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Abstract. We study the linear stability of entire radial solutions $u(r e^{i\theta}) = f(r)e^{i\theta}$, with positive increasing profile $f(r)$, to the anisotropic Ginzburg-Landau equation

$$-\Delta u - \delta (\partial_x + i\partial_y)^2 \bar{u} = (1 - |u|^2)u,$$

which arises in various liquid crystal models. In the isotropic case $\delta = 0$, Mironescu showed that such solution is nondegenerately stable. We prove stability of this radial solution in the range $\delta \in (-1, 0]$ for some $-1 < \delta_1 < 0$, and instability outside this range. In strong contrast with the isotropic case, stability with respect to higher Fourier modes is not a direct consequence of stability with respect to lower Fourier modes. In particular, in the case where $\delta \approx -1$, lower modes are stable and yet higher modes are unstable.

1. Introduction

Given $\delta \in (-1, 1)$ and $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$, we consider the anisotropic energy

$$E[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\delta}{2} \text{Re}\{(\partial_\eta \bar{u})^2\} + \frac{1}{4}(1 - |u|^2)^2 \, dx,$$

where $\partial_\eta = \partial_x + i\partial_y$.

Minimizers and stable critical points of $E$ are relevant in describing 2D point defects (or 3D straight-line defects) in some liquid crystal configurations (e.g. smectic-$C^*$ thin films [4] and nematics close to the Fréedericksz transition [2]). This energy can also be viewed as a toy model to understand intricate phenomena triggered by elastic anisotropy in the more complex Landau-de Gennes energy [11].

Remark 1.1. The anisotropic term $\text{Re}\{(\partial_\eta \bar{u})^2\}$ can be rewritten as

$$\text{Re}\{(\partial_\eta \bar{u})^2\} = (\nabla \cdot u)^2 - (\nabla \times u)^2,$$

so that, in view of the identity $|\nabla u|^2 = (\nabla \cdot u)^2 + (\nabla \times u)^2 - 2 \text{det}(\nabla u)$, energy (1) differs from

$$\tilde{E}[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{k_s}{2}(\nabla \cdot u)^2 + \frac{k_b}{2}(\nabla \times u)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(1 - |u|^2)^2, \quad k_s = 1 + \delta, \ k_b = 1 - \delta,$$

only by the integral of the null Lagrangian $\text{det}(\nabla u)$. This is precisely the form that appears in [4] where minimizers of

$$\tilde{E}_\varepsilon[u] = \int_{\Omega} \frac{k_s}{2}(\nabla \cdot u)^2 + \frac{k_b}{2}(\nabla \times u)^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}(1 - |u|^2)^2$$

are investigated in the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ in a bounded planar domain $\Omega$. 
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Critical points of $\mathcal{E}$ are solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation

\begin{align}
\mathcal{L}_\delta u &= (|u|^2 - 1)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\
\mathcal{L}_\delta u &= \Delta u + \delta \partial_{\eta \eta} \bar{u}.
\end{align}

We are interested in symmetric solutions of the form

\[ u(re^{i\theta}) = f(r)e^{i\alpha e^{i\theta}} \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \]

with a radial profile $f(r)$ satisfying

\[ f(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to +\infty} f(r) = 1, \quad |f(r)| > 0 \quad \forall r \in (0, \infty). \]

Formally, one can always look for solutions of (3) in the form (4) (as a consequence of the $O(2)$-invariance of $\mathcal{E}$), and $f$ must solve

\[ T f + \delta e^{-2i\alpha} T \bar{f} = \left( |f|^2 - 1 \right) f, \quad T = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{1}{r^2}. \]

At this point we see a fundamental difference with respect to the isotropic case $\delta = 0$. If $\delta = 0$, one can find solutions as above for a real-valued function $f$, which moreover does not depend on $\alpha$. In the anisotropic case $\delta \neq 0$, as remarked in [2], the function $f$ can be real-valued only if $\alpha \equiv 0$ modulo $\pi/2$. In that case, the existence and uniqueness of a solution satisfying (5) follows from the case $\delta = 0$ (see [1, 6]). Otherwise, the function $f$ must be complex valued.

**Remark 1.2.** Another difference with respect to the isotropic case is that for $\delta \neq 0$ the Ansatz $u(re^{i\theta}) = f(r)e^{im\theta}$ cannot provide a solution when the winding number $m$ is $\neq 1$.

In [2], the core energies of the two symmetric solutions corresponding to $\alpha = 0, \pi/2$ are compared, to find that the lowest energy corresponds to $\alpha = 0$ for $\delta < 0$ and $\alpha = \pi/2$ for $\delta > 0$. In view of Remark 1.1 this is consistent with the fact that $\nabla \times e^{i\theta} = 0$, while $\nabla \cdot ie^{i\theta} = 0$; indeed, for $\delta < 0$ the energy $\mathcal{E}[u]$ in Remark 1.1 penalizes more strongly the term $(\nabla \times u)^2$ than the term $(\nabla \cdot u)^2$, since in this case $k_0 = 1 - \delta > k_s = 1 + \delta$. In [1] Proposition 3.1 the authors use this to show that minimizers of (2) behave like $e^{i\alpha}e^{i\theta}$ around point defects, with $\alpha \equiv 0$ (resp. $\pi/2$) modulo $\pi$ if $\delta < 0$ (resp. $\delta > 0$). These results tell us, for $\delta \neq 0$, which one is the minimizing behavior at infinity.

Here, in contrast, we fix the far-field behavior and investigate the local stability of radial solutions with respect to compactly supported perturbations. For the isotropic case $\delta = 0$, this study has been performed in [12] (see also [4]), and the radial solution is stable. In the anisotropic situation $\delta \neq 0$ we find that the corresponding symmetric solution stays stable for negative $\delta$ close to zero and it loses stability for $\delta$ either positive or close to minus one (see Theorem 1.3 for precise statements).

