r \), \( F_q^n/U \equiv F_q^r \). Hence number of subspaces of \( F_q^n \) with dimension \( j \) that contains \( U \) is equal to the number of subspaces of \( F_q^r \) having dimension \( j - r \), and this is equal to \( \binom{n-r}{j-r} \binom{n-j}{n-j} \). Hence the number of all pre-adjacent vertices of \((U,V)\) of the form \((U',V')\) with \( \dim(V') = j \) is equal to \( \binom{n-r}{n-j} \binom{n}{n-(n-j)} = \binom{n-r}{n-j} \binom{n}{n-j} \).

Hence \( d^-(U,V) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} \binom{n-r}{n-j} \binom{n}{n-j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \binom{n-r}{i} \binom{n}{i} \).

Therefore

\[ d^+(|A|) = d^-(|A|) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \binom{n-r}{i} \binom{n}{i}. \]

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 4.14.** Let \( q = p^k \) with \( p \) prime and \( A \in Z(M_n(F_q)) \). Then

\[ d(|A|) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \binom{n-r}{i} \binom{n}{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \binom{n-r}{i}^2, \]

where \( r = \text{rank}(A) \).

**Proof.** Let \( R = M_n(F_q) \), \( A \in Z(R) \) and \( \text{rank}(A) = r \). We have \( d(A) = d^+(A) + d^-(A) = |S| \), where \( S = \{ [B] \in Z(R) : [B][A] = [A][B] = 0 \} = \{ (X = \text{range}(B), Y = \ker(B)) | X \text{ is subspace of } \ker(A) \text{ and } \text{range}(A) \text{ is subspace of } Y \} \). Now we will find \(|S|\). Let \( U = \text{range}(A), V = \ker(A) \). The number of possible pairs of subspaces \((X,Y)\) such that \( X \subseteq V, U \subseteq Y \) and \( \dim(X) = i, \dim(Y) = n-i \) is equal to \( \binom{n-r}{i} \binom{n-r}{n-r-i} = \binom{n-r}{i}^2 \). Hence the total number of pairs of subspaces \((X,Y)\) required is \( \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \binom{n-r}{i}^2 \). Hence \(|S| = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \binom{n-r}{i}^2 \).

Therefore \( d(|A|) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \binom{n-r}{i} \binom{n}{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \binom{n-r}{i}^2. \)

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 4.15.** Let \( q = p^k \) with \( p \) prime. Consider a ring \( R = M_n(F_q) \). For each \( A_i \in \Gamma(R) \), let

\[ [A_i] = \{ B \in Z(R) : B \sim A_i \text{ ie., } B = PA_i = A_iQ \text{ for some } P, Q \in GL_n(F_q) \}. \]

\[ X = \{ [A_i] : A_i \in Z(R) \} \]

\[ Y_2 = \{ [A_i] \in X : A_i \neq 0, A_i^2 = 0 \}, Y_2 = \{ [A_i] \in X : A_i^2 = A_i \} \]

\[ n_i = |[A_i]|, l = |N_2|, m = |Y_2|, d_i = d([A_i]), r_i = \text{rank}(A_i), N_i = \sum_{A_j \in N(A_i)} n_j. \]
Lemma 5.1. Let \( R \) be a finite ring and \( I \) be an indexing set and \( i \in I \). Let \( R_i \) be finite ring and \( T = \prod_{i \in I} R_i \). Then the following statements hold.

1. Let \( x = (x_i)_{i \in I}, y = (y_i)_{i \in I} \) be any two elements in \( Z(T) \). The relation \( \sim_T \) defined by

\[
x \sim_T y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x_i = u_i y_i = y_i v_i, \quad \text{for some units} \ u_i, v_i \in R_i
\]

is an equivalence relation. Further, the relation \( \sim_T \) is equivalent to the relation \( \sim \) which is defined as,

\[
x \sim y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x = uy = vy, \quad \text{for some units} \ u, v \in T.
\]

2. Let \( x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in Z(T) \); and \( I_1 = \{ i \in I : x_i \text{ is unit} \}, \ I_2 = \{ i \in I : x_i = 0 \}, I_3 = I \setminus (I_1 \cup I_2) \). Then \( \|x\| = (\prod_{i \in I_1} |U(R_i)|) (\prod_{i \in I_3} |x_i|) \).