It can be readily seen that the case $\alpha = \pi/2$ corresponds to $\alpha = 0$, after changing the sign of $\delta$. Accordingly, we only treat the case where $\alpha = 0$. That is, we investigate the linear stability of solutions $u$ of the form

\[ u_{\text{rad}}(r, \theta) = f(r)e^{i\theta}, \quad f: (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty) \quad \text{with} \quad f(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to +\infty} f(r) = 1. \]
Let us note that the equation satisfied by \( u^\delta_{\text{rad}} \), (3), reduces to the following ODE for \( f \)

\[
(1 + \delta)T f = (f^2 - 1)f, \quad T = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{1}{r^2}.
\]

As pointed out in [2], the rescaling of the variable by \((1 + \delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}\) simplifies (7) to the standard ODE corresponding to the isotropic case \( \delta = 0 \). Whence, existence and uniqueness of \( f \) follow from [1][6]. Moreover, it is known that \( f \) takes values in \((0,1)\) and is strictly increasing.

The second variation of the energy \( \mathcal{E} \) around \( u^\delta_{\text{rad}} \) is the quadratic form

\[
\Omega^\delta_{\text{rad}}[v] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 + \delta \text{Re} \left\{ (\partial_r v)^2 \right\} - (1 - |u^\delta_{\text{rad}}|^2)|v|^2 + 2 (u^\delta_{\text{rad}} \cdot v)^2 \, dx
\]

(8)

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 + \delta \text{Re} \left\{ (\partial_r v)^2 \right\} - (1 - f^2)|v|^2 + 2 f^2 (e^{i\theta} \cdot v)^2 \, dx
\]

associated to the linear operator obtained by linearizing (3) around \( u^\delta_{\text{rad}} \):

\[
\mathcal{L}(u^\delta_{\text{rad}})[v] = -\Omega v - (1 - |u^\delta_{\text{rad}}|^2)v + 2 (u^\delta_{\text{rad}} \cdot v) u^\delta_{\text{rad}},
\]

where \( u \cdot v := \text{Re} \{uv\bar{v}\} \) denotes the standard inner product of complex-valued functions.

Taking into account the asymptotic expansion \( f(r) = 1 + O(r^{-2}) \) as \( r \to \infty \) (see [1][6]), it follows that the energy space of \( \Omega^\delta_{\text{rad}} \) naturally corresponds to

\[
\mathcal{H} := \left\{ v \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |v|^2 + (e^{i\theta} \cdot v)^2 \, dx < +\infty \right\}.
\]

Also, the translational invariance of \( \mathcal{E} \) readily provides two elements of \( \mathcal{H} \) at which \( \Omega^\delta_{\text{rad}} \) vanishes, namely

\[
\partial_x u^\delta_{\text{rad}} = e^{i\theta} \left( f' \cos \theta - \frac{i}{r} f \sin \theta \right), \quad \partial_y u^\delta_{\text{rad}} = e^{i\theta} \left( f' \sin \theta + \frac{f}{r} \cos \theta \right),
\]

and the linear space they generate is denoted by

\[
K_0 = \text{span}\{\partial_x u^\delta_{\text{rad}}, \partial_y u^\delta_{\text{rad}}\}.
\]

Our main result shows that the symmetric solution \( u^\delta_{\text{rad}} \) is stable when \( \delta \leq 0 \) is small, and unstable otherwise:

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( u^\delta_{\text{rad}} \) denote the radial solution (6) of the anisotropic Ginzburg-Landau equation (3), and let \( \Omega^\delta_{\text{rad}} \) denote the quadratic form (8) associated to the energy \( \mathcal{E} \) around \( u^\delta_{\text{rad}} \). Then, there exists a unique number \( \delta_1 \in (-1,0) \) such that

- for every \( \delta \in (\delta_1,0] \), \( u^\delta_{\text{rad}} \) is nondegenerately stable: namely,
  \[
  \Omega^\delta_{\text{rad}}[v] > 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in H \setminus K_0,
  \]

- for every \( \delta \in (-1,\delta_1] \cup (0,1) \), \( u^\delta_{\text{rad}} \) is linearly unstable: namely,
  \[
  \Omega^\delta_{\text{rad}}[v] < 0 \quad \text{for some } v \in H.
  \]

**Remark 1.4.** The most relevant range from the stand point of physics is \( \delta \in (-1,0] \) since for \( \delta > 0 \) the far-field behavior corresponding to \( \alpha = 0 \) is non-minimizing, and this translates here into instability of the radial solution.
Remark 1.5. In the stability range $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$, a contradiction argument as in [5, Lemma 3.1] provides a coercivity estimate of the form

$$\mathcal{Q}_\text{rad}[v] = C(\delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx \quad \forall v \in K_0^\perp : \int_{S^1} (ie^{i\theta}) \cdot v(r e^{i\theta}) \, d\theta = 0 \quad \forall r > 0,$$

where $\perp$ denotes orthogonality in $\mathcal{H}$. Using this coercivity for $\delta = 0$, one can deduce stability for small negative $\delta$ via a relatively simple perturbation argument, combined with properties of the lower modes in § 3. Instead, we will give a more quantitative proof, which provides an explicit range for stability: we deduce that $\delta_1 \leq -1/\sqrt{5}$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.3 follows the general strategy of [12]: we decompose $v$ into Fourier modes

$$v = e^{i\theta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_n(r) e^{in\theta},$$

and we are led to studying the sign of $\mathcal{Q}_\text{rad}[\delta]$, separately, for each mode

$$e^{i\theta} \left( w_n(r) e^{in\theta} + w_{-n}(r) e^{-in\theta} \right).$$

As in [12], the lower modes $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ play a special role. They can be studied via an appropriate decomposition already used in [12] (see also [5]). For any $\delta \in (-1, 0]$ we find that these lower modes are stable, while for $\delta > 0$ the mode corresponding to $n = 0$ is unstable.

A major difference of the present work compared to [12] (or similar results in [8–10]) pertains to the higher modes $n \geq 2$. In contrast with the cited works, stability for the higher modes is not an obvious consequence of stability for the lower modes. More precisely in the isotropic case we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_\text{rad}[0] \left[ e^{i\theta} \left( w_+(r) e^{in\theta} + w_-(r) e^{-in\theta} \right) \right] \geq \mathcal{Q}_\text{rad}[0] \left[ e^{i\theta} \left( w_+(r) e^{i\theta} + w_-(r) e^{-i\theta} \right) \right] \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

but for $\delta \neq 0$ this is not valid anymore, see (16). This feature is new and specific to the anisotropic case $\delta \neq 0$. Our strategy to study the sign of these higher modes is based on the same decomposition used for $n = 1$, and a careful balance of the contributions of additional terms, which end up causing instability for $\delta \approx -1$.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the splitting property of the quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}_\text{rad}[\delta]$ with respect to Fourier expansion. In Section 3 we study the stability of lower modes, and in Section 4 the instability of higher modes. In Section 5 we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. In addition, we included Appendix A to recall the details of the decomposition used to study the lower modes, adapted to our notations.
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2. Fourier splitting

Recall that \( f(r) = f_0((1 + \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \) where \( f_0 \) is the classical Ginzburg-Landau vortex profile corresponding to the case \( \delta = 0 \). That is, the unique solution of

\[
f''_0 + \frac{1}{r} f'_0 - \frac{1}{r^2} f_0 = -(1 - f_0^2) f_0, \quad f_0 > 0 \text{ on } (0, +\infty), \quad f_0(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to +\infty} f_0(r) = 1.
\]

We rescale variables and consider \( Q^\delta[v] = Q^\delta_{\text{rad}}[\tilde{v}] \) where \( \tilde{v}(\tilde{x}) = v((1 + \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{x}) \), so that

\[
Q^\delta[v] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 + \delta \text{ Re } \{ (\partial_\eta \tilde{v})^2 \} + (1 + \delta) \left\{ 2f_0^2 (e^{i\theta} \cdot v)^2 - (1 - f_0^2) |v|^2 \right\} \, dx,
\]

which corresponds to the second variation of the appropriately rescaled energy around \( u^0_{\text{rad}} \).

Following [12] we decompose \( v \) using Fourier series, as

\[
v = e^{i\theta} w = e^{i\theta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_n(r) e^{i n \theta},
\]

where we have conveniently shifted the index \( n - 1 \mapsto n \).

This decomposition provides a “diagonalization” of the linearized operator:

Lemma 2.1. The quadratic form (10) splits as

\[
Q^\delta[v] = Q^\delta \left[ w_0(r) e^{i \theta} \right] + \sum_{n \geq 1} Q^\delta \left[ e^{i \theta} \left( w_n(r) e^{i n \theta} + w_{-n}(r) e^{-i n \theta} \right) \right].
\]

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Lemma 2.1 essentially asserts that the family of functions

\[
\{ e^{i \theta} \left( w_n(r) e^{i n \theta} + w_{-n}(r) e^{-i n \theta} \right) ; n \geq 1 \},
\]

is orthogonal for the quadratic form \( Q \). This quadratic form (10) is composed of three terms. For the first term,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx,
\]

the orthogonality of (12) is a standard fact (recall e.g. in [12]). For the third term,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ f_0^2 (e^{i \theta} \cdot v)^2 - (1 - f_0^2) |v|^2 \right\} \, dx,
\]

the orthogonality of (12) is proved in [12]. The novelty here, with respect to [12], concerns the anisotropic term

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{ Re } \{ (\partial_\eta \tilde{v})^2 \} \, dx.
\]

The orthogonality of (12) for this anisotropic term, as a matter of fact, follows from the calculations in [3 § 3.2]. As our notations are different, we sketch a proof here for the reader’s convenience.

We compute

\[
\partial_\eta \tilde{v} = e^{i \theta} \partial_\eta \tilde{v} + \frac{ie^{i \theta}}{r} \partial_\theta \tilde{v} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \tilde{w}_n' + \frac{1 + n}{r} \tilde{w}_n \right) e^{-in\theta},
\]
and deduce, using the orthogonality of \( \{ e^{in\theta} \} \) in \( L^2(S^1) \),

\[
\int_{S^1} \text{Re} \left\{ (\partial_\eta \tilde{v})^2 \right\} d\theta
= \text{Re} \left\{ \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \bar{w}'_n + \frac{1 + n}{r} \bar{w}_n \right) \left( \bar{w}'_m + \frac{1 + m}{r} \bar{w}_m \right) \int_{S^1} e^{-i(n+m)\theta} d\theta \right\}
= \text{Re} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \bar{w}'_n + \frac{1 + n}{r} \bar{w}_n \right) \left( \bar{w}'_{-n} + \frac{1 - n}{r} \bar{w}_{-n} \right) \right\}.
\]

This implies the announced orthogonality and completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