3. Let \( x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in Z(T) \) and \( I_1 = \{ i \in I : x_i \neq 0 \}, \ I_2 = \{ i \in I : x_i = 0 \} \). Then \( d(x) = \prod_{i \in I_1} (d(x_i) + 1) \prod_{i \in I_2} |R_i| - 1; \) and \( d([x]) = \prod_{i \in I_1} (d([x_i]) + 1) \prod_{i \in I_2} |\Gamma(R_i)| - 1. \)

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 2.6, Lemma 4.7, 4.9, 4.10 and Corollary 4.14. \( \square \)

5. Spectra of zero-divisor graph of finite semisimple rings

Lemma 5.1. Let \( I \) be an indexing set and \( i \in I \). Let \( R_i \) be finite ring and \( T = \prod_{i \in I} R_i \). Then the following statements hold.

The relation \( \sim_T \) defined by

\[
x \sim_T y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x_i = u_i y_i = y_i v_i, \quad \text{for some units} \ u_i, v_i \in R_i
\]

is an equivalence relation. Further, the relation \( \sim_T \) is equivalent to the relation \( \sim \) which is defined as,

\[
x \sim y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x = uy = vy, \quad \text{for some units} \ u, v \in T.
\]
Proof. (1) Let \( x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \) and \( y = (y_i)_{i \in I} \) in \( Z(T) \). Assume that \( x \sim y \). Hence there exist units \( u = (u_i)_{i \in I} \) and \( v = (v_i)_{i \in I} \) in \( T \) such that \( x = (x_i)_{i \in I} = uy = (u_iy_i)_{i \in I} = yv = (y_iv_i)_{i \in I} \). Since \( u, v \) are units, \( u_i, v_i \) are also units, for each \( i \). Therefore \( x_i \sim y_i \), for all \( i \in I \). Hence \( x \sim_T y \). Similarly the converse follows.

(2) Let \( x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in Z(T) \) and \( y = (y_i)_{i \in I} \in Z(T) \). Let \( y \sim x \). Hence \( y_i \sim x_i \), for all \( i \in I \). Observe that \( [x_i] = U(R_i) \) if \( x_i \) is unit and \( [0] = \{0\} \) in the ring \( R_i \). Now if \( x_i \) is nonzero non unit, then \( x_i \in Z(R_i) \), because \( R_i \) is finite ring. Hence by the multiplication principle of counting, (2) holds.

(3) Let \( x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in Z(T) \) and \( I_1 = \{ i \in I : x_i \neq 0 \} \), \( I_2 = \{ i \in I : x_i = 0 \} \). If \( y = (y_i)_{i \in I} \in Z(T) \) such that \( xy = 0 \), then \( x_iy_i = 0 \), for all \( i \in I \). Hence \( y_i \in N(x_i) \cup \{0\} \), for \( i \in I_1 \) and \( y_i \in R_i \), for \( i \in I_2 \). Therefore \( d(x) = \prod_{i \in I_1} (|N(x_i)| + 1) \prod_{i \in I_2} |R_i| - 1 \), where \( N(x_i) \) is a set of all neighbors of \( x_i \) in a graph \( \Gamma(R_i) \). Hence we get \( d(x) = \prod_{i \in I_1} (d(x_i) + 1) \prod_{i \in I_2} |R_i| - 1 \).

Similarly we can prove that, \( d([x]) = \prod_{i \in I_1} (d([x_i]) + 1) \prod_{i \in I_2} |\Gamma(R_i)| - 1 \). \( \square \)

Proposition 5.2. For \( k \in I = \{1, 2, \ldots, t\} \), let \( n_k, m_k \) be positive integers. Let \( p_k \) be distinct primes and \( q_k = p_k^{m_k} \). Let \( R = \bigoplus_{k=1}^t M_{n_k}(F_{q_k}) \) be a ring, where each \( F_{q_k} \) is a finite field. If \( A = (A_1, \ldots, A_t) \in R \), \( \text{rank}(A_k) = r_k \), for all \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, t \); and \( I_1 = \{ k : r_k = n_k \}, I_2 = \{ k : r_k = 0 \}, I_3 = I \setminus (I_1 \cup I_2) \) and \( I_4 = \{ k : r_k \neq 0 \} \). Then

\[
(1) \quad |[A]| = \prod_{k \in I_1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n_k-1} (q_k^{n_k-1} - q_k^i) \right) \prod_{k \in I_3} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n_k-1} (q_k^{r_k} - q_k^i) \right).
\]

\[
(2) \quad d([A]) = \prod_{i \in I_2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_k-1} \left( \frac{n_k-1}{i} \right)^2 \right) \prod_{i \in I_4} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_k-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} n_k-1 \\ i \end{array} \right) \right)^2 - \left( \begin{array}{c} n_k-1 \\ i \end{array} \right)^2 - \left( \begin{array}{c} n_k-1 \\ i \end{array} \right)^2 - 1.
\]

\[
(3) \quad d(A) = \left( \prod_{k \in I_2} q_k^{n_k^2} \right) \left( \prod_{k \in I_4} \left( 2q_k^{n_k(r_k-1)} - q_k^{(n_k-1)r_k^2} \right) \right) - 1.
\]

Proof. Proof follows from Lemma 4.7, 4.8 and Corollary 4.14. \( \square \)

Theorem 5.3. For \( k \in I = \{1, 2, \ldots, t\} \), let \( m_k, n_k \) be positive integers. Let \( p_k \) be distinct primes and \( q_k = p_k^{m_k} \). Let \( R = \bigoplus_{k=1}^t M_{n_k}(F_{q_k}) \) be a ring, where each \( F_{q_k} \) is a finite field.