According to the decomposition of Lemma (2.1), we define the quadratic forms

\[
Q^0_\delta[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2\pi} Q^\delta [\varphi(r)e^{i\theta}]
\]

for \( \varphi \in H_0 \),

\[
Q^\delta_n[\varphi, \psi] = \frac{1}{2\pi} Q^\delta \left[ e^{i\theta} \left( \varphi(r)e^{in\theta} + \psi(r)e^{-in\theta} \right) \right]
\]

for \( (\varphi, \psi) \in H_1 \),

where \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \) are the natural spaces corresponding to the conditions \( \varphi(r)e^{i\theta} \in H \) and \( e^{i\theta} (\varphi(r)e^{in\theta} + \psi(r)e^{-in\theta}) \in H \) for \( n \geq 1 \), respectively.

\[
H_0 = \left\{ \varphi \in H^1_{loc}(0, \infty) : \int_0^{+\infty} \left( |\varphi'|^2 + |\varphi|^2 + \text{Re} \left\{ \varphi \right\}^2 \right) r \, dr < +\infty \right\},
\]

\[
H_1 = \left\{ (\varphi, \psi) \in (H^1_{loc}(0, \infty))^2 : \int_0^{+\infty} \left( |\varphi'|^2 + |\psi'|^2 + \frac{|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2}{r^2} + |\varphi + \psi|^2 \right) r \, dr < +\infty \right\}.
\]

**Remark 2.2.** Using the density of smooth functions in \( H^1_{loc} \) and cut-off functions \( \chi_\varepsilon \) such that \( 1_{2\varepsilon < r < e^{-1}} \leq \chi_\varepsilon(r) \leq 1_{e^{-1} < r < 2\varepsilon} \) and \( |\chi_\varepsilon'(r)| \leq C/r \), we see that smooth test functions with compact support in \((0, \infty)\) are dense in \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \). Hence, in the sequel, we will always be able to perform calculations assuming, without loss of generality, that \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are such test functions.
The quadratic forms $Q_0^\delta$ and $Q_n^\delta$ are explicitly given by

\begin{align}
Q_0^\delta[\varphi] &= \int_0^\infty \left[ |\varphi'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + \delta \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left( \varphi' + \frac{1}{r} \varphi \right)^2 \right\} \right. \\
& \quad + (1 + \delta) \left\{ 2f_0^2 (\operatorname{Re} \{ \varphi \})^2 - (1 - f_0^2) |\varphi|^2 \right\} \left. \right] r dr, \\
Q_n^\delta[\varphi, \psi] &= \int_0^\infty \left[ |\varphi'|^2 + |\psi'|^2 + \frac{(1 + n)^2}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + \frac{(1 - n)^2}{r^2} |\psi|^2 \\
& \quad + 2\delta \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left( \varphi' + \frac{1 + n}{r} \varphi \right) \left( \psi' + \frac{1 - n}{r} \psi \right) \right\} \\
& \quad + (1 + \delta) \left\{ f_0^2 |\varphi + \bar{\psi}|^2 - (1 - f_0^2) \left( |\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2 \right) \right\} \right] r dr.
\end{align}

**Remark 2.3.** For every $n \geq 1$ there is a further splitting, namely

$$Q_n^\delta[\varphi, \psi] = Q_n^\delta[\operatorname{Re} \{ \varphi \}, \operatorname{Re} \{ \psi \}] + Q_n^\delta[\operatorname{Im} \{ \varphi \}, -\operatorname{Im} \{ \psi \}].$$

Consequently, it will be sufficient to consider real-valued test functions $\varphi, \psi$.

3. Study of the lower modes $Q_0^\delta$ and $Q_1^\delta$

We show that $Q_0^\delta$ is positive for $\delta \leq 0$, but it can become negative for $\delta > 0$. In addition, we prove that $Q_1^\delta$ is nonnegative for all $\delta \in (-1, 0]$.

3.1. Positivity of $Q_0^\delta$ for $\delta \in (-1, 0]$. Let us recall from (13) that $Q_0^\delta$ is given by

$$Q_0^\delta[\varphi] = \int_0^\infty \left[ |\varphi'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + \delta \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left( \varphi' + \frac{1}{r} \varphi \right)^2 \right\} \right. \\
& \quad + (1 + \delta) \left\{ 2f_0^2 (\operatorname{Re} \{ \varphi \})^2 - (1 - f_0^2) |\varphi|^2 \right\} \left. \right] r dr$$

We now introduce the quadratic form

$$A_0[\varphi] := Q_0^\delta[\varphi]$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left[ |\varphi'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + 2f_0^2 (\operatorname{Re} \{ \varphi \})^2 - (1 - f_0^2) |\varphi|^2 \right] r dr.$$
It is known that $A_0[\varphi] > 0$, unless $\varphi = 0$ (see Appendix A for more details). Moreover, we have the identity

$$Q_0^\delta[\varphi] = (1 + \delta)A_0[\text{Re} \{\varphi\}] + (1 - \delta)A_0[i \text{Im} \{\varphi\}] - 2\delta \int (1 - f_0^2)(\text{Im} \{\varphi\})^2 r \, dr$$

$$+ \delta \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dr} \left[ (\text{Re} \{\varphi\})^2 - (\text{Im} \{\varphi\})^2 \right] dr$$

$$= (1 + \delta)A_0[\text{Re} \{\varphi\}] + (1 - \delta)A_0[i \text{Im} \{\varphi\}] - 2\delta \int (1 - f_0^2)(\text{Im} \{\varphi\})^2 r \, dr,$$

which is valid for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty)$, hence for $\varphi \in H_0$ thanks to Remark 2.2. Since $1 - f_0^2 \geq 0$, we deduce the positivity of $Q_0^\delta$ for every $\delta \in (-1, 0]$.

### 3.2. Instability for $\delta > 0$

Using the formula (18) obtained for $A_0$ in Appendix A, we see that for any compactly supported real-valued test function $\chi$ we have

$$Q_0^\delta[if_0\chi] = (1 - \delta) \int f_0^2(\chi')^2 r \, dr - 2\delta \int (1 - f_0^2)f_0^2 \chi^2 r \, dr.$$

Applying this to $\chi_n(r) = \chi_1(r/n)$, for a fixed test function $\chi_1$, and using the asymptotic expansion [1, 6]:

$$f_0(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{2r^2} + O(r^{-4}) \quad \text{as } r \to \infty,$$

we see that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Q_0^\delta[if_0\chi_n] = (1 - \delta) \int (\chi_1')^2 r \, dr - 2\delta \int \frac{\chi_1^2}{r^2} r \, dr.$$

When $\delta > 0$, this expression must be negative for some $\chi_1$, since Hardy’s inequality is known to fail in two dimensions. Explicitly, by choosing

$$\chi_1(r) = \sin(\sqrt{\lambda} \ln r)1_{(1,e^{\pi/\sqrt{\lambda}})}(r) \quad \text{for } \lambda = \frac{\delta}{1 - \delta} > 0,$$

we have that $\chi_1 \in H^1(0, \infty)$ is compactly supported, and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Q_0^\delta[if_0\chi_n] = -\delta \int \frac{\chi_1^2}{r^2} r \, dr < 0.$$

Whence, for $\delta > 0$, the mode of order 0 already brings instability. This comes as no surprise as this mode corresponds to infinitesimal rotations (see Appendix A), and we know that the far-field behavior $e^{i\theta}$ is unstable: rotating this far-field behavior decreases the energy.
3.3. Positivity of $Q_1^δ$ for $δ ≤ 0$. Recall, according to (14), that $Q_1^δ$ is given by

$$Q_1^δ[φ,ψ] = \int_0^∞ \left[ |φ'|^2 + |ψ'|^2 + \frac{4}{r^2} |φ|^2 + 2δ \text{Re} \left\{ \left( \frac{φ'}{r} + \frac{2}{r} φ \right) ψ' \right\} + (1 + δ) \left( f_0^2 |φ + ψ|^2 - (1 - f_0^2) (|φ|^2 + |ψ|^2) \right) \right] rdr.$$  

We introduce the quadratic form $A_1 := Q_1^0$, namely

$$A_1[φ,ψ] = \int_0^∞ \left[ |φ'|^2 + |ψ'|^2 + \frac{4}{r^2} |φ|^2 + f_0^2 |φ + ψ|^2 - (1 - f_0^2) (|φ|^2 + |ψ|^2) \right] rdr.$$  

It is a known fact that $A_1$ is nonnegative on $H_1$, and vanishes exactly at pairs $(φ,ψ)$ corresponding to maps $v$ which are linear combinations of $∂xu_0^{rad}$ and $∂yv_0^{rad}$ (see Appendix A for more details). Moreover, we have

$$Q_1^δ[φ,ψ] - (1 + δ)A_1[φ,ψ] = -δ \int_0^∞ \left[ |φ'|^2 + |ψ'|^2 + \frac{4}{r^2} |φ|^2 \right] rdr + 2δ \int_0^∞ \text{Re} \left\{ \left( \frac{φ'}{r} + \frac{2}{r} φ \right) ψ' \right\} rdr = -δ \int_0^∞ \left| φ' + \frac{2}{r} φ - ψ' \right|^2 rdr - 2δ \int_0^∞ \frac{d}{dr} [|^φ|^2] dr = -δ \int_0^∞ \left| φ' + \frac{2}{r} φ - ψ' \right|^2 rdr,$$

for $(φ,ψ) ∈ (C_∞^∞(0,∞))^2$, hence for all $(φ,ψ) ∈ H_1$. From this identity we infer that $Q_1^δ ≥ 0$ for every $δ ∈ (-1,0]$, and equality can only occur when $v$ is a linear combination of $∂xu_0^{rad}$ and $∂yv_0^{rad}$.

4. Study of the higher modes $Q_n^δ$ for $n ≥ 2$

4.1. Positivity of $Q_n^δ$ for $n ≥ 2$ and $δ ∈ [-1/\sqrt{5},0]$. Let us recall: in the isotropic case, the positivity of $Q_n^δ$ (any $n ≥ 2$) is a consequence of the fact that $Q_n^0 ≥ Q_1^0$. Here, from the
definition (14) of $Q^\delta_n$, we have

\[
Q^\delta_n[\varphi, \psi] - Q^\delta_1[\varphi, \psi] = (n-1) \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{n+3}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 + \frac{n-1}{r^2} |\psi|^2 - 2\delta \frac{n+1}{r^2} \text{Re}\{\bar{\varphi}\psi\} 
+ 2\delta \frac{r}{r} \text{Re}\{\bar{\varphi}'\psi' - \varphi'\bar{\psi}\} \right] r\, dr.
\]

Unlike what happens in the isotropic case, this does not obviously have a sign (because of the last term which contains derivatives).