For each \( A_i = (A_{i1}, A_{i2}, \ldots, A_{it}) \in \Gamma(R) \), let \( \text{rank}(A_{ik}) = r_{ik} \), for all \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, t \),

\[
I_1 = \{ k : r_k = n_k \}, I_2 = \{ k : r_k = 0 \}, I_3 = I \setminus (I_1 \cup I_2) \) and \( I_4 = \{ k : r_k \neq 0 \} \),
\[
[A_i] = \{ B = (B_k)_{k=1}^t \in Z(R) : B_k \sim A_{ik} \ k \in I \}, X = \{ [A_i] : A_i \in \Gamma(R) \},
\]
\[
X_2 = \{ [A_i] \in X : A_i \neq 0, A_i^2 = 0 \}, Y_2 = \{ [A_i] \in X : A_i^2 = A_i \},
\]
\[
n_i = |[A_i]|, l = |N_2|, m = |Y_2|, d_i = d([A_i]), r_{ik} = \text{rank}(A_{ik}), N_i = \sum_{A_j \in N(A_i)} n_j.
\]
Then
\[ n_i = \prod_{k \in I_1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k-1} (q_k^n - q_k^j) \right) \prod_{k \in I_3} \left( \prod_{j=0}^{r_k-1} (q_k^r - q_k^j) \right), \]
\[ d_i = \prod_{k \in I_2} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n_k-l} (n_k \choose l) q_k^{n_k-l} \prod_{k \in I_4} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n_k-r_k} \left( 2 \binom{n_k-r_k}{l} q_k^l \binom{n_k}{q_k} - \binom{n_k-r_k}{q_k} \right) \right) \right) - 1, \]
\[ m = \prod_{k=1}^t \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} q_k^{n_k-j} \binom{n_k}{j} q_k^j \right) - 2, \]
\[ l = \prod_{k=1}^t \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n_k/2} \binom{n_k}{j} q_k^j \binom{n_k-j}{q_k} \right) \]
and adjacency and Laplacian spectra of \( \Gamma(R) \) are given as in Theorem 4.15.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.2.

Corollary 5.4. Let \( k \in I = \{1, 2, \ldots, t\} \), \( m_k \) are positive integer and \( q_k = p_k^{m_k} \), where \( p_k \) are distinct primes. Let \( R = \bigoplus_{k=1}^t M_2(F_{q_k}) \) be a ring. For each \( A_i = (A_{i1}, A_{i2}, \ldots, A_{it}) \in \Gamma(R) \),
\[ I_1 = \{ k : A_{ik} \ is \ unit \}, \ I_2 = \{ k : A_{ik} = 0 \}, \ I_3 = I \setminus (I_1 \cup I_2), \]
\[ [A_i] = \{ B \in (B_k)_{k=1}^t \in Z(R) : B_k \sim A_{ik}, k \in I \} \], \( X = \{ [A_i] : A_i \in \Gamma(R) \} \),
\[ X_2 = \{ [A_i] \in X : A_i \neq 0, A_i^2 = 0 \}, \ Y_2 = \{ [A_i] \in X : A_i^2 = A_i \}, \]
\[ n_i = |[A_i]|, l = |N_2|, m = |Y_2|, d_i = d([A_i]), r_{ik} = \text{rank}(A_{ik}), N_i = \sum_{A_j \in \mathcal{N}(A_i)} n_j. \]

Then
\[ n_i = \prod_{k \in I_1} (q_k^2 - q_k) \prod_{k \in I_3} (q_k - 1), \]
\[ d_i = \prod_{k \in I_2} (q_k + 1) \prod_{k \in I_4} (2q_k + 1) - 1, \]
\[ m = \prod_{k=1}^t \left( \sum_{j=0}^{q_k^{2-j}} \binom{q_k^{j-1}}{q_k^j} q_k^{j-1} \right) - 2, \]
\[ l = \prod_{k=1}^t (q_k + 1) \]
and adjacency and Laplacian spectra of \( \Gamma(R) \) are given as in Theorem 4.15.
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