It seems reasonable to use a decomposition for $\varphi, \psi$ adapted to $Q^\delta_1$, as in Appendix A. Accordingly, we define for any real-valued test functions $\zeta, \eta$, the adapted quadratic form

\[
B^\delta_n[\zeta, \eta] = \frac{1}{2} Q^\delta_n[f_0'\zeta - r f_0 \eta, f_0'\zeta + r f_0 \eta]
\]

Decomposing

\[
Q^\delta_n = (1 + \delta)A_1 + Q^\delta_1 - (1 + \delta)A_1 + Q^\delta_n - Q^\delta_1
\]

and using the above expressions of $Q^\delta_n - Q^\delta_1$ (16) and $Q^\delta_1 - (1 + \delta)A_1$ (15), we have, for real-valued $(\varphi, \psi) \in H_1$:

\[
Q^\delta_n[\varphi, \psi] = (1 + \delta)A_1[\varphi, \psi]
- \delta \int_0^\infty \left( \varphi' + 2r \varphi - \psi' \right)^2 r\, dr
+ (n-1) \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{n+3}{r^2} \varphi^2 + \frac{n-1}{r^2} \psi^2 - 2\delta \frac{n+1}{r^2} \varphi\psi \right]
+ 2\delta(n-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} (\varphi'\psi' - \varphi'\psi) r\, dr.
\]

When plugging in $\varphi = f_0'\zeta - r^{-1} f_0 \eta$, $\psi = f_0'\zeta + r^{-1} f_0 \eta$, the first term significantly simplifies thanks to the formula (19) for $A_1$ in Appendix A For the other terms we directly expand

\[
\varphi' + 2r \varphi - \psi' = 2f_0'\zeta - \eta - 2f_0 \eta',
\]

\[
\frac{n+3}{r^2} \varphi^2 + \frac{n-1}{r^2} \psi^2 - 2\delta \frac{n+1}{r^2} \varphi\psi
= 2(1-\delta) \frac{n+1}{r^2} (f_0'\zeta)^2 + 2(1+\delta) \frac{n+1}{r^2} \left( \frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right)^2 - \frac{8}{r^2} f_0' f_0 \eta
\]

\[
\varphi'\psi' - \varphi'\psi = 2 \left( \frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right)' f_0'\zeta - 2(f_0')' f_0 \eta.
\]
from which it follows that $B_n^\delta[\zeta, \eta] = (1/2)Q_n^\delta[f_0^\prime \zeta - r^{-1} f_0 \eta, f_0^\prime \zeta + r^{-1} f_0 \eta]$ can be rewritten as

$$B_n^\delta[\zeta, \eta] = (1 + \delta)\int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} (\eta')^2 + (f_0^\prime)^2 (\zeta')^2 + 2 \frac{2}{r^2} f_0 f_0^\prime (\eta - \zeta)^2 \right] rdr$$

$$- 2\delta \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{f_0^2}{r} (\eta - \zeta) + \frac{f_0}{r} \eta^\prime \right]^2 rdr$$

$$+ (n - 1) \int_0^\infty \left[ (1 - \delta) \frac{n + 1}{r^2} (f_0^\prime)^2 + (1 + \delta) \frac{n + 1}{r^2} \left( \frac{f_0}{r} \eta^\prime \right)^2 - \frac{4}{r^2} (f_0^\prime \zeta) \left( \frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right) \right] rdr$$

$$+ 2\delta(n - 1) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} \left[ \left( \frac{f_0}{r} \eta^\prime \right) f_0^\prime \zeta - (f_0^\prime)^2 \frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right] rdr.$$

Integrating by parts, the last integral becomes

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} \left[ \left( \frac{f_0}{r} \eta^\prime \right)^{\prime} f_0^\prime \zeta - (f_0^\prime)^2 \frac{f_0}{r} \eta \right] rdr = 2 \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{f_0}{r} \eta^\prime \right)^{\prime} f_0^\prime \frac{\zeta}{r} rdr$$

$$= 2 \int_0^\infty \left[ \left( f_0^\prime - \frac{f_0}{r} \right) f_0^\prime \frac{\eta^\prime \zeta}{r} + \frac{f_0}{r} \eta^\prime f_0^\prime \frac{\zeta}{r} \right] rdr.$$

We use the first positive term in (17) in order to absorb this latter term: thanks to the identity

$$(1 + \delta) \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} (\eta')^2 + 4\delta(n - 1) \frac{f_0}{r} \eta^\prime f_0^\prime \zeta = (1 + \delta) \left( \frac{f_0}{r} \eta^\prime + \frac{2\delta}{1 + \delta} (n - 1) f_0^\prime \frac{\zeta}{r} \right)^2$$

$$- \frac{4\delta^2}{1 + \delta} (n - 1)^2 \left( f_0^\prime \right)^2 \left( \frac{\zeta}{r} \right)^2,$$

we rewrite (17) as

$$B_n^\delta[\zeta, \eta] = B_n^{\delta, 1}[\zeta, \eta] + (n - 1) B_n^{\delta, 2}[\zeta, \eta],$$

$$B_n^{\delta, 1}[\zeta, \eta] = (1 + \delta) \int_0^\infty \left[ \left( \frac{f_0}{r} \eta^\prime + \frac{2\delta}{1 + \delta} (n - 1) f_0^\prime \frac{\zeta}{r} \right)^2 + (f_0^\prime)^2 (\zeta')^2 \right] rdr$$

$$+ 2 \int_0^\infty \left\{ (1 + \delta) \frac{f_0^\prime f_0}{r} \left( \frac{\eta - \zeta}{r^2} \right)^2 - \delta \left[ \frac{f_0^\prime}{r} \left( \eta - \zeta \right) + \frac{f_0}{r} \eta^\prime \right]^2 \right\} rdr,$$

$$B_n^{\delta, 2}[\zeta, \eta] = \int_0^\infty q_n^\delta(r) \left[ \frac{f_0^\prime \zeta}{r} - \frac{f_0 \eta}{r} \right] rdr,$$

and $q_n^\delta(r)$ is the quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by

$$q_n^\delta(r)[X, Y] = a_n X^2 + b_n Y^2 + 2c(r) XY,$$

$$a_n = (1 - \delta)(n + 1) - 4\frac{\delta^2}{1 + \delta} (n - 1)$$

$$b_n = (1 + \delta)(n + 1)$$

$$c(r) = -2 - 2\delta \left( 1 - r \frac{f_0^\prime}{f_0} \right)$$
We readily see that $B_n^{\delta,1}$ is nonnegative for $\delta \leq 0$. Moreover, since $1 > r f_0'/f_0 > 0$ [7, Proposition 2.2], for $\delta \leq 0$, it follows that
\[ |c(r)| \leq 2. \]

As $b_n > 0$, a sufficient condition for $q_n^{\delta}(r)$ to be positive definite for all $r > 0$ is
\[ 4 < a_n b_n = (1 - \delta^2)(n + 1)^2 - 4 \delta^2 (n^2 - 1). \]

This amounts to the condition
\[ 0 < \alpha(\delta)n^2 + \beta(\delta)n + \gamma(\delta), \]
where
\[ \alpha(\delta) = 1 - 5\delta^2, \]
\[ \beta(\delta) = 2(1 - \delta^2), \]
\[ \gamma(\delta) = -3(1 - \delta^2). \]

For $\delta \in [-1/\sqrt{5}, 0]$ we have $\alpha(\delta), \beta(\delta) \geq 0$ so that the above polynomial in $n$ is nondecreasing on $[0, +\infty)$. Hence, it is positive for all values of $n \geq 2$ if and only if it is positive for $n = 2$. That is,
\[ 0 < 4\alpha(\delta) + 2\beta(\delta) + \gamma(\delta) = 5 - 21\delta^2. \]

We deduce that $q_n^\delta$ is a positive definite quadratic form for all $n \geq 2$ whenever $\delta \in [-1/\sqrt{5}, 0]$. In particular, $B_n^{\delta,2} \geq 0$ and therefore $Q_n^\delta \geq 0$ for $\delta \in [-1/\sqrt{5}, 0]$, with equality only at $(0, 0)$.

4.2. **Instability for $\delta \approx -1$.** In this section we show that $Q_n^\delta$ can take negative values for $\delta \approx -1$ and $n \geq 1$ large enough. To this end, we choose $\eta = \zeta$ in (17), to obtain
\[ B_n^{\delta}[\zeta] = B_n^{\delta}[\zeta, \zeta] \]
\[ = (1 - \delta) \int_0^\infty \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} (\zeta')^2 r dr + (1 + \delta) \int_0^\infty (f_0')^2 (\zeta')^2 r dr + (n - 1) \int_0^\infty \frac{\zeta^2}{r^2} \alpha_n^\delta(r) r dr \]
\[ \alpha_n^\delta(r) = (1 - \delta)(n + 1)(f_0')^2 + (1 + \delta)(n + 1) \left( \frac{f_0}{r} \right)^2 - 2(2 + \delta)f_0'^2 f_0 + 2\delta(f_0'^2 - 2\delta f_0 f_0'') \]

Using the asymptotics of $f_0$ (116)
\[ f_0(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} + O(r^{-4}), \quad f_0'(r) = r^{-3} + O(r^{-5}), \quad f_0''(r) = -3r^{-4} + O(r^{-6}), \]
we find, for $r \to +\infty$,
\[ \alpha_n^\delta(r) = \frac{(1 + \delta)(n + 1)}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - 4 \frac{1 - \delta}{r^4} + O(r^{-6}). \]

For $\delta = -1$ the leading order is negative. Hence, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a compact interval $[r_0, r_0 + 1]$ on which $\alpha_n^{-1} \leq -2\varepsilon$. Thus, we deduce that for all $n \geq 2$ there exists $\delta_n > -1$ such that for all $\delta \in (-1, \delta_n]$,
\[ -\varepsilon \geq \alpha_n^\delta(r), \quad \forall r \in [r_0, r_0 + 1]. \]
Choosing a nonzero test function $\zeta_0$ with support in $[r_0, r_0 + 1]$, we obtain

$$\hat{B}_n[\zeta_0] \leq C_1(\zeta_0) - (n - 1)\varepsilon C_2(\zeta_0) \quad \forall\delta \in (-1, \delta_n],$$

for some $C_1(\zeta_0), C_2(\zeta_0) > 0$. If $n$ is large enough this becomes negative. Compared to the isotropic case this is a really new situation: lower modes are positive but higher modes can bring instability.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In what precedes we have shown that $u_{\text{rad}}^\delta$ is nondegenerately stable for small $\delta \leq 0$, and unstable for $\delta > 0$ and $\delta$ close to $-1$. In particular, setting

$$\delta_1 = \sup \{\delta \in (-1, 0) : u_{\text{rad}}^\delta \text{ is unstable}\},$$

we know that $-1 < \delta_1 < 0$. It remains to show that $u_{\text{rad}}^\delta$ is unstable for all $\delta \in (-1, \delta_1)$, and nondegenerately stable for $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$. Let $\delta' \in (-1, \delta_1)$ be such that $u_{\text{rad}}^\delta$ is unstable, that is, $Q_{\delta'}[v] < 0$ for some choice of $v \in H$. Given that $\delta \mapsto Q_{\delta}[v]$ is an affine function which is nonnegative for $\delta = 0$ and negative for $\delta = \delta'$, we deduce that $Q_{\delta}[v] < 0$ for all $\delta \leq \delta'$. Therefore, $u_{\text{rad}}^\delta$ is unstable for all $\delta \in (-1, \delta')$. By arbitrariness of $\delta'$ we deduce that $u_{\text{rad}}^\delta$ is unstable for all $\delta \in (-1, \delta_1)$.

Let us now fix $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$. By definition of $\delta_1$, $u_{\text{rad}}^\delta$ is not unstable for all $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0]$. In other words, $Q_{\delta}[v]$ is nonnegative for all $v \in H$. It remains to show that, in fact, $Q_{\delta}[v] > 0$ for all $v \in H \setminus \text{span}(\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^0, \partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^0)$. We observe that the function $\delta \mapsto Q_{\delta}[v]$ is affine for any given $v \in H \setminus \text{span}(\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^0, \partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^0)$; it is positive for $\delta = 0$ because $u_{\text{rad}}^0$ is nondegenerately stable, and it is nonnegative for $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0)$. Thus, it must be strictly positive for $\delta \in (\delta_1, 0)$. This proves the desired nondegenerate stability in the announced range.

Appendix A. Positivity of $A_0, A_1$

We sketch here the approach in [12], adapted to our notation (see also [5]), based on Hardy-type decompositions to show positivity of the two following quadratic forms

$$A_0[\varphi] = \int_0^{\infty} \left[ |\varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 \right. + 2f_0^2 (\text{Re} \{\varphi\})^2 - (1 - f_0^2) |\varphi|^2 \left. \right] rdr,$$

$$A_1[\varphi, \psi] = \int_0^{\infty} \left[ |\varphi'|^2 + |\psi'|^2 + \frac{4}{r^2} |\varphi|^2 \right. + \left. f_0^2 |\varphi + \psi|^2 - (1 - f_0^2) \left( |\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2 \right) \right] rdr.$$
Testing equation (9), solved by \( f_0 \), against \( f_0|\tilde{\varphi}|^2 \) for any smooth compactly supported \( \tilde{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}) \), one obtains

\[
\int_0^\infty \left[ (f_0')^2 |\tilde{\varphi}'|^2 + 2f_0f_0' \tilde{\varphi} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}' + \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} |\tilde{\varphi}|^2 - (1 - f_0^2) \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} |\tilde{\varphi}|^2 \right] r dr = 0,
\]

so that

\[
A_0[f_0 \tilde{\varphi}] = \int_0^\infty \left[ f_0^2 |\tilde{\varphi}'|^2 + 2f_0^4 (\text{Re} \{\tilde{\varphi}\})^2 \right] r dr.
\]  

By density of test functions, and since \( f_0 > 0 \), we deduce that \( A_0[\varphi] > 0 \) for any non-zero \( \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0 \). Moreover \( A_0[\varphi] \approx 0 \) exactly when \( \varphi \approx if_0 \). This corresponds to the fact that in the isotropic case \( \delta = 0 \),

\[
\partial_{\alpha}[e^{i\alpha} u_{\text{rad}}^0]|_{\alpha=0} = if_0 e^{i\theta}
\]
solves the linearized equation due to rotational invariance.

For \( A_1 \), it is convenient to start by splitting it as

\[
A_1[\varphi, \psi] = A_1[\text{Re} \{\varphi\}, \text{Re} \{\psi\}] + A_1[\text{Im} \{\varphi\}, -\text{Im} \{\psi\}],
\]

so we may just treat the case of real-valued test functions \( \varphi, \psi \). Guided by the fact that

\[
\partial_x u_{\text{rad}}^0 = e^{i\theta}(f_0' \cos \theta - i \frac{f_0}{r} \sin \theta), \quad \partial_y u_{\text{rad}}^0 = e^{i\theta}(f_0' \sin \theta + i \frac{f_0}{r} \cos \theta),
\]

solve the linearized equation around \( u_{\text{rad}}^0 \), one uses the ansatz

\[
\varphi = f_0' \zeta - \frac{f_0}{r} \eta, \quad \psi = f_0' \zeta + \frac{f_0}{r} \eta,
\]

for some real-valued \( \eta, \zeta \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty) \). Testing equation (9), solved by \( f_0 \), against \( f_0 r^{-2} \eta^2 \) we obtain

\[
\int_0^\infty \left[ \left( \left( \frac{f_0'}{r} \right)' \right)^2 \eta^2 + 2 \left( \frac{f_0'}{r} \right)' f_0' \eta \eta' + \frac{2}{r^4} f_0' f_0'' \eta^2 - \frac{2}{r^3} f_0 f_0' \eta^2 - (1 - f_0^2) \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} \eta^2 \right] r dr = 0,
\]

and similarly testing (9) against \( (f_0'' \zeta^2)' \) we find

\[
\int_0^\infty \left[ (f_0'')^2 \zeta^2 + 2f_0' f_0'' \zeta \zeta' + \frac{2}{r^2} (f_0')^2 \zeta^2 - \frac{2}{r^3} f_0 f_0' \zeta^2 + (3f_0^2 - 1)(f_0')^2 \zeta^2 \right] r dr = 0.
\]

As a consequence of these two identities, we learn

\[
A_1 \left[ f_0' \zeta - r^{-1} f_0 \eta, f_0' \zeta + r^{-1} f_0 \eta \right] = 2 \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{f_0^2}{r^2} (\eta')^2 + (f_0')^2 (\zeta')^2 + \frac{2}{r^3} f_0 f_0' (\eta - \zeta)^2 \right] r dr.
\]

Since \( f_0, f_0' > 0 \) one may consider the choice

\[
\zeta = \frac{1}{2f_0'} (\varphi + \psi), \quad \eta = \frac{r}{2f_0} (\psi - \varphi),
\]
and deduce from the above that $A_1[\varphi, \psi] > 0$ for all non-zero $(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Moreover $A_1[\varphi, \psi] = 0$ exactly when $(\varphi, \psi)$ is in the real linear span of

$$\left( f'_0 - \frac{f_0}{r}, f'_0 + \frac{f_0}{r} \right), \quad \left( i \left( f'_0 - \frac{f_0}{r} \right), -i \left( f'_0 + \frac{f_0}{r} \right) \right),$$

which corresponds to the fact that $\partial_x u^0_{\text{rad}}$ and $\partial_y u^0_{\text{rad}}$ solve the linearized equation.
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